SYNOPSIS

The Public Service Commission of Utah (PSC) approves a Settlement Stipulation (Stipulation) agreeing to: 1) an annual rate reduction of $61 million associated with the decrease of the corporate income tax rate and the repeal of the domestic production activities deductions resulting from recent federal tax reform legislation, which shall remain in effect until the effective date of the rates set in PacifiCorp’s next general rate case (GRC); 2) defer $4.9 million per year in regulatory liability associated with the corporate income tax decrease, which shall remain in effect until the effective date of the rates set in PacifiCorp’s next GRC; 3) defer non-protected excess deferred income tax (EDIT) balances toward accelerated depreciation of the Dave Johnston thermal generation plant, which will be recorded prior to year-end 2018; and 4) defer protected property-related EDIT balances with ratemaking treatment addressed in PacifiCorp’s next GRC.

Additionally, consistent with Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-12.8 (the Sustainable Transportation and Energy Plan Act (STEP)), the PSC authorizes use of the regulatory liability to depreciate or buy down Utah’s share of the remaining net book value of certain thermal plants.

BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On April 27, 2018, the PSC issued an order in Docket No. 17-035-69 (April Order) approving, effective May 1, 2018, an annual reduction of $61 million in PacifiCorp’s annual revenue requirement resulting from the impacts of the federal tax reform legislation (Tax

---

1 PacifiCorp does business in Utah as Rocky Mountain Power.
Reform) enacted on December 22, 2017. This amount is currently being refunded to customers through Electric Service Schedule No. 197 - Federal Tax Act Adjustment (Schedule 197). The April Order also approved PacifiCorp’s request to continue to defer the balance of the regulatory liability previously approved by the PSC in Docket No. 17-035-69 and identified requirements for PacifiCorp’s supplemental filing that was due by June 15, 2018.

On June 15, 2018, PacifiCorp filed its supplemental filing and final report (Supplemental Filing) presenting its calculation of the revenue requirement impacts from the Tax Reform Act. As set forth in the Supplemental Filing, PacifiCorp identified a Utah-allocated revenue requirement reduction of approximately $92.3 million consisting of two components: 1) an annual revenue requirement reduction of approximately $65.9 million arising from the federal tax rate decrease from 35 percent to 21 percent, and other tax-related changes; and 2) an approximate $26.4 million reduction associated with the amortization of accumulated EDIT balances. The $92.3 million reduction is approximately $31.3 million more than the $61 million reduction approved in the April Order. PacifiCorp proposed to continue the current $61 million annual rate reduction and to defer the $31.3 million amount as a regulatory liability to offset PSC-approved regulatory assets and future rate increases. PacifiCorp stated that for calendar year 2018, the $61.0 million is being refunded over eight months (May through December) for a rate

---

2 Unless otherwise noted, all filings referenced in this order pertain to Docket No. 17-035-69.
3 Order Granting Motion for Deferred Accounting Order and Notice of Scheduling Conference, issued February 28, 2018.
4 The procedural history prior to this order can be found in the April Order.
5 The April Order specified that the Supplemental Filing provide a full description of revenue requirement impacts of the Tax Reform Act, including all impacts associated with excess accumulated deferred income tax, proposals for returning ongoing and additional Tax Reform Act benefits to customers, and proposals for seeking recovery of offsets to the deferred account balances.
reduction of approximately 4.7 percent. Beginning January 1, 2019, PacifiCorp proposed refunding the $61.0 million over 12 months, resulting in a rate reduction of approximately 3.1 percent. In addition, PacifiCorp estimated that Tax Reform results in an approximately $740 million EDIT balance related to property and non-property items for which it proposed future regulatory treatment.

On October 24, 2018, PacifiCorp filed a Stipulation signed by PacifiCorp, the Division of Public Utilities (DPU), the Office of Consumer Services (OCS), the Utah Association of Energy Users (UAE), the Utah Industrial Energy Consumers (UIEC),\(^6\) and Nucor Steel-Utah, a Division of Nucor Corporation (Nucor). These six entities are collectively referred to as the Parties. The Stipulation is attached as an appendix to this order.

**STIPULATION HEARING**

On October 30, 2018, the PSC’s designated Presiding Officer conducted a hearing to consider the Stipulation. PacifiCorp, the DPU, the OCS, and UAE made appearances, presented witnesses to support the stipulation, and testified that the Stipulation is just and reasonable in result. Counsel for UIEC expressed support for the Stipulation. Counsel for US Magnesium, LLC (US Mag) represented that US Mag participated in Docket No. 17-035-69 and does not oppose approval of the Stipulation. No party opposed the Stipulation.

