

PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket No: 17-035-61 Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Establish Export **Credits for Customer Generated Electricity**

1 message

Ronny Sandoval < ronny@votesolar.org> To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Mar 19, 2021 at 4:02 PM

Dear Commissioners,

Attached are a series of letters and signatures from Vote Solar's members across the state of Utah, calling for fair solar energy compensation policies, with respect to "Docket No: 17-035-61, Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Establish Export Credits for Customer Generated Electricity".

We look forward to continuing to engage on these important issues.

Regards,



Ronny Sandoval | Regulatory Director, Interior West

720-295-0879 | votesolar.org

Join us

VOTE SOLAR



2 attachments



UTCustomLetters.pdf 77K

I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah.

Signed,				
michael	bard	Salt Lake City	UT	84106-3454
shan	kahn	Park City	UT	84098-6139
Shawn	Fowers	Ogden	UT	84401-1934
Margaret	Shoop	Clinton	UT	84015-5308
Andrew	Ord	Farmington	UT	84025-2542
Jane	Grove	Sunset	UT	84015-2366
Susan F	Fleming	Salt Lake City	UT	84158-0858
Stan	Neering	Salt Lake City	UT	84106-3514
Merrill	Enniss	Bluffdale	UT	84065-3020
Steve	Hunt	Sandy	UT	84093-2010
Robyn	Taylor-Granda	Salt Lake City	UT	84121-5668
Daniel	Powers	New Harmony	UT	84757-0500
Adam	Hiatt	Herriman	UT	84096-7784
Julie	Martinez	Salt Lake City	UT	84106-1431
Leann	Banco	Salt Lake City	UT	84121-1608
Walter	Hall	Bluffdale	UT	84065-5575
Laura	Donatelli	Salt Lake City	UT	84123-1274
Richard	Knudson	Clinton	UT	84015-7512
Pat	Annoni	Midvale	UT	84047-1656

I'm astounded at Rocky Mountain Power's animosity to rooftop solar. While other utilities are creating smart systems, incorporating solar and storage, RMP seems intent on passing up this opportunity. I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah. I am disappointed that the Commission allowed them to go this far, but enough is enough.

Sincerely, Kenneth Jameson Salt Lake City, UT 84108-3331

I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah. We need to embrace using the sun for our energy, not price people out of the ability to have clean energy.

Elaine Totten Woods Cross, UT 84087-2436

Why would you even think that it is fair to penalize ome free enterprise companies that can provide thousands and thousand of jobs in order to give another free enterprise company. (RMP) a complete advantage. That is not the American way! Utah would be the only state that has no solar alternatives to its Utility company. Without companies that provide rooftop solar the State will never make its RE goals and commitments of 2030 and 2035. Therefore, I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to whyecalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah.

Curt Van Hove Sandy, UT 84093-2206

As a Utah citizen and user of a rooftop solar system, I say solar customers actually help Rocky Mountain Power maintain service all during the summer months by adding power to the electric grid without RMP needing to build more generating capacity. Solar energy generation helps to clean our air of deadly pollutants which helps all Utah citizens. Solar energy should be encouraged, not penalized. I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah.

Richard Lee Sandy, UT 84094-4448

At one point, Utah needs to decide that having clean air is a priority and that any reasonable incentive to encourage independent, clean energy is worth the cost, even the 'costs' calculated by the fuzzy math promoted by Rocky Mountain Power. Please encourage, not discourage, clean energy. I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah.

Carlton Clark Lindon, UT 84042-1575

I believe that global warming exists and that we are contributing to it by our continued burning of fossil fuels. I also believe people can help turn this around by developing and using clean energy sources. I believe that regulatory agencies should actively promote use of alternate energy sources. I think that corporations, left to their own devices, will attempt to maximize their profits at the expense of the environment. It is up to you, the Utah Public Service Commission, to provide regulation that will encourage the general public to reduce their dependence on fossil fuel generated power and try alternate sources. I encourage you to not allow RMP to reduce the value of the power generated from solar panels and returned to the power grid. This is the right thing to do for our state, our country and the world.

Stephen Livingston Sandy, UT 84092-7225

I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah. Lets not keep our heads in the sand. I bought solar panels to help the planet and it is even more needed now.

