## In the Matter Of:

RMP - Revenue Requirement Impacts of Federal Tax Legislation

# **HEARING, DOCKET NO. 17-035-69**

April 18, 2018

Job Number: 456496A

1	Public Service Commission Hearing: Docket No. 17-035-69, April 18, 2018 BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH
2	
3	Investigation of Revenue ) Docket No. 17-035-69
4	Requirement Impacts of ) the New Federal Tax ) HEARING
5	Legislation Titled: "An ) Act to provide for ) PRESIDING OFFICER:
6	reconciliation pursuant ) MELANIE REIF to Titles II and V of )
7	the concurrent ) resolution of the budget )
8	for fiscal year 2018." )
9	
10	
11	
12	Wednesday, April 18, 2018
13	10:00 am
14	
15	Heber M. Wells Building 160 East 300 South
16	Fourth Floor, Room 403 Salt Lake City, Utah
17	
18	
19	Reported by:
20	Rose-Marie Robinson Registered Professional Reporter, NCRA
21	Utah CCR, California CSR
22	
23	
24	
25	Job No. 456496A
1	

1	APPEARANCES	Page 2
2		
3	For Rocky Mountain Power:	
4	Yvonne Hogle Assistant General Counsel	
5	Rocky Mountain Power 201 South Main Street	
6	Suite 2400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111	
7	(801) 220-4050 yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com	
8	y voime.nogre@pacificorp.com	
	Accompanying Ms. Hogle:	
9	Steven McDougal	
10	Director of Revenue Requirements	
11	Joelle Steward Vice President of Regulation	
12	Jana Saba	
13	Utah Manager of Regulatory Affairs	
14	Jonathan Hale Senior Tax Director, PacifiCorp	
15		
16	For the Office of Consumer Services:	
17	Robert Moore Assistant Attorney General	
18	160 East 300 South 5th Floor	
19	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 366-0335	
20	rmoore@utah.gov	
21		
22	For the Division of Public Utilities:	
23	Justin Jetter Assistant Attorney General	
24	160 East 300 South 5th Floor	
25	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 366-0335 jjetter@utah.gov	

```
Page 3
 1
                    APPEARANCES (continued)
 2
     For Utah Association of Energy Users (UAE) and
 3
     U.S. Magnesium, LLC:
 4
               Gary Dodge
               Hatch, James & Dodge, P.C.
 5
               10 West Broadway, Suite 400
               Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
                (801) 839-4811
 6
               gdodge@hjdlaw.com
 7
     For Utah Industrial Energy Consumers (UIEC):
 9
               Vicky Baldwin
               Parsons Behle & Latimer
10
                201 South Main Street
               Suite 1800
11
               Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
                (801) 532-1234
12
               vbaldwin@parsonsbehle.com
13
     For Nucor Steel:
14
               Jeremy Cook
15
               Cohne Kinghorn
               111 E. Broadway
16
                11th Floor
                Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
                (801) 363-4300
17
                jcook@cohnekinghorn.com
18
     ///
     ///
19
20
     ///
21
     ///
22
     ///
23
     ///
24
     ///
25
     ///
```

### HEARING, DOCKET NO. 17-035-69 - 04/18/2018

1	WITNESS INDEX	Page 4
2		
3	WITNESS	PAGE/ LINE
4	<ol> <li>Ms. Nikki Kobliha</li> <li>(On behalf of Rocky Mountain Power)</li> </ol>	
5	Direct examination by Ms. Hogle	
6	- Open session	13/21
7	<ul><li>Begin Confidential session</li><li>End Confidential session</li></ul>	20/16 28/8
8	Cross-examination by Mr. Moore	00/10
9	<ul><li>Open session</li><li>Begin Confidential session</li></ul>	29/12 39/2
10	- End Confidential session	41/17
	Cross-examination by Mr. Dodge	41/21
11	Recross-examination by Mr. Moore	44/10
12	Examination by Ms. Reif	45/5
13		
14	Redirect examination by Ms. Hogle	52/4
15	Further examination by Ms. Reif	100/2
16	Redirect examination by Ms. Hogle	104/9
	Cross-examination by Ms. Baldwin	105/22
17	Further examination by Ms. Reif	106/24
18	Redirect examination by Ms. Hogle	107/22
19		
20	Further examination by Ms. Reif	108/22
21	2. Ms. Joelle Steward  (On behalf of Booky Mountain Dower)	
22	(On behalf of Rocky Mountain Power)	
23	Examination by Ms. Reif///	54/17
24	///	
25	///	
23	/ / /	

### HEARING, DOCKET NO. 17-035-69 - 04/18/2018

	AGE/ LINE
3 4 3. Mr. Lane Mecham (On behalf of Division of Public	·
(On behalf of Division of Public	
· ·	
6 Direct examination by Mr. Jetter	60/3
7 Cross-examination by Mr. Dodge	65/1
8 Examination by Ms. Reif	66/4
9 4. Ms. Cheryl Murray	
10 (On behalf of Office of Consumer Services)	
Direct examination by Mr. Moore 6	9/21
12 13 5. Mr. Kevin Higgins	
13 5. Mr. Kevin Higgins (On behalf of UAE) 14	
Direct examination by Mr. Dodge 7	5/10
16 6. Mr. Roger Swenson	
(On behalf of U.S. Magnesium, LLC)	
Direct examination by Mr. Dodge	83/8
Examination by Ms. Reif 8	4/18
20	
7. Mr. Maurice Brubaker (via telephone) 21 (On behalf of UIEC)	
Direct examination by Ms. Baldwin 8	5/18
23 Cross-examination by Ms. Hogle - Begin Open session	1/13
24 - Begin Confidential session 9	2/18 6/11
25	·,

1	WITNESS INDEX (continued)	Page 6
2	WITNESS	PAGE/
3		LINE
4	8. Mr. Peter Mattheis (On behalf of Nucor Steel)	
5	Examination by Ms. Reif	97/18
6	-	·
7		
8	CONFIDENTIAL TESTIMONY OF MS. NIKKI KOBLI	HA
9	AND MR. MAURICE BRUBAKER	
10	BOUNDER UNDER SEPARATE COVER	
11		
12	PAGE LINE THROUGH PAGE LINE	
13	20       16       28       8         39       2       41       17	
14	92 18 96 11	
15	///	
16	///	
17	///	
18	///	
19	///	
20	///	
21	///	
22	///	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	

1		EXHIBITS	Page 7
2			
3	ADMISSION REQUESTED BY	DESCRIPTION	PAGE/ LINE
4	Ms. Hogle	RMP Filing 2/7/18 RMP Tariff App. 3/16/18	
5		Confidential Exhibit 1	15/6
6	Mr. Jetter	DPU Action Request 2/3/18 DPU comments 4/9/18 and	
7		4/16/18	61/1
8	Mr. Moore	OCC comments $2/23/18$ and $4/9/18$	69/17
9	Mr. Dodge	UAE comments 4/9/18	75/10
10	Mr. Dodge	US Magnesium, LLC, comments	82/24
12	Ms. Baldwin	UIEC comments	90/1
13	Mr. Moore	Exhibit OCS-1, "Moody's	
14		changes outlooks for 25 US regulated utilities primarily impacted by tax	112/
15		reform."	10
16	///		
17	///		
18	///		
19	///		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		

	Page 8
1	April 18, 2018 10:00 am
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	
4	MS. REIF: We are on the record. Welcome
5	everyone. I'm Melanie Reif. I am the presiding
6	officer and administrative law judge for the Utah
7	Public Service Commission.
8	And this morning we are having a hearing
9	in Docket 17-035-69. This matter is entitled
10	"Investigation of Revenue Requirement Impacts of the
11	New Federal Tax Legislation titled: An act to
12	provide for reconciliation pursuant to Titles II and
13	V of the concurrent resolution of the budget for
14	fiscal year 2018."
15	Before we get to the substantive part of
16	the hearing, I want to handle a procedural issue
17	which deals with the petitions to intervene that are
18	pending before the Commission.
19	And I would like to ask well, first of
20	all, we have three petitions. We have a petition
21	from Utah Association of Energy Users, U.S.
22	Magnesium, and Utah Industrial Energy Consumers.
23	Is there any objection to granting those
24	motions as presented to the Commission?
25	Hearing none, the Commission grants each

1	Page 9 of those motions for the reasons that they were
2	submitted.
3	So we'll proceed with the substantive part
4	of the hearing, and we'll start by taking
5	appearances.
6	And Ms. Hogle, if you would please start.
7	MS. HOGLE: Good morning. Yvonne Hogle on
8	behalf of Rocky Mountain Power.
9	With me at counsel table is Ms. Nikki
10	Kobliha, who is vice president and chief financial
11	officer and treasurer of PacifiCorp.
12	And behind me are Steve McDougal. He is
13	the director of revenue requirements for Rocky
14	Mountain Power.
15	Joelle Steward, who is the vice president
16	of regulation for Rocky Mountain Power.
17	And, I believe, Jana Saba, who is the
18	manager, Utah manager of regulatory affairs.
19	We also have Mr. Jonathan Hale, who is the
20	senior tax director for PacifiCorp.
21	And they are here to answer any questions
22	in the event that there are questions that
23	Ms. Kobliha cannot respond to.
24	Thank you.
25	MS. REIF: Thank you, Ms. Hogle.

Page 10 For the record, could you kindly spell 1 2 your witness's last name? 3 MS. HOGLE: Yes. It's K-o-b-l-i-h-a. 4 MS. REIF: Thank you. Mr. Jetter. 5 MR. JETTER: Good morning. I'm Justin 6 Jetter with the Utah Attorney General's Office. I'm 7 here this morning representing the Utah Division of 8 9 Public Utilities. With me at counsel table is Division of 10 11 Public Utilities analyst, Lane Mecham. 12 MS. REIF: Thank you. 13 Mr. Moore. 14 MR. MOORE: Robert Moore of the Attorney General's Office, representing the Office of 15 Consumer Services. 16 17 With me at counsel table is Cheryl Murray, a utility analyst at the Office of Consumer 18 Services. 19 2.0 We have a consultant, Donna Ramos, on the 21 phone. 2.2 MS. REIF: Very good. 23 And do you intend to call both Ms. Murray and Ms. Ramos? 24 25 MR. MOORE: We plan to call Ms. Murray and

#### Page 11 have Ms. Ramos available for questioning if somebody 1 2 has a question on the report she provided to the 3 Office and with the exhibit to Ms. Murray's 4 comments. 5 MS. REIF: Okay. Very good. Thank you so 6 much. 7 Let me just clarify. Do we have Ms. Ramos on the line? 8 9 MS. RAMOS: Yes, I'm here. 10 MS. REIF: Okay. Thank you. Welcome. 11 Mr. Dodge. 12 MR. DODGE: Good morning, Your Honor. 13 Gary Dodge on behalf of -- appearing this morning on behalf of the Utah Association of Energy Users as 14 well as on behalf of U.S. Magnesium, LLC. 15 We have Mr. Kevin Higgins available as a 16 witness for UAE. He is available to answer 17 18 questions and offer testimony as appropriate at the time. 19 2.0 And Mr. Roger Swanson is here on behalf of 21 U.S. Magnesium. 2.2 MS. REIF: Very good. Thank you very 23 much. 24 Good morning. 25 MS. BALDWIN: Good morning, Your Honor.

1	Page 12 Vicki Baldwin for the Utah Industrial
2	Energy Consumers, UIEC.
3	And with me today I have Maurice Brubaker
4	on the phone. And I just wanted to note that he has
5	indicated that it's hard to hear the people on here
6	if we don't speak into the microphone. I think he
7	can't hear a lot of the others.
8	MS. REIF: Okay. Very good.
9	Mr. Brubaker, are you on the line with us?
10	MR. BRUBAKER: Yes, I am.
11	MS. REIF: Okay. Thank you for joining us
12	today.
13	And just excuse me?
14	MR. COOK: My name is Jeremy Cook. Jeremy
15	Cook and Pete Mattheis.
16	MS. REIF: You'll want to make sure your
17	microphone is on and right up to your face.
18	MR. COOK: Jeremy Cook and Pete Mattheis.
19	We're here on behalf of Nucor Corporation.
20	We don't plan to provide any additional
21	comments except for the comments we submitted.
22	MS. REIF: Okay. You will be staying for
23	the hearing? Because I do have questions for you.
24	MR. COOK: Correct.
25	MS. REIF: Very good. All right. Thank

Page 13 you, sir. 1 2 Just a quick little note as to what 3 Ms. Baldwin mentioned about the difficulty of being 4 able to hear. It's really important that you speak into your microphone and that your microphone is on 5 when you do so. And that will make it easier for 6 everyone to hear on the telephone or the streaming. 7 And, most importantly, for our court reporter to get 8 9 a clear and correct transcription of our hearing 10 today. 11 So with that being said, Ms. Hogle, you 12 have the floor. 13 MS. HOGLE: Rocky Mountain Power calls Ms. Nikki Kobliha. 14 15 MS. REIF: Ms. Kobliha, could you please take the stand. 16 17 And I'll swear you in. 18 Do you swear to tell the truth? MS. KOBLIHA: Yes. 19 2.0 MS. REIF: Thank you very much. 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2.2 BY MS. HOGLE: 23 0. Good morning, Ms. Kobliha. Can you please state and spell your name 24 for the record and provide your address as well. 25

Page 14 Nikki Kobliha. 1 Α. Yes. 2 N-i-k-k-i, K-o-b-l-i-h-a. 3 My address is 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 4 1900, Portland, Oregon 97232. And what is your position at PacifiCorp? 5 I am the VP and chief financial officer 6 Α. 7 and treasurer of PacifiCorp. And can you provide some background of 8 Q. 9 your work experience? 10 Yes. I've been with PacifiCorp for almost Α. 21 years in various roles of increasing 11 12 responsibility in the finance organization. 13 appointed as chief financial officer and treasurer in August of 2015, where I am responsible for 14 internal and external reporting, treasury, tax, 15 internal audit, and financial planning and analysis. 16 17 And are you familiar with the application 0. the Company filed on January 12, 2018? 18 Yes, I am. 19 Α. 20 Are you also familiar with the comments Q. 21 that were filed by the Company on February 7th, 22 2018; March 16th, 2018; and April 16th, 2018? 23 Α. Yes, I am. 24 0. And were they prepared at your direction 25 or with your assistance?

Page 15 A. Yes. I was involved in all those filings.
Q. Are you prepared to adopt those comments
as your own?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Okay.
MS. HOGLE: Your Honor, at this time, I'd
like to enter the comments of Rocky Mountain Power
filed February 7th, 2018; Rocky Mountain Power's
tariff application, exhibits, and workpapers filed
March 16th, 2018; and the comments and confidential
exhibit and attachment filed along with Rocky
Mountain Power's reply comment on April 16th, 2018,
as exhibits into the record.
MS. REIF: Any objection?
Seeing none, they are admitted.
MS. HOGLE: Thank you.
Q. (BY MS. HOGLE) Ms. Kobliha, do you have a
summary that you would like to provide today?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Please proceed.
A. Thank you.
So in December of 2017, Congress passed
and the President signed HR1, more commonly referred
to as the "Tax Act." The passage of the Tax Act
resulted in several changes that impact the Company

1	Page 16 as detailed in our comments filed February 7th.
2	As a reminder, the items most impacting
3	the Company include a reduction in the federal
4	income tax rate from 35 percent to 21 percent. The
5	requirement to normalize the excess deferred income
6	taxes associated with public utility property using
7	an average rate assumption methodology, or more
8	commonly referred to as "ARAM," the elimination of
9	business depreciation for public utility companies
10	and the repeal of the domestic production activities
11	deduction.
12	The result of the changes outlined in the
13	Tax Act is a net reduction in taxes that the Company
14	is going to need to remit to the Internal Revenue
15	Service starting in 2018. The amount of the benefit
16	is still being calculated, but the estimate that we
17	submitted was \$76.2 million on a Utah jurisdictional
18	basis, without the amortization of the excess
19	deferred income taxes.
20	The estimate of the amortization of the
21	excess deferred income taxes is fairly complex
22	because of the number of assets the Company owns and
23	depreciates; therefore, we ask for a little bit more
24	time to complete that calculation.
25	If you actually look at PacifiCorp's Form

Page 17 10K that we filed in December of -- that we filed in 1 2 '18 related to 2017 -- excuse me -- you'll see that 3 the Company reported a federal excess deferred 4 income tax liability of \$2.358 billion. majority of that needs to be amortized using this 5 ARAM method that I referred to. 6 7 As outlined in the Company's February 7th, 2018, filing and then further discussed in our March 8 9 16th filing, the Company at the time recommended 10 refunding to customers \$20 million or 25 percent of 11 the estimated \$76.2 million of benefits, effective 12 May 1st. 13 In the comments filed April 16th, the Company revised its position, and now proposes to 14 refund \$61 million or 80 percent of the estimated 15 16 benefit, consistent with the amounts proposed by the 17 Utah Association of Energy Users. 18 Why is the Company not recommending to 0. 19 refund 100 percent of the benefits to customers 20 immediately? 21 Α. So the Company intends to pass back all 2.2 the benefits to customers. In both the February 7th and the March 16th filing, we noted two reasons why 23 we didn't propose refunding 100 percent of the 24

25

benefits immediately.

1	Page 18 The first reason was rooted in the goal of
2	rate stabilization for customers, to actually use
3	these costs or use the benefits to offset no cost
4	increases. A couple of the items that we
5	specifically noted were the Deer Creek closure costs
6	and a projected increase in depreciation rates.
7	Applying the tax benefits against those cost
8	pressures should result in some rate stabilization.
9	The second and most important reason for
10	deferring the refund to customers to a later date
11	was driven by the impacts of an immediate refund on
12	PacifiCorp's credit metrics. So the refunding
13	amounts too quickly would weaken our strong credit
14	metrics and potentially result in a ratings
15	downgrade which, over the long term, means an
16	increase in debt cost that would be passed on to
17	customers.
18	So in addition to we actually provided
19	some information from the three rating agencies
20	where they issued releases earlier in the year
21	indicating how the tax reform would probably have a
22	negative impact on utility companies' metrics.
23	And then we also provided a link to a
24	presentation prepared by the Brattle Group, which
25	explains how refunding the benefits will weaken the

Page 19 Company's credit metrics. The theory of the 1 2 weakened metrics will reach a reality purpose at 3 PacifiCorp, but to the extent of how much that is 4 will depend on the refund that we provide to 5 customers. So now that the estimates of the tax 6 0. reform benefits are better understood, have you been 7 able to estimate the impact of a 100 percent refund 8 on the Company's credit metrics? 9 Yes, I have. 10 Α. 11 While the numbers are still changing, 12 we've actually been using our business plan model, 13 which is referred to as the UI plan or model, to try to get a better understanding of various scenarios 14 that could impact the Company and our credit rating 15 metrics. 16 17 So in our UI plan model, we actually take all of the inputs from our business plan. 18 19 going to be all our forecasted revenue, our cost, 20 cash flows, debt maturities -- anything on the 21 balance sheet -- and try to get a holistic picture 22 of what's going to happen to the Company. So Confidential Exhibit 1 that we attached 23 to the April 16th filing details those various 24 25 scenarios that we've analyzed that I'd like to

```
Page 20
     actually discuss today in order to try to explain
 1
 2
     how it works and what it might mean to the Company.
 3
         Q.
               And before you proceed --
 4
               MS. HOGLE:
                            I have to tell you at this
     time, Your Honor, that we are entering into a
 5
     discussion of the confidential information.
 6
 7
               MS. REIF: Okay. And based on that, I
     assume you're making a motion to go into a
 8
 9
     confidential session?
               MS. HOGLE: I am making a motion.
10
11
               Thank you.
12
               MS. REIF: Thank you.
13
               We'll grant your motion, Ms. Hogle.
               And to that extent, Sherrie, could you
14
     ensure that this streaming is ended. Thank you.
15
16
                  (BOUND UNDER SEPARATE COVER)
17
     ///
     ///
18
     ///
19
20
     ///
21
     ///
22
     ///
23
     ///
24
     ///
25
     ///
```

1	/ / /	Page 21
	///	
2	///	
3	///	
4	///	
5	///	
6	///	
7	///	
8	///	
9	///	
10	///	
11	///	
12	///	
13	///	
14	///	
15	///	
16	///	
17	///	
18	///	
19	///	
20	///	
21	///	
22	///	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	

		Page 22
1	///	
2	///	
3	///	
4	///	
5	///	
6	///	
7	///	
8	///	
9	///	
10	///	
11	///	
12	///	
13	///	
14	///	
15	///	
16	///	
17	///	
18	///	
19	///	
20	///	
21	///	
22	///	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	

			Page 23
1	///		J
2	///		
3	///		
4	///		
5	///		
6	///		
7	///		
8	///		
9	///		
10	///		
11	///		
12	///		
13	///		
14	///		
15	///		
16	///		
17	///		
18	///		
19	///		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		

1		Page	24
1			
2			
3			
4	///		
5	///		
6	///		
7	///		
8	///		
9	///		
10	///		
11	///		
12	///		
13	///		
14	///		
15	///		
16	///		
17	///		
18	///		
19	///		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		

1	///	Page	25
2			
3			
4			
5	///		
6	///		
7	///		
8	///		
9	///		
10	///		
11	///		
12	///		
13	///		
14	///		
15	///		
16	///		
17	///		
18	///		
19	///		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		

			Page 26
1	///		J
2	///		
3	///		
4	///		
5	///		
6	///		
7	///		
8	///		
9	///		
10	///		
11	///		
12	///		
13	///		
14	///		
15	///		
16	///		
17	///		
18	///		
19	///		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		

		Page 27
1	///	
2	///	
3	///	
4	///	
5	///	
6	///	
7	///	
8	///	
9	///	
10	///	
11	///	
12	///	
13	///	
14	///	
15	///	
16	///	
17	///	
18	///	
19	///	
20	///	
21	///	
22	///	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	

```
Page 28
     ///
 1
 2
     ///
 3
     ///
 4
     ///
 5
     ///
 6
     ///
 7
                   (END CONFIDENTIAL SESSION)
               MS. REIF: And, Ms. Hogle, you could
 8
     proceed with the last bit of your questioning.
 9
10
               MS. HOGLE:
                            Thank you.
11
               (BY MS. HOGLE) Ms. Kobliha, based on
         Q.
12
     these scenarios that you just described, what are
13
     you recommending today?
               In an effort to keep the Company
14
     financially healthy, I would recommend an interim
15
     rate reduction at no more than $61 million, which
16
17
     was the filed estimate with the rates included in
     the attached Exhibit 2 of the Company's filing made
18
     April 16th, 2018.
19
2.0
               And the amounts above the excess -- or,
21
     excuse me -- the amounts above the $61 million
2.2
     refund would be deferred and will accrue interest
23
     consistent with the March 16th, 2018, filing.
24
               And the Company's June 15th, 2018, filing
25
     will include the final tax calculation of the Utah
```

1	Page 29 tax benefits.
2	Q. Thank you, Ms. Kobliha.
3	MS. HOGLE: At this time, Ms. Kobliha is
4	available for questions.
5	MS. REIF: Thank you.
6	Mr. Jetter, questions for the witness?
7	MR. JETTER: No questions, Your Honor.
8	Thank you.
9	MS. REIF: Okay.
10	Mr. Moore?
11	MR. MOORE: Just a couple of questions.
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. MOORE:
14	Q. Regarding the issue of cost pressures, on
15	page 6 of RMP's April 16th reply comments
16	MS. REIF: One moment, Mr. Moore.
17	Could you make sure your microphone is on
18	and pulled a little more closely to your face.
19	MR. MOORE: How's this?
20	MS. REIF: Is that better? Okay. I'm
21	just not hearing you guys as profoundly as I am
22	maybe others; so
23	MR. MOORE: I'll see if I can speak
24	louder.
25	MS. REIF: Okay. Thank you.