PacifiCorp provided a summary of the key terms of the Stipulation and related matters. PacifiCorp explained that Schedule 197 is currently refunding the $61 million amount over an 8-month period at a 4.7 percent average rate of decrease. Beginning January 1, 2019, the $61

---

\(^6\) UIEC filed its signature page with the PSC on October 25, 2018.
million will be refunded over a 12-month period, resulting in a 3.1 percent average annual rate
decrease. In addition, PacifiCorp noted that the Stipulation’s Section E requests the PSC approve
use of the regulatory liability established pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-12.8(5)(a)(i) (STEP
Depreciation Fund) to depreciate or buy down Utah’s share of the remaining net book value of
certain thermal plant units. PacifiCorp testified that the Stipulation’s terms regarding application
of the STEP Depreciation Fund comply with the provisions of Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-
12.8(5)(b)(i) and (ii). PacifiCorp’s rationale for this conclusion is based on environmental
requirements and economic considerations.

PacifiCorp stated the Stipulation does not determine plant/unit decommissioning costs
and that these costs will be addressed in Docket No. 18-035-36 (Depreciation Docket),7 and
PacifiCorp will make a filing in the Depreciation Docket within 30 days to reflect the provisions
in the Stipulation. PacifiCorp testified the Stipulation strikes a balance between providing
customers an immediate rate reduction while preserving some of the benefits to alleviate known
future rate pressures, thus promoting rate stability. PacifiCorp recommended the PSC approve
the Stipulation.

The DPU testified the Stipulation was designed to use Tax Reform benefits to offset the
impacts that are expected in the Depreciation Docket, and recommended its approval. The DPU
supports the Stipulation because it: 1) matches benefits with costs; 2) secures PacifiCorp’s credit
rating while not relying on the rating as the basis for the ultimate disposition of Tax Reform
benefits; and 3) creates more stability in rates by offsetting future rate increases.

---

7 Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Change its Depreciation Rates Effective January 1, 2021, Docket No. 18-035-36.
The OCS testified that the Stipulation provides for a reasonable balance between large immediate ratepayer refunds, the buy down of depreciation of existing plant values, and the use of deferred balances for future ratemaking treatment. The OCS endorsed the Stipulation’s provisions regarding STEP to further buy down the book value of certain thermal units and testified it is in the interest of customers and the general public to have those provisions specified and approved at this time.

**FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Stipulation presents a settlement of all issues associated with Docket No. 17-035-69. The Parties represent a diversity of interests and the major customer groups. The Parties agree the Stipulation, as a whole, is just and reasonable in result, and in the public interest. Further, several Parties testified at hearing that the Stipulation is just and reasonable in result. No party opposed the Stipulation.

As set forth in Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-1, settlements of matters before the PSC are encouraged at any stage of a proceeding. Pursuant to Section 54-7-1, the PSC may adopt a stipulation or settlement after considering the interests of the public and other affected persons, if it finds the stipulation or settlement in the public interest. Likewise, in reviewing a settlement, the PSC may consider whether it was the result of good faith, arms-length negotiations.

The Stipulation at issue is the product of mutual negotiation involving parties with substantial and varying interests. We find that the testimony filed in Docket No. 17-035-69

---

8 See Stipulation at 11, ¶ 53.
9 See Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-1.
11 See id. at 614 n.24.
demonstrates the importance of the variety of interests that participated in the negotiation and execution of the Stipulation. We also find the Stipulation is a reasonable compromise between immediate rate reductions and the preservation of Tax Reform benefits to alleviate known future rate pressures, thereby promoting rate stability. The Stipulation also reasonably mitigates PacifiCorp’s credit rating concerns. Further, we conclude the proposal to use the STEP Depreciation Fund to depreciate or buy down Utah’s share of the remaining net book value of certain thermal plants complies with the provisions of STEP.

No party has presented testimony or evidence in opposition to the Stipulation. We find that the record and evidence in Docket No. 17-035-69 support the unopposed representation of the Parties in Paragraph 53 of the Stipulation that settlement is in the public interest and just and reasonable in result.

Accordingly we find: 1) approval of the Stipulation to be in the public interest; and 2) the evidence, contained in the record, supports our finding that the Stipulation is just and reasonable in result. We also authorize the use of the STEP Depreciation Fund to buy down Utah’s share of the remaining net book value of thermal plants identified in Table A of the Stipulation.

