In the Matter Of:

In RE: RMP - EBA

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01

February 05, 2019

Job Number: 461395B

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

)

In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Increase the Deferred Rate through) the Energy Balancing Account Mechanism

) Docket No. 18-035-01) HEARING) Confidential Portion Redacted)

February 5, 2019 10:00 a.m.

Location: Public Service Commission 160 East 300 South, 4th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 530-6769

Reporter: Teri Hansen Cronenwett Certified Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter

Job No. 461395B

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES 2 Thad LeVar, Chairman Commissioners: 3 David Clark Jordan A. White 4 5 For the Division of Justin Jetter Public Utilities: Assistant Attorney General 6 160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 7 jjetter@agutah.gov 8 For Rocky Mountain Matthew Moscon Yvonne R. Hogle 9 Power: 1407 W. North Temple, Suite 330 10 Salt Lake City, UT 84114 yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com 11 12 For the UAE: Phillip J. Russell HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. 13 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 14 Telephone: (801) 363-6363 Facsimile: (801) 363-6666 15 Prussell@hjdlaw.com 16 17 18 19 INDEX 20 Witness Page 21 MICHAEL G. WILDING 22 Direct Examination by Mr. Moscon 10 23 Questions by Commissioner White 123 24 ROBERT M. MEREDITH 25 Direct Examination by Mr. Moscon 15

1	DANA MICHAEL RALSTON	Page 3
2	Direct Examination by Mr. Moscon	19
3	Cross-Examination by Mr. Jetter	26
4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Russell	56
5	Redirect Examination by Mr. Moscon	73
6	Recross-Examination by Mr. Jetter	94
7	Confidential Portion page 97, to page 103,	
8	Recross-Examination by Mr. Russell	103
9	Further Direct Examination by Mr. Moscon	106
10	Examination by Chairman LeVar	107
11	Examination by Commissioner White	113
12	Examination by Commissioner Clark	129
13		
14	DAVID T. THOMPSON	
15	Direct Examination by Mr. Jetter	136
16	PHILIP DIDOMENICO	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Jetter	141
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Moscon	175
19	Redirect Examination by Mr. Jetter	198
20	Examination by Commissioner Clark	200
21	Examination by Commissioner White	203
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 4 February 5, 2019 10:00 a.m. 1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Good morning. We are 4 here for Public Service Commission hearing in Docket 18-35-1, Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Increase 5 the Deferred Rate through the Energy Balancing Account 6 7 Mechanism. We have a few preliminary matters to discuss, but why don't we start with appearances from 8 9 Rocky Mountain Power. 10 MR. MOSCON: Matt Moscon and Yvonne Hogle for 11 Rocky Mountain Power. 12 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 13 MR. JETTER: Good morning. I am Justin Jetter with the Utah Attorney General's Office, and I am 14 representing Utah Division of Public Utilities. With me 15 at counsel table are division witness David Thompson and 16 17 division outside consultant witness, Phillip DiDomenico. 18 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. 19 MR. RUSSELL: And Phillip Russell on behalf of 20 the Utah Association of Energy Users. 21 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. No one 2.2 else in the room participating today? Okay. I think the next matter to go to is, on Friday afternoon Rocky 23 Mountain Power filed a motion requesting leave for 24 Mr. Meredith, Mr. Robert M. Meredith, to participate 25

Page 5 1 telephonically. Does any party have anything to add to 2 that motion? Any of the parties present here today? 3 Okay. The motion is granted. Thank you. 4 Just a couple more preliminary issues. We do have a lot of material that has been submitted in 5 confidential format. Obviously, the entire Daymark 6 7 audit is confidential, but there are some materials from the Daymark testimony relating to the seven outages at 8 9 issue here today that is -- that is in yellow. First, I think the first thing I ought to do 10 11 is ask Rocky Mountain Power if you are aware of any 12 reason any of the material that's in yellow in their 13 testimony, or in, I think, Mr. Ralston -- Mr. Ralston also has a little bit of material in yellow. 14 Is there 15 any reason any of that material is no longer confidential, or is it still -- do we still need to 16 treat it that way in any of our discussions today? 17 18 MS. HOGLE: I am going to say just to be cautious, we have, of course, over the time that we have 19 20 been preparing for the hearing, we have discussed some 21 of the items in the testimony. And -- and I would just 22 ask Dana if he believes that there is anything during the cross-examination or direct examination that he 23 24 thinks that's confidential, if he let us know. 25 And of course, we are aware of the materials

Page 6 1 that we have submitted as confidential, but it appears 2 to me that hopefully we -- we will be cautious and not 3 get into exact confidential material while also making 4 our case.

CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Well, if -- if there's 5 ever a need to make a motion to close it, we'll 6 7 entertain a motion and deal with it. We will also endeavor as we ask questions of the witnesses to -- to 8 9 avoid that, but if any party notices one of us starting to ask a question that you think we are not being as 10 11 careful as we should, please feel free to interrupt us 12 and let us know if we need to deal with something, but 13 we will try not to.

14 I think just two more preliminary issues I was 15 going to ask about. One is just informative just so you all know. Probably about 20 minutes before we will 16 break for lunch today, Commissioner Clark will be 17 stepping out to attend a senate confirmation vote, and 18 19 then he should be able to return for anything else. So 20 he's not losing interest in the hearing if you see him 21 leave a few minutes before our break, and then we can 22 enjoy his participation for six more years.

And the last preliminary matter is, I wanted to invite the attorneys to have a conversation on the -on -- on the legal standards, either at the beginning of

Page 7 1 the hearing or at the end, or if you tell me you would 2 rather not have this conversation as -- as part of the 3 hearing, we'll just deal with it in testimony, we're --4 we can come to our own legal conclusions too. But we 5 would invite any input that -- that the attorneys would 6 like to give.

Obviously, we -- we could probably all in the 7 room recite 54-44 on the prudent standard in our sleep, 8 9 but this hearing presents some unique issues with respect to that standard, particularly the -- the 10 11 relevance to a prudent evaluation of subsequent 12 corrective action or the standards for evaluating 13 prudence where there is a plant operator or co-owner involved or a contractor relationship and what -- what 14 the legal standards are. 15

So if the attorneys would like to have a conversation at some point, we're happy to have that conversation now or circle back at the end of the hearing if anyone -- if anyone wants to provide thoughts that would give us any guidance as we -- as we deliberate on these issues.

22 MR. MOSCON: I -- I would suggest, if it 23 please the commission, that at the end would be 24 appropriate. I think it is something that's worth 25 addressing, but I think after the information has been

1	Page 8 received, the commission's probably going to be in the
2	best circumstance to ask back to the attorneys the
3	questions about it. So on this point, what does that
4	mean or how does this play out?
5	So I just suggest at the end that you invite
6	interested counsel to give their input on what the legal
7	standard for any topic is. Then you can question back.
8	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
9	Mr. Jetter?
10	MR. JETTER: I I think that's fine. I
11	am I'm happy to do it at any point. So whatever
12	whatever the commission, works best for you guys. I
13	think that's really the core of what we are here today
14	for. I am not sure there's a lot of facts at issue, so
15	I think it's somewhat of a matter for first impression
16	for this commission and an important issue certainly to
17	us, so we're happy to address it whenever you find it
18	most convenient.
19	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Jetter.
20	Mr. Russell?
21	MR. RUSSELL: I agree both with Mr. Jetter and
22	Mr. Moscon, and I think maybe we can circle back at the
23	end. I I did, because this is an issue, as
24	Mr. Jetter said, of first impression, I did do some
25	research into this. And I found some cases that are not

1	Page 9 from this jurisdiction I think may be useful to the
2	commission, that the standards and the facts therein
3	don't really lend themselves to cross-examination.
4	So I I anticipate that my suggestion will
5	be that we submit briefs, even even if it's just,
6	here are some cases. Look at them for yourselves.
7	Decide what you think they mean and how they apply here.
8	But I I agree, I think we can circle back at the end
9	to to decide exactly how we want to convey that
10	information to the commission.
11	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. I think we will
12	proceed that way then, and we will come to the history
13	at the end. I will just state for something for parties
14	to think about with respect to briefs, I am presuming
15	there is a need to have an order in this docket in time
16	to inform the next EBA filing.
17	And so I'm I'm assuming a drop-dead date to
18	get an order out that would give time to inform the next
19	EBA would be, you know, around the end of February or
20	the first of March. So that may be something to think
21	about if if we're going to be talking about briefs,
22	or if we're just going to be having a conversation at
23	the end of hearing.
24	And with that, we'll move forward and look
25	forward to ruling on objections to witnesses talking

	Page 10
1	about legal issues in the meantime. Any other
2	preliminary matters before we before we go to the
3	first witness? Okay. Mr. Moscon or Ms. Hogle.
4	MR. MOSCON: Yes, thank you. Rocky Mountain
5	Power calls as its first witness Mr. Michael Wilding.
6	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: And I think your microphone
7	might not be picking you up for the streaming.
8	THE REPORTER: Yeah, it's literally it's
9	too far away.
10	MR. MOSCON: Rocky Mountain Power calls as its
11	first witness Mr. Michael Wilding.
12	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Good morning, Mr. Wilding.
13	Do you swear to tell the truth?
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you.
16	MICHAEL G. WILDING,
17	was called as a witness, and having been first duly
18	sworn to tell the truth, testified as follows:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. MOSCON:
21	Q. Good morning, Mr. Wilding. Would you please
22	state your name for the record?
23	A. Yes. My name is Michael G. Wilding.
24	Q. Would you please give a very brief description
25	of your the position you hold at the company and your

Page 11 background leading up to that position? 1 2 Α. Yes. I am the director of net power costs and regulatory policy for PacifiCorp. 3 4 MR. RUSSELL: You got to push that green 5 button. There we go. Do I need to start over? I am the director of 6 Α. net power costs and regulatory policy for Pacific Power. 7 Under my purview is the net power cost filings, so I 8 9 oversee the EBA. And I have been with the company for approximately five years, for the entire time in the net 10 11 power cost group. 12 0. (By Mr. Moscon) Okay. Have you previously 13 testified here before this commission? 14 Α. Yes. 15 In this proceeding, did you cause prefiled Q. testimony -- or testimony to be recorded and filed? 16 17 Α. Yes. If I were to ask you the questions set forth 18 0. 19 in the prefiled testimony here in person today, would your answers be the same? 20 21 Α. Yes, they would. 22 ο. Are there any corrections that you need to 23 make to that prefiled testimony? 24 Α. No. 25 Okay. Q.

1	Page 12 MR. MOSCON: Based on that, commission, first
2	I suppose, unless the commission has a preference of
3	sequence, I would move for the admission of
4	Mr. Wilding's prefiled testimony, together with any
5	exhibits thereto into the record.
6	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. If any party objects
7	to that, please indicate to me.
8	MR. JETTER: No objection from the division.
9	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. That motion is
10	granted.
11	MR. MOSCON: Thank you.
12	Q. (By Mr. Moscon) Mr. Wilding, have you been
1	
13	able to prepare a summary of your prefiled testimony?
14	able to prepare a summary of your prefiled testimony? A. Yes.
14	A. Yes.
14 15	A. Yes.Q. Would you please share that for the commission
14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. Would you please share that for the commission and the parties?
14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. Would you please share that for the commission and the parties? A. Yes. Good morning, commissioners. The
14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. Would you please share that for the commission and the parties? A. Yes. Good morning, commissioners. The company filed its annual energy balancing account or EBA
14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. Q. Would you please share that for the commission and the parties? A. Yes. Good morning, commissioners. The company filed its annual energy balancing account or EBA application on March 15th, 2018, for the deferral period
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. Would you please share that for the commission and the parties? A. Yes. Good morning, commissioners. The company filed its annual energy balancing account or EBA application on March 15th, 2018, for the deferral period of January through December of 2017.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. Would you please share that for the commission and the parties? A. Yes. Good morning, commissioners. The company filed its annual energy balancing account or EBA application on March 15th, 2018, for the deferral period of January through December of 2017. The company requested recovery of \$2.8
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. Would you please share that for the commission and the parties? A. Yes. Good morning, commissioners. The company filed its annual energy balancing account or EBA application on March 15th, 2018, for the deferral period of January through December of 2017. The company requested recovery of \$2.8 million, which consisted of the following components, a

1	Page 13 revenues, a \$2.9 million credit related to the Deer
2	Creek Mine retiring medical obligation savings, a \$2.8
3	million credit related to the settlement of the 2017
4	EBA, a \$9.1 million in costs for the Utah allocated
5	amortization expense associated with the closure of the
6	Deer Creek Mine, \$4 million in costs related to an
7	adjustment for sales made to special contract customer,
8	and finally, a .2 million dollar credit related to
9	various smaller items, including interest.
10	The Division of the of Public Utilities
11	issued its report on the EBA and proposed a reduction to
12	the company's EBA application of approximately \$910,000,
13	consisting of approximately \$885,000 for replacement
14	power costs associated with seven plant outages and
15	\$25,000 for an update to an allocation factor used in
16	the filing. The DPU also proposed a change to the
17	company's energy risk management policy.
18	The Office of Consumer Services and the Utah
1.0	

19 Association of Energy Users did not file testimony in 20 this proceeding.

In my testimony responding to the DPU's EBA report, the company accepted the update to the allocation factor and also agreed to change and update our risk management policy as proposed by the DPU in their reports. The company disagrees with the proposed

Page 14 1 adjustments related to the prudency of the seven plant 2 outages, and company wit -- witness, Mr. Dana Ralston, 3 will address this issue. 4 Therefore, I -- I respectfully request that the commission approve the EBA as modified in my 5 6 response testimony. Thank you. MR. MOSCON: Thank you, Mr. Wilding. As the 7 commission notes, there was not any testimony filed that 8 9 called into question any of the testimony of Mr. Wilding. But, of course, he is here available for 10 any questions that the commission may have, or any 11 12 clarifying questions by the parties. 13 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Mr. Jetter, do you 14 have any questions for Mr. Wilding? 15 I have no questions. Thank you. MR. JETTER: 16 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Russell? 17 MR. RUSSELL: No questions. Thank you, Chair. CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner Clark? 18 19 COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions, thank you 20 very much. 21 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: And I don't either. Thank 22 you for your testimony. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 24 Thank you. With the permission MR. MOSCON: of the commission, the second witness that Rocky 25

Page 15 Mountain Power would call is Mr. Robert Meredith who the 1 2 commission earlier this morning granted leave to appear by telephone. And so Mr. Meredith, are you able to hear 3 4 us where you are now? THE WITNESS: Yes, I am able to hear you. 5 6 MR. MOSCON: All right. So --CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Why don't I swear him in? 7 8 MR. MOSCON: Go ahead. Yes, thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Meredith, do you swear to 10 tell the truth? 11 THE WITNESS: I do. 12 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. 13 ROBERT M. MEREDITH, 14 was called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, testified as follows: 15 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MOSCON: 17 18 Mr. Meredith, would you please state your name 0. 19 for the record? 20 Robert M. Meredith. Α. 21 And would you please tell the commission what 0. 22 your current job title is and any relevant experience 23 you had leading up to that position? I am the manager of pricing and cost of 24 Α. Sure. service in Rocky Mountain Power's regulation department. 25

Page 16 1 Worked for the company for about 14 years, or a little 2 over 14 years now. I have worked in customer services 3 and the integrated resource planning department and in 4 regulation for all that time at various analytical 5 roles. 6 ο. Okay. Thank you. Mr. Meredith, did you cause prefiled testimony to be prepared in this matter? 7 Yes, I did. 8 Α. 9 ο. And if I were to ask you the questions that were written out, would your answers here live today be 10 11 the same as the ones that are recorded in that prefiled 12 testimony? 13 Yes, it would. Α. 14 0. Do you have any changes to that testimony that would need to be made? 15 16 Α. No. MR. MOSCON: Again, Mr. Chairman, I would move 17 for the admission of Mr. Meredith's prefiled testimony, 18 19 together with any exhibits as part of the record. 20 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: If any party objects to that 21 motion, indicate to me. I am not seeing any objection 22 so it's granted. 23 MR. MOSCON: Thank you. (By Mr. Moscon) Mr. Meredith, have you had 24 0. the opportunity to prepare a summary of your prefiled 25

Page 17

1	testimony?
---	------------

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Would you please share that for the commission4 and the parties?

Sure. Good morning, Chair LeVar, Commissioner 5 Α. White and Commissioner Clark. In my direct testimony, I 6 presented the company's proposed rate spread and prices 7 8 for the 2018 energy balancing account. With interim rates effective May 1, 2018, recovery of the 2.8 9 deferral calculated by company witness, Mr. Michael G. 10 11 Wilding has resulted in an increase to customers of 0.1 12 percent.

13 The allocation and development of rates for 14 the 2018 energy balancing account has been prepared in a 15 manner consistent with prior energy balancing account 16 balances, and they are not contested by any party in 17 this proceeding. That concludes my summary statement. MR. MOSCON: Thank you, Mr. Meredith. 18 Mr. Chairman, similarly, Mr. Meredith didn't have any 19 20 testimony contradicted, but he is available for any 21 clarifying questions of the commission or the parties. 2.2 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Mr. Jetter, do you 23 have any questions? 24 I have no questions, thank you. MR. JETTER: 25 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Russell?

	Page 18
1	MR. RUSSELL: No questions, thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner Clark?
3	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions, thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner White?
5	COMMISSIONER WHITE: No questions, thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you,
7	Mr. Meredith. We appreciate your testimony today.
8	THE WITNESS: Sure. No problem.
9	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: And I don't know if your
10	intention is to keep him on the phone? It's up to you,
11	Mr. Meredith, if you want to keep listening for the
12	sheer fun of it or should we close the line?
13	THE WITNESS: You can close the line. That's
14	fine.
15	MR. MOSCON: Thank you.
16	THE WITNESS: Okay, thanks.
17	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I am shocked.
18	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: He is just going to listen on
19	YouTube for the rest.
20	MR. MOSCON: All right. Now, I know we have
21	already been through two witnesses, so unless the
22	commission wants to take a break, we'll keep plowing
23	forward.
24	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Let's keep going.
25	MR. MOSCON: Thank you. If it please the

1	Page 19 commission, our final witness that Rocky Mountain Power
2	would call, who is with us here today, is Mr. Dana
3	Ralston. So we would ask that Mr. Ralston to take the
4	stand.
5	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Good morning, Mr. Ralston.
6	Do you swear to tell the truth?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
8	DANA MICHAEL RALSTON,
9	was called as a witness, and having been first duly
10	sworn to tell the truth, testified as follows:
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. MOSCON:
13	Q. Good morning, Mr. Ralston. Would you please
14	state your full name and your current business position
15	for the commission?
16	A. My name is Dana Michael Ralston. I am the
17	senior vice president of thermal generation and mining
18	for Rocky Mountain Power. I have responsibility for all
19	
20	the thermal assets, which are the coal plants and the
20	the thermal assets, which are the coal plants and the gas plants and the geothermal plants within Rocky
21	
	gas plants and the geothermal plants within Rocky
21	gas plants and the geothermal plants within Rocky Mountain Power, and the fuel supply and a few mining
21 22	gas plants and the geothermal plants within Rocky Mountain Power, and the fuel supply and a few mining activities for the company.

Page 20 manager, electrical supervisor, electrical engineer. 1 2 Q. Okay. Mr. Ralston, did you have opportunity to prepare prefiled testimony to be filed in this? 3 4 Α. Yes, I did. In your testimony, and -- and we'll -- we'll 5 0. 6 get to that momentarily, you describe your experience a 7 little bit. Can you provide -- let me back up and ask you this. Have you provided testimony to this 8 commission before today? 9 10 In the written form, yes. Α. 11 Have you ever presented live testimony to Q. 12 these commissioners? 13 No, not in the state of Utah. Α. So although I wouldn't typically do this, just 14 0. because this is your first time before these 15 16 commissioners, could you please give us a little bit more color, describing your working background and 17 specifically to the extent it's germane to what we are 18 doing here today, give us some indication of your work 19 that you have done, you know, facilitating plant 20 21 overhauls, maintenances, shutdowns, startup, et cetera. 22 Α. Okay. Until I took this position in 2010, I 23 was stationed at a plant, and I worked in the overhaul I coordinated maintenance activities. I 24 process. coordinated electrical maintenance activities. I was an 25

Page 21 electrical engineer in charge of design. I was in 1 2 charge of overall plant operations as the plant manager. 0. Okay. So is it fair to say that you are very 3 4 familiar with all the topics that are at issue today? That would be correct. 5 Α. Okay. And Mr. Ralston, could you describe for 6 ο. the commission the various pieces of prefiled testimony 7 that you submitted in this matter? 8 9 Α. I respond -- or I supplied response testimony 10 to the Daymark testimony and then supplied surrebuttal 11 testimony to their rebuttal testimony. 12 Q. Do you have any changes that would need to be 13 made to either piece of testimony? 14 Α. Yes. On my surrebuttal testimony, I have a 15 few changes. If you would wait just a minute to give 16 0. Okay. 17 the parties and the commission an opportunity to turn to that in your surrebuttal. What page was your first 18 change or correction be made on? 19 20 On page 5, line 93, the word "tight" should be Α. 21 right. 22 Q. Okay. 23 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: I am sorry. You are on line 93 of the surrebuttal? 24 25 95. MR. MOSCON:

Page 22 1 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Oh, 95. THE WITNESS: Excuse me, 95. Did I say 93? 2 Ι 3 apologize. 4 ο. (By Mr. Mascon) Okay. Any other corrections? Page 6, line 135, the word weld near the end 5 Α. of the line should be deleted. And page -- or at line 6 136, the sentence that says, "tubing ends being 7 conducted were nonidentical metal" should be deleted. 8 9 ο. Any other changes or corrections? 10 And finally on page 10, line 220, where it Α. 11 says "ND and A know -- knowingly accepted work in its --12 in its capacity, " should say -- read, "Accept work in excess of its capacity." So "excess of" should be 13 14 added. 15 Okay. Any other corrections or modifications ο. 16 that you believe should be made to your prefiled 17 testimony? 18 Α. No. Okay. And similarly then, if I were to ask 19 ο. you all of the questions in both pieces of your 20 21 testimony here today, would your answers be consistent 22 with the answers in your prefiled testimony, including 23 the corrections that you have just noted for us? 24 That's correct. Α. 25 MR. MOSCON: Okay. With that, Mr. Chairman, I

Page 23 move for the admission of the prefiled testimony of 1 2 Mr. Ralston, together with any exhibits thereto. 3 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. If any party objects 4 to that motion, please indicate to me. I am not seeing any objection, so the motion is granted. 5 6 MR. MOSCON: Thank you. (By Mr. Moscon) Mr. Ralston, have you had the 7 0. 8 opportunity to prepare a summary of your prefiled 9 testimony? Yes, I have. 10 Α. 11 Would you please share that with the Q. 12 commission and the parties. My name is Dana Ralston. I am the senior vice 13 Α. 14 president of thermal generation and mining for Rocky 15 Mountain Power. I've been responsible for Rocky Mountain Power's thermal fleet since 2010, and prior to 16 that held a number of positions within the generating 17 fleet of Berkshire Hathaway Energy, including plant 18 manager, maintenance manager, electrical supervisor and 19 electrical engineer. I have a degree in electrical 20 21 engineering with over 37 years working around and in the 22 power plants. 23 Today I am offering responses and surrebuttal 24 testimony to Daymark's testimony regarding the prudency 25 of contested plant outages. In my testimony, I show

Page 24 that the company did demonstrate prudency by its actions 1 2 when maintaining and operating its plants. 3 Daymark, when reviewing the outages, equates 4 its avoidable outage that could be prevented with perfect foresight to improve in that by the company. 5 This demonstrates that Daymark is using a perfection 6 standard not a prudency standard. 7 If Daymark's approach to maintenance and 8 9 operational was implemented, costs to the customers would significantly increase with a very small impact on 10 11 fleet equivalent availability, because Daymark would 12 have the company shift all risk to contractors no matter 13 what the cost and undertake corrective actions that were not justified by inspection or operating data. 14 15 In addition, Daymark represents -misrepresents data and testimony to arrive at an 16 17 erroneous conclusion related to outages. In my testimony, I show how the company used reasonable and 18 prudent processes to avoid outages and mitigate risks 19 20 while effectively balancing risks and costs for the benefit of our customers. 21 2.2 In my testimony I use an analogy of changing 23 tires on your car every month to prevent a flat fire. 24 While this may reduce the chance of a flat tire, it is 25 far from prudent to do this and would not eliminate all

1	Page 25 chances of a flat tire. This seems to be the same
2	standards Daymark uses when reviewing outages.
3	With respect to our jointly owned plants that
4	we that are operated by others, Daymark incorrectly
5	implies we have a unilateral unilateral right to
6	enforce process or changes on these plants. Rocky
7	Mountain Power is a very active and engaged owner
8	involved in our participation agreements to its fullest
9	extent.
10	These agreements that govern these plants are
11	based on a partnership with all owners getting benefits
12	and costs based on their ownership share. The operating
13	company receives no premium to take on the risks of
14	operating the plant. The companies that operate these
15	plants use prudent processes, but they may be not the
16	same as Rocky Mountain Power uses. And when Daymark
17	refers to these partners as contractors, it shows a lack
18	of understanding about these agreements.
19	Finally, the company uses equivalents
20	availability, or EA, as an indicator of the detail and
21	care the company uses with regard to maintaining its
22	operating fleet. The company's thermal EA is
23	significantly better than the North American Electric
24	Reliability Corporations or NERC, average for a similar
25	size fleet.

1	Page 26 The company believes outages should be
2	reviewed individually and that NERC averages do not
3	automatically make every outage prudent. But to
4	completely ignore this metric does not paint a complete
5	picture of how the company manages thermal plants to
6	provide the least risk, least cost supply to our
7	company or customers.
8	Rocky Mountain Power has and will continue to
9	prudently manage the thermal fleet with the best
10	interests of the customers at its forefront. I am here
11	to answer your questions.
12	MR. MOSCON: Thank you, Mr. Ralston.
13	Mr. Ralston is available for any questions of the
14	parties or commission.
15	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay, thank you. Mr. Jetter?
16	MR. JETTER: Thank you. I have a few
17	questions.
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. JETTER:
20	Q. Good morning.
21	A. Good morning.
22	Q. Maybe I'd like to just start out asking a
23	question that that you addressed a little bit in your
24	introduction. You mentioned that that, I guess in
25	your testimony, that Daymark Associates, the consulting

	Page 27
1	firm hired by the Division of Public Utilities, is
2	seeking to hold the company to a perfection standard.
3	Is that an accurate representation of your
4	understanding?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Can you tell me how many forced outage events
7	the thermal fleet for PacifiCorp experienced in 2017?
8	A. I don't have that number off the top of my
9	head.
10	Q. Would you accept, subject to check, that there
11	were 368?
12	A. Subject to check.
13	Q. And do you know how many megawatt hours were
14	lost as a result of those?
15	A. Again, I don't have that off the top of my
16	head.
17	Q. Would you accept, subject to check, that it
18	was in the ballpark of three million?
19	A. All right. Subject to check.
20	Q. Do you know how many outages Daymark has
21	recommended not be be removed from recovery from the
22	EBA?
23	A. I believe it was seven.
24	Q. Okay. And and seven is lot less than 368;
25	is that correct?

Page 28 I believe so. 1 Α. 2 Q. And so do you still think that the -- the perfection applies when Daymark and Associates 3 4 recommended only seven out of 368 forced outages be unrecoverable as a result of imprudence? 5 When I look at the detail of the seven outages 6 Α. and the action Daymark expects us to take, or would say 7 would be a prudent level, I believe that is a perfection 8 9 standard, not a prudent standard that a reasonable 10 utility would do. 11 Let me ask you a little follow-up question Q. 12 there. Can you give me an example of an imprudent 13 outage? 14 Α. I can't think of one right off the top of my 15 head. 16 Okay. Do you know if PacifiCorp has ever had Q. an imprudent outage? 17 Again, I can't think of one right off the top 18 Α. 19 of my head. 20 ο. Okay. If there were never an imprudent 21 outage, wouldn't that somewhat be the inverse of a 22 perfection standard; it would be a standard of 23 imperfection? 24 Α. I quess you could look at it that way. And following up with that, do -- do you 25 Q.

Page 29 believe that customers of Rocky Mountain Power in Utah 1 2 should be responsible for all of the replacement power costs regardless of the -- the type of outage or the 3 4 prudence that led up to that? I believe the customer -- well, the company 5 Α. should be reimbursed for their cost when they acted 6 prudently towards trying to avoid and prevent outages. 7 Do you think that that -- that same standard 8 0. 9 should apply to Rocky Mountain Power's contractors or 10 third party operators? 11 Please repeat the question. Be more specific. Α. 12 Q. In your answer to my previous question, I 13 believe you answered that PacifiCorp should be reimbursed for the costs of its prudent actions. 14 Is 15 that an accurate representation? We should be re -- reimbursed for 16 Α. Yes. prudent -- for costs when we act prudently. 17 Okay. And do you -- do you also think that 18 0. 19 Rocky Mountain Power should be responsible for costs 20 when it does not act prudently? 21 Α. Well, if we don't act prudently, then the 22 commission would determine that and probably not allow 23 those costs. Okay. And do you think that that should 24 0. extend -- regardless of whether it's legally mandated, 25

Page 30 do you think that similar standard should extend to 1 2 third party contractors that Rocky Mountain Power hires? Α. Okay. And -- and again, the -- the 3 4 contractors are out operating on our behalf, so the same 5 standard should apply. 6 Q. Thank you. Can you tell me what steps Rocky Mountain Power or PacifiCorp in its fleet takes -- let 7 8 me rephrase that question. What steps does the company take to ensure 9 10 that the third parties are operating in a prudent 11 manner? 12 Α. Can you be a little more specific on whether 13 you are talking about a contractor that is specifically 14 hired by Rocky Mountain Power or a partner operated plant operator? 15 16 Well, maybe let's address those each 0. individually. So let's first take a look at -- or -- or 17 let me know your opinion on the -- the contractors that 18 are hired by Rocky Mountain Power. 19 20 Okay. So when Rocky Mountain Power hires Α. 21 contractors, we take and make sure that we have 22 qualified contractors that can perform the work, are 23 reasonable, competent and available. Okay. And at the same time, when we sit down, we get their prices from 24 25 We negotiate a contract and negotiate terms that them.

Page 31 1 have the warranty provisions and allow us to execute 2 towards that contract to try to protect the customer and 3 us to its best extent.

4 In those provisions it's always a give and take, I will say, because if you want perfect, if you 5 want to shift a hundred percent of the risk all to the 6 contractor, you are going to pay for it. And in my 37 7 8 years of doing that, I have never seen any contractor be 9 willing to accept 100 percent of all risk, including net 10 power cost risk, in any contract we have been able to 11 negotiate.

12 Q. And so would you agree with me then that that 13 puts those contractors in a different risk position than 14 the Rocky Mountain Power would be were Rocky Mountain 15 Power performing the same amount -- the same work?

16 A. Possibly. Again, it depends on the situation,17 I would say, and the contract.

Q. And would it then be accurate that when -in -- in the company's view when it hires third party contractors that are not taking on that risk, that that effectively shifts that risk to customers to bear the losses that Rocky Mountain Power might otherwise be responsible for?

A. Not necessarily. It depends on the event.Q. Could that be the case?

Page 32 1 Α. What do you mean? 2 Q. Could it be the case that -- that those contracts would shift risk to customers? 3 4 MR. MOSCON: Mr. Chairman, before he answers, again, you noted this, and I am not trying to overdo it, 5 but I quess I just need to note for the record that this 6 whole series of questions has embedded the legal 7 conclusion that the company would otherwise be liable 8 9 for it, which itself could be impact to a great -- great 10 detail. 11 I am not trying to get in the way or interrupt 12 the flow. I just don't want anyone to at a later date 13 say, well, we waived any objection. So to the extent that he is asking the witness to make legal conclusions 14 15 about the company, its liability, what the legal 16 standard of prudence is, et cetera, I just want to preserve that objection. 17 18 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Sure. Mr. Jetter, do you 19 want to respond to the objection? 20 I am really trying to -- to -- to MR. JETTER: 21 get this without going into -- to the legal conclusion, 2.2 and I understand that -- that some of this has that as the backdrop. I think this, really all of our -- our 23 cases today, the facts at issue, are kind of set with 24 that backdrop. 25

Page 33 And as I was creating my cross questions, I 1 2 wasn't anticipating a -- a legal discussion in addition, and so I think I maybe can withdraw that question and 3 4 move on to some more specifics. CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Well, then there's no 5 6 need to rule on the specific objection. We'll move on 7 then. 8 MR. JETTER: Okay. 9 ο. (By Mr. Jetter) So just to -- to clarify, before I -- before we move on, replacement power costs 10 are not typically included in third party contracts; is 11 12 that correct? 13 Not directly. Α. 14 0. Okay. Replacement power costs, are those ever included in your contracts with co-owners or affiliates 15 16 or other -- other operators that are not Rocky Mountain Power that are operating a partially owned power plant? 17 No. We -- we don't have them in any of them 18 Α. 19 that we are the owner but not the operator. And at the 20 same time, we don't have any of them that we are the 21 operator and owner and we have other owners. 22 ο. Okay. And -- and so how are -- how is Rocky Mountain Power, through those contacts -- contracts, or 23 relationships with those other -- other operators, how 24 is Rocky Mountain Power protected from imprudent 25

	Page 34
1	actions?
2	A. We protect ourselves from a from being
3	involved with the participation agreements. We have
4	what we call E and O committees or coordinating
5	committees. We're heavily involved with those. We have
6	constant communication, at least daily with those
7	different plants on what's going on in that. We're a
8	very active participant on it.
9	From a contractual standpoint, there is no net
10	power cost provision in any of the participation
11	agreements that on either side, where we are the
12	operator or they are the operator.
13	Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that you have
14	influence on the operations, even if you are not
15	directly in control?
16	A. We we try our hardest to influence and
17	direct the plan to where we think is the best place for
18	customers.
19	Q. Thank you. I think I am done will move on
20	at this point, and and go through the seven outages.
21	A. All right.
22	Q. Sort of in the order that they have been
23	presented in testimony. I think it will be the easiest
24	way to follow. So if you look at Craig Unit 2, is it
25	accurate that this is a representation, or this is an

Page 35 instance where it's a third party operator? 1 2 Α. Yes, that's correct. Tri-State Generation and Transmission operates the Craig unit. 3 4 ο. Okay. And is it accurate that Rocky Mountain Power has influence on how this is operated through its 5 relationship with Tri-State? 6 Again, we work our hardest through those 7 Α. committees and through discussions with them to 8 influence the direction. 9 10 Okay. Thank you. And in this case let me 0. 11 make sure I characterize this correctly, but there's a 12 series of plugs that are each opened individually, 13 and -- and a compound is -- is deposited through the 14 plug. And then the plugs are reclosed, and that process 15 ultimately resulted in one of the plugs being missing at 16 some point? 17 Maybe a better way to say it is the generator Α. is probably 14, 16 foot in diameter, and there's a 18 series of plugs like little quarter inch or 19 20 three-eighths inch plugs all the way around. If they 21 take one out and they use this, like a -- it's a -- it 22 looks like our TV almost, you know, that you get at the 23 store. 24 And they pump it in, and they pump it in the next one. It comes out. Then they put the plug in here 25
Page 36 1 and then pump it here to the next one. It creates a 2 flexible seal so the hydrogen doesn't leak out, and then they put the plugs back in one by one. 3 4 Okay. And you mentioned -- this is -- I can 0. It's page 3 of your response 5 direct you to it. testimony, on line 56, and is this -- this accurate 6 that -- that you had written in there, that the plugs 7 are tightened, torque not required and pressure tested 8 to verify the seal integrity? 9 Yes. When the -- the work was done, a 10 Α. pressure test at 48 pounds was done for 24 hours, and it 11 12 passed the pressure test. 13 Okay. And so it's -- it's your testimony of 0. 14 the company that it's believed that the plug had 15 vibrated out at some point? That's correct. Otherwise, it wouldn't have 16 Α. passed the pressure test. 17 Okay. And -- and would it be a reasonable 18 0. conclusion that it vibrated out because it was not 19 tightened properly? 20 21 Α. That's one possibility. I -- they're not sure 22 why it vibrated out. It may not have been tightened It may have had a flaw, don't really know, but 23 enough. we believe it vibrated out sometime during operation 24 25 when it was returned to service.

Page 37 1 0. Okay. And how many of the other plugs 2 vibrated out since then? 3 Α. None of them. 4 ο. So if you were creating a plan to prevent that from happening in the future, would you recommend adding 5 a torque value to the installation of those plugs? 6 I'd have to check on the design on that. 7 Α. Ι 8 would have to really know whether that was prudent or not. I -- I -- that is a reasonable solution. I am not 9 10 sure if it was or not. The procedure done was by 11 General Electric, and it was their procedure. 12 Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that hand tight 13 probably isn't adequate? 14 Α. And I don't know if it was hand tight or not. Okay. About if -- if that was -- if that was 15 Q. 16 the case, it would need to be tighter than that? 17 I would say so, yes. Α. 18 Would it be unreasonably expensive, do you 0. 19 think, to add in the procedure manual for when you are reinstalling these plugs to tighten them to some level 20 21 that's checked in some way? 2.2 Α. I wouldn't think so. 23 0. That's all the questions I have about the Craig Unit 2. Next I'd like to move on and discuss Dave 24 Johnson -- or excuse me, Dave Johnson 3, the April 25th 25

Page 38 1 outage. 2 Can you tell me why different grades of metal are used in different pipes at different -- different 3 4 points within the boiler unit? 5 Α. It's basically temperature and pressure 6 related event. Low temperature steam or water, carbon steel is okay for, but when you start getting into the 7 8 higher temperatures, a thousand degrees or higher, the 9 material breaks down faster. So the longevity would be reduced over time. 10 11 And I think your testimony is in agreement Q. 12 that it was a -- a tubing material that was incorrect 13 for the location; is that accurate? 14 Α. It was a nonconforming material. 15 Okay. It didn't meet the engineer's design Q. 16 spec for that location? Somewhat, yes. To give you a better frame of 17 Α. reference is, the tube that had the material that failed 18 is here, and somewhere right below there, the -- it was 19 20 a transition switch to a different material, like within 21 a couple of feet. And the tube right next to it was the 22 same material that was put in. So I mean, they were 23 literally inches apart. Okay. So -- and is that the case that the 24 ο. tube next to it was the correct tube? 25

Page 39 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. And that was, I think if I am remembering, that was No. 47, but I don't remember that. 3 4 Α. No, no, no, no. You're -- you're thinking of something different. 5 6 ο. Okay. You would agree that prudent construction of a facility would use the appropriate 7 tube for the correct locations; is that correct? 8 In an optimal condition, you would use the 9 Α. 10 exact design material that was put in the boiler, yes. 11 Okay. And part of the response in your Q. 12 testimony was that the nonconforming tube had lasted 20 13 years, and that was an indication that it was adequate for that location? 14 15 Α. It lasted at least 20 years. The -- the reason we go back at least 20 is because when Utah Power 16 17 and Pacific Power merged, the Utah Power repair process, 18 called an R state process, was more robust than the 19 Pacific Power one. And it was implemented, and that was 20 about the time it was implemented. 21 This material could have been put in 30 years 2.2 aqo. I -- we -- we don't have the records, and back 23 that far back, it would have been a paper system. So it 24 was more difficult to track and follow things, where today it's very computer friendly. 25

Page 40 So I know it's at least 20 years because 1 2 that's when we did the switchover, and we don't have any records from that 20 years back -- forward. So it was 3 4 at least 20 years. Thank you. And -- and is it -- is it 5 0. Okay. an accurate statement that if the, the correct grade of 6 steel tube had been used, all else equal, you would 7 expect it to have lasted longer in the same conditions? 8 That's a possibility, yes. It would have --9 Α. 10 it probably would have lasted longer. 11 Q. Thank you. I'll move on next to the same 12 power plant but the September 19th, the Dave Johnson 13 September 19th outage. I, I think it, it would be a fair summary, 14 15 correct me if I am wrong, of your testimony that the --16 the company does rely on a metallurgist that's a third party contractor to review some of these failures, and 17

18 that that third party recommended less explosive use, 19 less -- I guess it's a slower propagation, deslagging 20 explosive or propellant?

A. When a metallurgist gets a section of tube, he dissects that tube, and he reports to us everything he sees, you know, whether it's old damage, new damage, whatever. His -- his responsibility is to tell us everything that he -- he knows about that tube.

