



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Meaghan Kennedy** <Meaghankarakennedy@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Meaghan Kennedy <Meaghankarakennedy@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 12:22 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Meaghan Kennedy  
[369 E. Oakland Ave](#)  
[Salt Lake City, UT 84115](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Dana Dake** <danarae.dake@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Dana Dake <danarae.dake@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 9:06 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Dana Dake  
[1790 S West Temple Apt C311](#)  
[Salt Lake City, UT 84115](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

**Tom Mader** <tmader84@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 9:08 AM

Reply-To: Tom Mader &lt;tmader84@gmail.com&gt;

To: Public Service Commissioners &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Tom Mader  
[3509 Red Rock Dr](#)  
[Moab, UT 84532](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Brendan McGuire** <brendan.mcguire68@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Brendan McGuire <brendan.mcguire68@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 9:05 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Brendan McGuire  
5895 Trailside Dr  
UT, UT 84098



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Diane Chalmers** <dale.chalmers@comcast.net>  
Reply-To: Diane Chalmers <dale.chalmers@comcast.net>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 9:12 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Diane Chalmers  
2918 E Bridgewater Dr  
Cottonwood Heights, UT 84121



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

**Jean Hill** <jwhill7341@comcast.net>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 9:12 AM

Reply-To: Jean Hill &lt;jwhill7341@comcast.net&gt;

To: Public Service Commissioners &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,

Jean Hill

1460 E MEADOWMOOR CIR

Holladay, UT 84117





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

**Erin Bragg** <eebragg@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 9:14 AM

Reply-To: Erin Bragg &lt;eebragg@gmail.com&gt;

To: Public Service Commissioners &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Erin Bragg  
po box 293  
Oakley, UT 84055



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Steve Hunt** <stevehunt@comcast.net>  
Reply-To: Steve Hunt <stevehunt@comcast.net>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 9:18 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Steve Hunt  
8775 Oak Valley Dr  
Sandy, UT 84093





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Jeremy Putnam** <jeremyputnam@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Jeremy Putnam <jeremyputnam@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 9:24 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a current owner of a rooftop solar installation in Utah, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Sincerely,  
Jeremy Putnam  
[14499 S Yellow Topaz Dr](#)  
[Herriman, UT 84096](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Catherine Walsh** <keikowalsh@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Catherine Walsh <keikowalsh@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 9:28 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Walsh  
[365 N Virginia St](#)  
[Salt Lake City, UT 84103](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Curtis Judd** <curtis.judd@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Curtis Judd <curtis.judd@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 9:34 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Curtis Judd  
1820 Fox Run Road  
Wanship, UT 84017



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Emilie Jordao** <jordao1emilie@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Emilie Jordao <jordao1emilie@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 9:36 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Emilie Jordao  
[326 E Stanton Ave](#)  
[Salt Lake City, UT 84111](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Denise Morita** <denise.morita@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Denise Morita <denise.morita@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 9:48 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Denise Morita  
[2106 E Glamis Court](#)  
[Draper, UT 84020](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Eleanor Thompson** <eleanor@eleanorthompson.com>  
Reply-To: Eleanor Thompson <eleanor@eleanorthompson.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 9:55 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Eleanor Thompson  
5405 S 550 E  
OGDEN, UT 84405





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

**Bruce Beck** <bbeck7@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 10:01 AM

Reply-To: Bruce Beck &lt;bbeck7@gmail.com&gt;

To: Public Service Commissioners &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Bruce Beck  
[219 I St.](#)  
[SLC, UT 84103](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

**Linda Zeveloff** <lbkz@comcast.net>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 10:07 AM

Reply-To: Linda Zeveloff &lt;lbkz@comcast.net&gt;

To: Public Service Commissioners &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Linda Zeveloff  
[2870 Wheelock Ave](#)  
[Ogden, UT 84403](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Derek Taylor** <dertaylor@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Derek Taylor <dertaylor@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 10:27 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development. In our local area, this change would put local companies out of business, while also making personal investments in clean energy useless.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Derek Taylor  
[137s 7700e](tel:137s7700e)  
[Huntsville, UT 84317](mailto:huntsville@utah.gov)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Kenneth Jameson** <kpjameson@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Kenneth Jameson <kpjameson@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 10:42 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Jameson  
[1801 S 2600 E](#)  
[Salt Lake City, UT 84108](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

**Robert Berets** <rberets@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 10:55 AM

Reply-To: Robert Berets &lt;rberets@gmail.com&gt;