ORDER

Based on the findings and conclusions expressed above:

1. We approve the Settlement Stipulation filed in Docket No. 17-035-69 on October 24, 2018;

2. We authorize use of the STEP Depreciation Fund, pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-12.8, to accelerate depreciation on the thermal plant units listed in Table A of the Stipulation, in accordance with Paragraphs 47 through 49 of the Stipulation;
3. PacifiCorp shall make a filing in Docket No. 18-035-36 within 30 days of this order reflecting the estimated changes in proposed depreciation rates resulting from the accelerated depreciation provisions in the Stipulation; and

4. PacifiCorp shall modify and file proposed changes to Schedule 197 on or before December 1, 2018 to reflect a 12-month refund of the $61 million tax impact amount.

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah, November 9, 2018.

/s/ Melanie A. Reif
Presiding Officer

Approved and confirmed November 9, 2018, as the Order of the Public Service Commission of Utah.

/s/ Thad LeVar, Chair

/s/ David R. Clark, Commissioner

/s/ Jordan A. White, Commissioner

Attest:

/s/ Gary L. Widerburg
PSC Secretary
DW#305451
Notice of Opportunity for Agency Review or Rehearing

Pursuant to §§ 63G-4-301 and 54-7-15 of the Utah Code, an aggrieved party may request agency review or rehearing of this Order by filing a written request with the PSC within 30 days after the issuance of this Order. Responses to a request for agency review or rehearing must be filed within 15 days of the filing of the request for review or rehearing. If the PSC does not grant a request for review or rehearing within 20 days after the filing of the request, it is deemed denied. Judicial review of the PSC's final agency action may be obtained by filing a petition for review with the Utah Supreme Court within 30 days after final agency action. Any petition for review must comply with the requirements of §§ 63G-4-401 and 63G-4-403 of the Utah Code and Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure.
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
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Data Request Response Center (datarequest@pacificorp.com, utahdockets@pacificorp.com)
PacifiCorp

Jana Saba (jana.saba@pacificorp.com)
Yvonne R. Hogle (yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com)
R. Jeff Richards (robert.richards@pacificorp.com)
Rocky Mountain Power

D. Matthew Moscon (matt.moscon@stoel.com)
Lauren Shurman (lauren.shurman@stoel.com)
Stoel Rives, LLP

Gary A. Dodge (gdodge@hjdlaw.com)
Phillip R. Russell (prussell@hjdlaw.com)
Hatch, James & Dodge
Counsel for UAE and US Magnesium, LLC

Kevin Higgins (khiggins@energystrat.com)
Neal Townsend (ntownsend@energystrat.com)
Energy Strategies

Roger Swenson (roger.swenson@prodigy.net)
E-Quant Consulting LLC

William A. Evans (bevans@parsonsbehle.com)
Vicki M. Baldwin (vbaladin@parsonsbehle.com)
Chad C. Baker (cbaker@parsonsbehle.com)
Parsons Behle & Latimer
Counsel for UIEC
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Eric J. Lacey (ejl@smxblaw.com)
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Cohne Kinghorn
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Sophie Hayes (sophie.hayes@westernresources.org)
Nancy Kelly (nkelly@westernresources.org)
Western Resource Advocates

Kate Bowman (kate@utahcleanenergy.org)
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Utah Clean Energy

Gloria Smith (gloria.smith@sierraclub.org)
Travis Ritchie (travis.ritchie@sierraclub.org)
Joseph Halso (joe.halso@gmail.com)
Sierra Club Environmental Law Program

Megan J. DePaulis (megan.depaulis@slcgov.com)
Salt Lake City Attorney’s Office

Tyler Poulson (tyler.poulson@slcgov.com)
Salt Lake City Corporation

Stephen F. Mecham (sfmecham@gmail.com)
Stephen F. Mecham Law, PLLC

Patricia Schmid (pschmid@agutah.gov)
Justin Jetter (jjetter@agutah.gov)
Robert Moore (rmoore@agutah.gov)
Steven Snarr (stevensnarr@agutah.gov)
Assistant Utah Attorneys General

Erika Tedder (etedder@utah.gov)
Division of Public Utilities

By Hand-Delivery:

Office of Consumer Services
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Salt Lake City, UT 84111

______________________________
Administrative Assistant
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APPENDIX
October 24, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Utah Public Service Commission
Heber M. Wells Building, 4th Floor
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, UT 84114

Attention: Gary Widerburg
Commission Secretary


Rocky Mountain Power (“Company”) hereby submits for filing the Settlement Stipulation and related attachment in the above referenced matter. The Company is authorized to represent that the Utah Industrial Energy Consumers (“UIEC”) is not opposed to the Settlement Stipulation, and the Company expects that UIEC’s signature will be filed later this week. Also, US Magnesium, LLC is not a signatory to the stipulation, but has authorized the Company to represent that they do not oppose it.