Page 41 In this case he noticed that there was some 1 2 stress rings, I believe they are called Nelson rings, 3 for that saying that there had been some previous damage 4 at some point in time. Okay. That could have happened 10 plus years ago. We don't know. 5 6 So what he reported there, because he saw that, is he said, you should consider using low -- lower 7 prop -- lower velocity detonation cord. Okay. And that 8 was a -- to inform us that if we hadn't already started 9 doing it, we should consider it. 10 11 As I said in testimony, we identified that 12 issue back in -- long before that, and we implemented 13 the lowest velocity det. cord that's available on the market in 2011. 14 15 And are there -- are there other ways to ο. deslag those outside of using detonation cord? 16 17 Α. Yes. But they tend to have more risk towards Using detonation cord tends to be the most 18 people. effective and safest method for deslagging. 19 20 I mean, if I go back to 30 years ago when I 21 was doing it, I remember spending an Easter with a large 22 steel rod just hammering away at slag between panels, 23 and it was not a very pleasant time. Or, you know, you, you have eye injuries. You have strains and sprains. 24 25 So detonation cord shakes the whole thing, breaks the

Page 42 slag and allows people to get in there without injury. 1 2 Q. Okay. But it also tends to cause fractures in -- in brittle materials; is that correct? 3 4 Α. Yes. In this case we are putting people 5 first. 6 ο. Okay. And prior to 2011, you were using the more aggressive detonation cord that --7 I understand that, yes. I just know since 8 Α. 9 2011, we have been using the lowest. There might have 10 been steps, but I am unfamiliar with exactly what steps 11 they were. 12 Q. Thank you. I am going to move on to the 13 Huntington Unit 1 outage. It's correct that the 14 Huntington Unit 1 outage was the fourth of a similar 15 type of failure that's occurred since 2008; is that 16 correct? Yeah. We have had four failures over an 11 17 Α. 18 year period. And all of the failures were the result 19 ο. Okav. of -- of the same welding failure? 20 It's -- it's of a similar metal weld failure 21 Α. 22 that happens with everything on a dissimilar metal weld 23 over time and temperature. Okay. And this has been known in the -- the 24 0. utility generation industry for quite some time; is that 25

Page 43 1 correct? 2 Α. And it's managed by most utilities. Yes. 3 It's a judgment call on when to do a bunch of 4 replacements and when to keep managing through them, and managing so that you don't have a, what they call the 5 hockey stick up on failures. 6 And you have had planned outages where Okay. 7 0. this could have been repaired as is planned for 2022. 8 9 You've had planned outages between 2008 and 2017; is 10 that correct? 11 That's correct. And maybe to frame that, is, Α. 12 we have a planned outage about every four years. Okay. 13 And we take it down for about five weeks, and we tear just about everything apart and try to rebuild it and 14 15 put it back together and then try to run the plant for 16 four years solid. 17 So when you have that five week period, you know, these structures are 15, 20 stories tall, with 18 thousands and thousands of tubes and welds in them. And 19 20 you have all the ancillary equipment, so you kind of 21 have to prioritize your work. Okay. And for lack of a 22 better term, you triage it, and you focus on the things 23 that are going to cause you the most forced outages and vou address those. 24 25 And this data was not the worst actor we had

Page 44 1 in the plant so we focused on other areas that were 2 going to be more negatively impactful to the forced 3 outage rate. 4 Do you know how long, in addition during any 0. of your previous planned outages, it would have taken to 5 remedy this, in a -- as an extension to a prior outage? 6 7 You mean to replace it all? Α. To perform the same planned replacement as you 8 0. intend in 2022. 9 10 Α. How long it would take? 11 Yeah. Would that have added a week --Q. 12 Α. Probably --13 -- to your prior outages or longer? Q. Well, if you planned it up front, you build it 14 Α. 15 in there, and it would probably be a couple of million dollars to replace them all, all 600. And if -- if I 16 knew about it beforehand and planned it and planned the 17 work in there, it probably wouldn't have extended the 18 Now, if I found out about it in week four of a 19 outage. 20 five week outage, I would have a problem. 21 0. So -- so what was it about the fourth outage 22 that was different from the first or second or third 23 outage that caused the company to change or implement a replacement for 2022? 24 25 It was basically time. I mean, we -- we -- we Α.

	Page 45
1	know that dissimilar metal weld failures are a function
2	of time and temperature, and as time goes on, you know,
3	you are taking on more risk of a failure as time goes
4	on. So in 2008, I don't believe we felt that there was
5	enough risk after one failure to do anything.
6	And then I believe I can't remember the
7	other two, is I want to say in '11 and '15 and then the
8	last one in '17. I am not sure those dates are correct.
9	Q. Okay. I don't know the the dates of those
10	either. Let's move on to Jim Bridger No. 2 is next.
11	And is it accurate that this outage at Jim Bridger No.
12	2, January 17th, 2017, was a result of a water coolant
13	line freezing because of a failure in the heat tracing?
14	A. That's roughly correct.
15	Q. Do you run the heat tracing all of the time or
16	only during shutdowns?
17	A. No. We only run it when there's freezing
18	temperatures though.
19	Q. Okay. And I am looking at your response
20	testimony, page 11, beginning at line 244. You
21	testified that the "The company has processes in
22	place to inspect the heat tracing and verify operation.
23	But the process had a void in it that results in this
24	failure" resulted, excuse me, "in this failure to not
25	be identified so repair work could be completed"?
1	

Page 46 So what -- what we do is, in the fall, 1 Α. Yes. 2 before the freezing temperatures, go out, usually start 3 sometime in August or early September, have people go 4 out to all the freeze protection panels and all the circuits, and there is literally hundreds and hundreds 5 of these. 6 There's -- there's a lot, especially if you 7 have an outdoor boiler. And then they go out and they 8 9 actually measure the current in the voltage and record So it's to determine whether something's 10 it. 11 malfunctioning or not. 12 In this particular case, there was no current, 13 but there was voltage. So that is how it got missed. 14 Okay. So as I have said in testimony, we said, when the technician sees that, he is to raise the red flag and do 15 some other things. 16 17 So we went through the effort to try to, before the freezing temperatures to verify our -- our 18 systems were working. We just had a procedural problem 19 20 here where the -- the failure slipped through the 21 cracks, either by the technician not raising it or 22 somebody else not seeing it. 23 0. And so ultimately the result was that the testing procedures were carried out but they didn't 24 identify the problem? 25

Page 47 This -- this particular problem, yeah. 1 Α. 2 Q. And it's your testimony that that -- that was the testing procedure was, I guess, a prudent choice by 3 4 the company? 5 Α. Well, we had a -- a testing procedure in 6 place, and we thought it was complete. We didn't recognize this could be a void until it happened to us, 7 and then we made corrections since we have discovered 8 9 that. 10 Okay. And -- and as an electrical engineer, 0. do you think a testing procedure that measures a voltage 11 12 difference at -- at the, I guess the plug-in points of a 13 heat tracing tape that doesn't measure resistance of the 14 tape would be an appropriate way to test whether it's functional? 15 16 In measuring the resistance? I am not sure I Α. understood your question. 17 Measuring -- measuring electricity flow? 18 Q. 19 Α. You mean the current and the voltage? 20 Q. Yes. 21 Α. That -- that would be very prudent, and that's 2.2 what we were intending to do. 23 0. Okay. So you were -- that was what the policy 24 was prior to that, or that's what it is now? 25 That's what it was prior, to record the Α. No.

Page 48 current and the voltage. In this case there was no 1 2 current, okay, but there was voltage, and at that point, nobody raised the flag, the technician or someone said, 3 4 this doesn't seem right to me. So the inspector, whoever was 5 0. Okay. inspecting, the technician, identified or had an 6 erroneous reading. They just didn't identify it as a --7 as a problem? 8 Correct. 9 Α. 10 Thank you. I'll move on now to the Jim 0. 11 Bridger 3 outage. And just to refresh recollection, 12 this was the outage that was caused by an electrical 13 failure that was determined to be a cable that was flooded in an underground wire ball; is that correct? 14 15 Α. That's correct. 16 Okay. And the identified cause of this, is it 0. accurate that the cable failed potentially due to damage 17 during the initial time when the wire was pulled? 18 19 Α. I believe the report said it was age and 20 damage. 21 0. Okay. Do you know how age would have caused 22 that failure? 23 Α. Cable insulation breaks down with age. I mean, it's a form of a plastic. I mean, if you took a 24 25 gallon milk jug and set it outside for a year, then

Page 49 tried to pick the handle up, the handle is probably 1 2 going to come off in your hand because it degrades from sunlight and everything else. Cables, the insulation on 3 4 them, they aren't designed to run for a million years. So do you have a policy in place then to 5 0. replace those at periodic intervals? 6 I think we replace those as conditions 7 Α. No. warrant, when we do some testing and -- or if we have a 8 9 problem, that the cost of replacing those would be 10 tremendously large. 11 Q. And to the extent that a cable is -- is 12 damaged during installation, that's usually the result 13 of a mistake, is it not? 14 Α. I -- I can't necessarily say that. I mean, 15 this was during an original construction in the early seventies. So it could have been that there was a rock 16 that got picked up. I mean, you're -- you're talking 17 about traveling hundreds and hundreds of feet. 18 And what they do is, they have these little 19 20 concrete vaults in the ground with the conduit going 21 through it. And then it goes to another concrete vault, 22 and they run the cable through it, through the vault, 23 and they pull it through. And these cables are like 24 this big around. 25 And they pull -- pulling -- put a pulling

Page 50 1 lubricant on it, and they pull it through. And they are 2 trying not to pull it so hard that they damage the 3 insulation. Okay. So during the process, if it -- if 4 it picks up any type of debris or -- or runs on a corner 5 and gets slight gouged, it can get damaged.

6 Generally, after a cable is pulled in, a 7 standard practice is to what they call Hipot them, is 8 they get the cable in place, and it's not connected up 9 to anything. And they put a voltage on it to check the 10 leakage current to make sure it's functional.

I am assuming that happened back in the seventies when the plant was built and that it passed at that time, and then it successfully operated for over 40 years before the pit got flooded and water actually improved the conduction path. And the damage and the age probably got to it right there.

Q. Let me ask you a couple quick follow-ups. The -- the purpose of those procedures as they install it with the lubrication and -- and the way that it's fed into the tubing and into the conduit tube, and the conduit itself, in fact, it's -- it's all there to make sure that it's not damaged; is that correct?

A. Generally, yes.

Q. And on a little bit of a different question,with relative to the flooding of those, have you taken

Page 51 any steps since then to remedy, to -- to have drains to 1 2 keep those vaults from being flooded? 3 Α. Not to my knowledge. I believe this was a 4 gasket failure. Okay. Do you think it would be a prudent 5 0. choice to do that in the future, at least to the extent 6 that a vault is within the drain path of some of the 7 plumbing? 8 9 So let's take this little building where it Α. happened. It's kind of out in the middle of nowhere. 10 Т 11 mean, there -- there aren't probably any drains to drain 12 it to. I mean, you might be able to do something by 13 building up the lip of the vault or something else. Т don't know. I haven't specifically looked at that spot 14 to think about it that way. I think the best thing to 15 16 do is prevent the leak to begin with. 17 Okay. And the vaults -- the vaults aren't ο. intended to be run under water; is that correct? 18 It's not unusual to find water in them at some 19 Α. 20 point in time, because the ones outside may get 21 precipitation. I mean, in my career, I have opened up 22 vaults before and they have had three to six inches of 23 water in them. It's not uncommon. They are not designed for that, but it's not out of the ordinary. 24 Okay. And so in a typical situation, wiring 25 Q.

Page 52 1 that's undamaged is not -- I guess, a circuit to ground 2 isn't created when water is -- is in those? No, not always. It also, again, depends on 3 Α. 4 the age of the cable, if it's starting to break down. Thank you. And finally, I guess we'll 5 0. Okay. move on to the Dave Johnston Unit 4 outage, which I 6 believe was March 17th, 2017, and this is the instance 7 where an incorrect part was delivered by MD&A; is that 8 9 correct? 10 That's correct. Α. 11 How did the company choose to contract with Q. 12 MD&A for this service? 13 It was a competitive bidding process. Α. I mean, 14 we usually qualify the vendors again, and based on their experience and everything in the industry, and then we 15 16 go out for a competitive tender based on the scope of In this case it was a turbine overhaul, and then 17 work. we see the prices and negotiate terms and then take the 18 best value for the customer. 19 20 ο. Okay. I am looking at your response testimony on line 326, and I am going to -- are you -- are you 21 22 caught up? 23 Α. I'm there. Okay. And it says, "MD&A determined that the 24 0. root cause was that MD&A had recently increased the 25

Page 53
repair shop capacity for work. However, they had not
yet caught up with fully staffing appropriately." Did I
read that correctly?

4 A. Yes.

Q. And you said that you had -- had -- Rocky
Mountain Power had verified that it was an appropriate
vendor through their process; is that correct?

A. Yes, and we have experience with them before.
Q. Okay. But -- but you didn't know that they
had increased their repair shop capacity and not yet
caught up on staffing?

12 Α. No. Maybe a better way to say that is, when 13 you take a turbine apart, you don't necessarily know 14 what's -- needs repaired. I mean, in our case we go -on certain sections of turbine, we go eight years before 15 16 we tear them apart. And when you tear it apart, you find damage, and then you go to repair shops to try to 17 get that damage fixed within the outage frame. 18

And most utilities will schedule outages in the spring and the fall, because that's when power prices are the lowest and replacement power costs for the customer is the cheapest. Winter and summer, that's when everybody wants their electricity and the market prices are higher. So you select those times there. And a lot of times, the amount of repair work

1	Page 54 these shops see in those times is kind of like drinking
2	out of a fire hydrant, and then in the middle of the
3	summer, it could be next to nothing. So I mean, it, it
4	kind of depends on who tore things apart across the
5	
	country and what did they find. So it's very, very hard
6	to determine. We just make sure that we are trying to
7	get a contractor who is capable and competent of doing
8	the work.
9	Q. Okay. And in this case, they they actually
10	installed the wrong part; is that correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. It was an impeller from a different generation
13	unit?
14	A. Yes. What they did is they sent out the
15	impellers for to a third party for nondestructive
16	testing, to see if there was cracks in them that you
17	couldn't see visually, so that if you put it back in
18	there and then it was running, it didn't fly apart at
19	you at some other time. And when they came back, there
20	was more than one impeller from the contractor, or from
21	the third party testing company, and they got it
22	switched.
23	Q. Okay. And so if I if I go to your analogy
24	of of switching your tires frequently, if you went to
25	the tire repair shop and your your car came out and

Page 55 it had three different wheels on it, you might ask 1 2 questions, wouldn't you, of whether this repair shop is competent to be doing the work that you hired them to 3 4 do? I would question the ability, but if I had 5 Α. been doing business with him for 20 years and had very 6 good success, I would ask him to correct it and ask him 7 8 what they were going to do to make sure it didn't 9 happen. 10 Q. Okay. Would you ask -- would you ask them to -- in the -- in the car repair instance, to pay for 11 12 your taxi to go wherever you needed to go while they 13 repair your car? 14 Α. Probably not. You wouldn't. 15 Q. Okay. And similarly can you 16 not ask MD&A to cover the cost of the energy to cover the outage? 17 We did not ask them to cover the direct cost 18 Α. 19 of the replacement power. Okay. And it's accurate, I guess, that you 20 Q. 21 are asking that the customers are going to -- asking 22 customers to pay for that? 23 Α. To some -- yes. The other way to think about 24 this, if we would try to get contracts that shifted a 25 hundred percent of the risk to contractors, I know we

	Page 56
1	would pay a significantly amount more than what we are
2	paying for contracts now. And the frequency rate of
3	failures is extremely small compared to the number of
4	contracts we do. So we would be spending a lot more
5	money for the benefit.
6	Q. Thank you. I have no further questions.
7	Thank you for your testimony and putting up with my
8	questions today. I appreciate it.
9	A. No worries.
10	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Jetter.
11	Mr. Russell?
12	MR. RUSSELL: Thank you, Chairman LeVar.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. RUSSELL:
15	Q. I have a few questions, and I'm going to try
16	to follow Mr. Jetter's format a little bit in that he
17	started asking you some questions more generally about
18	the company when it hires subcontractors or third party
19	contractors.
20	You he asked you a question about whether
21	those contracts include replacement power costs in those
22	third party contracts, and you indicated they do not.
23	I'm I'm curious about the mechanism. Is it do
24	those contracts typically include a waiver of
25	consequential damages? Is that is that how those

1	Page 57 contracts are set up?
2	A. I I believe so. I mean, the the
3	contracts do not specifically say that.
4	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: I don't think you are getting
5	on the microphone.
6	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
7	Q. (By Mr. Russell) It's difficult because I am
8	way over here and you have to turn. I'm sorry.
9	A. I don't believe so. I'd I'd have to look
10	at the contract. But the contracts do not have specific
11	language that say, if if an event happens, the
12	contractor will be solely responsible for all
13	replacement power cost incurred by the company. It
14	doesn't say anything like that.
15	Q. In in, I guess, does does it contain a
16	provision that has the inverse? Does it say that the
17	contractor will not be responsible for certain damages
18	that result if we, the subcontractor, made a mistake?
19	A. I don't I don't recall that.
20	Q. Okay. All right. Fair enough. Part of
21	the the job, I guess, of the company, is to is to
22	go out and hire subcontractors that you believe are
23	competent, right?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. And and also to hold contractors

Page 58 responsible if they make a mistake, yes? 1 2 Α. Yes. And as between the company and the customers, 3 0. 4 the company is in the better position to hold those 5 subcontractors responsible, yes? 6 Α. Yes, I would agree. Okay. And let's talk a little bit about 7 0. 8 relationships with third party operators of power plants. We talked about the Craig 2, Craig Unit 2 plant 9 a little bit. And it's Tri-State Generation that 10 11 operates that unit, yes? 12 Α. That's correct. 13 And what -- and maybe we can just talk 0. 14 specifically about that one. What recourse does Rocky Mountain Power have in a situation where you believe 15 16 that Tri-State Generation has operated its plant imprudently and it causes impacts on Rocky Mountain 17 Power's customers? 18 19 Α. I would have to go back and look at the 20 participation agreement. If they used reasonable 21 utility standards, I don't think we have any recourse. 22 I mean, if it was gross negligence or something to that 23 effect, I believe we might, but I, again, I'd have to go back and look at the participation agreement and ask my 24 attorneys whether they would concur with my opinion or 25

Page 59 1 not. 2 Fair enough. Do -- do you know whether the Q. standard that -- that Tri-State Generation owes to its 3 4 co-owners is the same as the standard that Rocky Mountain Power owes to its customers? 5 6 MR. MOSCON: Objection as to the legal 7 conclusion. But as far as the understanding of what 8 they expect of their co-owner, I mean, go ahead and 9 answer. 10 Α. Well, I guess I am not sure I understand your 11 question. 12 0. (By Mr. Russell) Yeah. I -- I guess -- and 13 the context here, of course, is that the company has 14 come to this commission saying, we -- we have acted prudently, and we would like to recover X costs, and --15 and the commission has to determine whether the company 16 has acted prudently. 17 What I am wondering is, does Tri-State 18 Generation have the same standard to Rocky Mountain 19 Power that Rocky Mountain Power has to its customers? 20 21 In other words, is it the same prudent standard, or is 22 it some higher standard that -- that Tri-State 23 Generation would have to the company? Or some lower standard, if you know. 24 I'm -- I'm merely asking whether you know. 25

Page 60 1 Α. Tri-State has the responsibility to operate 2 the plant with good utility practice. Okay. I mean, I 3 believe that's the term used, because there's not a 4 standard quoted or anything to that effect. Thank you. Let's talk -- I have some 5 0. Okay. follow-up questions about some of the units that we 6 walked through that are -- that are outlined in the 7 Daymark report. Let's talk about the Craig Unit 2 8 outage, and this is the one with the -- the plugs that 9 10 were removed and then put back in. 11 I had -- I had a guestion, I -- that I guess I 12 don't understand the -- in your testimony you say when 13 those plugs go back in, they are tightened, but torque 14 isn't used. And I quess I don't understand what that means. But -- but they are -- they are not just hand 15 16 tightened, but what -- how are they -- how are they put back in? 17 Okay. I'll try to figure out the best way to 18 Α. 19 say this. Okay. So when you tighten something up, you 20 are putting a bed frame together on a -- for a house, or 21 for your kids. 2.2 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Just interrupt. Does that 23 microphone move any closer to you? Does it have enough cable to move to the edge so you can look at him? 24 25 So you are putting a bed frame together, use a Α.

Paqe 61 1 crescent wrench or a Boxit wrench, and you just tighten 2 it down. And when it's tightened up by what you feel, you just kind of move on. 3 4 Well, in -- in certain pieces of a high technical equipment like engines and that, they use what 5 they call a torque wrench. And it has amount of 6 tightening to it, and you want to get it tight enough 7 generally so it like crushes a gasket or has a good seal 8 9 so that when the, the bolt heats up, it grows enough so 10 it doesn't create a leak or anything. 11 So when torgue value is not required, they 12 didn't ever put a torque wrench on it to do it. It was 13 left up to the experience of the millwright, the mechanic doing it, to say, it's tight enough and 14 15 appropriate. (By Mr. Russell) Okay. And do you know 16 0. whether in this particular instance the millwright that 17 18 was -- that was tightening those bolts, whether anybody checked the work of the person that was doing it? 19 20 Α. No. 21 Whether somebody followed behind and said, 0. 22 that bolt's not tight enough or anything? 23 Α. I don't know. 24 0. Okay. Your testimony, your response testimony, indicated -- it gave a description of how 25

1	Page 62 these bolts bolts are removed and, and tightened.
2	I my question is, do you know whether that's how it
3	was done here? Or is this or was that testimony
4	the basis of that testimony simply your experience as to
5	how those things are done?
6	A. I, I didn't witness what they did. That's the
7	procedure I have witnessed General Electric do in the
8	past.
9	Q. Okay. So, so your testimony there
10	described
11	A. And that's what the plant operator told us
12	they did too.
13	Q. Okay. So you conducted some investigation
14	into this instance
15	A. Yeah, I was
16	Q and and this is what the plant operator
17	informed you was the process that took place.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. Okay. Let's move on to the Dave
20	Johnson 3 is the next one. The April 25, Dave Johnson 3
21	outage, and that is the one was this a forced outage?
22	A. Yes, it was.
23	Q. Okay. I am not going to be offended if you
24	don't look at me when you answer my questions.
25	A. Okay.
1	

Page 63 1 0. If it's just easier to face the microphone, 2 that's fine. 3 Α. All right. This was the one where the -- there was 4 ο. nonconforming material in the boiler tubes, correct? 5 That was correct. 6 Α. Okay. And I think your testimony indicates 7 0. 8 this, but I -- I guess I'll ask. Is nonconforming 9 material in the boiler tubes a known cause of a 10 potential outage? 11 Α. Well, nonconforming means it's not exactly 12 what was designed. Okay. Giving an example is, let's 13 just say we didn't have that material when the outage 14 occurred and we put a lower grade, okay, nonconforming material in, but that material has a cycle life. Okay. 15 16 And if we put it in, we just have to recognize that at some point in time we'll need to address it. 17 18 Okay. And in this instance you don't know why 0. 19 this nonconforming material was installed, correct? 20 It was 20 plus years ago, and we don't Α. No. 21 have records for that. 22 ο. Okay. And in your testimony there is a 23 description. I can point you to the portion of your testimony. I think it's around lines 125 and 126. 24 You describe a period of about 15 years of repairs in which 25

Page 64 you -- you include the -- the statement here is that you 1 2 showed that the standard of like kind materials has and will continue to be used, maximizing plant equipment 3 4 life. Can you tell me what you meant by that? We have a better tracking system, a 5 Α. 6 computerized tracking system. So what we do is if we 7 had to do something similar to this, we'll log it in that tracking system, and we'll be able to pull it up 8 9 easily like during overhauls and that and address it. 10 So we went back 15 years and said, had we put in 11 nonconforming material in the last 15 years, and the 12 answer is no. 13 Okay. So this -- this review only went 0. back -- went back to whether -- whether the company had 14 15 installed nonconforming materials in the last 15 years? And that's -- the quality of our records 16 Α. degrades significantly after that, because, you know, 17 they were more paper oriented at that time. So it's 18 harder to do searches. 19 20 And when was -- when did the company become ο. 21 aware that this particular tube, or portion of tube that 22 failed, used nonconforming material? 23 Α. After we got the metallurgist report after it 24 failed. So this wasn't something you were aware of 25 Q.

Page 65 beforehand? 1 2 Α. No. And is that something that one would find on 3 0. a -- during an outage, that there is material in here 4 that isn't the correct spec? 5 6 Α. Okay. So when you weld these tube materials together, I mean, you will have a piece of pipe, and you 7 8 will have one -- one over here and a piece over here and 9 weld it together. And after -- let's just say after 10 four or five years, I could lay them on the table there, 11 and you wouldn't be able to tell which material is 12 which. 13 I mean, it will take a metallurgist going under a, you know, basically looking at the materials 14 15 through radiation, through that, and try to figure out what the material makeup is. So I can't look at it and 16 tell you whether it's a different material after it's 17 been in service for a while. 18 And is the -- the -- the type of material 19 ο. used, is that something that could be discovered during 20 21 an inspection during a planned outage? 2.2 Α. It would be an extremely difficult task. Ι 23 mean, now they make a gun that's got a radioactive source in it. You could put it up to material, and it 24 gives you a relative chemistry makeup, you know, one and 25

1	a quarter chrome or whatever, so you can kind of figure
2	that out.
3	But you basically it's telling you that
4	little spot. So you would have to do that at every
5	little piece on every boiler tube throughout the whole
6	boiler. I think you would be doing that for many, many
7	years.
8	Q. Okay. Thank you. Let's move on to I think
9	the next one is oh, the next one is the September
10	outage of the same year. So I guess we're at four
11	months four months later, different boiler tube,
12	right?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Okay. And this one we you talked with
15	Mr. Jetter a little bit about explosive, deslagging, and
16	I I appreciate that testimony. I I, the only I
17	have just a short bit of follow-up. You indicated that
18	in years past the company used a higher velocity
19	detonation cord than it does now.
20	I'll admit that I have no idea what that
21	means, but it, it sounds as though, based on your
22	testimony, that the company became aware that of
23	testing or reports in the industry that using a lower
24	velocity detonation cord may cause less stress to the
25	boiler tubes. Is that correct?

Page 67 1 Α. That's correct. 2 Q. And when did -- when did that occur? 3 Α. Pre-2011. I don't know exactly when. 4 ο. Okay. And why -- why do you say pre-2011? We implemented a new standard in 2011 at the 5 Α. DJ plant, so we know -- we know it was before that. 6 7 Since 2011, we have been using the lowest velocity det. cord at the DJ plant that's available on the market. 8 So 9 I am sure we made some changes before that. I just 10 don't know whether they were graduated or whether it was 11 a step change or what it was pre-2011. 12 Q. Okay. So sitting here today, you don't know 13 when the company found out that -- or -- or when the reports became available indicating that a lower 14 15 velocity detonation cord may cause less stress to -- to 16 boiler tubes, but you know that in 2011, the company 17 implemented a change to use the lowest velocity detonation cord. Do I have that right? 18 19 Α. That's correct. 20 Okay. And then let's move on to the Jim 0. 21 Bridger. I am going to skip the Huntington one. Let's 22 move to Jim Bridger 2. This outage was in January of 23 2017, and this is the one that the -- I guess there was water freezing in a water cooled spacer tubing? 24 25 Α. That's correct.

1	Page 68 Q. And this was in, I take it, an unplanned
2	outage for something else. Yeah?
3	A. The bottom ash system, the drag chain, had a
4	problem, and the plant had to come off for that, so
5	excuse me, people could safely work on the repair. And
6	during that time, it was very cold out, and the the
7	boiler, that particular section of line froze up.
8	Q. Yeah. I mean, you indicated earlier that the
9	company typically would would plan an outage for the
10	spring and the fall, and here we are in January, so I
11	I thought maybe there was something else happening.
12	You described with Mr. Jetter a little bit
13	the the process that was in place at the time. What
14	I what I don't feel like I have a great grasp of is
15	what changed after the January outage when this problem
16	arose. What do you do now that you did not do then?
17	A. If you go to page 11, starting on line 248,
18	"The heat trace preventive maintenance now instructs the
19	control electrical technician to write a work order to
20	correct any deficiencies found. Capital projects have
21	been established to replace the heat tracing in all four
22	Jim Bridger units, and to mitigate the risk of line
23	freezing, plant personnel have evaluated if there's a
24	positive slope in the horizontal sections of the spacer
25	tube lines, where positive slope didn't exist.

Page 69 Otherwise, it can self-drain." 1 2 So unfortunately, heat tracing has a 3 propensity to fail over time. And then "Plant personnel 4 have modified the boiler shutdown procedures to drain the boiler when the water temperature reaches 180 5 degrees, rather than waiting for until blasting and 6 deslagging efforts are complete." 7 And that was -- that was done because if 8 9 there's water in the tubes, the possibility of damage to the tubes is reduced, because there's water in the tube. 10 11 Okay. I -- I thought I understood your Q. 12 previous testimony in responding to Mr. Jetter's 13 questions to be that the void in the process here was that the technician who had checked that line with a 14 piece of equipment was able to indicate that there was 15 16 current but no voltage? 17 The opposite way. Α. 18 Q. Oh, sorry, I --19 Α. There was voltage but no current. 20 I thought that might -- I thought I might have Q. 21 written it down backwards. There's voltage but no 22 current. And tell me how that led to the problem at 23 issue here. 24 Α. Go to an outlet. You look at an outlet right now, there's 120 volts on it, but it's not doing any 25

Page 70 When you plug something into it, current flows 1 work. 2 and it does work, and it needs the current and the 3 voltage to do the work. So if there's no current, there's no work being done, which means there's no heat 4 to keep the line from freezing. 5 6 Q. Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. And is that something that should have been noted by the 7 technician who -- who registered that there was voltage 8 9 but no current? We didn't tell him he had to. We had told him 10 Α. 11 he had to do this, and we just kind of assumed they 12 would flag it. I mean, it was -- I don't know what to 13 say is, we -- we made the assumption that his knowledge and experience he would flag that, and for some reason, 14 15 he did not, and then it slipped through the cracks. And then the first bullet point that 16 0. Okay. you pointed to me here, starting on line 248, "That the 17 heat trace preventive maintenance now instructs the 18 control and electrical technician to write a work order 19 to correct any deficiencies found during the PM." 20 21 Is that -- is that designed to address that 22 specific issue? 23 Α. Yes. We are creating an expectation that if 24 you find something, you need to write the corrective action for that, not rely on somebody else to do it and 25

Page 71 assume somebody else is going to catch it. 1 2 Q. Okay. Thank you. Let's talk about Jim Bridger Unit 3 for a moment. This is the one where 3 4 there was -- had apparently been some damage to the wiring, and then when the -- when that wiring conduit 5 flooded, there was a forced outage, correct? 6 7 Α. That's correct. 8 Does the company know when the -- the damage 0. 9 to that wiring or the insulation around that wiring 10 occurred? 11 Well, since they are the original cables, it Α. 12 would have been during the construction period of Jim 13 Bridger 3, which is '73, '74-ish, somewhere around 14 there. I don't -- somewhere around there. 15 Is that the only time that that damage could Q. 16 have occurred? Yeah. The cables were never replaced before 17 Α. 18 then. 19 ο. Okay. And is there a process in place to go inspect cables that have been in conduit for 40 plus 20 21 years? 2.2 Α. There's no really way to do it. I mean, it's 23 kind of like, there's a vault here, and a hundred yards away, there is another vault. And I don't know how you 24 25 inspect the cable all the way.
Page 72 What you do is, you can't visually inspect it. 1 2 What you do is an electrical test, and you basically put 3 a voltage on it. You measure what I would call leakage 4 current, it's open ended, and it tells you how much -and these are micro amps, and you -- you measure how 5 much current is going through just by dissipating it. 6 7 As insulation breaks down, more current will flow, and there's generally accepted standards for 8 9 equipment, and occasionally you do that, but not very 10 I mean, but that's usually a test you do right often. 11 after you pulled in a cable to make sure you haven't 12 damaged it. 13 And I am making the assumption that Black and Veach, when they built the plant, they had that as a 14 standard, and -- and allowed that or tested all cable 15 16 pulls after they were put in to verify that no damage 17 had occurred to the point where it failed the test. But 18 I don't have any records to prove that. That's just 19 general practice in my 37 years. 20 Okay. Thank you. I don't have any further Q. 21 questions. I appreciate your time today. 2.2 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you, 23 Mr. Russell. About how much time do you think you need 24 for redirect? 25 MR. MOSCON: Longer than I thought that I

Page 73 would. So... 1 2 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Well, maybe we should take a break, and we'll have a full complement here when 3 4 we return. Is breaking until about 12:45 good for 5 everyone? 6 MR. MOSCON: Yeah. 7 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. We will be in recess 8 until 12:45. Thank you. 9 (Lunch recess from 11:33 a.m. to 12:44 p.m.) 10 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. We're back on the record, and we're glad to have Commissioner Clark back 11 12 with us both for today and the next six years. So we'll 13 move on to --14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Sorry. 15 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: -- redirect Mr. Ralston. MR. MOSCON: Thank you. 16 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. MOSCON: 19 ο. Mr. Ralston, before we get into any specifics of any particular outage, I'd like to have you provide 20 21 some information pertinent to some questions you 22 received from both parties about steps that the company 23 can take, or has taken or could take vis-a-vis its 24 contractors, and are the customers supposed to bear this risk without any protection or -- or what can we do. 25

Page 74 Do you recall being asked the question about 1 2 whether your contracts had a -- a specific provision that would allow the company to recover any net power 3 4 costs or excess cost due to outages? Do you remember 5 that question? 6 Α. Yes, I do. 7 And your answer was? 0. That we do not have any provisions that 8 Α. 9 directly allow to us collect. 10 0. Okay. 11 Whatever they are. Α. 12 Q. So are you familiar with the term, if I use 13 it, liquidated damages? 14 Α. Yes, I am. 15 Does the company from time to time provide Q. liquidated damages in its contracts? 16 17 Yes, we negotiate liquidated damages, Α. depending on the scope and the time line of the outage. 18 And is one of the categories that would 19 ο. Okav. trigger a liquidated damage scenario when the contractor 20 21 returns the -- the project back to the company? 2.2 Α. Yes. Gen -- generally liquidated damages are either on a -- on a schedule basis. 23 Okay. And what does that mean, when you say 24 0. schedule? Explain to me how these get negotiated. 25

Page 75 Giving a specific example of DJ4. 1 Α. 2 Q. Okay. DJ4 is, just for everyone's clarification, that's the plant where MD&A sent back the 3 4 wrong piece of equipment, the wrong impeller. Is that the outage we are talking about? 5 That's correct. 6 Α. 7 Okay. So using that as an example, did that 0. contract have a liquidated damages provision? 8 Yes, it did. 9 Α. 10 And what was a triggering event for the 0. 11 liquidated damages? 12 Α. Not returning the unit to the operator, us, at 13 an agreed-upon time in the contract. Okay. And so did the company go after its 14 0. contractor and say, "Hey, we are sorry. We know you 15 16 tried, but with this impeller you did not get the project back in time. Therefore you owe liquidated 17 18 damages"? 19 Α. Yes. We collected some liquidated damages 20 because they were late. 21 0. Okay. And who got that money that came in 22 from the liquidated damages? 23 Α. They were credited to the capital project, so the customer did. 24 Okay. So the -- and is it your understanding, 25 Q.

Page 76 did Daymark in their audit and in their conclusion about 1 2 the amount of money that should be denied for the overage, did they account for the fact that the company 3 4 did in fact collect liquidated damages, and it applied that to lower the cost of the project? 5 I didn't -- I don't believe it was in their 6 Α. 7 analysis anywhere. 8 0. Okay. Now, do all the company's contracts 9 have liquidated damages? No. You pay for liquidated damages. 10 Α. 11 So give us just generally the types of Q. 12 contracts that would or wouldn't. 13 On an overhaul, if it's a critical path on the Α. overhaul to returning it, we would generally put 14 15 liquidated damages on that, because if they are late, it will delay the overhaul. 16 But if -- if I am Joe contractor and I have a 17 week's worth of work and I start at the beginning of the 18 overhaul, it takes me 10 days, and it doesn't really 19 20 affect the return time, I am not going to put liquidated 21 damages in. Because as a contractor, I will see that in 22 there, and I will jack up my price to cover my risk. 23 0. Okay. 24 So we do it on ones that will have a material Α. 25 effect, we believe, on us if they are late.

Page 77 1 0. Okay. And that included, for instance, the 2 Dave Johnston Unit 4 outage? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Okay. Now, going now more broadly, meaning 0. not just referring to the Dave Johnson Unit 4 outage, 5 6 you indicated from your summary to your answers to the questions you were asked by various counsel about your 7 belief that shifting all risks to the contractor was 8 9 going to result in exorbitant costs. Do you remember saying words to this effect? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And I apologize if you said this, but just to 13 get where we are going, have you ever seen, in your 14 years of experience, a contract of the type that you understand Daymark is suggesting the company needs to 15 enter into with its contractors? 16 17 No, I have not in -- in this -- in my Α. 18 experience, seen anybody who would be willing to sign up 19 for a hundred percent all the risk. 20 Q. Now, my question was about contractors. Okav. 21 There's also questions about your -- about Tri-State, 22 who you point out is not a contractor, it's a co-owner. 23 Are you aware of whether or not Tri-State, or if there are participation or operation agreements, is it typical 24 to shift all of the risks to whoever the operator is? 25

Page 78 In all the ones I have been involved with, 1 Α. 2 both the ones that we are the operator and the ones that we are not the operator, I have never seen one where all 3 4 the risk is shifted to the operator. And so there are instances, as I understand 5 0. 6 it, when the company is in the shoes of -- of Tri-State 7 where the company is the operator but it has different co-owners? 8 9 Α. Yeah. We have three plants that that's the 10 case. 11 And in those plants, has the company allowed Q. 12 those other co-owners to say to the company, "Hey, you 13 are the operator. If there is some kind of outage, if 14 there is some kind of, you know, risk, you are holding -- that all goes to you"? 15 16 No, we have not allowed that. Α. 17 Would the company enter into such a contract? Q. 18 Absolutely not. Α. 19 Q. Now, as long as we are talking about the contract, there was a question asked at one point about 20 is the standard different, meaning if the company is 21 22 entering into contracts to have someone else operate 23 this plant, does that expose customers to greater risk? Is the standard different? Do you remember that --24 25 Α. Yeah.

	Derre 70
1	Page 79 Q questioning? What is your understanding
2	of and again, I know you are not a lawyer, so I don't
3	mean, you know, the legal terms. But is your
4	understanding that there is any kind of shift that makes
5	customers more at risk either whether, you know,
6	Tri-State's operating it or the company's operating it?
7	A. The standard we have with the commission is
8	the same standard Tri-State has with us.
9	Q. And what is that standard?
10	A. Reasonable and prudent utility standard.
11	Q. Okay. So to be clear, you weren't in your
12	answers trying to imply that somehow customers have a
13	less protection if Tri-State is operating it compared to
14	the company?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Okay. Now, you were asked a series of
17	questions about how the company does stay engaged if it
18	does have another operator rather than itself. You
19	recall those questions?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Just to give the commission a sense of how
22	involved the company is, because this is your job
23	duties, when was the last time that you visited one of
24	those such plants, or how often does that happen? Can
25	you give just a sense of how the company does stay

1	Page 80
	engaged?
2	A. The at at my level, we usually discuss
3	things at least quarterly unless there's something else
4	going on. Okay. And then the E and O level, they have
5	daily e-mails on status and everything else, but then
6	they meet, is it five or six times a year? I can't
7	remember which the exact number is, for things like
8	long-term planning, budgeting and everything.
9	But again, if there is an event going on, they
10	will have a call, or we have sent people to the plant to
11	inspect things for ourselves when they said, here is an
12	event that happened and it's going to cost us X to fix.
13	And then we'll send people down there to lay our own
14	eyes on it and see if we concur.
15	Q. Okay. So when was the last time you were at a
16	third party plant?
17	A. I was at a third party meeting two weeks ago.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. Actually, four of them two weeks ago.
20	Q. You were asked questions about contractors and
21	why the company is hiring contractors and why isn't the
22	company just doing this itself, and, again, that may not
23	have been that pointed, but questions going towards
24	that.
25	Just to help the commission in making its

Page 81 decision, can you explain generally why does the company hire contractors rather than just having employees to do all of the jobs that need to happen to maintain the plant?

