
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the matter of
Darlene Schmidt

DOCKET NO.:17-035-62

Downes v. Bidwell Court demanded

MOTION TO STAY ROCKY MTN'S MOTION TO DISMISS
BECAUSE THE TIME HAS LAPSED

Rocky Mt. Power Corp

Jury Demanded

MOTION TO EXTEND THE TIME FOR REPLY

PETITIONER MOVES THIS COURT to stay the stipulation from Rocky Mt. Power Corp. to dismiss as follows:

1. The clerk at the PSC told me he would email me copies of all of Rocky Mtn's motions. I have not received one. I have submitted much evidence in support of my arguments and no one has told me this court is different from any known administrative court I have ever been in. I have always been sent copies of motions. Never have I been required to be a computer guru to have my constitutional rights. This clerk confuses me. He has a hard time understanding what I am saying and I have a hard time understanding what he is saying. I would not have gone to all this trouble, research and so forth had I not been serious about this matter.

I would have replied very quickly had I known how your court worked. I was sent a book of laws, but not one that told me of the procedures in your court. I have admitted I am not trained in law and request an extension to January 20, 2018. I am 75 years old. This is the email I was sent on how I would know the stage or what is happening in my case:

PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

To:Schmidt

Dec 18, 2017 at 8:57 AM

Hello again Ms. Schmidt,

All correspondence (Notices, Orders, etc..) will be sent to you either via email or regular mail. You may see all updates on docket 17-035-62 by visiting our website psc.utah.gov

Lastly, your docket currently is pending in front of the Commission, and we do not have any further comments.

Thank you

I have this email if you need a copy of it.

2. I am not an expert in using the computer. There are no instructions on how to find my file electronically. I clicked on the file for dismissal by Rocky Mt Power and I cannot find on my computer where it downloaded. I am embarrassed I just figured out how to find into my file but not get into it. The clerk told me to search for my file. I found the number but no list of motions. I have admitted in my complaint that I have Alzheimer and move the court to take this into consideration. I searched for Rocky Mt. Power, Rocky Mt. Power Corp, Motion to dismiss, Rocky Mountain Power's Answer and Motion to Dismiss, Notice of Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss and I cannot find any document that my computer shows has been downloaded. I have been through Downloads. I have been through library. I cannot find anything. I have clicked on the icon on your site to see if it would open upon on my screen but it does not.

3. The court has not determined jurisdiction over the subject and subject matter. I have waited for this decision. There is no law allowing a lawyer or other to represent a ghost. Before the court can legally, lawfully, and constitutionally make any agreement or rule, the court must determine jurisdiction. May I please have this determination?

Rocky Mt knows I have challenged jurisdiction over them and their representation of a corporate ghost. They have deceived this court with this motion the time has lapsed. The human who replied knows you have not determined jurisdiction and knows no stipulation can be made under determination on subjects is made. This is conapiracy. Has this

court entered into conspiracy with Rocky Mt?

I did not write Dartmouth v. Woodward and neither did anyone who is alive today. We are stuck with what Marshall wrote. He clearly stated the criteria for receiving corporation standing: charter/contract from the king of England, violation of this charter/contract by Dartmouth, no charter/contract left in tact, and no jurisdiction to hear the matter ex parte without the king of England. This is the tactic Rocky Mt. made. Everyone knows that I would have answered had I known Rocky Mt had filed a motion. I did not hire the nice clerk. I do not have the burden of the consequences.

4. I assume Rocky Mt Power failed to answer my complain allegations. They know this court has no jurisdiction to allow a lawyer who represents Dartmouth's ghost business has no jurisdiction to even file an answer to hallucinate a corporation is a real flesh and blood person. I will not hallucinate this. I am asking this court to not do so.

Judge Trease ruled in my favor, SLC Corp. v. Schmidt, 2013. I am not asking this court to grant me a win without examination of the complaint's allegations. I am asking it to examine the angle or points outlined in my complaint. Since I determine the issues before this court, this is perfect justice for everyone--I complain and the other party gets to object. I took my ticket case to the Utah Court of Appeals and they upheld Trease's action of granting me a win. If we follow protocol, this court must follow this precedence. My complaint wins and Rocky Mt loses.

