

February 15, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Utah Public Service Commission Heber M. Wells Building, 4th Floor 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, UT 84114

- Attention: Gary Widerburg Commission Secretary
- RE: Docket No. 17-035-61 In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Establish Export Credits for Customer Generated Electricity

Pursuant to the Phase I Scheduling Order and Notice of Hearing, and Notice of Phase II Scheduling Conference, Rocky Mountain Power (the "Company") hereby submits for filing its proposed load research study plan.

The Company respectfully requests that all formal correspondence and requests for additional information regarding this filing be addressed to the following:

By E-mail (preferred): <u>datarequest@pacificorp.com</u> <u>utahdockets@pacificorp.com</u> <u>Jana.saba@pacificorp.com</u> <u>Yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com</u>

By regular mail:

Data Request Response Center PacifiCorp 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000 Portland, OR 97232

Informal inquiries may be directed to Jana Saba at (801) 220-2823.

Sincerely,

Joelle R. Steward

Vice President, Regulation

Rocky Mountain Power Docket No. 17-035-61 Witness: Kenneth Lee Elder, Jr

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF UTAH

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Direct Testimony of Kenneth Lee Elder, Jr

February 2018

1

Q. Please state your name, business address and position with PacifiCorp.

A. My name is Kenneth Lee Elder, Jr. My business address is 825 NE Multnomah
Street, Portland, Oregon 97232. My position is Manager, Load Forecasting. I am
testifying on behalf of Rocky Mountain Power, a division of PacifiCorp ("the
Company").

6

Q. Please describe your education and professional experience.

A. I have a Bachelor's Degrees in Agriculture Business from Tarleton State University
and a Master's Degree in Agricultural and Resource Economics from Colorado State
University. I have been with PacifiCorp for over a year, where I have managed load
research and load forecasting activities. Before joining PacifiCorp, I was an
economist for a natural resource consulting firm for eight years.

12 Q. Please describe the responsibilities of your current position.

- A. I am responsible for the development of all customer class load-profile estimates used
 for cost allocation, rate design, forecasting, and special studies. I direct the design,
 implementation, and maintenance of all load studies performed at PacifiCorp. I am
 also responsible for developing the Company's long-term load forecast.
- 17

PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY

18 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. My testimony provides an overview of load research, load research processes as they
apply to the development of customer-class loads, and the Company's proposed plan
to develop load information for use in this docket.

22 Q. Please summarize your testimony.

A. My testimony describes the Company's proposed load research study to collect

profiles for energy delivered from the grid to the customer and energy exported from the customer to the grid. This data is necessary to calculate the value of energy exported from private generation ("PG"). To further supplement the body of data available and to better understand the intertemporal relationship between PG, delivered energy, exported energy, and full requirements energy, the Company proposes a study of PG. My testimony describes why the design of the PG study is reasonable and is consistent with industry standards.

31

BACKGROUND

32 Q. Can you please provide a brief summary of the purpose of the export credit 33 proceeding?

34 Yes. In the docket to investigate the costs and benefits of the Company's net A. 35 metering program, Docket No. 14-035-114, ("NEM Docket"), the parties entered into 36 a settlement which grandfathered net metering customers under Tariff Schedule 135 37 as of November 15, 2017, and established a new transition program ("Transition 38 Program") for customer generators. The settlement also required an export credit 39 proceeding to determine the compensation rate for exported power from customer 40 generation systems, including all customers after the expiration of the grandfathering 41 period and transition period for customers on Schedules 135 and 136.

42 Q. How is the export credit proceeding structured?

A. Consistent with the Stipulation in the NEM Docket, the Export Credit Proceeding has
a procedural schedule that will allow for its conclusion no later than three years after
initiation, which was December 1, 2017. The procedural schedule is bifurcated into
two phases, Phase One will determine the load research study plan and Phase Two

Page 2 – Direct Testimony of Kenneth Lee Elder Jr

will determine the export credit rate that will be paid to customer generators on the
transition program. In this filing, I present the Company's proposed load research
study as part of Phase One.

