

Docket No: 17-035-61

1 message

Jacob Noyce < jacob.noyce@blueravensolar.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Fri, Jul 10, 2020 at 6:28 PM

Dear PSC,

The PSC should reject RMP's plan.

- The proposed changes to net-metering are not in the best interest of Utah families and businesses.
- Expansion of residential solar in Utah will end, thousands of Utah jobs will be lost, and Utah's unmatched, spectacular lands will suffer if the PSC approves RMP's plan.
- RMP's plan does not fit in the state's Covid-19 strategy for economic recovery and revitalization.
- RMP's plan decreases access, availability, and affordability of residential solar.
- RMP should not be allowed invest in large scale energy projects without first considering meeting its renewable portfolio standards by local investment and support in residential solar energy producers.
- Any request for a change to net-metering should proceed by a cost/benefit study performed by a neutral third-party to inform the PSC of the true costs and benefits of solar interconnection and to promote the growth of residential solar energy producers.

Sincerely,

Jacob Noyce

Get Outlook for iOS



Docket No: 17-035-61

1 message

Zach Winter <zachdub12@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jul 10, 2020 at 7:30 PM

Please please do not let Rocky Mountain power monopolize yet another aspect of energy. Reducing the credits for on site solar customers would just add to the money and business they already have.

Utah's air quality is so poor why would we take a step in the wrong direction!!! Stopping Solar will just make this worse!!!

Please reject this proposal by Rocky Mountain!



Docket No: 17-035-61

1 message

Travis Monson <travis.monson@blueravensolar.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Fri, Jul 10, 2020 at 8:53 PM

To whom it may concern,

I'm writing in strong opposition to Rocky Mountain power trying to change net metering rules. I work in the solar industry and have seen firsthand the jobs that the industry creates for my community. By allowing Rocky Mountain power to change what are already fair net metering rates it would put in jeopardy those jobs and my community. Thank you for your time.

Get Outlook for Android



Docket No: 17-035-61

1 message

Trennon Leavitt <trennon.leavitt@blueravensolar.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Fri, Jul 10, 2020 at 10:35 PM

Hi PSC,

I wanted to add my voice to others that are not in favor of Rocky Mountain Power's proposed changed to lower netmetering rates. It's a little strange that a company that stands to gain so much from this change would be able to push something like this through state government without more unbiased opinions performing the true costs and benefits for solar interconnection.

These proposed changes are counterintuitive for Rocky Mountain Power who are trying to add 13 GW of clean energy over the next 20 years because a decrease to the net metering rate would slam the brakes on the residential solar market in Utah. Why not meet its goal of sourcing more clean energy by reinvesting in residential solar energy and supporting a key industry in Utah? These lower rates would put 1000s of Utahn's out of work at a time where employment is at a premium.

I ask that we reject Rocky Mountain Power's plan to change net metering rates in Utah. If changes must be made, please do so armed with unbiased 3rd party studies.

Thank you!

Trennon Leavitt | Business Intelligence Sr. Manager | Blue Raven Solar | 855-205-2531 | trennon.leavitt@blueravensolar.com



The Future of Energy, Today,



Docket # 17-035-61

1 message

Jeffrey Fielding <jeffrey.j.fielding@aggiemail.usu.edu> To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 7:52 AM

To whom it may concern,

We are just starting out as home owners in Liberty, UT and have not amassed the funds to go solar as we still have some home improvements yet to do before focusing on solar.

I hear talk of reducing the current solar credits and hear that fossil fuels are subsidized and I would prefer that trend be reversed. I live in Liberty in large part due to the better air quality than what I experienced growing up In Cache Valley and living for a couple years in Bountiful where the air quality and cancer rates concerned us enough to make us move.

If Covid-19 makes remote work more permanent, I expect many more could make a similar move but maybe they wouldn't feel they have to if we are better at reducing our use of fossil fuels.

Best regards,

Jeff Fielding (435) 232-1202

Best,

Jeff Fielding (435) 232-1202 jeffrey.j.fielding@aggiemail.usu.edu



Docket No: 17-035-61

1 message

Cameron Lawson <cameron.lawson@blueravensolar.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 8:28 AM

Rocky mountains plan will make it harder to access, afford or even get residential solar to begin with. On top of that it will end thousands and thousands of jobs in Utah making the governors plan to help Utah recover Null and Void if it goes through. I for one do not support what Rocky Mountain Power is trying to do.



