



State of Utah
Department of Commerce
Division of Public Utilities

FRANCINE GIANI
Executive Director

THOMAS BRADY
Deputy Director

CHRIS PARKER
Director, Division of Public Utilities

GARY HERBERT
Governor
SPENCER J. COX
Lieutenant Governor

Action Request Response

To: Utah Public Service Commission

From: Utah Division of Public Utilities

Chris Parker, Director
Artie Powell, Energy Section Manager
Bob Davis, Utility Analyst

Date: December 11, 2017

Re: **Docket No. 17-035-T14**. Rocky Mountain Power's Proposed Tariff Revisions, Proposing to Update Index Sheet No. B.1.

RECOMMENDATION - (APPROVAL)

The Division of Public Utilities (Division) recommends the Utah Public Service Commission (Commission) approve Rocky Mountain Power's (Company) proposed tariff changes (Filing) for Tariff Index Sheet No. B.1, "Electric Service Schedules – State of Utah." The Division has reviewed the Company's proposed changes to Schedule No. B.1 and determined that the Company's filing should be approved.

ISSUE

On November 30, 2017, the Company filed its revised Schedule No. B.1 with the Commission. The Filing consists of a cover letter, edited sheet and clean sheet for the tariff mentioned above. The Company proposes an effective date of January 1, 2018. On the same day, the Commission issued an action request to the Division to investigate the Company's filing and make recommendations. The Commission requested that the Division complete its review by December 15, 2017.

DISCUSSION

The Company states in its cover letter that tariff Schedule No. 120 (Plug-In Electric Vehicle Incentive Pilot Program), and tariff Schedule No. 121 (Plug-In Electric Vehicle Load Research Study Program – Temporary), were inadvertently left off the Tariff Index Sheet No. B.1. During

its compliance filings for Docket No. 16-035-36 and one relating to Advice 17-10, Tariff Schedule No. B.1 was not updated with Schedules 120 and 121 because the Commission had not approved them at the time. The Company subsequently filed ninth and tenth revisions to tariff Schedule No. B.1 as part of Advice 17-13, and Docket No. 14-035-114, respectively, but inadvertently did not update Tariff Index Sheet No. B.1 to include Commission approved Schedules 120 and 121 in the index. The Division concludes that this filing is minor and necessary to properly reflect Schedules 120 and 121 on the Tariff Index Sheet No. B.1.

The Division notes that the Company makes an affirmative statement in its cover letter that “the proposed tariff sheets do not constitute a violation of state law or Commission rule” per R746-405-2(D). In addition to requiring an explicit affirmation, the rule states that, “The filing of proposed tariff sheets shall of itself constitute the representation of the filing utility that it, in good faith, believes the proposed sheets or revised sheets to be consistent with applicable statutes, rules and orders.”

CONCLUSION

The Division concludes that the Filing is necessary and accurately addresses the changes proposed by the Company to Tariff Index Schedule No. B.1, and recommends approval.

CC: Joelle Steward, RMP
Jana Saba, RMP
Michele Beck, OCS