The Company respectfully requests that all formal correspondence and requests for additional information regarding this filing be addressed to the following:

By E-mail (preferred): datarequest@pacificorp.com
utahdockets@pacificorp.com
Jana.saba@pacificorp.com
Yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com

By regular mail: Data Request Response Center
Pacificorp
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000
Portland, OR 97232

Informal inquiries may be directed to Jana Saba at (801) 220-2823.

Sincerely,

Yvonne R. Hogle
Assistant General Counsel

cc: Service List
I. INTRODUCTION

1. This Settlement Stipulation ("Stipulation") is entered into by and among the parties whose signatures appear on the signature pages hereof (collectively referred to herein as the "Parties" and individually referred to as a "Party").

2. The Parties have conducted settlement discussions over the course of several weeks and had meetings on September 19, 2018 and October 17, and 22, 2018, to which all intervening parties to the docket that is the subject of this Stipulation were invited. In addition, parts or drafts of this Stipulation were circulated to all intervening parties for review and comment on October 19, and 23, 2018, and there have been further discussions among various parties. This Stipulation has been entered into by the Parties after consideration of the views of all intervening parties expressed during the process.

3. The Parties represent that this Stipulation is just and reasonable in result and recommend that the Public Service Commission of Utah ("Commission") approve the Stipulation and all of its terms and conditions. The Parties request that the Commission make findings of fact and reach conclusions of law based on the evidence and on this Stipulation and issue an appropriate order thereon.
II. BACKGROUND

4. On December 21, 2017, the Commission issued a Notice of Comment Period initiating this docket to investigate the revenue requirement impacts of the New Federal Tax Legislation Titled: “An act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to titles II and V of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2018” (the “Tax Reform Act”) and directing all utility companies to file written comments on or before January 31, 2018 (“Notice of Comment Period”).

5. On or about December 22, 2017, the Tax Reform Act was enacted.


7. In response to the UAE Motion, the Commission issued, on January 3, 2018, its Notice Regarding the Utah Association of Energy Users’ Motion for Orders for Deferred Accounting Treatment of Benefits Associated with the Tax Reform Act (“Notice of UAE Motion”). In its Notice of UAE Motion, the Commission requested comments on January 12, 2018.

8. On January 12, 2018, the Company filed a response to the UAE Motion supporting the deferred accounting treatment of impacts of the Tax Reform Act and requesting the Commission order a stay of the UAE Motion until the details of the impacts of the Tax Reform Act had been presented.

9. On January 29, 2018, the Company filed an Unopposed Motion to Extend and
Amend Procedural Schedule in Notice of Comment Period ("Motion to Extend") requesting a one-week extension of the January 31, 2018 deadline to file written comments until February 7, 2018, which was granted by the Commission on January 30, 2018.

10. On February 7, 2018, the Company filed comments to provide additional information on the impacts of the Tax Reform Act as well as its proposed ratemaking treatment for the associated tax reform revenue requirement impacts.

11. On February 23, 2018, the UAE, Division of Public Utilities ("Division"), the Office of Consumer Services ("Office") and the Utah Industrial Energy Consumers ("UIEC") filed comments responding to the Company’s comments.

12. On February 28, 2018, the Commission issued an Order Granting Motion for Deferred Accounting Order and Notice of Scheduling Conference granting the UAE Motion and setting a scheduling conference for March 6, 2018.

13. On March 7, 2018, the Commission issued its Scheduling Order, Notice of Hearing and Notice of Scheduling Conference.

14. On March 16, 2018, the Company filed its tariff application including exhibits and workpapers requesting approval of proposed Tariff Schedule 197 ("Application"), to begin delivering benefits from the Tax Reform Act back to customers.

15. On April 9, 2018, UAE, the Division, the Office, Nucor Steel-Utah and UIEC filed responsive comments, responding to the Application.

16. On April 13, 2018, the Company filed its Hearing Input Letter, and Nucor Steel-Utah filed comments.

17. On April 16, 2018, the Division filed its Reply Comments and Recommendations and the Company filed Reply Comments.
18. A hearing was held April 18, 2018 to consider the Company’s Application.

19. On April 27, 2018, the Commission issued its order on the Application, approving an on-going rate reduction of $61 million, or approximately 4.7 percent, for the remaining eight months of 2018, or 3.1 percent on an annualized basis, beginning May 1, 2018. The Company submitted its compliance filing May 4, 2018.