A. So during an overhaul, we will get a whole bunch of work. I don't know how else to say it. We will work 24 hours a day, seven days a week on that five week period. And at any given time, there could be, depending on the scope of work, 400 to 800 people on-site, okay, that we need.

11 And we don't staff up for that. We staff up 12 for forced outages and day-to-day maintenance, because 13 we are doing these overhauls once every four years. Т 14 mean, it doesn't make sense to try to staff up to that 15 I mean, give you an example is, relative level. 16 staffing at the Huntington plant is less than 200 people or around there, and we have had outages where we have 17 18 had 600 to 700 people on there.

We don't need that except for about six, eight weeks out of every four years. So we are going to hire contractors for labor, and also we're going to hire them for technical expertise. We don't -- we don't claim to be experts on how to tear a turbine apart and put it back together. People, the OEMs and other that have that much more experience than we do.

Page 82 I mean, and especially as we have stretched outages out, the frequency that you get that experience and knowledge gets stretched out too. So we use contractors because that's the most cost effective way to do it for the customer.

6 Q. Okav. I'd like to now go through and just touch on several of the specific outages that you were 7 asked questions about, and, of course, the first one 8 9 that came up was Craig Unit 2. And that's the -- again, just to clarify for everyone, that's the unit where 10 there was at some point a plug backed out and there was 11 12 a leak?

13 A. Correct.

Q. Okay. There was a line of questioning about
torque. You noted in your testimony, no one put a
specific torque level because that wasn't required. Do
you remember that when you were questioned about that?
A. Yes.

19 Q. Wouldn't it be prudent, or would it cost a lot 20 more to go back and put a torque spec in there. Do you 21 remember those questions?

A. Yeah. And that -- that procedure is theGeneral Electric or the OEM's procedure.

Q. Okay. So that you -- you anticipated my
question, which is, is that -- is that something that

1	Page 83 the company comes up with or the actual manufacturer of
2	the part comes up with?
3	A. No, the actual manufacturer or the contractor
4	doing the work.
5	Q. Okay. So it's not that the company didn't
6	come along and say, oh, we didn't bother spec'ing. It
7	was GE itself that didn't have a spec for torquing it
8	called out?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. Okay.
11	A. Generally when we do contracting work like
12	that, we will scope the the not how to do the
13	work, but the scope of work we want, you know,
14	disassemble, clean, inspect, repair, that kind of thing.
15	We won't tell them how to necessarily do the work.
16	Q. Okay. There was, I think, some implied
17	assumptions that this one bolt that came out just
18	probably wasn't tightened all the way. Is there is
19	that something that we know? Do we know that the reason
20	that bolt or plug came out is because it wasn't properly
21	tightened?
22	A. We don't really know. We're surmising.
23	Q. Is there anything else that you could think of
24	that could possibly cause that plug to fail?
25	A. If there's a defect in the plug possibly.

1	Page 84 Q. Okay. Do we okay. Let's let's switch
2	now from the Craig unit well, actually I want to back
3	up one more thing. I had one more thought on the the
4	leak. If this really was just because it wasn't
5	tightened, there was a question about, well, did anybody
6	come behind the tightening and test to see if it was,
7	you know, tightened up. And the answer was, no one came
8	right behind him, but there was a test that was put in
9	place.
10	A. Yeah, there was a leak test at 48 pounds of
11	pressure
12	Q. Okay.
13	A for 24 hours to prove that the leakage was
14	acceptable for the machine.
15	Q. Okay. And would that would, again, I know
16	you didn't go and test it, but would you assume that if
17	there is a plug that simply hasn't been tightened,
18	someone, when they put them all in by hand and then came
19	back with their wrench, if someone didn't tighten one
20	down, would you assume that a that such a plug would
21	be able to withstand a 48 pound of pressure test at 24
22	hours without any evidence of leaking?
23	A. If they didn't tighten it down or if it
24	Q. Yeah. If it wasn't fully tightened, if
25	someone just like hand threaded it in kind of thing?

Page 85 It's -- it's possible, but it, it kind of 1 Α. 2 depends on, you know, is it just sitting in there? Or 3 if it was tight or -- I mean, if -- it's possible it 4 could have, but at the same time, it probably would have failed the test. 5 6 ο. Okay. All right. So let's go to the Dave Johnston, the first outage which was, this is the 7 8 nonconforming tube, okay. And to clarify, does the 9 company know when the piece of nonconforming tubing was 10 put in place? 11 No, we do not. Α. 12 Q. Does the company know why nonconforming 13 material was put in place? 14 Α. No, we do not. 15 If the commission was going to be judging Q. utility standards based on what the utility knew or 16 should have known at the time conduct occurred, can you 17 think of any reason why it could have been prudent to 18 19 put a nonconforming piece of material in, you know, 20, 30 years ago? 20 21 Α. As -- as I said is, the two tubes, the one 22 that failed and the one next to it, are just inches 23 apart. This material was the same as the one putting in the nonconforming material. If the nonconforming 24 material was not available and wasn't going to be 25

1	Page 86 available for several days or a week or whatever,
2	because they are so close, I would have made the
3	judgment call to put it in to get the unit back to
4	service.
5	Q. Okay. And just so we're clear, if whether
6	it's 20 years later or even two weeks later, if someone
7	were to look at these two tubes, can you visually see,
8	hey, that's not the same kind of tubing; that's
9	obviously nonconforming material?
10	A. No. You you visually you wouldn't be
11	able to tell the difference.
12	Q. All right. Let's move on to the September
13	Dave Johnson's outage. This is the one with the
14	detonation cord, the tubing that may have again, I'll
15	say it may have been damaged by blasting, just so we're
16	talking about the same outage. Okay. You covered this
17	a little bit, but again, very briefly, first of all, why
18	is the company deslagging boilers? What is happening?
19	A. When you have a failure on a tube and you go
20	in to repair it, a lot of times there will be slag
21	hanging in big chunks. If they are large enough, I
22	effectually call them '64 Buicks, and you don't want
23	people working underneath them.
24	Q. Okay.
25	A. Because if they come down and fall, it could

Page 87 kill them. 1 2 Q. Okay. So we go in and deslag it to make the area 3 Α. 4 safe to do the repairs. Okay. And so it's deslagged for safety 5 0. 6 reasons. Is that --7 There -- there are some operational advantages Α. 8 in that, but generally, if it's related to a tube leak, 9 it's because we want to clean the area so it's safe. 10 0. Okay. 11 And then if we have them in, we may do some Α. 12 other blasting for performance reasons, like if an area 13 is starting to plug off. Okay. And the alternative to explosions is 14 0. manual, and how did that happen? I think you talked 15 about you could do it manually. How -- how would that 16 work if you were manually deslagging? 17 Sledge hammers, picks. You know, you just hit 18 Α. 19 the stuff. You just beat on it. 20 ο. And just why is that more dangerous to 21 workers? 2.2 Α. Well, you take the chance of it ricocheting 23 off and get in your eye, or you are in awkward positions because, you know, you are standing on little platforms 24 about this big in between panels. It is just putting 25

1	Page 88 people at risk.
2	Q. Okay. So one of the assumptions that's
3	made well, I guess, IEC says there is a
4	recommendation that you switch to the load detonation
5	cord, right?
6	A. Yes. And as I said, I believe that was, if we
7	haven't already done it, they were flagging it saying,
8	they are seeing stress damage in these tubes that are
9	original equipment.
10	Q. And that happened eight eight years ago.
11	Is that what you said?
12	A. When we switched?
13	Q. When you switched?
14	A. 2011.
15	Q. Okay. So seven, six years ago from the
16	incidents in question. So can you surmise anything
17	about, based on the fact that this tubing still was
18	operational for at least five, six years, vis-a-vis how
19	much damage that blasting did or didn't contribute to
20	the leak?
21	A. Well, I can't tell when the damage was done,
22	whether it was 10 years ago or 20 years ago. It's just
23	residual damage in the tube.
24	Q. Okay. The point is, I guess, you would agree
25	that it's not like the blasting damaged the tube so it

Page 89 1 failed two weeks later, right? 2 Α. No. Okay. 3 0. 4 Α. Again, there's two elements on this -- this You have the embrittlement, which happens when 5 outage. you are operating at temperatures over 700 degrees, and 6 it's kind of like, you seen a tire that's weather 7 checked. And you can tell the tire is kind of worn out 8 9 because you can see all the weather checking on the side 10 of the tire, and you can tell it's on its last days. 11 Okay. You have to have that and the blasting 12 damage for it to really come up. If you put this on a brand-new tube, it probably would never show up. 13 14 0. Okay. 15 As a failure. Α. 16 Let's switch to the Huntington 1 outage. 0. This 17 is the one where there was like the welds and there was -- there was a question about, well, wait a minute. 18 19 Isn't this the fourth time in 11 years? Do you recall that line of questioning? 20 21 Α. Yes. 22 ο. So have you calculated that? What is the failure rate of these welds that are at issue? 23 24 Well, less than 1 percent. Α. 25 Okay. Q.

Page 90 4 over 605 I believe it is. 1 Α. 2 Q. And did I hear you testify that there were 600 of these welds in just in this plant? 3 4 Α. No, in this section. So there's even -- there's far more than that 5 0. 6 in a plant? There -- there can be other places where there 7 Α. are other dissimilar metal welds. 8 9 ο. Okay. And you testified that -- someone asked you how much it would cost if you were to go in and do 10 11 those welds, and you had a number which was? 12 Α. I am estimating if you had to replace all 600 13 and some, it would be close to \$2 million. 14 0. Okay. 15 Ish. Α. 16 And so one of the things I want to get to is 0. 17 kind of how you plan these planned outages, but I guess what I am wanting to understand is, if you have a less 18 than 1 percent failure rate and yet \$2 million plus 19 repair bill, the implication has been made to this 20 21 commission, hey, you should have fixed this. You have 22 had a chance to fix it, why didn't you fix it sooner? 23 And so I am wondering if you can explain why that didn't come up in any planning for that at this 24 25 plant previously.

1	Page 91 A. Well, the the failure rate we we knew
2	the mechanism was there, and we were monitoring it. But
3	with that failure rate and the cost to replace
4	everything, it, it wouldn't pencil out as a prudent
5	expenditure, because the risk over here was smaller than
6	the capital expenditure of 2 million plus dollars.
7	Q. Okay. So what just so they under
8	because we have talked about this so much today, can you
9	at a high level explain to the commission, what does go
10	into planning for an outage?
11	A. Well, we usually spend at least a year working
12	on it. I mean, actually the the next outage starts
13	six weeks after the last one begin or ended. We
14	we get all the inspection reports that we have. We
15	document them, and we create a scope of work that we
16	know we have to do next time.
17	But then in between that, other data we get,
18	based on analysis and that, will develop that scope of
19	work. And we'll develop the scope of work, and then
20	we'll start figuring out who is going to do what and
21	what we're going to contract out. We will go out for
22	competitive bidding, and we'll negotiate contracts.
23	We'll award those. We'll schedule things.
24	The week before the outage is always very
25	entertaining, because you will have hundreds of people

Page 92 showing up, and you will get them through security and 1 2 train them on safety protocols for our plant and then 3 get them set up for work. 4 People will bring in contractor trailers, and it's -- it's kind of like you are building a little 5 city. And then you take the unit off, and everybody 6 kind of goes to work. And then daily -- and you have 7 8 the schedule set up and now they have nice scheduling 9 tools. 10 The one we tend to use is called Primavera, 11 and you put all the tasks in there, and you link them 12 all together so that if one task takes longer, you can 13 see the effect and you can try to figure out a way 14 around it. 15 And you have at least daily meetings to talk about schedule update, safety, a number of other things. 16 And you -- you -- when you tear stuff apart, you find 17 out the condition of it, and sometimes it's worse than 18 you like it to be, and sometimes it's better than you 19 like it to be. Unfortunately, most of the time it's the 20 21 opposite. 2.2 So and then you try to figure out how to get 23 work done on the equipment that you find that needs to be repaired that you aren't expecting to repair. 24 25 And then you basically put it all back

1	Page 93 together, and you commission it and you start it up, and
2	we do all that in about five weeks. So and then we run
3	it basically continuously except for forced outages for
4	four years.
5	Q. Mr. Ralston, I'd like to now turn your
6	attention to the Jim Bridger Unit 3. This is the cable
7	pull that was that outage that so we are all thinking
8	about the same thing.
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. This is probably implied in some answers you
11	gave, but I don't think anyone ever asked you directly,
12	so I will ask it now. Is this cable that's being pulled
13	through, is this something that is visible you can look
14	at and see, hey, that's been damaged?
15	A. No. There's only little sections that are
16	exposed, and that would be in the manholes, you know,
17	and they are six-by-six.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. Four-by-four or something like that, and the
20	rest of it is buried in a conduit. Kind of be like
21	saying, go inspect your gas line from your gas meter out
22	to the street. I mean, it's buried. You can't see it.
23	So you do a pressure test or something else on the gas
24	line. In this case when the cable's pulled in, they do
25	an electrical test on it generally.

Page 94 1 Now, when you said it's six-by-six, is that 0. 2 six feet by six feet or six --3 Six feet by six feet. It's a little vault. Α. 4 ο. Okay. When -- I guess the point I want to get to is, prior to the event in question, had this cable 5 ever functionally, operationally or visibly given the --6 the company notice that it -- there was a problem? Did 7 it ever not perform? 8 9 Α. No. Okay. And so I guess I just want to remove 10 0. 11 any thought the commission would have of whether the 12 company goes, oh, yeah, we know we have got some damaged 13 cable but we just don't want to bother repairing it? 14 Α. No, we didn't know it was damaged until we pulled it out of the hole. 15 16 All right. Okay. I think that covers it. 0. 17 Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Moscon. 19 Mr. Jetter, any recross? 20 MR. JETTER: I do have some recross. 21 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 2.2 BY MR. JETTER: 23 0. Good afternoon. 24 Α. Sure. I asked someone to make an exhibit that I am 25 Q.

Page 95 There's a little bit of new testimony 1 still waiting on. 2 here that I think that needs to be addressed. So I am going to skip around just a little bit until we get 3 4 that. Just to address the -- the question of the --5 the plug that was installed and had fallen out on the --6 on the generation facility, I can't recall which one? 7 Craig 2. 8 Α. It's -- it's correct that those were 9 ο. Craig 2. removed so that a sealing compound could be pumped 10 11 through the hole; is that correct? 12 Α. That's correct. Is that sealing compound important to seal 13 0. gaps such as a potentially not fully tightened plug? 14 15 It would have the same effect, yes. Α. 16 Okay. And so a not fully tightened plug, you 0. would probably expect if that sealing compound were 17 18 working correctly, would seal that hole? 19 Α. That's potentially depending on how tight the 20 pluq was. 21 0. Okay. Thank you. 2.2 MR. JETTER: May I approach the witness and 23 hand out an exhibit? 24 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Yes. 25 MR. JETTER: I'd like to note that this, I

Page 96 believe, is a designated confidential exhibit. 1 I don't 2 know if we need to go -- we probably should go into a 3 confidential session if we're going to discuss this. 4 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Are you making that motion? 5 I'll -- I'll make the motion. 6 MR. JETTER: It's -- it's a little bit of a tricky position because 7 it's not my claimed confidentiality. 8 9 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Oh, sure. 10 MR. JETTER: But I think it's appropriate, so 11 I'll make the motion. 12 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Is there any objection 13 from any party to closing the hearing to the public 14 while we're discussing this? I am not seeing any 15 objection, so let me just -- we need to -- we have to 16 make a finding, and I'll just see if there's any 17 objection from -- okay. Pursuant to Utah Code Section 54-3-21, we find 18 that it is in the interest of the public to close the 19 20 hearing while we are discussing this exhibit. I'll ask 21 those that are in the room to just look at those that are in the audience. I don't know if we have anyone in 22 23 the audience today who is not privileged, not entitled to access to this material. If anyone sees anyone who 24 25 isn't, please indicate to me. I recognize most of the

1	Page 97 people in the room, one or two that I don't, but it
2	looks like we're in good shape.
3	I will while we're in confidential, I will
4	turn off both the microphone speakers and the hearing
5	loop system. If anyone is relying on the hearing loop
6	system to hear, I am I'm going to have it turned off
7	while while we do this and yeah, I mean, because
8	it's accessible into the hallway. So it might be a
9	little bit harder for you to hear. If you have any
10	difficulty hearing just let the witness know, and we'll
11	do that.
12	(The following portion was marked confidential
13	and was heard in closed session:)
14	* * *
15	* * *
16	* * *
17	* * *
18	* * *
19	* * *
20	* * *
21	* * *
22	* * *
23	* * *
24	* * *
25	* * *

1	* * *	Page	98
1			
2	* * *		
3	* * *		
4	* * *		
5	* * *		
6	* * *		
7	* * *		
8	* * *		
9	* * *		
10	* * *		
11	* * *		
12	* * *		
13	* * *		
14	* * *		
15	* * *		
16	* * *		
17	* * *		
18	* * *		
19	* * *		
20	* * *		
21	* * *		
22	* * *		
23	* * *		
24	* * *		
25	* * *		
45			

		Page	99
1	* * *		
2	* * *		
3	* * *		
4	* * *		
5	* * *		
6	* * *		
7	* * *		
8	* * *		
9	* * *		
10	* * *		
11	* * *		
12	* * *		
13	* * *		
14	* * *		
15	* * *		
16	* * *		
17	* * *		
18	* * *		
19	* * *		
20	* * *		
21	* * *		
22	* * *		
23	* * *		
24	* * *		
25	* * *		

1	Page	100
2	* * *	
	* * *	
3		
4	* * *	
5	* * *	
6	* * *	
7	* * *	
8	* * *	
9	* * *	
10	* * *	
11	* * *	
12	* * *	
13	* * *	
14	* * *	
15	* * *	
16	* * *	
17	* * *	
18	* * *	
19	* * *	
20	* * *	
21	* * *	
22	* * *	
23	* * *	
24	* * *	
25	* * *	

1	Page 101
	* * *
2	
3	* * *
4	* * *
5	* * *
6	* * *
7	* * *
8	* * *
9	* * *
10	* * *
11	* * *
12	* * *
13	* * *
14	* * *
15	* * *
16	* * *
17	* * *
18	* * *
19	* * *
20	* * *
21	* * *
22	* * *
23	* * *
24	* * *
25	* * *
23	

1	* *	* Page 102
2	* *	*
3	* *	*
4	* *	*
5	* *	*
6	* *	*
7	* *	*
8	* *	*
9	* *	*
10	* *	*
11	* *	*
12	* *	*
13	* *	*
14	* *	*
15	* *	*
16	* *	*
17	* *	*
18	* *	*
19	* *	*
20	* *	*
21	* *	*
22	* *	*
23	* *	*
24	* *	*
25	* *	*

Page 103 * * 1 2 3 * * 4 (The confidential portion ended, and the public hearing proceeded as follows:) 5 (By Mr. Jetter) And this is a nonconfidential 6 ο. question, so I am not asking you for the -- the value of 7 8 this, but in response to what we were discussing earlier, the liquidated damages, did the liquidated 9 damages recovered by the company under that contract, 10 were they equal to, greater or lesser than the value of 11 12 the replacement energy? 13 Α. Lesser. 14 0. Okay. Thank you. 15 MR. JETTER: I have no further questions. 16 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Mr. Russell, any 17 recross? 18 MR. RUSSELL: Yeah. Just a couple of 19 questions. 20 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 21 BY MR. RUSSELL: 22 ο. Mr. Ralston, you were asked some questions 23 generally about the company's efforts to mitigate costs to customers in the event that, whether the company or a 24 contractor makes an error somewhere along the way. 25 And

Page 104 we've -- we've have discussed that in -- in the context 1 2 of contractual provisions, I guess, you know, has -- has the company ever pursued obtaining an insurance policy 3 4 against these sorts of -- of potential damages? I haven't been directly involved with that, 5 Α. 6 but I understand it's expensive. I -- I haven't got any 7 benchmark or say how expensive it is. I just know that -- I have been told by when we have asked 8 9 contractors to do it that it's very pricey. 10 When you have asked contractors to obtain a 0. 11 insurance policy --12 Α. Well, like --13 ο. -- like a rider to their contract or 14 something? 15 When -- when we have negotiated that, we just Α. 16 kind of go, why don't you get an insurance policy for that if they are so worried about it. And they go back 17 and look at it and said, no, we're not interested. It's 18 too expensive. So again, we have used it as more of a 19 20 negotiating tool. 21 0. Okay. But other than -- other than 22 negotiating with contractors, the company itself hasn't 23 tried to insure itself against --24 Not to my knowledge. Α. 25 Okay. And then you were asked a question Q.

Page 105 1 about the Dave Johnson 3 outage -- we're talking about 2 the nonconforming materials -- by Mr. Moscon. I, I -you had indicated that there -- there may be some 3 4 reasons why you might install the nonconforming material at the time, if that's -- that's the material that you 5 have and if the conforming material wasn't available. 6 Do you recall that? 7

A. Yes.

8

9 ο. In the event that you -- that you installed 10 nonconforming materials for whatever reason, wouldn't you then make sure to make a note of it so that the 11 12 company in later years would know that there's 13 nonconforming material in there, knowing that it will be difficult to ascertain just by looking at it later on? 14 15 Generally, we would do that. Again, if this Α. 16 was 30 years ago, it was a completely papered system. And when you go through a merger or two, and then you 17 adopt another system, I don't know what happened to 18 19 those records.

20 Q. Yeah. But just, if -- if you -- if you were 21 to do that now, I guess is where I am --

A. We, we would have a note in our database, and we would be able to call that up, planning for the next outage, and -- and identify all the nonconformances, and they would be added to the work load.

Page 106 So if you knew from the previous outage you 1 0. 2 had installed some sort of the nonconforming material, and you -- you were -- you were able to plan for it 3 4 going forward, you might make the decision to replace that nonconforming material with conforming material if 5 that conforming material is available during the next 6 7 outage? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. And when I say outage, I mean planned 10 Α. 11 overhaul. 12 Q. Understood. Understood. Thank you. 13 MR. RUSSELL: And that's all I have. Thanks. CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. I think we 14 15 had discussed rerecross. 16 MR. MOSCON: Sure. And I -- and I guess I can just be very short. Mr. --17 18 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Do we need to go into confidential, closed hearing for this? 19 20 MR. MOSCON: No. I think we can just do it 21 this way. 2.2 FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. MOSCON: Mr. Ralston, you were shown a confidential 24 ο. attachment, DPU 1.6-1, and there was some question about 25

Page 107 why some -- you know, liquidated damages weren't 1 2 reported pertaining to the MD&A situation at Naughton Unit 2? 3 4 So again, just so we're clear, what was your understanding as to why the one doesn't answer the 5 other's question? 6 6.1 is for forced outages, and I understood 7 Α. 8 6.2 was for planned outages. And the question was on --9 on reimbursements from forced outages, and we didn't have any unforced outages. 10 11 MR. MOSCON: All right. Thank you. No 12 further follow-up. 13 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. I think I am going to ask a few guestions, and then I think my 14 colleagues have some more. It might take me a moment to 15 16 make notes, I want to make sure I don't just ask things that have already been asked and answered. 17 18 EXAMINATION 19 BY CHAIRMAN LEVAR: For the Craig 2 outage, we have had a lot of 20 Q. 21 discussion today about this plug, and the pressure test 22 that was performed. I think the only question I had 23 left that hasn't been answered is, as an engineer you 24 discussed the pressure test that was performed and -and the -- the -- the pressure it was performed at. As 25
Page 108 an engineer, is it physically possible to conduct a 1 2 vibration test for this plug? 3 Α. No. 4 ο. Is -- is that -- is that just an impossibility? 5 6 Α. No, you wouldn't be able to do that. Okay. It seems like what -- it seems like 7 0. you'd have to -- I -- I started thinking through what 8 9 might be necessary. That's -- that's what it seemed to 10 me. 11 I don't know how you would shake --Α. Yeah. 12 shake the thing. 13 So is there -- is there any way to test for 0. 14 vibrations, impacts other than starting the plant back 15 up? 16 Not to my knowledge. Α. 17 Okay. And then to clarify, you -- you don't ο. know for a fact that it was vibrations that caused this 18 plug to come out, but that's one of your --19 20 No, it's a reasonable deduction. Α. 21 0. Okay. The Dave Jonnson Unit 3 April 2017 22 outage. 23 Α. Yeah. Not the -- the dis -- not dissimilar, the 24 0. nonconforming tubing that was installed, do you have any 25

	Page 109
1	reason not to not to presume that the tube was also
2	nonconforming when it was installed some 20 plus years
3	ago? It was nonconforming at the time of installation;
4	is that correct?
5	A. Yes. Because of the material it was made out
6	of.
7	Q. Okay. And is it your presumption that it
8	would have been documented at the time, but that
9	document the, the reason for the installation of the
10	nonconforming tube would have been documented, but
11	there's not a way to find that documentation any more?
12	A. In in my experience, from 30 plus years
13	ago, we would have documented it somehow.
14	Q. Okay.
15	A. And and flagged it.
16	Q. Okay.
17	A. Now, again, it would have been a paper system,
18	and it could have been in somebody's file or, you know,
19	there there was the technology has taken us a long
20	way on being able to manage maintenance. I mean, just
21	look at your car from the 1960s to today.
22	Q. So would you say it was probably an indexing
23	problem, a document management issue of why we don't
24	have access to that to that documentation any more?
25	A. That's my best guess. I really don't know.

Page 110 1 0. Okay. 2 Α. So I mean, you don't know what was actually done at that time, whether the records were there or --3 4 and whether it got discarded or missed or what. 5 0. Okay. 6 Α. You don't really know. 7 Okay. Thank you. 0. 8 Α. Because it was all a paper system. 9 ο. Okay. I think that's almost all of my questions. Oh, okay. The Jim Bridger Unit 2 outage, 10 11 and you may have already answered this, when you 12 discussed, I -- I think you discussed during the 13 preventive maintenance that an inspector discovered there was voltage but no current. Am I -- am I --14 15 That's correct. Α. 16 That is what you said before? So how --0. 17 and -- and you indicated that that inspector did not flag the issue properly, but how -- so how do we know 18 19 that the inspector discovered that? 20 Well, he wrote on the PM form. Α. 21 Okay. Wrote it on a form? 0. 2.2 Α. He wrote it on the form that there was a 23 voltage, or he had a 208 voltage and zero current. Okay. So it was written -- it was noted but 24 ο. 25 not flagged?

Page 111 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. And I -- I think you already answered this. This inspector was -- was a contractor? 3 4 Α. No, he was one of our employees. 5 0. Pacific Power employee. Okay. He was our -- we call them CET, control 6 Α. electrical tech. 7 Okay. Okay. I think I understand all the 8 0. 9 rest of the testimony on that. Let's see. No. Okav. For Dave Johnson Unit 4, when you hired -- hired MD&A as 10 11 the contractor, you had indicated that you have used 12 them a lot, right? 13 Yeah. We have used them several times, and I Α. have a -- I have done business with them for well over 14 15 20 years. What kind of mandatory minimum qualifications 16 0. do you -- do you establish? Does -- does -- does your 17 history of working with them generally satisfy any --18 any mandatory minimum qualifications? 19 20 Α. It's also their work experience. You No. 21 know, we have never done business with them, but they 22 have been out in the business for 15 years and done 50 jobs. And we will call, ask for references and talk to 23 people, and how well did they work? What was their 24 safety record? You know, were they competent? That 25

Page 112 1 kind of thing. That's how we usually gualify a newer 2 contractor that we don't have a lot of experience with. Okay. With this particular job, with their 3 0. 4 work on this control rotor main oil pump impeller, I think I am saying that right, did they miss any 5 deadlines or any miss any delivery dates prior to the 6 discovery of this incorrect part installation? 7 Well, the -- the real delivery date is, we 8 Α. 9 call it gear time, when it's put back together and the 10 oil flush is done and everything else, and it's turned 11 over to operations to restart the plant. There's kind 12 of really only one -- there is -- there is two dates, 13 oil flush, but the real date is when you turn it over to 14 operations, because that's the only thing that really 15 matters. Okay. And was that deadline satisfied and 16 0. then the -- and then improper installation was 17 18 discovered? No, no, no. 19 Α. 20 This was prior -- this was prior to that? ο. 21 Α. Yeah, they -- they -- they missed that 2.2 deadline because of the rotor. 23 0. Because of the discovery? 24 Α. Yeah. Okay. And they -- they discovered the part 25 Q.

Page 113 prior to that deadline? 1 2 Α. Yeah. Okay. 3 Q. 4 Α. So yeah. When it came back on-site, when we were doing a reinspection between ourselves and MD&A 5 on-site people, it was discovered that it was the wrong 6 impeller at that time, before it was ever installed in 7 the machine. 8 9 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. I think that's all of my questions. Thank you. Commissioner White? 10 11 EXAMINATION 12 BY COMMISSIONER WHITE: 13 Good afternoon. The first question, just Ο. 14 harking -- and this -- this may be potentially a question better addressed by Mr. Wilding. But I just 15 want to clarify the liquidated damages issue, and I am 16 going to avoid any confidential information if possible 17 18 here. But I thought I heard, whether it was you 19 testifying or Mr. Moscon clarifying, the battle, 20 21 typically those liquidated damages are somehow, goes to 22 the customers benefit. Is that -- is that -- does that 23 go to the net power cost equation? Does that offset an expense of some respect? I am just trying to understand 24 was the capital in --25

Page 114 1 It reduces the capital amount of the project Α. 2 that's capitalized and goes into rates. 3 Q. Okay. 4 Α. I think Mr. Wilding would be much better to explain all the accounting practices on that. 5 We -- I -- I -- I hate to do this 6 ο. Yeah. because I am not clear if this is an issue that actually 7 reduces rate base or if it's actually part of the EBA. 8 I am just wondering if it's outside. Is he still sworn 9 10 in, or is that possible to have him answer from the --11 MR. MOSCON: Whatever is pleasing to the 12 commission, we're happy to do. 13 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Sure. Do you want to wait 14 until we're finished with Mr. Ralston, or do you want to 15 do that right now? It's up to you. 16 COMMISSIONER WHITE: Why don't -- why don't we wait -- we'll just -- we'll -- you can doodle on it for 17 a minute. 18 19 This is more of a general guestion. So I have 20 heard you mention, and I -- I -- I don't know if this is 21 a term or art or not, but, you know, this reasonable 22 prudent utility standard, and -- and so if we're looking 23 at actions of the company in comparison to that time decisions were made, what -- what does mean? 24 25 Are we to look at -- is there like a general

1	Page 115 code, like the NESC? Is there is this a, you know, a
2	manual that's provided specific to whatever component
3	you're dealing with? Or what what should we actually
4	be looking at, I guess, in terms of that standard? How
5	should we be comparing the actions of the company.
6	A. Part of the reason that kind of phrase is in
7	there is because there is really no, what I would call
8	written guide book, and you hand it to somebody and say,
9	here is what reasonable prudent utility standard is.
10	It's kind of what has developed in the industry, and you
11	would be compared to other utilities and the other
12	metrics and that. So it's kind of like benchmarking for
13	lack of better term. Okay.
13 14	lack of better term. Okay. Q. So so is there is there nothing I
14	Q. So so is there is there nothing I
14 15	Q. So so is there is there nothing I mean, when when you are describing the company's
14 15 16	Q. So so is there is there nothing I mean, when when you are describing the company's practices, it's based upon your experiences, you know,
14 15 16 17	Q. So so is there is there nothing I mean, when when you are describing the company's practices, it's based upon your experiences, you know, in the industry of 37 years. There is nothing you can
14 15 16 17 18	Q. So so is there is there nothing I mean, when when you are describing the company's practices, it's based upon your experiences, you know, in the industry of 37 years. There is nothing you can say, well, this is as documented by, you know, Evista in
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. So so is there is there nothing I mean, when when you are describing the company's practices, it's based upon your experiences, you know, in the industry of 37 years. There is nothing you can say, well, this is as documented by, you know, Evista in their planned outage of, you know, 1994, or this is how
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So so is there is there nothing I mean, when when you are describing the company's practices, it's based upon your experiences, you know, in the industry of 37 years. There is nothing you can say, well, this is as documented by, you know, Evista in their planned outage of, you know, 1994, or this is how southern company there's there's nothing like
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So so is there is there nothing I mean, when when you are describing the company's practices, it's based upon your experiences, you know, in the industry of 37 years. There is nothing you can say, well, this is as documented by, you know, Evista in their planned outage of, you know, 1994, or this is how southern company there's there's nothing like that.

25 terms of like -- we're not -- I am not an engineer or a

Page 116
plant operator, certainly haven't been doing it for 37
years, so I am just wondering what -- how do we test
that I guess?

A. It's a good question. On -- on outage data on
that, if -- take Evista, for example. I don't have any
transparency or access to their data or anything else.
The only access I have data to is for plants we own. I
mean, if you look at the NERC gas data, it's pretty
generic, okay. I mean, from a -- from a public view.

10 A lot of utilities will share data. Like at 11 our partner plants, we will share information between us 12 and the Tri-State people, through us, Excel people that 13 operate the Hayden plant through us, and -- and the APS 14 people that operate the Cholla plant. So we -- we tend 15 to share information and best practices. It's not 16 necessarily a formalized document.

17 Okay. A lot of the information sharing is bad things that happen to us, and -- and we share it so that 18 it doesn't have to happen to anybody else. And --19 20 and -- and for us, our SDR process are significant 21 events. The whole purpose was that if something happens 22 at a plant site that it's shared with the other plant 23 sites so we don't have to live through that again if at all possible. So that -- there isn't a real structured 24 25 way to do it.

Page 117 1 0. Let me ask you this. It sounds like when you 2 are -- when you are doing plant outages, you attempt to schedule those the most economic times; in other words, 3 4 when power costs are lowest, because you are going to 5 have to replace power. 6 Do you have any sense of what the typical replacement costs are for a four to six week average 7 plant outage? 8 Mike might have a better idea on it. 9 Α. No. What -- what we do, just so you understand is, we kind 10 11 of come up with the scope of the time we need and then 12 we kind of say, we need it in this year. 13 And then we go to our -- our trading people, 14 who have the best knowledge of -- of when prices are going to be what. You know, they forecast them, and 15 16 say, just tell us when we can have it so that we have that information a year or two out, so we can plan 17 18 around it and develop contracts and everything else. 19 So generally, from what I have seen, is April, 20 May tends to be the lowest. 21 0. Let me ask you about this concept that you 22 testified to earlier in terms of like, you know, 23 essentially when you are contracting with a counter party, there is always going to be risk -- risk 24 shifting, and somebody is going to pay for it depending 25

Page 118 1 on where that goes on the site, you know, the contract. 2 And, and I also kind of heard you testify that there is no counter party who will ever -- at least 3 4 there is not a cost high enough to actually -- to -- to where the risk of replacement power costs are unknown. 5 When you are talking about paying for risks, are there 6 certain components of a plant or certain processes 7 that -- that will drive the allocation of risk one way 8 9 or another? In other words, is it something like where if 10 it's a -- you know, you are doing something on the 11 12 outside of the plant that's, you know, very low 13 probability of a -- of an outage, you're -- you're for 14 sure not to going to pay for risk, but for something like you're doing a very highly technical component of a 15 16 overhaul, that you are going to be willing to pay for the counter party to -- to own that risk? Does it 17 18 depend? 19 Α. It depends. It depends on the scope of work. For example, like on a turbine, I mean, when you put 20 21 those back together, it usually takes a couple of weeks

to reassemble them. So you really want to make sure they are done right. Okay. So you tend to try to put more onus on the op -- or on the contractor to do it right, because the consequences of them not doing right

Page 119 1 tends to be a bigger deal. Whereas, if it's something 2 that can be fixed in 30 minutes, that has a completely 3 different consequence. 4 Okay. Let me -- let me go through these. 0. I'll -- I'll try to be consistent with the order that 5 it's been addressed today. On -- on the -- on the Craig 6 Unit 2, I think you testified earlier that GE did -- did 7 8 not have a spec in terms of, I guess, the -- the torque. 9 Do they now? 10 Α. I don't know that. 11 You don't know. Has the company independently Q. 12 adopted a standard beyond that? 13 Α. No. We -- we don't do that work. 14 0. Okay. 15 We are just not staffed up to do that. Α. 16 And I think you -- I think you answered this 0. from Chair Levar's question, this -- this -- there's no 17 18 way of knowing from your perspective whether this was 19 a -- a -- a issue of -- of the torque or lack thereof, or it could have been something different beyond that? 20 21 Α. No. If I would have walked up to it, I doubt 2.2 if I had been able to even tell any difference between 23 any of the plugs. On the -- on the DJ 3 outage with the -- I 24 0. don't know if we're calling it the dissimilar or 25

Page 120 1 nonconforming? 2 Α. The nonconforming. Nonconforming welds. Is there any way of 3 0. 4 knowing whether or not there was a different standard at In other words, what was the spec? Were you 5 the time? 6 aware of a spec, and was this consistent with the spec 7 the way it was performed? I'm -- I'm not aware of it. General practice 8 Α. 9 has always been like kind replacement. 10 Does -- does the company keep records of the 0. 11 original specs for a plant and --12 Α. Yeah. We have -- we have design drawings that 13 say, here is what the material is and that. And that's 14 when we put it in our database so we know what to look 15 for. 16 And was -- was this consistent with the spec, 0. 17 the original spec for the way the plant was built? 18 Α. I am not --19 ο. Meaning -- meaning the nonconforming tube. Ι mean, that -- that's -- the company agrees that this was 20 21 nonconforming with the way the plant was intended to be 22 designed and built? 23 Α. Yes. 24 0. Okay. 25 That's why it's nonconforming. Α.

Page 121 1 0. Yeah. But -- but -- but -- but it's -- but 2 the company believes -- or the company is -- let me go back on this one. 3 4 This is a paperwork one, right? Pacific --Pacific Power had the paperwork? 5 6 Α. I -- I believe so. I can't prove anything. But that's the most logical answer. I mean, you don't 7 know when it was put in. You don't know why it was put 8 9 in. You don't know the -- the -- the specifics of the 10 outage because it was over 20 years ago, and we don't 11 have the documents for that. 12 Q. Did -- did Utah Power when they built their 13 plants keep those types of records? 14 Α. I -- if we went back 40 years ago, I don't know if we would be able to have that information 15 16 either. I doubt it. Because again, when everything was in file cabinets and that, at some point in time people 17 18 just, after you find a 30-year-old document, you probably don't keep it, because it's probably not 19 20 relevant any more. 21 0. Is -- is that typically considered, you 22 know -- you know, utility standards to -- to -- in terms 23 of recordkeeping, is there a standard that the company now adheres to or --24 25 I think we have a records retention policy, Α.

Page 122 1 but I don't remember exactly what it is. I would have 2 to look it up. We do keep a lot of information, but 3 it's not everything.

4 To give you an example is, when this happened, if it would have happened to me and it had been 95 5 degrees out and power prices were really high and I 6 7 didn't have the material, I would have put that other material in in half a heartbeat to get the plant on so 8 9 that it weren't -- we weren't having to buy expensive 10 power off the market. Okay. That -- that's -- that's 11 triage at that time.

12 Why it didn't get switched out at some period 13 of time, I can't answer that because I don't have any 14 details and facts. I can just guess.

Q. Okay. In terms of the deslagging practice on -- on -- on DJ 3, I just want to make sure I understood your earlier testimony. But help me understand that the logic or the thinking at the time in terms of like, was -- was this a decision that the company made that was based upon safety practices?

In other words, that the, the -- that the one -- one way is potentially better for the, the wear and tear of the plant, but one way is -- is safer and the company chose the safer route? Did I misunderstand that?