Page 30 1 Q. (BY MR. MOORE) Regarding the issue of 2 cost pressure, which is referred to on page 6 of Rocky Mountain Power's April 16th reply comments, 3 4 Rocky Mountain Power stated that "The Division dismissed the Company's concerns, claiming that cost 5 6 pressures are not a reason to return less than the full amount to customers' costs because the cost 7 8 pressures are unknown." 9 However, later on you stated "There are items that are known at this time that could 10 11 mitigate the tax deferral before the next general 12 rate case." 13 You gave one example -- Rocky Mountain Power gave one example of its expiring tax credits. 14 Is this your understanding? 15 16 My understanding is we actually gave more Α. than one example. 17 18 Q. Yes. The one example. 19 Α. Yes. 20 At this point in time, it's not known if a Q. 21 portion of the wind fleet is to be re-powered. 22 if that partial wind fleet is to be re-powered, how 23 many and which projects in the wind fleet may be re-powered? Because we won't know this until the 24 resolution of Docket 17-035-39, the wind re-powering 25

Page 31 1 docket, and the parallel dockets in the other states 2 in PacifiCorp's territory. Is this correct? Α. The PTCs as they currently exist will 3 4 expire to the extent that we qualify for additional PTCs for every re-powering that we finalize until 5 that proceeding has been concluded. Correct. 6 Therefore, we don't know the extent to 7 0. 8 which the expiring PTCs will cause upward pressure at this time. Isn't that correct? 9 10 So we know the extent that the pressure Α. will be for the PTCs that will expire. But, then, 11 12 yes. There's a subsequent document that will pick 13 up the PTCs under the re-powering, all of which is utilized to offset the cost of the new capital that 14 15 we are investing in, in order to re-power those facilities. 16 It's also not known at this time whether 17 0. 18 the change in other components in PacifiCorp's revenue have the effect of offsetting the increase 19 in PacifiCorp's upward pressure caused by the 20 21 expiring PTCs or other known cost pressures. 22 Is that correct? 23 Α. In terms of other items that are happening

to the business? Is that the question?

That's correct.

24

25

Q.

Page 32 1 Α. Correct. 2 So the items that I actually discussed in 3 the confidential exhibit were excerpts from our 4 business plan. And in that, we would have factored in all costs, and to the extent that we were hitting 5 metrics before tax reform, that, to me, indicates 6 that we've sort of offset those components with 7 various issues happening at the Company prior to tax 8 It's sort of a holistic view of the 9 reform. Company, if that makes sense. 10 11 Q. Yes. 12 Do you know for sure what those components 13 are and how would they be provided for, say, in a 14 general rate case? 15 Going down to a rate case level in a Α. particular state, I have not done that particular 16 review. It's more of a holistic view of what's 17 18 happening at the Company. 19 0. PacifiCorp was aware of the expiring PTCs on October 23rd when it publicly announced it would 20 21 not increase the rate base before 2021. 22 Isn't that correct? 23 Α. In that we would not go in for a new rate 24 case until 2021? 25 Q. That's correct.

Page 33 1 Α. We have known about those pieces. Yes. 2 Q. You also stated that there will be a cost pressure caused by the new depreciation study set to 3 4 be filed September, 2018. Is that correct? 5 That's correct. 6 Α. Yes. 7 And, again, you do not know on a specific 0. rate case level whether other components of the 8 9 revenue requirement will offset those costs? So the depreciation pressure specific for 10 Α. 11 Utah does relate to the theoretical reserve 12 give-back that has been approved in Utah. I believe 13 it's to the tune of the \$20 million adjustment; so 14 that is a known cost pressure that our next depreciation study would no longer have in it, 15 because that theoretical reserve should be 16 eliminated. So that absolutely is going to be a 17 18 pressure to the extent that other things are offsetting it holistically, even though I can't 19 20 really opine on that right now. We have to wait 21 until we get to that point in time. 2.2 But I will also offer that the Company has 23 been investing in its coal fleet for the last five years in between the depreciation study in order to 24 continue our position of having safe, reliable 25

Page 34 1 electricity. And when we have the investment in 2 that coal fleet, those terminal assets cause upward pressure on the depreciation rates because you can't 3 4 push that depreciation out over the original 35, 40, 50 years at those facilities. 5 So that in itself will cause rate 6 7 pressure. And this rate pressure could possibly be 8 0. 9 offset by other components in the revenue 10 requirement? 11 Α. So, in my view of what I've seen in our 12 business plan, that rate pressure is very 13 significant. And we don't have the means to cut our O&M to counterbalance that significant increase in 14 15 that depreciation rate. 16 And, again, you were aware of the pending 0. depreciation study and the termination of the \$20 17 million in excess give-back on October 23rd, 2017, 18 19 when you publicly announced there would be no rate 20 case before 2020 and rates would not increase before 21 2021? 2.2 MS. HOGLE: Objection. Asked and 23 answered. 24 MR. MOORE: Well, I asked and answered

about the PTCs. That's a question I haven't asked

25

Page 35 1 her about the depreciation. 2 MS. REIF: Do you withdraw your objection? 3 MS. HOGLE: I do. 4 MS. REIF: Go ahead, please, Mr. Moore. Again, were you aware of 5 0. (BY MR. MOORE) the pending depreciation study and the impact on the 6 cost pressures on October 23rd, 2017, when you 7 publicly announced that you will not increase your 8 rate bases before 2021? 9 The assumption of when the depreciation 10 Α. study comes into effect, I think, is an important 11 12 issue; so we would have to get through that 13 proceeding. Right now, we would assume that the rates would go in effect 1/1/2020, which would be in 14 that time period where we wouldn't go in for a case. 15 16 However, we would also be requesting deferral of 17 that year and not have anything go into effect until 1/1/2021, which would be a period in which we would 18 be allowed to file a rate case. 19 20 Regarding the reductions associated with Q. 21 the tax reform impact in PacifiCorp's credit 22 profile, the Company hasn't been notified by any 23 credit rating agency that the credit rating will be downgraded if it reduces the current rates to be 24 flat impact of federal tax reform on the revenue 25

## 1 requirement?

- 2 A. We have not currently been put on credit
- 3 watch by any of the credit rating agencies,
- 4 partially because we have not gone through and
- 5 determined what we will be refunding to customers
- 6 and when.
- 7 Q. Are you aware that Moody's has downgraded
- 8 the credit outlook for 25 U.S. regulated utilities
- 9 to the impact of federal tax reform?
- 10 A. Yes, I am.
- MR. MOORE: May I approach and hand an
- 12 exhibit?
- MS. REIF: Yes.
- Q. (BY MR. MOORE) I want to ask you: Do you
- 15 recognize this document?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You've seen it before?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- 19 Q. Directing your attention to Paragraph 2,
- 20 which provides "Today's action primarily applies to
- 21 companies that had already limited the cushion in
- 22 their rating for deterioration in financial
- 23 performance." They will be "incrementally impacted
- 24 by changes in the tax law where we now expect key
- 25 metrics to be lower for longer."

Page 37 1 Prior to the tax change, did PacifiCorp 2 have a limited cushion in the rating for the deterioration of financial performance? 3 4 So if you look back on the confidential 5 exhibit that we presented --6 MR. MOORE: Should we go streaming again if she's going to speak about the confidential 7 information? 8 MS. KOBLIHA: Are they talking about the 9 actuals? So, to me, that's not a forecast of 10 11 components. 12 So in there, we list our actual 2016 13 Moody's FFO/Debt ratio, which was 23.2 percent. then our 2017, which is 21.1 percent. 14 15 So with Moody's providing this guidance 16 that we have to be in excess of 20 percent, we are meeting those metrics in those two historical time 17 18 periods. 19 0. (BY MR. MOORE) Can I direct your attention to Paragraph 6, which provides "The vast 20 21 majority of U.S. regulated utilities, however, 22 continue to maintain stable rating outlooks. We do 23 not expect the cash flow reductions associated with tax reform to materially impact their credit 24 profiles, because sufficient cushion exists within 25

Page 38 1 projected financial metrics for their current 2 ratings." Prior to the tax change, did PacifiCorp 3 maintain a stable outlook? 4 Yes, we did. 5 Α. 6 Q. And, of course, PacifiCorp is not one of the 25 utilities that had their credit rating 7 downgraded? 8 9 Α. Correct. 10 MR. MOORE: I think I'm going to ask some 11 questions about Exhibit 1. You may want to go into 12 confidential session again. 13 MS. REIF: Can you be more specific, Mr. Moore? Are you planning to address confidential 14 information or information that's already been 15 16 discussed in open session? 17 MR. MOORE: No. I'm going to be discussing information that's been discussed in 18 closed session. 19 2.0 MS. REIF: So are you making a motion to 21 qo into confidential --2.2 MR. MOORE: Yes, I am. 23 MS. REIF: -- closed session? 24 All right. Then for the reason mentioned, 25 we will be back in closed session.

1		Shorrio	would.	vou plea	70 00200	Page 39 streaming?
						screaming:
2	, , ,	( ROOND	UNDER	SEPARATE	COVER)	
3	///					
4	///					
5	///					
6	///					
7	///					
8	///					
9	///					
10	///					
11	///					
12	///					
13	///					
14	///					
15	///					
16	///					
17	///					
18	///					
19	///					
20	///					
21	///					
22	///					
23	///					
24	///					
25	///					
	/ / /					

1	/ / /	Page 40
	///	
2	///	
3	///	
4	///	
5	///	
6	///	
7	///	
8	///	
9	///	
10	///	
11	///	
12	///	
13	///	
14	///	
15	///	
16	///	
17	///	
18	///	
19	///	
20	///	
21	///	
22	///	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	

```
Page 41
 1
     ///
 2
     ///
 3
     ///
 4
     ///
 5
     ///
 6
     ///
 7
     ///
     ///
 9
     ///
     ///
10
11
     ///
12
     ///
13
     ///
     ///
14
     ///
15
16
                  (END CONFIDENTIAL SESSION)
               MS. REIF: And we have just completed with
17
18
     questions by Mr. Moore of the witness.
               And we'll move on to Mr. Dodge.
19
20
               MR. DODGE: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
22
     BY MR. DODGE:
               Good morning, Ms. Kobliha.
23
         Q.
               I want to confirm one thing.
24
25
               Your understanding that the Company today
```

- 1 is asking this Commission to approve the amount of
- 2 dollars to be returned and the spread of those
- 3 dollars, but not -- am I correct in assuming you're
- 4 not asking them today to confirm the treatment of
- 5 the excess deferred income tax?
- 6 A. Correct. So we're asking for the 62.09 to
- 7 be the interim rate reduction and that the ARAM, the
- 8 excess deferred income taxes, be deferred and
- 9 handled in the next general rate case or sooner, to
- 10 the extent that there's some other issue that we
- 11 would come in and request utilization of that
- 12 amortization to offset.
- 13 Q. Maybe that's where my confusion is.
- 14 Are you asking the Commission today to
- 15 rule that the non-protected excess deferred income
- 16 tax numbers will be deferred until at least the next
- 17 rate case? Or are you saying that issue will be
- 18 addressed following your June 15th filing?
- 19 A. So we're asking to defer all the
- 20 difference, anything in excess of \$61 million to no
- 21 later than the next general rate case.
- Q. To "no later than." But will that be an
- 23 issue, in your mind, that could be addressed after
- 24 your June 15th filing?
- 25 A. No. I think our position on June 15th

Page 43 would still be the same. We'll just have a better 1 2 estimate of what all the numbers look like. 3 would still be recommending the \$61 million refund 4 and deferral of everything else. And I do recognize your position. 5 6 I'm trying to get at whether you think the Commission today has to make the decision on the 7 deferral of the non-protected excess deferred income 8 taxes or whether that can be made after the filing 9 on June 15th? 10 11 I guess my whole point is that we intend Α. 12 to give all the funds back; so to the extent there's 13 anything in excess of the \$61 million, we will be deferring it, at least until there is some other 14 decision from the Commission and to the extent that 15 they offer some other decision at some point in 16 time. I guess I'm not sure how that would -- I'm 17 not asking for that. I'm just asking for the \$61 18 19 million refund to go to customers. 20 Q. Today? 21 Α. Correct. 22 Q. Thank you. 23 MR. DODGE: I have no further questions. 24 MS. REIF: Thank you. 25 Ms. Baldwin?

```
Page 44
 1
               MS. BALDWIN: No questions.
 2
               MR. MOORE: I have -- I know this is
 3
     unusual. And if there's an objection, I'll withdraw
     this. But I missed a question that's kind of
     important. I wonder if I could ask that now.
 5
               MS. REIF: Ms. Hogle, any objection?
 6
               MS. HOGLE: No objection.
 7
               MS. REIF: Thank you.
 8
 9
               Mr. Moore, please go ahead.
10
                     RECROSS-EXAMINATION
11
     BY MR. MOORE:
12
         0.
               In your business models in exhibit -- in
13
     the confidential exhibit, does that include the new
     wind and transmission and the re-powering?
14
               Yes, it did.
15
         Α.
16
               Thank you.
         Q.
17
               MS. REIF: Anything further, Mr. Moore?
               (BY MR. MOORE) Other than you're aware
18
         Q.
19
     that they haven't been approved yet -- those
20
     projects?
21
         Α.
               I am aware of that, yes.
22
         Q.
               Thank you.
23
               MR.
                    MOORE: I have nothing more.
24
               Thank you, Ms. Hogle, for allowing me to
25
     ask that question.
```

```
Page 45
                          Thank you, Mr. Moore.
 1
               MS. REIF:
 2
               Ms. Kobliha, thank you for being here
     today to answer our questions.
 3
               And I have a few for you.
 4
 5
                         EXAMINATION
     BY MS. REIF:
 6
               And in the event that some of this may be
 7
         0.
     repetitive to what clarification you've already
 8
     provided, I apologize.
 9
10
               But I just want to make sure that -- to
11
     the extent that I understand the issues -- and I
12
     want to make sure that the Commission is getting
13
     exactly what we need in order to enter an order in
14
     this particular docket; so please bear with me.
               I want to ask you a question about -- I
15
16
     want to draw your attention to the Company's reply
     comments that were filed on April 16th.
17
               And in a couple of different places in
18
19
     those reply comments, the Company makes reference to
     the $61 million credit. For example, on pages 1 and
20
21
     2; and, again, on page 5 of the filing.
22
               And in reference to those, it mentions
23
     that the $61 million -- PacifiCorp states that it's
     adopting UAE's proposal to implement $61 million
24
     rate credit as the initial refund. UAE's request
25
```

HEARING, DOCKET NO. 17-035-69 - 04/18/2018 Page 46 1 goes a bit beyond what is referenced in the filing. 2 I just wanted to ask you, first of all, are you aware of what UAE is requesting, inasmuch as they're 3 4 asking for implementing a rate reduction effective on or before May 1st, 2018, through Rocky Mountain 5 Power's proposed tariff schedule 197 designed to 6 return at least \$61 million to Utah customers during 7 calendar year 2018, which should amount -- which 8 amount should later be adjusted to reflect 100 9 10 percent of the revenue requirement reduction 11 associated with the lower fit (sic) rate and repeal 12 of the DPAD applied to the ROO for the period ending December 31st, 2018. 13 14 That was a lot. 15 Α. I got all those acronyms. I'm good. 16 0. Great. 17 I am aware of what they filed. Α. Yeah. 18 perhaps the clarity is the component that we are 19 adopting is the specific interim rate reduction of 2.0 the \$61 million. We are not yet recommending that, 21 let's say, by that June 15th filing, we would go to 22 100 percent. The position would be, from our 23 perspective, if we could hold off and get the

conversations with rating agencies, other aspects of

additional time to see what happens to, you know,

24

25

1	Page 47 the business that could result in improvement to the
2	metrics that we are unaware at this point.
3	So right now, it would just be the
4	component of the \$61 million rate credit.
5	Q. Okay. So just to reiterate, you are not
6	adopting UAE's proposal in its entirety?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. And without getting into any confidential
9	information, but recognizing what's been said in
10	open session about the concern about the Moody's
11	rating and how that might impact the change as a
12	result of the tax change, did you take that into
13	consideration when you prepared your Rocky Mountain
14	Power Exhibit No. 1, the confidential exhibit that
15	we referenced earlier?
16	A. I'm sorry. Did I take into consideration?
17	Q. Did you take into consideration the
18	information that is supplied in the Office's
19	exhibit?
20	A. I am sorry. I'm not quite sure I know the
21	Office's exhibit off the top of my head.
22	Q. Were you not supplied a copy of the
23	exhibit?
24	A. Oh, sorry.
25	So did I take into consideration that the

Page 48 1 Company has currently not been put on negative 2 watch? I'm not quite sure what I would have taken 3 into consideration from the Moody's release here. 4 And maybe I can expand a little bit more about what Moody's says to us? 5 6 0. Is there anything about the information in the Office's exhibit that would have impacted your 7 presentation in Exhibit 1? 8 9 Α. No. 10 0. Okay. 11 We have specific quidance from Moody's Α. 12 that, when we were performing all the calculations, 13 that's what we were factoring in. And actually the 14 calculations are just math. There wasn't anything in particular that we had to take into consideration 15 16 or to come up with these ratios. Thank you. 17 Q. Okay. 18 Could you please reiterate over what time 19 period PacifiCorp proposes to refund the \$61 20 million? 21 Α. So effective May 1st, 2018, we would have 2.2 a reduction to rates of that \$61 million level. And it would go on. That's the annual rate reduction 23 24 that customers would see from the offset as being the benefit the Company would realize for the 25

- 1 components of not having to pay the IRS.
- Q. So you're looking to fully refund that
- 3 amount by the end of 2018? Is that correct?
- 4 A. So the \$61 million annual number -- so we
- 5 would start the reduction May 1st. So by the next
- 6 12 months, it would be a full \$61 million. And that
- 7 would just continue, really, until you go into the
- 8 general rate case. At that point, all components of
- 9 taxes will be factored into all of our calculations
- 10 and would just naturally flow back to customers when
- 11 you reset rates.
- So as an interim step, we're suggesting
- 13 giving that \$61 million annual until that point in
- 14 time.
- 15 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 16 I'd like to ask you a question about your
- 17 March 16th filing. Do you have that available?
- 18 A. I do.
- 19 Q. On page 13 of that filing, in paragraph
- 20 2(b), there's a reference to the carrying charges.
- 21 And my question is: So you make reference
- 22 to the carrying charges being equal to the most
- 23 recently approved customer deposit rate.
- 24 And will you be anticipating that based on
- 25 your amended position that was filed just more

- 1 recently on April 16th?
- A. No. We would like to continue with the
- 3 customer deposit rate as the interest rate that
- 4 would be applied to the deferred balances.
- 5 Q. Okay. And is it your intent to update the
- 6 carrying charge annually consistent with this annual
- 7 approval of the update for customer deposit rate?
- 8 A. Yes. Sorry.
- 9 They're close to that one.
- 10 Q. Do we need to call somebody else to answer
- 11 that question?
- 12 A. I can answer it based on that, unless you
- 13 can have them up here, if you'd like.
- 14 Q. Okay. My next question is about Schedules
- 15 21 and 31 that are not included in the cost of
- 16 service study.
- MS. HOGLE: Your Honor?
- 18 MS. REIF: Yes.
- 19 MS. HOGLE: I believe you're going into
- 20 material that perhaps would be better addressed by
- 21 Ms. Joelle Steward at this time.
- MS. REIF: Oh. Okay.
- 23 MS. KOBLIHA: Yeah. Those schedules would
- 24 be a lot better suited --
- MS. REIF: Okay.

Page 51 1 MS. HOGLE: So the Company calls 2. Ms. Joelle Steward. 3 MS. REIF: Okay. Very good. Thank you. 4 You may be excused. 5 MS. HOGLE: Except that I have one redirect question. 6 7 MS. REIF: Okay. MS. HOGLE: And I can either do that right 8 9 now or after your question. 10 And she can -- Joelle Steward can sit by 11 me, if that would be --12 MS. REIF: I have other questions. And I 13 assume that Ms. Steward would be the appropriate 14 person to answer them. And I apologize for asking 15 the wrong witness. 16 I wasn't aware that you were calling Ms. Steward, but that's very helpful. 17 MS. HOGLE: 18 Sure. 19 Now, I think at the beginning, I indicated 20 that we brought other executives from our company to 21 answer any questions that Ms. Kobliha may not be 2.2 able to respond to. 23 MS. REIF: My apologies. 24 MS. HOGLE: The Company calls Joelle 25 Steward.

Page 52 1 MS. REIF: You wanted to ask something on 2 redirect, I think. MS. HOGLE: Oh, yes, just one question. 3 4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HOGLE: 5 6 Q. Ms. Kobliha, Mr. Moore, as he was cross-examining you, asked you to turn to the 7 Company's April 16th filing, page 6. 8 9 Would you turn to that page again, please. Just one second. Yes. 10 Α. 11 And he specifically referenced the second Q. 12 sentence there, and I'll read that to you. 13 "The Division dismissed the Company's 14 concern, claiming that these are not reasons to return less than the full amount to customers 15 because the cost pressures are not known." 16 17 And he, I believe, asked you to confirm 18 that we had only -- that the Company had only 19 mentioned one known cost pressure. 20 So in response to that, I'm not sure that 21 the exchange was clear enough, I would like you to 22 read from -- beginning from "While final impacts" 23 all the way down to "next general rate case" and stop there before "to illustrate." 24 25 Can you read that into the record?

1	Page 53
	A. Yes.
2	"While final impacts of some of the known
3	cost pressures are not yet final, there are items
4	that are known at this time that could be mitigated
5	with the tax deferral before the next general rate
6	case, including the regulatory assets for the Deer
7	Creek mine closure and the energy and balance market
8	implementation. Other cost drivers, such as the
9	expiration of the production tax credits, or PTCs,
10	and the upcoming depreciation study are known cost
11	pressures that will be reflected in the Company's
12	next general rate case."
13	Q. Thank you.
14	MS. HOGLE: The Company calls Ms. Joelle
15	Steward.
16	MS. REIF: Ms. Kobliha, you may be
17	excused. Thank you for your testimony.
18	MS. KOBLIHA: Thank you.
19	MS. REIF: And I assume you'll remain in
20	case there are additional questions that come up?
21	MS. KOBLIHA: Yes.
22	MS. REIF: Okay. Thank you.
23	Ms. Steward, do you swear to tell the
24	truth?
25	MS. STEWARD: Yes.