20. On June 15, 2018, the Company filed its supplemental tariff filing and final report, including exhibits and workpapers (“Final Report”).

21. On June 21, 2018, the Commission held a scheduling conference to address the Final Report.


23. On July 10, 2018, the Company filed its direct testimony.

24. On July 18, 2018, a technical conference was held.

25. On August 2, 2018, the Company filed an update to its July 10, 2018 direct testimony.

26. On August 28, 2018, the UIEC, the Office, the Division and UAE filed direct testimony.

27. On September 19, 2018, the Company and the Division filed rebuttal testimony, and Parties met for initial settlement discussions.

28. On September 20, 2018, the Company filed an Unopposed Motion to Extend and Amend Procedural Schedule.

29. On September 21, 2018, the Commission issued its Order Granting Motion to Amend Schedule and Notice of Hearing.
30. On October 17, 2018, the Parties held additional settlement discussions.

31. On October 19, 2018, the Company filed an Unopposed Motion to Vacate the Deadlines for Sur-rebuttal Testimony and Prehearing Legal Briefs.

32. The Parties have reached a compromise as specified herein in accordance with the terms and conditions provided in this Stipulation.

33. This Stipulation resolves all issues in this Docket. In addition, certain provisions related to the accelerated depreciation and buying down of balances of certain thermal plants, discussed in detail below, affect proposed depreciation rates in Docket No. 18-035-36 (the “Depreciation docket”) and require Commission authorization in accordance with Utah Code Ann. §§ 54-7-12.8(5)(a)(i) from the Sustainable Transportation and Energy Plan Act (“STEP”).

III. TERMS OF THE STIPULATION

34. Subject to Commission approval and for purposes of this Stipulation only, Parties agree as follows:

A. Benefits of Tax Reform Act

35. Parties agree that, under this Stipulation, Utah customers will receive all benefits of the Tax Reform Act, and that the terms of this Stipulation should remain in effect until the effective date of the new rates from the Company’s next general rate case, at which time those benefits will be reflected in base rates approved in that proceeding.

36. Parties agree that the benefits of the Tax Reform Act are as follows:

a. A reduction in current incomes taxes, calculated to be $65,890,000 per year.

b. A reduction in accumulated deferred income taxes (Excess Deferred Income Taxes or “EDIT”), which is composed of:
i. Protected property-related items, estimated to be a total of $816,783,701, Utah allocated and grossed-up for taxes.

ii. Non-protected property-related items, calculated to be a total of $138,875,182, Utah allocated and grossed-up for taxes.

iii. Non-protected non-property-related, calculated to be a total of $29,915,486, Utah allocated and grossed-up for taxes.

B. **Current Tax Reduction**

37. Parties agree that the current annual rate reduction of $61 million, as ordered by the Commission in its April 27, 2018 Order in this proceeding, and as approved and reflected in Schedule 197, Federal Tax Act Adjustment, will remain in effect until the effective date of the rates set in the Company’s next general rate case.

38. Parties agree that the Company will defer $4.9 million per year in a regulatory liability account, until the effective date of the new rates set in the Company’s next general rate case. Interest will accrue on the regulatory liability at the approved customer deposit carrying charge. The $4.9 million is the annual incremental benefit from calculation of the reduction in current income taxes that is not reflected in the rate credit in Schedule 197. Each year prior to year-end, in 2018, 2019, and 2020, the Company will apply the balance in the regulatory liability account toward accelerated depreciation of the Dave Johnston thermal generation plant reducing Utah’s share of the plant’s current net book balance.

C. **EDIT – Protected Property-Related**

39. Parties agree that the protected property-related EDIT will be normalized using the average rate assumption method (“ARAM”) as required by the Internal Revenue Code. The Utah-allocation of the actual annual amortization of protected property-related EDIT under the
ARAM, grossed-up for taxes, will be placed in a regulatory liability account. Parties will be free to propose ratemaking treatment for the balance of the ARAM-related regulatory liability account in the Company’s next general rate case. The Company will provide Parties the workpapers for the calculation of the estimated annual amount at the time it files the annual results of operations report beginning April 2019, and the final amount for the preceding year in the mid-year results filed in October, until reflected in base rates in the Company’s next general rate case.

D. EDIT – Non-Protected Property and Non-Property-Related

40. Parties agree that the non-protected EDIT balances will be used to accelerate depreciation of the Dave Johnston thermal generation plant, reducing Utah’s share of the plant’s current net book balance. The accelerated depreciation and non-protected EDIT balance reduction will be recorded prior to year-end 2018.