1	Page 123 A. Well, part of it, it it was developed in
2	the entire industry. I mean, we're not the only utility
3	that uses explosive blasting. There's companies that go
4	out all over the country and do this.
5	It became a practice for mainly two reasons.
6	One is safety, but the other thing is, it was much
7	faster, so you get the unit back on, you know, and
8	and have less outage time. If you do it manually, it
9	takes a long time.
10	Q. So so would I be incorrect in saying
11	that the that the decision at the time to switch to
12	this new method was based upon a combination of, I
13	guess, opportunity cost or or and safety?
14	A. Safety, yes.
15	Q. Okay. And that was done at the time that
16	remind me again the year that was done?
17	A. Well, we we switched to the lowest velocity
18	in 2011, but we were doing this long before then. And
19	I'm I'm saying explosive blasting has probably been a
20	practice for 20, 30 years at least.
21	Q. And let me move on to Huntington, Huntington
22	1. Similar question, I guess, help help me
23	understand. I think you have already through bits and
24	pieces of different questions, testified to this, but
25	you know, put me put me in your decision making mode

Page 124 of the decision when you knew that there were issues 1 2 with this type of weld, to not just again -- I think 3 what you said is that it was an economic decision based 4 upon -- walk me through that again. So if I -- if I -- if I have one or two 5 Α. Okay. 6 failures, and I have a general idea that I -- to -to -- I know at some point in time I am going to have to 7 replace all these, but I want to try to get the maximum 8 9 value out of them and not just cut them out prematurely. And if -- if I put it into a model on 10 11 replacement power costs, and I made an assumption that I 12 would have one or two, three breaks a year and that, I 13 am not sure it would pay for itself. So, I mean, we then generally when we do capital projects, run them 14 15 through some type of model that says, if you don't to 16 it, here is the problem, and if you do do it, here it 17 is. And then it runs it through a model and say, 18 does it pay off or not? And four leaks in 11 years and 19 20 \$2 million is not going to pay off very well. 21 0. Okay. Jim Bridger 2. Is -- we have had a lot 22 of talk about this. This employee, I guess -- let me ask you this. Her function of what -- whatever she did, 23 he or she did, in performing this, which was to 24 document, I guess, but not to report up, was that 25

Page 125 industry standard? Or was that something that was --1 2 was inconsistent with what was good utility practice? Α. I can't really comment on that. I would say 3 it was not a best practice. I -- I was -- I had not 4 been that happy with that employee. 5 Is it safe to say that having a procedure that 6 ο. prescriptive was probably not that necessary; it was 7 more of just that the employee was missing a common 8 9 sense element? 10 Α. Yeah, that -- that's fair. I mean, I don't know if he thought somebody else was going to catch it, 11 12 whether -- I mean, we have kind of a saying is, if you see it, you own it. Okay. And -- and that's what we 13 have to drive is, not thinking somebody else is going to 14 15 address the problem for you. 16 0. I'll try to speed up a little bit. On -on -- on -- on Jim Bridger 3, this was the cable pull 17 I think I heard you earlier say that it was, you 18 issue. know, it's -- there were -- it is true that there would 19 be no way of knowing about this damage? 20 21 Α. Physically? 22 Q. Physically. 23 Α. I mean, the only way you could do it is do an 24 electrical test on the cable, and if it passed the electrical test, you drive on. 25

1	Page 126 Q. Yeah. What is the is there industry
2	standard or a best practices for during plant
3	construction to I mean, you know, and tell me if
4	if you feel free to include your assumptions or in
5	terms of cost benefit analysis, what is the typical
6	practice of when you are building a plant of, I guess,
7	checking and double-checking things of this nature?
8	A. Normally they pull the cables in, clear
9	everybody out of the way, and then they they megger
10	or Hipot
11	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, sir. Would you say
12	that again?
13	A. Megger or Hipot. They're they're
14	they're just tools that you can use, and what you do is
15	you put it's like have you a wire here, and it's
16	open-ended at both ends. You put a potential on the one
17	and it energizes the whole wire, and then you measure
18	the leakage current. How much is going to ground when
19	you crank the voltage up and down?
20	And there's acceptable standards for cables.
21	15 KV has a different standard than 5 KV and everything.
22	And and if it passes, that's about all you can do.
23	And and generally every place I have been involved in
24	when they have installed cables like that, they do that
25	test prior to turning it over and terminating it, you

Page 127 1 know, connecting it up to the equipment. 2 Q. (By Commissioner White) So we should assume that that test was performed prior to --3 Right. But we wouldn't have the records, 4 Α. because my guess is, the contractor who built that, the 5 Black and Veatch, they probably had the records and they 6 probably said they passed. And then when the plant was 7 8 built, they probably just got rid of them because they 9 turned the plant over to us. 10 And from an engineering perspective, there is 0. 11 still no way of knowing -- even though the company knew 12 that the cable had been damaged, there's no way of 13 knowing that the ultimate causation was as a result of 14 the damage or just wear and tear? 15 Α. Well, we -- we didn't know the cable was 16 damaged --17 Yeah. Q. -- until we pulled it out. Okay. And we 18 Α. didn't send the cable in and said ultimately, why did 19 20 this fail? Was it an age-related thing or an age and 21 damage? I would be willing to bet real money that they 22 would have said that it was a combination of the two, because it's too difficult to tell one over the other. 23 24 COMMISSIONER WHITE: That's all I have got, questions. I appreciate it. I don't know if 25

Page 128 1 Mr. Wilding wants to respond to that question about how 2 the liquidated damages are --3 MR. WILDING: Okay. Do you mind asking the 4 question just one more time? 5 COMMISSIONER WHITE: Yeah. Yeah. The question was, I think I heard at some point, whether it 6 was a comment made by Mr. Moscon or testimony by 7 8 Mr. Ralston, that liquidated damages are somehow flowed 9 back to the customers. 10 And I quess my question is, is how is that 11 accomplished? Is that something that's, you know, 12 reduced in terms of, you know, capital expenses in terms 13 of the plant? Does it somehow flow through EBA? I am 14 just trying to learn where that money goes and is it a pertinent to this proceeding or where -- where -- where 15 16 is that money. 17 MR. WILDING: Okay. Yes, the -- so I'll step Per -- and -- and explain on -- or how we account 18 back. for those liquidated damages. So per U.S. GAP or 19 20 generally accepted accounting principles, that -- those 21 liquidated damages from a vendor or contractor are an 22 offset to the project that they are associated with. 23 So in this instance, it was a capital addition to the plant, and so that capital -- those capital costs 24 25 were reduced by the liquidated damages. And so it would

Page 129 be a reduction in rate basing our assets and also in 1 2 depreciation expense because you are depreciating less. 3 COMMISSIONER WHITE: So it's ultimately a 4 reduction of the return off and on, right? MR. WILDING: Yes. Yes. And -- and they are 5 6 not booked in net power costs, so they are not in this 7 proceeding. 8 COMMISSIONER WHITE: Thank you. That's all 9 the questions I have. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner Clark? 10 11 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. I apologize, 12 I have been rustling a lot of papers up here because I 13 am trying to eliminate questions that would be redundant. I appreciate all the efforts of my 14 colleagues on the commission and also counsel today for 15 efforts to illuminate the issues in front of us. 16 EXAMINATION 17 18 BY COMMISSIONER CLARK: 19 ο. So I am going to just step back through a couple of these to fine tune my own understanding. 20 21 Regarding the plug, and so we're talking about 22 Craig Unit 2, are you telling us that GE did not have a 23 standard, or you don't know whether GE had a standard for how the plug should be tightened? Because I 24 understand it was within GE's control, right? 25

Page 130 1 Α. Their procedures said basically said retighten 2 the plug. Okay. And you have a craftsman there, a millwright, and he tightens the plug up to what he 3 4 thinks is appropriate based on his training and 5 experience. 6 ο. Okay. They don't -- they don't have a specific 7 Α. torque setting and use a torque wrench to do that. 8 Yeah, that I -- that I, I, I understood. 9 ο. But I wondered whether there was any other kind of 10 11 instruction and whether you were aware of it. 12 Α. Not that I am aware of. 13 Thank you. And have you had -- or has the 0. 14 company had any experience with a plug issue of this 15 type before this one? Not vibrating out. I know we have -- I have 16 Α. experienced in the process of putting that material in, 17 it can be challenging at times. Okay. But not like 18 this failure rate. 19 So would those be issues with the sealing that 20 ο. 21 was supposed to be accomplished, as opposed to -- things 22 that you discovered during the pressure test? Well, no, more of pumping the material in. 23 Α. Oh, sure. 24 0. It's -- it sounds easy, but sometimes it's a 25 Α.

Page 131 1 little challenging. 2 Q. But nothing where a plug was --Α. No. 3 4 ο. -- suddenly not there when it was supposed to 5 be there? 6 Α. No. Okay. So this is first instance? 7 0. Yeah, I am afraid so. 8 Α. And the -- so now, the -- the Dave Johnson 9 ο. Unit 3 of April 25th. The tubing that was installed 10 11 could not be visually distinguished from the spec 12 tubing, I think you said, and so I am wondering how --13 what process led to us understanding that a different 14 tube was used? 15 Α. When -- we have a standard kind of process when we have a tube failure, and if it's not intuitively 16 obvious why, we cut that failure out. And we send it to 17 a metallurgist and say, dissect this thing and tell us 18 everything about this tube, because we want to 19 20 understand our failure mode so we can figure out if we 21 can prevent them somehow. 2.2 So when this tube failed, we cut it out and 23 sent it to them, and he looked at the metallurgy of it and said, well, you are supposed to have this in it, and 24 you have this in it. 25

Page 132 And would that have been IEC, or is that 1 0. 2 different? 3 Yeah. IEC is the metallurgist. Α. 4 ο. Okav. So now relative to Dave Johnston Unit 3 on September 19th, did IEC rec -- ever recommend to you 5 to use manual deslagging to avoid --6 7 Α. No. The ---- the -- the issues that -- that the 8 0. 9 deslagging process, if it's aggressive can create? 10 They -- the statement in there was, use Α. No. -- the lowest velocity detonation cord should be used. 11 12 0. Commissioner White asked you some questions 13 about industry standards, and, you know, we have intense interest in understanding what they are and how they 14 would apply to the questions in front of us. 15 It's a 16 challenging thing to ascertain them apparently, or at least to identify a, a, a standard industry practice. 17 Relative to dissimilar metal welds, and so I 18 19 guess this will be in the context of Huntington Unit 1, I think that you have said that you -- that was a known 20 21 potential problem area --2.2 Α. That's correct. 23 0. -- right? And so just to try to get a little better understanding of how industry practices are 24 developed and how you become aware of them and -- was --25

1	was that knowledge from experience exclusively within
2	the PacifiCorp system, or or were there was GE
3	sending out like a note notices almost like recall
4	notices or other kinds of notifications that that
5	would have made you aware of this part particular
6	vulnerability in your plant?

A. There's an organization called EPRI, Electric Power Research Institute, and it's kind of funded by utilities and that. And they do a bunch of research. And they have developed volumes on tube materials, tube failures. To some degree, it's kind of the bible of -of tube failures and that.

And as -- as utilities had problems, they would share this information and also give it to EPRI, and EPRI would publish stuff. And it was kind of through -- what do I want to call them? Trade meetings or -- or meetings or through EPRI and that, that that information came out.

Manufacturers didn't really come out with that on dissimilar metal welds. It was the industry was starting to see failures and was sharing the information, and then kind of EPRI put it all together in books.

Q. Thank you. Now, regarding Jim Bridger Unit 2,
when would the -- this was -- is it right to think of

1	Page 134 this as a preventative maintenance test that was
2	performed?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. When would it have been performed in relation
5	to the work that was being done at that location on
6	in the
7	A. I believe the PM test was done either
8	September or October, somewhere around there. We start
9	on them near the end of the summer in that, we have
10	several several of these to do so it takes quite a
11	while. So but I think this one was actually done in
12	the October time frame. And you try to do them in
13	the the early fall so that you can determine if you
14	have a problem.
15	Q. And and obviously before the winter season?
16	A. Yep.
17	Q. And the heat is needed?
18	A. Is needed.
19	Q. Right. So what's the company's procedure for
20	reviewing the report of the preventive maintenance? I
21	think you said that it was identified on the report
22	that that there was no current but there was voltage
23	so, I am thinking I am wondering if that wasn't
24	significant apparently to the person doing the
25	inspection.

Page 135 1 But I -- I presume that person's work is 2 reviewed by supervisors, other management people, that there's some process for that to happen, and -- and that 3 4 other people overlooked the significance of that report as well? 5 And I can't tell you if the specific report 6 Α. was reviewed or not. It specifically stated that not 7 only will the technician, if he finds a problem, write 8 it, but also that a supervisor or planner will review 9 10 the report -- the report for adequacy too. 11 Q. So --12 Α. I mean, it's just clarifying expectations. 13 So prior to this incident, there wasn't an 0. expectation that anyone would review the findings of the 14 15 inspector? 16 I believe it was an unwritten expectation. Α. You know, I mean, it's just, this is part of your job. 17 "Your" would be who in that sentence? 18 Q. As in the supervisors, the planners, the 19 Α. 20 maintenance department. 21 0. Thank you. That concludes my questions. 22 Thank you very much. 23 Α. Okay. 24 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you for your 25 testimony today, Mr. Ralston. I think it's probably a

Page 136 1 good time to take a short break. Do you have anything 2 further from Rocky Mountain Power when we come back from 3 break? 4 MS. HOGLE: Possibly. CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Why don't we take 15 5 minutes and we'll come back at 2:25. 6 (Recess from 2:08 p.m. to 2:24 p.m.) 7 8 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. I think we're ready to 9 go back on the record. Anything further from Rocky Mountain Power? 10 11 MR. MOSCON: Nothing further. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 13 Mr. Jetter? The 14 MR. JETTER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. division would like to call and have sworn in division's 15 first witness, Dave Thompson. 16 17 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Good afternoon, Mr. Thompson. 18 Do you swear to tell the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. 19 20 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. 21 DAVID T. THOMPSON, 2.2 was called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, testified as follows: 23 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION 25 BY MR. JETTER:

Page 137 1 0. Mr. Thompson, would you please state your name 2 and occupation for the record. 3 Α. My name is David T. Thompson. I am a utility 4 consultant for the division of public utility. Thank you. And in the course of your 5 0. employment with the division, did you have the 6 opportunity to review the EBA application materials 7 filed by the company? 8 9 Α. I did. 10 And did you create and cause to be filed with 0. 11 the commission prefiled direct testimony dated November 12 15th, 2018? 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. And that was filed along with eight exhibits, 1.1 through 1.8? 15 16 The testimony was 1.1, and 1.2 through 1.8 the Α. other exhibits. 17 18 Thank you. Do you have any corrections or 0. 19 changes you would like to make to that prefiled direct 20 testimony? 21 Α. I don't. 22 ο. If you were asked the same questions that are 23 in that testimony today, would your answers remain the 24 same? 25 They would. Α.

Page 138 MR. JETTER: I'd like to move to enter the 1 2 direct testimony along with Exhibits through 1.8, all of the exhibits to that testimony into the record. 3 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. 4 Thank you. If any party objects to that motion, indicate to me. I am not 5 seeing any objection, so it's granted. 6 7 0. (By Mr. Jetter) Have you prepared a brief summary of your testimony? 8 9 Α. I have. 10 Please qo ahead. 0. 11 Good afternoon, Commissioners. Thank you for Α. 12 the opportunity to address the current status of the --13 on the reported adjustments and recommendations from the division and its consultant, Daymark Energy Advisors. 14 Ι will also be introducing division's witness from Daymark 15 in conjunction with this hearing. 16 The division recommends the commission allow 17 the company to recover in its energy balance account an 18 amount of approximately \$1.8 million for the calendar 19 20 year 2017. This is \$912,007 less than the recovery 21 amount originally requested by the company, and consists of an error adjustment of \$25,742 and an outage 22 23 adjustment of \$886,265. 24 In its review of electrical natural gas transactions, Daymark discovered a policy and procedure 25

Page 139 Daymark recommended appropriate policy changes 1 finding. 2 to remedy this finding. In response testimony, the 3 company accepted the division's error correction. 4 The company also agreed in response testimony with the Daymark proposed policy changes. 5 In its response testimony, the company stated that it will work 6 7 with the DPU and Daymark to adopt energy risk management 8 policy language similar to what Daymark proposed in its 9 audit report. In its audit report, the division's 10 11 consultants, Daymark, made an adjustment for outages. 12 Daymark recommended this allowing replacement power costs resulting from seven outages. These outages 13 demonstrate a sufficient imprudence that EBA costs 14 should be reduced by the amount of replacement power 15 16 cost related to the outages. Utah allocated amount for 17 this adjustment is \$840,267. This adjustment impacted interest computations in the amount of \$45,998. 18 The total adjustment is \$886,265, after the interest 19 20 adjustment. 21 The company in its response testimony --22 excuse me, in surrebuttal testimony to Daymark's audit report and rebuttal testimony, did not agree that the 23 24 replacement power for plant outages should be 25 disallowed. The division's witness from Daymark,

1	Page 140 Mr. Phil DiDomenico, will testify to Daymark's EBA
2	review, and specifically to Daymark's outage adjustments
3	and why replacement power for the seven outages should
4	be disallowed. And that concludes my summary.
5	MR. JETTER: Thank you. I have no further
6	questions, and Mr. Thompson is available for cross or
7	questions from the commission.
8	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
9	Mr. Russell, do you have any questions for Mr. Thompson?
10	MR. RUSSELL: I don't. Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
12	Mr. Moscon, Ms. Hogle?
13	MR. MOSCON: No questions.
14	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Commissioner White?
15	COMMISSIONER WHITE: No questions. Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner Clark?
17	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions. Thank you,
18	Mr. Thompson.
19	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: And none from me. Thank you
20	for your testimony today.
21	MR. MOSCON: The division would like to next
22	call and have sworn in Phil DiDomenico.
23	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Good afternoon,
24	Mr. DiDomenico. Do you swear to tell the truth?
25	THE WITNESS: I do.

	Page 141
1	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you.
2	PHILIP DIDOMENICO,
3	was called as a witness, and having been first duly
4	sworn to tell the truth, testified as follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. JETTER:
7	Q. Mr. DiDomenico, would you please start by
8	stating your name, and maybe let's have you spell your
9	last name so you get it correct on the record, and your
10	occupation.
11	A. Certainly. It's DiDomenico. It's capital
12	D-I, capital D-O-M-E-N-I-C-O.
13	Q. Thank you. And what is your occupation?
14	A. I am a management consultant for Daymark
15	Energy Advisors.
16	Q. And were you retained to review certain
17	transactions and essentially an audit in this case?
18	A. I was.
19	Q. And did you create, in the course of your
20	employment and and consultant contract with the
21	division, create and cause to be filed with the
22	commission direct and rebuttal testimony, direct
23	testimony filed November 15th, 2018, along with rebuttal
24	testimony filed January 10th, 2019?
25	A. I did.

Page 142 And in both of those testimonies, it 1 0. 2 identified two witnesses, which is yourself and Dan Koehler; is that correct? 3 4 Α. Dan Koehler, yes. Koehler, excuse me. And do you intend to 5 0. adopt both of those testimonies today in full? 6 7 Α. I do. If you are asked the same questions in both 8 0. the direct and rebuttal testimonies that were filed, 9 would your answers remain the same? 10 11 With one correction. Α. 12 0. Okay. And please go ahead. 13 Looking at my direct testimony, of myself and Α. Dan, page 8 if I would, under findings and 14 15 recommendations, starting with line 89, what I would 16 drop is the sentence that appears after outages. I would replace, "that appeared to be avoidable and 17 18 resulted in unnecessary increases to the company-wide 19 NPC, " replace that with the phrase, "for further 20 investigation, " period. 21 0. Thank you. And do you have any other 22 corrections or changes you would like to make? 23 Α. I do not. 24 0. Okay. 25 I'm sorry. Can I have you -- I MR. MOSCON:

Page 143 1 was slow here. 2 THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. MOSCON: Line 93, will you just tell me 3 4 again what I am crossing out where? THE WITNESS: It was line 89. 5 6 MR. MOSCON: Sorry, 89. 7 THE WITNESS: We are crossing out the words that start after the word outages. 8 9 MR. MOSCON: Got it. 10 THE WITNESS: Crossing out basically to the 11 end of that sentence on the next line, and replacing 12 that with simply, for further investigation. 13 By way of clarification, those 29 outages were selected because of their duration, not because of any 14 15 particular concern over their impact. MR. JETTER: With that I'd like to move for 16 the introduction of -- or entry of the direct and 17 rebuttal testimony I have identified earlier, along with 18 all of the attached exhibits to both of those, which was 19 20 direct through 2.3 and, I believe there was no exhibits 21 attached in addition to the testimony on the rebuttal 2.2 testimony. 23 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. If anyone objects to that motion, please let me know. I am not seeing any 24 25 objection, so the motion is granted.
Page 144 MR. JETTER: Thank you. 1 2 Q. (By Mr. Jetter) And have you prepared a brief statement summarizing your testimony? 3 4 Α. I have. Please go ahead. 5 0. Okay. Daymark was retained by the division to 6 Α. review the application of Rocky Mountain Power regarding 7 adjustment of electric rates. The company had filed a 8 request on March 15th, 2018 to recover 2.8 --9 Mr. DiDomenico, I am going to interrupt just 10 0. 11 very briefly. If you wouldn't mind reading just a 12 little bit slower for our court reporter. 13 Α. I'm sorry. Too fast for you. It's Italian 14 heritage. 15 Okay. Daymark was retained by the division to review the application of Rocky Mountain Power regarding 16 17 adjustment of electric rates. The company had filed a request on March 15th, 2018, to recover 2.8 million for 18 excess energy balancing account associated costs 19 20 incurred throughout the 12 month deferral period from 21 January 1st, 2017, through December 31st, 2017. 2.2 Daymark's role was to determine whether the actual costs featured in the calendar year 2017 EBA 23 24 filing were incurred in accordance with an in place policy or plan, were prudent and were in the public 25

1 interest.

Page 145

2	Our review included four main assignments, as
3	established in consultation with the division. First,
4	evaluate a sample of electric and natural gas
5	transaction for accuracy, completeness and prudence.
6	Second, we reviewed particular issues pertaining to key
7	drivers of EBA costs, specifically deviations in the
8	actual wholesale sales revenue and purchased power
9	expense in relation to levels forecasted for the general
10	rate case and established in base NBC.
11	Third, we reviewed the impact of PacifiCorp's
12	third full calendar year of participation in the
13	California ISO, energy imbalance market. Lastly, we
14	reviewed and evaluated actual plant outages to ensure
15	that these outages and the cost impacts on the EBA
16	charge were appropriate.
17	Transactions. Relative to transactions our
18	findings were as follows. PacifiCorp settled tens of
19	thousands of natural gas financial, natural gas physical
20	and electric power physical transactions in 2017. We
21	assembled and analyzed a sample of 46 representative
22	transactions and accounting entry groupings. After
23	reviewing these transactions, we did not find or we
24	did not suggest, excuse me, any adjustments to the
25	calendar year 2017 EBA costs for the evaluated

Page 146 transactions. 1 2 However, our review of a particular 3 transaction revealed a deficiency in PacifiCorp's 4 policies and practices pertaining to monitoring and reporting potential breaches in individual trader 5 limits. 6 The company has taken some corrective steps to 7 address this issue since becoming aware of it, but we 8 9 recommend that the company formally adopt governance control requirements in their risk management policy --10 11 energy risk management policy. The company has 12 indicated in response testimony that it is amenable to 13 working with the division to adopt such changes. Regarding the EBA cost drivers, we found that 14 the deviations in actual wholesale sales revenue and 15 16 purchased power expense were generally explainable by 17 market condition changes between the base NPC forecasts for the 2014, '15 test period, and actual conditions 18 during the 2017 deferral period, as well as changes in 19 20 long-term contracts in effect for the respective 21 periods. 2.2 Regarding the California ISO, energy imbalance market, our findings regarding -- regarding our high 23 24 level review of PacifiCorp's participation in the -- in 25 the ISO EMI, we found no reason to challenge the ISO or

Page 147 the company's methodology for estimating benefits from 1 2 participating in the real-time imbalance trading through 3 the EIN, nor do we have reason to believe that the 4 estimates substantially overstate the benefits. Regarding outages, our review of generator 5 outages at the company's thermal plants during the EBA 6 7 period, deferral period, identified 25 significant outages; that is, outages that are forced outages or 8 9 planned outage extensions of greater than 72 hours in duration. 10 11 Of these 29 outages, seven outages 12 demonstrated sufficient imprudence that we recommend 13 reducing EBA costs to reflect replacement power costs related to the outages. The total reduction in 14 15 company-wide NPC for these outages was 1,954,826. The Utah allocated EBA deferral adjustment related to 16 17 imprudent outage replacement power costs is 840,267. The quantification replacement power costs is 18 19 not in dispute. The company has agreed with our 20 methodology for estimating the additional net power 21 costs that are incurred as a result of specific plant 22 outages. 23 The company submitted response testimony of 24 Mr. Dana Ralston to address the seven generation plant 25 outages we identified as demonstrating sufficient

	Page 148
1	imprudence to warrant EBA cost adjustment.
2	Mr. Ralston's response testimony disputed our claims
3	that the company acted imprudently in regard to those
4	seven outages, and therefore, no adjustment to the EBA
5	amounts was needed. We disagree with Mr. Ralston's
6	arguments and we stand by our original recommendation.
7	Mr. Ralston asserted that the Craig Unit 2
8	outage was the result of GE's subcontractor's failure to
9	correctly tighten specific plugs and not the lack of
10	established procedures and practices.
11	Though the company's partner GE admitted
12	fault, the company should still be held accountable
13	since they are responsible for ensuring risk mitigation
14	measures are established and followed by their partners.
15	Additionally, the company should work with their third
16	party operators to use similar outage-related
17	documentation procedures as utilized by PacifiCorp.
18	Regarding the April 2017 outage at Dave
19	Johnson Unit 3, the company believes that the use of
20	improper tubing that contributed to the outage was an
21	anomaly that was still was still provided over 20
22	years of acceptable service. In our opinion, the use of
23	incorrect tubing material is a procedural failure that
24	necessitates an adjustment to the company-wide EBA costs
25	for the replacement power costs. The length of time
1	

Page 149 before failure is secondary to the issue of prudence. 1 2 The September 2017 outage occurring at Dave 3 Johnson Unit 3 was caused by tube failures associated 4 with the reheat super heater. Although the company's metallurgical expert recommended modifying blasting 5 practice after analyzing the failures, the company 6 7 maintains that the failures could not be attributed to any particular explosive deslagging result. 8 Since the company's metallurgical experts have 9

10 repeatedly identified the company's blasting practices 11 related to deslagging as a contributing factor to tube 12 failure, we believe the company acted imprudently by not 13 modifying its deslagging practices.

The Huntington Unit 1 outage was due to a 14 reheater tube leak located at a dissimilar metal weld. 15 The company argues that due to the number of welds in 16 17 the outlet of the reheater, the cost to evaluate each weld would significantly outweigh the benefits. 18 We believe that the company's lack of attention to such a 19 20 well known industry issue is indefensible and therefore 21 imprudent.

An outage at Jim Bridger Unit 2 caused by the failure of heat tracing equipment was a result of gap in testing procedures established by the company. Even though the company argues that it acted prudently, since

1	Page 150 it had testing procedures already in place, we assert
2	that the company acted imprudently since it should have
3	known the heat tracing equipment was inoperable.
4	Regarding the Jim Bridger Unit 3 outage, the
5	failure of cables leading to the outage was due to age
6	and damage received during the cable's initial cable
7	pull in the seventies. The company argues that the
8	cables have functioned successfully over 40 years they
9	have that they have been in place, without any
10	indications of damage.
11	We believe that the cable damage due to
12	incorrect installation practices during the initial
13	installation warrants disallowance. The length of time
14	before failure is secondary to the use to the issue
15	of prudency.
16	The Dave Johnson Unit 4 extended outage was
17	the result of the wrong impeller of of a wrong
18	impeller being installed during a planned outage
19	resulting in an outage extension. The error was the
20	admitted fault of a contractor who accepted work that it
21	wasn't properly staffed to complete.
22	It is incumbent upon the company to ensure
23	that the contractors it chooses to work with follow
24	prudent practices. We therefore believe the company
25	should be held responsible for the imprudent actions of

Page 151

1 the contractor.

Lastly, Mr. Ralston's response and surrebuttal testimony assert that our recommendations assume a unrealistic standard of perfection based on 20/20 hindsight and not a standard of prudence applied to these outages. We disagree.

7 Many outages are avoidable with perfect 8 hindsight, and many outages are caused by human error. 9 We do not argue for a disallowance for all such outages. 10 We are arguing for adjustment of only a handful of cases 11 when the action or inaction at the root cause of the 12 outage was clearly imprudent based on the information 13 known or knowable at the time.

14 The company argues that it cannot be held 15 liable for imprudent actions taken by third party 16 operators or subcontractors so long as the underlying 17 contract was reasonable. We disagree. As an owner or co-owner, a company is responsible for the performance 18 of that asset and cannot absolve itself of that 19 20 responsibility simply because it has desig -- delegated 21 the operation or repair of that asset to another entity. 2.2 Certainly, as between the company and its rate 23 payers, the company is in a much better position to influence the operation of plants where it is not the 24 25 operator. If a company operated in a regulatory system

Page 152 without the EBA, the company would likely not recover 1 2 any of the power replacement costs related to a forced Thank you. 3 outage. 4 MR. JETTER: Thank you for that review of your testimony. I have no further questions, and 5 Mr. DiDomenico is available for cross and questions from 6 the commission. 7 8 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. Mr. Russell, do 9 you have any questions? 10 MR. RUSSELL: I do. Thank you. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. RUSSELL: 13 Mr. DiDomenico, you mentioned that you 0. 14 reviewed -- you narrowed it down to 29 outages, and as I understand your summary, that -- that you narrowed it 15 16 down to 29 based on the length of those 29 rather than on any particular actions taken by the company during 17 18 those 29 outages. Is that correct? 19 Α. That's correct. 20 Okay. And ultimately you flag seven outages, 0. 21 the seven that we have been discussing at length today. 22 Can you tell me what it was about those seven, and I --23 I -- I know that there are differences among those seven, but at a high level, can you tell me what it was 24 25 about those seven that you -- you thought required a

1	Page 153 different result than the other 22?
2	A. Well, fundamentally, in looking at the
3	available information and I do need to underscore the
4	available information, because again, in this instance
5	where we are 100 percent dependent on the information
6	provided by the company, we did not provide any
7	independent review. This is not our review. We are
8	reviewing information that was provided to us.
9	Just with that context, with that in mind, the
10	information that we were provided basically just led us
11	from a reasonable perspective, would a reasonable
12	utility have operated in a different manner? It kind of
13	flagged us from that perspective, and that's what drew
14	our attention to those seven outages.
15	Q. Okay. And there's been a lot of talk today
16	and in the prefiled testimony about the the cost to
17	mitigate or to prevent the type of replacement power
18	costs, or the mistake that that occurred or or
19	that was identified.
20	In your review of the 29 that ultimately led
21	to the seven, did you did you consider the costs to
22	prevent the issue that led to the outage as part of your
23	review?
24	A. Not explicitly, no.
25	Q. Okay. And is that in your view a relevant
23 24	review? A. Not explicitly, no.

Page 154 issue to -- to consider in determining whether the 1 2 company acted prudently? 3 Α. Ultimately, certainly the cost of whatever 4 mitigation practices is a factor, certainly. And just, I think we probably all understand 5 0. this, but -- and tell me the reason why the cost to 6 7 mitigate the issue or cost to prevent the issue is a relevant factor? 8 Well, I think as in any business decision from 9 Α. an asset management perspective, you are juggling a lot 10 11 of priorities, and in terms of making decisions about 12 which priority to address first, you tend to go with --13 not tend to go, but you go with the ones that have a higher cost benefit expectation. 14 That along with risk -- and the risk associated with them are major 15 factors associated with any decision. 16 17 And perhaps I'll -- I'll put it in a slightly ο. different way. If the -- if the cost to solve the 18 problem is greater than the cost to just let the problem 19 be a problem, you just let the problem be a problem, 20 21 don't you? 2.2 Α. To a degree. The problem what we have here is 23 that this is a very dynamic situation, that the cost and the benefit is very fluid. Now, it depends on changing 24 25 market conditions. It depends on a lot of things, but

Page 155 at the end of the day you need to make a judgment call 1 2 as to what the priorities are. Okay. What I'd like to do now is very briefly 0. 3 4 walk through each of the seven outages and ask you just a couple of questions about each. And we'll start with 5 the Craig Unit 2 outage, which is -- is the one related 6 to the 50 bolts and the one that may have come loose 7 when the -- when the plant was started back up. 8 Can you explain to me what it is that in 9 Daymark's view was imprudent about this particular 10 11 outage? 12 Α. Fundamentally, I ask myself the question, is 13 it prudent to assemble a unit when you haven't followed the procedures properly? When you read the information 14 15 on this event, it speaks to, potentially, the unit that 16 the bolt wasn't tightened properly. 17 And I say potentially because one of the problems is that on that event, Tri-State fundamentally 18 19 didn't do a root cause analysis, nor is it their 20 practice to do a root cause analysis. 21 The information that we have received is 22 essentially three e-mails. We have three e-mails where 23 they say, good news, we figured out that a plug fell That's the extent of the information that we have 24 out. on that outage. So I look at that, and I am saying, 25

Page 156 this -- this doesn't sound right, something wrong with 1 2 this. We need to dig further. But the fact of the 3 matter is, they didn't dig further. So we don't know 4 what actually caused the event. But I do know this, when you talk -- when 5 you're dealing with the hydrogen cooling system of a 6 major generator, we are not -- this is a significant 7 element in the system. You don't do it casually, right? 8 9 Hydrogen leaks are taken very serious by the industry, 10 as I am sure the company is taking it very seriously. 11 So as you are going through the procedures 12 that say tighten whatever and fill in silicone, whatever you are trying to do, I am virtually certain, although I 13 don't -- I haven't seen the procedure, because it hasn't 14 15 been provided, but I am virtually certain that it 16 mandates a very specific manner in which you need to do your job. 17 In this case bolts don't fall out for no 18 19 reason. They fall out because somebody didn't do what 20 they needed to do. It's a summation. I don't have 21 facts to support that, but all I know is that the bolt 22 was not there, and that -- and that is a cause for 23 concern. And I guess one of the issues that -- that I 24 ο. think the commission may have to grapple with here is, 25

Page 157 if the bolt did come out, and in your view if it came 1 2 out and somebody did something wrong, if it -- if the -the somebody that did something wrong is with a mill 3 4 worker hired by General Electric who in turn was hired by Tri-State Generation, who is the operator of this 5 plant, but is not the company here asking for, you know, 6 a specific rate treatment, how -- how does the 7 commission address all of that? 8 I think it starts with the accountability of 9 Α. 10 the company to its third party vendors. I agree that 11 it's very expensive to get that kind of coverage, 12 replacement power coverage. Replacement power is the 13 hot potato that nobody wants, because it's open-ended. It's an undefined liability. Nobody wants to cover 14 15 that. And -- and we get that, we understand that. But if you look at the chain in terms of who 16 was involved, the company is in the best pos -- position 17 of everyone to be able to manage that risk, understand 18 that risk and provide for that risk. So from our 19 20 perspective, it's incumbent upon them to make sure that 21 their third party, whoever they are working with, are 22 following prudent practices. 23 0. Okay. And -- and how would -- how should the company have managed the risk in this -- in this case in 24

> Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

your view?

25

Page 158 Well, you know, unfortunately in this -- in 1 Α. 2 this case, to do a proper root cause investigation would require you being on-site and interviewing the 3 4 principals involved. Right now, the information I have available to 5 6 me doesn't really allow me to make any specific recommendations, other than a general recommendation 7 that greater oversight needs to be provided. 8 That's not terribly helpful, but it -- but it requires more 9 10 intervention, more active involvement in what's going 11 on. 12 Q. And how do we know how much that active 13 involvement might cost? 14 Α. The only way you know is by asking for it when you are working with your various vendors. 15 16 0. Okay. In -- in a --17 And it's very situational. It would depend on Α. the specific event and who you're dealing with. 18 It's not -- it's not something that you can just pull out and 19 say, every time we do this it's going to cost whatever. 20 21 It varies significantly. 2.2 As a general statement, sure, it's going to 23 cost you money, but I don't know what -- I don't know how you ignore the fact that it's problematic, because 24 25 the only one that shares replacement cost responsibility

Page 159 1 right now is ultimately the customer. 2 Q. Right. And -- and I guess the -- the customer would also bear some responsibility for the cost to 3 4 prevent that replacement power cost, right? 5 Α. Certainly. 6 ο. Yeah. And -- and I guess the guestion that I have is, how does the commission address these issues 7 when it's -- when it's trying to figure out, well, 8 9 there's this cost to prevent these risks, and, you know, 10 somebody is going to have to pay for that cost, and 11 almost always we end up in the same place. 12 Had -- had -- I am formulating a poorly 13 question here, or poorly formulating a question here. But there's -- there's this balance between the cost and 14 15 the risk, and I am wondering how the commission should handle that. 16 17 I think it's -- it's a difficult question. Α. Т think it's very situational. I think some sort of a 18 19 shared savings or shared cost approach is probably the 20 most appropriate. But it's very situational. It's --21 it's not something that we can sit here and just say, on 22 a blanket policy, this is how we should approach that. 23 I think that would be very difficult. 24 ο. Okav. Thank you. I'm -- I'm going to walk through the other six, but the -- the -- the list of 25

1 questions will be a little bit shorter, because I think
2 some of the principles that we just discussed can apply
3 as well.

Looking at the Dave Johnson Unit 3, the April 25 outage, and this was the one that we -- we spent a little bit of time talking about the nonconforming material in boiler tube, right? So if you could, tell me quickly what you understand -- or what -- what facts you understand to be the -- the imprudent or to constitute the imprudent action by the utility here.

11 A. Well, fundamentally the imprudent action is 12 installing nonconforming material, not keeping an 13 accurate record, and not going back and replacing it 14 when the time was appropriate.

15 There is nothing wrong -- I am agreeing with 16 the company witness when he says that in a pinch you do what you need to do to bring the unit on line. 17 That's standard practice. I am not going to disagree with 18 19 that. But not being able to have proper records so that 20 you can go back and then correct that situation before 21 it turns into an outage situation is where the problem 2.2 lies.

Q. And if we were to talk about the cost to potentially mitigate that, I suppose what you'd say is that you mitigate it by having proper -- by having

Page 161

proper recordkeeping procedures; is that right?
 A. Exactly.

Q. Okay. Moving on to the September outage at Dave Johnson Unit 3, this is the outage related to the boiler tube failure that, I guess, the metallurgical reports point to the explosive deslagging efforts. Tell me what you -- what you understand to be the facts that constitute the imprudent action here.

9 Α. The problem I have as -- as an outside third-party consultant trying to evaluate what I am 10 11 seeing, I am dealing with the information that I have in 12 front of me. And the picture that I am seeing is that I 13 have a metallurgist who is admittedly an expert in their field, someone that the company relies upon, and I see 14 15 them repeatedly making recommendations about changing the company's blasting practices. 16

And on the other hand, I -- I hear the company telling me, well, they did that and they did it back in 2011, and that whatever they are reporting isn't necessarily pertinent to the current situation.

In my own experience, the partnership between the metallurgist and the company is not a very distant relationship. I find it hard to believe that the metallurgist that the company uses on a regular basis, and has been using for years, is not aware of the

Page 162 1 company's current blasting practices. 2 That -- that just brings questions into my Why is that? So I'm -- I'm left with a dilemma. 3 mind. 4 I have two stories. Which one do I believe? I am not 5 sure. I have heard a little bit more information 6 today that would tend to lean towards the company's 7 position, but again, I don't know why the metallurgist 8 9 would continue to make the same recommendation over and over again, when it's not pertinent to the issue at 10 11 hand. 12 0. Okay. Thank you. Let's move on to Huntington 13 Unit 1. As I understand it, the issue there leading to the outage was the issue of dissimilar welds, correct? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Okay. And with -- again, with this one, tell 0. 17 me what facts you understand to be the issue that -that leads to the -- your conclusion that there was 18 imprudent action by the utility. 19 20 Sure. Dissimilar metal welds, DMWs, is not a Α. 21 new issue. I think we heard testimony to that effect. 2.2 It's been around for a long time. I mean, at least the 23 mid eighties, if not sooner than that, it was identified 24 as a -- as a cause of outages. 25 And not only a cause, it's not a matter of if

Page 163 there's going to be an outage. It's just a matter of when, because they are going to fail. It's a problem. It's a problem that was discovered with the help of EPRI and others, and there are utilities that went -- went head and removed them before they failed, rather than waiting for failures in my experience.

However, in this particular scenario we have
been talking a great deal, about, well, three outages,
you know, less than 1 percent. You are not going to go
out and spend \$2 million in mitigation. I understand
that.

My problem or my concern rests in the fact that, follow the timeline with me for a minute. Known problem since the mid eighties. The unit's been in service since whenever, and they know that the reheater has lots of dissimilar metal welds, 600 I think was the number that was said. They know this. I mean, that's the way it was built.