1	Page 54 MS. REIF: Thank you.
2	MS. HOGLE: Ms. Steward is available for
3	questions.
4	MS. REIF: Thank you.
5	Given the line of questioning, I'm
6	assuming that I will go ahead and ask her questions.
7	And if there are other questions from the other
8	parties that they will as well.
9	Or is your desire to have the other
10	parties ask questions first and then me?
11	MS. HOGLE: Whatever your preference is,
12	Your Honor.
13	MS. REIF: Okay. Let me just ask the
14	other parties.
15	Are there any questions for Ms. Steward?
16	Seeing none, I will ask the questions.
17	EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. REIF:
19	Q. Ms. Steward, thank you for being available
20	this morning. The Commission appreciates that.
21	And I want to ask you about Schedules 21
22	and 31.
23	As I started to mention to the prior
24	witness, those schedules are not included in the
25	cost of service study. And I wanted to ask you if

Page 55 1 it is the Company's intent that the rate base factor 2 for those schedules will receive the same treatment as Schedule 9? 3 4 Α. Yes. Okay. Could you please explain the 5 0. 6 rationale for developing their allocated percentage of refund? 7 It's similar to what we do in our energy 8 Α. 9 balancing account where they are not reflected in our allocations for net power costs in the cost of 10 service. But they are -- they do get rates similar 11 12 to -- their rates are tied to Schedule 9; so we add 13 in those revenues and tie it back to Schedule 9 for 14 other allocation purposes when we have other adjustment schedules following a rate case. 15 16 So when the rates are actually designed, they are tied to Schedule 9. 17 18 Q. Thank you. 19 I'd like to direct your attention to your -- PacifiCorp's reply comments dated March -- or, 20 excuse me -- April 16th. 21 22 And if you would please go to page 11. In 23 the first complete paragraph on that page, the Company states that "the Company is not opposed to 24 allocating an overall percentage decrease to Nucor 25

- 1 and U.S. Magnesium."
- 2 And then there's a reference to the
- 3 attached exhibit and to the Exhibit B which was
- 4 filed with the March 16th filing.
- 5 A. Yes, I see that.
- 6 Q. The March 16th filing, however, was at a
- 7 time when the Company had a different position about
- 8 Nucor and U.S. Magnesium.
- 9 So in reviewing that particular exhibit,
- 10 Exhibit B to the March 16th filing, and it would be
- 11 Exhibit B, page 1 of 11 --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- lines 19 and 20.
- 14 Do you perceive a correction that needs to
- 15 take place there?
- 16 A. In -- from the March filing? Well, we did
- 17 make that change in the April filing. We changed
- 18 our position, yes.
- 19 Q. Correct. But you referenced an exhibit,
- 20 this particular exhibit?
- 21 A. Oh.
- 22 Q. And inasmuch as this particular exhibit is
- 23 referenced, it was at a time when the Company had a
- 24 different position. And I believe that you -- it
- 25 doesn't take into consideration the overall rate

Page 57 reduction. 1 2 Α. Correct. 3 So Exhibit 2 is a revision of Exhibit B. 4 The two main pieces of that revision --Exhibit 2 of the? 5 0. 6 Α. Of the April. Okay. Let me take a look at that. 7 0. 8 Α. So that is on page --9 Q. Okay. So your filing intends to not reference the Exhibit B necessarily from March 16th, 10 11 but inasmuch as the --12 Α. We were referencing that it's essentially -- we revised what had been previously provided. 13 14 0. Okay. 15 It's essentially the same format, just the Α. changes are the amount, and then the allocations 16 will include special contract estimates 1 and 2. 17 I see that. Okay. Thank you very much 18 0. 19 for making that clear. 20 Back to your April 16th reply comments. 21 It doesn't appear that you addressed the Division's 22 recommendation to identify the refund determined in 23 this docket on customers' bills as a separate line 24 item. 25 And the Commission wishes to know if you

- 1 just overlooked that or whether you have a response
- 2 to that issue.
- 3 A. I think we did just overlook that. We are
- 4 not opposed to reflecting that as line items.
- 5 Q. Is it your intent to do so, then?
- 6 A. Yes, we will do so.
- 7 Q. Okay. All right.
- 8 And I think I have just one other question
- 9 for you.
- 10 And, again, thank you for being here
- 11 because I think you mentioned the EIM earlier, or I
- 12 know it was mentioned.
- And in the same reply comments on page 6,
- in that last full paragraph, there's reference to
- 15 the EIM, the Energy and Balance Market.
- 16 And the question is: If -- assuming that
- 17 there are EIM market costs, why are those costs not
- 18 offset by EIM benefits?
- 19 A. So these are EIM implementation costs; so
- 20 they're sort of fixed cost for administration of
- 21 EIM, is my understanding. And they are not
- 22 reflected in the energy balancing account as most
- 23 cost and benefits are.
- 24 So a separate regulatory asset was
- 25 created. And I don't -- I cannot point back to

	Page 59		
1	which docket that was created in and that the		
2	treatment of those costs would be subject to a		
3	determination in a future rate case, is my		
4	understanding.		
5	But they are more fixed in nature for		
6	administrative purposes.		
7	Q. Thank you Ms. Steward.		
8	I don't believe I have any other		
9	questions.		
10	I assume you'll remain here in case other		
11	questions do come up.		
12	MS. REIF: And, Ms. Hogle, do you have any		
13	redirect?		
14	MS. HOGLE: No redirect. Thank you.		
15	MS. REIF: Okay. Thank you very much.		
16	Do you wish to call anyone else?		
17	MS. HOGLE: Not at this time. Thank you.		
18	MS. REIF: Okay. Thank you.		
19	Mr. Jetter.		
20	MR. JETTER: Thank you.		
21	The Division would like to call and have		
22	sworn in Lane Mecham.		
23	MS. REIF: Mr. Mecham, would you come and		
24	have a seat at the witness stand, please.		
25	Do you swear to tell the truth?		

Page 60 1 MR. MECHAM: I do. 2 MS. REIF: Thank you. You may be seated. 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. JETTER: Good morning. Would you please state your 5 0. 6 name and occupation for the record. My name is Lane Mecham. I am a utility 7 Α. analyst with the Division of Public Utilities. 8 9 Q. Thank you. 10 And in the course of your employment with 11 the Division of Public Utilities, have you had the 12 opportunity to review the filings in this docket? 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. And did you create and cause to be filed with the Commission an action request dated 15 February 3rd, 2018, along with comments and reply 16 comments dated April 9th and April 16th, 2018? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Do you have any corrections or changes that you'd like to make to those? 20 21 Α. No. 22 Q. And do those comments reflect the position 23 of the Division of Public Utilities accurately? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Thank you. Q.

1	Page 61 MR. JETTER: I'd like to move at this time
2	to enter into the record the action request response
3	along with the comments I have identified here from
4	Mr. Mecham.
5	MS. REIF: Any objection?
6	Seeing none, they are admitted.
7	Q. (BY MR. JETTER) Thank you.
8	Have you prepared a brief statement
9	summarizing the Division's position?
10	A. I have.
11	Q. Please go ahead.
12	A. The Division recommends that the
13	Commission order the Company to refund the full
14	\$76.2 million estimated tax savings created by the
15	tax cuts and jobs act.
16	Customers are paying a base rate which was
17	set based on an assumed tax rate of 35 percent.
18	That rate is now 21 percent.
19	The Company's proposal to continue
20	collecting a portion of the difference and defer to
21	offset future costs is neither just nor reasonable.
22	The Company's arguments that it will
23	provide better rate stability and/or that it's
24	credit rating may be impacted by cash flow changes
25	are unpersuasive. While the Division does recognize

1	that there are benefits to rate stability, it does
2	not support the creation of a deferral account for
3	the purpose of offsetting future costs.
4	Customer rates should be based primarily
5	on the current cost of serving them. The cost
6	savings resulting from the reduced tax rate should
7	be passed on to today's customers.
8	We recommend that the accrued balance as
9	of April 30th, 2018, or approximately \$25 million,
10	be refunded to ratepayers as a one-time credit
11	effective May 1st, 2018.
12	We further recommend that the remaining
13	savings be passed through to customers by creating a
14	rate that will refund customers based on their
15	usage. This can be done by allocating the savings
16	in the same manner as the proposed tariff schedule
17	197.
18	These recommendations will appropriately
19	pass the cost savings to customers quickly and
20	efficiently. While the Division believes this is
21	the most appropriate method at this time, we
22	recognize that some uncertainty exists about the
23	amount of the estimate and is not strongly opposed
24	to the Company's proposal of beginning to refund \$61
25	million starting May 1st, 2018. However, the

1	Page 63 Division believes this is only a time deferment of
2	the estimated tax savings amount and then begin to
3	refund the full amount at that time.
4	The Division remains strongly opposed to
5	mixing costs and benefits as the Company has
6	requested.
7	The Company's request to pull Deer Creek
8	mine costs from the EBA and offset them with tax
9	savings should be denied.
10	And I will clarify our position as well
11	based on something that was said earlier. And I
12	wasn't sure about the exchange between Mr. Dodge and
13	Ms. Kobliha. But we would be opposed to a decision
14	today on the excess deferred income tax position.
15	Thank you.
16	Q. And I'd like to ask you just a quick
17	follow up to clarify something that I think we're
18	possibly a little bit unclear on.
19	Is it your understanding, or is it your
20	I mean start that question over again.
21	Is it the Division's recommendation to the
22	Commission that the refund of the \$61 million, if it
23	were to adopt that recommendation by other parties,
24	would be completed by the end of 2018?
25	A. The Division's position is that the full

1	Page 64 amount of the refund, when that is determined, be
2	refunded this year; so if it was determined in June
3	that 76.2 is the actual tax savings that the Company
4	that the cost before tax expense will be reduced,
5	that should be refunded in 2018.
6	So we're saying that \$61 million is okay
7	to start now but that we would expect the full
8	amount to be refunded within 2018 and then get a
9	rate set that continues to refund those annual
10	estimated savings until the next general rate case.
11	Q. Thank you. That clarifies what I think we
12	were a little uncertain about.
13	Thank you.
14	MR. JETTER: I have no further questions
15	for Mr. Mecham. He's available for cross by other
16	parties.
17	MS. REIF: Thank you, Mr. Jetter.
18	Ms. Hogle?
19	MS. HOGLE: Is it okay if I take my turn
20	out of turn?
21	MS. REIF: Sure. If you wish.
22	MS. HOGLE: Thank you.
23	MS. REIF: So we'll go to Mr. Moore.
24	MR. MOORE: No questions. Thank you.
25	MS. REIF: Mr. Dodge?

		Page 65
1		CROSS EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. DO	DGE:
3	Q.	Mr. Mecham, can you clarify the Division's
4	current p	osition with respect to whether Nucor and
5	U.S. Magn	esium should be included in the refund
6	that's de	termined by the Commission?
7	Α.	Yes, they should be included in the
8	refund.	
9	Q.	So to clarify: Although the initial
10	action re	quest suggested that there was a reason for
11	us not to	include them, the Division has now
12	concluded	that they should be included in the
13	refund?	
14	Α.	Yes. After further conversations with
15	those par	ties, we have determined that they should
16	be includ	ed in the tax refund.
17	Q.	Thank you.
18		MR. DODGE: No further questions.
19		MS. REIF: Thank you.
20		MS. BALDWIN: No questions.
21		MS. REIF: Back to you, Ms. Hogle.
22		MS. HOGLE: No questions. Thank you.
23		MS. REIF: Okay.
24		Mr. Mecham, I have oh.
25		MR. MECHAM: I was hoping to get out of

Page 66 1 the hot seat earlier. 2 MS. REIF: Certainly. You'll have to stick around for just a couple of minutes longer. 3 4 EXAMINATION BY MS. REIF: 5 6 Q. So circling back on your testimony that is the Division's recommendation that the full \$76.2 7 million be refunded and that amount be refunded 8 within this year, within 2018 -- and I summarize 9 that correctly? Is that --10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. -- your understanding? Okay. 13 How would the Division propose that that refund be allocated? 14 15 Based off the proposed Tariff Schedule Α. 16 197. And so what we would propose is that the accrued balance as of April 30th, which is 17 approximately \$25 million, that that be refunded as 18 a one-time credit, allocated in the same way as at 19 2.0 Tariff Schedule 197 and then that a rate be set by 21 the same tariff going forward for the rest of the 22 year. And then, essentially, until they execute the next rate case; so --23 24 Q. Okay. 25 MS. REIF: I'm going to ask you to remain

Page 67 1 available. And we're going to take a ten-minute 2 recess, and we'll be back in ten minutes. 3 Okay. Thanks. 4 (Recess.) 5 MS. REIF: Thank you everyone we are back 6 on the record. EXAMINATION (CONTINUED) 7 BY MS. REIF: 8 Mr. Mecham, could you please explain how 9 Ο. the Division would recommend refunding the lump sum 10 11 amount for the first part of 2018? 12 Α. Using the Tariff Schedule 197 and just the 13 rate spread that -- the exhibit across that same 14 percentage, allocated the same way. 15 Okay. Thank you. Q. 16 That's all I have for you. Thank you. 17 MS. REIF: Are there any follow-up 18 questions for Mr. Mecham? You may be excused, Mr. Mecham. 19 20 you. 21 Ms. Hogle, I wanted to backtrack a little 2.2 bit with my questioning of Ms. Steward on the 23 exhibits that we were talking about with respect to Nucor and U.S. Magnesium. 24 25 I don't necessarily need to ask her a

Page 68 1 question, but I do want to note that it is the 2 Commission's concern, based on our review of those 3 two exhibits, that it does not appear that the 4 Company has allocated the overall percent decrease 5 to U.S. Magnesium and Nucor. 6 And so we would just ask that you review that exhibit -- both exhibits, in fact -- and in 7 8 light of our concerns and, if necessary, file a corrected exhibit. 9 MS. HOGLE: 10 Okay. 11 MS. REIF: Thank you. 12 MS. HOGLE: Thank you. 13 MS. REIF: Okay. 14 I believe we are to you, Mr. Moore. 15 MR. MOORE: The Office will call Cheryl 16 Murray and have her sworn, please. 17 MS. REIF: Good morning, Ms. Murray. 18 Do you swear tell the truth? 19 MS. MURRAY: Yes, I do. 2.0 MS. REIF: Thank you. 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2.2 BY MR. MOORE: 23 0. Could you please state your name, business 24 address, and for whom you are testifying for. 25 My name is Cheryl Murray. Α. Yes.

Page 69 business address is 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake 1 2 City, Utah. And I'm testifying on behalf of the 3 Office of Consumer Services. 4 0. Did you file any comments in this docket on February 23rd, 2018, and April 9th, 2018? 5 6 Α. Yes. Do you have any corrections you'd like to 7 Q. make to those comments? 8 The date on the first page of the 9 Yes. second set of comments consisting of four pages 10 should be April 9, 2018, not February 23rd. 11 12 The date in the header on the subsequent 13 pages is correct. 14 0. With those changes, do you adopt those comments as your testimony? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 MR. MOORE: At this time, I move for the admission of the comments. 18 19 MS. REIF: Any objection? 2.0 Seeing none, they are admitted. 21 Q. (BY MR. MOORE) Have you prepared a 22 summary of the Office's position? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. Please proceed. 25 My April 9, 2018, comments included an Α.

Page 70 1 attachment, an attached report from Ms. Donna Ramos, 2 a revenue requirement expert retained by the Office 3 to review and analyze and make recommendations 4 regarding RMP's March 16th tariff filing. In that report she identified a number of 5 ways in which the Tax Reform Act impacts the 6 7 Company's revenue requirement. And, as indicated earlier, she is 8 9 available on the phone to respond to any accounting 10 questions that may arise. 11 Although the full impact of the Tax Reform 12 Act is currently unknown, the Company has provided an initial estimate of approximately \$76.2 million, 13 which is only a portion of the overall impacts on 14 15 the Company's revenue requirement that will need to 16 be returned to rate payers. The Company will provide additional information in the June 15th, 17 2018, filing. 18 19 As stated in reply comments filed on 2.0 April 16th, 2018, the Company now proposes to return 21 \$61 million to rate payers, or approximately 22 80 percent of the \$76 million. This is an 23 improvement over the Company's first proposal to return only \$20 million. However, the Company 24 25 continues to assert that the remaining funds can be

Page 71 used to offset future rate payer liabilities or 1 2 costs and should not be returned at this time. 3 Office is open to reviewing future proposals for 4 offsets in the next phase of this docket but asserts that specific proposals supported with additional 5 information will be necessary to judge the 6 appropriateness of using the remaining tax funds for 7 8 other purposes, rather returning them directly to 9 rate payers. 10 The Company's reply comment of April 16th, 11 2018, at page 4 reads "The Division, the Office, and 12 UIEC recommend refunding \$76.2 million in savings 13 related to the Tax Reform Act through the end of 2018." 14 15 That statement is partially incorrect. 16 The Office did propose to return the full \$76.2 million, but did not propose that the refund would 17 terminate at the end of 2018. The Office asserts 18 that this should not be an interim rate. 19 2.0 It is the Office's position that this is 21 an annual amount that should continue to be refunded 22 until the Company files a general rate case, an 23 application to revise the tariff, or an application 24 to utilize the funds for some other purpose that 25 will benefit rate payers.

	D						
1	Page 72 In our comments, we did not indicate						
2	specifically how the \$76 million should be returned						
3	to rate payers. We agree with the Division that the						
4	credit should be shown on customer bills as a						
5	separate line item so it is clear to rate payers						
6	what is being returned and why.						
7	The Office maintains its recommendation						
8	that the Commission require the Company to return						
9	the full \$76 million to customers through a rate						
10	reduction effective May 1, 2018.						
11	We also recommend that the Company be						
12	required to provide a breakdown of the EVIT balance						
13	on a Utah jurisdictional basis between protected						
14	property related EVIT, unprotected property related						
15	EVIT, and nonproperty related EVIT in its June 15th,						
16	2018, filing.						
17	That concludes my summary.						
18	MR. MOORE: Ms. Murray is available for						
19	cross and questions from the Commission.						
20	MS. REIF: Thank you, Mr. Moore.						
21	Any questions, Ms. Hogle?						
22	MS. HOGLE: No questions.						
23	MS. REIF: Any questions from the						
24	Division?						
25	MR. JETTER: No questions from the						

	Page 73
1	Division, thank you.
2	MS. REIF: Mr. Dodge?
3	MR. DODGE: No questions. Thank you.
4	MS. REIF: Ms. Baldwin?
5	MS. BALDWIN: No questions.
6	MS. REIF: No questions.
7	Ms. Murray, you may be excused.
8	MR. MOORE: Ms. Ramas (phonetic) is on the
9	phone Ramos, I'm sorry is on the phone if
10	anybody has questions about her report.
11	MS. REIF: Are there any questions for
12	Ms. Ramos?
13	MS. HOGLE: No questions.
14	MS. REIF: Ms. Ramos, thank you for being
15	with us. You are welcome to stay on the line, and
16	there aren't any questions for.
17	MR. MOORE: Can't she be excused?
18	MS. REIF: Oh, she can be excused. She's
19	welcome to say on the line, if she wishes.
20	MS. MURRAY: She's on vacation; so
21	probably not.
22	MS. REIF: All right. Yes.
23	MS. MURRAY: Thank you.
24	MS. REIF: Thank you.
25	Mr. Dodge?

```
Page 74
 1
               MR. DODGE:
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
 2
               UAE would like to call Kevin Higgins.
 3
               MS. REIF: Mr. Higgins, good morning.
 4
               MR. HIGGINS: Good morning.
               MS. REIF: I will swear you in.
 5
 6
               Do you swear to tell the truth?
 7
               MR. HIGGINS: Yes, I do.
 8
               MS. REIF: Thank you.
 9
               You may proceed.
10
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
11
     BY MR. DODGE:
12
         Q.
               Mr. Higgins, would you state your name and
13
     on whose behalf you're testifying?
14
               My name is Kevin Higgins.
                                           I'm here on
     behalf of Utah Association of Energy Users, or UAE.
15
               Mr. Higgins, did you participate in
16
         Q.
     preparation of UAE's comments and responsive
17
     comments, both filed in this docket?
18
               Yes, I did.
19
         Α.
20
               And do you adopt those today as your
         Q.
21
     testimony regarding UAE's position?
2.2
         Α.
               Yes, I do.
23
         0.
               And do you have any corrections to either
     of those comments?
24
25
               I have a typo of some substance that I
         Α.
```

Page 75 1 need to correct, and that is on page 7 of the 2 responsive comments filed by UAE on April 9th. 3 And under the section "requested relief," 4 seven lines down we refer to a period ending December 31st, 2018. That should be 2017. 5 6 Q. Thank you. And I believe that will certainly be 7 Α. consistent with the context in which its made. 8 9 Q. Thank you. 10 MR. DODGE: And with those corrections, 11 I'd offer into the record the UAE comments that have 12 been documented by Mr. Higgins. MS. REIF: Any objection? 13 Seeing none, it is admitted. 14 15 (BY MR. DODGE) Mr. Higgins, before I ask Q. 16 you to summarize -- provide a brief summary of your testimony, I'm assuming that there -- almost 17 regardless of the amount the Commission decides to 18 have returned, there could be adopted between the 19 actual tax savings as determined by the Commission 20 21 from January 1st forward in the amount returned. 22 What is UAE's position with respect to the 23 carrying charge that should apply to any such amount? 24 25 Α. UAE's position with respect to any

Page 76 carrying charges in this -- dealing with these tax 1 2 reform reductions is that they represent a 3 regulatory liability on the Company. And, as such, 4 the carrying charges on that regulatory liability ought to be equal to the weighted average cost of 5 capital that's applied to the rate base generally; 6 so since this is net, any of these deferrals is, in 7 essence, acting as a reduction in rate base. 8 should receive the same carrying charge effect that 9 the rate base receives in terms of return. 10 11 Q. Thank you, Mr. Higgins. 12 With that, would you provide the 13 Commission with a brief summary? Yes. 14 Α. UAE recognizes and appreciates that the 15 Q. Commission took important steps in opening this 16 Docket and authorizing deferred accounting treatment 17 for Rocky Mountain Power to defer as regulatory 18 19 liability all revenue requirement impacts of the Tax Reform Act, beginning January 1st, 2018. 20 21 These actions allow the Commission and 22 parties to carefully consider the best path forward 23 for equitably passing through the benefits of lower corporate tax rates to customers. 24 25 Rocky Mountain Power has provided an

- 1 initial and partial estimate of the Utah
- 2 jurisdictional revenue requirement impact of the Tax
- 3 Reform Act of an annual reduction of approximately
- 4 \$76 million. The Company estimated this reduction
- 5 by recalculating its Utah Results of Operations for
- 6 the 12 months ending June 13th, 2017. The
- 7 recalculation was performed for two of the
- 8 significant impacts of the Tax Reform Act; namely,
- 9 the reduction in the federal income tax rate from
- 10 35 percent to 21 percent, and the repeal of the
- 11 domestic production activities deduction.
- The Company used a price change approach
- 13 to reduce revenues to reflect the lower revenue
- 14 requirement while maintaining the same earned return
- on equity as filed in the June 2017 Results of
- 16 Operations.
- 17 UAE believes that the price change
- 18 approach applied to the Results of Operations as
- 19 proposed by the Company is reasonably constant;
- 20 therefore, UAE supports moving forward with this
- 21 basic approach.
- 22 Rocky Mountain Power has also proposed
- 23 that the final numbers be based on the Results of
- 24 Operation for the year ending December 31st, 2017.
- 25 And UAE does not object to using the December 31st,

Page 78 1 2017, Results of Operations for this purpose. 2 The Company has explained that it has not yet estimated the impact that results from the other 3 changes in the Tax Reform Act because they are 4 either more complex in nature or additional guidance 5 or information is required. It is my understanding 6 7 that the Company proposes to address the impacts 8 from these other changes in the update filing on June 15th, 2018. 9 For the purpose of establishing a credit 10 11 on customers' bills effective May 1st, 2018, UAE has 12 proposed that this initial reduction should be no 13 less than 80 percent of the Company's \$76 million partial estimate, or approximately \$61 million. 14 15 While some uncertainty about the final revenue requirement savings calculated using the 16 December 2017 Results of Operations may make it 17 reasonable for the initial reduction to be set at a 18 level that is less than the full amount of the 19 partial estimate, the public interest objective here 20 21 should be to reduce customer rates as much as 22 reasonably possible, as soon as reasonably possible, 23 to reflect the reduction in taxes. In its April 16th reply comments, the 24 Company revised its initial proposal, which was to 25

Page 79 1 recognize an initial rate reduction of just 2 \$20 million, and is now proposing that the May 1st rate reduction be set at \$61 million, consistent 3 4 with the minimum reduction proposed by UAE. This is a constructive response on the 5 Company's part. But, to be clear, UAE believes that 6 the ultimate reduction reflected in customer rates 7 should be set at 100 percent of the revenue 8 9 requirement reduction associated with the reduction in tax rates as calculated using the December 2017 10 11 Results of Operations. 12 UAE's recommendation that the initial reduction should be no less than 80 percent of the 13 14 partial estimate is made as a precaution in the 15 event that the 2017 Results of Operations 16 calculation turns out to be materially less than the \$76 million partial estimate. 17 UAE believes that a final determination of 18 the rate reduction can be addressed subsequent to 19 the Company's June 15th filing, taking account of 20 21 the December 31st, 2017, Results of Operations, as 22 well as deferrals accrued since January 1st of this 23 This can take the form of an update later this year to the initial Schedule 197 rate being 24 25 decided at this time. At that time, the Commission

1	will also have the opportunity to address the proper					
2	rate-making treatment of excess ADIT. UAE believes					
3	that the Commission should take steps to begin					
4	returning the excess ADIT to customers as soon as					
5	possible while complying with the normalization					
6	requirements of the Tax Reform Act.					
7	UAE also encourages the Commission to					
8	require the return of excess ADIT not subject to the					
9	normalization requirements, such as unprotected					
10	property excess ADIT and non-property taxes ADIT,					
11	over a reasonable amortization schedule such as five					
12	to seven years.					
13	That concludes my comments.					
14	Q. Thank you.					
15	MR. DODGE: Mr. Higgins is available for					
16	cross.					
17	1 0					
I	MS. REIF: Ms. Hogle?					
18	MS. REIF: Ms. Hogle?  MS. HOGLE: I have no cross.					
18 19						
	MS. HOGLE: I have no cross.					
19	MS. HOGLE: I have no cross.  MS. REIF: Mr. Jetter?					
19 20	MS. HOGLE: I have no cross.  MS. REIF: Mr. Jetter?  MR. JETTER: No questions from the					
19 20 21	MS. HOGLE: I have no cross.  MS. REIF: Mr. Jetter?  MR. JETTER: No questions from the  Division.					
19 20 21 22	MS. HOGLE: I have no cross.  MS. REIF: Mr. Jetter?  MR. JETTER: No questions from the  Division.  MS. REIF: Mr. Moore?					