E. STEP Fund Buy-Down

41. Consistent with Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-12.8(5)(b)(i), the Parties request that the Commission authorize the use of the regulatory liability established pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 54-7-12.8(5)(a)(i) (the “STEP Depreciation Fund”) to depreciate, or buy-down, Utah’s share of the remaining net book value of the thermal plants identified in Table A below, as described below.

42. In support of the Stipulation, the Parties state that in the Depreciation docket, the Company filed a new depreciation study that reflects updated proposed depreciation rates, proposed to be effective January 1, 2021. As filed, the proposed change in depreciation rates would result in an increase in Utah’s annual depreciation expense of $128.1 million. The proposed increase in depreciation expense is due, in part, to capital investments in thermal
generation plants and Company proposed changes in the depreciation lives of certain thermal generation plants since the last depreciation study, as discussed in detail in the Direct Testimony of Chad A. Teply in the Depreciation docket. Table A below shows the affected thermal generation plants (the “Qualifying Thermal Plants”) with the Company’s proposed changes in depreciable lives:

**TABLE A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Currently Effective Utah Depreciable Life</th>
<th>Proposed Utah Depreciable Life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cholla Unit 4</td>
<td>2042</td>
<td>April 2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Unit 1</td>
<td>2034</td>
<td>2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Unit 2</td>
<td>2034</td>
<td>2026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colstrip Units 3</td>
<td>2046</td>
<td>2027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colstrip Units 4</td>
<td>2046</td>
<td>2027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Bridger Unit 1</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td>2028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Bridger Unit 2</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td>2032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

43. The Company estimates that the Utah net book balance and the Utah decommissioning costs of the Qualifying Thermal Plants (together, “Total Utah Balance”) as of December 31, 2020, will be as shown in Table B.

**TABLE B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Utah Projected Net Book Balance</th>
<th>Utah Projected Decommissioning</th>
<th>Total Utah Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cholla Unit 4</td>
<td>$122 million</td>
<td>$9 million</td>
<td>$131 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Units 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>$47 million</td>
<td>$1 million</td>
<td>$48 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colstrip Units 3 &amp; 4</td>
<td>$51 million</td>
<td>$6 million</td>
<td>$57 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Bridger Unit 1</td>
<td>$42 million</td>
<td>$4 million</td>
<td>$46 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Bridger Unit 2</td>
<td>$54 million</td>
<td>$4 million</td>
<td>$58 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$340 million</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

44. The Company further estimates that the STEP Depreciation Fund will grow to
approximately $316 million by the end of 2026, as shown in Table C below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Projected Utah Regulatory Liability Ending Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$192 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$229 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>$258 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>$282 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>$300 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$310 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>$316 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

45. The Parties agree that the Utah Depreciation Expense for the Qualifying Thermal Plants for purposes of the depreciation study filed in the Depreciation docket will be calculated based upon the actual Utah net book balances found to be prudently invested, plus estimated Utah decommissioning costs as of December 31, 2020 (“Actual Utah Depreciation Expense”), using the 2017 Protocol SG allocation factor approved by the Commission in Docket No. 17-035-06.

46. The Parties agree this Stipulation does not predetermine the prudency of any investments in additions to plant in service in, or recovery of, the actual Utah net book balances of, the Qualifying Thermal Plants as of December 31, 2020. Parties retain the right to a) review all additions to plant in service at each of the Qualifying Thermal Plants since Docket No. 13-035-184 and b) challenge the prudence and recoverability of any such plant additions. Parties acknowledge that the estimated Utah decommissioning costs as of December 31, 2020 will also be addressed in the Depreciation docket.

47. The Parties further agree that the available STEP Depreciation Funds on December 31, 2020 will be used to accelerate depreciation and reduce the Total Utah Balance of the Qualifying Thermal Plant units listed in Table A, to the extent possible, starting with
the first unit listed in Table A, then proceeding in the order listed. The use of the STEP Depreciation Fund will be incorporated in the calculation of the depreciation rates in the Depreciation docket.

48. The Parties further agree that all incremental amounts in the STEP Depreciation Fund that are related to collections after December 31, 2020, will be used to offset the projected Utah Depreciation Expense of the Qualifying Thermal Plants until the results of the next depreciation study are incorporated into rates. A projection of the incremental amounts in the STEP Depreciation Fund will be used to offset depreciation calculated in future rate cases and regulatory filings.

49. The Parties further agree that the amounts identified for use of the STEP Depreciation Funds in Paragraphs 47 and 48 above will continue as an offset to Utah thermal plant balances and depreciation expense under any future Commission-approved allocation method or treatments.