50

LOAD RESEARCH STUDY OVERVIEW

51

O.

What is the general purpose of load research?

A. Load research gathers the data needed to study customer usage so the Company can effectively allocate costs, design rates, plan for load, appropriately size transformers and distribution circuits, and enhance customer service. Most demand-related costs for production, transmission, and distribution facilities can be allocated to classes of customers based on system peaks, class peaks, or individual customer demands that are determined from load research data.

Load research studies are designed to provide information on rate-related activities such as demands associated with specific customer classes at specific peak periods. These loads are derived by either direct measurement, when all customers associated with a particular rate group have load-profile meters installed, or by sampling for rate groups where customers do not have load-profile meters.

63 Q. Please provide a brief overview of load sampling.

A. There are a wide range of sampling options available for estimating load-profile
 characteristics, from simple random sampling to more elaborate model-based
 sampling procedures. The two most widely accepted sampling options used within the
 electric industry are simple-random sampling and stratified-random sampling.

68 Simple-random sampling means that each customer in the sample has the 69 same probability of being selected. The method offers relative ease of use and the sampling is considered to be the most representative of the sample population.
However, simple-random sampling has larger errors from the same sample size than
stratified-random sampling. Therefore, in load research studies, simple-random
sampling is used mainly for populations with relatively few customers or for when
the population has similar characteristics.

Stratified-random sampling divides the sample, or customer class, into subclasses that have like characteristics. The technique has the effect of reducing the overall variance of the class, thus reducing sample size. Stratified-random sampling is a widely used and accepted technique because the statistical precision of a sample can usually be improved by using stratification. Therefore, a smaller sample size can be used with the same degree of precision.

81

Q. What sampling methodology is used by the Company?

A. All samples designed and installed in the Company's Utah service territory are based
on stratified-random samples using a systematic random-sampling approach, and the
designs meet or exceed the standard specified in 1978 by Section 133 of the Public
Utilities Regulatory Policy Act ("PURPA"). The specific parameters of the sample
design are outlined in the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"), Title 18, Chapter 1,
Subchapter K, Part 290.403, Subpart B, which states:

88 "<u>Accuracy Level</u>. If sample metering is required, the sampling method and 89 procedures for collecting, processing, and analyzing the sample loads, taken 90 together, shall be designed so as to provide reasonably accurate data 91 consistent with available technology and equipment. An accuracy of plus or 92 minus 10 percent at the 90 percent confidence level shall be used as a target 93 for the measurement of group loads at the time of system and customer group 94 peaks."

95 The PURPA specification has become a load research standard, particularly

96

97

for samples that will be used to support the development of rates or other regulatory requirements.

98 Q. Is stratified sampling a generally accepted practice for these types of studies?

99 Α. Yes. Stratified sample design is an industry-accepted practice which provides for the 100 installation of dramatically fewer sample points to achieve target precision and 101 confidence levels. In its July 2002 Report to the Utah Public Service Commission, the 102 Load Research Working Group, in referencing the Company's stratified-sample 103 designs, concluded that "techniques used by the Company to develop the load 104 research sample design are appropriate. The load research protocol is designed to 105 produce a sample that is accurate within ± 10 percent on 90 percent of the 106 observations." Participants of this group included representatives of the Company, the 107 Division of Public Utilities, the Committee of Consumer Services (of the Office of 108 Consumer Services), Commission Staff, Crossroads Urban Center, Hill Air Force 109 Base, Salt Lake Community Action Program, and the Utah Farm Bureau.

110 Q. Is systematic random sampling an accepted practice for these types of studies?

111 A. Yes. The Company employs systematic random sampling because it is a method that 112 best ensures an even distribution across the entire population from which sample 113 points are drawn. This provides a better representation of the population using a 114 smaller sample size.