Docket No: 17-035-61

1 message

Carrigan, Matthew < meca225@g.uky.edu> To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 8:38 AM

Dear PUC Utah,

Instead of writing a super long email, please consider these bullet points:

- The PSC should reject RMP's plan.
- The proposed changes to net-metering are not in the best interest of Utah families and businesses.
- Expansion of residential solar in Utah will end, thousands of Utah jobs will be lost, and Utah's unmatched, spectacular lands will suffer if the PSC approves RMP's plan.
- RMP's plan does not fit in the state's Covid-19 strategy for economic recovery and revitalization.
- RMP's plan decreases access, availability, and affordability of residential solar.
- RMP should not be allowed invest in large scale energy projects without first considering meeting its renewable portfolio standards by local investment and support in residential solar energy producers.
- Any request for a change to net-metering should proceed by a cost/benefit study performed by a neutral third-party to inform the PSC of the true costs and benefits of solar interconnection and to promote the growth of residential solar energy producers.



Docket No: 17-035-61

1 message

Klay Cornelison <klay.cornelison@blueravensolar.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 9:06 AM

Hello, I would like to voice my opinion on the issues regarding docket 17-035-61. I strongly feel like the PSC should reject RMP's plan. The proposed changes to net-metering are only to favor the Pacificorp/Rocky Mountain Power monopoly at the expense of Utah families and business owners. This will destroy the expansion of solar in Utah, costing thousands of jobs in renewable energy. RPM's plan decreases availability and affordability of residential solar, and any request to change net metering should be met first by a cost/benefit study performed by a party neutral to inform the PSC of the true cost/benefit of this plan.

Klay Cornelison | Accounts Receivable Specialist | Blue Raven Solar | 855-205-2530



This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. If you are the intended recipient, please be advised that the content of this message is subject to access, review and disclosure by the sender's Email System Administrator.



Docket no. 17-035-61

1 message

Michael Shorten <mikeshorten1@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 11:21 AM

Hello,

I'm reaching out to propose that you reject rocky mountain powers plans for neutering net metering.

This is not in the best interest of Utah families and businesses. To recover from covid, our state should be actively working to support local families and businesses, not supporting large corporate greed.

Please do the right thing for our State and our Families.

Best regards,

-Mike Shorten



Docket 17-035-61

1 message

Jon Posey <jonis 99@yahoo.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 11:34 AM

To whom it may concern,

In light of recent proposed changes by RMP to net metering I do not think it is in the best interest for Utah families and businesses.

As both a solar owner and one who works for a solar provider I am very nervous that these changes would hit me hard both on sides. It is vital to my livelihood to not let Pacificorp bully us because they want to make more money.

In addition to this I don't believe RMPs plan fits into our states Covid strategy for recovery. In my humble opinion it is the exact opposite.

In conclusion please do not change the net metering program, it will hurt Utah families and businesses and with everything that's happened this year we cannot afford that.

Thank you,

Jon Posey



Utah Solar Net-Metering Rates: Docket No. 17-035-61

1 message

Ali Stewart <ali.m.stewart@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 11:45 AM

To Whom It May Concern,

The solar industry within Utah has recently been a prosperous and valuable industry.

As an employee of a local solar company, I have been very privileged to not only work, but better the world through my work.

However, the proposed plan of lowering the net-metering rates in Utah CANNOT be considered and <u>must</u> be rejected.

As I will explain further shortly, this plan does not fit into Utah's COVID-19 strategy for promoting and increasing economic recovery and revitalization.

If it were to be passed, the expansion of residential solar within Utah will end, resulting in the removal of thousands of jobs while also reducing access, availability, and affordability of residential solar. An increase in unemployment and a decrease in renewable energy is in no one's best interest.

Please consider rejecting this proposed plan - Utah deserves it.

Thank you,

Aleesia Stewart



Docket No 17-035-61

1 message

Ali Prigmore <ali.m.prigmore@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 11:46 AM

To Whom It May Concern,

The solar industry within Utah has recently been a prosperous and valuable industry.

As an employee of a local solar company, I have been very privileged to not only work, but better the world through my work.

