1. Background

On March 28, 2017, PacifiCorp dba Rocky Mountain Power ("PacifiCorp") filed Advice No. 17-06, proposing revisions to Electric Service Schedule No. 73. Specifically, PacifiCorp proposes to make the following changes to Special Conditions 7 and 8 of the schedule:

Special Condition 7: Subscribers may pay a cancelation fee if they cancel within three years of subscribing. The cancellation fee will equal up to $50 per block ….

Special Condition 8: The Company may not accept enrollments for accounts that have a time payment agreement in effect ….

PacifiCorp requests the change to Special Condition 7 to allow it the flexibility to negotiate a reduced early cancelation fee on a case by case basis where the cost to replace the customer is minimal or where the cancelation is the result of extenuating circumstances, such as military service or medical reasons. Similarly, PacifiCorp seeks the revision to Special Condition 8 to clarify that PacifiCorp may decline enrollments for customers who have specified payment issues as opposed to mandating PacifiCorp decline all such applications. PacifiCorp asserts this revision aligns Schedule 73 with Schedules 70 and 72. PacifiCorp further asserts the modification will provide greater flexibility in accepting enrollments from customers that have a temporary credit problem or where a time payment agreement arose due to no fault of the
The Division of Public Utilities ("Division") supports both the revisions PacifiCorp proposes, but the Office of Consumer Services ("Office") opposes them. The Office asserts the cancelation fee should not be subject to negotiation or otherwise waivable at PacifiCorp's discretion. The Office would support a tariff change providing "subscribers will pay a cancelation fee unless the full relinquished subscription amount can be immediately filled from the waiting list or the customer is facing the circumstances of severe medical issues or active military duty." (Office Comments at 2. Emphasis in original.) The Office similarly opposes allowing PacifiCorp discretion to waive the 12-month waiting period for customers who have experienced the enumerated billing issues. The Office asserts the waiting period is not onerous and that PacifiCorp has failed to support its request for the change.

PacifiCorp responds that it "cannot foresee" every circumstance in which it would be appropriate to waive the cancelation fee under Special Condition 7 or the 12-month waiting period under Special Condition 8. (PacifiCorp Reply Comments at 1-2.) PacifiCorp further argues "[i]t is a common industry standard for fees to be waived or reduced for customers, when warranted" and that the change to Special Condition 7 will minimize PacifiCorp's charging unnecessary fees and serve the best interests of customers. (Id. at 1.) PacifiCorp maintains requiring the 12-month waiting period in every circumstance is unduly punitive and unjust.

2. **Discussion**

Under Title 54, revised schedules that do not increase rates "take effect 30 days after the date of filing … subject to the authority of the commission, after a hearing, to suspend, alter or
modify the schedule …." Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-12(6). Whenever we suspend a schedule, we must "hold a hearing … before issuing [our] final order." Id. at § 54-7-12(5)(b).

We agree with the Division's conclusion that PacifiCorp's requested revisions appear to be reasonable on their face. Moreover, we expect any impact of the changes on customers will be de minimis. While we recognize the record is not sufficiently developed for us to make conclusive findings as to the propriety of the changes, we are not persuaded it would be a constructive use of the parties' resources to require them to participate in the statutorily mandated hearing to suspend the tariff. We find the most efficient and reasonable course is to allow the tariff changes to take effect under the statute. If the Office believes PacifiCorp's revisions merit a hearing, then it may file a request for agency action and we will set the matter for hearing.

3. Conclusion

Because, on their face, PacifiCorp's revisions appear to be reasonable and should have a de minimis effect on customers, we will allow them to take effect by operation of statute 30 days after filing. Any party who wishes to explore the matter further may file a request for agency action.

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah, April 24, 2017.

/s/ Michael J. Hammer
Presiding Officer
DOCKET NO. 17-035-T06

Approved and Confirmed April 24, 2017, as the Order of the Public Service Commission of Utah.

/s/ Thad LeVar, Chair

/s/ David R. Clark, Commissioner

/s/ Jordan A. White, Commissioner

Attest:

/s/ Gary L. Widerburg
Commission Secretary
DW/293545
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY that on April 24, 2017, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served upon the following as indicated below:

By Electronic-Mail:

Data Request Response Center (datarequest@pacificorp.com)
PacifiCorp

Bob Lively (bob.lively@pacificorp.com)
Michael S. Snow (michael.snow@pacificorp.com)
Rocky Mountain Power

Patricia Schmid (pschmid@utah.gov)
Justin Jetter (jjetter@utah.gov)
Robert Moore (rmoore@utah.gov)
Steven Snarr (ssnarr@utah.gov)
Assistant Utah Attorneys General

Erika Tedder (etedder@utah.gov)
Division of Public Utilities

By Hand-Delivery:

Office of Consumer Services
160 East 300 South, 2nd Floor
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Administrative Assistant