115 Q. Please explain how systematic-random sampling is used in the Company's 116 proposed load research study?

A. In systematic-random sampling, the population is divided into groups. Each groupcontains a certain number of customers. One customer from each group is randomly

chosen. For example, if the population consisted of 300 customers and was rank
ordered and then divided into 30 groups, each group would consist of 10 customers,
and selecting one customer from each group would yield a sampling population of
30 customers.

When selecting one customer from each group, the systematic-sampling method always selects the customer with the same relative position within each group. For example, with a group size of 10, if the random position selected is eight, then the eighth customer from each of the 30 groups is selected for inclusion in the sample. Unlike simple-random sampling, systematic-random sampling allows for an even distribution across the entire population from which sample points are drawn, providing a better representation of the population.

130

LOAD RESEARCH STUDY PLAN

Q. What data will be derived from the Company's proposed load research study for use in this proceeding?

A. The Company's proposed load-research study consists of three distinct components: 134 1) a census of transition program customer-exported energy, 2) a census of transition 135 program customer-delivered energy, and 3) a sample of PG system-energy production 136 from grandfathered net energy metering ("NEM") customers. Please refer to Exhibit 137 RMP__(KLE-1), which includes the Company's study plan for the proposed 138 customer generator load-research study.

Q. Why does the load-research study proposed for this proceeding individually consider private generation customer exports, deliveries, and generation?

141 A. As provided in Figure 1, in order to determine PG customer usage, or full

Page 6 – Direct Testimony of Kenneth Lee Elder Jr

requirements (A) and behind-the-meter consumption (C), the Company must have customer exports (D), deliveries (B) and PG production (E). Results from the proposed generation sample design will be used to estimate (E) and develop the missing data (C and A).

146



147 Q. How can the data for either full requirements (A) or behind-the-meter 148 consumption (C) be used to develop rates for customers?

A. Understanding the relationship between a customer's usage and PG with exported and
delivered energy can be useful for identifying differences by rate class as well as
intra-class differences that vary with the level by which a customer offsets its load
requirements with PG. This information could be useful in the future for rate setting
purposes.

Page 7 – Direct Testimony of Kenneth Lee Elder Jr

154 Q. How does the Company propose to obtain a census of transition program
155 customer exports and deliveries?

A. The Company has established a process to obtain 15-minute interval export and delivery data for each transition customer given that each transition customer will be billed and credited by the Company based on 15-minute intervals. At this time, thirteen transition-program meters have been installed and 15-minute interval export and delivery data is being collected by the Company.

161 Q. Is the purpose of the generation sample different to other customer-based load 162 research samples?

163 Yes. Normally the goal of a load-research sample is to install a meter that directly Α. 164 measures the 15-minute usage of a particular set of customers. Given that usage for a 165 PG customer is not directly measurable, it is necessary to design a study that allows for the calculation of customer usage (as illustrated in Figure 1). Therefore, the 166 purpose of the generation sample is not to directly measure the differing usage 167 168 patterns of a customer; rather, its purpose is to measure the output of a solar panel 169 array so that this value can be used to better understand the intertemporal relationship 170 between exported, delivered, and full-requirements usage.

Q. Are there any differences between the design of the generation sample and other customer-based load research samples that the Company has conducted?

A. Yes. Typically, load research samples are designed based on billed energy. For the
proposed PG study, the Company proposes a sample design based on PG nameplate
capacity.

176

177 Q. Why does the generation sample design rely on nameplate capacity?

A. The generation sample is not intended to directly measure the differing usage patterns
of a customer, or (A) as identified in Figure 1. The generation sample is intended to
measure the output of a solar panel array, or (E) as identified in Figure 1. Therefore,
the variable of interest with regard to the generation sample is PG system-energy
production.

Ideally, a population should be stratified by the variable of interest, in this case this variable would be PG system-energy production. However, when the variable of interest is not known, as in this case, an auxiliary variable that is highly correlated with the variable of interest should be used. As such, PG system-nameplate capacity was determined to be the best variable to use for sample design because it is highly correlated with solar system energy production. A highly correlated auxiliary variable enables accurate strata definition and assignment of units to the proper strata.

