BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH	
In the Matter of	DOCKET NO.: 17-035-62
Darlene Schmidt	

v. Jury Demanded

Rocky Mt. Power Corp

Motion for JURISDICTION

Pursuant to ALJCC R4770101-3, the mandates of Dartmouth v. Woodward, powers being used, Downes v Bidwell, america's system of jurisdiction which must exist prior to any hearing, I move the court to determine jurisdiction:

- 1. Does Dartmouth v. Woodward, 17 U.S. 518 (1819), authorize application of corporation to the state of New Hampshire, any of her government subdivisions, US states and US corporations?
- 2. Is Utah Corp and her government subdivisions repugnant to the US Constitution's guaranteed republican form of government?
- 3. Did Downes v. Bidwell, 182 U.S. 244 (1901), place the Utah and all states back under the limits of our constitution's Article 4 Sec. 4.

The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government, and shall protect each of them against Invasion; and on Application of the Legislature, or of the Executive (when the Legislature cannot be convened) against domestic Violence and the territories under the limits of congress?

- 4. Did Downes nullify Pres. Grant's executive order, 1971, powers to the states and return all states to a guaranteed Republican form of government?
- 5. Is Utah Republic the only real, true, sane, authority, power, jurisdiction existing in Utah and her government subdivisions?
- 6. Did Dartmouth limit the definition of a corporation to: "...an artificial being, invisible, intangible, and existing only in contemplation of law. Being the mere creature of law, it possesses only those properties which the charter of its creation confers upon it, either expressly or as incidental to its very existence?
- 7. Is federal or sate corporate government repugnant to our ratified constitution and her Amendment 10 pre-ratified people seized powers when a state fails to seize first?
- 8. Is it possible for a human being to communicate, receive direction or other from a corporate ghost, Revelation's Beast imaginary non-existent government like biblical non-existent idols, usurping republic powers by schizophrenic con artists running immaginary, non-existent government as real as the clothing in the EMPEROR'S NEW CLOTHES historical document?
- 9. Does Art 3.1, US Ratified Constitution, mandate Utah's Administrative Law Judges have jurisdiction as long as their findings, ruling, or other are literal reflections, copies or other of that found in the ratified US Constitution?
- 10. Does Art. 3.1 assign judicial removal, prosecution or other to congress?
- 11. Does A. 10's preratified power, Schmidt's Amendment 10 Law (removing bad behavior judges) recognize a citizen seizing A. 10 pre-ratified power to remove judges with bad behavior, such as refusing to be bound by the US Constitution, part of America's supreme law in Utah?