1	Page 81 MS. BALDWIN: No questions.						
2	MS. REIF: Thank you.						
3	I too have no questions. You may be						
4	excused.						
5	MR. HIGGINS: Thank you.						
6	MS. REIF: And Mr. Dodge?						
7	MR. DODGE: Your Honor, I'd like to make a						
8	proffer and indicate ask Your Honor and parties						
9	to indicate whether they have any questions for						
10	Mr. Higgins or, excuse me for Mr. Swenson on						
11	behalf of U.S. Mag.						
12	And U.S. Mag filed responsive comments						
13	which we would like to introduce into the record as						
14	sworn testimony of Mr. Swenson, absent objection.						
15	But, again, if there are any questions of						
16	Mr. Swenson, we are happy to call him to summarize						
17	his position and answer any questions.						
18	MS. REIF: Are there any questions of the						
19	parties of Mr. Swenson?						
20	Doesn't appear to be.						
21	I, however, have a question or two for						
22	Mr. Swenson.						
23	MR. DODGE: Excellent.						
24	Then U.S. Mag calls Roger Swenson.						
25	MS. REIF: Mr. Swenson, good morning.						
	, 5						

Page 82 1 MR. SWENSON: Good morning. 2. MS. REIF: Thank you for coming. 3 I'm going to swear you in. 4 Do you swear to tell the truth? MR. SWENSON: 5 I do. 6 MS. REIF: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. DODGE: 8 Mr. Swenson, can you tell us who you are 9 0. 10 and who you're here representing? 11 My name is Roger J. Swenson. I'm an Α. 12 energy consultant with E-Quant Consulting. Today 13 I'm here representing U.S. Magnesium, LLC. 14 0. Mr. Swenson, did you participate in the preparation of responsive comments filed by U.S. 15 Magnesium in this docket? 16 17 Yes, I did. Α. 18 And do you adopt those responsive comments Q. 19 as your sworn testimony here today? 2.0 Yes, I do. Α. 21 Q. Do you have any corrections to that 22 testimony? 23 Α. No, I do not. MR. DODGE: I move for the admission of 24 25 those comments of Mr. Swenson's testimony.

	Page 83					
1	MS. REIF: Any objection?					
2	Seeing none, they are admitted.					
3	MR. DODGE: Thank you.					
4	Q. (BY MR. DODGE) Mr. Swenson, did you have					
5	any summary you'd like to offer? You may,					
6	otherwise, we'll allow the Commission to be able to					
7	ask you some questions.					
8	Do you have a summary you want to provide?					
9	A. I don't think I need to provide a summary					
10	right now.					
11	Q. Thank you.					
12	MS. REIF: This is will be hopefully very					
13	pain-free. I just have a couple of questions for					
14	you. And thank you for being here for					
15	MR. SWENSON: Certainly.					
16	MS. REIF: the hearing and available					
17	for questioning.					
18	EXAMINATION					
19	BY MS. REIF:					
20	I'm going to refer to Rocky Mountain					
21	Power's reply dated April 16th, and I'm not sure if					
22	you have a copy of that with you. But on page 11 on					
23	that reply, Rocky Mountain Power says as follows:					
24	In the first full paragraph, it says "the Company is					
25	not opposed to allocating an overall percentage					
I						

1	Page 84 decrease to Nucor and U.S. Magnesium."						
2	And then it goes on to say how they are						
3	going to allocate the \$61 million rate reduction to						
4	special contracts.						
5	Do you agree with PacifiCorp's new						
6	proposal to include special contracts?						
7	A. I do agree. And our contract that was						
8	just approved, in fact, had very clear language						
9	calling out the methodology that we would use in						
10	such a credit-based mechanism.						
11	Q. Okay. Have you had an opportunity to						
12	review the allocation factors that have gone into						
13	the rate reduction for U.S. Magnesium?						
14	A. Yes, I have. And I agree with the						
15	Company's position in the exhibit that shows U.S.						
16	Magnesium's calculated annual reduction.						
17	Q. Okay. So you don't believe there's been						
18	any miscalculation?						
19	A. Not that I can see						
20	Q. Okay.						
21	A from the detail that I have at hand.						
22	Q. Okay. Very good.						
23	That's all I have for you, sir.						
24	A. Thank you very much.						
25	MS. REIF: You may be excused.						

	Page 85					
1	MR. DODGE: Thank you.					
2	And U.S. Magnesium has nothing else.					
3	Thank you.					
4	MS. REIF: Thank you.					
5	Ms. Baldwin?					
6	MS. BALDWIN: UIEC calls Maurice Brubaker					
7	and asks that he be sworn in, and he is the phone.					
8	MS. REIF: Okay. Mr. Brubaker, are you					
9	MR. BRUBAKER: Yes, I'm on.					
10	MS. REIF: with us? Okay. Very good.					
11	This is Melanie Reif speaking. I am the					
12	administrative law judge. And I'm going to swear					
13	you in.					
14	Do you swear to tell the truth, sir?					
15	MR. BRUBAKER: I do.					
16	MS. REIF: Thank you.					
17	MS. BALDWIN: Thank you.					
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION					
19	BY MS. BALDWIN:					
20	Q. Mr. Brubaker, could you please state your					
21	name for the record and explain who you work for and					
22	who you represent today?					
23	A. Yes. My name is Maurice Brubaker. I'm					
24	president of the energy, economic, and regulatory					
25	consulting firm of Brubaker & Associates Inc., and					
1						

- 1 I'm here today on behalf of UIEC.
- Q. And are you the author, the primary
- 3 author, of the reply comments in response to Rocky
- 4 Mountain Power's comments on 2018 tax reconciliation
- 5 which were filed by UIEC on February --
- 6 A. Vicki, I'm having a terrible time hearing
- 7 you.
- 8 Q. Okay. Sorry.
- 9 Are you the primary author of the reply
- 10 comments in response to Rocky Mountain Power's
- 11 comments on the 2018 tax reconciliation act which
- 12 were filed on February 23rd and the responsive
- 13 comments on the 2018 tax reconciliation act filed on
- 14 April 9th, both on behalf of UIEC?
- 15 A. I am.
- 16 Q. Do you have any corrections to make on
- 17 either of those?
- 18 A. I do not.
- 19 Q. Are you prepared to adopt as your own
- 20 testimony the contents of both of those documents?
- 21 A. I am.
- 22 Q. Before we go to your summary, I wanted to
- 23 ask if you have had a chance to review the reply
- 24 comments that were filed by Rocky Mountain Power on
- 25 April 16th?

- 1 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And have you been able to evaluate the
- 3 supporting information, the exhibits that were
- 4 provided along with that?
- 5 A. Yes. To the extent that the material was
- 6 provided, I have.
- 7 Q. And were you present by phone this morning
- 8 when Ms. Kobliha gave an explanation to the Exhibit
- 9 1, the Confidential Exhibit 1?
- 10 A. Yes, I was.
- 11 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 12 Could you please tell us whether that --
- 13 any of that information has changed your position in
- 14 this matter, and if so, why or why not?
- 15 A. Okay. No. That information has not
- 16 changed the recommendation to implement a \$76
- 17 million revenue decrease May 1st or as soon as
- 18 possible.
- The information provided in Exhibit 1
- 20 basically deals with credit metrics, which are
- 21 important, but not the only consideration in rating
- 22 agencies' assessment of utilities and other
- 23 companies' performance.
- 24 The financial aspects of the rating are
- 25 about 40 percent, and 50 percent is regulatory

Page 88 framework and the ability of the utility to earn 1 2 it's rates of return. But even notwithstanding 3 that, I think, as Ms. Kobliha indicated this 4 morning, the difference in the coverage ratios between 100 percent flow-back of the \$76 million and 5 80 percent flow-back that the Company is now 6 7 proposing is very, very small -- very much in the same ballpark. While Moody's does have kind of a 8 9 guidance of 20 percent of the FFO/debt ratio, it's a 10 quidance, and it's expressed as a sustained level 11 below 20 percent, not just that it happens to dip 12 below 20 percent at one particular time; so it's not 13 It's a guidance. It's important but not --I don't think that the difference in the ratios that 14 15 are presented on Exhibit 1 should cause any alarm bells between the \$76 million and the \$61 million 16 17 rate reduction. So I continue to believe that it would be 18 19 appropriate to reduce rates by \$76 million, 20 beginning May 1st and -- or as soon as possible. 21 And I also agree with the Division that, by the end 22 of 2018, whatever wasn't refunded or whatever rate 23 reduction wasn't received by that time, be refunded to customers prior to the end of calendar year 2018. 24 25 Q. Thank you.

1	Page 89  And do you have a summary that is prepared
2	for today?
3	A. Yeah. I guess I kind of got into it there
4	a little bit.
5	Our recommendation is to refund to
6	customers as soon as possible the current impacts,
7	which is \$76 million. We don't think I don't
8	think it's appropriate to use to hold and use
9	those funds to offset some other costs. I think if
10	there are other costs that need to be addressed,
11	they need to be addressed in the context of a
12	broader context where other relative factors could
13	be considered, such as decreases in other costs that
14	might offset or increases in revenue that should be
15	considered. Customers should not be denied the
16	benefits of the lower cost that Rocky is
17	experiencing now; rather, they should be entitled to
18	have those benefits reflected in their rates as a
19	lower charge.
20	As to the deferred income taxes, the
21	recommendation is to examine those in the upcoming
22	hearings which will be held later this year.
23	Q. Thank you.
24	A. That concludes my summary.
25	Q. Thank you.

1	Page 90 MS. BALDWIN: Commissioner, we'd like to						
2	proffer the exhibits UIEC for the reply comments and						
3	the responsive comments to the record.						
4	MS. REIF: Any objection?						
5	They are admitted.						
6	MS. BALDWIN: And we would like to offer						
7	Mr. Brubaker for cross-examination.						
8	MS. REIF: Thank you, Ms. Baldwin.						
9	Ms. Hogle, do you wish to ask Mr. Brubaker						
10	any questions?						
11	MS. HOGLE: I would, if I can have a						
12	moment, or maybe						
13	MS. REIF: Sure. Let's do the round and						
14	we'll see what happens.						
15	Mr. Jetter?						
16	MR. JETTER: No questions from the						
17	Division.						
18	MS. REIF: Mr. Moore?						
19	MR. MOORE: The Office has no questions.						
20	MS. REIF: Mr. Dodge?						
21	MR. DODGE: No questions. Thank you.						
22	MS. REIF: Ms. Hogle, we're back to you.						
23	Do you need a moment?						
24	MS. HOGLE: I do.						
25	MS. REIF: Okay. Sure.						
1							

```
Page 91
               Do we need a recess for ten minutes?
 1
 2
               MS. HOGLE: We probably should.
 3
               MS. REIF: Let's take a recess for ten
     minutes. We'll be off the record. Thank you.
 4
 5
                          (Recess.)
               MS. REIF: We're back on the record.
 6
 7
               Ms. Hogle, are you ready to proceed with
     the witness?
 8
 9
               MS. HOGLE: Yes I'd like to ask a couple
     of questions of Mr. Brubaker.
10
11
               MS. REIF: Sure. Yeah.
12
               MS. HOGLE:
                           Thank you.
13
                      CROSS-EXAMINATION
     BY MS. HOGLE:
14
15
               Mr. Brubaker, I just want to explore a
         Q.
     little bit your comments in your summary regarding
16
     that, according to your opinion, the difference
17
     between the refund based on 100 percent refund as
18
19
     opposed to an 80 percent refund is "immaterial."
20
               In light of tax reforms, have you ever
21
     been involved in discussions, in direction
22
     discussions, between rating agencies and utility
     companies?
23
24
               I've not been directly involved in those
         Α.
25
     discussions. I have read a number of rating agency
```

Page 92 publications that address the issue. 1 2 Q. Thank you. 3 Do you have Confidential Attachment 1 in 4 front of you? 5 Α. Yes. MS. HOGLE: At this time, Your Honor, I 6 7 would move for the reporter -- excuse me -- for the Commission to stop streaming so that we can get into confidential discussion. 9 MS. REIF: Okay. Very good. 10 11 For the reason requested, the Commission 12 will grant your motion to go into confidential 13 session. And if somebody could close the back door, 14 15 please. And Sherrie, could you confirm that 16 17 streaming has ceased? 18 (BOUND UNDER SEPARATE COVER) 19 /// 2.0 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 ///

		Page 93
1	///	
2	///	
3	///	
4	///	
5	///	
6	///	
7	///	
8	///	
9	///	
10	///	
11	///	
12	///	
13	///	
14	///	
15	///	
16	///	
17	///	
18	///	
19	///	
20	///	
21	///	
22	///	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	

		Page 94
1	///	
2	///	
3	///	
4	///	
5	///	
6	///	
7	///	
8	///	
9	///	
10	///	
11	///	
12	///	
13	///	
14	///	
15	///	
16	///	
17	///	
18	///	
19	///	
20	///	
21	///	
22	///	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	

			Page 95
1	///		
2	///		
3	///		
4	///		
5	///		
6	///		
7	///		
8	///		
9	///		
10	///		
11	///		
12	///		
13	///		
14	///		
15	///		
16	///		
17	///		
18	///		
19	///		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		

```
Page 96
     ///
 1
 2
     ///
 3
     ///
 4
     ///
 5
     ///
     ///
 6
 7
     ///
 8
     ///
 9
     ///
                   (END CONFIDENTIAL SESSION)
10
11
               MS. REIF: And, Ms. Hogle, I want to come
12
     back to the line of questioning that you were
13
     engaging in. And I think it may require recalling
     your witness, Ms. -- Kobliha? I'm sorry if I didn't
14
     pronounce that correctly.
15
               But before we do that, I do want to finish
16
17
     with the order that we've been going in and allow
     Nucor to -- I think the representation at the
18
19
     beginning of the hearing was that they weren't going
20
     to necessarily participate. But I do have a couple
21
     of questions, and I think they do intend to put on
2.2
     the witness.
23
               MR. COOK:
                          Sure. Your Honor, since our
     comments were essentially a written nature, we have
24
25
     Pete Mattheis here that can answer questions.
```

1	Page 97 MS. REIF: Okay.
2	MR. COOK: The Court calls Pete Mattheis.
3	MS. REIF: Mr. Mattheis, please take the
4	witness stand and I will swear you in.
5	Do you swear to tell the truth, sir?
6	MR. MATTHEIS: I do.
7	MS. REIF: Okay. Very good.
8	Counsel, do you want to start with him, or
9	do you want me into go ahead and
10	MR. COOK: Whatever Your Honor wants. If
11	it's easier for you to do it, that's fine. I'm
12	happy to start with him.
13	Well, I was just going to ask my
14	questions, but I was first going to first ask
15	everyone if they have questions.
16	So it doesn't appear like anybody else has
17	questions.
18	EXAMINATION
19	BY MS. REIF:
20	Q. So Mr. Mattheis, you've heard these
21	questions before. They are the same questions that
22	I asked the U.S. Magnesium witness, Mr. Roger
23	Swenson, and so this will just take a moment.
24	And just to orient you, this relates to
25	the reply comments that the Company filed, their

- 1 most recent comments on April 16th. And on page 11
- 2 of those comments and in the very first complete
- 3 paragraph, it states that "the Company is not
- 4 opposed to allocating an overall percentage decrease
- 5 to Nucor and U.S. Magnesium."
- 6 Do you agree with the new proposal to
- 7 include special contracts, in particular, the Nucor
- 8 special contract, into this docket?
- 9 A. Yes, ma'am, I do.
- 10 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 11 And there was some discussion also in this
- 12 paragraph about the allocation factor and how that
- 13 rate reduction is to be implemented. And I don't
- 14 know if you have had a chance to review that.
- 15 Have you had a chance to review that, sir?
- 16 A. Very superficially. I will say I've read
- 17 through it and looked at it.
- 18 Q. If you haven't reviewed it enough to
- 19 answer my question, that's perfectly fine. You
- 20 don't have to give me an affirmative or a negative
- 21 response. You can just say, "I haven't had a chance
- 22 to review it enough."
- 23 But I'm just curious to know whether, if
- 24 you have had a chance to review it to your
- 25 satisfaction to answer this question, whether you

- believe that the allocation factor is correct
- 2 inasmuch as the rate reduction has been correctly
- 3 calculated with respect to Nucor?
- 4 A. I would say my review has been a little
- 5 too superficial to answer that fully. It looks like
- 6 it's in the right ballpark.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. But all I've really done is eyeball it.
- 9 Q. Okay. That is an honest answer. Thank
- 10 you very much, sir.
- 11 And unless there's any follow-up, I will
- 12 excuse you. And thank you for being here today for
- 13 questioning.
- 14 A. Thank you.
- MS. REIF: So barring anything else right
- 16 now, I think I want to come back to what struck me
- 17 as something that may be worthwhile discussing while
- 18 we're all here.
- 19 And with respect to Rocky Mountain Power's
- 20 witness, Ms. Kobliha -- I hope I'm pronouncing that
- 21 right?
- MS. KOBLIHA: Yes.
- MS. REIF: Ms. Kobliha, would you please
- 24 come back to the witness stand? I do want to ask
- 25 you just a couple of things, and hopefully it won't

Page 100 take much of your time. 1 2 FURTHER EXAMINATION 3 BY MS. REIF: 4 Q. So I'm going to be careful here because, in part, my question relates to the confidential 5 exhibit, and I'm not going to be getting into any 6 detail of that other than just referencing it; so I 7 just want to make sure that you're aware of that and 8 9 comfortable with that up front. 10 I believe you indicated in your initial 11 testimony that Rocky Mountain Power had come to an 12 agreement last week in Wyoming that 100 percent of 13 the tax refund, tax rebate, would be going back 14 immediately to rate payers in Wyoming. 15 Did I understand that correctly? 16 Α. So it's similar to -- comparative to the 76.2; so for Wyoming's annualized benefit, which is 17 right around \$24-, \$25 million would be going back 18 effective -- it was June -- 1st? -- I can't remember 19 the date right now -- on that annualized basis until 20 21 the next rate case or until we bump into some of the 2.2 other caveats we added in that settlement with WIEC 23 around if we see a downgrade or are put on credit 24 watch. If you were giving the customers of 25 Q.