5. This court has not determined who owns the RF meter on my house. Pursuant to rule 57-1-1, I own the meter. This allows me to change out the meter without any interference from Rocky Mt. Power. I would like this court to establish the procedure for this newly discovered RF meter ownership. Does Rocky Mt. have to be present? Does Rocky Mt have to turn off the electricity? Does Rocky Mt have to install my new meter? It would be better for this court to take control and establish law and order. I will not lay down and die as Rocky Mt. demands. I will make a contract to be liable for removing my 57-1-1. Definitions. (3) "Real property" or "real estate" means any right, title, estate, or interest in land, including all nonextracted minerals located in, on, or under the land, all buildings, fixtures and improvements on the land, and all water rights, rights-of-way, easements, rents, issues, profits, income, tenements, hereditaments, possessory rights, claims, including mining claims, privileges, and appurtenances belonging to, used, or enjoyed with the land or any part of the land.

It would lead to police, tv, newspapers, national interest and on and on if I were arrested for obeying the above . Do you really want the world to see you and Rocky Mt beat up on a 75 yr old who knows RF has caused Alzheimer?

6. This court has not determined if RF is a serial murder weapon. I have submitted much evidence showing it is.

7. I am sure Rocky Mt will circumlute my allegations with some means of pointing to some part of the law that is always used by power companies. Kids did this in my class. You cannot believe the arguments they came up with for not having their homework done or for breaking some rule.

I found this law governing the FCC's duty over this matter. I am sure no lawyer would ever ask a court to rule upon whether RF poisoning is unnecessary pbulic protection. When things are so cut and dried, one leaves them alone and introduces topics that have no reference point to the complaint. I have wondered why? I cannot believe all lawyers are schizophrenics and are worse when they become a judge, can you? I would think a bribe would be involved for a judge to rule upon issues without a complaint reference point:

Executive Summary of Federal Communications Commission 1995 Legislative Streamlining Proposals Enacted Into Law by S. 652, Telecommunications Act of 1996
February 8, 1996 Common Carrier Bureau

3. Forbearance Authority. (New Sec. 10) AUTHORIZES THE FCC NOT TO REGULATE CLASSES OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS OR SERVICES

WHERE unnecessary TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC INTEREST

This will enable the FCC to forbear from, and/or streamline, regulation, e.g., be eliminating the tariff filing requirement

for non-dominant longdistance carriers such as MCI and Sprint. This will save resources, reduce paperwork, increase efficiency, and promote competition. (See S. 652, Sec. 401, p. 76.)

Radiation poisoning is very necessary protection for the public interest. It is so interesting, it has never been argued like A. 9. When Radiation poisoning is serially murdering and determines who lives and who dies without information, defenses, trial, conviction, sentencing and other, the FCC will step aside and let the court and lawyer hang. This law issue will not be addressed by any power company who knows they lose hands down...it is so cut and dried.

This court is governed by this Executive Summary. I have introduced a new court direction than what the power companies want and demand or has been used before. Being new does not bar the court from examination. It mandates the court determine justice. This court is bound to protect the public interest. Time nor schedules can relieve this court from determining if it is necessary for it to intervene and protect the public from Rocky Mt Power aggressive serial murdering weapon.

This law is on my side. The precedence set in SLC Corp and SCHMIDT are on my side. Do I need to get copies of this and submit them for the court to believe. My evidence is nailed down and meets the above law. It shows I do not deceive, distract, nor set any judge up to become a partner in any crime. I do have Alz memory problems here and there or cannot figure out things that are obvious to others.

I am entitled to justice and to have my day in court. It is cruel and unusual punishment to deny this. Rocky Mt will never object unless I am right. Then you know you need to protect yourself from Rocky Mt's conspiracy scam.

The nice clerk receiving my motions and answering my questions has also stripped me of having my day in court. He gets confused over very simple communications. He does not understand how we communicate in Utah. I have asked him to get someone who is familiar with Utah's social communications but he refuses. He keeps telling me he is trying to help me. How can he help when he has no idea how to help? He did not understand my question asking how I would know what is happening in my case. He could not explain the system to me. I am not a mind reader and do not pretend to read any mind. I have a hard time believing this court is prejudiced against me and wants to help Rocky Mt. Power maintain serial murder of we the people. This may seem harsh, but it is reality. I did not hire him. I would not have given this the power to strip one of their day in court.

If I am denied reality and my day in court, where do you suggest I go to get justice? to protect my life? What would you do if you were me?

I will ask for docketing statements to be sent to me on SLC Corp v. Schmidt. You can see I won. You can see China's version of Tokey Rose claim she lost jurisdiction when the order was signed and could not grant sanctions to SLC Corp when they waited 6 months after all appeals, to send me a collection letter. My students prepared me well for dealing with games people play, written by Eric Fromm. They even taught me about the reference point.

Dated January 15, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

Darlene Schmidt