
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the matter of DOCKET NO.:17-035-62
Darlene Schmidt Motion for Ex parte Hearing in sn
 Article 3.2 law and equity court
v. Downes v. Bidwell Court demanded

Rocky Mt. Power Corp Jury Demanded

Pursuant to our ratified US constitution and Utah, art 1 sec 3, America's jurisprudence system, I move this court for an ex parte hearing:

Our preamble identifies a citizen of the United states as people. People means more than one. Our constitution calls one people a "person." Article 1 sec. 2.2 uses the word 'person' to identify the number of people involved is one. This does not limit a representative from each state to the number one. A state's population does the limiting.

Person in our constitution is the opposite of a person identified in Dartmouth v. Woodward's corporation's artificial person definition. The constitution's person is real and the corporate person is a ghost that does not exist or have constitutional provisions' It is like the clothing worn by the emperor in the historical document: The Emperor's New Clothes. No one can see, touch, hear, converse or other with Dartmouth's nonexistent person any more than the citizens could converse with the emperor's nonexistent clothing through touch, vision, taste, or other.

I, a constitutional people/person, have standing in American courts at the federal, local and state levels. Our constitution does not recognize Dartmouth's invisible, intangible, contemplation of law (idea locked inside Mad Marshall's mind) as a preamble people or an Art 1 sec 2.2 and art 3.2 person. The Preamble's critic determining constitutional persons finds Dartmouth's ghost person unconstitutional; it fails all 6 criteria. Constitutionality requires all 6 criteria to be met. Its almost impossible to treaty with other nations or citizens. It is almost impossible to tax the people or use taxes to given alms. It is almost impossible for our constitution to be amended. Dartmouth did not amend our constitution, no matter how insane judges and lawyers become. Do you see why God mandates I see Judge Trease as Holy, Holy Trease?

Dartmouth's ghost person cannot understand law nor hire someone to represent him/her/it. Dartmouth's person is pure madness created from his ramblings on the contract clause that somehow allows Britain political power to trump our constitution. Mad Marshall rambles on and on about Dartmouth's contract when no such contract existed after the Treaty of Paris was signed. British governing power ceased in NH and Mad Marshall lacks power to amend the Treaty of Paris.. How did Mad Marshall miss this? It must have been his one month training in law that gave him his big head and unlimited imaginary powers. How has Dartmouth been revered for 200 years as a landmark case with it is nothing more than the ramblings of a mad man. Lawyers act like they are the cream of the crop but their love for Dartmouth shows they are sewer scum. I don't get it.

I wondered at age 16 what my career should be. Someone told be to become a teacher. I almost threw up on him. I took an oath I would never become a teach and set up my 4 years of college training to make sure I did not become one. I was standing in line to get my batcheror's degree when those "become a teacher" word entered my mind. I was signaled to march into the building wondering how I became a teacher after all my oath planning! I knew God was laughing and knew He told me He was in charge of this earth! Every time I heard a marvelous teacher tell me to take charge of my life or that I draw to me mad Marshall's spirit of vigilante law, I want to say: You are kidding, right?

Mad Marshall had the burden of proving the Treaty of Paris did not exist or agreed Britain would still govern America to even create Dartmouth. Instead Mad Marshall rambled on and on about the contract clause governing Dartmouth's British charter, business license. I cannot understand how a normal IQ did not pick upon these frivolous ramblings. Lawyers claim to have above average IQs. Who could not tell Dartmouth's charger, business contract, was null, void

and no longer existed? Please, don't let it be sewer scum IQ. The Treaty of Paris did not address future contract problems over which political power governed NH. Who in the Supreme court could not figure this out...other than Mad Marshall. The Treaty of Paris vacated any and all British political power in America. Who did not know America won the Revolutionary War--other than mad Marshall? I am not a lawyer, yet I know the Treaty of Paris ended British rule and nullified all of the law that governed America. I am embarrassed of Dartmouth. I am embarrassed of the intelligence involved at this high level. I am embarrassed of the worship given Dartmouth for 200 years. I was to blot Dartmouth out of every source reporting it. What an insult! Stupidity governed unable to tell reality from fantasy! The legal profession ought to be driven out of America and become target practice if any return. I have taught creative writing, but Dartmouth is not creative writing. It is frivolous, rambling, insane madness. Mar Marshall belonged in a mental institution, not our highest court of law.