- 1 Wyoming that offer or you came to that agreement,
- 2 why aren't Utah customers afforded the same
- 3 treatment?
- 4 A. So that agreement was in exchange or in
- 5 conjunction with approval of the CPCN for approval
- 6 of -- let's make it clear. With WIEC, we had agreed
- 7 with WIEC that they would support our CPCN
- 8 application.
- 9 Q. For the record, could you clarify what
- 10 "WIEC" is?
- 11 A. Sorry. The Wyoming Industrial Energy
- 12 Consumers.
- So we had agreed with WIEC that, for -- in
- 14 exchange for them supporting our Certificate for
- 15 Public Convenience and Necessity, the CPCN, on our
- 16 new wind and transmission line, plus the approval on
- 17 our re-powering proceeding that's going -- or
- 18 support on that proceeding, that, in exchange, we
- 19 would offer the 100 percent of the current tax
- 20 benefits which, like I said, is the equivalent of
- 21 around the \$25 million a year.
- 22 And in that agreement we also had those,
- 23 like I mentioned, the caveats around, to the extent
- 24 the Company is put on credit watch or receives
- 25 downgrade, that we could come in and adjust but

Page 102 refer to as a "surcredit." So the surcredit is how 1 2 we would apply that benefit back to customers. 3 can change that surcredit if we get ourselves into 4 that situation. What is it about Utah customers that make 5 them different in the situation? You'd explained 6 7 why you came to that agreement in Wyoming, but why 8 not give the same treatment to Utah customers? Why do we have to wait and why does the confidential 9 exhibit, which is speculative by best estimates, I 10 11 think -- and I'm reading into your testimony a 12 little bit about the agreement with Wyoming -- but 13 is there a reasonable basis for not giving Utah customer the refund? 14 15 Α. So the exhibit is a forecast using Sure. 16 the estimate of what the numbers are. And, you know, I think part of the difference here is, like I 17 18 said, the agreement that we had reached with WIEC, 19 the tax deferral hasn't been approved by the Wyoming 2.0 Commission. It is simply a stipulation that we have 21 with WIEC in particular. If other parties came in 2.2 and have a different suggestion or some other 23 proposal, we could end up in a different place; so 24 that might be the first thing to make sure we note. 25 The calculations there are on a total

Page 103 1 company basis. And Utah is definitely the big dog 2 we have going on here; so to the extent that Wyoming gets their \$24 million, it's not going to force the 3 4 What do you mean by "big dog"? 5 0. 6 Α. They're the largest share -- our refund 7 that we pass to active customers. 8 Q. As you pointed out, though, these are company-wide numbers? 9 Α. Correct. 10 11 So why should Utah customers be held Q. 12 accountable for a customer base system-wide --13 Α. Sure. -- calculation? 14 0. 15 And I guess I don't see it as Utah Α. 16 customers being held accountable for it. I see it as Wyoming -- we had a different -- other aspects 17 that we were considering, these particular projects 18 when we made that deal. And we don't have that 19 situation or that opportunity at this point in Utah. 20 21 0. Meaning, the opportunity to sell? 2.2 The opportunity to have a stipulation 23 where it would include refunding the dollars to Utah customers in exchange for approval on the new wind 24 transmission and re-powering projects. 25

Page 104 1 0. Okay. 2. Α. At least at this point. Yeah. I don't 3 know if that will further progress. 4 Q. Okay. Thank you, Ms. Kobliha, for answering my 5 6 questions. I appreciate it very much. 7 Is there any follow up? 8 MS. HOGLE: There is. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MS. HOGLE: 10 11 Ms. Kobliha, the hearing officer asked you Q. 12 questions. And in her questions, she referred to 13 the calculations in Confidential Attachment 1 as 14 "speculative by best estimates." Do you think that Confidential Attachment 15 1 is "speculative by best estimates," or did 16 PacifiCorp use the best information available that 17 it had in order to calculate this information? 18 Yeah. We definitely used the best 19 Α. 2.0 information that we have available to us. And it's 21 a projection or a forecast as to what could occur 2.2 under various scenarios that we analyzed. 23 Q. And would you agree that the Company is returning -- agrees that the refund from the Tax 24 Reform Act should be going back to customers and 25

Page 105 that it intends to make whole its Utah customers and 1 2 will not keep that refund but will treat it as -- if the Commission approves, as suggested in its 3 4 filings. And that is, at the most, as offsets to 5 cost pressures? 6 Α. So we fully intend to provide all the benefits of tax reform to Utah customers. And I 7 think what we're asking for is time to make sure 8 9 that providing the benefits doesn't result in a downgrade to the Company. One of my ultimate goals 10 is to keep the Company financially healthy, and we 11 12 are very proud of our ratings that we have with the 13 rating agencies, because we believe it provides us the ability to issue debts at a lower cost than what 14 otherwise might be issued by other parties who 15 aren't rated at our same level. 16 17 Q. Thank you. 18 MS. REIF: Thank you. 19 Are there any other questions for the 2.0 witness? 21 Ms. Baldwin. 2.2 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 23 BY MS. BALDWIN: Ms. Kobliha, isn't it true that, if you 24 0. were to give the \$76.2 million to Utah rate payers 25

- 1 and if the Company were to suffer some type of
- 2 adverse credit, isn't it true you could come in in
- 3 Utah just as you're offering to do in Wyoming and
- 4 file and request the change in the --
- 5 A. So that's what we would actually request.
- 6 Similar to what we -- the language we added in the
- 7 stipulation in Wyoming is that, if the Company does
- 8 see either a negative outlook or some sort of
- 9 downgrade potential, that we would ask to have that
- 10 surcredit -- or whatever we'll call it here --
- 11 modified such that we wouldn't see that downgrade.
- 12 And, hopefully, it would be before a downgrade
- 13 occurs. Sometimes it might be a, you know, a
- 14 too-little-too-late type of situation, which is
- 15 really -- the challenge with all of these is we
- 16 could get to the point where the rating agencies act
- 17 pretty fast and we see a downgrade before we have
- 18 the opportunity to mitigate it with increased cash
- 19 flow back to the Company.
- MS. BALDWIN: That's all I have. Thank
- 21 you.
- MS. REIF: Just a follow-up on that
- 23 question.
- 24 FURTHER EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MS. REIF:

1	Page 107 Q. Does that mean that you are modifying your
2	proposal with that caveat?
3	A. So if we were to get to a point where the
4	order is 100 percent of the refund, that's what
5	we would like to see language that indicates that we
6	could apply to that language that the Company does
7	have the opportunity to come back in and request
8	that \$76 million be reduced, if we get to the \$76
9	million, in the event that the Company is either put
10	on negative watch or a downgrade.
11	In the \$61 million scenario, I would like
12	that language, but I'm not as concerned about it
13	because I think that any potential ratings review
14	that could result in a downgrade is probably far
15	enough in the future that other things will happen
16	in our business that could cause that to change.
17	MS. REIF: Thank you. That was very
18	helpful clarification. I really appreciate it.
19	Any follow up, Ms. Hogle?
20	MS. HOGLE: Just one more, Your Honor.
21	Thank you.
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. HOGLE:
24	Q. Ms. Kobliha, in addition to your testimony
25	confirming what how the Company intends to treat

Page 108

- 1 -- pending Commission approval, of course -- the
- 2 balance of the refund to Utah customers, isn't it
- 3 true that one of the things that the Company is also
- 4 trying to balance is rate stability in -- and so
- 5 also in response to Ms. Baldwin's question, it does
- 6 result in a downgrade, a 100 percent refund.
- 7 What would that do to the goal the Company
- 8 has on rate stability?
- 9 A. So maybe the question is in the context
- of, if we see a downgrade, then the Company would
- 11 likely, in their next step issuance, experience an
- 12 increase in the cost of that debt, which would
- 13 further put pressure on the need for additional
- 14 dollars from customers, is what we're trying to
- 15 avoid with that.
- 16 Q. So the rate stability goal would not be
- 17 achieved?
- 18 A. Right. We would see an increase for that
- 19 in additional cost of debt.
- 20 MS. HOGLE: Those are all the questions I
- 21 have. Thank you.
- 22 FURTHER EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MS. REIF:
- Q. That would be the same thing you're
- 25 encountering or potentially encountering in Wyoming;

Page 109

#### 1 correct?

- 2 A. If all the states end up at the 100
- 3 percent level, then that's where we have the risk of
- 4 the debt and the downgrading. And, yeah, the debt
- 5 would cost us more in the future.
- 6 Q. I see. Okay. Thank you.
- 7 MS. REIF: Anything else? All right.
- 8 MR. DODGE: One thing at the risk of
- 9 sounding impertinent, have we adequately responded
- 10 to Your Honor's questions or concerns about the
- 11 manner in which the special contract refund
- 12 percentages were calculated? Because if not, I'd
- 13 like to make sure we cleared that up.
- MS. REIF: I think you have responded in
- 15 the manner in which you've responded. We don't --
- 16 we believe there's an error.
- 17 And Mr. Swenson is shaking his head --
- 18 nodding his head, I should say. I'm not sure if
- 19 he's in agreement or whatever.
- 20 But we believe that there's an error. And
- 21 if necessary, we can clarify that in the order. I
- 22 think there's a difference of 3.1 percent versus
- 23 3.5 percent.
- MR. DODGE: I was wondering about that.
- MS. REIF: Yes.

```
Page 110
 1
               MR. DODGE:
                           And that's why I was hoping,
 2
     again, at the risk of being impertinent, to see if
     we could clear it up.
 3
 4
               MS. REIF: You're not being impertinent at
     all.
 5
                           I think Ms. Steward or
 6
               MR. DODGE:
     Mr. Swenson, I think, could testify to this, if
 7
     you'd like to, that they directly allocated a
 8
 9
     portion of the refund to these two customers based
10
     on cost of service as opposed to taking the average
11
     percentage decrease and applying that.
12
               And people here can clarify that if I'm
13
     wrong.
               It's very close, particularly with respect
14
     to U.S. Mag. Either way, there's some notion that
15
16
     the contracts may or may not contemplate and direct
     the percentage -- the average percentage increase
17
     versus -- and that's sort of where our comment's at.
18
19
               We're frankly okay with either one, but
2.0
     what we did want to avoid was you not being able to
21
     determine the appropriate refund in your order.
2.2
               And so if there's something in here, I'm
23
     sure that --
               We very much appreciate that, Mr. Dodge.
24
         0.
     And if the Company wants to put on an explanation
25
```

Page 111 for that discrepancy, we're happy to hear it. 1 But 2 it appeared to be an error, and we were just simply trying to flush that out. 3 4 MS. HOGLE: Your Honor, it's up to you at this time. I think, based on my discussions with my 5 client, we're okay. We will go back and look at it. 6 But I think it would be helpful to know from the 7 Commission, as I believe Your Honor indicated around 8 this discussion, that they will note it in the 9 order. I believe is what I heard from you. 10 11 And so I think at this time, we can take 12 it back and scrutinize it and look at it and make sure that what is filed is correct. 13 MS. REIF: 14 Okay. 15 And, Mr. Dodge, is that acceptable to you? 16 MR. DODGE: Yes. That is acceptable. 17 MS. REIF: And to you too? 18 MR. COOK: Yes. That's acceptable to 19 Nucor as well. 2.0 MS. REIF: Okay. Thank you so much. 21 Well, with that, I think we've covered all 2.2 the ground we need to cover today. 23 I appreciate everyone's time. And thank you for being here to help the Commission make this 24 decision. 25

	Page 112
1	Have a good rest of your day.
2	Oh. Just one let me I'm sorry.
3	Just one thing before we totally convene.
4	And that is so there was an exhibit
5	from the Office marked OCS-1. And there was the
6	exhibit from Rocky Mountain Power, Exhibit 1.
7	Is it the request from both parties that
8	those be entered into evidence and made part of the
9	transcript?
10	MR. MOORE: That is the request from the
11	Office for the Office's.
12	MS. REIF: Okay.
13	And it would be a confidential exhibit.
14	MS. HOGLE: And I believe that those were
15	attached to the April 16th, 2018, comments, that
16	Confidential Attachment 1.
17	MS. REIF: Okay.
18	MS. HOGLE: And I believe that I moved to
19	enter that into the record.
20	MS. REIF: I just want to make sure.
21	MS. HOGLE: Thank for that.
22	MS. REIF: All right. Very good.
23	Thank you everyone.
24	We are adjourned.
25	(Hearing concluded at 12:41 p.m.)

1	Page 113 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF UTAH )
3	COUNTY OF SALT LAKE )
4	I, ROSE-MARIE ROBINSON, a Utah Certified Court
5	Reporter, California Certified Shorthand Reporter,
6	and NCRA Registered Professional Reporter, hereby
7	certify:
8	THAT the foregoing proceedings were taken
9	before me at the time and place set forth in the
10	caption hereof; that the proceedings were taken down
11	by me in shorthand and thereafter my notes were
12	transcribed through computer-aided transcription;
13	that the foregoing transcript constitutes a full,
14	true, and accurate record of such testimony adduced
15	and oral proceedings had, and of the whole thereof.
16	I have subscribed my name on this 23rd day of
17	April, 2018:
18	APIII, 2010.
19	
20	
21	
22	Los Masia Shirin
23	Rose-Marie Robinson, RPR
24	Utah CCR 9884984-7801 California CSR 14132
25	

Index: \$2.358..23.2

	I	I	I	·
Exhibits	78:13 79:17 87:16 88:5, 16,19 89:7	<b>11</b> 55:22 56:11 83:22 98:1	<b>18</b> 8:1 17:2	17:2 34:18 35:7 37:14 75:5 77:6,15,
EXHIBIT-	107:8	00.1	<b>19</b> 56:13	24 78:1,17
OCS-1 7:12		<b>12</b> 14:18 49:6		79:10,15,21
	<b>\$76.2</b> 16:17	77:6	<b>1900</b> 14:4	
<b>\$</b>	17:11 61:14			<b>2018</b> 8:1,14
Ψ	66:7 70:13	<b>12:41</b> 112:25	<b>197</b> 46:6	14:18,22
	71:12,16		62:17 66:16, 20 67:12	15:8,10,12 16:15 17:8
<b>\$2.358</b> 17:4	105:25	<b>13</b> 49:19	79:24	28:19,23,24
		10 10.10	70.21	33:4 46:5,8,
<b>\$20</b> 17:10	1	<b>13th</b> 77:6	<b>1st</b> 17:12 46:5	13 48:21 49:3
33:13 34:17		1001 77.0	48:21 49:5	60:16,17
70:24 79:2	<b>1</b> 19:23 38:11	<b>15th</b> 28:24	62:11,25	62:9,11,25
	45:20 47:14	42:18,24,25	75:21 76:20	63:24 64:5,8 66:9 67:11
<b>\$24</b> 103:3	48:8 56:11	43:10 46:21	78:11 79:2,22	69:5,11,25
	57:17 72:10	70:17 72:15	87:17 88:20 100:19	70:18,20
<b>\$24-</b> 100:18	87:9,19 88:15	78:9 79:20	100.19	71:11,14,18
	92:3 104:13, 16 112:6,16			72:10,16 75:5
<b>\$25</b> 62:9	10 112.0,10	<b>160</b> 69:1	2	76:20 78:9,11 86:4,11,13
66:18 100:18	4/4/2020			88:22,24
101:21	<b>1/1/2020</b> 35:14	<b>16th</b> 14:22	<b>2</b> 28:18 36:19	112:15
		15:10,12	45:21 57:3,5,	
<b>\$61</b> 17:15	1/1/2021	17:9,13,23 19:24 28:19,	17	<b>2020</b> 34:20
28:16,21	35:18	23 29:15 30:3		
42:20 43:3, 13,18 45:20,		45:17 49:17	<b>2(b)</b> 49:20	<b>2021</b> 32:21,24
23,24 46:7,20	<b>100</b> 17:19,24	50:1 52:8		34:21 35:9 <sup>°</sup>
47:4 48:19,22	19:8 46:9,22	55:21 56:4,6,	<b>20</b> 37:16	
49:4,6,13	79:8 88:5	10 57:10,20 60:17 70:4,20	56:13 88:9,	<b>21</b> 14:11 16:4
62:24 63:22	91:18 100:12	71:10 78:24	11,12	50:15 54:21
64:6 70:21 78:14 79:3	101:19 107:4 108:6 109:2	83:21 86:25		61:18 77:10
84:3 88:16	100.0 109.2	98:1 112:15	<b>2015</b> 14:14	
107:11	40.00 0.4			<b>21.1</b> 37:14
	<b>10:00</b> 8:1	17-035-39	<b>2016</b> 37:12	
<b>\$76</b> 70:22	401/47/4	30:25		<b>23.2</b> 37:13
72:2,9 77:4	<b>10K</b> 17:1		<b>2017</b> 15:22	
		<b>17-035-69</b> 8:9		
Titigation Commissed   900 220 1112				

Index: 23rd..address

<b>23rd</b> 32:20 34:18 35:7 69:5,11 86:12	5	9	58:22 62:2 79:20	60:15 61:2 65:10
<b>25</b> 17:10 36:8	<b>5</b> 45:21	<b>9</b> 55:3,12,13, 17 69:11,25	accountable 103:12,16	actions 76:21
38:7	<b>50</b> 34:5 87:25	<b>97232</b> 14:4	accounting	active 103:7
3	6	<b>9th</b> 60:17 69:5 75:2	70:9 76:17  accrue 28:22	<b>activities</b> 16:10 77:11
<b>3.1</b> 109:22	<b>6</b> 29:15 30:2 37:20 52:8	86:14	accrued 62:8	<b>actual</b> 37:12 64:3 75:20
<b>3.5</b> 109:23	58:13	A	66:17 79:22	actually
<b>300</b> 69:1	<b>62.09</b> 42:6	<b>ability</b> 88:1 105:14	accurately 60:23	16:25 18:2,18 19:12,17 20:1 30:16 32:2
<b>30th</b> 62:9 66:17	7	<b>able</b> 13:4 19:8	achieved 108:17	48:13 55:16 106:5
<b>31</b> 50:15 54:22	<b>7</b> 75:1	51:22 83:6 87:2 110:20	acronyms	actuals 37:10
<b>31st</b> 46:13 75:5 77:24,25	<b>76.2</b> 64:3 100:17	<b>above</b> 28:20, 21	46:15 across 67:13	<b>add</b> 55:12
79:21	<b>7th</b> 14:21 15:8 16:1	absent 81:14	<b>act</b> 8:11 15:24	<b>added</b> 100:22 106:6
<b>35</b> 16:4 34:4 61:17 77:10	17:7,22 	absolutely 33:17	16:13 61:15 70:6,12 71:13 76:20 77:3,8 78:4 80:6	<b>addition</b> 18:18 107:24
3rd 60:16 4	<b>80</b> 17:15	<b>acceptable</b> 111:15,16,18	86:11,13 104:25 106:16	additional 12:20 31:4
<b>4</b> 71:11	70:22 78:13 79:13 88:6 91:19	according 91:17	acting 76:8	46:24 53:20 70:17 71:5 78:5 108:13, 19
<b>40</b> 34:4 87:25	<b>825</b> 14:3	account 55:9	action 36:20	address

Index: addressed..amount

				1
13:25 14:3 38:14 68:24	15:15 61:6 69:20 75:14	against 18:7	15:1 17:21 19:18,19	allowing 44:24
69:1 78:7 80:1 92:1	83:2 90:5 <b>adopt</b> 15:2	<b>agencies</b> 18:19 36:3 46:25 91:22	31:13 32:5 38:24 42:19 43:2,12 46:2, 15 48:12	<b>almost</b> 14:10 75:17
addressed 42:18,23 50:20 57:21 79:19 89:10,	63:23 69:14 74:20 82:18 86:19	105:13 106:16	49:8,9 52:23 58:7 67:16 73:22 76:19	<b>along</b> 15:11 60:16 61:3 87:4
11	adopted 75:19	agencies' 87:22	84:23 99:8,18 105:6 106:15, 20 108:20	
adequately 109:9	adopting	<b>agency</b> 35:23 91:25	109:2,7 110:5 111:21 112:22	<b>already</b> 36:21 38:15 45:8
<b>ADIT</b> 80:2,4, 8,10	45:24 46:19 47:6	<b>agree</b> 72:3 84:5,7,14	allocate 84:3	<b>also</b> 9:19 14:20 18:23 31:17 33:2,22
adjourned 112:24	adverse 106:2	88:21 98:6 104:23	allocated 55:6 66:14,19	35:16 72:11 77:22 80:1,7 88:21 98:11
<b>adjust</b> 101:25	<b>affairs</b> 9:18	<b>agreed</b> 101:6, 13	67:14 68:4 110:8	101:22 108:3, 5
adjusted 46:9	<b>affirmative</b> 98:20	agreement 100:12 101:1, 4,22 102:7,	allocating 55:25 62:15 83:25 98:4	<b>Although</b> 65:9 70:11
<b>adjustment</b> 33:13 55:15	afforded 101:2	12,18 109:19	allocation	amended 49:25
administratio n 58:20	<b>after</b> 42:23 43:9 51:9 65:14	<b>agrees</b> 104:24	55:14 84:12 98:12 99:1	amortization 16:18,20
administrativ e 8:6 59:6	again 33:7	<b>ahead</b> 35:4 44:9 54:6	<b>allocations</b> 55:10 57:16	42:12 80:11
85:12	34:16 35:5 37:6 38:12	61:11 97:9	<b>allow</b> 76:21 83:6 96:17	amortized 17:5
<b>admission</b> 69:18 82:24	45:21 52:9 58:10 63:20 81:15 110:2	alarm 88:15 all 8:20 12:25	allowed 35:19	amount 16:15 30:7 42:1
admitted				46:8,9 49:3

Index: amounts..associated

52:15 57:16 62:23 63:2,3	answering 104:5	14:17 15:9 71:23 101:8	appropriatene ss 71:7	17:6 42:7
64:1,8 66:8 67:11 71:21 75:18,21,24 78:19	anticipating 49:24	applied 46:12 50:4 76:6	<b>approval</b> 50:7 101:5,16	arguments 61:22
	anybody	77:18	103:24 108:1	<b>arise</b> 70:10
amounts 17:16 18:13 28:20,21	73:10 97:16	applies 36:20	approve 42:1	<b>around</b> 66:3 100:18,23
analysis	<b>anyone</b> 59:16	<b>apply</b> 75:23 102:2 107:6	<b>approved</b> 33:12 44:19	101:21,23 111:8
14:16	anything 19:20 35:17 42:20 43:13	<b>applying</b> 18:7 110:11	49:23 84:8 102:19	<b>asks</b> 85:7
analyst 10:11,18 60:8	44:17 48:6,14 99:15 109:7	appointed	approves 105:3	aspects 46:25 87:24
analyze 70:3	apologies	14:13	approximatel	103:17
analyzed 19:25 104:22	51:23  apologize	appreciate 104:6 107:18 110:24	y 62:9 66:18 70:13,21 77:3 78:14	assert 70:25
and/or 61:23	45:9 51:14	111:23	<b>April</b> 8:1	<b>asserts</b> 71:4, 18
announced 32:20 34:19	<b>appear</b> 57:21 68:3 81:20 97:16	<b>appreciates</b> 54:20 76:15	14:22 15:12 17:13 19:24 28:19 29:15 30:3 45:17	assessment 87:22
35:8 annual 48:23	appearances 9:5	approach 36:11 77:12, 18,21	50:1 52:8 55:21 56:17	<b>asset</b> 58:24
49:4,13 50:6 64:9 71:21	appeared	appropriate	57:6,20 60:17 62:9 66:17 69:5,11,25	<b>assets</b> 16:22 34:2 53:6
77:3 84:16	111:2	11:18 51:13 62:21 88:19	70:20 71:10 75:2 78:24 83:21 86:14,	assistance
annualized 100:17,20	appearing 11:13	89:8 110:21	25 98:1 112:15	14:25
annually 50:6	application	appropriately 62:18	<b>ARAM</b> 16:8	<b>associated</b> 16:6 35:20
			•	

Index: Associates..believe

				1
37:23 46:11	Attorney	37:4 38:25	106:20	18 20:3 30:11
79:9	10:7,14	43:12 49:10		32:6,21 34:20
		55:13 57:20	Baldwin's	35:9 36:17
Associates	<b>audit</b> 14:16	58:25 65:21	108:5	46:5 52:24
	audit 14.10	66:6 67:2,5	106.5	53:5 64:4
85:25		90:22 91:6		75:15 86:22
	August 14:14	92:14 96:12	ballpark 88:8	96:16 97:21
Association		99:16,24	99:6	106:12,17
8:21 11:14	00:00	100:13,18		112:3
17:17 74:15	<b>author</b> 86:2,3,	102:2 104:25		
	9	106:19 107:7	barring 99:15	
22.2		111:6,12		begin 63:2
assume 20:8	authorizing		<b>base</b> 32:21	80:3
35:13 51:13	76:17		55:1 61:16	
53:19 59:10		background	76:6,8,10	beginning
		14:8	103:12	51:19 52:22
assumed	available		1	62:24 76:20
61:17	11:1,16,17	backtrack	<b>1</b> .	88:20 96:19
01.17	29:4 49:17	67:21	based 20:7	00.20 30.13
	54:2,19 64:15	07.21	28:11 49:24	
assuming	67:1 70:9		50:12 61:17	behalf 9:8
42:3 54:6	72:18 80:15	balance	62:4,14 63:11	11:13,14,15,
58:16 75:17	83:16 104:17,	19:21 53:7	66:15 68:2	20 12:19 69:2
	20	58:15 62:8	77:23 91:18	74:13,15
assumption		66:17 72:12	110:9 111:5	81:11 86:1,14
16:7 35:10	avorago 16:7	108:2,4		
10.7 35.10	average 16:7		<b>bases</b> 35:9	bobind 0:40
	76:5 110:10, 17	halanasa	<b>Dases</b> 33.8	behind 9:12
attached	''	balances		
19:23 28:18		50:4	basic 77:21	being 13:3,11
56:3 70:1	avoid 108:15			16:16 45:2
112:15	110:20	balancing	 	48:24 49:22
		55:9 58:22	basically	54:19 58:10
ottoch ment	00.40		87:20	72:6 73:14
attachment	aware 32:19	Dalak da		79:24 83:14
15:11 70:1	34:16 35:5	Baldwin	<b>basis</b> 16:18	99:12 103:16
92:3 104:13,	36:7 44:18,21	11:25 12:1	72:13 100:20	110:2,4,20
15 112:16	46:3,17 51:16	13:3 43:25	102:13 103:1	111:24
	100:8	44:1 65:20		
attention		73:4,5 80:25		l
36:19 37:20	В	81:1 85:5,6,	<b>bear</b> 45:14	believe 9:17
45:16 55:19		17,19 90:1,6,		33:12 50:19
		8 105:21,23	<b>before</b> 8:15,	52:17 56:24
			,	
	<b>back</b> 17:21		1	1