190 Q. Is it appropriate to use the 36 existing generation profile meters used in a 191 previous study for the proposed generation sample?

A. Yes. The proposed PG sample for this filing calls for a total of 70 sample sites, of
which 36 generation-profile meters were previously used for the load-research study
conducted in the NEM Docket. For that research, the Company randomly selected
these 36 meters based on billed energy to identify energy production from NEM
customers.

197 The Company proposes to re-stratify these 36 generation-profile meters based 198 on their nameplate capacity, rather than billed energy, and utilize these meters for the 199 proposed research to manage resources efficiently.

Page 9 – Direct Testimony of Kenneth Lee Elder Jr

200 It is a standard practice for utilities to conduct a sample rotation for a load-201 research sample after it has been in place for several years. In continuous load-202 research studies, sample rotation is necessary to keep the sample current with the 203 changing utility population. Sample rotation requires the utility to check the currently 204 installed sample against the current population. This process requires that a new 205 sample be designed and compared against the existing sample. If warranted by 206 changes in the overall population, the Company will supplement the number of 207 interval meters called for in the initial sample design, or replace the sample.

Q. Does the Company have any concerns using export and delivery data from
 transition program customers and generation data based on a sample of
 grandfathered NEM customers to calculate full requirements?

211 No. As previously noted, the Company will acquire a census of export and delivery A. 212 data from Schedule 136 customers, whereas the generation sample will be based on 213 Schedule 135 customers. Exports and deliveries are affected by behind-the-meter 214 consumption; whereas, generation is not typically impacted by customer-usage 215 patterns. The purpose of the generation sample is to measure the output of a solar 216 panel array. In general, solar panel production is fairly predictable, and the Company 217 believes that private-generation-system output will be similar between Schedule 135 218 and Schedule 136 customers.

219 Q. Does the generation sample incorporate geographic location into the design?

A. Yes. As provided in Exhibit RMP__(KLE-1), the Company determined the minimum size for the proposed sample to be 54. A random selection process was used to ensure enough systems within each county of Utah were selected in the

Page 10 – Direct Testimony of Kenneth Lee Elder Jr

223 proposed sample so that it was representative of the overall saturation of rooftop-solar 224 installations within each county in Utah. In order to achieve a geographically 225 representative sample, the Company is proposing to use an oversampling approach 226 where the sample would include 70 sites. Exhibit RMP___(KLE-1) provides the 227 geographic location of the proposed sample and its relationship to currently installed 228 private-generation systems.

Q. Does the generation sample specifically incorporate system orientation and tiltinto the design?

231 No. The Company has numerous concerns with designing a multi-dimensional A. 232 sample that specifically accounts for orientation and tilt. For example, the Company 233 has PG orientation and tilt data available for 10,309 customers or 42.8 percent of the 234 current PG customer population (24,082 customers). Therefore, it would be necessary 235 for the sample to be based on a subset of the entire population of private-generation 236 customers in Utah. Furthermore, of the 10,309 customers with orientation and tilt 237 data, 2,555 have multiple panel arrays with varying orientations. The Company data 238 contains capacity only at the household level, not by each customer's individual solar 239 panel array. Therefore these 2,555 customers cannot be incorporated into the sample. 240 Eliminating these additional customers would further reduce the sample size to represent 32.2 percent of the PG customer population. 241

Q. What level of confidence is incorporated into the Company's proposed sample design?

A. Based on informal discussions with the interested parties in this docket, the accuracyof the generation sample design was increased to achieve a minimum accuracy of

plus or minus 10 percent at the 95 percent confidence level. This increased theproposed sample size from 62 sites to 70 sites.