However, the proposed plan of lowering the net-metering rates in Utah CANNOT be considered and <u>must</u> be rejected.

As I will explain further shortly, this plan does not fit into Utah's COVID-19 strategy for promoting and increasing economic recovery and revitalization.

If it were to be passed, the expansion of residential solar within Utah will end, resulting in the removal of thousands of jobs while also reducing access, availability, and affordability of residential solar. An increase in unemployment and a decrease in renewable energy is in no one's best interest.

Please consider rejecting this proposed plan - Utah deserves it.

Thank you,

Ali Prigmore



Docket No. 17-035-61

1 message	
Aleesia Prigmore <aleesia.prigmore@blueravensolar.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov></psc@utah.gov></aleesia.prigmore@blueravensolar.com>	Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 11:46 AM
To Whom It May Concern,	
The solar industry within Utah has recently been a prosperous and valuable industry.	
As an employee of a local solar company, I have been very privileged to not only work, bu work.	ut better the world through my
However, the proposed plan of lowering the net-metering rates in Utah CANNOT be consi	idered and <u>must</u> be rejected.
As I will explain further shortly, this plan does not fit into Utah's COVID-19 strategy for pro recovery and revitalization.	moting and increasing economic
If it were to be passed, the expansion of residential solar within Utah will end, resulting in jobs while also reducing access, availability, and affordability of residential solar. An increadecrease in renewable energy is in no one's best interest.	
Please consider rejecting this proposed plan - Utah deserves it.	
Thank you,	
Aleesia Prigmore	



Docket No. 17-035-61

1 message

Linsey McClain linsey.mcclain@blueravensolar.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 11:57 AM

Good morning,

In the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic, historically high unemployment numbers, and rising coronavirus infection rates in Utah Rocky Mountain Power is again asking the PSC to lower Utah net-metering rates. In the Utah Leads Together 4.0, Governor Herbert says that the state is committed to making a "full-blown economic recover" and creating "long-term prosperity" for all. However, if Rocky Mountain Power gets their way, hundreds of Utah solar sector jobs will be lost. THIS —at a time when our economy and our people cannot afford to lose any more jobs. Rocky Mountain Power's plan is incompatible with the Governor's strategy for economic recovery and revitalization. PLEASE REJECT ROCKY **MOUNTAIN POWERS REQUEST FOR DOCKET NO 17-035-61.**

To re-iterate, you should reject Docket No. 17-035-61 in large part because the proposed changes to net-metering are not in the best interest of Utah families and businesses, especially now. Expansion of residential solar in Utah will end, thousands of Utah jobs will be lost, and Utah's unmatched, spectacular lands will suffer if the PSC approves RMP's plan. RMP should not be allowed invest in large scale energy projects without first considering meeting its renewable portfolio standards by local investment and support in residential solar energy producers. Any request for a change to netmetering should proceed by a cost/benefit study performed by a neutral third-party to inform the PSC of the true costs and benefits of solar interconnection and to promote the growth of residential solar energy producers.

Most importantly, RMP's plan decreases access, availability, and affordability of residential solar and does not fit in the state's COVID-19 strategy for economic recovery and revitalization.

Thank you so much for reading my email today and I hope you will take these comments into consideration before making your decision.

Linsey McClain | Sales Training Coordinator | Blue Raven Solar | linsey.mcclain@blueravensolar.com



The Future of Energy. Today.



DOCKET #17-035-61

1 message

Rachel Madsen <rachmadsen@outlook.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 12:03 PM

Hello,

I'm reaching out in reference to Docket #17-035-61 regarding Rocky Mountain Power's (PacifiCorp) request to lower Utah net-metering rates.

This plan is <u>incompatible</u>, and in fact <u>contrary</u>, to the state's plan to create long-term prosperity and to make a full economic recovery in our state. This greatly impacts the solar industry in Utah and would:

- 1. Cause the expansion of residential solar in Utah to end;
- 2. Cause thousands of jobs to be lost;
- 3. Encourage non-renewable energy sources to continue to invade our beautiful lands and resources: and
- 4. Reduce the positive impact that residential solar is making on the pollution and inversions in Utah.