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: believes..caveats

				1
59:8 68:14 75:7 84:17	<b>better</b> 19:7,14 29:20 43:1	72:12	99:3 109:12	76:6
88:18 99:1 100:10 105:13	50:20,24 61:23	<b>brief</b> 61:8 75:16 76:13	<b>calculation</b> 16:24 28:25	careful 100:4
109:16,20 111:8,10 112:14,18	<b>between</b> 33:24 63:12	<b>broader</b> 89:12	79:16 103:14 calculations	carefully 76:22
<b>believes</b> 62:20 63:1	72:13 75:19 88:5,16 91:18,22	brought 51:20	48:12,14 49:9 102:25 104:13	carrying 49:20,22 50:6 75:23 76:1,4,
77:17 79:6,18 80:2	beyond 46:1	Brubaker	<b>calendar</b> 46:8 88:24	9
<b>bells</b> 88:16	<b>big</b> 103:1,5	12:3,9,10 85:6,8,9,15, 20,23,25	<b>call</b> 10:23,25	case 30:12 32:14,15,24 33:8 34:20
<b>below</b> 88:11,	billion 17:4	90:7,9 91:10, 15	50:10 59:16, 21 68:15 74:2 81:16 106:10	35:15,19 42:9,17,21 49:8 52:23
benefit 16:15	<b>bills</b> 57:23 72:4 78:11	budget 8:13	<b>calling</b> 51:16	53:6,12,20 55:15 59:3,10
17:16 48:25 71:25 100:17 102:2	<b>bit</b> 16:23 28:9 46:1 48:4	<b>bump</b> 100:21	84:9	64:10 66:23 71:22 100:21
benefits 17:11,19,22, 25 18:3,7,25	63:18 67:22 89:4 91:16 102:12	business 16:9 19:12,18 31:24 32:4 34:12 44:12	calls 13:13 51:1,24 53:14 81:24 85:6 97:2	<b>cash</b> 19:20 37:23 61:24 106:18
19:7 29:1 58:18,23 62:1 63:5 76:23 89:16,18	both 10:23 17:22 68:7 74:18 86:14, 20 112:7	47:1 68:23 69:1 107:16 ————————————————————————————————————	<b>came</b> 101:1 102:7,21	<b>cause</b> 31:8 34:2,6 60:14 88:15 107:16
101:20 105:7, 9	<b>BOUND</b> 20:16 39:2 92:18		<b>can't</b> 12:7 33:19 34:3 73:17 100:19	<b>caused</b> 31:20 33:3
best 76:22 102:10 104:14,16,17,	Brattle 18:24	calculate 104:18	<b>cannot</b> 9:23 58:25	caveat 107:2
19	breakdown	calculated 16:16 78:16 79:10 84:16	<b>capital</b> 31:14	caveats 100:22

101:23	19:11	<b>clear</b> 13:9 52:21 57:19 72:5 79:6	comfortable 100:9	75:18,20 76:13,16,21 79:25 80:3,7
cease 39:1	<b>charge</b> 50:6 75:23 76:9	84:8 101:6 110:3	coming 82:2	83:6 92:8,11 102:20 105:3
ceased 92:17	89:19	cleared	comment	108:1 111:8, 24
certainly 66:2 75:7 83:15	<b>charges</b> 49:20,22	109:13	15:12 71:10	Commission'
	76:1,4	client 111:6	comment's 110:18	<b>s</b> 68:2
Certificate 101:14 113:1	<b>Cheryl</b> 10:17 68:15,25	<b>close</b> 50:9 92:14 110:14	comments 11:4 12:21	Commissione r 90:1
challenge 106:15	<b>chief</b> 9:10 14:6,13	<b>closed</b> 38:19, 23,25	14:20 15:2,7, 10 16:1 17:13 29:15 30:3	<b>commonly</b> 15:23 16:8
<b>chance</b> 86:23 98:14,15,21, 24	circling 66:6	<b>closely</b> 29:18	45:17,19 55:20 57:20 58:13 60:16,	<b>companies</b> 16:9 36:21
	<b>City</b> 69:2		17,22 61:3	91:23
change 31:18 37:1 38:3 47:11,12 56:17 77:12, 17 102:3	<b>claiming</b> 30:5 52:14	closure 18:5 53:7 coal 33:23 34:2	69:4,8,10,15, 18,25 70:19 72:1 74:17, 18,24 75:2,11 78:24 80:13	<b>companies'</b> 18:22 87:23
106:4 107:16	clarification 45:8 107:18		81:12 82:15, 18,25 86:3,4,	<b>company</b> 14:18,21
<b>changed</b> 56:17 87:13, 16	<b>clarifies</b> 64:11	61:20	10,11,13,24 90:2,3 91:16 96:24 97:25 98:1,2 112:15	15:25 16:3, 13,22 17:3,9, 14,18,21 19:15,22 20:2
changes	clarify 11:7	come 42:11 48:16 53:20 59:11,23	Commission	28:14 32:8, 10,18 33:22
15:25 16:12 36:24 57:16 60:19 61:24	63:10,17 65:3,9 101:9 109:21	96:11 99:16, 24 100:11 101:25 106:2	8:7,18,24,25 42:1,14 43:7, 15 45:12	35:22 41:25 45:19 48:1,25 51:1,20,24
69:14 78:4,8	110:12	107:23	54:20 57:25 60:15 61:13	52:18 53:14 55:24 56:7,23 61:13 63:5
changing	clarity 46:18	<b>comes</b> 35:11	63:22 65:6 72:8,19	64:3 68:4
	1			

Index: Company's..copy

				—————————
70:12,16,20, 24 71:22 72:8,11 76:3	16:21 78:5	20:6,9 28:7 32:3 37:4,7 38:12,14,21	103:18	108:9
77:4,12,19 78:2,7,25 83:24 88:6	complying 80:5	41:16 44:13 47:8,14 87:9 92:3,9,12	consistent 17:16 28:23 50:6 75:8 79:3	continue 33:25 37:22 49:7 50:2 61:19 71:21
97:25 98:3 101:24 103:1 104:23 105:10,11	<b>component</b> 46:18 47:4	96:10 100:5 102:9 104:13, 15 112:13,16	consisting 69:10	88:18
106:1,7,19 107:6,9,25 108:3,7,10	components 31:18 32:7,12 33:8 34:9 37:11 49:1,8	confirm 41:24 42:4 52:17 92:16	constant 77:19	67:7
110:25 Company's	<b>concern</b> 47:10 52:14	confirming	constructive 79:5	64:9 70:25 contract
17:7 19:1,9 28:18,24 30:5 45:16 52:8,13	68:2	107:25 confusion	consultant	57:17 84:7 98:8 109:11
53:11 55:1 61:19,22 62:24 63:7	concerned 107:12	42:13 Congress	10:20 82:12 consulting	contracts 84:4,6 98:7
70:7,15,23 71:10 78:13 79:6,20 84:15	concerns 30:5 68:8 109:10	15:22 conjunction	82:12 85:25 Consumer	110:16  convene
company- wide 103:9	concluded 31:6 65:12	101:5	10:16,18 69:3	112:3  Convenience
comparative	112:25	consider 76:22	8:22 12:2 101:12	101:15
complete 16:24 55:23	<b>concludes</b> 72:17 80:13 89:24	consideration 47:13,16,17, 25 48:3,15	contemplate 110:16	conversation s 46:25 65:14
98:2	concurrent 8:13	56:25 87:21 considered	contents 86:20	Cook 12:14, 15,18,24 96:23 97:2,10 111:18
41:17 63:24	confidential 15:10 19:23	89:13,15 considering	<b>context</b> 75:8 89:11,12	<b>copy</b> 47:22
complex				

Index: corporate..day

				Ī
83:22	7 31:14,21	39:2 92:18	53:9	customers
	33:2,14 35:7	111:22		17:10,19,22
	50:15 52:16,			18:2,10,17
corporate	19 53:3,8,10		<b>Creek</b> 18:5	19:5 36:5
76:24	54:25 55:10	coverage	53:7 63:7	43:19 46:7
		88:4		
0	58:20,23		04:45	48:24 49:10
Corporation	62:5,19 64:4	_	<b>cross</b> 64:15	52:15 61:16
12:19	76:5 89:16	covered	65:1 72:19	62:7,13,14,19
	105:5,14	111:21	80:16,18	72:9 76:24
correct 12:24	108:12,19			80:4 88:24
1	109:5 110:10	CDCN 404.5		89:6,15
13:9 31:2,6,9,		<b>CPCN</b> 101:5,	cross-	100:25 101:2
22,25 32:1,		7,15	examination	102:2,5,8
22,25 33:5,6	<b>costs</b> 18:3,5		29:12 41:21	103:7,11,16,
38:9 42:3,6	30:7 32:5	create 60:14	90:7 91:13	24 104:25
43:21 47:7	33:9 55:10	Cleate 00.14		
49:3 56:19	58:17,19 59:2			105:1,7
57:2 69:13	61:21 62:3	created 58:25	cross-	108:2,14
75:1 99:1	63:5,8 71:2	59:1 61:14	examining	110:9
103:10 109:1	89:9,10,13	0011 01111	52:7	
111:13	09.9,10,13			augtomoro!
111.13		creating	00.00	customers'
	counsel 9:9	62:13	<b>curious</b> 98:23	30:7 57:23
corrected	10:10,17 97:8			78:11
68:9	10110,11 0110		current 35:24	
00.0		creation 62:2	38:1 62:5	<b>cut</b> 34:13
	counterbalan		65:4 89:6	<b>cut</b> 54.15
correction	<b>ce</b> 34:14	credit 18:12,	101:19	
56:14		·	101.19	<b>cuts</b> 61:15
		13 19:1,9,15		
	couple 18:4	35:21,23	currently	
corrections	29:11 45:18	36:2,3,8	31:3 36:2	D
60:19 69:7	66:3 83:13	37:24 38:7	48:1 70:12	
74:23 75:10	91:9 96:20	45:20,25 47:4	70.170.12	
82:21 86:16	99:25	61:24 62:10		date 18:10
		66:19 72:4	cushion	
		78:10 87:20	36:21 37:2,25	69:9,12
correctly	course 38:6	100:23	,	100:20
66:10 96:15	60:10 108:1	101:24 106:2		
99:2 100:15			customer	dated 55:20
	<b>court</b> 13:8		49:23 50:3,7	60:15,17
000t 10:0.7		credit-based	62:4 72:4	83:21
cost 18:3,7,	97:2	84:10	78:21 79:7	03.21
16 19:19			102:14	
29:14 30:2,5,	<b>cover</b> 20:16		103:12	day 112:1
	30.01 20.10	credits 30:14		]
				I

Index: deal..Division's

<b>deal</b> 103:19	<b>deduction</b> 16:11 77:11	<b>deposit</b> 49:23 50:3,7	<b>determined</b> 36:5 57:22	director 9:13, 20
dealing 76:1	<b>Deer</b> 18:5 53:6 63:7	depreciates 16:23	64:1,2 65:6, 15 75:20	discrepancy 111:1
87:20	<b>defer</b> 42:19 61:20 76:18	depreciation 16:9 18:6	<b>developing</b> 55:6	discuss 20:1
<b>debt</b> 18:16 19:20 108:12, 19 109:4	deferment 63:1	33:3,10,15,24 34:3,4,15,17 35:1,6,10 53:10	difference 42:20 61:20 88:4,14 91:17 102:17	discussed 17:8 32:2 38:16,18
debts 105:14  December	<b>deferral</b> 30:11 35:16 43:4,8 53:5 62:2	described 28:12	109:22  different	<b>discussing</b> 38:18 99:17
15:22 17:1 46:13 75:5 77:24,25 78:17 79:10,	102:19 <b>deferrals</b> 76:7	<b>designed</b> 46:6 55:16	45:18 56:7,24 102:6,22,23 103:17	discussion 20:6 92:9 98:11 111:9
21	79:22	desire 54:9	difficulty 13:3	discussions
<b>decided</b> 79:25	<b>deferred</b> 16:5,19,21 17:3 28:22	<b>detail</b> 84:21 100:7	<b>dip</b> 88:11	91:21,22,25 111:5
decides 75:18	42:5,8,15,16 43:8 50:4 63:14 76:17 89:20	detailed 16:1	direct 13:21 37:19 55:19 60:3 68:21 74:10 82:7	dismissed 30:5 52:13
<b>decision</b> 43:7,15,16 63:13 111:25	deferring 18:10 43:14	details 19:24 deterioration	85:18 110:16  Directing	<b>Division</b> 10:8, 10 30:4 52:13 59:21 60:8,
decrease	definitely	36:22 37:3	36:19	11,23 61:12, 25 62:20 63:1,4 65:11
55:25 68:4 84:1 87:17 98:4 110:11	103:1 104:19	determination 59:3 79:18	direction 14:24 91:21	66:13 67:10 71:11 72:3,24 73:1 80:21
decreases 89:13	<b>denied</b> 63:9 89:15	determine 110:21	directly 71:8 91:24 110:8	88:21 90:17
o <del>y</del> .13	depend 19:4			Division's

Index: docket..entitled

57:21 61:9 63:21,25 65:3 66:7	dollars 42:2,3 103:23 108:14	drivers 53:8 during 46:7	17:11 46:4 48:21 62:11 72:10 78:11 100:19	41:16 49:3 63:24 71:13, 18 88:21,24 96:10 102:23
docket 8:9 30:25 31:1 45:14 57:23	domestic 16:10 77:11	E	efficiently 62:20	109:2 ended 20:15
59:1 60:12 69:4 71:4 74:18 76:17 82:16 98:8	<b>done</b> 32:16 62:15 99:8	<b>E-quant</b> 82:12	<b>effort</b> 28:14	ending 46:12 75:4 77:6,24
dockets 31:1	<b>Donna</b> 10:20 70:1	<b>each</b> 8:25	<b>EIM</b> 58:11,15, 17,18,19,21	<b>energy</b> 8:21, 22 11:14 12:2
document 31:12 36:15	door 92:14 down 32:15	earlier 18:20 47:15 58:11 63:11 66:1 70:8	either 51:8 74:23 78:5 86:17 106:8 107:9 110:15,	17:17 53:7 55:8 58:15,22 74:15 82:12 85:24 101:11
documented 75:12	52:23 75:4 downgrade	<b>earn</b> 88:1	19 electricity	engaging 96:13
documents 86:20	18:15 100:23 101:25 105:10 106:9, 11,12,17	earned 77:14 easier 13:6	34:1	<b>enough</b> 52:21 98:18,22
<b>Dodge</b> 11:11, 12,13 41:19, 20,22 43:23	107:10,14 108:6,10	97:11	33:17	107:15 ensure 20:15
63:12 64:25 65:2,18 73:2, 3,25 74:1,11	downgraded 35:24 36:7	East 69:1 EBA 63:8	<b>elimination</b> 16:8	<b>enter</b> 15:7
75:10,15 80:15 81:6,7, 23 82:8,24	38:8 downgrading	economic 85:24	employment 60:10	45:13 61:2 112:19
83:3,4 85:1 90:20,21 109:8,24	109:4 <b>DPAD</b> 46:12	<b>effect</b> 31:19	encountering 108:25	entered 112:8 entering 20:5
110:1,6,24 111:15,16	draw 45:16	35:11,14,17 76:9	encourages 80:7	entirety 47:6
<b>dog</b> 103:1,5	<b>driven</b> 18:11	effective	<b>end</b> 28:7	entitled 8:9

89:17	78:3	<b>EXAMINATIO N</b> 13:21 45:5	103:24	<b>exist</b> 31:3
<b>equal</b> 49:22 76:5	estimates 19:6 57:17 102:10	52:4 54:17 60:3 65:1 66:4 67:7 68:21 74:10	excuse 12:13 17:2 28:21 55:21 81:10	exists 37:25 62:22
equitably 76:23	104:14,16	82:7 83:18 85:18 97:18	92:7 99:12	expand 48:4
equity 77:15	evaluate 87:2  even 33:19	100:2 104:9 106:24 107:22 108:22	excused 51:4 53:17 67:19 73:7,17,18 81:4 84:25	<b>expect</b> 36:24 37:23 64:7
equivalent 101:20	88:2		execute	expense 64:4
<b>error</b> 109:16, 20 111:2	<b>event</b> 9:22 45:7 79:15 107:9	examine 89:21	66:22	<b>experience</b> 14:9 108:11
essence 76:8	every 31:5	example 30:13,14,17, 18 45:20	executives 51:20	<b>experiencing</b> 89:17
essentially 57:12,15 66:22 96:24	<b>everyone</b> 8:5 13:7 67:5 97:15 112:23	Excellent 81:23	exhibit 11:3 15:11 19:23 28:18 32:3 36:12 37:5	expert 70:2
establishing 78:10	everyone's 111:23	<b>except</b> 12:21 51:5	38:11 44:12, 13 47:14,19, 21,23 48:7,8	expiration 53:9 expire 31:4,
estimate	everything 43:4	excerpts 32:3	56:3,9,10,11, 19,20,22 57:3,5,10	11
16:16,20 19:8 28:17 43:2 62:23 70:13 77:1 78:14,20 79:14,17	evidence 112:8	excess 16:5, 18,21 17:3 28:20 34:18 37:16 42:5,8,	67:13 68:7,9 84:15 87:8,9, 19 88:15 100:6 102:10, 15 112:4,6,13	<b>expiring</b> 30:14 31:8,21 32:19
102:16	<b>EVIT</b> 72:12, 14,15	15,20 43:8,13 63:14 80:2,4, 8,10	exhibits 15:9,	<b>explain</b> 20:1 55:5 67:9 85:21
estimated 17:11,15 61:14 63:2 64:10 77:4	exactly 45:13	exchange 52:21 63:12 101:4,14,18	13 67:23 68:3,7 87:3 90:2	<b>explained</b> 78:2 102:6

Index: explains..form

explains 18:25	<b>factored</b> 32:4 49:9	filed 14:18,21 15:8,9,11 16:1 17:1,13	14:6,13,16 36:22 37:3 38:1 87:24	floor 13:12
<b>explanation</b> 87:8 110:25	factoring 48:13	28:17 33:4 45:17 46:17 49:25 56:4 60:14 70:19	financially 28:15 105:11	flow 37:23 49:10 61:24 106:19
explore 91:15 expressed	<b>factors</b> 84:12 89:12	74:18 75:2 77:15 81:12 82:15 86:5,	<b>fine</b> 97:11 98:19	<b>flow-back</b> 88:5,6
88:10	<b>fairly</b> 16:21	12,13,24 97:25 111:13	finish 96:16	flows 19:20
extent 19:3 20:14 31:4,7,	<b>familiar</b> 14:17,20	files 71:22	firm 85:25	flush 111:3
10 32:5 33:18 42:10 43:12, 15 45:11 87:5 101:23 103:2	far 107:14	filing 17:8,9, 23 19:24	<b>first</b> 8:19 18:1 46:2 54:10	<b>follow</b> 63:17 104:7 107:19
external	fast 106:17	28:18,23,24 42:18,24 43:9 45:21 46:1,21	55:23 67:11 69:9 70:23 83:24 97:14	<b>follow-up</b> 67:17 99:11 106:22
14:15 eyeball 99:8	February 14:21 15:8 16:1 17:7,22 60:16 69:5,11 86:5,12	49:17,19 52:8 56:4,6,10,16, 17 57:9 70:4, 18 72:16 78:8 79:20	98:2 102:24  fiscal 8:14	following 42:18 55:15
F	federal 8:11	filings 15:1	fit 46:11	follows 83:23
<b>face</b> 12:17 29:18	16:3 17:3 35:25 36:9	60:12 105:4	<b>five</b> 33:23 80:11	force 103:3
facilities 31:16 34:5	77:9 <b>few</b> 45:4	final 28:25 52:22 53:2,3 77:23 78:15 79:18	<b>fixed</b> 58:20 59:5	forecast 37:10 102:15 104:21
fact 68:7 84:8	<b>FFO/DEBT</b> 37:13 88:9	finalize 31:5	flat 35:25	forecasted 19:19
<b>factor</b> 55:1 98:12 99:1	file 35:19 68:8 69:4 106:4	finance 14:12	fleet 30:21, 22,23 33:23 34:2	form 16:25
		financial 9:10		79:23

Index: format..help

<b>format</b> 57:15	108:13,22	<b>giving</b> 49:13 100:25	111:22	<b>happy</b> 81:16 97:12 111:1
forward 66:21 75:21 76:22	future 59:3 61:21 62:3	102:13	<b>Group</b> 18:24	<b>hard</b> 12:5
77:20 <b>four</b> 69:10	71:1,3 107:15 109:5	<b>goal</b> 18:1 108:7,16	guess 43:5, 11,17 89:3 103:15	<b>having</b> 8:8 33:25 49:1
1001 00.10	G	<b>goals</b> 105:10	100.10	86:6
framework 88:1 frankly	<b>Gary</b> 11:13	<b>goes</b> 46:1 84:2	guidance 37:15 48:11 78:5 88:9,10, 13	head 47:21 109:17,18
110:19	<b>gave</b> 30:13, 14,16 87:8	<b>gone</b> 36:4 84:12	<b>guys</b> 29:21	header 69:12
<b>front</b> 92:4 100:9	general 30:11 32:14 42:9,21	<b>good</b> 9:7 10:6,22 11:5,	н	healthy 28:15 105:11
full 30:7 49:6 52:15 58:14 61:13 63:3,25	49:8 52:23 53:5,12 64:10 71:22	12,22,24,25 12:8,25 13:23 41:23 46:15 51:3 60:5	<b>Hale</b> 9:19	<b>hear</b> 12:5,7 13:4,7 111:1
64:7 66:7 70:11 71:16 72:9 78:19 83:24	<b>General's</b> 10:7,15	68:17 74:3,4 81:25 82:1 84:22 85:10	<b>hand</b> 36:11 84:21	<b>heard</b> 97:20 111:10
fully 49:2	generally 76:6	92:10 97:7 112:1,22	handle 8:16	<b>hearing</b> 8:8, 16,25 9:4
99:5 105:6		<b>grant</b> 20:13	handled 42:9	12:23 13:9 29:21 83:16
<b>funds</b> 43:12 70:25 71:7,24	getting 45:12 47:8 100:6	92:12	<b>happen</b> 19:22 107:15	86:6 96:19 104:11 112:25
89:9	<b>give</b> 43:12 98:20 102:8	granting 8:23	happening	hearings
further 17:8 43:23 44:17	105:25	grants 8:25	31:23 32:8,18	89:22
62:12 64:14 65:14,18	give-back 33:12 34:18	<b>Great</b> 46:16	<b>happens</b> 46:24 88:11	held 89:22 103:11,16
100:2 104:3 106:24	<b>Given</b> 54:5	ground	90:14	help 111:24