To: Public Service Commissioners &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Robert Berets  
6378 Senoma Drive  
Holladay, UT 84121



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Arthur Williams** <williams.at4858@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Arthur Williams <williams.at4858@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 10:59 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Williams  
4858 S Damon Way  
Salt Lake City, UT 84117





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Spencer King** <spencer.king.21@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Spencer King <spencer.king.21@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 11:05 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Spencer King  
639 E 500 S unit 302  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**DENNETT ANDERSON** <john@appmagic.com>  
Reply-To: DENNETT ANDERSON <john@appmagic.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 11:13 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
DENNETT ANDERSON  
[11277 South Wyngate Lane](#)  
[sandy, UT 84092](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**M. Honer-Orton** <meg-n-bo@infowest.com>  
Reply-To: "M. Honer-Orton" <meg-n-bo@infowest.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 11:24 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
M. Honer-Orton  
P.O. Box 630146  
Rockville, UT 84763



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Robert Orton** <bo8883@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Robert Orton <bo8883@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 11:25 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Robert Orton  
P.O. Box 630146  
Rockville, UT 84763



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

**Robert Nelson** <bob.nelson@utah.edu>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 11:30 AM

Reply-To: Robert Nelson &lt;bob.nelson@utah.edu&gt;

To: Public Service Commissioners &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I oppose Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket #17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is a blatant attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports, and also reveals that Rocky Mountain Power has inadequately assessed the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution is net-metering. The proposed rate, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation. Net-metering clearly generates a positive value for both solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all—including the utility, which benefits from infrastructure cost and other expenses.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation. Even without those benefits, the study demonstrates that distributed solar benefits far outweigh the costs.

While rooftop solar customers are still very much "on the grid," utilizing utility infrastructure, rooftop solar contributes many valuable benefits to the grid, customers, and community.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Robert Nelson  
[1348 E Laird Ave](#)  
[Salt Lake City, UT 84105](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Robert Waters** <robertwaters91@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Robert Waters <robertwaters91@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 12:06 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Robert Waters  
2167 S 1450 W  
Wellsville, UT 84339





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Melissa Benham** <lb@lisabenham.com>  
Reply-To: Melissa Benham <lb@lisabenham.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 12:19 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Benham  
[105 Serendipity Ln](#)  
[Springdale, UT 84767](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Jan Johnson** <janj84093@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Jan Johnson <janj84093@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 12:28 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Jan Johnson  
8991 S. Flatiron Dr.  
Sandy, UT 84093



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**joseph andrade** <joe.andrade@utah.edu>  
Reply-To: joseph andrade <joe.andrade@utah.edu>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 12:32 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
joseph andrade  
[949 millcreek way](#)  
[salt lake city, UT 84106](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Jared Gibson** <jgibson99@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Jared Gibson <jgibson99@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 12:42 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Jared Gibson  
[9172 s Cherbourg pl.](#)  
[Sandy, UT 84093](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Jeanne Evenden** <jevenden7@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Jeanne Evenden <jevenden7@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 12:42 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Jeanne Evenden  
[2360 Pierce Ave](#)  
[Ogden, UT 84401](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Kirstin Peterson** <kirstin.m.p@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Kirstin Peterson <kirstin.m.p@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 12:49 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Kirstin Peterson  
[240 E 300 S](#)  
[Moab, UT 84532](#)





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Annie Studer** <studer.annie@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Annie Studer <studer.annie@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 1:25 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As an Utah resident, I ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh will hurt Utahns in the short and long term. Rocky Mountain Power is misrepresenting the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

Rooftop solar is beneficial for individuals, communities, and the economy. It also means for a healthier Utah for our children.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Please make a decision that invests in Utah's future, do not reduce the rate and instead return to net metering.

Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Annie Studer  
747 E 600 S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Michael McCulloch** <michael.mcculloch@comcast.net>  
Reply-To: Michael McCulloch <michael.mcculloch@comcast.net>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 1:46 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Michael McCulloch  
[1566 Kensington Ave](#)  
[Salt Lake City, UT 84105](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Wendee McCulloch** <wendeemcculloch@comcast.net>  
Reply-To: Wendee McCulloch <wendeemcculloch@comcast.net>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 1:47 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Wendee McCulloch  
[1566 Kensington Avenue](#)  
[Salt Lake City, UT 84105](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Dixie and Robert Huefner** <dshuefner@comcast.net>  
Reply-To: Dixie and Robert Huefner <dshuefner@comcast.net>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 2:00 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Dixie and Robert Huefner  
[24 U Street](#)  
[Salt Lake City, UT 84103](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Pat Trostle** <patboem@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Pat Trostle <patboem@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 2:01 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Pat Trostle  
[1448 N. 1800 East](#)  
[Logan, UT 84341](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Jason Nguyen** <gofightnguyen@outlook.com>  
Reply-To: Jason Nguyen <gofightnguyen@outlook.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 2:14 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Jason Nguyen  
[14493 S Triton Quest Way](#)  
[DRAPER, UT 84020](#)