Therefore, in order to be heard on the violations of my constitution's provisions, Utah law and harm done to me, an ex parte hearing is all that is available under America's system of jurisprudence.

Due process mandates a party to the action receive notice and the opportunity to attend. RMP is a nonexistent person. Who cares if a nonexistent person gets due process? No one has stepped forward and claimed to be RMP. RMP is credited with all decisions as though the creditor had conversed with RMP. I demand to hear how this happened. I reserve the right to have a straight-jacket handy and an ambulance to transport this mad man to get help.

I have no idea who has assumed liability for RMP, a ghost. I can never communicate with the RMP ghost and am convinced no one in Utah's government knows how. I have no idea how Ms. Wight could receive a schedule form the RMP ghost, read and then sign it. Was the schedule signed because there was no one to question and investigate its content?

Since I was murdered and resuscitated, I have a constitutional right to bring the matter before this court. The fact RMP's attempts of murder have not stopped, shows this matter requires instant adjudication. In fact the SL Co. has the duty to prosecute RMP's con artists claiming a ghost is murdering Utahans, violating Utah's law, perpetrating fraud upon CSP, and on and on. Does our devout SL Co attorney have sewer scum intelligence? I am having a difficult time discussing the reality of Dartmouth's consequences. I know that I must take the stand to put these motions and facts into the record, less this court accepts them as my testimony. It could be boring to heard read that which can be heard faster by reading.

I meet the first requirement of Art 3.2 to have standing in this court. I have a controversy arising under the constitution. The last mandate to have court standing requires a controversy between two citizens. I am a citizen who does not know how to tell what RMP con artist harmed me. The con artists running RMP are unknown citizens. Some have responded to me with emails that I plant on copying and sending to my file.

How do I serve an unknown con artist running RMP without being forced to hallucinate RMP is liable for the acts of the con artists in control? I am willing to provide due process but I don't know how to serve a ghost or unknown citizens involved in murdering me in this civil action. Assets are available in the name of RMP and RMP can be struck down and denied operation in Utah and her holdings, property, supplies, monies....confiscated and sold to real identified citizens. There is no other hearing recourse for this court. Ex parte has tied my hands and this court's hands to render equal (equity) justice. See Vouvier. Bouvier says ex parte hearings may have one party in attendance when it is the only means to render justice.

Ex parte is a legal procedure allowing the constitution to prevail as the supreme law in every incident, matter or other arising under our constitution, supreme law.

How does this court want to proceed in rendering due process to a ghost corporation run by secret con artists using RMP as a shield and justification for plunder, torture and murder?

I get no help when I contact con artist citizens claiming RMP's ghost hired them. In medication, SLC Corp v. Schmidt, the SLC Corp lawyer had no idea how Mr. SLC Corp hired him, paid him, instructed him.... When I asked if con artists were running SLC Corp, I was sent from the room instead of given an answer. RMP con artist react the same.

No words, demands, hallucinations or other can restore British rule or give jurisdiction to a judge to restore British political power thru any contract. Corporation is an instrument like a deed void of any names showing ownership--worthless. I assume this is the same in this RMP's situation.

I feel like Elijah felt when explaining his objections to law before the king and Jezebel. I must be restoring Elijah or preparing the people to receive him before he comes. I have willingly prepared the way for Elijah and am pining for him to come. I have nothing to lose and everything to gain.

I swear to the best of my knowledge the aforesaid is true as are all my motions' content. Signed and dated this

December 20, 2017.

Darlene Schmidt