Janis Ries Salt Lake City, UT 84121-3910

I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah. This most important moment in time will be studied in history books, and we will be scrutinized for whether we took action to address climate change. In Utah we have glorious sun. And it is unconscionable that Rocky Mountain Power be allowed to make it more and more difficult for individuals to harness solar energy to help power our world. Utah, in particular, has some of the most dangerous levels in the world of particulates in the air that we breathe. We can do so much to improve our environmental quality, the health of our citizens and reduce carbon emissions. Rocky Mountain Power already makes plenty of money from the solar power my roof top array produces. Every month they charge me \$2.00 for power I don't use; they charge my neighbors for the power I generate that travels directly from my home to my neighbors; and they scoop any remaining credits I have at the end of March. That means they are getting paid three times for the electricity I generate; they get more than plenty. Don't be fooled by their greediness. They benefit from individual solar generation as do all of us. Protect roof top solar installations. We and our children and all future generations need you to do what's right for us in Utah and for the world.

Hilary Jacobs Salt Lake City, UT 84103-2830 Dear members of the Public Service Commission,

I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah. My home is yet to be powered by solar, but I hope to someday soon install solar panels to help combat Utah's pollution problem and give us energy independence.

Annie Studer Salt Lake City, UT 84102-3785

I have net metered solar on my house. When I originally put it in, the compensation rate was well below the rate that I was charged for energy. I was so glad when the rate structure changed to compensate on an hour for hour rate. It is fair to credit the energy I generate at the same rate that I am charged. My system typically generates far more energy than I use, and I eventually lose those credits, but with an equal hour to hour credit, I do not begrudge that loss. However, I do begrudge being charged far more for energy I must pull from the grid than the credit I receive for the energy I contribute. I am charged a base rate for being hooked to the grid and using it as my storage medium, and it is certainly reasonable for me to contribute to the infrastructure I use. However, it is overreach to penalize solar users with an unfair compensation rate for the energy we generate.

I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah.

Nancy Orr Moab, UT 84532-2354

I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah. By doing this you will be helping more home owner become less dependent on fossil fuels and more dependent on natural sources of energy. Helping our planet become a little more robust and healthy.

thomas schulz Syracuse, UT 84075-9303

I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah. We homeowners need the government behind us. We are trying to clean Utah s air, spent a whole lot of money to do that Don't throw us under the corporate bus Thanks

Laura Donatelli Salt Lake City, UT 84123-1274

I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah. Please do not allow corporate powers to make it more difficult for normal people to save money and our democracy.

Laurence Yorgason Ogden, UT 84405-7203

I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah. Also, with the increase in global warming and natural disasters like that recently seen in Texas you should be incouraging roof top solar and alternative forms of energy production

Simon Holmes Park City, UT 84098-6112

I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah. Please think about all the children who have breathing problems and could use cleaner air. Especially after this covid-19 mess we need the cleanest air possible for all the people getting over that terrible decease.

James Shoop Taylorsville, UT 84129-1215

I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah. We are able to invest in a sustainable future and cleaner air with a trustworthy government and reliable promises from partners. RMP continually proves that it opposes citizens' activism in battling bad air! Please remind them, AGAIN, that the agreements are intended to be honored!

Patrick Logan Salt Lake City, UT 84105-1325

Solar owners invest in solar to let Rocky Mountain Power know that we prioritize clean energy. Once RMP has clean generation and storage, they can set the export credit to whatever they want. In the meantime, they need some financial incentive to head down that path, sooner rather than later.

Rex Jameson Salt Lake City, UT 84108-2434

I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah. Do not lower the compensation rates in Utah. RMP needs to be helping to encourage rooftop solar, not trying to end it. We need these clean energy jobs in Utah. We need to increase solar to combat the devastating effects of pollution and climate change. As you know, the majority of Utahns are worried about pollution and climate change.

C Clark Salt Lake City, UT 84103-3211

The primary responsibility of the Commission is to ensure safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced utility service. It conducts hearings and investigations of utility company operations in order to determine just and reasonable rates for service. The Commission strives to protect efficient, reliable, reasonably-priced utility service for customers, and to maintain financially healthy utility companies. Allowing RMP to get the 3.2 to 3.5 cents would severely go against what the PSC stands for. It is not a reasonable & just rate. It would be an obvious expression that the PSC is more interested in supporting the monopoly of RMP and their unreasonable efforts in destroying the publics ability to choose solar as their safe, reliable, adequate, and reasonably priced source of electricity.

I urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's appeal to recalculate rooftop solar's capacity contribution using an untested and unconventional methodology. The resulting rooftop solar export credit proposal of 3.2 to 3.5 cents is a drastic change from the final decision made in October of 2020, and would therefore require greater scrutiny and stakeholder input than the appeal process allows. Additionally, the resulting new rate would not represent a just and reasonable rate, disincentivizing our right as Utahns to produce our own power and therefore reducing the resiliency benefits of distributed generation and harming the overall well-being of the state of Utah.

Justin Sperry Spanish Fork, UT 84660-5683