19 The first outage doesn't occur until 2000 -- I 20 am going to get one of these years wrong, 2008 I believe 21 or seven, I can't remember which. After that happens, 22 no action is taken. So a second outage occurs, no 23 action is taken. A third outage occurs, no outage is 24 taken. We get to the fourth outage, and all of a 25 sudden, the difference between three outages and four

	Page 164
1	outages launches the company to an action plan to
2	address the problem.
3	Now, we have heard a lot about, well, three is
4	an insignificant number. Four is well, the
5	difference between three and four is the same percentage
6	as far as I am concerned. I think the company realizes
7	that this is a problem and they need to address it, and
8	it has nothing to do with how many outage events occur.
9	You know this is a problem. It's just a
10	ticking time bomb waiting to keep happening, and as I
11	think there was reference to the hockey stick effect,
12	where all of a sudden you've got to just start
13	accelerating rapidly, absolutely, it's a very real risk.
14	It's very prevalent in our industry.
15	So my problem is not so much that they didn't
16	jump to replacing everything and spend \$2 million. My
17	problem is that they waited until a fourth outage, I am
18	trying to think the number of years after the first
19	outage, and 9 or 10 years after the first outage, before
20	they took steps to determine the extent of the problem.
21	Right.
22	We heard a lot about how testing this would be
23	a problem with got too expensive to do 600 welds
24	whatever. After the fourth outage, they proceeded
25	immediately to doing that testing. And in 2018, I

Page 165 1 guess, I am surmising that they identified a significant 2 enough problem to warrant a full replacement at the next 3 available major overall, 2022. 4 My point here is that this could have been 5 done sooner, exposing the customers to less outage and 6 replacement power risk. Okay. I think that actually addresses my 7 0. follow-up questions with respect to that one, although I 8 9 do have -- do have one other. And I am looking at the, I think it's Exhibit 2.3 that was attached to your 10 11 responsive testimony. 12 Α. It's the report. Is that our report? 13 It is, yeah. It's the -- the confidential 0. 14 report. I don't know what information in this is confidential, if it's the figures or if it's the 15 descriptions. 16 17 I am not a hundred percent sure either. Α. 18 Jason? 19 MR. JETTER: It's probably a mix. 20 MR. RUSSELL: Okay. Is there something specific? 21 MR. JETTER: 2.2 MR. RUSSELL: With each of -- with each --23 with each of the outages that are discussed, there is a repair cost identified, as well as a cost associated 24 25 with the replacement power. And I am just wondering

Page 166 whether any of that is confidential. I don't even need 1 2 to use the number. 3 MS. HOGLE: Confidential. 4 ο. (By Mr. Russell) Okay. All right. Do you have that report in front of you? 5 6 Α. I do. Okay. Maybe we can do this without -- I'm --7 0. I am not going -- I'm not going to identify any of the 8 9 numbers. Do you see the -- the next to last paragraph 10 of --11 Excuse me. Page reference please. Α. 12 0. Yeah. Sorry. Page 26, it's the Huntington 13 Unit 1 outage, the discussion there. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Okay. The next to last paragraph of that Q. discussion identifies the repair costs, and maybe I am 16 just misunderstanding what repairs were done, but can 17 you -- can you tell me what repairs were done that adds 18 19 up to this number that I am not going to say? 20 Α. These repair costs were provided by the No. 21 company. 22 Q. Yeah. 23 Α. So I don't know -- I don't know exactly what 24 repairs took place. That's simply the costs of 25 bringing -- bringing the unit back to service from the

Page 167 Not including, you know, replacement power, 1 outage. 2 anything like that. Yeah. And I know we have been throwing around 3 0. 4 this \$2 million number to replace the dissimilar welds, and that's not this number? 5 It is not. 6 Α. 7 Okay. Do you know -- you don't know what --0. what is included with this number here? 8 9 Α. No. 10 Okay. All right. Fair enough. Let's move on 0. 11 to Jim Bridger Unit 2, which is the next one in your --12 in the report. And this is the -- the one that we have 13 spent a fair bit of time talking about with the water 14 freezing and the water spacer tubing. Can you tell me, what was -- what was the -- what was the imprudent 15 16 action by the -- by the company here? 17 Well, fundamentally, when you are talking Α. about a system that is explicitly designed to prevent 18 freezing, not functioning at a time when you need it, 19 and the reason given is that there was quote, unquote, a 20 21 gap in the procedures, that just doesn't ring true --22 not true, but it doesn't make sense to me in the context 23 of my experience. 24 I could see gaps in procedures if this was a new system or a new unit. This is a unit that's been in 25

Page 168 operation for, you know, decades. By now any bugs or shake-down associated learnings, if you will, should have been covered. And I think we heard earlier that this was simply a problem where a technician didn't do his job properly. I don't know how else to say it.

Q. And -- and in your view, is it, what -- what
would be the cost of mitigating against that? If
there --

Very little. I mean, that's nothing more than 9 Α. 10 the direction that this is what you need to do. You 11 need to report things of this nature. It's -- it's 12 somewhat, you know, it's puzzling that -- that an 13 individual with that title, that -- that -- namely the technician that we're talking about, wouldn't have taken 14 15 that next step to make sure people were aware of it. 16 When I say people, upper -- his manager or other folks in the management chain. 17

Q. Okay. Thank you. Let's move on to Jim Bridger Unit 3, and this is the one where we have discussed a fair bit with the electrical wiring that was underground, but because of a -- of a water pump that tripped off, water got into the -- the conduit with the that -- that the electrical wiring was in.

And there's been some discussion about when this wiring may have been damaged. Why don't you tell

Page 169 me what -- what you view as the imprudent action here? 1 2 Α. Well, fundamentally, is it prudent to damage a 3 cable upon installation? Here the record shows that the 4 cable, along with age, was a mitigating -- was one of the causes of what went -- what happened -- excuse me. 5 6 And you know, we have heard a lot about, well, the cable was in operation for 40 years, and while that 7 may be true, and we also heard about testing that was 8 done upon its initial installation. What we didn't hear 9 anything about is how often this cable is tested. Is it 10 11 tested on an annual basis? Semiannual basis? During 12 major overhaul, or was it set it and forget it? 13 I have -- I've heard no discussion about it. So the idea that this installation wasn't degrading that 14 whole time, I am not sure there's any information on the 15 16 record to prove that that wasn't the case. So focusing on the length of time here, in 17 ο. your view, and I -- I think you say this in the report 18 or in your testimony, that it's not important how long 19 this -- this wiring was in place. It's, how did it get 20 21 damaged? 2.2 Α. Right. 23 0. And I guess, I have -- if the company since that time didn't know about the damage, I mean, if -- if 24 it was installed, and even the people who installed it 25

1 didn't know about the damage, and in the 40 years since
2 there's been no indication that there is some damage
3 here, is it -- can, can -- do -- was it imprudent for
4 the company not to conduct an inspection? Or is your
5 sole focus on the fact that it was damaged when it was
6 installed?

A. Well, it's two elements. It's -- it's the damage upon installation, along with, I don't see any record of any testing that occurred after the initial installation. So -- so there's, other than the fact that it was operational, there's no way to determine the condition of the cable if it's not being tested on a regular basis.

And I quess, if the issue is, if -- if even 14 0. the people who installed this cable wouldn't have known 15 about the damage, and we're going to hold the company 16 responsible for that damage, would it be -- would it be 17 imprudent for the company -- wouldn't it be prudent then 18 for the company to -- to bear the costs of -- of 19 conducting inspections that would -- that would reveal 20 21 those types -- that type of damage?

A. If you are asking me whether I think it's prudent of a company to do testing on -- on this cable on a regular basis to determine its condition, is that what you are asking?

Page 171 Well, I guess what I am asking is the --1 0. 2 the -- the standard that we seem to be imposing on the company here is that there was damage -- we're all 3 4 assuming there was damage upon installation here, but -and maybe the company didn't know about it. 5 But if that's the case, I guess what I am 6 worried about is a world in which we say, under those 7 8 circumstances, the company bears the risk. And then the 9 company responds by saying, okay, we're going to go 10 examine and inspect every last square inch, every last 11 cable of all of our plants, at enormous costs, because 12 we don't want to have to bear those costs going forward. 13 And the rate payers ultimately having to pay for that 14 type of a mitigation procedure. 15 And -- and so I guess what I am wondering is, 16 if we, you know, there's something of a ying and yang If we impose that sort of a standard on the 17 here. company, are -- are we -- shouldn't we worry about the 18 19 cost that the -- the rate payers will ultimately be asked to bear in response to that? 20 21 Α. I can't answer your question directly, but 22 what -- what I can say is that it merits attention. Ιt 23 merits a review of good practices in the industry and a change in practices to -- to align with those. I don't 24 25 believe it is prudent to put a cable in the ground and

Page 172 1 never touch it again for 40 years. I don't think -- I 2 don't think that's a good -- that's a good idea on any 3 level.

So now the question is, how often should it be tested. Again, without doing more research and understanding the exact situation, I can't give you an answer as to what is right. But you are right, carte blanche, no, you are not going to want everything tested every year, no. You're not trying to gold plate what's going on by no means.

But we are trying to reach reasonable level. Right now, no tests, installation with no testing, if that's true, and I don't know that that's even the case, but I -- I have seen nothing on the record that tells me that this isn't. So I am kind of left up in the air.

Q. Okay. Thank you. Let's move on to Dave -Dave Johnson Unit 4. I think this is the last one.
Excuse me. And this is the --

19 A. Excuse me.

20 Q. -- the -- the issue where we had a planned 21 outage that ended up getting extended because the 22 company's contractor, MD&A, had installed the wrong part 23 in an impeller.

And I think we have talked about this enough,
but -- but I think it would be useful because we've done

Page 173 it with all the other ones to have you tell me what you 1 2 think the -- the imprudent action here was. 3 Α. Well, fundamentally, the company gave work to 4 a firm that wasn't staffed to do the work properly. Not only wasn't it staffed, but it didn't have the QC 5 controls in place to recognize fundamental errors. 6 Ι 7 mean, we are talking about a component that was shipped with the wrong impeller, and the -- and the MD&A 8 9 admitting that they -- that they didn't have the proper quality control checks to make sure that that didn't 10 11 I mean, that's puzzling. happen. 12 You know, I agree that MD&A, as the company 13 says, they are not a fly by night type of outfit or anything like that. But by the same token, they took 14 15 work that they weren't prepared to do, by their own admission, and they didn't have the proper procedures in 16 17 place to make sure that the wrong component didn't go 18 out the door. At the end of the day, we heard a little bit 19 20 about liquidated damages, and that was the first time I 21 heard about liquidated damages associated with that 22 event. And that's fine, that's a good thing, but it's 23 still not the total exposure. The rest of the exposure is covered by the customers in replacement power cost. 24 If it had been the company that performed this 25 Q.

Page 174 work in the way MD&A had performed it, how does that 1 2 change the analysis or does it in your view? 3 Α. I don't think it changes it. 4 ο. Because it's MD&A, we -- we have spent a fair bit of time talking about ways that the company could 5 have mitigated those losses, or -- or -- or planned 6 against those losses as opposed to just eating the 7 8 costs, I suppose. I don't know. 9 Α. Sure. But -- but I think that raises an interesting 10 0. 11 question of, when the company hires outside contractors 12 to perform certain work, does that insulate the company 13 in a way from the negative effects of somebody making a 14 mistake along the way? 15 I mean, that's the compelling concern, that if Α. 16 you take this to the extreme, then the company could simply outsource everything it does, and it's not 17 responsible for anything. 18 19 ο. And we have, as I mentioned, spent a fair bit of time talking about the company's efforts or what --20 21 what the company could have done or did do to mitigate 22 the -- the potential risks here. I am interested in 23 your views about consequential damages provisions or -or provisions that waive consequential damages. 24 25 I think the company has indicated that -- that

Page 175 1 including a provision that would allow the company to go 2 after a contractor for, you know, replacement power costs in the event of -- of -- of a mistake would --3 would be prohibitive. I am interested in your views 4 about that. 5 6 Α. I would agree that it's very costly. I mean, nobody wants the burden of replacement power costs, 7 8 which is all the more reason to put greater focus on the 9 company's responsibility in its oversight of any third-party vendor, be it through whatever contractual 10 11 means possible. 12 Whether it's LDs, whether it's consequences, 13 what -- whatever it might be, the company needs to do everything possible to make sure that the customer is 14 getting the value they are expecting from their third 15 16 party contractor or third party operator owner. 17 MR. RUSSELL: Okay. I think that's all the 18 questions I have. Thank you for your time. 19 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Rocky 20 Mountain Power, any questions for this witness? 21 MR. MOSCON: Yes. 2.2 CROSS-EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. MOSCON: Good afternoon, Mr. DiDomenico. 24 0. Good afternoon. 25 Α.

Page 176 I'll be honest that I don't relish taking you 1 0. 2 through what I count would be the sixth trip through all of these seven outages by my calculation that this group 3 4 would have listened to today. 5 Α. Lucky seven. 6 ο. So I -- I think I might have to go off script a little bit, just for all of our sakes. But before I 7 begin, I want to -- I want to just touch a little bit on 8 9 your background and your -- your frame of reference. If I understand correctly, you have been working as a 10 11 consultant for 22 years now. Is that right? 12 Α. That's about right. 22, 23. 13 You haven't worked for a utility company since 0. 14 1997; is that right? 15 Sounds about right. Α. 16 So when we are talking today about what is 0. standard practice and how utilities do this or do that, 17 any change since 1997 at least is something that you 18 would have just kind of learned academically, for lack 19 of a better word, rather than something where you can 20 21 say, yes, I was there when we made that change in 2011? 22 Α. Well, certainly just research is part of it, 23 but you are neglecting the fact that in my career as a consultant, I have been essentially an advisor to those 24 very same electric utility customers from an advisory 25

Page 177 strategic perspective, whether it's in the care and 1 2 feeding of their equipment, asset management related responsibilities, organizational responsibilities, 3 4 reliability related questions. I deal with clients, mainly utility clients, 5 not as much with commissions, relative to issues related 6 to performance and capital investment. 7 8 And sure, and that's, I guess, what I mean Q. about academically. You have been there. You have seen 9 You have studied it. You haven't been working at a 10 it. 11 utility since the mid nineties; is that right? 12 Α. True enough. 13 All right. There's -- there's one area, 0. 14 and -- and I may just be -- just to make the point, belabor our very first outage that we have probably 15 16 heard the most about, because again, it kind of makes a point that stays consistent with the other outages. 17 18 Α. Sure. 19 ο. And just because I already have it open because Mr. Russell turned us to it, I am going to ask 20 21 you, and any that care to follow, to turn to page 24 in 22 your confidential report. It was attached to your first 23 filed testimony. 24, yes. 24 Α. 25 Page 24. That's where the -- what you refer Q.

Page 178 1 to as avoidable outages begin, right? 2 Α. Correct. Okay. Now, when you were answering some 3 0. 4 questions of Mr. Russell, I -- I wrote something down, and I -- I tried to write exactly what you were saying. 5 But I could have missed a word or two, but it, it really 6 7 struck me about something. 8 You -- you recall when you were asked, is cost 9 something that you took into consideration? And I 10 heard -- understood you to essentially say, not really. I just looked at should this have happened or that have 11 12 happened. I wasn't considering costs, right? 13 Α. That's correct. 14 0. But you also agreed that a utility in the real world, when it needs to make decisions, has to balance 15 16 cost with risk. Is that correct? I would agree. 17 Α. 18 And so the recommendations that you have made 0. about prudence, of course, are not necessarily the same 19 that a company would make, because while you are saying, 20 21 I made these determinations without considering costs, 22 of course, this utility or any utility must consider 23 cost, correct? 24 Α. Correct. 25 You are not a lawyer, I understand. Q. Are you

1	Page 179 familiar with a phrase, strict liability?
2	A. Just generally.
3	Q. The thing that I wrote down is, when we were
4	talking about the Craig Unit 2, this is, of course, is
5	the famous bolt that came out, near near the end you
6	had a statement. And you wrote or I tried to write
7	what you said. The bolt wasn't there. I don't know
8	why, so the company should be responsible.
9	I mean, you were summarizing a lot.
10	Obviously, you had more to it than that.
11	A. Yeah, it went a little it deeper than that,
12	but yes.
13	Q. You understand that. And to me as a lawyer,
14	that is the essence of strict liability, which is, I
15	don't know whether there's negligence or not, but
16	something happened. I have to pick someone to blame, so
17	I am going to hold the company responsible. And that's
18	the point that I'd like to explore a little bit with our
19	questions, okay?
20	A. But I don't think that's a fair
21	characterization, but okay. Go ahead.
22	Q. But those I mean, in this case, you don't
23	know, like we don't know, no one you don't know why
24	the bolt came out, correct? Or the plug, I shouldn't
25	say the bolt.
Page 180 1 Α. You're right. But do I have -- can I clarify? 2 Q. Sure. The company's fundamental position is that 3 Α. 4 there were procedures in place that were followed. Uh-huh, yes. 5 0. Right. That's on the record. I maintain that 6 Α. if there are procedures in place, and the bolt -- the 7 bolt falls out, somebody didn't do their job right, 8 9 riaht? There's a -- there's a problem there. That's 10 not strict liability. That's somebody not following procedure. There is no procedure that says, loosely put 11 12 in this bolt and hope it stays in there. 13 So the logical conclusion is, if something 0. 14 goes wrong, anything goes wrong in a plant anywhere, somebody didn't do their job because bolts or cables or 15 lines or things, things don't just happen, right? 16 17 Α. Most of the time that is correct. And again, to me, I am saying, strict 18 0. liability. Something goes wrong, I am going to surmise 19 somebody must have done something wrong. I don't know 20 21 what, but somebody must have done something wrong? 2.2 Α. In this case -- I'm -- I'm not sure I am 23 following your -- your line of thinking 100 percent. Ι 24 get the gist of what you are saying, but I am not trying to imply that no matter what happens it's somebody's 25

Page 181 fault. 1 2 What I am saying is, in this situation there were procedures in place that weren't followed. 3 Ιf 4 you -- if you have procedures in place that -- that someone doesn't follow, that's a problem. 5 Okay. Well, let's actually go through the 6 Q. procedures and see which ones weren't followed. Okay. 7 For -- for Craig Unit 2, you agree with me, don't you, 8 9 that the seal, the -- the whatever we call it, the 10 silicone. 11 Α. Silicone. 12 Q. That was put in place, right? 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. That procedure was followed, right? 15 You know, that's the problem with this Α. particular outage, as I have already mentioned. 16 There was no detailed, at least nothing that was provided to 17 us, in terms of detailed root cause analysis as to what 18 19 actually happened. 20 I'm -- I'm -- I'm dealing with a void of 21 information, and I'm -- I'm picking up bits and pieces 22 from testimony here today. But by and large, just my 23 general background in -- in being in this industry, GE is not working on a generator without procedures for the 24 proper installation of these bolts, right? These plugs, 25

1	Page 182 excuse me. So I am surmising that. I have no evidence							
2	to that effect.							
3	Q. I want to follow through then. Am I correct							
4	then that for this Craig Unit 2, I I thought you said							
5	earlier, procedures and policies were in place and they							
6	weren't followed. I now kind of understand you to							
7	say							
8	A. That's what the company told me.							
9	Q. Yes. But are you able, as you sit here today,							
10	to articulate, here is the procedure that wasn't							
11	followed?							
12	A. No.							
13	Q. Okay. In fact, we know that the bolt got put							
14	back in, right?							
15	A. Yes.							
16	Q. We know that it got tightened to some the							
17	bolt, the plug, we know it got tightened to some degree,							
18	right?							
19	A. Logically.							
20	Q. We know that there was not just an assumption							
21	that they were put in, because we know that there was							
22	actually a test to make sure that this thing sealed up,							
23	right?							
24	A. Yes.							
25	Q. We know it was pressurized to 48 psi, right?							

Page 183 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. And maintained pressure for 24 hours, right? 3 Α. Correct. 4 0. And then someone went to see, is it leaking, right, and it was not leaking, right? 5 Yeah. I think it was within 24 hours it 6 Α. 7 started leaking. Well, we know --8 Q. Α. The second 24 hours. 9 Okay. We know that for the test it didn't 10 0. 11 leak, there was no leaking at the pressurization test, 12 right? 13 Α. Correct. 14 0. Okay. So we know that it was put on. It was 15 tightened. There was a visual inspection. There was beyond a visual inspection. There was a pressurization 16 17 inspection, followed by a visual inspection of looking for leaks, and all of those things passed, right? 18 I don't know that we know there was a visual 19 Α. 20 inspection. 21 0. How else would they determine whether it was 22 leaking? 23 Α. From the pressure test perspective. At the time of the test, yes, they did a pressure test, I 24 25 agree.

Page 184 1 0. Okay. 2 Α. But you are talking about at the onset before they put it back together again. Are you saying that 3 4 someone looked at it to make sure that everything was the way it was supposed to be before it was reassembled? 5 I know I am also surmising that when they 6 ο. pressurized it to see if it was leaking, someone walked 7 up to it and said, I am looking at the plugs, and are 8 they leaking, yes or no, right? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. 12 Α. The answer is yes. 13 Q. So we know that some eyes were put on this 14 thing, right? 15 On the equipment. Α. 16 Yes. 0. 17 I don't know about the plugs themselves. Α. And so what I am saying is, you cannot, as you 18 0. sit here, point to a point in that timeline and say, 19 right there is where the utility, Rocky Mountain Power, 20 21 messed up, right? 2.2 Α. Agreed. 23 0. Just for sake of time and brevity and because we have been through this a little bit, I am going to 24 combine the Dave Johnston Unit 3 April and September 25

1	Page 185 outages. I know they are different outages, but we have
2	kind of been through this a little bit before.
3	One thing that you have said today on the
4	stand is that one of your recommendations for a
5	disallowance is because the company had been repeatedly
6	warned by its metallurgist and ignored that, and I'd
7	like to draw your attention to, if you are looking at
8	page 25, the third from the bottom paragraph that begins
9	"The repeat nature." Do you see that?
10	A. The the second paragraph.
11	Q. Well, I guess depending if you call the
12	duration of this outage as a paragraph.
13	A. Okay. The repeat nature, I got it.
14	Q. Right. Okay. So will you just in fact I
15	don't think there's anything in that paragraph that's
16	confidential. I'll ask anybody to speak up if there is,
17	but I was just going to ask you to just read that
18	paragraph for us, the repeat nature.
19	A. "The repeat nature of the outage event
20	combined with the company's lack of attention to
21	modifying its deslagging practices, despite being
22	forewarned that such practices were a precipitating
23	cause of failures, is unacceptable, avoidable and a
24	cause of disallowance recommendation."
25	Q. Okay. And the warning that you are referring

Page 186 1 to that you quote actually up in the true second 2 paragraph of this page is the IEC's June 16th, 2017, metallurgical report; is that correct? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 0. Okay. So when you say that the company was 6 ignoring the forewarning that it received from its metallurgist, you were mistaken, are you not, because 7 Mr. Ralston has indicated that in fact they had already 8 9 done what the metallurgist recommended in 2017, six 10 years prior in 2011? 11 The only point I would make is that this Α. 12 report was produced before that information was 13 available. 14 0. This report. Oh, you mean your report --15 Α. Correct. 16 -- we are looking at. Oh, what you are saying 0. is the reason you wrote what we just read is because you 17 didn't know that the company had already done that back 18 in 2011? 19 20 Not in the supplemental -- this was Α. 21 supplemental information that was provided. 22 ο. Okav. I understand. So you would agree with 23 me that the rationale that you put here in your report is incorrect? 24 It's -- it's incorrect if you take on face 25 Α.

Page 187 1 value the testimony that Mr. Ralston gave. 2 Q. Okay. And not just what you have written here, but the times that you have said today here 3 4 sitting in that chair about how the company was repeatedly warned by its metallurgist and didn't comply, 5 that was also incorrect, wasn't it? 6 7 Α. Yes. Based on the most recent testimony, it's 8 But again, I want to -- I want to make sure we true. understand each other. I don't understand why the 9 metallurgist in 2017 keeps harping on the company 10 11 changing its practices that the company has said they 12 changed back before 2011. 13 So your recommendation to this commission is Ο. that they charge the company a lot of money because you 14 don't understand why a metallurgist said that in his 15 report in 2017? 16 17 I think you are trivializing what I am trying Α. It is very confusing to see that a metallurgist 18 to say. 19 that is a partner in this with the company, for some 20 reason has no idea what the company's blasting practices 21 are. 22 ο. Is this a possibility, and I -- and I realize 00 because I don't want it to seem like I am 23 trivializing. I realize I am going to ask you a 24 question that neither you or I know the answer to. 25

Page 188 Is it possible that simply a metallurgist, 1 2 like a lot of hired professionals getting a piece of metal has almost a boilerplate report that kind of like 3 4 sticks in a paragraph that says, I see this, it could be By the way if you are not doing it already, you 5 this. should go to this route? 6 7 You know, I -- I hate is-it-possible Α. questions, because I think pretty much anything is 8 possible. But I would say this, that in my experience 9 working with metallurgists, and I have in my career, 10 it's not -- if -- if that's the way it's working, then 11 12 you need to change the way the partnership is working. 13 There's no point in sending out and paying good money to have a metallurgist give you generic 14 15 solutions to problems that you are not going to pay any attention to. 16 17 As you sit here with your years of experience ο. that you have described, you've provided no information 18 in your report that using detonation cord to deslag to 19 protect for the safety of workers is outside of industry 20 21 standard, correct? 22 Α. No, not -- not at all. It is industry 23 standard. All right. Let's jump forward then to the 24 ο. Huntington 1, which is the May 3rd thing I've combined. 25

We did Greg 2, Dave Johnston 3, the two outages. The
 next one is the Huntington unit. In your report it's on
 page 26.

4 A. Yes.

Again, there was a line of questioning about, 5 0. by Mr. Russell about the cost associated, and when you 6 would do this, and when you wouldn't do it. And if I 7 understood you correctly, you said words to the 8 9 effect -- this wasn't a quote -- look, something There was one failure in around 2008, 2011, 10 happened. 11 whenever, and then nothing really changes between No. 3 12 and No. 4. But then all of a sudden at No. 4, the 13 company moves into action.

And I guess my question to you is, is that a mistake? Is that an error? Is there a reason why -- is there some industry standard that you can refer us to that says you should do it at incident No. 3 not incident No. 4?

A. There is no industry standard to that effect. But from a general experience perspective, the company knows full well the extent of the problem with dissimilar metal welds. It's an industry problem. It's not unique to any particular company.

You know you have the problem. You know youhave that type of equipment. It starts to fail. Why

1	Page 190 are we waiting until the fourth outage before we						
2	determine the extent of the problem? I am not saying						
3	replace it. I am saying determine the extent of the						
4	problem.						
5	After outage No. 3, it was too expensive to do						
6	the testing. Outage 4, we do the testing, it's not a						
7	problem. I am saying you should do it sooner. You						
8	could have done it sooner.						
9	Q. The testing, not the replacement?						
10	A. Not the replacement. I am talking about the						
11	testing. The the imprudent part of this is that						
12	because we have delayed in testing, in determining the						
13	extent of the problem, we are now locked into 2022, 14						
14	years after this problem first manifests itself, before						
15	we are actually going to implement a complete solution.						
16	Q. You were here when Mr. Ralston testified about						
17	the 1 percent failure rate.						
18	A. Sure.						
19	Q. And about the approximate \$2 million cost?						
20	A. Understood.						
21	Q. And you have agreed several times about a						
22	utility needing to balance what could happen with the						
23	cost to mitigate it, right?						
24	A. Agreed.						
25	Q. I just want to know, yes or no, because I						

1 didn't see it in your report, but for this commission to
2 consider, is it your opinion that it was imprudent for
3 this utility, after only three incidents, to say, we are
4 going to take something that is less than a 1 percent
5 failure rate, that would cost more than \$2 million to
6 fix and not have it scheduled yet?

7 Is that imprudent to make that decision, to
8 say we're -- we're going to delay a \$2 million expense
9 when we only have a 1 percent failure rate?

On the face of that, no. But that's not what 10 Α. 11 I am talking about. I am talking about assessing the 12 degree of the problem that you have. They -- they --13 the company was well aware of the problem. Once the, 14 the outages started to manifest themselves, they could 15 have done the testing to determine the degree of the problem after the first outage, after the second outage, 16 after the third outage. 17

But they waited until after the fourth outage before they were motivated to do the testing required to determine, to even determine the condition. Prior to 20 2018, they had nothing viable to tell them what the 22 condition of that equipment was. The reheater, I believe.

Q. Well, let's actually look back. There was anoutage in 2018, right?

Page 192 1 Α. There was. 2 Q. The one before that was in 2014, right? Yep. Four -- every four years to my 3 Α. 4 understanding. Which means at that outage, they had only had 5 0. two weld failures, right? 6 7 Α. Yes. And so at the last outage, you know, going 8 0. back before this one, they had only had two times in 9 their history where they had had a problem with this; is 10 11 that right? 12 Α. Yes. But again, it's a problem. It's --13 it's -- you don't have to wait for a problem to 14 materialize before you address the concern, right? That's -- that's what -- that's what we are talking 15 about here. It's a matter of being prudent about the --16 17 the investigation of the problem before it manifests itself. 18 19 We heard about hockey curves a little while 20 This is the type of problem that can overnight aqo. 21 become a major concern, in rapid order, potentially. 22 And this is not a secret. This is not my testimony. 23 This is industry information, well known industry 24 information. 25 So all I am suggesting is, they could have

1 determined the extent of the problem sooner, and if they
2 had, potentially, they could have scheduled a
3 replacement for 2018. Assuming -- a lot of assuming
4 going on here, assuming that the condition that was
5 found from their testing indicated it warranted that
6 kind of replacement.

Apparently 2018 testing basically said you
ought to do this because I understand it's scheduled for
replacement in 2022.

Q. Would you agree with me, before we move on from this point, that even if the company had done that and had scheduled a 20 -- this to be part of the 2018 outage, none of that would have prevented the 2017 outage that occurred?

15 A. That's correct.

16 All right. I apologize for the delay. 0. I am trying to figure out which of these paths you have been 17 drug down two or three times, or the commission has four 18 or five times already. Let's -- let's talk for a minute 19 about Jim Bridger Unit 3, and this, just so we're clear, 20 21 is the -- the underground wire that gets flooded? 2.2 Α. Yeah, the heat. I'm sorry. Okay, the 23 underground wire.

Q. The conduit, that, you know, the pull thateverybody's presuming something gets damaged in when

Page 194 it's getting pulled and then it floods that way? 1 2 Α. Yes. In questioning from Mr. Russell, you indicated 3 0. 4 that, okay, if I understood correctly, you conceded fair enough, I agree there's no visible way that this 5 company, or a similar utility, would have known that 6 conduit got damaged when it was -- or cable got damaged 7 8 when it was being pulled through the conduit. So your 9 suggestion is there ought to be regular tests. I take it just like the -- the cost question 10 11 that we covered at the beginning, you have not put any 12 kind of pen to paper to consider how many cables and how 13 many conduits this company has, and how much time or 14 money it would take to run around and test every piece 15 of electrical cable that goes through a conduit? 16 I haven't done that analysis, no. Α. 17 Are you able to cite for us today any industry Q. standard that says, utilities should go and test their 18 19 electric cables, even though they are operational, every X period of time, just in case something's going on that 20 21 we can't see? 2.2 Α. I can't point to anything specific, no. 23 0. Let's move to the -- the last outage, which is the Dave Johnson Unit 4, March 17th. So we're all on 24 it, this is the MD&A wrong impeller gets sent back. 25

1	Page 195 Okay. And I don't think, again, the facts are in						
2	dispute, wrong impeller gets sent back.						
3	Would you agree with me that the company						
4	was well, I guess, I may have to ask you whether you						
5	are aware of any evidence that would contradict this,						
6	because I don't know what's been provided to you, so I						
7	will ask and then you can fill in the blanks.						
8	Are you aware of any evidence that would						
9	indicate that this company, Rocky Mountain Power, failed						
10	to administer the contract that it had with MD&A						
11	properly?						
12	A. I, I have no information either way.						
13	Q. Are you aware of any information that						
14	indicates that it failed to monitor the activities of						
15	its contractor?						
16	A. Again, no information.						
17	Q. Okay. Are you and you provide no evidence						
18	that they failed to provide oversight there at the job						
19	site where the contractor was performing the work,						
20	right?						
21	A. I I have no evidence to that effect, no.						
22	Q. In fact, when the piece of equipment actually						
23	shows up at the plant is when there is an inspection and						
24	it's discovered, we got the wrong piece of equipment,						
25	right?						
1							

Page 196 Right. Yes, correct. 1 Α. 2 Q. And so the only way that the power company could have prevented this is literally if it had been 3 4 back at MDA's factory watching the guy put which impeller in which box that he mailed out; is that right? 5 Yes, to a degree that's correct. The -- the 6 Α. notion, just -- just to be clear, the notion of the 7 utility going to a factory site to check on the status 8 of its work is not foreign. That -- that is done all 9 the time. 10 11 Now, do I know whether the company did that or 12 not? I don't. I don't know either way. But I am 13 speculating that if they had, it would have seen 14 problems. 15 But if I represent to you that in fact -- but 0. you are correct, the company does and did, you have no 16 reason to dispute that, right? 17 (Witness shakes head.) 18 Α. And so you, again, cannot point to any 19 Q. specific process or procedure that Rocky Mountain Power 20 21 did that did not meet industry standard? 2.2 Α. I can't point to anything specific there, no. 23 0. The next topic that you cover in your report that we have discussed here is the third-party 24 operators, and you -- again, I am going to paraphrase, 25

1	Page 197 but words to the effect that the participation agreement						
2	that the company has entered into with Tri-State is						
3	deficient because the customers are left kind of at risk						
4	because the company is unable to enforce certain things						
5	against Tri-State.						
6	Again, I know you didn't state those exacts						
7	words, but I am just trying to make that point. Is that						
8	a general paraphrase?						
9	A. The general notion that the customer is on the						
10	hook for everything that happens, that goes wrong from a						
11	replacement cost power perspective.						
12	Q. And you didn't disagree when asked by others						
13	about whether shifting all of the risk to an operator						
14	would in fact increase the amount that an operator would						
15	want to charge for its services, right?						
16	A. Yes. Potentially, yes.						
17	Q. And are you aware of the fact that the						
18	specific participation agreement that you are referring						
19	to, the Tri-State agreement, was subject to review by						
20	your client, the DPU, and also later by this commission?						
21	A. I am not aware of that.						
22	Q. Can I take a one minute just to go through and						
23	see what I have skipped? I have been kind of bouncing						
24	around here. Hold on a minute.						
25	That's it for now. That's all the questions.						

Page 198 Thank you, Mr. DiDomenico. 1 2 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Any redirect, Mr. Jetter? 3 4 MR. JETTER: I'll try to keep this very brief. A little bit of redirect. 5 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JETTER: 7 I'd like to just reference back to the missing 8 0. plug. Starting out my question, I understand that this 9 is a -- I am trying to make this not a legal question. 10 11 Was your understanding of your task or your --12 your -- your job as -- as it was outlined by the Division of Public Utilities, to bear the burden of 13 proof that something was imprudent, or -- or was it 14 asked of you to demonstrate that the company had failed 15 to meet a burden of proof that it has? 16 17 It was my understanding that it wasn't my Α. 18 charge to prove -- prove the burden of proof. The 19 burden of proof rests with the company. 20 Q. Thank you. 21 Α. That's my understanding. 22 ο. And additionally, is it your understanding 23 that GE, who installed the plugs, admitted fault? 24 Α. Yes. And do you think that they would have done 25 0.

Page 199 1 that because they are nice people? 2 MR. MOSCON: Calls for speculation of course. No, not likely. 3 Α. 4 ο. (By Mr. Jetter) Thank you. Sort of the same line of questions regarding the impeller. Was it your 5 task, were you tasked by the division to seek out the 6 reason that the impeller showed up, which was an 7 incorrect impeller? 8 9 Α. No. And is it -- is it your understanding from the 10 0. 11 data responses from the company that it was visually the 12 incorrect part? 13 It was visually identified as the incorrect Α. 14 part once it got to the plant. 15 MR. JETTER: Okay. I don't think I have -- I 16 don't have any further questions. 17 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. And maybe it's too 18 late, but I am going to sustain the objection to the 19 question about GE's motive. 20 MR. MOSCON: Thanks. 21 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: So that sustaining is on the 2.2 record. You have nothing further? 23 MR. JETTER: Nothing. 24 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Any -- any recross, 25 Mr. Russell or Mr. Moscon?

Page 200 MR. MOSCON: No, thank you. 1 2 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Why don't we take a 10 minute break before commissioner questions for Mr. DiDomenico. 3 4 So we'll come back at five minutes until four. Yeah. Well, yeah, we probably won't take 5 another break before we have our conversation about 6 legal standards that we discussed earlier this morning, 7 so we'll be in recess for 10 minutes. 8 9 (Recess from 3:45 p.m. to 3:55 p.m.) CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. We're back on the 10 11 record. I think we are finished with all direct and 12 cross and redirect for Mr. DiDomenico, and we're ready 13 for commissioner questions. If I am mistaken about 14 that, somebody let me know. Okay. Commissioner Clark, 15 do you have any questions for him? 16 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I do have a question. 17 EXAMINATION 18 BY COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think it might boil down what I have heard 19 ο. you say about the Craig Unit 2 plug situation. I think 20 21 what you have told us is that because there wasn't root 22 cause analysis, you are left with no explanation, 23 really, for why the plug came out. And is that -- is 24 that --25 I would agree. No detailed explanation as to Α.

Page 201 why it came out. 1 2 Q. Right. Right. We're left with supposition. 3 Α. 4 ο. That it vibrated out? Maybe. It was a defective plug, maybe. 5 Α. 6 Q. So that -- maybe you started to answer my question. My -- my question is, what -- what would a 7 root cause analysis potentially have revealed that would 8 be useful? Or in other words, could -- could we --9 could we learn -- what could we learn from -- from --10 11 from a root cause analysis? 12 I mean that's -- that's -- that's what I am 13 asking. And as I am thinking about this, I am thinking, 14 well, maybe the plug was defective, I guess, is 15 something we might know. 16 I mean, fundamentally, we want to try to Α. understand what drove, what occurred. We want to 17 understand the true mechanism, not just, hey, we -- hey, 18 look, we found a plug on the ground. That must have 19 20 been it. I mean, because that's what we have right now. 21 I mean, that's -- that's the full nature of it. 2.2 Would it be helpful to understand in detail 23 what procedures were or weren't followed? Would it be helpful to understand what the boots on the ground, so 24 to speak, actually did that day? How -- how was it 25

Page 202 handled? What procedures were in place? Was there some 1 2 workmanship issue beyond just the plug? What -- what --3 we don't know, we are left to sheer speculation in that 4 regard. And -- and on top that of that, you talk about 5 6 defective plugs. You know, one question I would love to 7 ask GE is, what did you do differently the second time around to put the plug back that you didn't do the first 8 time around? I don't have an answer for that. 9 10 We know that there was a pressure test and 0. 11 that for 24 hours it --12 Α. It held, yeah. 13 ο. The -- the plug held? And that is standard operating procedure. 14 Α. 15 I'm -- I'm not denying that that's -- that's an 16 indicator. But it's also very questionable when 24 hours later, when the unit has just started -- starts to 17 ramp up to normal operation, that a plug falls out. 18 19 That isn't normal. That's not what's supposed to 20 happen. 21 And, again, keep in mind this is a critical 22 system we're talking about. You know, leaking hydrogen 23 is not something to be taken lightly. This is a system that gets paid attention to. So when they're doing the 24 repair, what exactly went on? I don't know. Just left 25

Page 203 with questions. 1 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 3 4 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner White? COMMISSIONER WHITE: Yeah. 5 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY COMMISSIONER WHITE: 8 0. Good afternoon. Just a couple quick 9 questions. There was -- there was some dialogue between, I believe it was between yourself and Mr. 10 Moscon about kind of a -- I believe that there was 11 12 agreement on your part there was, from a prudent utility 13 operator standpoint, a cost benefit analysis goes into 14 play and that's --15 Yes, I agree. Α. 16 I -- I was just intrigued by, I think you 0. mentioned, maybe I misheard you, that there was -- you 17 said there was -- in that context there was potential 18 for a sharing type analysis. Is that what -- help me 19 understand, or maybe give me a little bit more meat to 20 21 the bone on that. 2.2 Α. Well, I mean, fundamentally we are talking 23 about risk, right? The risk of poor operation. The 24 risk of power costs, just risk in general. So now, whether that risk gets shifted 100 percent from party A 25

Page 204 1 to party B to party C, or is it split amongst the 2 parties as a possibility? 3 Because I think it was in the context of 4 what -- what could possibly we do. Sharing would be -would be one possibility. Sharing that risk. 5 See, I keep coming back to the fact that the company is best 6 positioned to make whatever assessments need to be made. 7 There's no doubt about that. Not their third-party 8 9 contractor, not -- not anybody. The company -- the company itself is in the best position to look out for 10 11 the best interests of its customers. 12 And yes, it costs a great deal. It costs more 13 to ensure against those risks, but that isn't a reason 14 to just de facto assume that you are not going to do --15 that you shouldn't do it. There's no analysis. We just 16 have the broad statement that it costs a lot of money, 17 therefore we don't do it. There's no analysis that supports that, other than, you know, general experience. 18 So, yes, is there a possibility for cost 19 20 sharing, maybe sharing between the customer and the 21 company, maybe sharing between third party, all of them. 22 You know, we heard earlier about all the players involved, right? With -- with the plug situation, we 23 24 talk about, you know, Rocky Mountain or PacifiCorp 25 followed by Tri-State followed by GE, followed by I

Page 205 think it's APM, the millwright. 1 2 None of those parties are claiming replacement power costs concerns. It's all about the customer. 3 So 4 there needs to be a way to more formally integrate that 5 into what's going on. 6 Because I'll tell you, nothing -- nothing affects the way the utility operates -- we talk about a 7 cost benefit analysis. If part of their cost benefit 8 analysis is the risk of incurring replacement power cost 9 penalties, that factors into their decision making. 10 Ιf 11 they have no risk of replacement power cost penalties, 12 it's easier -- it's easier to defer doing something. 13 Makes sense. 14 ο. So you -- you're suggesting like on an 15 outage-by-outage basis we -- we could potentially look at the allocation of risk and potentially --16 17 Α. Potentially. 18 I just want to ask you one more -- I just want 0. to give you an opportunity, the same opportunity I gave 19 Mr. Ralston, which is, you know, we are -- we're trying 20 21 to put ourselves, I guess, in the shoes of a prudent 22 utility operator and look at the facts at hand that were 23 known and are available, I guess, at the time and then compare it against whatever the quote, unquote, prudent 24 standard is. 25

Page 206 Is there anything else we should be looking at 1 2 beyond -- I mean, it sounds like from Mr. Ralston's testimony, that much of his operational expertise and 3 4 experience came into play into making decisions. Is there something else we should be looking at, because --5 EPRI, anything else beyond that? 6 Well, certainly, yeah. I mean, there are --7 Α. there is certainly industry information. There is other 8 9 jurisdictions and how they are handling this. You know, unfortunately our industry has a lot of buzz words to 10 11 cover things that are very gray, you know, best utility 12 practices, you know, being one of them. 13 So there is no standard that you say -- the standard is what you make it as a commission. 14 You 15 make -- you're going to make the standard, whatever it And it might be useful to compare what other --16 is.

what other jurisdictions are doing from a commission 17

18 perspective. There -- there are precedents out there.