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**DAVID FOLLAND** <dsfolland@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: DAVID FOLLAND <dsfolland@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 2:24 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
DAVID FOLLAND  
8810 Sheffield Way  
Sandy, UT 84093



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Crystal Bruner Harris** <crystalbruner@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Crystal Bruner Harris <crystalbruner@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 2:44 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Crystal Bruner Harris  
2084 E Linden Cir  
Holladay, UT 84121



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Roderick Gregory** <rickg916@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Roderick Gregory <rickg916@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 3:37 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Roderick Gregory  
916 S Nerual Cir  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Michael Brown** <sweetgrassmike@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Michael Brown <sweetgrassmike@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 3:51 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Michael Brown  
[1148 South West Temple](#)  
[Salt Lake City, UT 84101](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Jennifer Bodine** <jenniferbodine6@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Jennifer Bodine <jenniferbodine6@gmail.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 4:38 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Bodine  
5083 Fillmore Ave.  
Ogden, UT 84403



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**michael bard** <mdbard@me.com>  
Reply-To: michael bard <mdbard@me.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 10:48 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
michael bard  
[1488 East 3115 South](#)  
[Salt Lake City, UT 84106](#)





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**Peter Cornish** <cornishpd@me.com>  
Reply-To: Peter Cornish <cornishpd@me.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 10:52 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
Peter Cornish  
6134 S CARRIAGE PARK CIR  
Salt Lake City, UT 84121



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment on Docket No. 17-035-61**

1 message

---

**John silverman** <jsilver19@me.com>  
Reply-To: John silverman <jsilver19@me.com>  
To: Public Service Commissioners <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Aug 18, 2020 at 4:33 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Utah resident, I am writing to ask you to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposed solar export credit rate (Docket No. 17-035-61).

This utility's proposed export credit rate reduction from 9.4¢/kWh to ~ 1.5¢/kWh is nothing more than an attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to misrepresent the value of rooftop solar in order to stifle competition and monopolize renewable power generation. The evidence provided throughout this solar export proceeding has both demonstrated the value of customer-generated exports and shown that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to adequately assess the value of excess solar energy in its proposed rate change.

A better solution than the proposed rate, which, if approved, would be among the most punitive in the nation, is to return to net-metering. Net-metering has been widely demonstrated as generating a positive value for solar and non-solar customers (Brookings Institute 2016), making it a best-case scenario for all - including the utility, which will benefit from avoided infrastructure and other costs.

The Vote Solar Load Research Study demonstrates the value of customer-generated exports at 10.57¢/kWh from utility-based benefits alone. This valuation does not include the avoided environmental and social costs associated with Rocky Mountain Power's coal-heavy generation portfolio. Even without those benefits, the study has demonstrated that distributed solar benefits outweigh the costs.

While it is important to recognize that rooftop solar customers are still very much on the grid, utilizing utility infrastructure, one cannot fairly calculate the cost of this over the many benefits to the grid, customers, and community provided by rooftop solar.

Rooftop solar creates many system-wide benefits enjoyed by all Rocky Mountain Power service area customers and the utility itself. Distributed energy generation from rooftop solar contributes to grid flexibility and resilience and avoids costly transmission upgrades. Additionally, rooftop solar helps create consumer choice and keeps customer dollars local. A punitive export credit rate would take all of these benefits away and would further exclude low-income communities from consumer choice. Rapid implementation of the utility's proposed export credit rate would create a shock for rooftop solar customers and the solar industry. Reducing the export credit by 84% would also jeopardize thousands of local jobs and create additional cost risks for customers through fuel price volatility and major infrastructure development.

Rooftop solar helps to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service, the guidance by which the Commission is to assess rate proposals. Evidence brought forth throughout this proceeding and by dozens of cases throughout the country confirm that net-metering is the appropriate regulatory response to rooftop solar and will serve as an important tool for encouraging the integration of renewable energy into Utah's energy portfolio.

Sincerely,  
John silverman  
1112 burnt fork  
salt lake city, UT 84108