Rocky Mountain Power should be investing in beneficial energy projects, but should focus first and foremost on local investments and support of residential energy producers, including solar. Instead, they've been focusing on large-scale investments, such as their goal to add nearly 13 GW of clean energy by 2038, but only in ways that further monopolize the energy industry and limit the cost reduction of its local consumers.

Any requests to change net-metering should only be approved once a cost/benefit study is performed by a neutral third-party to inform the PSC of the true costs and benefits of solar interconnection and net metering.

The PSC should reject Rocky Mountain Power's plan to lower net-metering.

Rachel Ericksen Madsen Utah Resident



Docket No: 17-035-61

1 message

Marc Prigmore <m.prigmore@icloud.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 12:07 PM

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's lower net metering rates in Utah. It will cause a loss of solar jobs in Utah and we need them now more than ever

Thanks,

Marc Prigmore

Sent from my iPhone



Docket No. 17-035-61

1 message

Jeffrey Allan <jeffrey.allan@blueravensolar.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 12:25 PM

To whom it may concern,

As a solar profession currently working in Utah, with regards to Docket No. 17-035-61, lowering the net metering rates is not beneficial to homeowners, businesses, or the solar industry. Lowering the net metering rates reduces the number of people for whom solar is a viable option. With fewer people able to choose to go solar expansion of residential solar in Utah will be negatively affected causing Utah businesses to close and jobs to be lost.

I am further concerned how PacifiCorp (Rocky Mountain Power's conglomerate) is investing billions in large-scale clean energy projects to meet its goal of adding nearly 13 GW of clean energy by 2038. Those additional costs go into the company's rate base and are spread to its customers. Whereas, with on-site PV generation by regular Utahns, Rocky Mountain Power sells the same excess clean energy they produced to their neighbors. When regular Utahns invest in rooftop solar, those costs are not put in Rocky Mountain Power's rate base and are not passed on to their customers. Why not meet its goal of sourcing more clean energy by reinvesting in residential solar energy, or at the very least, leave well alone?

There are much better options to meet Rocky Mountain Power's renewable energy goals without reducing the net metering rates. Please reject RMP's plan to lower the net metering rate.

Jeff Allan | Automation | Blue Raven Solar | x367 | jeffrey.allan@blueravensolar.com



The Future of Energy, Today.



In regards to Docket No. 17-035-61

1 message

Ryan Plympton <ryan.plympton@blueravensolar.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 2:00 PM

Hello,

If someone were to ask if you would like to not only help save the planet we live on but save money for your family would you be interested? This is exactly what going solar provides. Rocky Mountain Power is asking to lower the incentive for Net Metering, I ask you in a time like today with all the lost jobs, increase in cost, and lack of respect for our planet to decline their request. Thousands of jobs are at risk if you allow for the decrease of clean energy incentives, homeowners will not have the accessibility and ease to switch to renewable energy. Solar is a great opportunity to put real money back into the economy for locals and help Utah stay afloat in these troubled times. Any request for a change to net-metering should proceed by a cost/benefit study performed by a nuetral third-party to inform the PSC of the true costs and benefits of solar interconnection and to promote the growth of residential solar energy producers. Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to invest in large scale energy projects without first considering meeting its renewable portfolio standards by local investment and support in residential solar energy producers.

We ask you, no beg that you turn the proposal down and invest in your community and it's business rather than the monopoly that controls our power. -Ryan



Docket No. 17-035-61

1 message

Michael Rands <michael.rands@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 3:20 PM

Hello-

As an early solar adopter and friend of the environment, I'm writing to encourage you to reject RMP's plan to change net metering.

We need more residential solar generation in Utah not more profits for an out of state utility. Changing the net metering policy will be worse for the environment, worse for local jobs, and worse for the economy.

Please take a stand where it matters.

Sincerely, Michael Rands



Docket No. 17-035-61

1 message

Brian Low <a href="mailto:shifteness-shiften To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 4:54 PM

Hi,

My name is Brian Low and I live in Utah County. I interact and work with many Utah County homeowners who have solar panels installed and enjoy the many benefits of this alternative energy source. Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's plan as it will negatively affect these and thousands of homeowners with solar panels. This action discourages the use of solar energy in residential areas, slowing down the growth and research happening in this industry which ultimately benefits our planet.

In addition, this action does not fit with the state of Utah's plan for economic recovery and revitalization from the Covid-19 pandemic.

Sincerely,

Brian Low