19 COMMISSIONER WHITE: Okay. That's all the 20 questions I have. Thank you.

21 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: And I don't have anything 2.2 else. Thank you, Mr. DiDomenico.

23 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

24 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Anything further from any I am not seeing anything. Well, Rocky Mountain 25 party?

Page 207 1 Power, do you want to go first on having an informal 2 conversation about if you want to give us any of your 3 thoughts on the legal standards we talked about in the 4 beginning here?

5 MR. MOSCON: Yes. And let me represent that 6 the parties have throughout the day talked about this 7 and what would be useful, so let me -- I am going to 8 make a proposal that is not mine. This is a joint 9 proposal, but if the commission wants to reject it, of 10 course, that's up to the commission.

Because every -- all the parties kind of want to not just say, well, here is what we think or here is what we would argue, but to actually provide useful information to the commission and to be correct.

15 What we would propose is as follows: The 16 company pay to receive an expedited transcript of 17 today's hearing. Let's assume that takes a week, to 18 then give the parties essentially two to two and a half 19 weeks to draft briefs that are 10 to 15 pages in length. 20 We'll follow whatever the commission says. We want to 21 put an end on it so parties aren't just going on and on.

22 So let's just call that March 1st is when 23 those briefs would be due by the time you get the 24 transcript and then the briefing, and then ask that the 25 commission make a decision, using those briefs, by the

Page 208 end of March. 1 2 We recognize that that is after the next EBA 3 filing, and the company recognizes that it's possible 4 that would require the company to file an adjustment, you know, one or two weeks later. The company is also 5 willing to consider filing late, but that changes 6 7 within -- I mean, that's kind of complicated so it's probably most likely that that the company files on time 8 9 and then makes an adjustment if necessary. 10 Of course, the company is willing to have the commission make a decision before then, but we recognize 11 12 it takes time to make a decision and get an order out. 13 And I think, I would like them to respond, but there's consensus on this with -- with the other parties. 14 15 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Anyone else have 16 anything to add? 17 MR. JETTER: No. Just -- just to confirm our agreement. It's a single round all at the same time. 18 19 Relatively short. 20 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: You want a page limit? Let 21 me just make sure I am understanding that. A page limit 2.2 is desired? 23 MR. JETTER: I -- I think we would prefer one. 24 MR. MOSCON: Save us from ourselves. 25 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: It is universally on 10 or

Page 209 1 15, because that's really not -- not an issue to any of 2 the three of us, but you tell us what you want it to be, 3 and we'll say that.

4 MR. RUSSELL: I would prefer a 10 page limit, but I think the scope that UAE intends to -- of a brief 5 that UAE intends to submit might be a little bit 6 7 different than what the company or the division may intend to submit. I think we are just going to focus on 8 9 what we think the standard is without looking at the transcript or submitting facts, but the others are free 10 11 to do however they want to.

MR. MOSCON: I bill by the hour. I'm contractually obligated to ask for 15 over 10. But otherwise, I think it also makes sense just to have that if we need it.

16 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. And -- I, I think -- I 17 think I can represent from the commission if we are 18 talking about briefs filed by March 1st, hoping for a 19 decision by the end of March, I think we can commit to 20 that. And if it's sooner than that, great, but I think 21 we can make as firm a commitment as we could ever make 22 to end of March under that time frame.

Do we need a written scheduling order for this, or is doing this verbally here for the parties who are present? Does anybody see a need for a written

Page 210 scheduling order? 1 2 MR. JETTER: I don't think so. The only 3 question I have is -- is, I know that the Office of 4 Consumer Services is a party by -- by statute, I believe. I don't -- and I think they have also 5 participated at some level. I -- I would assume that 6 they may have the opportunity, if they wanted. 7 8 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Sure. Just to -- to -to -- to avoid any complicated issue, I think we'll just 9 issue a written scheduling order to that effect. So I 10 11 don't have my calendar in front of me, but are we -- are 12 we talking March 1st? And what -- what day of the week 13 is March 1st? Is that -- is that a weekday? 14 MR. JETTER: That's a Friday. 15 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Is that our due date then for -- for 15 page maximum briefs? 16 17 MS. HOGLE: Yes. CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Any other matters? 18 We are adjourned, and we will issue a scheduling 19 Okav. 20 order in the next day or two. 21 MR. MOSCON: Thank you. 2.2 (The hearing concluded at 4:08 p.m.) 23 24 25

1	Page 211 CERTIFICATE					
2	STATE OF UTAH)					
3	COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)					
4	THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the foregoing proceedings					
5	were taken before me, Teri Hansen Cronenwett, Certified					
6	Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary					
7	Public in and for the State of Utah.					
8	That the proceedings were reported by me in					
9	Stenotype, and thereafter transcribed by computer under					
10	my supervision, and that a full, true, and correct					
11	transcription is set forth in the foregoing pages,					
12	numbered 5 through 210 inclusive.					
13	I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise					
14	associated with any of the parties to said cause of					
15	action, and that I am not interested in the event					
16	thereof.					
17	WITNESS MY HAND and official seal at Salt Lake					
18	City, Utah, this 12th day of February, 2019.					
19	Teir Hansen cronenwalt					
20	Teri Hansen Cronenwett, CRR, RMR					
21	License No. 91-109812-7801					
22	My commission expires: January 19, 2023					
23	Uanuary 19, 2025					
24						
25						

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: \$1.8..1st

\$	139:19	1.6-1 106:25	120 69:25	16 35:18
\$1.8 138:19	\$9.1 13:4	1.8 137:15,16 138:2	123 2:23	160 2:6
*• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$910,000		125 63:24	16th 186:2
\$2 90:13,19 124:20 163:10	13:12	10 2:13,22 22:10 41:5 76:19 88:22	126 63:24	17 45:8
164:16 167:4 190:19 191:5, 8	\$912,007 138:20	164:19 200:2, 8 207:19 208:25 209:4,	129 3:12	175 3:18
	0	13	12:44 73:9	17th 45:12
\$2.8 12:21				52:7 194:24
13:2	0.1 17:11	100 31:9 153:5 180:23 203:25	12:45 73:4,8	18-35-1 4:5
\$2.9 13:1	00 187:23	200.20	135 22:5	
	00 107.23	103 3:7,8		180 69:5
\$25,000 13:15	1		136 3:15 22:7	
	I	106 3:9		19 3:2
\$25,742 138:22			14 3:7 16:1,2	
	1 17:9 42:13, 14 89:16,24	107 3:10	35:18 190:13	1960s 109:21
\$4 13:6	90:19 123:22 132:19	10:00 4:1	141 3:17	198 3:19
\$4.4 12:23	149:14 162:13 163:9	10th 141:24	15 2:25 43:18 45:7 63:25	1994 115:19
\$45,998 139:18	166:13 188:25 190:17 191:4, 9	11 42:17 45:7, 20 68:17	64:10,11,15 111:22 126:21 136:5	1997 176:14, 18
\$840,267		89:19 124:19	146:18	10th 40.10.10
139:17	1,954,826 147:15	113 3:11	207:19 209:1, 13 210:16	19th 40:12,13 132:5
\$885,000			15th 12:19	1st 144:21
13:13	1.1 137:15,16	11:33 73:9	137:12 137:12 141:23 144:9,	207:22 209:18
\$886,265 138:23	1.2 137:16	12 144:20	18	210:12,13

Index: 2..4

	1			1
2	2000 163:19	137:12	185:8	41:20 85:20
		141:23 144:9,		105:16
		18 164:25		109:12 119:2
	2008 42:15		25th 37:25	
2 13:8 34:24	43:9 45:4	191:21,25	131:10	123:20
37:24 45:10,	163:20	193:3,7,12		
12 58:9 60:8	189:10			30-year-old
67:22 82:9		2019 4:1	26 3:3 166:12	121:18
91:6 95:8,9		141:24	189:3	
107:3,20	2010 20:22	171.67		
110:10 119:7	23:16		29 143:13	300 2:6
124:21		2022 43:8	147:11	
	0044 44.44	44:9,24 165:3		24-1 444-04
129:22	2011 41:14	190:13 193:9	152:14,16,18	31st 144:21
133:24 148:7	42:6,9 67:5,7,		153:20	
149:22 155:6	16 88:14			326 52:21
167:11 179:4	123:18	203 3:21	2:08 136:7	020 02.21
181:8 182:4	161:19		2.00 100.7	
189:1 200:20	176:21	200 110,00		330 2:9
	186:10,19	208 110:23	2:24 136:7	
0 0 4 4 0 0 0	187:12			000 07 44 04
2.3 143:20	189:10	22 153:1	· · · · ·	368 27:11,24
165:10	100110	176:11,12	2:25 136:6	28:4
2.8 17:9	2014 146:18		3	37 19:25
144:9,18	192:2	220 22:10		23:21 31:7
144.3,10				72:19 115:17
	0017 40.00	00 470.40		
20 6:16 39:12,	2017 12:20	23 176:12	3 36:5 37:25	116:1
15,16 40:1,3,	13:3 27:7		48:11 62:20	
4 43:18 55:6	43:9 45:12	24 36:11 81:7	71:3,13 93:6	3:45 200:9
63:20 85:19	52:7 67:23	84:13,21	105:1 108:21	
86:6 88:22	108:21	177:21,24,25	119:24	
109:2 111:15	138:20		122:16	3:55 200:9
	144:21,23	183:2,6,9	125:17	
121:10	145:20,25	202:11,16	131:10 132:4	3rd 188:25
123:20	146:19			310 100:25
148:21	148:18 149:2	244 45:20	148:19 149:3	
193:12	186:2,9	-	150:4 160:4	4
	187:10,16		161:4 168:19	·
20/20 151:4	193:13	248 68:17	184:25 189:1,	
	130.10	70:17	11,17 190:5	
			193:20	4 3:7 52:6
200 3:20	2018 12:19	35 60.00		77:2,5 90:1
81:16	17:8,9,14	25 62:20	20 20.04	111:10
	-,-, -	147:7 160:5	30 39:21	150:16

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: 40..accurate

172:17	6	801 363-6363	ability 55:5	139:3 150:20
189:12,18	.	2:14		100.0 100.20
190:6 194:24			able 6:19	
	6 22:5	801 363-6666	12:13 15:3,5	access 96:24 109:24 116:6,
40 50:13	0 22.5	2:14	31:10 51:12	109.24 110.0, 7
71:20 121:14		2.14	64:8 65:11	,
150:8 169:7	6.1 107:7		69:15 84:21	
170:1 172:1		840,267	86:11 105:23	accessible
	6.2 107:8	147:17	106:3 108:6	97:8
400 0:40 04:0			109:20	
400 2:13 81:9	600 44:16	84101 2:13	119:22	accomplished
	81:18 90:2,12		121:15	128:11
46 145:21	163:16	84114 2:6,10	157:18	130:21
	164:23	04114 2.0,10	160:19 182:9	
47 39:3			194:17	accordance
	COF 00.4	89 142:15		144:24
40 00:44	605 90:1	143:5,6	absolutely	
48 36:11			78:18 164:13	account 4:6
84:10,21 182:25	64 86:22	9		12:18 17:8,
102.25			absolve	14,15 76:3
	7		151:19	128:18
4:08 210:22		9 164:19	101.10	138:18
				144:19
5	700 81:18	93 21:20,24	academically	
	89:6	22:2 143:3	176:19 177:9	accountability
	00.0	22.2 140.0		157:9
5 4:1 21:20	70 447 0		accelerating	137.3
126:21	72 147:9	94 3:6	164:13	
				accountable
50 111:22	73 3:5 71:13	95 21:25 22:1,	accept 22:12	148:12
155:7		2 122:5	27:10,17 31:9	
	74-ish 71:13			accounting
		97 3:7	acceptable	114:5 128:20
54-3-21 96:18			84:14 126:20	145:22
	8		148:22	
54-44 7:8		Α		accuracy
				145:5
56 3:4 36:6	8 142:14		accepted 13:22 22:11	
		a.m. 4:1 73:9	72:8 128:20	accurate 27:3
	800 81:9		12.0 120.20	
L	Titication			

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: across..after

29:15 31:18 34:25 35:4	activities 19:22 20:24,	additional 147:20	adjourned 210:19	146:9,13
36:6 38:13 40:6 45:11 48:17 55:20 160:13	25 195:14 actor 43:25	additionally 148:15	adjustment 13:7 138:22,	adopted 119:12
across 54:4	actual 12:24 83:1,3 144:23	198:22 address 8:17	23 139:11,17, 19,20 144:8, 17 147:16	advantages 87:7
act 29:17,20, 21	145:8,14 146:15,18	14:3 30:16 43:24 63:17 64:9 70:21 95:5 125:15	148:1,4,24 151:10 208:4, 9	advisor 176:24
acted 29:6 59:14,17 148:3 149:12, 25 150:2	actually 46:9 50:14 54:9 80:19 84:2 91:12 110:2 114:7,8 115:3	138:12 146:8 147:24 154:12 157:8 159:7 164:2,7	adjustments 14:1 138:13 140:2 145:24	Advisors 138:14 141:15
154:2	118:4 134:11 156:4 165:7	192:14	administer 195:10	advisory 176:25
action 7:12 28:7 70:25 151:11	181:6,19 182:22 186:1 190:15 191:24	addressed 26:23 95:2 113:15 119:6	admission 12:3 16:18	affect 76:20
160:10,11 161:8 162:19 163:22,23 164:1 167:16	195:22 201:25 207:13	addresses 165:7	23:1 173:16 admit 66:20	affects 205:7 affiliates
169:1 173:2 189:13	add 5:1 37:19 208:16	addressing 7:25	admitted 148:11 150:20	33:15 afraid 131:8
actions 24:1, 13 29:14 34:1 114:23 115:5	added 22:14 44:11 105:25	adds 166:18	198:23	after 7:25 45:5 50:6
150:25 151:15 152:17	adding 37:5	adequacy 135:10	admittedly 161:13	64:17,23 65:9,17 68:15 72:11,16
active 25:7 34:8 158:10,	addition 24:15 33:2 44:4 128:23	adequate 37:13 39:13	admitting 173:9	75:14 91:13 121:18 139:19 142:16 142:8
12	143:21	adheres 121:24	adopt 105:18 139:7 142:6	142:16 143:8 145:22 149:6
HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: afternoon..along

r				3
163:21	against	agreed 13:23	24:12,25	194:24 196:9
164:18,19,24	104:4,23	139:4 147:19	25:11 27:19	197:13,25
170:9 175:2	168:7 174:7	178:14	29:2 31:6,9	200:11
190:5,14	197:5 204:13	184:22	32:23 34:21	204:21,22
191:3,16,17,	205:24	190:21,24	35:20 37:23	205:3 206:19
	203.24	190.21,24	40:7 42:19	207:11
18 208:2				-
	age 48:19,21,	agreed-upon	43:20 44:7,16	208:18
afternoon	23 50:16 52:4	75:13	45:15 46:4	
4:23 94:23	127:20 150:5		50:21 57:12,	allocated
113:13	169:4		20 63:3 68:21	13:4 139:16
136:17	103.4	agreeing	71:25 72:15	147:16
		160:15	76:8 77:8,19,	147.10
138:11	age-related		25 78:1,3,15	
140:23	127:20		81:3 83:18	allocation
175:24,25	-	agreement	84:18 85:6	13:15,23
203:8		38:11 58:20,	86:12,17 89:9	17:13 118:8
	aggressive	24 197:1,18,	90:12 92:11,	205:16
again 16:17	42:7 132:9	19 203:12	,	200.10
27:15 28:18		208:18	12,25 93:2,7	
	ama 20.00		94:16 105:24	allow 29:22
30:3 31:16	ago 39:22		106:13	31:1 74:3,9
32:5 35:7	41:5,20 63:20	agreements	107:11 110:8,	138:17 158:6
52:3,14 58:23	80:17,19	25:8,10,18	9 111:8 113:9	175:1
79:2 80:9,22	85:20 88:10,	34:3,11 77:24	114:5 116:24	170.1
82:9 84:15	15,22 105:16		123:4 124:8	
86:14,17 89:4	109:3,13	agraac	126:22	allowed 72:15
104:19	121:10,14	agrees	127:24 129:8,	78:11,16
105:15 107:4	192:20	120:20	14 133:22	
109:17			138:2 143:19	
116:23		ahead 15:8	151:9 154:5	allowing
121:16	agree 8:21	59:8 138:10	156:21 157:8	139:12
123:16 124:2,	9:8 31:12	142:12 144:5	163:24	
	37:12 39:6	163:5 179:21		allows 42:1
4 126:12	58:6 88:24	103.5 179.21	164:12 166:4	allows 42.1
143:4 153:4	139:23		167:10 171:3,	
162:8,10,16	157:10	air 172:15	11 173:1	almost 35:22
172:1,5	173:12 175:6		175:8,17	110:9 133:3
177:16	178:17 181:8		176:2,7	159:11 188:3
180:18 184:3	183:25	align 171:24	177:13	
187:8 189:5	186:22		183:18	
192:12 195:1,		all 6:16 7:7	188:22,24	along 83:6
16 196:19,25	193:10 194:5		189:12	103:25
197:6 202:21	195:3 200:25	15:6 16:4	192:25	137:14 138:2
	203:15	18:20 19:18	193:16	141:23
		21:4 22:20	100.10	-

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: already..applied

r				
143:18 154:14 169:4 170:8 174:14	159:11 amenable	200:22 201:8, 11 203:13,19 204:15,17 205:8,9	anticipate 9:4	76:7 180:14
already 18:21 41:9 88:7 107:17 110:11 111:2	146:12 American 25:23	analytical 16:4	82:24 anticipating 33:2	43:14 53:13, 16 54:4,18 81:23 85:23 92:17
123:23 150:1 177:19 181:16 186:8, 18 188:5 193:19	among 152:23 amongst	analyzed 145:21 analyzing	anybody 61:18 77:18 84:5 116:19 185:16 204:9	APM 205:1 apologize 22:3 77:12
also 5:14 6:3,	204:1	149:6	209:25	129:11 193:16
7 13:16,23 29:18 42:2 52:3 57:25 77:21 81:21	amortization 13:5	ancillary 43:20	anyone 7:19 32:12 93:11 96:22,24 97:5 135:14	apparently 71:4 132:16 134:24 193:7
109:1 111:20 118:2 129:1, 15 133:14 135:9 138:15	amount 31:15 53:25 56:1 61:6 76:2 114:1 138:19,	annual 12:18 169:11 anomaly	143:23 208:15	appear 15:2
139:4 159:3 139:4 159:3 169:8 178:14 184:6 187:6	21 139:15,16, 18 197:14	148:21 another 49:21	anything 5:1, 22 6:19 45:5 50:9 57:14 60:4 61:10,22	appearances 4:8
197:20 202:16 208:5 209:14 210:5	amounts 148:5	71:24 79:18 105:18 118:9 151:21 200:6	83:23 88:16 116:6 121:6 136:1,9 167:2	appeared 142:17
alternative 87:14	amps 72:5 analogy	answering 178:3	169:10 173:14 174:18 180:14	appears 6:1 142:16
although 20:14 149:4 156:13 165:8	24:22 54:23 analysis 76:7	answers 11:20 16:10 22:21,22 32:4	180:14 185:15 188:8 194:22 196:22 206:1, 6,21,24,25	application 4:5 12:19 13:12 137:7 144:7,16
always 31:4 52:3 91:24 117:24 120:9	91:18 126:5 155:19,20 174:2 181:18 194:16	77:6 79:12 93:10 137:23 142:10	208:16 anywhere	applied 76:4 151:5

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: applies..attributed

applies 28:3 apply 9:7	62:20 108:21 117:19 131:10	194:14 197:24 202:8, 9	21 154:10 177:2	assumption 70:13 72:13 124:11
29:9 30:5 132:15 160:2	148:18 160:4 184:25	arrive 24:16	assets 19:19 129:1	182:20
appreciate 18:7 56:8	APS 116:13	art 114:21	assignments 145:2	assumptions 83:17 88:2 126:4
66:16 70:6 72:21 127:25 129:14	area 87:3,9, 12 132:21 177:13	articulate 182:10	Assistant 2:5	attached 143:19,21 165:10
approach 24:8 95:22 159:19,22	areas 44:1	ascertain 105:14 132:16	associated 13:5,14 128:22	177:22 attachment
appropriate	argue 151:9 207:13	ash 68:3	144:19 149:3 154:15,16 165:24 168:2	106:25
7:24 39:7 47:14 53:6 61:15 96:10 130:4 139:1	argues 149:16,25 150:7 151:14	assemble 155:13	173:21 189:6 Associates 26:25 28:3	attempt 117:2 attend 6:18
145:16 159:20 160:14	arguing 151:10	assembled 145:21	Association 4:20 13:19	attention 93:6 149:19 153:14
appropriately 53:2	arguments 148:6	assert 150:1 151:3	assume 71:1 84:16,20	171:22 185:7, 20 188:16 202:24
approve 14:5	arose 68:16	asserted 148:7	127:2 151:3 204:14 207:17 210:6	Attorney 2:5 4:14
approximate 190:19	around 9:19 19:24 23:21 35:20 49:24 63:24 71:9,	assessing 191:11	assumed 70:11	attorneys 6:24 7:5,16
approximatel y 11:10 13:12, 13 138:19	13,14 81:17 92:14 95:3 117:18 134:8	assessments 204:7	assuming 9:17 50:11	8:2 58:25 attributed
April 37:25	162:22 167:3 189:10	asset 151:19,	171:4 193:3,4	149:7
	•	-	-	-

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: audience..before

audience	24:4 142:17	81:24 82:20	190:22	basis 62:4
96:22,23	151:7 178:1	84:2,19 86:3	190.22	74:23 161:24
90.22,23		,		
	185:23	92:25 104:17	balances	169:11
audit 5:7 76:1		108:14 112:9	17:16	170:13,24
139:9,10,22	award 91:23	113:4 118:21		205:15
141:17		121:3,14		
		123:7 128:9,	balancing 4:6	battle 113:20
	aware 5:11,25	18 129:19	12:18 17:8,	Dattle 115.20
August 46:3	64:21,25	136:2,6,9	14,15 24:20	
	66:22 77:23	155:8 160:13,	144:19	bear 31:21
	120:6,8	20 161:18		73:24 159:3
automatically	130:11,12	166:25		170:19
26:3	132:25 133:5	182:14 184:3	ball 48:14	171:12,20
	146:8 161:25	186:18		198:13
availability	168:15	187:12	ballpark	100.10
24:11 25:20	191:13 195:5,	191:24 192:9	27:18	
24.1125.20	· · ·		27.10	bears 171:8
	8,13 197:17,	194:25 195:2		
available	21	196:4 198:8	base 12:25	h = = (07:40
14:10 17:20		200:4,10	114:8 145:10	beat 87:19
26:13 30:23	away 10:9	202:8 204:6	146:17	
41:13 67:8,14	41:22 71:24			became
85:25 86:1		backdrop		66:22 67:14
105:6 106:6		32:23,25	based 12:1	123:5
140:6 152:6	awkward	52.25,25	25:11,12	120.0
153:3,4 158:5	87:23		52:14,16	
165:3 186:13		backed 82:11	66:21 85:16	become
			88:17 91:18	64:20 132:25
205:23	B	h a a la anna a sua al	115:16	192:21
		background	122:20	
average		11:1 20:17	123:12 124:3	
25:24 117:7	back 7:18 8:2,	176:9 181:23	130:4 151:4,	becoming
	7,22 9:8 20:7		12 152:16	146:8
	36:3 39:16,	backwards	187:7	
averages	22,23 40:3	69:21	107.1	bed 60:20,25
26:2	41:12,20	03.21		
	43:15 50:11		basically 38:5	
avoid 6:9	54:17,19	bad 116:17	44:25 65:14	before 6:16,
	58:19,24		66:3 72:2	21 10:2 11:13
24:19 29:7	·	h a law s s	92:25 93:3	20:9,15 32:4
113:17 132:6	60:10,13,17	balance	130:1 143:10	33:10 41:12
210:9	64:10,14	138:18	153:10 193:7	46:2,18 50:14
	73:10,11	159:14		51:22 53:8,15
avoidable	74:21 75:3,17	178:15		67:6,9 71:17
			basing 129:1	,
				1

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: beforehand..blasting

73:19 91:24	70:4 74:1	believes 5:22	35:17 38:17	bill 90:20
110:16 113:7	93:12 109:20	26:1 121:2	43:22 53:12	209:12
123:18	134:5 150:18	148:19	58:4 64:5	200112
130:15	158:3 160:19		92:19 113:15	
134:15 149:1	170:12		114:4 115:13	bit 5:14 20:7,
150:14	181:23	below 38:19	117:9 122:22	16 26:23
160:20 163:5	185:21		132:24	50:24 56:16
164:19 176:7	192:16 194:8	benchmark	151:23	58:7,10
184:2,5 185:2	206:12	104:7	176:20	66:15,17
186:12				68:12 86:17
187:12 190:1,				95:1,3 96:7
14 191:19	belabor	benchmarkin	between	97:9 125:16
192:2,9,14,17	177:15	g 115:12	12:24 41:22	144:12 160:1,
193:10 200:3,			43:9 58:3	6 162:6
6 208:11	belief 77:8	benefit 24:21	87:25 91:17	167:13
		56:5 113:22	113:5 116:11	168:20
		126:5 154:14,	119:22	173:19 174:5,
beforehand	believe 22:16	24 203:13	146:17	19 176:7,8
44:17 65:1	27:23 28:1,8	205:8	151:22	179:18
	29:1,5,13		159:14	184:24 185:2
begin 51:16	36:24 41:2	h an afita	161:21	198:5 203:20
91:13 176:8	45:4,6 48:19	benefits	163:25 164:5	209:6
178:1	51:3 52:7	25:11 147:1,4	189:11	
	57:2,9,22	149:18	203:10	bits 123:23
	58:15,23 60:3		204:20,21	181:21
beginning	76:6,25 88:6	Berkshire		
6:25 45:20	90:1 96:1	23:18	beyond	D I. 1 70.40
76:18 194:11	121:6 134:7		119:12,20	Black 72:13
207:4	135:16	heat 0:0.10	183:16 202:2	127:6
	143:20 147:3	best 8:2,12	206:2,6	
begins 185:8	149:12,19	26:9 31:3	,	blame 179:16
	150:11,24	34:17 51:15		
	161:23 162:4	52:19 60:18	bible 133:11	blancha
behalf 4:19	163:20	109:25		blanche
30:4	171:25	116:15	bidding 52:13	172:8
	191:23	117:14 125:4	91:22	
behind 61:21	203:10,11	126:2 157:17		blanket
84:6,8	210:5	204:6,10,11 206:11		159:22
		200.11	big 49:24	
baing 6:10	believed		86:21 87:25	hlanka 105.7
being 6:10	36:14	bet 127:21		blanks 195:7
22:7 34:2			bigger 119:1	
35:15 51:2		better 25:23		blasting 69:6

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: boil..cable

86:15 87:12 88:19,25 89:11 123:3,	bone 203:21 book 115:8	18:22 52:4 73:3 136:1,3 200:3,6	21 207:19,23, 25 209:18 210:16	127:5,8 163:18
19 149:5,10 161:16 162:1 187:20	booked 129:6	breaking 73:4	bring 92:4 160:17	bullet 70:16
boil 200:19	books 133:23	breaks 38:9 41:25 48:23	bringing	bunch 43:3 81:6 133:9
boiler 38:4 39:10 46:8	boots 201:24	72:7 124:12 brevity	166:25 brings 162:2	burden 175:7 198:13,16,18, 19
63:5,9 66:5,6, 11,25 67:16 68:7 69:4,5	both 8:21 22:20 73:12,	184:23	brittle 42:3	buried 93:20,
160:7 161:5	22 78:2 97:4 126:16 142:1, 6,8 143:19	Bridger 45:10,11	broad 204:16	22
boilerplate 188:3	bother 83:6 94:13	48:11 67:21, 22 68:22 71:3,13 93:6 110:10	broadly 77:4	business 19:14 55:6 111:14,21,22 154:9
boilers 86:18 bolt 61:9	bottom 68:3 185:8	124:21 125:17 133:24	Broadway 2:13	button 11:5
83:17,20 155:16 156:21 157:1	bouncing 197:23	149:22 150:4 167:11 168:19 193:20	budgeting 80:8	buy 122:9
179:5,7,24,25 180:7,8,12 182:13,17	box 196:5	brief 10:24	bugs 168:1	buzz 206:10
bolt's 61:22	Boxit 61:1	138:7 144:2 198:4 209:5	Buicks 86:22	C
bolts 61:18	brand-new	briefing 207:24	build 44:14	cabinets 121:17
62:1 155:7 156:18 180:15	89:13 breaches	briefly 86:17	building 51:9, 13 92:5 126:6	cable 48:13,
181:25	146:5	144:11 155:3	built 50:12 72:14 120:17,	17,23 49:11, 22 50:6,8 52:4 60:24
bomb 164:10	break 6:17,21	briefs 9:5,14,	22 121:12	71:25 72:11,

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: cable's..certain

15 93:6,12 94:5,13 125:17,24	72:3 80:10 86:3,22 105:23 111:6,	171:21 172:6 194:21,22 196:22	careful 6:11	53:2,11
127:12,15,19	23 112:9	130.22	carried 46:24	causation
				127:13
150:6,11	115:7 133:16	cannot		
169:3,4,7,10	136:15	151:14,19	carte 172:7	
170:12,15,23	140:22 155:1	184:18		cause 11:15
171:11,25	181:9 185:11	196:19	case 6:4	16:6 42:2
194:7,15	207:22	100.10	31:25 32:2	43:23 48:16
			35:10 37:16	52:25 63:9
cable's 93:24	called 10:17	capable 54:7	38:24 41:1	66:24 67:15
				83:24 137:10
150:6	14:9 15:14		42:4 46:12	141:21
	19:9 39:18	capacity	48:1 52:17	151:11
cables 49:3,	41:2 83:8	22:12,13	53:14 54:9	155:19,20
23 71:11,17,	92:10 133:7	53:1,10	78:10 93:24	156:22 158:2
20 126:8,20,	136:22 141:3		141:17	162:24,25
24 150:5,8		capital 68:20	145:10	181:18
180:15	calling	75:23 91:6	156:18	185:23,24
194:12,19	119:25	113:25 114:1	157:24 158:2	200:22 201:8,
194.12,19	119.25	124:14	169:16 171:6	200.22 201.8, 11
			172:13	
calculated	calls 10:5,10	128:12,23,24	179:22	
17:10 89:22	199:2	141:11,12	180:22	caused 44:23
		177:7	194:20	48:12,21
	- / / 4			108:18 149:3,
calculation	came 54:19,	capitalized		22 151:8
176:3	25 75:21 82:9	114:2	cases 8:25	156:4
	83:17,20	111.2	9:6 32:24	100.4
calendar	84:7,18 113:4		151:10	
138:19	133:18 157:1	car 24:23		causes 58:17
144:23	179:5,24	54:25 55:11,	casually	169:5
	200:23 201:1	13 109:21		
145:12,25	206:4		156:8	
210:11				cautious 5:19
		carbon 38:6	catch 71:1	6:2
California	can't 28:14,		125:11	
145:13	18 45:6 49:14	care 25:21		certain 53:15
146:22	65:16 72:1	177:1,21		57:17 61:4
	80:6 88:21	,	categories	118:7 141:16
	93:22 95:7		74:19	156:13,15
call 15:1 19:2	121:6 122:13	career 51:21		174:12 197:4
34:4 43:3,5	125:3 135:6	176:23	caught 52:22	114.12 131.4
50:7 61:6	163:21	188:10	Gaugin JZ.ZZ	
		-	•	-

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: certainly..clean

				-
certainly 8:16	107:13,19	changes	89:9 126:7	cite 194:17
116:1 141:11	113:9 114:13	16:14 21:12,		
151:22 154:3,	129:10	15 22:9 25:6		
4 159:5	135:24 136:5,	67:9 137:19	checks	city 2:6,10,13
176:22 206:7,	8,12,17,20	139:1,5	173:10	92:6
8	138:4 140:8,	142:22		
	11,14,16,19,	146:13,17,19	chemistry	claim 81:22
CET 11110	23 141:1	174:3 189:11	65:25	
CET 111:6	143:23 152:8	208:6		claimed 96:8
	175:19 198:2		choice 47:3	Claimed 90.0
cetera 20:21	199:17,21,24	ohonging	51:6	
32:16 115:23	200:2,10	changing 24:22 154:24	51.0	claiming
	203:4 206:21,	161:15 161:15		205:2
chain 68:3	24 208:15,20,	187:11	Cholla 116:14	
157:16	25 209:16	107.11		claims 148:2
168:17	210:8,15,18		choose 52:11	
100.17		characterizati		
	challenge	on 179:21		clarification
chair 14:17	146:25		chooses	75:3 143:13
17:5 119:17	140.20	characterize	150:23	
136:14 187:4		35:11		clarify 33:9
	challenging	00.11	chose 122:24	82:10 85:8
Chairman 2:2	130:18 131:1			108:17
3:10 4:3,12,	132:16	charge 21:1,2		113:16 180:1
18,21 6:5 8:8,		145:16	chrome 66:1	
19 9:11 10:6,	chance 24:24	187:14		
12,15 12:6,9	87:22 90:22	197:15	chunks 86:21	clarifying
14:13,16,18,		198:18		14:12 17:21
21 15:7,9,12			circle 7:18	113:20
16:17,20	chances 25:1	cheapest	8:22 9:8	135:12
17:19,22,25		53:22	0.22 9.0	
18:2,4,6,9,18,	change			Clark 2:3
24 19:5 21:23	13:16,23	check 27:10,	circuit 52:1	3:12,20 6:17
22:1,25 23:3	21:19 44:23	12,17,19 37:7		14:18,19 17:6
26:15 32:4,18	67:11,17	50:9 196:8	circuits 46:5	18:2,3,17
33:5 56:10,12	171:24 174:2	50.9 190.0		73:11,14
57:4 60:22	176:18,21			129:10,11,18
72:22 73:2,7,	188:12	checked	circumstance	140:16,17
10,15 94:18		37:21 61:19	8:2	200:14,16,18
95:24 96:4,9,	changed	69:14 89:8		203:2
12 103:16	68:15 187:12		circumstance	
106:14,18	00.10 107.12	checking	s 171:8	clean 83:14
			-	

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: clear..company

87:9	code 96:18	comes 35:25	commissione	companies
01.0	115:1	83:1,2	r 2:23 3:11,	25:14 123:3
	110.1	00.1,2	12,20,21 6:17	20.14 120.0
clear 79:11				
86:5 107:4	cold 68:6	coming 204:6	14:18,19	company
114:7 126:8		-	17:5,6 18:2,3,	10:25 11:9
193:20 196:7			4,5,17 73:11,	12:18,21
	colleagues	comment	14 113:10,12	13:22,25 14:2
	107:15	125:3 128:7	114:16 127:2,	16:1 17:10
clearly	129:15		24 128:5	19:22 24:1,5,
151:12		commission	129:3,8,10,	12,18 25:13,
	collect 74:9	4:4 7:23 8:12,	11,18 132:12	19,21 26:1,5,
client 197:20	76:4	'	140:14,15,16,	7 27:2 29:5
Chefit 197.20	70.4	16 9:2,10	17 200:3,13,	
		11:13 12:1,2,	14,16,18	30:9 32:8,15
clients 177:5	collected	15 14:5,8,11,	203:2,4,5,7	36:14 40:16
	75:19	25 15:2,21	206:19	44:23 45:21
		17:3,21 18:22	200110	47:4 52:11
close 6:6		19:1,15 20:9		54:21 56:18
18:12,13 86:2	color 20:17	21:7,17 23:12	commissione	57:13,21
90:13 96:19		26:14 29:22	rs 2:2 12:17	58:3,4 59:13,
	combination	59:14,16	20:12,16	16,23 64:14,
closed 97:13	123:12	79:7,21 80:25	138:11	20 66:18,22
106:19	127:22	85:15 90:21		67:13,16 68:9
100.19	121.22	91:9 93:1		71:8 73:22
		94:11 114:12	commissions	74:3,15,21
closer 60:23	combine	129:15	177:6	75:14 76:3
	184:25	137:11		77:15 78:6,7,
		138:17 140:7	commit	11,12,17,21
closing 96:13		141:22 152:7	209:19	79:14,17,22,
	combined	156:25 157:8		25 80:21,22
closure 13:5	185:20	159:7,15		81:1 83:1,5
	188:25	187:13 191:1	commitment	85:9,12 86:18
		193:18	209:21	94:7,12
co-owner	come 7:4	193.10		103:10,24
7:13 59:8	9:12 49:2	206:14,17	committees	,
77:22 151:18	59:14 68:4	,	34:4,5 35:8	104:3,22
	83:6 84:6	207:9,10,14,	54.4,5 55.0	105:12
co-owners	86:25 89:12	20,25 208:11		114:23 115:5,
33:15 59:4	90:24 108:19	209:17	common	20 119:11
			125:8	120:10,20
78:8,12	117:11	commission's		121:2,23
	133:19 136:2,	8:1		122:20,24
coal 19:19	6 155:7 157:1	-	communicati	127:11
	200:4		on 34:6	130:14 137:8