248 Q. Please describe the data collected in these load studies.

249 Load-research participants have specialized profile metering installed at the site. Α. 250 These meters record exported energy, delivered energy, and PG system production in 251 sub-hourly increments for the duration of the load study (96 intervals/day/meter, 252 2,880 intervals/30-day month/meter, 35,040 intervals/year/meter). Because these 253 meters record and store time-differentiated data, the Company is able to determine 254 exported, delivered, and production for any identified date and time (system, 255 jurisdictional, and class peaks). Along with a sample of customers who will have 256 profile meters that measure their private-generation output, the Company will have 257 profiles of exported and delivered energy for all customer generators on the transition 258 program. Ultimately, this census data from transition-customer generators will be the 259 basis for determining the value of exports.

260 Q. Which Utah Schedules have load profile metering installed?

A. At the present time, there are 100 profile meters installed on Schedule 6 customers,
130 profile meters installed on Schedule 23 customers, 150 profile meters installed on
irrigation customers, 7 profile meters on Schedule 31 customers, 4 profile meters on
Schedule 21 customers, 262 profile meters on Schedule 8 customers, 167 profile
meters on Schedule 9 customers, 60 profile meters installed on Schedule 135
customers, and 200 profile meters installed on the Utah residential class.

In addition, all Utah customers with billed demand equal to or greater than
750 kilowatts have load profile metering installed. Furthermore, at this time there are

Page 12 – Direct Testimony of Kenneth Lee Elder Jr

269

thirteen Schedule 136 customers with load profile metering installed.

270

CONCLUSION

271 Q. What is your recommendation for the Commission?

The Company recommends that the Commission issue an order approving the 272 Α. 273 Company's proposed plan for gathering load and PG data for the export credit 274 proceeding. For the export credit proceeding, the Company will have profiles for 275 exported and delivered energy from all transition customer generators. Having the 276 profile of exports from the entire population of transition customers ensures that the 277 Commission will have the opportunity to have a full body of evidence before it by 278 which to make a decision in the export credit proceeding. Also to further supplement 279 this information, the Company proposes a study of PG. The Company's plan to study 280 PG would provide reliable results that are consistent with industry standards. The 281 overall body of data that would be available from the Company's proposed plan for 282 the export credit proceeding would be robust and would far exceed that which was 283 available for the NEM docket.

284 Q. Does this complete your testimony?

285 A. Yes.

Rocky Mountain Power Exhibit RMP___(KLE-1) Docket No. 17-035-61 Witness: Kenneth Lee Elder, Jr.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF UTAH

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Exhibit Accompanying Direct Testimony of Kenneth Lee Elder, Jr.

Utah Customer Generator Load Research Study

February 2018

Draft Utah Customer Generator Load Research and Analysis

2018

February 2018

Draft Utah Customer Generator Load Research Study February 2018

Introduction

On December 1, 2017, the Company submitted its application requesting the Commission open a docket to establish determine the compensation rate for exported power from customer generation systems. In order to determine the compensation rate for exported power from private generation (PG) systems, profile data from customer generators is necessary.

As such, this paper describes the procedures to be used in development of the Utah Customer Generator Load Research Study. This study will provide load data that will be used to estimate the appropriate export rate for Utah customer generators.

Overview

The proposed load-research study will result in collecting profiles for energy delivered from the grid to the customer and energy exported from the customer to the grid. This exported data is necessary to accurately calculate the value of energy exported from PG customers. To further supplement the body of data available and to better understand the intertemporal relationship between PG, delivered energy, exported energy, and full requirements energy, the Company proposes a study of PG production.

The proposed load-research study consists of three distinct components, which include: 1) a census of transition program customer-exported energy, 2) a census of transition program customer-delivered energy, and 3) a sample of PG system-energy production from grandfathered net energy metering ("NEM") customers. The remainder of this document discusses the purpose of this research, analytical needs of the research, participant recruitment, study timeline, and limitations to the research.

Export and Delivery

From a billing perspective, transition customers offset their full requirements usage with energy produced during each 15-minute interval and are billed on their delivered load (15-minute full requirement less energy production). Any PG energy production in excess of full requirements in the 15-minutes creates a bill credit at the export rate (exports).