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: helpful..increase

				Tur Therease
helpful 51:17 107:18 111:7	53:14 54:2,11 59:12,14,17	<b>hope</b> 99:20	18:11	implementing 46:4
here 9:21 10:8 11:9,20 12:5,19 45:2	64:18,19,22 65:21,22 67:21 68:10, 12 72:21,22 73:13 80:17,	hopefully 83:12 99:25 106:12	immediately 17:20,25 100:14	important 13:4 18:9 35:11 44:5
48:3 50:13 58:10 59:10 61:3 74:14 78:20 82:10,	73.13 80.17, 18 90:9,11, 22,24 91:2,7, 9,12,14 92:6	hoping 65:25 110:1	impact 15:25 18:22 19:8,15 35:6,21,25	76:16 87:21 88:13
13,19 83:14 86:1 96:25 99:12,18	96:11 104:8, 10 107:19,20, 23 108:20	hot 66:1	36:9 37:24 47:11 70:11 77:2 78:3	importantly 13:8
100:4 102:17 103:2 106:10 110:12,22	111:4 112:14, 18,21	<b>How's</b> 29:19 <b>however</b> 30:9	impacted 36:23 48:7	improvement 47:1 70:23
111:24 <b>Higgins</b> 11:16	<b>hold</b> 46:23 89:8	35:16 37:21 56:6 62:25 70:24 81:21	61:24 impacting	include 16:3 28:25 44:13 57:17 65:11
74:2,3,4,7,12, 14,16 75:12, 15 76:11	holistic 19:21 32:9,17	HR1 15:23	16:2 impacts 8:10	84:6 98:7 103:23
80:15 81:5,10 historical	holistically 33:19	I	18:11 52:22 53:2 70:6,14 76:19 77:8	included 28:17 50:15 54:24 65:5,7,
37:17 hitting 32:5	honest 99:9	identified 61:3 70:5	78:7 89:6 impertinent	12,16 69:25 including
Hogle 9:6,7,	<b>Honor</b> 11:12, 25 15:6 20:5 29:7 41:20	identify 57:22	109:9 110:2,4	53:6
25 10:3 13:11,13,22 15:6,16,17	50:17 54:12 74:1 81:7,8 92:6 96:23	II 8:12	implement 45:24 87:16	income 16:4, 5,19,21 17:4 42:5,8,15
20:4,10,13 28:8,10,11 29:3 34:22	97:10 107:20 111:4,8	illustrate 52:24	implementati on 53:8 58:19	43:8 63:14 77:9 89:20
35:3 44:6,7, 24 50:17,19 51:1,5,8,18, 24 52:3,5	<b>Honor's</b> 109:10	immaterial 91:19	implemented 98:13	incorrect 71:15
27 02.0,0		immediate		increase

Index: increased..Kevin

18:6,16 31:19 32:21 34:14,	18:19 20:6 37:8 38:15,18	8:17	29:14 30:1 35:12 42:10,	<b>jobs</b> 61:15
20 35:8 108:12,18 110:17	47:9,18 48:6 70:17 71:6 78:6 87:3,13, 15,19 104:17,	into 12:6 13:5 15:13 20:5,8 35:11,17	17,23 58:2 92:1 105:14	<b>Joelle</b> 9:15 50:21 51:2, 10,24 53:14
increased 106:18	18,20	38:11,21 47:8,12,16, 17,25 48:3,15	issued 18:20 105:15	joining 12:11
increases 18:4 89:14	initial 45:25 65:9 70:13 77:1 78:12, 18,25 79:1,	49:7,9 50:19 52:25 56:25 61:2 75:11 81:13 84:12	<b>issues</b> 32:8 45:11	<b>Jonathan</b> 9:19
increasing 14:11	12,24 100:10 inputs 19:18	89:3 92:8,12 97:9 98:8 100:6,21	item 57:24 72:5	<b>judge</b> 8:6 71:6 85:12
incrementally 36:23	intend 10:23 43:11 96:21	102:3,11 112:8,19	items 16:2 18:4 30:10 31:23 32:2	June 28:24 42:18,24,25 43:10 46:21
indicate 72:1 81:8,9	105:6	introduce 81:13	53:3 58:4 	64:2 70:17 72:15 77:6,15 78:9 79:20
indicated	intends 17:21 57:9 105:1 107:25	Investigation 8:10		100:19
12:5 51:19 70:8 88:3 100:10 111:8	<b>intent</b> 50:5 55:1 58:5	investing 31:15 33:23	Jana 9:17 January	jurisdictional 16:17 72:13 77:2
indicates 32:6 107:5	interest 28:22 50:3 78:20	investment 34:1	14:18 75:21 76:20 79:22	<b>Justin</b> 10:6
indicating 18:21	interim 28:15 42:7 46:19	involved 15:1 91:21,24	<b>Jeremy</b> 12:14,18	K
Industrial 8:22 12:1	49:12 71:19	IRS 49:1	<b>Jetter</b> 10:5,6, 7 29:6,7 59:19,20 60:4	<b>K-o-b-l-i-h-a</b> 10:3 14:2
101:11 information	internal 14:15,16 16:14	issuance 108:11	61:1,7 64:14, 17 72:25 80:19,20	<b>keep</b> 28:14 105:2,11
	intervene	<b>issue</b> 8:16	90:15,16	<b>Kevin</b> 11:16

Index: key..make

	•	•	•	•
74:2,14	84:8 106:6 107:5,6,12	71:1	list 37:12	M
<b>key</b> 36:24	largest 103:6	liability 17:4 76:3,4,19	little 13:2 16:23 29:18	<b>made</b> 28:18 43:9 75:8
kind 44:4			48:4 63:18	
88:8 89:3	last 10:2 28:9 33:23 58:14 100:12	light 68:8 91:20	64:12 67:21 89:4 91:16 99:4 102:12	79:14 103:19 112:8
kindly 10:1				<b>Mag</b> 81:11,12,
<b>known</b> 30:10, 20 31:17,21	later 18:10 30:9 42:21,22	like 8:19 15:7, 18 19:25 43:2 49:16 50:2,13	<b>LLC</b> 11:15 82:13	24 110:15
33:1,14 52:16,19 53:2,4,10	46:9 79:23 89:22	52:21 55:19 59:21 60:20 61:1 63:16	long 18:15	Magnesium 8:22 11:15,21 56:1,8 65:5
Kobliha 9:10,	<b>law</b> 8:6 36:24 85:12	69:7 74:2 81:7,13 83:5 90:1,6 91:9	longer 33:15 36:25 66:3	67:24 68:5 82:13,16 84:1,13 85:2
23 13:14,15,		97:16 99:5		97:22 98:5
19,23 14:1	least 42:16	101:20,23	looked 98:17	
15:17 28:11	43:14 46:7	102:17 107:5,		Magnesium's
29:2,3 37:9	104:2	11 109:13	looking 49:2	84:16
41:23 45:2		110:8		
50:23 51:21	Legislation			
52:6 53:16,	8:11	likely 108:11	looks 99:5	<b>main</b> 57:4
18,21 63:13				
87:8 88:3	L		<b>lot</b> 12:7 46:14	maintain
96:14 99:20,	less 30:6	limited 36:21	50:24	37:22 38:4
22,23 104:5,	52:15 78:13,	37:2		
11 105:24 107:24	19 79:13,16		louder 29:24	maintaining
107.24		line 11:8 12:9	10uuei 29.24	77:14
	let 11:7 54:13	54:5 57:23		''''
L	57:7 112:2	58:4 72:5	lower 36:25	l . , .
		73:15,19	46:11 76:23	maintains
	level 32:15	88:13 96:12	77:13 89:16,	72:7
<b>Lake</b> 69:1	33:8 48:22	101:16	19 105:14	
	78:19 88:10			majority 17:5
<b>Lane</b> 10:11	105:16 109:3	lines 56:13	lump 67:10	37:21
59:22 60:7		75:4		
00.22 00.1	liabilities			<b>make</b> 12:16
	nabilities	link 18:23		13:6 29:17
language				

Index: makes..mixing

				1
43:7 45:10,12	50:20 87:5	42:13 48:4	52:19 58:11,	63:22 64:6
49:21 56:17		90:12 108:9	12 101:23	66:8,18
60:20 69:8	materially			70:13,21,22,
70:3 78:17	_	Madaugal	mantiana	24 71:12,17
81:7 86:16	37:24 79:16	Mcdougal	mentions	72:2,9 77:4
100:8 101:6		9:12	45:22	78:13,14
102:5,24	math 48:14			79:2,3,17
105:1,8	1110111	mean 20:2	method 17:6	84:3 87:17
			62:21	
109:13	matter 8:9	63:20 103:5	62.21	88:5,16,19
111:12,24	87:14	107:1		89:7 100:18
112:20	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		methodology	101:21 103:3
		Mooning	16:7 84:9	105:25 107:8,
	Mattheis	Meaning	10.7 04.9	9,11
<b>makes</b> 32:10	12:15,18	103:21		,
45:19	96:25 97:2,3,		metrics	
	6,20	<b>means</b> 18:15	18:12,14,22	mind 42:23
making 20.0	0,20	34:13	· · ·	
making 20:8,		34.13	19:1,2,9,16	. 50.7
10 38:20	maturities		32:6 36:25	mine 53:7
57:19	19:20	Mecham	37:17 38:1	63:8
	10.20	10:11 59:22,	47:2 87:20	
		•		
manager 9:18	Maurice 12:3	23 60:1,7		minimum
	85:6,23	61:4 64:15	microphone	79:4
manner 62:16	, -	65:3,24,25	12:6,17 13:5	
109:11,15		67:9,18,19	29:17	minutes 66:3
103.11,13	<b>may</b> 17:12			67:2 91:1,4
	30:23 36:11	mechanism	might 20:2	07.2 91.1,4
many 30:23	38:11 45:7		might 20:2	
", "	46:5 48:21	84:10	47:11 89:14	miscalculatio
	49:5 51:4,21		102:24	n 84:18
March 14:22	53:16 60:2	meeting	105:15	11 04.10
15:10 17:8,23		_	106:13	
28:23 49:17	61:24 62:11,	37:17		missed 44:4
55:20 56:4,6,	25 67:19		40.4=	
10,16 57:10	70:10 72:10	Melanie 8:5	million 16:17	
70:4	73:7 74:9	85:11	17:10,11,15	mitigate
'0.4	78:11,17 79:2	I 55.11	28:16,21	30:11 106:18
	81:3 83:5		33:13 34:18	
marked 112:5	84:25 87:17	mention	42:20 43:3,	
	88:20 96:13	54:23	13,19 45:20,	mitigated
<u> </u>	99:17 110:16		23,24 46:7,20	53:4
market 53:7	33.77 110.10		47:4 48:20,22	
58:15,17		mentioned	49:4,6,13	mixing 63:5
	<b>maybe</b> 29:22	13:3 38:24	1 1	IIIIAIIIY UU.U
motorial			61:14 62:9,25	
material			l I	

Index: model..nodding

18,19 2:10 e 15:23 7,23 28:16 18 30:16 17 38:13 23 48:4 25 59:5 5 107:20 9:5 ning 8:8 10:6,8 12,13,24, 13:23	46:5 47:13 76:18,25 77:22 83:20, 23 86:4,10,24 99:19 100:11 112:6 <b>move</b> 41:19 61:1 69:17 82:24 92:7 <b>moved</b> 112:18	N N-i-k-k-i 14:2 name 10:2 12:14 13:24 60:6,7 68:23, 25 74:12,14 82:11 85:21, 23	90:23 91:1 108:13 111:22 needs 17:5 56:14 negative 18:22 48:1 98:20 106:8 107:10
e 15:23 7,23 28:16 18 30:16 17 38:13 23 48:4 25 59:5 5 107:20 9:5 ning 8:8 10:6,8 12,13,24,	77:22 83:20, 23 86:4,10,24 99:19 100:11 112:6 move 41:19 61:1 69:17 82:24 92:7 moved	name 10:2 12:14 13:24 60:6,7 68:23, 25 74:12,14 82:11 85:21, 23	111:22  needs 17:5 56:14  negative 18:22 48:1 98:20 106:8
7,23 28:16 18 30:16 17 38:13 23 48:4 25 59:5 5 107:20 9:5 ning 8:8 10:6,8 12,13,24,	112:6 move 41:19 61:1 69:17 82:24 92:7 moved	name 10:2 12:14 13:24 60:6,7 68:23, 25 74:12,14 82:11 85:21, 23	56:14 negative 18:22 48:1 98:20 106:8
17 38:13 23 48:4 25 59:5 5 107:20 9:5 ning 8:8 10:6,8 12,13,24,	61:1 69:17 82:24 92:7 <b>moved</b>	12:14 13:24 60:6,7 68:23, 25 74:12,14 82:11 85:21, 23	negative 18:22 48:1 98:20 106:8
5 107:20 9:5 ning 8:8 10:6,8 12,13,24,	82:24 92:7 <b>moved</b>	25 74:12,14 82:11 85:21, 23	18:22 48:1 98:20 106:8
10:6,8 12,13,24,			
		namely 77:8	neither 61:21
23 54:20	moving 77:20	naturally 49:10	net 16:13 55:10 76:7
5 68:17 3,4 81:25	<b>much</b> 11:6,23 13:20 19:3	nature 59:5	new 8:11
1 87:7 4	57:18 59:15 78:21 84:24 88:7 99:10	78:5 96:24	31:14 32:23 33:3 44:13
t 13:8 2 18:9	100:1 104:6 110:24	<b>NE</b> 14:3	84:5 98:6 101:16 103:24
22 58:22 21 98:1	111:20	<b>necessarily</b> 57:10 67:25	next 30:11
5:4	Multnomah 14:3	96:20	33:14 42:9, 16,21 49:5
ion 20:8, 13 38:20 12	<b>Murray</b> 10:17, 23,25 68:16, 17,19,25	necessary 68:8 71:6 109:21	50:14 52:23 53:5,12 64:10 66:23 71:4 100:21
i <b>ons</b> 8:24	72:18 73:7, 20,23	Necessity 101:15	108:11
	Murray's 11:3	<b>need</b> 16:14	<b>Nikki</b> 9:9 13:14 14:1
<b>ntain</b> 9:8,		45:13 50:10 67:25 70:15 75:1 83:9 89:10,11	<b>nodding</b> 109:18
i	on 20:8, 13 38:20 12 ons 8:24 ntain 9:8, 16 13:13 7,8,12	14:3  on 20:8, 13 38:20 12  ons 8:24  Murray 10:17, 23,25 68:16, 17,19,25 72:18 73:7, 20,23  Murray's 11:3	on 20:8, 13 38:20 12

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: non-property..orient

non-property 80:10	<b>Nucor</b> 12:19 55:25 56:8 65:4 67:24	<b>occurs</b> 106:13	31:14 32:7 33:9 34:9 42:12 48:24	77:24
non-protected 42:15 43:8	68:5 84:1 96:18 98:5,7 99:3 111:19	<b>OCS-1</b> 112:5	58:18 61:21 63:8 71:1 89:9,14	Operations 77:5,16,18 78:1,17 79:11,15,21
none 8:25 15:15 54:16 61:6 69:20	number 16:22 49:4 70:5	October 32:20 34:18 35:7	<b>offsets</b> 71:4 105:4	opine 33:20
75:14 83:2	91:25	<b>off</b> 46:23 47:21 66:15	offsetting	opinion 91:17
nonproperty 72:15	numbers 19:11 42:16 43:2 77:23	91:4	31:19 33:19 62:3	opportunity 60:12 80:1
normalization 80:5,9	102:16 103:9 ————————————————————————————————————	offer 11:18 33:22 43:16 75:11 83:5 90:6 101:1,19	one 29:16 30:13,14,17, 18 38:6 41:24 50:9 51:5	84:11 103:20, 21,22 106:18 107:7
<b>normalize</b> 16:5	<b>O&amp;m</b> 34:14	offering 106:3	52:3,10,19 58:8 88:12 105:10	opposed 55:24 58:4 62:23 63:4,13
note 12:4 13:2 68:1 102:24 111:9	<b>object</b> 77:25	<b>Office</b> 10:7, 15,18 11:3	107:20 108:3 109:8 110:19 112:2,3	83:25 91:19 98:4 110:10
<b>noted</b> 17:23 18:5	objection 8:23 15:14 34:22 35:2 44:3,6,7 61:5	68:15 69:3 70:2 71:3,11, 16,18 72:7 80:23 90:19	<b>one-time</b> 62:10 66:19	order 20:1 31:15 33:24 45:13 61:13 96:17 104:18
<b>nothing</b> 44:23 85:2	69:19 75:13 81:14 83:1 90:4	112:5,11  Office's	only 52:18 63:1 70:14,24 87:21	107:4 109:21 110:21 111:10
notified 35:22	<b>objective</b> 78:20	47:18,21 48:7 69:22 71:20 112:11	<b>open</b> 38:16 47:10 71:3	Oregon 14:4
notion 110:15	occupation 60:6	<b>officer</b> 8:6 9:11 14:6,13	opening	organization 14:12
notwithstandi ng 88:2	occur 104:21	104:11	76:16	<b>orient</b> 97:24
		offset 18:3	Operation	

Index: original..perspective

original 34:4	owns 16:22	partial 30:22 77:1 78:14,20	76:23	108:6 109:3, 22,23
others 12:7 29:22	P	79:14,17	path 76:22	norcontogo
otherwise 83:6 105:15	<b>p.m.</b> 112:25	<b>partially</b> 36:4 71:15	<b>pay</b> 49:1	percentage 55:6,25 67:14 83:25 98:4 110:11,17
00.0 100.10	Pacificorp	participate	payer 71:1	
ourselves 102:3	9:11,20 14:5, 7,10 19:3 32:19 37:1	74:16 82:14 96:20	<b>payers</b> 70:16, 21 71:9,25	percentages 109:12
outlined 16:12 17:7	38:3,6 45:23 48:19 104:17	particular 32:16 45:14 48:15 56:9,	72:3,5 100:14 105:25	<b>perfectly</b> 98:19
outlook 36:8 38:4 106:8	Pacificorp's 16:25 18:12 31:2,18,20	20,22 88:12 98:7 102:21 103:18	paying 61:16 pending 8:18	performance 36:23 37:3 87:23
outlooks 37:22	35:21 55:20 84:5	particularly 110:14	34:16 35:6 108:1	performed 77:7
<b>over</b> 18:15 34:4 48:18	<b>pages</b> 45:20 69:10,13	parties 54:8, 10,14 63:23	<b>people</b> 12:5 110:12	performing 48:12
63:20 70:23 80:11	pain-free 83:13	64:16 65:15 76:22 81:8,19 102:21	<b>perceive</b> 56:14	perhaps 46:18 50:20
overall 55:25 56:25 68:4 70:14 83:25 98:4	paragraph 36:19 37:20 49:19 55:23 58:14 83:24 98:3,12	105:15 112:7  pass 17:21 62:19 103:7	percent 16:4 17:10,15,19, 24 19:8 37:13,14,16 46:10,22	period 35:15, 18 46:12 48:19 75:4
overlook 58:3	parallel 31:1	<b>passage</b> 15:24	61:17,18 68:4 70:22 77:10	periods 37:18
overlooked 58:1	<b>part</b> 8:15 9:3 67:11 79:6	passed 15:22 18:16 62:7,13	78:13 79:8,13 87:25 88:5,6, 9,11,12 91:18,19	person 51:14
<b>own</b> 15:3 86:19	100:5 102:17 112:8	passing	100:12 101:19 107:4	perspective 46:23
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

Index: Pete..projected

				-ccprojected
<b>Pete</b> 12:15,18 96:25 97:2	<b>plus</b> 101:16	106:9 107:13	presented 8:24 37:5 88:15	37:1 38:3 54:23 88:24
petition 8:20	point 30:20 33:21 43:11, 16 47:2 49:8,	potentially 18:14 108:25	president	probably 18:21 73:21
petitions 8:17,20	13 58:25 103:20 104:2 106:16 107:3	power 9:8,14, 16 13:13 15:7 30:4,14 47:14	9:10,15 15:23 85:24	91:2 107:14 procedural
phase 71:4	pointed 103:8	55:10 76:18, 25 77:22	presiding 8:5	8:16
phone 10:21 12:4 70:9 73:9 85:7 87:7	<b>portion</b> 30:21 61:20 70:14 110:9	83:23 86:24 100:11 112:6 Power's 15:8,	pressure 30:2 31:8,10,20 33:3,10,14,18 34:3,7,8,12	proceed 9:3 15:20 20:3 28:9 69:24 74:9 91:7
phonetic 73:8	Portland 14:4	12 30:3 46:6 83:21 86:4,10 99:19	52:19 108:13  pressures  18:8 29:14	proceeding 31:6 35:13 101:17,18
pick 31:12	position 14:5 17:14 33:25 42:25 43:5	precaution 79:14	30:6,8 31:21 35:7 52:16 53:3,11 105:5	production
picture 19:21 pieces 33:1	46:22 49:25 56:7,18,24 60:22 61:9	preference 54:11	<b>pretty</b> 106:17	16:10 53:9 77:11
57:4	63:10,14,25 65:4 69:22 71:20 74:21	preparation 74:17 82:15	previously 57:13	<b>proffer</b> 81:8 90:2
<b>place</b> 56:15 102:23	75:22,25 81:17 84:15 87:13	prepared	price 77:12,	profile 35:22
<b>places</b> 45:18	possible	14:24 15:2 18:24 47:13 61:8 69:21	17	profiles 37:25
<b>plan</b> 10:25 12:20 19:12, 13,17,18 32:4	78:22 80:5 87:18 88:20 89:6	86:19 89:1	<b>primarily</b> 36:20 62:4	profoundly 29:21
34:12	possibly 34:8	present 87:7	<b>primary</b> 86:2, 9	progress 104:3
<b>planning</b> 14:16 38:14	63:18 potential	presentation 18:24 48:8	prior 32:8	projected
	Poteritiai		l	

Index: projection..rate

18:6 38:1	77:19,22 78:12 79:4	8,11,13,21 32:19 34:25 53:9	110:25	6,10,11,13,16 80:20,23 81:1,3,9,15,
projection			Q	17,18 83:7,13
104:21	proposes			90:10,16,19,
	17:14 48:19	public 8:7		
	70:20 78:7	10:9,11 16:6,	auglify 04.4	21 91:10
projects		9 60:8,11,23	qualify 31:4	96:21,25
30:23 44:20		78:20 101:15		97:14,15,17,
103:18,25	proposing	70.20 101.10	question 11:2	21 104:6,12
	79:2 88:7		31:24 34:25	105:19
		publications		108:20
pronounce	_	92:1	44:4,25 45:15	109:10
96:15	protected	02.1	49:16,21	100.10
	72:13		50:11,14	
		publicly	51:6,9 52:3	quick 13:2
pronouncing		32:20 34:19	58:8,16 63:20	63:16
99:20	<b>proud</b> 105:12	35:8	68:1 <sup>°</sup> 81:21	00.10
		55.6	98:19,25	
	manda 0.40		100:5 106:23	<b>quickly</b> 18:13
proper 80:1	provide 8:12	<b>pull</b> 63:7		62:19
	12:20 13:25	p and con-	108:5,9	0
property 16:6	14:8 15:18			
72:14 80:10	19:4 61:23	<b>pulled</b> 29:18	questioning	quite 47:20
72.14 60.10	70:17 72:12			48:2
	75:16 76:12		11:1 28:9	
proposal	83:8,9 105:6	purpose 19:2	54:5 67:22	
45:24 47:6	33.3,5 133.5	62:3 71:24	83:17 96:12	R
61:19 62:24		78:1,10	99:13	
	provided 11:2			
70:23 78:25	18:18,23			<b>D</b> 70 0
84:6 98:6	32:13 45:9	purposes	questions	<b>Ramas</b> 73:8
102:23 107:2	57:13 70:12	55:14 59:6	9:21,22 11:18	
		71:8	12:23 29:4,6,	<b>Ramos</b> 10:20,
nronosala	76:25 87:4,6,		7,11 38:11	24 11:1,7,9
proposals	19		41:18 43:23	, ,
71:3,5		pursuant	44:1 45:3	70:1 73:9,12,
	provides	8:12	51:12,21	14
propose	36:20 37:20		53:20 54:3,6,	
		nuch 24:4		rato 16:47
17:24 66:13,	105:13	<b>push</b> 34:4	7,10,15,16	rate 16:4,7
16 71:16,17			59:9,11	18:2,8 28:16
	providing	<b>put</b> 36:2 48:1	64:14,24	30:12 32:14,
proposed	37:15 105:9	96:21 100:23	65:18,20,22	15,21,23 33:8
17:16 46:6	31.13 103.8	101:24 107:9	67:18 70:10	34:6,8,12,15,
			72:19,21,22,	19 35:9,19
62:16 66:15	PTCS 31:3,5,	108:13	23,25 73:3,5,	42:7,9,17,21
	1 1 2 2 3 1 1 3 , 3 ,		_==,=== : =:=,=,	, - , ,— .
				I