- 12. Is Schmidt's Amendment 10 (in artfully written) seizing power to remove a judge for bad behavior and served in 2012-13 on SLC Corp v Schmidt, also govern this court? See Joshua's A. 7 common law court when Achen broke the Jericho loot law. See Art 3.1. See equity's coming from the word equal.
- 13. Does the constitution A. 7 assign convening a common law power to the Union?
- 14. Is Schmidt's Amendment 7 Law, (in artfully written), seizing power to convene an A. 7 common law court, served in my Utilities action to the Public Utilities Com., according to A. 10 allowing either a state to seize all powers not granted the federal union, binding upon this administrative court?
- 15. Since Utah is a common law state, does A. 7's common law come from British common law?
- 16. Since British common law judges referenced the Bible's Mosaic law, is Mosaic law, a life for a life (Mosaic Law) or do unto others what the constitution will do unto you (Equal protection and rule of law), part of the Art. 3.2 court of law, binding upon this administrative court?
- 17. When the utility commission was granted power to convene a common law court through Schmidt's A. 7 common law convening power on Rocky Mt. CORP, did Ms. Wright use opposite meaning to conduct a witch trial court bias, prejudice, to deter and stop any appeal?
- 18. Since I, Darlene Schmidt, am a real person who is not invisible, intangible, contemplation of law nor artificial non-existent person, does Art 3.2 grant jurisdiction over me to this Administrative Law Court?
- 19. Does this Administrative Law Court have Art 3.2 jurisdiction over anyone claiming to represent the Rocky Mt Power CORP ghost who is invisible, intangible, law idea and artificial non-existent person--ghost, have standing or presence in this administrative court?
- 20. Does Art 3.2 grant court standing to one representing a corporation ghost, joined Dartmouth court and state, as a real person with constitutional rights repugnant to our US ratified constitution?
- 21. Does this administrative court only have jurisdiction over the subject MY matter and myself?
- 22. Since it is impossible for a corporation to object to this administrative court granting 20 million irreparable punitive damages, is failure to grant them without any objection, evidence, rule of law or other, repugnant to our US ratified constitution?
- 23. Since Rocky Mt Power Corp cannot object and it is impossible to know who levied any objection or other, must this administrative court order all RF meters in Utah be removed and not be repugnant to the US ratified constitution?
- 24. Must this administrative court recommend to the AG, prosecution of the con artists using a non-existing, contemplation of law business for human torture and serial murder to avoid conspiracy, treason charges whose actions are repugnant to our US ratified constitution?
- 25. Must this administrative court recommend to the AG, prosecution of the con artists using a non-existing, contemplation of law business for identity theft to violate, vacate my analog contract, to avoid conspiracy, treason charges whose actions are repugnant to our US ratified constitution?
- 26. Since the word 'contemplation' means relating to a religious order devoted in prayer or other religious involvement, did Mad Schizophrenic John Marshall use "corporation" to join church and state in willful and deliberate violation of Amend, 1, 7, 9, Art 1.1, Art 3.1, Art 3.2, Art 6's supremacy law source, to strike the Almighty Father's "kingdom come" (referred to by Isaiah 2:3 as Zion, by Jacob in Judah's blessings as scepter, in Matt 21:43 by Jesus as the kingdom of god taken from the Jews and given to another NATION, not prophet, people, church or other, and by God's ratified constitution's as a republican form of government, designed to do God's will of stopping RF serial murders on earth?

- 27. Does God's republican form of government strike, vacate, cease the madness of granting court standing to a ghost and the insane claiming they can communicate with an artificial (non-existent) person, which is no different from the Emperor's New Clothes?
- 28. Is the "con artist" representing a corporate ghost in a republic court insane demanding help he/she cannot get for herself?
- 29. Does the equity court mandate sane court participants expose insane claimer's representation of a ghost he/she is unable to unable to communicate with?
- 30. Are all who support giving a corporate idol ghost constitutional rights in violation of A. 1, 7's Mosaic Law, 9, Art 1.1, Art 3.1 and 3.2 and causing that court to be repugnant to our ratified US constitution?
- 31. Is Dartmouth's delusional corporate idol/ghost repugnant to the US ratified constitution?
- 32. Is a court order an amendment?
- 33. Is it best for all involved for this matter to quietly grant the irreparable health harm done by Rocky Mt Power's Rf meters, known for 50 years to cause deadly health harm, known by Congresses 1 yr Nat'l Toxicology Program to cause torturous death, and PubMed publications on emf, rmr, elf...whatever name used for the same concept...convict RMP of willful and deliberate serial murder?
- 34. Did Utah grant RMP a title of torture, RF serial murder nobility?
- 35. If not, does the constitution's Art 3.1 cause this court to hold an exparte hearing where I get all my filed injury demands?

The aforesaid comes from God who put them in my intuition. God will not play any court game and I am not to play any either. Since I am not a lawyer and have not been trained as a lawyer, the aforesaid shows God's involvement in this court and God's will to be done on earth ceasing the torturous RM serial murders.

Laymen do not understand the aforesaid law. God is the greatest lawyer in existence who cannot be defeated. God has implemented his will thru strict application of constitutional law in fulfillment of His prophecy that He, God, will tell us what to say to the magistrate.

I have not denied the Holy Ghost. If this sounds harsh, think of the "cruel" biblical executions Elijah must restore— Daniel fed to the lions, Christians ravished in Rome's public lion den... I have asked executions of con artists running illegal, unlawful, unconstitutional corporate government be chained to the rail to watch their 4 generations of genes eaten by starving zoo lions...one by one. This is harsh!

God commanded us to not have pity for those he judges. I have no intention of watching my children eaten by zoo lions. The ten years of RF torturous murder has taught me to not disobey God no matter how harsh or unreasonable His instructions seem to be. God knows the expenses involved to healt from RF meter health harm. I appreciate all health care God is giving me.

Dated December 9th, 2017.

Darlene Schmidt