In order to bill and credit transition customers based upon 15-minute interval data, the Company has begun installing profile meters for each transition customer. This will provide load-research quality data for exports and deliveries for the entire transition customer generator population. As such, it will not be necessary to design a sample of this population, since data for the whole population will be available. One year of transition customer export and delivery data, beginning no later than January 1, 2019 will be relied on for the proposed study.

Private Generation Sample

Although the Company will have export and delivery data for all transition customers, the Company will be required to design a sample to obtain an estimate of PG energy production. At this time, customer specific PG energy production data is not readily available for sample design

purposes. However, the Company believes a reasonable approach for designing a PG sample is to rely on nameplate capacity for residential and non-residential customers.

The distribution of PG nameplate capacities in load studies reveal a large number of customers in the low nameplate categories and relatively smaller amount of customers in higher nameplate categories. When drawing sample customers from the distribution of PG customer, it is necessary to employ a schema that will select customers from across the entire spectrum. The Company's standard practice is to utilize stratified sampling with systematic, random selection. Fundamentally, the sample is divided into several homogenous groups (strata), which in essence translates to low nameplate, medium nameplate, high nameplate, and very high nameplate groups. The recommended sample design for the PG profile will incorporate the use of four strata.

Based on the most recent data available, the July 2017 population of residential and non-residential rooftop solar interconnection agreements, a minimum sample size of 54 PG profile meters are necessary to achieve a precision level of $\pm 10\%$ at the 95% confidence level.¹ The Company proposes to bolster this minimum sample size requirement by installing a total of 70 PG profile meters (see Table 1). As provided in Table 2, the Company ensured the proposed sample design is representative of the geographic saturation of existing customer generators.

For this research, the Company intends to supplement the 36 PG profile meters that have already been deployed as part of the NEM proceeding.² Therefore, the Company intends to install an additional 34 PG profile meters as part of the proposed research. One year of NEM customer PG production data, beginning no later than January 1, 2019 will be relied on for the study.

Load Research Participation

As described in Special Condition 14 of Schedule 136 and in Special Condition 10 of Schedule 135, all randomly selected customers under these rate schedules must participate in any load-research study including the installation of production meters at a mutually convenient location.

Timing of Load Research

The Company will not commence with assigning customers for this study until Commission approval is received. Assuming Commission approval of the load-research study occurs by July 1, 2018, the Company will target full enrollment of customers for the PG sample by December 2018. The study will be based on export, delivery and PG data compiled over a twelve month timeframe, beginning no later than January 1, 2019.

¹ PURPA guidelines specify a precision of $\pm 10\%$ at the 90% confidence level. However, given study design recommendations received from parties to this docket, the Company is proposing a higher level of precision for the sample.

² Docket No. 14-035-114 - In the Matter of the Investigation of the Costs and Benefits of PacifiCorp's Net Metering Program.

Appendix

| Table 1 | Ut | ah Resid | lential T | ransitior | n Progra | m Load | Research | n Study I | Design | |
|---------|-------------------|----------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Strata | Nameplate (kW) | Count | Mean Nameplate (kW) | Squared Nameplate (kW) | Standard Deviation | Required Sample | Supplemented Sample | Currently Installed | Additional Required | Study Total |
| | 1 0-6 | 13,323 | 4.06 | 245,161 | 1.38 | 14 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| | 2 6-12 | 9,193 | 8.01 | 608,770 | 1.46 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 10 |
| | 3 12-80 | 1,496 | 20.94 | 825,224 | 10.64 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| | 4 >80 | 70 | 364.25 | 17,043,494 | 335.27 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| Total | | 24.082 | 7.66 | | | 54 | 70 | 36 | 34 | 70 |