Index: rate-making..record

				idkingccord
45:25 46:4, 11,19 47:4	89:17	102:18	recalculating 77:5	recommend 28:15 62:8,12
48:23 49:8,23 50:3,7 52:23 53:5,12 55:1, 15 56:25 59:3	rating 18:19 19:15 35:23 36:3,22 37:2,	read 52:12, 22,25 91:25 98:16	recalculation 77:7	67:10 71:12 72:11
61:16,17,18, 23 62:1,6,14 64:9,10 66:20,23	22 38:7 46:25 47:11 61:24 87:21,24 91:22,25	reading 102:11	recalling 96:13	recommendat ion 57:22 63:21,23 66:7 72:7 79:12
67:13 70:16, 21 71:1,9,19,	105:13 106:16	reads 71:11	<b>receive</b> 55:2 76:9	87:16 89:5,21
22,25 72:3,5, 9 76:6,8,10 77:9 79:1,3,	ratings 18:14 38:2 105:12	<b>ready</b> 91:7	received	recommendat ions 62:18 70:3
19,24 84:3,13 88:17,22 98:13 99:2	107:13	reality 19:2	88:23	recommende
100:14,21 105:25 108:4,	ratio 37:13 88:9	realize 48:25	receives 76:10 101:24	<b>d</b> 17:9
8,16	rationale 55:6	really 13:4 33:20 49:7 99:8 106:15	recent 98:1	recommendin g 17:18 28:13 43:3 46:20
rate-making 80:2	<b>ratios</b> 48:16 88:4,14	107:18	recently 49:23 50:1	recommends
rated 105:16	re-power	reason 18:1,9 30:6 38:24 65:10 92:11	recess 67:2,4	61:12
ratepayers 62:10	31:15	reasonable	91:1,3,5 recognize	reconciliation 8:12 86:4,11, 13
rates 18:6	re-powered 30:21,22,24	61:21 78:18 80:11 102:13	36:15 43:5 61:25 62:22	record 8:4
28:17 34:3,20 35:14,24 48:22 49:11	re-powering 30:25 31:5,13	reasonably	79:1	10:1 13:25 15:13 52:25 60:6 61:2
55:11,12,16 62:4 76:24	44:14 101:17 103:25	77:19 78:22	recognizes 76:15	67:6 75:11 81:13 85:21
78:21 79:7,10 88:2,19 89:18	reach 19:2	reasons 9:1 17:23 52:14	recognizing 47:9	90:3 91:4,6 101:9 112:19
rather 71:8	reached	rebate 100:13		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•	
RECROSS-	reference	reforms	75:18	72:20,23
EXAMINATIO	45:19,22	91:20		73:2,4,6,11,
<b>N</b> 44:10	49:20,21 56:2		rogulated	14,18,22,24
105:22	57:10 58:14	refund 17:15,	regulated 36:8 37:21	74:3,5,8
		· ·	30.0 37.21	75:13 80:17,
	watawa wa a d	19 18:10,11		19,22,25
redirect 51:6	referenced	19:4,8 28:22	regulation	81:2,6,18,25
52:2,4 59:13,	46:1 47:15	43:3,19 45:25	9:16	82:2,6 83:1,
14 104:9	52:11 56:19,	48:19 49:2		12,16,19
107:22	23	55:7 57:22		84:25 85:4,8,
		61:13 62:14,	regulatory	10,11,16
reduce 77:13	referencing	24 63:3,22	9:18 53:6	90:4,8,13,18,
78:21 88:19	57:12 100:7	64:1,9 65:5,8,	58:24 76:3,4,	20,22,25
10.21 00.10	01112 10011	13,16 66:14	18 85:24	91:3,6,11
		71:17 89:5	87:25	92:10 96:11
reduced 62:6	referred	91:18,19		97:1,3,7,19
64:4 107:8	15:23 16:8	100:13	<b>Reif</b> 8:4,5	99:15,23
	17:6 19:13	102:14 103:6	9:25 10:4,12,	100:3 105:18
reduces	30:2 104:12	104:24 105:2	22 11:5,10,22	106:22,25
35:24		107:4 108:2,6	12:8,11,16,	107:17
00.21	reflect 46:9	109:11 110:9,	22,25 13:15,	108:23 109:7,
_	60:22 77:13	21	20 15:14	14,25 110:4
reduction	78:23		20:7,12 28:8	111:14,17,20
16:3,13 28:16	70.20	refunded	29:5,9,16,20,	112:12,17,20,
42:7 46:4,10,		62:10 64:2,5,	25 35:2,4	22
19 48:22,23	reflected	8 66:8,18	36:13 38:13,	
49:5 57:1	53:11 55:9	71:21 88:22,	20,23 41:17	
72:10 76:8	58:22 79:7	23	43:24 44:6,8,	reiterate 47:5
77:3,4,9	89:18	25	17 45:1,6	48:18
78:12,18,23			50:18,22,25	
79:1,3,4,7,9,	reflecting	refunding	51:3,7,12,23	relate 33:11
13,19 84:3,	58:4	17:10,24	52:1 53:16,	101010 00.11
13,16 88:17,		18:12,25 36:5	19,22 54:1,4,	
23 98:13 99:2	_	67:10 71:12	13,18 59:12,	related 17:2
	<b>reform</b> 18:21	103:23	15,18,23 60:2	71:13 72:14,
reductions	19:7 32:6,9		61:5 64:17,	15
35:20 37:23	35:21,25 36:9	regarding	21,23,25	
76:2	37:24 70:6,11	29:14 30:1	65:19,21,23	relates 97:24
70.2	71:13 76:2,20	35:20 70:4	66:2,5,25	100:5
	77:3,8 78:4	74:21 91:16	67:5,8,17	100.0
refer 75:4	80:6 104:25	77.2101.10	68:11,13,17,	
83:20 102:1	105:7		20 69:19	relative 89:12
		regardless	20 03.13	

Index: release..review

release 48:3	58:13 60:16 70:19 71:10	63:6 75:3 92:11	51:22 70:9	78:1,3,17 79:11,15,21
releases 18:20	78:24 83:21, 23 86:3,9,23 90:2 97:25	requesting 35:16 46:3	responded 109:9,14,15	retained 70:2
reliable 33:25	report 11:2 70:1,5 73:10	require 72:8 80:8 96:13	response 52:20 58:1 61:2 79:5	return 30:6 46:7 52:15 70:20,24
relief 75:3 remain 53:19	reported 17:3	required	86:3,10 98:21 108:5	71:16 72:8 76:10 77:14 80:8 88:2
59:10 66:25	reporter 13:8 92:7	72:12 78:6 requirement	responsibility 14:12	returned 42:2
remaining 62:12 70:25 71:7	REPORTER'S 113:1	8:10 16:5 33:9 34:10 36:1 46:10	responsible 14:14	70:16 71:2 72:2,6 75:19, 21
remains 63:4	reporting 14:15	70:2,7,15 76:19 77:2,14 78:16 79:9	responsive 74:17 75:2	returning 71:8 80:4 104:24
remember 100:19	represent 76:2 85:22	<b>requirements</b> 9:13 80:6,9	81:12 82:15, 18 86:12 90:3	revenue 8:10
reminder 16:2	representatio	<b>reserve</b> 33:11,16	rest 66:21 112:1	9:13 16:14 19:19 31:19 33:9 34:9
remit 16:14	n 96:18 representing	reset 49:11	result 16:12 18:8,14 47:1,	35:25 46:10 70:2,7,15 76:19 77:2,13
repeal 16:10 46:11 77:10	10:8,15 82:10,13	resolution 8:13 30:25	12 105:9 107:14 108:6	78:15 79:8 87:17 89:14
repetitive 45:8	request 42:11 45:25 60:15 61:2 63:7	respect 65:4 67:23 75:22,	resulted 15:25	revenues 55:13 77:13
reply 15:12 29:15 30:3	65:10 106:4,5 107:7 112:7,	25 99:3,19 110:14	resulting 62:6	review 32:17 60:12 68:2,6 70:3 84:12
45:16,19 55:20 57:20	requested	respond 9:23	results 77:5, 15,18,23	86:23 98:14, 15,22,24 99:4

Index: reviewed..Sherrie

107:13	97:22	98:25	scrutinize 111:12	54:25 55:11 110:10
reviewed 98:18	roles 14:11	<b>savings</b> 61:14 62:6,	seat 59:24	Services
reviewing	<b>ROO</b> 46:12	13,15,19 63:2,9 64:3, 10 71:12	66:1	10:16,19 69:3
56:9 71:3	rooted 18:1	75:20 78:16	seated 60:2	serving 62:5
revise 71:23	round 90:13	<b>say</b> 32:13 46:21 73:19	<b>second</b> 18:9 52:10,11 69:10	session 20:9 28:7 38:12,
revised 17:14 57:13 78:25	rule 42:15	84:2 98:16,21 99:4 109:18	section 75:3	16,19,23,25 41:16 47:10 92:13 96:10
revision 57:3,	<b>S</b>	saying 42:17		<b>set</b> 33:3 61:17
4	<b>Saba</b> 9:17	64:6	<b>seen</b> 34:11 36:17	64:9 66:20 69:10 78:18
risk 109:3,8 110:2		<b>says</b> 48:5 83:23,24	<b>sell</b> 103:21	79:3,8
<b>RMP'S</b> 29:15	<b>safe</b> 33:25	scenario	senior 9:20	settlement 100:22
70:4	<b>said</b> 13:11 47:9 63:11	107:11	<b>sense</b> 32:10	<b>seven</b> 75:4
Robert 10:14	101:20 102:18	scenarios 19:14,25		80:12
<b>Rocky</b> 9:8,13, 16 13:13	<b>Salt</b> 69:1	28:12 104:22	sentence 52:12	several 15:25
15:7,8,11 30:3,4,13 46:5 47:13	<b>same</b> 43:1 55:2 57:15	schedule 46:6 55:3,12, 13,17 62:16	<b>separate</b> 20:16 39:2	shaking 109:17
76:18,25 77:22 83:20,	58:13 62:16 66:19,21 67:13,14 76:9	66:15,20 67:12 79:24	57:23 58:24 72:5 92:18	<b>share</b> 103:6
23 86:3,10,24 89:16 99:19 100:11 112:6	77:14 88:8 97:21 101:2	80:11 schedules	September 33:4	<b>sheet</b> 19:21
Roger 11:20	102:8 105:16 108:24	50:14,23 54:21,24	service 8:7	<b>Sherrie</b> 20:14 39:1 92:16
81:24 82:11	satisfaction	55:2,15	16:15 50:16	

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: should..strongly

<b>should</b> 18:8 33:16 37:6	85:14 97:5 98:15 99:10	110:18	61:23 62:1 108:4,8,16	staying 12:22
46:8,9 62:4,6 63:9 64:5 65:5,7,12,15 69:11 71:2,	<b>sit</b> 51:10	sounding 109:9	stabilization 18:2,8	<b>step</b> 49:12 108:11
19,21 72:2,4 75:5,23 76:9 78:12,21	<b>situation</b> 102:4,6 103:20	<b>South</b> 69:1	<b>stable</b> 37:22 38:4	<b>steps</b> 76:16 80:3
79:8,13 80:3 88:15 89:14, 15,17 91:2	106:14	speak 12:6 13:4 29:23 37:7	<b>stand</b> 13:16 59:24 97:4	<b>Steve</b> 9:12
103:11 104:25 109:18	small 88:7 somebody	speaking 85:11	99:24	<b>Steward</b> 9:15 50:21 51:2, 10,13,17,25
shown 72:4	11:1 50:10 92:14	<b>special</b> 57:17 84:4,6 98:7,8	<b>start</b> 9:4,6 49:5 63:20 64:7 97:8,12	53:15,23,25 54:2,15,19 59:7 67:22 110:6
<b>shows</b> 84:15	something 52:1 63:11,17 99:17 110:22	109:11  specific 33:7,	started 54:23	<b>stick</b> 66:3
sic 46:11 signed 15:23	Sometimes 106:13	10 38:13 46:19 48:11 71:5	<b>starting</b> 16:15 62:25	<b>still</b> 16:16 19:11 43:1,3
significant	soon 78:22	specifically	<b>state</b> 13:24 32:16 60:5	stipulation
34:13,14 77:8	80:4 87:17 88:20 89:6	18:5 52:11 72:2	68:23 74:12 85:20	102:20 103:22 106:7
similar 55:8, 11 100:16 106:6	sooner 42:9	speculative 102:10 104:14,16	stated 30:4,9 33:2 70:19	<b>stop</b> 52:24 92:8
simply 102:20 111:2	sorry 47:16, 20,24 50:8 73:9 86:8 96:14 101:11	<b>spell</b> 10:1 13:24	<b>statement</b> 61:8 71:15	streaming 13:7 20:15 37:6 39:1
since 76:7 79:22 96:23	112:2 <b>sort</b> 32:7,9	<b>spread</b> 42:2 67:13	states 31:1 45:23 55:24 98:3 109:2	92:8,17 <b>strong</b> 18:13
sir 13:1 84:23	58:20 106:8	stability	<b>stay</b> 73:15	strongly

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: struck..term

62:23 63:4	suggesting 49:12	supported 71:5	68:16 81:14 82:19 85:7	16:4,13 17:4 18:7,21 19:6 28:25 29:1
struck 99:16 study 33:3,	suggestion 102:22	supporting 87:3 101:14	system-wide 103:12	30:11,14 32:6,8 35:21, 25 36:9,24
15,24 34:17 35:6,11 50:16 53:10 54:25	Suite 14:3	supports 77:20	Т	37:1,24 38:3 42:5,16 47:12 53:5,9 61:14,
<b>subject</b> 59:2 80:8	suited 50:24 sum 67:10	surcredit 102:1,3 106:10	table 9:9 10:10,17	15,17 62:6 63:2,8,14 64:3,4 65:16 70:6,11 71:7, 13 75:20
submitted 9:2 12:21 16:17	<b>summarize</b> 66:9 75:16 81:16	sustained 88:10	take 13:16 19:17 47:12, 16,17,25 48:15 56:15,	76:1,19,24 77:2,8,9 78:4 79:10 80:6 86:4,11,13
subsequent 31:12 69:12 79:19	<b>summarizing</b> 61:9	Swanson 11:20	25 57:7 64:19 67:1 79:23 80:3 91:3 97:3,23 100:1	91:20 100:13 101:19 102:19 104:24 105:7
substance 74:25 substantive 8:15 9:3	summary 15:18 69:22 72:17 75:16 76:13 83:5,8, 9 86:22 89:1,	swear 13:17, 18 53:23 59:25 68:18 74:5,6 82:3,4 85:12,14	111:11 taken 48:2	taxes 16:6,13, 19,21 42:8 43:9 49:9
	24 91:16	97:4,5	taking 9:4 79:20 110:10	78:23 80:10 89:20
<b>such</b> 53:8 75:23 76:3 80:9,11 84:10 89:13 106:11	<b>superficial</b> 99:5	Swenson 81:10,14,16, 19,22,24,25 82:1,5,9,11,	talking 37:9 67:23	telephone 13:7
suffer 106:1	<b>superficially</b> 98:16	14 83:4,15 97:23 109:17 110:7	tariff 15:9 46:6 62:16 66:15,20,21	<b>ten</b> 67:2 91:1, 3
sufficient 37:25	<b>supplied</b> 47:18,22	Swenson's 82:25	67:12 70:4 71:23	ten-minute 67:1
<b>suggested</b> 65:10 105:3	<b>support</b> 62:2 101:7,18	<b>sworn</b> 59:22	tax 8:11 9:20 14:15 15:24	<b>term</b> 18:15
	•	•		

Index: terminal..U.S.

	I			
terminal 34:2	their 36:22	15:6 16:24	top 47:21	82:4 85:14
	37:24 38:1,7	17:9 20:5	_	97:5
l	55:6,12 62:14	29:3 30:10,20		
terminate	89:18 97:25	31:9,17 33:21	total 102:25	
71:18	103:3 108:11	35:15 37:17		<b>try</b> 19:13,21
	100.5 100.11	43:17 46:24	totally 112:3	20:1
termination		48:18 49:14	totally 112.5	
34:17	theoretical			trusting at 40.0
34.17	33:11,16	50:21 53:4	transcript	trying 43:6
		56:7,23 59:17	112:9	108:4,14
terms 31:23		61:1 62:21		111:3
76:10	<b>theory</b> 19:1	63:1,3 69:17		
70.10		71:2 79:25	transcription	tune 33:13
	therefore	86:6 88:12,23	13:9	<b>Lanc</b> 00.10
terrible 86:6	16:23 31:7	92:6 100:1		
		105:8 111:5,	tranamiasias	turn 52:7,9
	77:20	11,23	transmission	64:19,20
territory 31:2		,=•	44:14 101:16	<b>'</b>
	thing 41:24		103:25	
testify 110:7	102:24	titled 8:11		<b>turns</b> 79:16
1031119 110.7	108:24 109:8		treasurer	
	112:3	T:4100 0:40	9:11 14:7,13	<b>two</b> 17:23
testifying	112.3	Titles 8:12	9.11 14.7,13	
68:24 69:2				37:17 57:4
74:13	things 33:18	today 12:3,12	treasury	68:3 77:7
	99:25 107:15	13:10 15:18	14:15	81:21 110:9
	108:3	20:1 28:13		
testimony	100.0	41:25 42:4,14		type 106:1,14
11:18 53:17		43:7,20 45:3	treat 105:2	100.1,11
66:6 69:15	three 8:20	· ·	107:25	
74:21 75:17	18:19	63:14 74:20		typo 74:25
81:14 82:19,		82:12,19	tractmant	
22,25 86:20		85:22 86:1	treatment	
100:11	through	89:2 99:12	42:4 55:2	U
102:11	35:12 36:4	111:22	59:2 76:17	
107:24	46:5 62:13		80:2 101:3	
101.21	71:13 72:9	today's 36:20	102:8	<b>U.S.</b> 8:21
	76:23 98:17	62:7		11:15,21 36:8
than 28:16		02.1	true 105:24	37:21 56:1,8
30:6,17	tio 55:40		106:2 108:3	65:5 67:24
42:21,22	tie 55:13	too-little-too-	100.2 108.3	68:5 81:11,
44:18 52:15		late 106:14		•
78:13,19	tied 55:12,17		truth 13:18	12,24 82:13,
79:13,16			53:24 59:25	15 84:1,13,15
100:7 105:14		took 76:16	68:18 74:6	85:2 97:22
100.7 103.14	<b>time</b> 11:19		JJ. 10 1 → .U	98:5 110:15
	I			I

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: UAE..way

<b>UAE</b> 11:17 46:3 74:2,15 75:2,11 76:15	understand 45:11 100:15	update 50:5,7 78:8 79:23	utilities 10:9, 11 36:8 37:21 38:7 60:8,11,	<b>view</b> 32:9,17 34:11
77:17,20,25 78:11 79:4,6, 18 80:2,7	understandin g 19:14 30:15,	<b>upward</b> 31:8, 20 34:2	23 87:22	<b>VP</b> 14:6
<b>UAE'S</b> 45:24,	16 41:25 58:21 59:4 63:19 66:12	<b>usage</b> 62:15	utility 10:18 16:6,9 18:22 60:7 88:1	w
25 47:6 74:17,21 75:22,25	78:6 understood	<b>use</b> 18:2,3 84:9 89:8	91:22 utilization	<b>wait</b> 33:20 102:9
79:12	19:7	104:17	42:11	<b>want</b> 8:16 12:16 36:14
UI 19:13,17 UIEC 12:2	<b>unknown</b> 30:8 70:12	used 71:1 77:12 104:19	utilize 71:24	38:11 41:24 45:10,12,15,
71:12 85:6 86:1,5,14	<b>unless</b> 50:12 99:11	<b>Users</b> 8:21 11:14 17:17	utilized 31:14	16 54:21 68:1 83:8 91:15 96:11,16
90:2	unpersuasive	74:15	V	97:8,9 99:16, 24 100:8
ultimate 79:7 105:10	61:25	using 16:6 17:5 19:12 67:12 71:7	vacation 73:20	110:20 112:20
unaware 47:2	unprotected 72:14 80:9	77:25 78:16 79:10 102:15	various 14:11	wanted 12:4 46:2 52:1
uncertain 64:12	until 30:24 31:5 32:24	<b>Utah</b> 8:6,21, 22 9:18 10:7,	19:14,24 32:8 104:22	54:25 67:21 86:22
uncertainty 62:22 78:15	33:21 35:17 42:16 43:14 49:7,13 64:10	8 11:14 12:1 16:17 17:17 28:25 33:11,	<b>vast</b> 37:20	<b>wants</b> 97:10 110:25
unclear 63:18	66:22 71:22 100:20,21	12 46:7 69:2 72:13 74:15 77:1,5 101:2	versus 109:22 110:18	watch 36:3 48:2 100:24
under 20:16 31:13 39:2	unusual 44:3	102:5,8,13 103:1,11,15, 20,23 105:1,	<b>vice</b> 9:10,15	101:24 107:10
75:3 92:18 104:22	<b>upcoming</b> 53:10 89:21	7,25 106:3 108:2	<b>Vicki</b> 12:1 86:6	<b>way</b> 52:23 66:19 67:14

				<u>-</u>
110:15	<b>whole</b> 43:11 105:1	wishes 57:25 73:19	99:17	
<b>ways</b> 70:6			written 96:24	
<b>Nayo</b> 7 0.0	<b>whom</b> 68:24	withdraw	W1111011 00:21	
	WIIOIII 00.21	35:2 44:3		
weaken		00.2 11.0	wrong 51:15	
18:13,25	<b>WIEC</b> 100:22		110:13	
	101:6,7,10,13	within 37:25		
weakened	102:18,21	64:8 66:9	Wyoming	
19:2			100:12,14	
19.2	will 12:22	without 16:18	101:1,11	
	13:6 18:25	47:8	· ·	
week 100:12		47.0	102:7,12,19	
	19:2,4 28:22,		103:2,17	
woighted	25 31:3,8,11,	witness 11:17	106:3,7	
weighted 76:5	12 33:2,9,22	29:6 41:18	108:25	
76.5	34:6 35:8,23	51:15 54:24		
	36:5,23 38:25	59:24 91:8	Wyoming's	
welcome 8:4	42:16,17,22	96:14,22	100:17	
11:10 73:15,	43:13 49:9,24	97:4,22		
19	53:11 54:6,8,	99:20,24		
	16 55:2 57:17	105:20	Υ	
whatever	58:6 61:22			
54:11 88:22	62:14,18	witnessle		
97:10 106:10	63:10 64:4	witness's	<b>year</b> 8:14	
109:19	68:15 70:15,	10:2	18:20 35:17	
109.19	16 71:6,25		46:8 64:2	
	74:5 75:7	wonder 44:5	66:9,22 77:24	
whether	80:1 83:12		79:23,24	
31:17 33:8	89:22 92:12	wondering	88:24 89:22	
43:6,9 58:1	97:4,23 98:16	109:24	101:21	
65:4 81:9	99:11 104:3 105:2 107:15	109.24		
87:12 98:23,			years 14:11	
25	111:6,9	<b>work</b> 14:9	33:24 34:5	
		85:21	80:12	
while 19:11	wind 30:21,		]	
52:22 53:2	22,23,25	workpapers		
61:25 62:20	44:14 101:16	15:9	yet 44:19	
77:14 78:15	103:24		46:20 53:3	
80:5 88:8			78:3	
99:17	<b>wish</b> 59:16	works 20:2		
	64:21 90:9		Yvonne 9:7	
		worthwhile		