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: company's..conducting

138:18,21 139:3,4,6,21	4,5,8,10 209:7	competent 30:23 54:7	12:22 118:7	17:17 135:21 140:4
144:8,17 146:7,9,11 147:19,23	company's 13:12,17 17:7	55:3 57:23 111:25	compound 35:13 95:10, 13,17	conclusion 24:17 32:8,21
148:3,12,15, 19 149:6,12, 16,24,25 150:2,7,22,24	25:22 31:19 76:8 79:6 103:23	competitive 52:13,16 91:22	computations	36:19 59:7 76:1 162:18 180:13
151:14,18,22, 23,25 152:1, 17 153:6 154:2 156:10	115:15 134:19 147:1, 6 148:11 149:4,9,10,19	complement 73:3	computer 39:25	conclusions 7:4 32:14
157:6,10,17, 24 160:16 161:14,17,22, 24 164:1,6	161:16 162:1, 7 172:22 174:20 175:9 180:3 185:20	complete 26:4 47:6 69:7 150:21	computerized 64:6	concrete 49:20,21
166:21 167:16 169:23 170:4,	187:20 company-	190:15 completed	conceded 194:4	concur 58:25 80:14
16,18,19,23 171:3,5,8,9, 18 173:3,12,	wide 142:18 147:15 148:24	45:25 completely	concept 117:21	condition 39:9 92:18 146:17
25 174:5,11, 12,16,21,25 175:1,13	compare 205:24	26:4 105:16 119:2	concern 143:15	170:12,24 191:20,22 193:4
176:13 178:20 179:8, 17 182:8	206:16	completeness 145:5	156:23 163:12 174:15	conditions
185:5 186:5, 18 187:4,10, 11,14,19	compared 56:3 79:13 115:11	complicated 208:7 210:9	192:14,21 concerned	40:8 49:7 146:18 154:25
189:13,20,23 191:13 193:11 194:6, 13 195:3,9	comparing 115:5	comply 187:5	164:6	conduct 85:17 108:1
196:2,11,16 197:2,4 198:15,19	comparison 114:23	component 115:2 118:15	205:3	170:4 conducted
199:11 204:6, 9,10,21 207:16 208:3,	compelling	173:7,17 components	concluded 210:22	22:8 62:13
20110 20010,	174:15		concludes	conducting

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: conduction..contracts

	•			
170:20	187:18	178:12,21	consulting 26:25	83:11 117:23
conduction	conjunction	consisted		contractor
50:15	138:16	12:22	Consumer	7:14 30:13
00.10	100.10	12.22	13:18 210:4	31:7,8 40:17
			13.10 210.4	54:7,20
conduit 49:20	connected	consistent		,
50:20,21	50:8	17:15 22:21	contacts	57:12,17
71:5,20 93:20		119:5 120:6,	33:23	74:20 75:15
168:22		16 177:17 [′]		76:17,21
193:24 194:7,	connecting		-	77:8,22 83:3
8,15	127:1		contain 57:15	92:4 103:25
0,10		consisting		111:3,11
	consensus	13:13	contested	112:2 118:24
conduits	208:14		17:16 23:25	127:5 128:21
194:13	200.14	consists	17.10 23.25	150:20 151:1
				172:22 175:2,
	consequence	138:21	context 59:13	16 195:15,19
confidential	119:3		104:1 132:19	204:9
3:7 5:6,7,16,		constant 34:6	153:9 167:22	20110
24 6:1,3 96:1,			203:18 204:3	
3 97:3,12	consequence		200110 20 110	contractors
103:4 106:19,	s 118:25	constitute		24:12 25:17
24 113:17	175:12	160:10 161:8	continue 26:8	29:9 30:2,4,
165:13,15			64:3 162:9	18,21,22
166:1,3	consequential	construction		31:13,20
177:22	56:25 174:23,	39:7 49:15	continuously	55:25 56:19
185:16	24	71:12 126:3	93:3	57:25 73:24
	24	11.12 120.3	93.3	77:16,20
				80:20,21
confidentialit	consider	consultant	contract 13:7	81:2,21 82:4
y 96:8	41:7,10	4:17 137:4	30:25 31:2,	104:9,10,22
	153:21 154:1	138:14	10,17 52:11	150:23
confirm	178:22 191:2	141:14,20	57:10 75:8,13	174:11
208:17	194:12 208:6	161:10	77:14 78:17,	.,
		176:11,24	20 91:21	
	_		103:10	contracts
confirmation	consideration		104:13 118:1	32:3 33:11,
6:18	178:9	consultants	141:20	15,23 55:24
		139:11	151:17	56:2,4,21,22,
conforming	considered		195:10	24 57:1,3,10
	121:21	consultation	190.10	74:2,16 76:8,
105:6 106:5,6	121.21	145:3		12 78:22
		145.5	contracting	91:22 117:18
confusing	considering			
	Titication			

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: contractual..country

	•	•	•	-
146:20 contractual	6:24 7:2,17, 18 9:22 200:6 207:2	39:8 40:6,15 42:3,13,16 43:1,10,11	7:12 24:13 70:24 146:7	costly 175:6 costs 11:2,7
34:9 104:2 175:10	convey 9:9	45:8,14 48:9, 14,15 50:22 51:18 52:9,10 53:7 54:10	correctly 35:11 53:3 95:18 148:9 176:10 189:8	12:24 13:4,6, 14 24:9,20 25:12 29:3,
contractually 209:13	coolant 45:12	55:7 57:24 58:12 63:5,6,	194:4	14,17,19,23 33:10,14 53:21 56:21
contradict	cooled 67:24	19 65:5 66:13,25 67:1,19,25	cost 11:8,11 12:25 15:24	59:15 74:4 77:9 103:23
195:5	cooling 156:6	68:20 70:20 71:6,7 75:6	24:13 26:6 29:6 31:10	117:4,7 118:5 124:11 128:24 129:6
contradicted 17:20	coordinated 20:24,25	82:13 83:9 95:9,11,12 109:4 110:15	34:10 49:9 55:16,18 57:13 74:4	128.24 129.6 139:13,14 144:19,23 145:7,25
contribute 88:19	coordinating 34:4	132:22 141:9 142:3 152:18, 19 160:20 162:14 178:2,	76:5 80:12 82:4,19 90:10 91:3 113:23 118:4 123:13	147:13,17,18, 21 148:24,25 152:2 153:18,
contributed 148:20	cord 41:8,13, 16,18,25 42:7 66:19,24	13,16,23,24 179:24 180:17 182:3	126:5 139:16 145:15 146:14 148:1	21 166:16,20, 24 170:19 171:11,12 174:8 175:3,7
contributing 149:11 control 34:15	67:8,15,18 86:14 88:5 132:11 188:19	183:3,13 186:3,15 188:21 193:15 196:1, 6,16 207:14	149:17 153:16 154:3, 6,7,14,18,19, 23 158:13,20, 23,25 159:3,	178:12,21 203:24 204:12,16 205:3
68:19 70:19 111:6 112:4	core 8:13	correction	4,9,10,14,19 160:23	counsel 4:16
129:25 146:10 173:10	corner 50:4	21:19 139:3 142:11	165:24 168:7 171:19 173:24 178:8,	8:6 77:7 129:15
controls 173:6	Corporations 25:24	corrections 11:22 22:4,9,	16,23 189:6 190:19,23 191:5 194:10	count 176:2
convenient 8:18	correct 21:5 22:24 27:25 33:12 35:2	15,23 47:8 137:18 142:22	197:11 203:13 204:19 205:8, 9,11	counter 117:23 118:3, 17
conversation	36:16 38:25	corrective		country 54:5

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: couple..Dana

r				coupieballa
123:4	craftsman 130:2	202:21	52:19 53:22 75:24 82:5	48:17,20 50:2,15
couple 5:4 38:21 44:15 50:17 103:18 118:21	Craig 34:24 35:3 37:24 58:9 60:8	cross 33:1 140:6 152:6 200:12	159:1,2 175:14 197:9 204:20 205:3	53:17,18 69:9 71:4,8,15 72:16 74:20 88:8,19,21,23
129:20 155:5 203:8	82:9 84:2 95:8,9 107:20 119:6 129:22	cross- examination 3:3,4,18 5:23	customers 17:11 24:9,21 26:7,10 29:1	89:12 125:20 127:14,21 150:6,10,11 169:2,24
course 5:19, 25 14:10 59:13 82:8 137:5 141:19	148:7 155:6 179:4 181:8 182:4 200:20	9:3 26:18 56:13 152:11 175:22	31:21 32:3 34:18 55:21, 22 58:3,18 59:5,20 73:24	170:1,2,8,16, 17,21 171:3,4
178:19,22 179:4 199:2 207:10	crank 126:19	crossing 143:4,7,10	78:23 79:5,12 103:24 113:22 128:9	damaged 49:12 50:5,22 72:12 86:15
208:10	create 61:10 91:15 132:9 137:10	crushes 61:8	165:5 173:24 176:25 197:3 204:11	88:25 93:14 94:12,14 127:12,16
court 144:12	141:19,21	curious 56:23	cut 124:9	168:25 169:21 170:5 193:25 194:7
cover 55:16, 18 76:22 157:14	created 52:2	current 15:22 19:14 46:9,12	131:17,22	damages
196:23 206:11	creates 36:1	47:19 48:1,2 50:10 69:16,	cycle 63:15	56:25 57:17 74:13,16,17,
coverage	creating 33:1 37:4 70:23	19,22 70:1,2, 3,9 72:4,6,7 110:14,23	D	22 75:8,11, 18,19,22 76:4,9,10,15,
157:11,12 covered	credit 12:23 13:1,3,8	126:18 134:22 138:12	D-I 141:12	21 103:9,10 104:4 107:1 113:16,21
86:16 168:3 173:24 194:11	credited 75:23	161:20 162:1	D-O-M-E-N-I- C-O 141:12	128:2,8,19, 21,25 173:20, 21 174:23,24
covers 94:16	Creek 13:2,6	curves 192:19	daily 34:6 80:5 92:7,15	Dan 142:2,4,
cracks 46:21 54:16 70:15	crescent 61:1	customer 13:7 16:2 29:5 31:2	damage 40:23 41:3	14 Dana 3:1 5:22
	critical 76:13			
	Titication (

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: dangerous..demonstrate

	•	•	•	•
14:2 19:2,8, 16 23:13	David 2:3 3:14 4:16	deadlines 112:6	108:20	169:14
147:24	136:21 137:3	deal 6:7,12	deeper 179:11	degree 19:23 23:20 133:11
dangerous 87:20	day 81:7 155:1 173:19 201:25 207:6	7:3 119:1 163:8 177:5 204:12	Deer 13:1,6	154:22 182:17 191:12,15
data 24:14,16 43:25 91:17	210:12,20	dealing 115:3	defect 83:25	196:6
116:4,6,7,8, 10 199:11	day-to-day 81:12	156:6 158:18 161:11 181:20	defective 201:5,14 202:6	degrees 38:8 69:6 89:6 122:6
database	Daymark 5:6,		202.0	
105:22 120:14	8 21:10 24:3, 6,11,15 25:2,	debris 50:4	defer 205:12	delay 76:16 191:8 193:16
date 9:17 32:12 112:8, 13 210:15	4,16 26:25 27:20 28:3,7 60:8 76:1 77:15 138:14,	decades 168:1	deferral 12:19,23 17:10 144:20	delayed 190:12
dated 137:11	15,25 139:1, 5,7,8,11,12, 25 141:14	December 12:20 144:21	146:19 147:7, 16	delegated 151:20
dates 45:8,9	144:6,15	decide 9:7,9	Deferred 4:6	deleted 22:6,
112:6,12	Daymark's 23:24 24:8	decision 81:1	deficiencies	8
Dave 37:24, 25 40:12 52:6	139:22 140:1, 2 144:22 155:10	106:4 122:19 123:11,25 124:1,3	68:20 70:20	deliberate 7:21
62:19,20 77:2,5 85:6 86:13 105:1	days 76:19	154:9,16 191:7 205:10 207:25	deficiency 146:3	delivered 52:8
108:21 111:10 131:9 132:4 136:16	81:7 86:1 89:10	208:11,12 209:19	deficient 197:3	delivery
148:18 149:2 150:16 160:4 161:4 172:16,	de 204:14	decisions 114:24	degrades 49:2 64:17	112:6,8
17 184:25 189:1 194:24	deadline 112:16,22	154:11 178:15 206:4	degrading	demonstrate 24:1 139:14 198:15
	113:1	deduction		
L				

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: demonstrated..different

demonstrated 147:12	35:13	120:22 167:18	determination s 178:21	deviations 145:7 146:15
demonstrates 24:6	depreciating 129:2	desired 208:22	determine 29:22 46:10	dialogue 203:9
demonstratin g 147:25	depreciation 129:2	deslag 41:16 87:3 188:19	54:6 59:16 134:13 144:22 164:20	diameter 35:18
denied 76:2	describe 20:6 21:6 63:25	deslagged 87:5	170:11,24 183:21 190:2, 3 191:15,20	Didomenico 3:16 4:17
denying 202:15	described 62:10 68:12 188:18	deslagging 40:19 41:19 66:15 69:7	determined 48:13 52:24 193:1	140:1,22,24 141:2,7,11 144:10 152:6, 13 175:24
department 15:25 16:3 135:20	describing 20:17 115:15	86:18 87:17 122:15 132:6, 9 149:8,11,13 161:6 185:21	determining 154:1 190:12	198:1 200:3, 12 206:22 difference
depend 118:18 158:17	description 10:24 61:25 63:23	despite 185:21	detonation 41:8,16,18,25 42:7 66:19,24	47:12 86:11 119:22 163:25 164:5
dependent 153:5	descriptions 165:16	det 41:13 67:7	67:15,18 86:14 88:4 132:11 188:19	differences 152:23
depending 74:18 81:9 95:19 117:25 185:11	desig 151:20 design 21:1 37:7 38:15	detail 25:20 28:6 32:10 201:22	develop 91:18,19 117:18	different 31:13 34:7 38:2,3,20 39:5 44:22 50:24 54:12
depends 31:16,24 52:3 54:4 85:2 118:19	39:10 120:12 designated 96:1	detailed 181:17,18 200:25	developed 115:10 123:1 132:25	55:1 65:17 66:11 78:7, 21,24 119:3, 20 120:4
154:24,25 deposited	designed 49:4 51:24 63:12 70:21	details 122:14	133:10 development 17:13	123:24 126:21 131:13 132:2 153:1,12

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: differently..dollars

				2
154:18 185:1 209:7	34:15 74:9 93:11 104:5 171:21	discovery 112:7,23	dissects 40:22	10,25
	171.21			DJ 67:6,8
differently		discuss 4:8	dissimilar	119:24
202:7	director 11:2,	37:24 80:2	42:22 45:1	122:16
	6	96:3	90:8 108:24	
			119:25	
difficult 39:24	die 100.01	diaguagad	132:18	DJ4 75:1,2
57:7 65:22	dis 108:24	discussed	133:20	
105:14		5:20 104:1	149:15	DMWS
127:23	disagree	106:15	162:14,20	162:20
159:17,23	148:5 151:6,	107:24	163:16 167:4	
	17 160:18	110:12 160:2	189:22	
difficulty	197:12	165:23		docket 4:4
97:10		168:20		9:15
		196:24 200:7	dissipating	
	disagrees		72:6	document
dig 156:2,3	13:25	discussing		91:15 109:9,
		96:14,20	distant	23 116:16
dilemma	disallowance	103:8 152:21	161:22	121:18
162:3	150:13 151:9	100.0 102.21	101.22	124:25
102.5	185:5,24			124.25
	100.0,24	discussion	distinguished	
direct 2:22,25		33:2 107:21	131:11	documentatio
3:2,9,15,17	disallowed	166:13,16		n 109:11,24
5:23 10:19	139:25 140:4	168:24	division 2:5	148:17
15:16 17:6		169:13		
19:11 34:17	disassemble		4:15,16,17	deeuweented
36:5 55:18			12:8 13:10	documented
106:22	83:14	discussions	27:1 136:15	109:8,10,13
136:24		5:17 35:8	137:4,6	115:18
137:11,19	discarded		138:14,17	
138:2 141:5,	110:4	dispute	140:21	documents
22 142:9,13		147:19 195:2	141:21 144:6,	121:11
143:17,20		196:17	15 145:3	
200:11	discovered		146:13	
	47:8 65:20		198:13 199:6	DODGE 2:12
	110:13,19	disputed	209:7	
direction 35:9	112:18,25	148:2		dollar 13:8
168:10	113:6 130:22		division's	
	138:25 163:3	dissect	136:15	
directly 33:13	195:24	131:18	138:15 139:3,	dollars 44:16
			100.10 100.0,	91:6

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: done..effectively

	I			
done 20:20 34:19 36:10,	86:25 126:19 152:14,16	drop 142:16	dynamic 154:23	Easter 41:21
11 37:10 62:3,5 69:8 70:4 88:7,21	178:4 179:3 193:18 200:19	drop-dead 9:17	E	easy 130:25
92:23 110:3 111:14,21,22		drove 201:17		eating 174:7
112:10 118:23	DPU 13:16,24 106:25 139:7 197:20	drug 193:18	e-mails 80:5 155:22	EBA 9:16,19 11:9 12:18
123:15,16 134:5,7,11 165:5 166:17,	DPU's 13:21	due 48:17	EA 25:20,22	13:4,11,12,21 14:5 27:22
18 169:9 172:25	draft 207:19	74:4 149:14, 16 150:5,11	each 30:16 35:12 149:17	114:8 128:13 137:7 139:14 140:1 144:23
174:21 180:20,21 186:9,18	drag 68:3	207:23 210:15	155:4,5 165:22,23	145:7,15,25 146:14 147:6,
190:8 191:15 193:11	drain 51:7,11	duly 10:17 15:14 19:9	187:9	13,16 148:1, 4,24 152:1 208:2
194:16 196:9 198:25	69:4	136:22 141:3	earlier 15:2 68:8 103:9	economic
doodle 114:17	drains 51:1, 11	duration 143:14 147:10	117:22 119:7 122:17 125:18	117:3 124:3
door 173:18	draw 185:7	185:12	143:18 168:3 182:5 200:7 204:22	edge 60:24
double- checking	drawings 120:12	during 5:22 36:24 44:4 45:16 48:18	early 46:3 49:15 134:13	effect 58:23 60:4 76:25 77:10 92:13 95:15 146:20
126:7	drew 153:13	49:12,15 50:3 64:9 65:4,20, 21 68:6 70:20	easier 63:1	162:21 164:11 182:2
doubt 119:21 121:16 204:8	drinking 54:1	71:12 81:5 106:6 110:12	205:12	189:9,19 195:21 197:1 210:10
down 30:24 38:9 43:13	drive 118:8 125:14,25	126:2 130:22 146:19 147:6 150:6,12,18	easiest 34:23	effective 17:9
48:23 52:4 61:2 69:21	drivers 145:7	152:17 169:11	easily 64:9	41:19 82:4
72:7 80:13 84:20,23	146:14	duties 79:23	East 2:6	effectively
				•

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: effects..equation

24:20 31:21	145:4,20 157:4 176:25	employees 81:2 111:4	197:4	ensuring 148:13
effects 174:13	194:19 electrical	employment 137:6 141:20	engaged 25:7 79:17 80:1	enter 77:16 78:17 138:1
effectually 86:22	19:23 20:1,25 21:1 23:19,20 47:10 48:12 68:19 70:19	end 7:1,18,23 8:5,23 9:8,13,	engineer 20:1 21:1 23:20 47:10 107:23	entered 197:2
effort 46:17	72:2 93:25 111:7 125:24,	19,23 22:5 134:9 143:11 155:1 159:11	108:1 115:25	entering 78:22
efforts 69:7 103:23 129:14,16	25 138:24 168:20,23 194:15	173:19 179:5 207:21 208:1 209:19,22	engineer's 38:15	entertain 6:7
161:6 174:20 eight 53:15	electricity 47:18 53:23	endeavor 6:8	engineering 19:23 23:21 127:10	entertaining 91:25
81:19 88:10 137:14	element 125:9 156:8	ended 72:4 91:13 103:4 172:21	engines 61:5	entire 5:6 11:10 123:2
eighties 162:23	elements	ends 22:7	enjoy 6:22	entitled 96:23
163:14	89:4 170:7	126:16	enormous 171:11	entity 151:21
EIN 147:3 either 6:25	eliminate 24:25 129:13	energizes 126:17	enough 36:23 45:5 57:20	entry 143:17 145:22
14:21 21:13 34:11 45:10 46:21 74:23 79:5 121:16	embedded 32:7	energy 4:6,20 12:18 13:17, 19 17:8,14,15	59:2 60:23 61:7,9,14,22 86:21 118:4 165:2 167:10	EPRI 133:7, 14,15,17,22 163:3 206:6
134:7 165:17 195:12 196:12	embrittlement 89:5	23:18 55:16 103:12 138:14,18	172:24 177:12 194:5	equal 40:7 103:11
electric 25:23	EMI 146:25	139:7 141:15 144:19 145:13	ensure 30:9 145:14	
37:11 62:7 82:23 133:7	employee 111:5 124:22	146:11,22	150:22 204:13	equates 24:3
144:8,17	125:5,8	enforce 25:6		equation
			-	-

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: equipment..excuse

	-	_		
440.00	4 4 4 4 7	407.44	70.5 00.40	
113:23	141:17	127:11	73:5 82:10	examination
	155:22	149:24 166:1	157:18	2:22,25 3:2,5,
equipment	176:24	169:25		9,10,11,12,
43:20 61:5	178:10	170:14	everyone's	15,17,19,20,
64:3 69:15	207:18	172:13	75:2	21 5:23 10:19
72:9 75:4		191:20	10.2	15:16 19:11
88:9 92:23	establish	193:11		73:17 106:22
		194:19	everything	107:18
127:1 149:23	111:17		40:22,25	113:11
150:3 177:2			42:22 43:14	129:17
184:15	established	event 31:24	49:3 52:15	136:24 141:5
189:25	68:21 145:3,	38:6 57:11	80:5,8 91:4	198:6 200:17
191:22	10 148:10,14	75:10 80:9,12	112:10	203:6
195:22,24	149:24	94:5 103:24	117:18	200.0
	143.24	105:9 155:15,	121:16 122:3	
equivalent		18 156:4	126:21	examine
24:11	estimates	158:18		171:10
24.11	147:4	173:22 175:3	131:19	
		185:19	164:16 172:8	
equivalents			174:17	example
25:19	estimating		175:14 184:4	28:12 63:12
	90:12 147:1,	events 27:6	197:10	75:1,7 81:15
	20	116:21 164:8		116:5 118:20
erroneous			evidence	122:4
24:17 48:7	evaluate	every 24:23	84:22 182:1	
	145:4 149:17	26:3 43:12	195:5,8,17,21	Excel 116:12
error 103:25	161:10	66:4,5 81:13,	190.0,0,17,21	
138:22 139:3	101.10	20 126:23		
150:19 151:8			Evista 115:18	except 81:19
	evaluated	158:20	116:5	93:3
189:15	68:23 145:14,	171:10 172:9		
	25	192:3 194:14,	event C:0	00:40
errors 173:6		19 207:11	exact 6:3	excess 22:13
			39:10 80:7	74:4 144:19
	evaluating	everybody	172:6	
especially	7:12	53:23 92:6		exclusively
46:7 82:1		126:9	exactly 9:9	133:1
	evaluation		42:10 63:11	
essence	7:11		67:3 122:1	
179:14		everybody's	161:2 166:23	excuse 22:2
		193:25	178:5 202:25	37:25 45:24
	even 9:5		110.0 202.20	68:5 139:22
essentially	34:14 86:6	everyone		142:5 145:24
117:23	90:5 119:22		exacts 197:6	166:11 169:5

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: execute..factor

				
172:18,19 182:1	expenditure 91:5,6	161:13	exposed 93:16	87:23
execute 31:1	expense 13:5 113:24 129:2	expertise 81:22 206:3	exposing 165:5	eyes 80:14 184:13
exhibit 94:25 95:23 96:1,20 165:10	145:9 146:16 191:8	experts 81:23 149:9	exposure 173:23	F
exhibits 12:5 16:19 23:2 137:14,17	expenses 128:12 expensive	explain 74:25 81:1 90:23 91:9 114:5 128:18 155:9	extend 29:25 30:1	f ace 63:1 186:25 191:10
138:2,3 143:19,20	37:18 104:6, 7,19 122:9 157:11 164:23 190:5	explainable 146:16	extended 44:18 150:16 172:21	facilitating 20:20
exist 68:25 exorbitant	experience 15:22 20:6	explanation 200:22,25	extension 44:6 150:19	facility 39:7 95:7
77:9 expect 40:8	52:15 53:8 61:13 62:4 70:14 77:14,	explicitly 153:24	extensions 147:9	Facsimile 2:14
59:8 95:17 expectation	18 81:25 82:2 109:12 111:20 112:2	167:18 explore	extent 20:18 25:9 31:3	fact 50:21 76:3,4 88:17 108:18 156:2
70:23 135:14, 16 154:14	115:22 130:5, 14 133:1 161:21 163:6 167:23 188:9,	115:24 179:18	32:13 49:11 51:6 155:24 164:20	158:24 163:12 170:5, 10 176:23 182:13
expectations 135:12	17 189:20 204:18 206:4	explosions 87:14	189:21 190:2, 3,13 193:1	185:14 186:8 195:22 196:15
expecting 92:24 175:15	experienced 27:7 130:17	explosive 40:18,20 66:15 123:3,	extreme 174:16	197:14,17 204:6
expects 28:7	experiences 115:16	19 149:8 161:6	extremely 56:3 65:22	facto 204:14
expedited 207:16	expert 149:5	expose 78:23	eye 41:24	factor 13:15, 23 149:11
	-	-	_	-

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: factors..finished

				•
154:4,8	161:5 189:10 190:17 191:5,	90:5 164:6	Fifth 2:6	fill 156:12 195:7
factors 154:16	9	fast 144:13	figure 60:18 65:15 66:1	final 19:1
205:10	failures 40:17 42:17,19 43:6 45:1 56:3	faster 38:9 123:7	92:13,22 115:24 131:20 159:8	finally 13:8
factory 196:4, 8	124:6 133:11, 12,21 149:3,	fault 148:12	193:17	22:10 25:19 52:5
facts 8:14 9:2 32:24 122:14	6,7 163:6 185:23 192:6	150:20 181:1 198:23	figured 155:23	financial 145:19
156:21 160:8 161:7 162:17 195:1 205:22	fair 21:3 34:13 40:14 57:20 59:2	featured 144:23	figures 165:15	find 8:17 51:19 53:17
209:10 fail 69:3 83:24	125:10 167:10,13 168:20 174:4,	February 4:1 9:19	figuring 91:20	54:5 65:3 70:24 92:17, 23 96:18
127:20 163:2 189:25	19 179:20 194:4	fed 50:19	file 13:19 109:18	109:11 121:18 145:23
failed 38:18 48:17 64:22,	fall 46:1 53:20 68:10 86:25	feeding 177:2	121:17 208:4	161:23
24 72:17 85:5,22 89:1 131:22 163:5	134:13 156:18,19	feel 6:11 61:2 68:14 126:4	filed 4:24 11:16 12:18 14:8 20:3	finding 96:16 139:1,2
195:9,14,18 198:15	fallen 95:6	feet 38:21 49:18 94:2,3	14.8 20.3 137:8,10,14 141:21,23,24 142:9 144:8,	findings 135:14 142:14
failure 42:15, 20,21 45:3,5, 13,24 46:20	falls 180:8 202:18	fell 155:23	17 177:23 209:18	145:14 145:18 146:23
48:13,22 51:4 86:19 89:15, 23 90:19	familiar 21:4 74:12 179:1	felt 45:4	files 208:8	finds 135:8
91:1,3 130:19 131:16,17,20	famous 179:5	few 4:7 6:21 19:21 21:15 26:16 56:15	filing 9:16 13:16 144:24 208:3,6	fine 8:10 18:14 63:2 129:20
148:8,23 149:1,12,23	far 10:9 24:25	107:14	200.3,0	173:22
150:5,14	39:23 59:7		filings 11.9	
	Litigation	field 161:14	filings 11:8	finished

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: fire..formulating

r				5
114:14 200:11	fixed 53:18 90:21 119:2	flow 32:12 47:18 72:8	165:8	145:9
		47:18 72:8 128:13	follow-ups	forecasts
fire 24:23 54:2	flag 46:15 48:3 70:12,14 110:18	flowed 128:8	50:17	146:17
firm 27:1	152:20	flows 70:1	followed 61:21 148:14	forefront 26:10
173:4 209:21	flagged 109:15	fluid 154:24	155:13 180:4 181:3,7,14 182:6,11	foreign 196:9
first 5:10 8:15,24 9:20	110:25 153:13	flush 112:10,	183:17 201:23	foresight
10:3,5,11,17 12:1 15:14 19:9 20:15	flagging 88:7	13	204:25	24:5
21:18 30:17 42:5 44:22	flat 24:23,24	fly 54:18 173:13	following 12:22 28:25	forewarned 185:22
70:16 82:8 85:7 86:17 113:13 131:7	25:1	focus 43:22	97:12 157:22 180:10,23	forewarning
136:16,22 141:3 145:3	flaw 36:23	170:5 175:8 209:8	follows 10:18 15:15 19:10	186:6
154:12 163:19 164:18,19	fleet 23:16,18 24:11 25:22,	focused 44:1	103:5 136:23 141:4 145:18	forget 169:12
173:20 177:15,22	25 26:9 27:7 30:7	focusing 169:17	207:15	form 20:10 48:24 110:20, 21,22
190:14 191:16 202:8 207:1	flexible 36:2	folks 168:16	foot 35:18	formalized
five 11:10	flooded 48:14 50:14 51:2	follow 34:24	forced 27:6 28:4 43:23 44:2 62:21	116:16
43:13,17 44:20 65:10	71:6 193:21	39:24 56:16 150:23	71:6 81:12 93:3 107:7,9	formally 146:9 205:4
80:6 81:7 88:18 93:2 193:19 200:4	flooding 50:25	163:13 177:21 181:5 182:3 207:20	147:8 152:2	format 5:6
	floods 194:1	follow-up	forecast 117:15	56:16
fix 80:12 90:22 191:6	Floor 2:6	28:11 60:6 66:17 107:12	forecasted	formulating 159:12,13

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: forward..generally

forward 9:24, 25 18:23 40:3 106:4 171:12 188:24	free 6:11 126:4 209:10 freeze 46:4	fullest 25:8 fully 53:2 84:24 95:14, 16	56:6 72:20 103:15 106:22 107:12 136:2, 9,11 140:5	129:22,23 133:2 148:11 181:23 198:23 202:7 204:25
found 8:25 44:19 67:13 68:20 70:20 146:14,25 193:5 201:19	freezing 45:13,17 46:2,18 67:24 68:23 70:5 167:14,19	fun 18:12 function 45:1 124:23	142:19 143:12 152:5 156:2,3 199:16,22 206:24	GE's 129:25 148:8 199:19 gear 112:9
four 42:17 43:12,16 44:19 65:10	frequency 56:2 82:2	functional 47:15 50:10	future 37:5 51:6	Gen 74:22
66:10,11 68:21 80:19 81:13,20 93:4 117:7 124:19	frequently 54:24	functionally 94:6	G gallon 48:25	general 2:5 37:11 62:7 72:19 82:23 114:19,25
145:2 163:25 164:4,5 192:3 193:18 200:4	Friday 4:23 210:14	functioned 150:8	gap 128:19 149:23	120:8 124:6 145:9 157:4 158:7,22 181:23
Four-by-four 93:19	friendly 39:25 front 44:14	functioning 167:19	167:21 gaps 95:14	189:20 197:8, 9 203:24 204:18
fourth 42:14 44:21 89:19 163:24	129:16 132:15 161:12 166:5 210:11	fundamental 173:6 180:3	167:24 gas 19:20	General's 4:14
164:17,24 190:1 191:18	froze 68:7	fundamentall y 153:2 155:12,18	93:21,23 116:8 138:24 145:4,19	generally 50:6,23 56:17 61:8 72:8
fractures 42:2	fuel 19:21	160:11 167:17 169:2 173:3 201:16 203:22	gasket 51:4 61:8	74:22 76:11, 14 81:1 83:11 87:8 93:25
frame 38:17 43:11 53:18 60:20,25 134:12 176:9 209:22	full 19:14 73:3 142:6 145:12 165:2 189:21 201:21	funded 133:8 further 3:9	gave 61:25 93:11 173:3 187:1 205:19	103:23 105:15 111:18 117:19 124:14
			GE 83:7 119:7	

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: generating..half

126:23	give 7:6,20	19:5,13	gray 206:11	60:11,14 63:8
128:20	8:6 9:18	26:20,21 55:7	gruy 200.11	66:10 67:23
146:16 179:2	10:24 20:16,	60:2 61:8		88:3,24 90:17
110.10 170.2	19 21:16	73:4 94:23	great 32:9	94:4,10 104:2
	28:12 31:4	97:2 113:13	68:14 163:8	105:21
generating	38:17 76:11	116:4 125:2	204:12	106:16
23:17	79:21,25	136:1,17	209:20	109:25 115:4.
	81:15 122:4	138:11		23 116:3
generation	133:14 172:6	140:23	greater 78:23	119:8 122:14
19:17 23:14	188:14	155:23	103:11 147:9	123:13,22
35:2 42:25	203:20	171:23 172:2	154:19 158:8	124:22,25
54:12 58:10,	205:19 207:2,	173:22	175:8	126:6 127:5
16 59:3,19,23	18	175:24,25		128:10
95:7 147:24	10	188:14 203:8		132:19
157:5		100.11200.0	green 11:4	156:24 159:2,
	given 81:8			6 161:5 165:1
	94:6 167:20	gouged 50:5	Greg 189:1	169:23
generator			- ··	170:14 171:1,
35:17 147:5	gives 65:25	govern 25:10	50.00	6,15 177:8
156:7 181:24	J	J	gross 58:22	185:11
				189:14 195:4
generic 116:9	Giving 63:12	governance	ground 49:20	201:14
188:14	75:1	146:9	52:1 126:18	205:21,23
			171:25	,
goothormol	glad 73:11	grade 40:6	201:19,24	
geothermal 19:20		63:14		guidance
19.20	goes 45:2,3		group 11:11	7:20
	49:21 78:15	grades 38:2	176:3	
germane	92:7 94:12	grades 30.2	170.5	guide 115:8
20:18	113:21 114:2			
	118:1 128:14	graduated	groupings	gun 65:23
get all 91:14	180:14,19	67:10	145:22	gun 05.25
	194:15			
	197:10	granted 5:3	grows 61:9	guy 196:4
getting 25:11	203:13	12:10 15:2	3.0.0 01.0	
38:7 57:4	200.10	16:22 23:5		guys 8:12
172:21		138:6 143:25	guess 26:24	34,0 0.12
175:15 188:2	gold 172:9		28:24 32:6	
194:1			40:19 47:3,12	н
	good 4:3,13	grapple	52:1,5 55:20	
gist 180:24	10:12,21	156:25	57:15,21	
	12:17 17:5		59:10,12	half 122:8
		grasp 68:14		
			•	

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: hallway..here

r			1110,011	naliwaynere
207:18	173:11	harking	164:3,22	151:14
	180:16	113:14	168:3 169:6,	202:12,13
	190:22		8,13 173:19,	
hallway 97:8	202:20		21 177:16	
		harping	178:10	help 80:25
hammering		187:10	192:19	122:17
41:22	happened			123:22 163:3
41.22	41:4 47:7		200:19	203:19
	50:11 51:10	HATCH 2:12	204:22	
hammers	80:12 88:10			
87:18	105:18 122:4,	hate 114:6	hearing 4:4	helpful 158:9
07.10		188:7		201:22,24
	5 169:5	100.7	5:20 6:20 7:1,	
hand 37:12,	178:11,12		3,9,19 9:23	
14 49:2 60:15	179:16	Hathaway	96:13,20	here 4:4 5:2,9
84:18,25	181:19	23:18	97:4,5,10	8:13 9:6,7
95:23 115:8	189:10	25.10	103:5 106:19	11:13,19
			138:16	14:10 16:10
161:17		having 9:22	207:17	19:2 20:19
162:11	happening	10:17 15:14	210:22	22:21 26:10
205:22	37:5 68:11	19:9 81:2	210.22	35:25 36:1
	86:18 164:10	122:9 125:6		38:19 46:20
hondful			heartbeat	
handful		136:22 141:3	122:8	57:8 59:13
151:10	happens	160:25	-	62:3 64:1
	42:22 57:11	171:13 207:1		65:4,8 67:12
handle 49:1	89:5 116:21		heat 45:13,	68:10 69:13,
159:16	163:21	Hayden	15,22 47:13	23 70:17
153.10	180:25	116:13	68:18,21 69:2	71:23 73:3
	197:10	110.15	70:4,18	80:11 91:5
handled			134:17	95:2 113:18
202:1		head 27:9,16	149:23 150:3	115:9 120:13
	happy 7:17	28:15,19	193:22	124:16
	8:11,17	196:18	100.22	124:10
handling	114:12 125:5	130.10		
206:9			heater 149:4	129:12 143:1
		hear 15:3,5		154:22
. .	hard 50:2	90:2 97:6,9		156:25 157:6
hanging	54:5 161:23	161:17 169:9	heats 61:9	159:13,21
86:21				160:10 161:8
	harder 64:19		howily 24.5	165:4 167:8,
hannon 55:0		heard 97:13	heavily 34:5	16 169:1,3,17
happen 55:9	97:9	113:19		170:3 171:3,
79:24 81:3		114:20 118:2	held 23:17	4,17 173:2
87:15 116:18,	hardest 34:16	125:18 128:6	148:12	174:22
19 135:3	35:7	162:6,21	150:25	181:22 182:9,
			100.20	101.22 102.3,

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: heritage..impactful

			1	
10 184:19 186:23 187:3 188:17	30:14,19 55:3 111:10 157:4 188:2	hook 197:10 hope 180:12	91:25 Huntington	identify 46:25 48:7 105:24 132:17 166:8
190:16 192:16 193:4 196:24 197:24 207:4,	hires 30:2,20 31:19 56:18	hopefully 6:2	42:13,14 67:21 81:16 89:16 123:21	IEC 88:3 132:1,3,5
12 209:24	174:11 hiring 80:21	hoping 209:18	132:19 149:14 162:12	IEC's 186:2
heritage 144:14	history 9:12 111:18	horizontal 68:24	166:12 188:25 189:2	ignore 26:4 158:24
hey 75:15 78:12 86:8 90:21 93:14	192:10	hot 157:13	hydrant 54:2 hydrogen	ignored 185:6
201:18 high 61:4	hit 87:18 hockey 43:6	hour 209:12	36:2 156:6,9 202:22	ignoring 186:6
91:9 118:4 122:6 146:23 152:24	164:11 192:19	hours 27:13 36:11 81:7 84:13,22		illuminate 129:16
higher 38:8 53:24 59:22 66:18 154:14	Hogle 2:9 4:10 5:18 10:3 136:4 140:12 166:3 210:17	147:9 183:2, 6,9 202:11,17 house 60:20	idea 66:20 117:9 124:6 169:14 172:2 187:20	imbalance 145:13 146:22 147:2
highly 118:15	hold 10:25 27:2 57:25	however 53:1 146:2 163:7 209:11	identified 41:11 45:25	immediately 164:25
hindsight 151:5,8	58:4 170:16 179:17 197:24	human 151:8	48:6,16 134:21 142:2 143:18 147:7, 25 149:10	impact 24:10 32:9 143:15 145:11
Hipot 50:7 126:10,13	holding 78:15	hundred 31:6 55:25 71:23 77:19 165:17	153:19 162:23 165:1, 24 199:13	impacted 139:17
hire 57:22 81:2,20,21	hole 94:15 95:11,18	hundreds	identifies 166:16	impactful 44:2
hired 27:1	honest 176:1	46:5 49:18		

