



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Solar Net Metering Changes

1 message

Dillon Peterson <dillonpeterson4@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 23, 2020 at 9:09 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Hi,

My name is Dillon Peterson and I signed up for my solar 11.10.20 a few days after the solar changed back to schedule 136 until January 1st before reverting to the original October 30th deadline.

I closed and moved into my home on October 24th. Waiting for my first RMP bill. Which I received the first week of November. I didn't sign because it was no longer beneficial to me. With the new law, it will not be beneficial for me and I will be canceling my solar installation. With the new credits, I simply do not see a return for a much longer time on my solar.

Though being perceived as the "Goldrush" for customers wanting to sign up for solar. I was not able to sign up in time to be a part of schedule 136 because of when I closed on my home. I simply wanted to make a difference by putting solar on my home, but just like the Trump administration, referring to the Paris climate accord, I don't want to be carrying the load of payments to where financially it doesn't make sense for me. Schedule 136 made sense for my home and family and 137 is too risky and does not.

I have done a lot of research on Net metering across the country and not only is 137 unfair for a consumer but it is very misleading. The ability to change rates freely and not to have something locked into a customer is a huge gamble for an RMP customer. Across the country, this will be seen as the same mistake made in Nevada back in December of 2015 in my opinion, though not quite as harsh. But the sudden shutdown is very similar.

From anyone even signed from when the extension was reversed back November 14th I wish could be locked in at least.

Thank you,

Dillon Peterson