Table 2 Geographic Location of Private Generation Sample Meters

| | | | | | | | | Geographically |
|------------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|-------|----------------------|
| | Currentl | y Installed | | Product | Represenative | | | |
| County | Number | % of Total | Strata 1 | Strata 2 | Strata 3 | Strata 4 | Total | Allocation of Meters |
| SALT LAKE | 10,538 | 43.8% | 14 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 27 | 24 |
| UTAH | 3,883 | 16.1% | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 9 |
| DAVIS | 3,025 | 12.6% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 7 |
| WEBER | 2,339 | 9.7% | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| TOOELE | 721 | 3.0% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| WASHINGTON | 689 | 2.9% | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 |
| CACHE | 652 | 2.7% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| SUMMIT | 587 | 2.4% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| IRON | 526 | 2.2% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| BOX ELDER | 361 | 1.5% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| GRAND | 174 | 0.7% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| SEVIER | 158 | 0.7% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| MORGAN | 86 | 0.4% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UINTAH | 67 | 0.3% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SANPETE | 52 | 0.2% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JUAB | 48 | 0.2% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CARBON | 38 | 0.2% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MILLARD | 28 | 0.1% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EMERY | 26 | 0.1% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BEAVER | 24 | 0.1% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SAN JUAN | 23 | 0.1% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WASATCH | 20 | 0.1% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RICH | 12 | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GARFIELD | 6 | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PIUTE | 3 | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 24,086 | 100.0% | 30 | 10 | 12 | 18 | 70 | 54 |

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 15, 2018, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by email on the following Parties in Docket No. 17-035-61:

| Division of Public Utilities | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Chris Parker | ChrisParker@utah.gov | | | | |
| William Powell | wpowell@utah.gov | | | | |
| Erika Tedder | etedder@utah.gov | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Utah Office of Consumer Services | | | | | |
| Michele Beck | mbeck@utah.gov | | | | |
| Cheryl Murray | <u>cmurray@utah.gov</u> | | | | |
| Bela Vastag | bvastag@utah.gov | | | | |
| Assistant Litah Attornay Canaral | | | | | |
| Patricia Schmid | nschmid@agutah.gov | | | | |
| Lustin Latter | ijottor@agutah.gov | | | | |
| Pohart Mooro | <u>jjettel@agutah.gov</u> | | | | |
| Stavan Snow | stavananam@agutah.gov | | | | |
| Sleven Sharr | stevensnarr@agutan.gov | | | | |
| Vote Solar | l | | | | |
| Rick Gilliam | rick@votesolar.org | | | | |
| Briana Kobar | briana@votesolar.org | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Utah Clean Energy | | | | | |
| Utah Clean Energy Sarah Wright | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org | | | | |
| Utah Clean Energy Sarah Wright Kate Bowman | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org | | | | |
| Utah Clean Energy Sarah Wright Kate Bowman | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org | | | | |
| Utah Clean Energy Sarah Wright Kate Bowman Utah Solar Energy Association | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org | | | | |
| Utah Clean EnergySarah WrightKate BowmanUtah Solar Energy AssociationAmanda Smith | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org asmith@hollandhart.com | | | | |
| Utah Clean EnergySarah WrightKate BowmanUtah Solar Energy AssociationAmanda SmithEngels J. Tejeda | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org asmith@hollandhart.com ejtejeda@hollandhart.com | | | | |
| Utah Clean EnergySarah WrightKate BowmanUtah Solar Energy AssociationAmanda SmithEngels J. TejedaChelsea J. Davis | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org asmith@hollandhart.com ejtejeda@hollandhart.com cjdavis@hollandhart.com | | | | |
| Utah Clean EnergySarah WrightKate BowmanUtah Solar Energy AssociationAmanda SmithEngels J. TejedaChelsea J. Davis | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org asmith@hollandhart.com ejtejeda@hollandhart.com cjdavis@hollandhart.com | | | | |
| Utah Clean EnergySarah WrightKate BowmanUtah Solar Energy AssociationAmanda SmithEngels J. TejedaChelsea J. DavisSalt Lake City Corporation | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org asmith@hollandhart.com ejtejeda@hollandhart.com cjdavis@hollandhart.com | | | | |
| Utah Clean EnergySarah WrightKate BowmanUtah Solar Energy AssociationAmanda SmithEngels J. TejedaChelsea J. DavisSalt Lake City CorporationMegan J. DePaulis | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org asmith@hollandhart.com ejtejeda@hollandhart.com cjdavis@hollandhart.com megan.depaulis@slcgov.com | | | | |
| Utah Clean EnergySarah WrightKate BowmanUtah Solar Energy AssociationAmanda SmithEngels J. TejedaChelsea J. DavisSalt Lake City CorporationMegan J. DePaulisTyler Poulson | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org asmith@hollandhart.com ejtejeda@hollandhart.com cjdavis@hollandhart.com megan.depaulis@slcgov.com tyler.poulson@slcgov.com | | | | |
| Utah Clean Energy Sarah Wright Kate Bowman Utah Solar Energy Association Amanda Smith Engels J. Tejeda Chelsea J. Davis Salt Lake City Corporation Megan J. DePaulis Tyler Poulson | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org asmith@hollandhart.com ejtejeda@hollandhart.com cjdavis@hollandhart.com megan.depaulis@slcgov.com tyler.poulson@slcgov.com | | | | |
| Utah Clean EnergySarah Wright Kate BowmanUtah Solar Energy AssociationAmanda Smith Engels J. Tejeda Chelsea J. DavisSalt Lake City Corporation Megan J. DePaulis Tyler PoulsonRocky Mountain Power | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org asmith@hollandhart.com ejtejeda@hollandhart.com cjdavis@hollandhart.com megan.depaulis@slcgov.com tyler.poulson@slcgov.com | | | | |
| Utah Clean EnergySarah WrightKate BowmanUtah Solar Energy AssociationAmanda SmithEngels J. TejedaChelsea J. DavisSalt Lake City CorporationMegan J. DePaulisTyler PoulsonRocky Mountain PowerData Request Response Center | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org asmith@hollandhart.com ejtejeda@hollandhart.com cjdavis@hollandhart.com megan.depaulis@slcgov.com tyler.poulson@slcgov.com | | | | |
| Utah Clean EnergySarah WrightKate BowmanUtah Solar Energy AssociationAmanda SmithEngels J. TejedaChelsea J. DavisSalt Lake City CorporationMegan J. DePaulisTyler PoulsonRocky Mountain PowerData Request Response CenterYvonne Hogle | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org asmith@hollandhart.com ejtejeda@hollandhart.com cjdavis@hollandhart.com megan.depaulis@slcgov.com tyler.poulson@slcgov.com datarequest@pacificorp.com yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com | | | | |
| Utah Clean EnergySarah Wright Kate BowmanUtah Solar Energy AssociationAmanda Smith Engels J. Tejeda Chelsea J. DavisSalt Lake City Corporation Megan J. DePaulis Tyler PoulsonRocky Mountain Power Data Request Response Center Yvonne Hogle Jana Saba | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org asmith@hollandhart.com ejtejeda@hollandhart.com cjdavis@hollandhart.com megan.depaulis@slcgov.com tyler.poulson@slcgov.com datarequest@pacificorp.com yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com jana.saba@pacificorp.com; | | | | |
| Utah Clean EnergySarah WrightKate BowmanUtah Solar Energy AssociationAmanda SmithEngels J. TejedaChelsea J. DavisSalt Lake City CorporationMegan J. DePaulisTyler PoulsonRocky Mountain PowerData Request Response CenterYvonne HogleJana Saba | sarah@utahcleanenergy.org kate@utahcleanenergy.org asmith@hollandhart.com ejtejeda@hollandhart.com cjdavis@hollandhart.com cjdavis@hollandhart.com megan.depaulis@slcgov.com tyler.poulson@slcgov.com datarequest@pacificorp.com yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com; utahdockets@pacificorp.com | | | | |

Jennifer Angell Supervisor, Regulatory Operations