G. **Update Filing in Depreciation Docket**

50. The Parties agree that within 30 days of the date the Commission issues a written order approving this Stipulation, the Company will make a filing in the Depreciation docket to reflect the estimated change in the proposed depreciation rates as a result of the buy-down provisions in this Stipulation.

H. **Attachment 1**

51. Attachment 1 to this Stipulation shows the estimated regulatory liability treatment for the current tax reduction and non-protected EDIT tax benefits as agreed to in this Stipulation.
IV. GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

52. The Parties agree that no part of this Stipulation will in any manner be argued or considered as precedential in any future case except with regard to issues expressly called-out and resolved by this Stipulation. This Stipulation does not resolve and does not provide any inferences regarding, and the Parties are free to take any position with respect to any issues not specifically called-out and settled herein.

53. Not all Parties agree that each aspect of this Stipulation is supportable in isolation. Utah Code Annotated Section 54-7-1 authorizes the Commission to approve a settlement so long as the settlement is just and reasonable in result. While the Parties are not able to agree that each specific component of this Stipulation is just and reasonable in isolation, all the Parties agree that this Stipulation as a whole is just and reasonable in result and in the public interest.

54. All negotiations related to this Stipulation are confidential, and no Party shall be bound by any position asserted in negotiations. Except as expressly provided in this Stipulation, neither the execution of this Stipulation nor the order adopting it shall be deemed to constitute an admission or acknowledgement by any Party of the validity or invalidity of any principle or practice of regulatory accounting or ratemaking; nor shall they be construed to constitute the basis of an estoppel or waiver by any Party; nor shall they be introduced or used as evidence for any other purpose in a future proceeding by any Party except in a proceeding to enforce this Stipulation.

55. The Parties request that the Commission consider this Stipulation at the hearing scheduled in this docket where all pre-filed testimony will be part of the record. In addition, the Company and the Division shall, and the Office and any other party that has intervened in
these proceedings may, make one or more witnesses available to explain and offer further support for or make comments concerning this Stipulation. As applied to the Division and the Office, any explanation and support provided herein or at the hearing shall be consistent with their statutory authority and responsibility.

56. The Parties agree that if the Commission does not approve the entirety of this settlement, including the items related to the Depreciation docket, they will reconvene to address the issues in this Docket.

57. This Stipulation may be executed by individual Parties through two or more counterparts, all of which collectively shall constitute this Stipulation.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 24th day of October, 2018.
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Attachment 1
### Rocky Mountain Power

**Estimated Federal Tax Deferral and Amortization Table**

State of Utah

$ - Thousands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Utah Allocated Tax EDIT</th>
<th>Pre-Tax Gross Up Amount</th>
<th>Revenue Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.19%</td>
<td>(615,975)</td>
<td>(816,763)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.09%</td>
<td>(104,719)</td>
<td>(138,875)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(22,561)</td>
<td>(29,916)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ending Balance</th>
<th>Current Tax</th>
<th>Current Tax Carrying Charge</th>
<th>EDIT Non-Protected Property</th>
<th>EDIT Non-Protected Non-Property</th>
<th>Depreciation Buy Down</th>
<th>ARAM Protected Property</th>
<th>Ending Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan-19</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 5,491</td>
<td>- $ 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 7,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-18</td>
<td>7,033</td>
<td>5,491</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-18</td>
<td>14,086</td>
<td>5,491</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-18</td>
<td>21,158</td>
<td>5,491</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-18</td>
<td>28,247</td>
<td>5,491</td>
<td>(7,625)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-18</td>
<td>27,718</td>
<td>5,491</td>
<td>(7,625)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul-18</td>
<td>27,181</td>
<td>5,491</td>
<td>(7,625)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug-18</td>
<td>26,637</td>
<td>5,491</td>
<td>(7,625)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-18</td>
<td>26,087</td>
<td>5,491</td>
<td>(7,625)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct-18</td>
<td>25,529</td>
<td>5,491</td>
<td>(7,625)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-18</td>
<td>24,964</td>
<td>5,491</td>
<td>(7,625)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec-18</td>
<td>24,392</td>
<td>5,491</td>
<td>(7,625)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>138,875</td>
<td>28,915</td>
<td>(173,681)</td>
<td>1,533</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

| | $ 65,890 | $ (61,000) | $ 528 | $ 138,875 | $ 28,915 | (173,681) | $ 18,394 | $ 18,922 |

| Jan-19 | 19,922 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 19 | | | | 2,023 |
| Feb-19 | 21,372 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 21 | | | | 2,023 |
| Mar-19 | 23,823 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 22 | | | | 2,023 |
| Apr-19 | 26,275 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 24 | | | | 2,023 |
| May-19 | 29,729 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 25 | | | | 2,023 |
| Jun-19 | 31,185 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 26 | | | | 2,023 |
| Jul-19 | 33,642 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 28 | | | | 2,023 |
| Aug-19 | 36,100 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 29 | | | | 2,023 |
| Sep-19 | 38,560 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 31 | | | | 2,023 |
| Oct-19 | 41,022 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 32 | | | | 2,023 |
| Nov-19 | 43,486 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 34 | | | | 2,023 |
| Dec-19 | 45,949 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 35 | | | | 2,023 |

**Total**

| | $ 65,890 | $ (61,000) | $ 327 | $ (4,890) | $ 24,275 | $ 43,524 |

| Jan-20 | 43,524 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 37 | | | | 1,715 |
| Feb-20 | 45,684 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 38 | | | | 1,715 |
| Mar-20 | 47,844 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 40 | | | | 1,715 |
| Apr-20 | 50,006 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 42 | | | | 1,715 |
| May-20 | 52,170 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 43 | | | | 1,715 |
| Jun-20 | 54,335 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 45 | | | | 1,715 |
| Jul-20 | 56,502 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 46 | | | | 1,715 |
| Aug-20 | 58,670 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 48 | | | | 1,715 |
| Sep-20 | 60,840 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 49 | | | | 1,715 |
| Oct-20 | 63,011 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 51 | | | | 1,715 |
| Nov-20 | 65,184 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 52 | | | | 1,715 |
| Dec-20 | 67,358 | 5,491 | (5,083) | 54 | | | | 1,715 |

**Total**

| | $ 65,890 | $ (61,000) | $ 544 | $ (4,890) | $ 26,575 | $ 64,644 |

---

(1) Beginning April 1, 2018, the carrying charge rate decreased from 4.19% to 4.09% per Docket No. 19-035-701.

(2) Carrying Charge is calculated on full balance of current tax.

(3) Currently, tariff schedule 197 is refunding $61.0m effective May 1, 2018 per the Commission's April 27, 2018 order.

(4) Depreciation buy down in December 2018 includes full amount of EDIT Non-Protected Property, EDIT Non-Protected Non-Property, and $4.3m difference in current tax and refund.

(5) ARAM Protected Property amortization amount to be trued up to actual ARAM annually.
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Docket No. 17-035-69

I hereby certify that on October 24, 2018, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic mail to the following:

**Utah Office of Consumer Services**
Cheryl Murray cmurray@utah.gov
Michele Beck mbeck@utah.gov

**Division of Public Utilities**
Erika Tedder etedder@utah.gov

**Assistant Attorney General**
Patricia Schmid pschmid@agutah.gov
Justin Jetter jjetter@agutah.gov
Robert Moore rmoore@agutah.gov
Steven Snarr stevensnarr@agutah.gov

**Utah Association of Energy Users**
*Hatch, James & Dodge, P.C.*
Gary A. Dodge gdodge@hjdlaw.com
Phillip J. Russell prussell@hjdlaw.com
Kevin Higgins khiggins@energysтрат.com
Neal Townsend ntownsend@energysтрат.com

**US Magnesium, LLC**
Gary A. Dodge gdodge@hjdlaw.com
Phillip P. Russell prussell@hjdlaw.com
Roger Swenson roger.swenson@prodigy.net

**Utah Industrial Energy Consumers**
*Parsons Behle & Latimer*
William J. Evans bevans@parsonsbehle.com
Vicki M. Baldwin vbaladin@parsonsbehle.com
Chad C. Baker cbaker@parsonsbehle.com

**Nucor Steel-Utah**
Peter J. Mattheis pmj@smxblaw.com
Eric J. Lacey ejl@smxblaw.com
Jeremy R. Cook jcook@cohnekinghorn.com
October 25, 2018

Gary Widerburg
Commission Secretary
Utah Public Service Commission
Heber M. Wells Building, 4th Floor
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, UT 84114

Re: Signed Docket No. 17-035-69 - Rocky Mountain Power's Settlement Stipulation

Dear Mr. Widerburg,

Please find attached the signature page from the Settlement Stipulation in Docket No. 17-035-69 signed on behalf of the Utah Industrial Energy Consumers. Please let me know if you have any questions.
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Vicki M. Baldwin
Attorney at Law
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