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: impacts..indicator

impacts 58:17 108:14 145:158:16 95:13 169:19170:3,18 173:2 190:11 191:2,7 198:14inconsistent 125:2independent 153:7impoller 54:12,20 75:4,16 112:4 113:7 150:17, 18 172:23 173:8 194:25 195:2 196:5 195:2 196:5 195:2 196:5 199:5,7,8imposing 171:2170:3,18 173:2 190:11 198:14inconsistent 125:2independent 153:7impose 171:17impose 171:17imprudently 58:17 148:3 149:12 150:2independentl y 119:11y 119:11imposing 171:2imposing 171:2148:23 150:12 186:24,25 187:6 199:8, 12,13indexing 109:22indicate 12:7 16:21 23:4 69:15 96:25	•	8.16 95.13	470.0.40	inconsistent	
imposeimposeincorrectindependentiimpeller171:1738:12 52:8y 119:1154:12,20imposing112:7 123:10112:7 123:1075:4,16 112:4imposing58:17 148:3148:23113:7 150:17,171:258:17 148:3148:23173:8 194:25171:2186:24,25187:6 199:8,195:2 196:5impossibility151:1112,13199:5,7,8108:5151:1116:21 23:4	145:15		173:2 190:11 191:2,7		-
113:7 150:17, imposing 149:12 150:2 150:12 indexing 18 172:23 171:2 149:12 150:2 150:12 109:22 173:8 194:25 impossibility inaction 12,13 indicate 12:7 199:5,7,8 108:5 151:11 16:21 23:4				38:12 52:8	-
195:2 196:5 199:5,7,8impossibility 108:5inaction 151:1112,13indicate 	113:7 150:17, 18 172:23			150:12 186:24,25	
	195:2 196:5			12,13	
impellers 54:15impression 8:15,24inch 35:19,20 171:10incorrectly 25:4138:5 195:9	•			_	138:5 195:9
imperfection 28:23improper 112:17 148:20inches 38:23 51:22 85:22increase 4:5 17:11 24:10 197:14indicated 56:22 61:25 66:17 68:8 77:6 105:3	•	112:17		17:11 24:10	56:22 61:25 66:17 68:8 77:6 105:3
	•	improve 24:5	135:13		111:11 146:12 174:25 186:8
implemented 24:9 39:19,20improved 50:15incidents 88:16 191:3increases 142:18193:5 194:3indicates	24:9 39:19,20				
imprudence include incumbent 63:7 195:14 implication 28:5 139:14 56:21 24 64:1 150:22	implication	28:5 139:14		150:22	
90:20 147:12 148:1 126:4 157:20 indicating 67:14			·		_
implied 83:16 imprudent included incurred indication 93:10 28:12,17,20 33:25 147:17 33:11,15 77:1 57:13 144:20, indication 33:25 147:17 149:21 145:2 167:8 24 147:21 20:19 39:13	93:10	28:12,17,20 33:25 147:17	33:11,15 77:1	57:13 144:20,	20:19 39:13
Implies25:5150:25includingincurring151:12,15including205:9indications		150:25 151:12,15	•	-	-
imply 79:12155:10 160:9, 10,11 161:813:9 22:22200.9Indications 150:10180:2510,11 161:823:18 31:9150:10162:19167:1 175:1indefensible		10,11 161:8	23:18 31:9		
important 167:15 169:1 167:17 149:20 indicator	important				indicator

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: individual..interest

				•
25:20 202:16	73:21 113:17	164:4	54:10 63:19	50:3 71:9
25.20 202.10		104.4		
	116:11,15,17		64:15 95:6	72:7
individual	117:17	inspect 45:22	105:9 106:2	
146:5 168:13	121:15 122:2	71:20,25 72:1	108:25 109:2	insurance
140.5 100.15	133:14,18,22	80:11 83:14	113:7 126:24	
	151:12 153:3,		131:10	104:3,11,16
individually	4,5,8,10	93:21 171:10	150:18	
26:2 30:17	155:14,21,24		169:25 170:6,	insure 104:23
35:12	158:5 161:11	inspecting	15 172:22	
33.12				
	162:6 165:14	48:6	198:23	integrate
industry	169:15			205:4
42:25 52:15	181:21	inspection	installing	
66:23 115:10,	186:12,21	24:14 65:21	160:12	
,	188:18		100.12	integrated
17 123:2	192:23,24	91:14 134:25		16:3
125:1 126:1	195:12,13,16	170:4 183:15,	instance 35:1	
132:13,17,24	206:8 207:14	16,17,20	52:7 55:11	
133:20	200.0 207.14	195:23	61:17 62:14	integrity 36:9
149:20 156:9			63:18 77:1	
164:14	informative	increations		intend 44:9
171:23	6:15	inspections	128:23 131:7	
181:23		170:20	153:4	142:5 209:8
188:20,22				
189:16,19,22	informed	inspector	instances	intended
192:23	62:17	48:5 110:13,	78:5	51:18 120:21
		17,19 111:3	70.5	01.10 120.21
194:17				
196:21 206:8,	initial 48:18	135:15	Institute	intending
10	150:6,12		133:8	47:22
	169:9 170:9	install 50:18	10010	
influence		105:4		
		100.4	instruction	intends
34:14,16	injuries 41:24		130:11	209:5,6
35:5,9 151:24		installation		
	injury 42:1	37:6 49:12		
inform 9:16,		109:3,9	instructs	intense
18 41:9		112:7,17	68:18 70:18	132:13
10 - 11.3	inoperable	150:12,13		
	150:3		insulate	intention
informal		169:3,9,14		18:10
207:1		170:8,10	174:12	10.10
	input 7:5 8:6	171:4 172:12		
		181:25	insulation	interest 6:20
information	insignificant		48:23 49:3	13:9 96:19
7:25 9:10		installed		
		motalieu		
	•		•	-

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: interested..jobs

				5
132:14	162:2 168:22	involvement	145:6 156:24	138:1,7 140:5
139:18,19	178:9 189:13	158:10,13	159:7 177:6	141:6 143:16
145:1	190:13 197:2	,		144:1,2 152:4
	203:13 205:5,			165:19,21
	10 206:4	is-it-possible	Italian 144:13	198:3,4,7
interested 8:6	10 200.4	188:7		199:4,15,23
104:18			items 5:21	
174:22 175:4	intrigued	lsh 90:15	13:9	208:17,23
	203:16	ISN 90.15	13.9	210:2,14
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
interesting		ISO 145:13	J	Jetter's 56:16
174:10	introducing	146:22,25		69:12
	138:15			00.12
interests				
26:10 204:11	introduction	issue 5:9	jack 76:22	Jim 45:10,11
20.10 204.11	26:24 143:17	8:14,16,23		48:10 67:20,
	20.24 143.17	14:3 21:4	JAMES 2:12	22 68:22
interim 17:8		32:24 41:12		71:2,12 93:6
	intuitively	69:23 70:22		110:10
	131:16	89:23 109:23	January	124:21
interrupt 6:11		110:18	12:20 45:12	125:17
32:11 60:22		113:16 114:7	67:22 68:10,	133:24
144:10	inverse 28:21	119:19	15 141:24	149:22 150:4
	57:16	125:18	144:21	167:11
intervals 49:6		130:14 146:8		168:18
	investigation	149:1,20		193:20
	62:13 142:20	150:14	Jason 165:18	193.20
intervention	143:12 158:2			
158:10	192:17	153:22 154:1,	Jetter 2:5 3:3,	jjetter@
	192.17	7 162:10,13,	6,15,17,19	agutah.gov
		14,17,21	4:13 8:9,10,	2:7
interviewing	investment	170:14		
158:3	177:7	172:20 202:2	19,21,24 12:8	
		209:1 210:9,	14:13,15	job 15:22
into 6:3 8:25		10,19	17:22,24	57:21 79:22
12:5 14:9	invite 6:24		26:15,16,19	112:3 135:17
32:21 38:7	7:5 8:5	issued 13:11	32:18,20	156:17 168:5
50:20 62:14		1330C0 10.11	33:8,9 56:10	180:8,15
70:1 73:19	involved 7:14		66:15 68:12	195:18
77:16 78:17,	25:8 34:3,5	issues 5:4	94:19,20,22	198:12
22 91:10 96:2	78:1 79:22	6:14 7:9,21	95:22,25	
		10:1 124:1	96:6,10	
97:8 106:18	104:5 126:23	129:16	103:6,15	jobs 81:3
114:2 124:10	157:17 158:4	130:20 132:8	136:13,14,25	111:23
138:3 160:21	204:23			

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: Joe..late

	-	-	-	-
Joe 76:17	154:10	kids 60:21	knowable 151:13	L
Johnson 37:25 40:12 62:20 77:5	jump 164:16 188:24	kill 87:1	knowing 105:13	labor 81:21
105:1 111:10 131:9 148:19	June 186:2	kind 32:24 43:20 51:10 54:1,4 61:3	119:18 120:4 125:20	lack 25:17 43:21 115:13
149:3 150:16 160:4 161:4 172:17	jurisdiction 9:1	64:2 66:1 70:11 71:23 78:13,14 79:4	127:11,13 knowingly	119:19 148:9 149:19 176:19
194:24	jurisdictions	83:14 84:25 85:1 86:8	22:11	185:20
Johnson's 86:13	206:9,17 justified	89:7,8 90:17 92:5,7 93:20 104:16	knowledge 51:3 70:13	Lake 2:6,10, 13
Johnston 52:6 77:2	24:14	111:16 112:1, 11 115:6,10, 12 117:10,12	82:3 104:24 108:16 117:14 133:1	language 57:11 139:8
85:7 132:4 184:25 189:1	Justin 2:5 4:13	118:2 120:9 125:12	known 42:24 63:9 85:17	large 41:21
joint 207:8	К	130:10 131:15 133:8, 11,15,22	132:20 149:20 150:3	49:10 86:21 181:22
jointly 25:3	keep 18:10,	153:12 157:11 172:15	151:13 163:13 170:15	last 6:23 45:8 64:11,15
Jonnson 108:21	11,22,24 43:4 51:2 70:5 120:10	176:19 177:16 182:6 185:2 188:3	192:23 194:6 205:23	79:23 80:15 89:10 91:13 141:9 166:9,
Jordan 2:3	121:13,19 122:2 164:10 198:4 202:21	193:6 194:12 197:3,23 203:11	knows 40:25 189:21	15 171:10 172:17 192:8 194:23
judging 85:15	204:6	207:11 208:7	Koehler	lasted 39:12,
judgment 43:3 86:3	keeping 160:12	kinds 133:4	142:3,4,5	15 40:8,10
155:1	keeps 187:10	knew 44:17 85:16 91:1	KV 126:21	Lastly 145:13 151:2
jug 48:25 juggling	key 145:6	106:1 124:1 127:11		late 75:20
שייייפשאנ				

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: later..like

	•			-
76:15,25	leaking 84:22	107:23 162:3	21 119:4	25 209:16
199:18 208:6	183:4,5,7,11,	172:15 197:3	121:2 123:21	210:8,15,18
	22 184:7,9	200:22 201:3	124:22	21010,10,10
	202:22	202:3,25	143:24	
later 32:12		202.0,20	154:19,20	Levar's
66:11 86:6			200:14 207:5,	119:17
89:1 105:12,	leaks 124:19	legal 6:25 7:4,	7 208:20 7 208:20	
14 197:20	156:9 183:18	15 8:6 10:1	7 206.20	level 28:8
202:17 208:5		32:7,14,15,21		
	La an . 400.7	33:2 59:6	Levar 2:2	37:20 80:2,4
	lean 162:7	79:3 198:10	3:10 4:3,12,	81:15 82:16
launches		200:7 207:3	18,21 6:5 8:8,	91:9 146:24
164:1	learn 128:14		19 9:11 10:6,	152:24 172:3,
	201:10		12,15 12:6,9	11 210:6
lawyer 79:2		legally 29:25	14:13,16,18,	
178:25			21 15:7,9,12	levels 145:9
179:13	learned	lend 9:3	16:20 17:5,	
170.10	176:19		22,25 18:2,4,	
			6,9,18,24	liability 32:15
lay 65:10	learnings	length 148:25	19:5 21:23	157:14 179:1,
80:13	168:2	150:13	22:1 23:3	14 180:10,19
	100.2	152:16,21		
LDS 175:12		169:17	26:15 32:18	liable 32:8
	least 26:6	207:19	33:5 56:10,12	
	34:6 39:15,16		57:4 60:22	151:15
leading 11:1	40:1,4 51:6	1	72:22 73:2,7,	
15:23 150:5	80:3 88:18	less 27:24	10,15 94:18	lies 160:22
162:13	91:11 92:15	40:18,19	95:24 96:4,9,	
	118:3 123:20	66:24 67:15	12 103:16	
	132:17	79:13 81:16	106:14,18	life 63:15 64:4
leads 162:18	162:22	89:24 90:18	107:13,19	
	176:18	123:8 129:2	113:9 114:13	lightly 202:23
leak 36:2	181:17	138:20 163:9	129:10	iigiiiij 202.20
51:16 61:10		165:5 191:4	135:24 136:5,	
82:12 84:4,10			8,12,17,20	like 7:6,16
87:8 88:20	leave 4:24	lesser	138:4 140:8,	26:22 35:19,
149:15	6:21 15:2	103:11,13	11,14,16,19,	21,22 37:24
183:11		105.11,15	23 141:1	38:20 49:23
	led 29:4 69:22		143:23 152:8	54:1 57:14
	131:13	let 5:24 6:12	175:19 198:2	59:15 61:5,8
leakage 50:10		20:7 28:11	199:17,21,24	64:2,9 68:14
72:3 84:13	153:10,20,22	30:7,18 35:10	200:2,10	71:23 73:20
126:18		50:17 96:15	203:4 206:21,	80:7 82:6
	left 61:13	97:10 117:1,	24 208:15,20,	83:11 84:25

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: likely..lot

	_		-	-
87:12 88:25 89:7,17 92:5,	17,22 69:14 70:5,17 74:18	38:23 46:5 196:3	39:8	52:20 65:14 105:14
19,20 93:5,	82:14 89:20		locked	114:22 115:4
19,20 95:25	93:21,24	little 5:14	190:13	142:13 153:2
97:2 104:12,	142:15 143:3,	16:1 20:7,16		160:4 165:9
13 108:7	5,11 160:17	26:23 28:11		183:17 184:8
114:25 115:1,	180:23 189:5	30:12 35:19	log 64:7	185:7 186:16
12,20,25	199:5	49:19 50:24		206:1,5 209:9
116:10 117:1,		51:9 56:16	logic 122:18	
22 118:10,15,	lines 63:24	58:7,10 66:4,		looks 35:22
20 120:9	68:25 180:16	5,15 68:12		97:2
122:19	00.25 100.10	86:17 87:24	logical 121:7	97.2
126:15,24			180:13	
130:18 133:3	link 92:11	92:5 93:15		loop 97:5
136:15		94:3 95:1,3	Logically	
137:19 138:1	lin 54.40	96:7 97:9	182:19	
140:21	lip 51:13	125:16 131:1	182.19	loose 155:7
142:22		132:23		
143:16 155:3	liquidated	144:12 160:1,	long 41:12	loosely
167:2 173:14	74:13,16,17,	6 162:6 168:9	44:4,10 78:19	180:11
179:18,23	20,22 75:8,	173:19 176:7,	109:19 123:9,	
185:7 187:23	11,17,19,22	8 179:11,18	18 151:16	
188:2,3	76:4,9,10,15,	184:24 185:2	162:22	losing 6:20
194:10 198:8	20 103:9	192:19 198:5	169:19	
205:14 206:2	107:1 113:16,	203:20 209:6		losses 31:22
208:13	21 128:2,8,		. ,	174:6,7
200.10	19,21,25	live 16:10	long-term	
	173:20,21	20:11 116:23	80:8 146:20	
likely 152:1	110.20,21	20.11110.20		lost 27:14
199:3 208:8			longer 5:15	
	list 159:25	load 88:4	40:8,10 44:13	lot 5:5 8:14
limit 208:20,		105:25	72:25 92:12	27:24 46:7
21 209:4	listen 18:18		12.20 02.12	53:25 56:4
		located		82:19 86:20
		149:15	longevity	107:20
limits 146:6	listened	143.13	38:9	111:12 112:2
	176:4			116:10,17
line 3:7 18:12,		location	looked 51:14	122:2 124:21
	listening	38:13,16	131:23	129:12
13 21:20,23	18:11	39:14 134:5	178:11 184:4	153:15
22:5,6,10	10.11		170.11 104.4	
36:6 45:13,20		loootiere		154:10,25
52:21 68:7,	literally 10:8	locations	looking 45:19	164:3,22
	-			

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: lots..manual

169:6 179:9 187:14 188:2 193:3 204:16 206:10	84:14 113:8 made 13:7 16:15 21:13,	25 23:19 24:8 68:18 70:18 81:12 109:20 110:13 134:1, 20 135:20	207:8,25 208:11,12,21 209:21	manager 15:24 19:25 20:1 21:2 23:19 168:16
lots 163:16	19 22:16 47:8 57:18 67:9 70:13 86:2 88:3 90:20	maintenances 20:21	makes 79:4 103:25 177:16 205:13 208:9	manages 26:5
	109:5 114:24 122:20	major 154:15	209:14	managing 43:4,5
low 38:6 41:7 118:12	124:11 128:7 133:5 139:11 176:21 178:18,21	156:7 165:3 169:12 192:21	makeup 65:16,25	mandated 29:25
lower 41:7,8 59:23 63:14 66:23 67:14 76:5	204:7 mailed 196:5	make 6:6 11:23 26:3 30:21 32:14	making 6:3 72:13 80:25 96:4 123:25 154:11	mandates 156:16
lowest 41:13 42:9 53:21 67:7,17	main 112:4 145:2	35:11 50:10, 21 54:6 55:8 58:1 65:23 72:11 81:14	161:15 174:13 205:10 206:4	mandatory 111:16,19
117:4,20 123:17 132:11	mainly 123:5 177:5	87:3 94:25 96:6,11,16 105:11 106:4	malfunctionin g 46:11	manholes 93:16
lubricant 50:1	maintain 81:3 180:6	107:16 118:22 122:16 137:19	manage 26:9 109:20 157:18	manifest 191:14
lubrication 50:19	maintained 183:2	142:22 155:1 157:20 158:6 162:9 167:22	managed 43:2 157:24	manifests 190:14 192:17
Lucky 176:5	maintaining 24:2 25:21	168:15 173:10,17 175:14	management	manner 17:15 30:11 153:12
73:9	maintains 149:7	177:14 178:15,20 182:22 184:4	13:17,24 109:23 135:2 139:7 141:14	30:11 153:12 156:16
M	maintenance	186:11 187:8 191:7 197:7 198:10 204:7	146:10,11 154:10 168:17 177:2	manual 37:19 87:15 115:2 132:6
machine	19:25 20:24,	206:14,15		

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: manually..medical

				<u> </u>
				1
manually	18,20,22	Matthew 2:8	107:2 111:10	201:12,16,20,
87:16,17	39:10,21		113:5 172:22	21 203:22
123:8	63:5,9,13,15,		173:8,12	206:2,7 208:7
123.0		maximizing	174:1,4	200.2,7 200.7
	19 64:11,22	64:3	,	
manufacturer	65:4,11,16,		194:25	meaning 77:4
83:1,3	17,19,24		195:10	78:21 120:19
	76:24 85:13,	maximum		
	19,23,24,25	124:8 210:16	MDA's 196:4	
Manufacturer	86:9 96:24		MDA 3 130.4	means 60:15
s 133:19	105:4,5,6,13	may 0:1 20		63:11 66:21
	106:2,5,6	may 9:1,20	mean 8:4 9:7	70:4 172:10
	109:5 120:13	14:11 17:9	32:1 38:22	175:11 192:5
many 27:6,	122:7,8	24:24 25:15	41:20 44:7,25	
13,20 37:1	130:17,23	36:22,23	47:19 48:24	
66:6 151:7,8	148:23 160:7,	51:20 66:24	49:14,17	meant 64:4
164:8 194:12,	140.23 100.7,	67:15 80:22	51:11,12,21	
13	12	86:14,15		meantime
		87:11 95:22	52:13 53:14	
	materialize	105:3 110:11	54:3 57:2	10:1
March 9:20	192:14	113:14	58:22 59:8	
12:19 52:7	102.11	117:20 155:7	60:2 65:7,13,	measure 46:9
144:9,18		156:25	23 68:8 70:12	47:13 72:3,5
194:24	materials 5:7,	168:25 169:8	71:22 72:10	126:17
207:22 208:1	25 42:3 64:2,		74:24 79:3	120.17
209:18,19,22	15 65:6,14	177:14	81:14,15 82:1	
210:12,13	105:2,10	188:25 195:4	85:3 91:12	measures
	133:10 137:7	209:7 210:7	93:22 97:7	47:11 148:14
	100.10 107.1		106:10	
marked 97:12		maybe 8:22	109:20 110:2	
	Matt 4:10			measuring
market 41:14		26:22 30:16	114:24	47:16,18
		33:3 35:17	115:15 116:8,	
53:23 67:8	matter 4:23	43:11 53:12	9 118:20	
122:10	6:23 8:15	58:13 68:11	120:20 121:7	meat 203:20
145:13	16:7 21:8	73:2 141:8	123:2 124:13	
146:17,23	24:12 156:3	166:7,16	125:10,12,23	mechanic
154:25	162:25 163:1	171:5 199:17	126:3 135:12,	61:14
	180:25	201:5,6,14	17 162:22	01.14
Maacan 00:4	192:16	203:17,20	163:17 168:9	
Mascon 22:4		204:20,21	169:24 173:7,	mechanism
		,	11 174:15	4:7 56:23
material 5:5,	matters 4:7		175:6 177:8	91:2 201:18
12,14,15 6:3	10:2 112:15	MD&A 52:8,	179:9,22	
38:9,12,14,	210:18	12,24,25		
[0, 0, 12, 14, 0]		55:16 75:3	186:14	medical 13:2
				•

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: meet..mistake

meet 38:15 80:6 196:21	merged 39:17	131:23	58:23 69:20 97:8 105:4	minimum 111:16,19
198:16	merger 105:17	meter 93:21	106:4 107:15 108:9 117:9 158:13	mining 19:17,
meeting		method 41:19	175:13 176:6	21 23:14
80:17	merits	123:12	200:19	
	171:22,23		201:15	minute 21:16
meetings 92:15 133:16,	messed	methodology 147:1,20	206:16 209:6	89:18 114:18 163:13
17	184:21	metric 26:4	Mike 117:9	193:19 197:22,24 200:2
megawatt	metal 22:8	metric 20.4	milk 48:25	200.2
27:13 megger	38:2 42:21,22 45:1 90:8 132:18	metrics 115:12	mill 157:3	minutes 6:16, 21 119:2 136:6 200:4,8
126:9,13	133:20			130.0 200.4,0
mention 114:20	149:15 162:20 163:16 188:3 189:22	Michael 2:21 3:1 10:5,11, 16,23 17:10 19:8,16	million 12:22, 23 13:1,3,4,6, 8 27:18 44:15 49:4 90:13,19	misheard 203:17
mentioned 26:24 36:4 152:13	metallurgical 149:5,9 161:5	micro 72:5	91:6 124:20 138:19 144:18 163:10	misrepresent s 24:16
174:19 181:16	186:3	microphone 10:6 57:5	164:16 167:4 190:19 191:5,	miss 112:5,6
203:17	metallurgist	60:23 63:1	8	missed 46:13
	40:16,21	97:4		110:4 112:21
Meredith 2:24 4:25 15:1,3,9,	64:23 65:13 131:18 132:3 161:13,22,24	mid 162:23	millwright 61:13,17	178:6
13,18,20	162:8 185:6	163:14	130:3 205:1	missing
16:6,24	186:7,9	177:11		35:15 125:8
17:18,19	187:5,10,15,		mind 128:3	198:8
18:7,11	18 188:1,14	middle 51:10	144:11 153:9	_
	,	54:2	162:3 202:21	mistake
Meredith's	metallurgists			49:13 57:18
16:18	188:10	might 10:7	mine 13:2,6	58:1 153:18
	100.10	31:22 42:9	207:8	174:14 175:3
merely 59:25	metallurgy	51:12 55:1	201.0	189:15
Litigation Services 800-330-1112				

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: mistaken..move
HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: moves..nonconforming

				•
193:10	152:14,15	63:17 70:24	30:25 31:11	news 155:23
	132.14,13		52:18 74:17	11CWS 133.23
194:23		72:23 81:3,		
	natural	10,19 96:2,15	91:22	next 4:23
moves	138:24 145:4,	106:18		9:16,18 35:25
189:13	19	117:11,12	negotiated	36:1 37:24
		153:3 155:1	74:25 104:15	38:21,25
		156:2,16	11.20 101.10	40:11 45:10
Moving 161:3	nature 126:7	160:17 164:7		54:3 62:20
	168:11 185:9,	166:1 167:19	negotiating	66:9 73:12
much 14:20	13,18,19	168:10,11	104:20,22	
	201:21	188:12 204:7		85:22 91:12,
72:4,6,23		209:15,23,25		16 105:23
81:25 88:19			neither	106:6 140:21
90:10 91:8	Naughton		187:25	143:11 165:2
114:4 123:6	107:2	needed 55:12		166:9,15
126:18		134:17,18	Nelson 41:2	167:11
135:22	NBC 145:10	148:5 156:20		168:15 189:2
151:23				196:23 208:2
158:12		needing	NERC 25:24	210:20
164:15 177:6	near 22:5	0	26:2 116:8	
188:8 194:13	134:9 179:5	190:22		
206:3			NESC 115:1	nice 92:8
		needs 53:14	NESC 115:1	199:1
	necessarily	70:2 77:15		
must 178:22	31:24 49:14	92:23 95:2	net 11:2,7,8,	night 173:13
180:20,21	53:13 83:15	158:8 175:13	10 12:24,25	ingin ir or o
201:19	116:16	178:15 205:4	31:9 34:9	
	161:20	110.10 200.1	74:3 113:23	nineties
N	178:19		129:6 147:20	177:11
I		negative	120.0 1 11.20	
	necessary	174:13		nobody 48:3
	108:9 125:7		never 28:20	157:13,14
name 10:22,	208:9	nonotivolv	31:8 71:17	175:7
23 15:18	200.9	negatively	78:3 89:13	175.7
19:14,16		44:2	111:21 172:1	
23:13 137:1,3	necessitates			nonconfidenti
141:8,9	148:24	neglecting	now 10.00	al 103:6
		176:23	new 40:23	
			67:5 95:1	
namely	need 5:16		123:12	nonconforma
168:13	6:6,12 9:15	negligence	162:21	nces 105:24
	11:6,22 16:15	58:22 179:15	167:25	
narrowed	21:12 32:6			nonconformin
	33:6 37:16	negotiate	newer 112:1	g 38:14 39:12
		negoliale		9 00.14 09.12
		Services 8	- 300-330-1112	-

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: nondestructive..once

63:5,8,11,14, 19 64:11,15,	107:16	27:8 56:3 80:7 90:11	104:3	OEMS 81:24
22 85:8,9,12, 19,24 86:9 105:2,4,10,13	nothing 54:3 115:14,17,20 131:2 136:11	92:16 149:16 163:17 164:4, 18 166:2,19	obvious 131:17	off 27:8,15 28:14,18 49:2 68:4 87:13,23
106:2,5 108:25 109:2,	160:15 164:8 168:9 172:14	167:4,5,8	obviously 5:6	92:6 97:4,6 122:10
3,10 120:1,2, 3,19,21,25 160:6,12	181:17 189:11 191:21	numbers 166:9	7:7 86:9 134:15 179:10	124:19,20 129:4 168:22 176:6
nondestructiv	199:22,23 205:6	0	occasionally	offended
e 54:15			72:9	62:23
	notice 94:7	objection		
none 37:3 140:19 193:13 205:2	noticed 41:1	12:8 16:21 23:5 32:13, 17,19 33:6	occupation 137:2 141:10, 13	offering 23:23
nonidentical 22:8	notices 6:9 133:3,4	59:6 96:12, 15,17 138:6 143:25	occur 67:2 163:19 164:8	Office 4:14 13:18 210:3
	notifications	199:18		offset 113:23
normal 202:18,19	133:4	objections	occurred 42:15 63:14	128:22
		9:25	71:10,16	
Normally 126:8	notion 196:7 197:9	objects 12:6	72:17 85:17 153:18 170:9	often 72:10 79:24 169:10
	November	16:20 23:3 138:5 143:23	193:14 201:17	172:4
North 2:9	137:11			oil 112:4,10,
25:23	141:23	obligated 209:13	occurring 149:2	13
note 32:6	nowhere	200.10		old 40:23
95:25 105:11, 22 133:3	51:10	obligation 13:2	occurs 163:22,23	on-site 81:10
	NPC 142:19	10.2		113:4,6 158:3
noted 22:23 32:5 70:7	146:17	obtain 104:10	October	,
82:15 110:24	147:15		134:8,12	once 81:13
	number 23:17	obtaining	OEM's 82:23	191:13
notes 14:8				
Litigation Services 800-330-1112				

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: one..otherwise

199:14	204:5 205:18 206:12 208:5,	51:21	21:2 34:14 112:11,14	optimal 39:9
one 4:21 6:9, 15 28:14,18 35:15,21,25 36:1,3,21	23 ones 16:11 51:20 76:24	operate 25:14 60:1 78:22 116:13,14	operator 7:13 30:15 33:19, 21 34:12 35:1	order 9:15,18 34:22 68:19 70:19 119:5 192:21
39:19 45:5,8 54:20 58:14 60:9 62:20,21 63:4 65:3,8,	78:1,2 154:13 173:1 181:7	operated 25:4 30:14 35:5 50:13 58:16	62:11,16 75:12 77:25 78:2,3,4,7,13 79:18 115:22	208:12 209:23 210:1, 10,20
25 66:9,14 67:21,23 71:3 74:19 78:3,20	only 28:4 45:16,17 64:13 66:16 71:15 93:15	151:25 153:12	116:1 151:25 157:5 175:16 197:13,14	ordinary 51:24
79:23 82:8,15 83:17 84:3,7, 19 85:21,22, 23 86:13 88:2	107:22 112:12,14 116:7 123:2	operates 35:3 58:11 205:7	203:13 205:22	organization 133:7
89:17 90:16 91:13 92:10, 12 95:7 97:1 107:5 108:19	125:23 135:8 151:10 158:14,25 162:25 173:5	operating 24:2,14 25:12,14,22 30:4,10 33:17	operators 29:10 33:16, 24 58:8 148:16	organizationa I 177:3
111:4 112:12 118:8 121:3,4 122:22,23	186:11 191:3, 9 192:5,9 196:2 210:2	79:6,13 89:6 202:14	151:16 196:25	oriented 64:18
123:6 124:5, 12 126:16 127:23 128:4 130:15	onset 184:2	operation 36:24 45:22 77:24 151:21, 24 168:1	opinion 30:18 58:25 148:22 191:2	original 49:15 71:11 88:9 120:11,17
134:11 142:11 155:6, 7,17 156:24	onus 118:24 op 118:24	169:7 202:18 203:23	opportunity 16:25 20:2 21:17 23:8	148:6 originally
158:25 160:5 162:4,16 163:20 165:8, 9 167:11,12	open 72:4 177:19	operational 24:9 87:7 88:18 170:11	123:13 137:7 138:12 205:19 210:7	138:21 other's 107:6
168:19 169:4 172:17 177:13	open-ended 126:16	194:19 206:3 operationally	opposed 130:21 174:7	others 25:4 163:4 197:12
179:23 185:3, 4 189:2,10	157:13	94:6	opposite	209:10
192:2,9 197:22 202:6	opened 35:12	operations	69:17 92:21	otherwise

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: ourselves..ownership

31:22 32:8 36:16 69:1	149:2,14,22 150:4,5,16,	93:3 107:7,8, 9,10 117:2	149:18	overnight 192:20
209:14 ourselves	18,19 151:12 152:3 153:22 155:6,11,25	139:11,13,16, 24 140:3 142:16 143:8,	over 5:19 11:6 16:2 19:25 23:21	oversee 11:9
34:2 80:11 113:5 205:21 208:24	160:5,21 161:3,4 162:14 163:1, 19,22,23,24 164:8,17,19,	13 145:14,15 147:5,6,8,11, 14,15,22,25 148:4 151:6, 7,8,9 152:14,	38:10 42:17, 23 50:13 57:8 65:8 69:3 89:6 90:1	oversight 158:8 175:9 195:18
outage 24:4 26:3 27:6 28:13,17,21 29:3 38:1	24 165:5 166:13 167:1 172:21 177:15	18,20 153:14 155:4 162:24 163:8,25 164:1 165:23	91:5 111:14 112:11,13 121:10 123:4 126:25 127:9,	overstate 147:4
40:13 42:13, 14 43:12 44:3,6,19,20,	181:16 185:12,19 190:1,5,6	176:3 177:17 178:1 185:1 189:1 191:14	23 143:15 148:21 150:8 162:9,10	owe 75:17
21,23 45:11 48:11,12 52:6 53:18 55:17	191:16,17,18, 25 192:5,8 193:13,14	outdoor 46:8	209:13 overage 76:3	owes 59:3,5 own 7:4 80:13
60:9 62:21 63:10,13 65:4,21 66:10	194:23 outage-by-	outfit 173:13	overall 21:2 165:3	116:7 118:17 125:13 129:20
67:22 68:2,9, 15 71:6 73:20 74:18 75:5	outage 205:15	outlet 69:24 149:17	overdo 32:5	161:21 173:15
77:2,5 78:13 85:7 86:13,16 89:5,16	outage- related	outlined 60:7 198:12	overhaul 20:23 52:17	owned 25:3 33:17
91:10,12,24 93:7 105:1,24 106:1,7,10 107:20 108:22 110:10	148:16 outages 5:8 13:14 14:2 23:25 24:3,	outside 4:17 41:16 48:25 51:20 114:9 118:12 161:9	76:13,14,16, 19 81:5 106:11 118:16 169:12	owner 25:7 33:19,21 151:17 175:16
115:19 116:4 117:8 118:13 119:24	17,19 25:2 26:1 27:20 28:4,6 29:7 34:20 43:7,9,	174:11 188:20	overhauls 20:21 64:9	owners 25:11 33:21
121:10 123:8 138:22 140:2 147:9,17	34:20 43:7,9, 23 44:5,13 53:19 74:4 81:12,17	outsource 174:17	81:13 115:23 overlooked	ownership 25:12
148:8,18,20	82:2,7 90:17	outweigh	135:4	

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: P.C...people

			•	•
P	papered 105:16	participate 4:25	11,18,21 208:14 209:24	passes 126:22
P.C. 2:12	papers 129:12	participated 210:6	partner 30:14 116:11	past 62:8 66:18
p.m. 73:9 136:7 200:9 210:22	paperwork 121:4,5	participating 4:22 147:2	148:11 187:19	path 50:15 51:7 76:13
Pacific 11:7 39:17,19	paragraph 166:9,15	participation 6:22 25:8	partners 25:17 148:14	paths 193:17
111:5 121:4,5 Pacificorp 11:3 27:7 28:16 29:13 30:7 133:2	185:8,10,12, 15,18 186:2 188:4 paraphrase 196:25 197:8	34:3,10 58:20,24 77:24 145:12 146:24 197:1, 18	partnership 25:11 161:21 188:12 party 5:1 6:9	pay 31:7 55:11,22 56:1 76:10 117:25 118:14,16 124:13,19,20 159:10
145:18 148:17 204:24	part 7:2 16:19 39:11 52:8 54:10 57:20	particular 46:12 47:1 61:17 64:21 68:7 73:20 112:3 133:5	12:6 16:20 17:16 23:3 29:10 30:2 31:19 33:11 35:1 40:17,18	171:13 188:15 207:16
Pacificorp's 145:11 146:3, 24 pages 207:19	83:2 112:7,25 114:8 115:6 123:1 133:5 135:17 153:22	143:15 145:6 146:2 149:8 152:17 155:10 163:7	54:15,21 56:18,22 58:8 80:16,17 96:13 117:24	payers 151:23 171:13,19
pages 207.19	172:22 176:22 190:11	181:16 189:23	118:3,17 138:5 148:16 151:15	paying 56:2 118:6 188:13
paint 26:4	193:12 199:12,14	particularly 7:10	157:10,21 175:16 203:25 204:1,	pen 194:12
panels 41:22 46:4 87:25	203:12 205:8 partially 33:17	parties 5:2 9:13 12:16 14:12 17:4,21	21 206:25 210:4	penalties 205:10,11
paper 39:23 64:18 109:17 110:8 194:12	participant 34:8	21:17 23:12 26:14 30:10 73:22 204:2 205:2 207:6,	passed 36:12,17 50:12 125:24 127:7 183:18	pencil 91:4 people 41:18 42:1,4 46:3

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: percent..planning

			F	
68:5 80:10,13	performed	perspective	22	45:22 47:6
· · ·	•	119:18		49:5 50:8
81:9,16,18,24	107:22,24,25			
86:23 88:1	120:7 127:3	127:10	pick 49:1	62:17 68:13
91:25 92:4	134:2,4	153:11,13	179:16	71:19 84:9
97:1 111:24	173:25 174:1	154:10	179.10	85:10,13
113:6 116:12,		157:20 177:1		126:23
14 117:13		183:23	picked 49:17	144:24 150:1,
121:17 135:2,	performing	189:20		9 159:11
· · ·	31:15 124:24			
4 168:15,16	195:19	197:11	picking 10:7	166:24
169:25		206:18	181:21	169:20 173:6,
170:15 199:1				17 180:4,7
	perhaps			181:3,4,12
	154:17	pertaining	picks 50:4	182:5 202:1
percent 17:12		107:2 145:6	87:18	
31:6,9 55:25		146:4	•••••	
77:19 89:24	period 12:19			places 90:7
90:19 153:5	42:18 43:17		picture 26:5	
163:9 165:17	63:25 71:12	pertinent	161:12	
180:23	81:8 122:12	73:21 128:15	-	plan 34:17
190:17 191:4,	142:20	161:20		37:4 68:9
· · ·		162:10	piece 21:13	90:17 106:3
9 203:25	144:20		65:7,8 66:5	117:17
	146:18,19		69:15 75:4	144:25 164:1
percentage	147:7 194:20	Phil 140:1,22	85:9,19 188:2	111.20 101.1
			194:14	
164:5				planned 43:7,
	periodic 49:6	PHILIP 3:16	195:22,24	8,9,12 44:5,8,
perfect 24:5		141:2		14,17 65:21
31:5 151:7	periods		pieces 21:7	90:17 106:10
31.5 151.7	•		22:20 61:4	
	146:21	Phillip 2:12		107:8 115:19
perfection		4:17,19	123:24	147:9 150:18
24:6 27:2	permission		181:21	172:20 174:6
	•	nhono 10.10		
28:3,8,22	14:24	phone 18:10		
151:4			pinch 160:16	planner 135:9
	person 11:19	phrase 115:6		
norform	61:19 134:24	142:19 179:1	pipe 65:7	nlannara
perform	01.19134.24	142.19 179.1	pipe 03.7	planners
30:22 44:8				135:19
94:8 174:12	person's	physical	pipes 38:3	
	135:1	145:19,20		planning 16:2
	133.1	140.18,20		planning 16:3
performance			pit 50:14	80:8 90:24
87:12 151:18	personnel	physically		91:10 105:23
177:7	68:23 69:3	108:1 125:21,		
	00.25 09.5	100.1 120.21,	place 34:17	
	I			

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: plant..potential

plant 7:13	147:6 151:24	201:5,14,19	184:19	10:25 11:1
13:14 14:1	171:11	202:2,8,13,18	186:11	15:23 19:14
19:24,25		204:23	188:13	20:22 31:13
20:20,23 21:2		201120	193:11	58:4 96:7
23:18,25	plastic 48:24		194:22	151:23
25:14 30:15		plug-in 47:12	196:19,22	157:17 162:8
33:17 40:12	plate 172:9		197:7	180:3 204:10
43:15 44:1		plugs 35:12,	197.1	100.3 204.10
50:12 58:9,16		14,15,19,20		
	platforms	36:3,7 37:1,6,	pointed 70:17	positioned
60:2 62:11,16	87:24		80:23	204:7
64:3 67:6,8		20 60:9,13		
68:4,23 69:3		119:23 148:9		
72:14 75:3	play 8:4	181:25 184:8,	points 38:4	positions
78:23 80:10,	203:14 206:4	17 198:23	47:12	23:17 87:23
16 81:4,16		202:6		
90:3,6,25	players		policies	positive
92:2 108:14	204:22	plumbing	146:4 182:5	68:24,25
112:11		51:8	110.1102.0	00.2 1,20
115:22 116:1,		01.0		
13,14,22	pleasant		policy 11:3,7	possibility
117:2,8	41:23	plus 41:5	13:17,24	36:21 40:9
118:7,12		63:20 71:20	47:23 49:5	69:9 187:22
120:11,17,21	pleasing	90:19 91:6	104:3,11,16	204:2,5,19
122:8,23	114:11	109:2,12	121:25	
126:2,6	114.11		138:25 139:1,	
127:7,9		DM 70.00	5,8 144:25	possible
128:13,24	plowing	PM 70:20	146:10,11	85:1,3 108:1
133:6 139:24	18:22	110:20 134:7	159:22	113:17
145:14				114:10
147:21,24		point 7:17		116:24
155:8 157:6	plug 35:14,25	8:3,11 34:20	poor 203:23	175:11,14
180:14	36:14 70:1	35:16 36:15		188:1,9 208:3
195:23	82:11 83:20,	41:4 48:2	poorly	
	24,25 84:17,	51:20 63:17,	159:12,13	possibly
199:14	20 87:13	23 70:16	100.12,10	31:16 83:24,
	95:6,14,16,20	72:17 77:22		25 136:4
plants 19:19,	107:21 108:2,	78:20 82:11	portion 3:7	204:4
20 23:22 24:2	19 129:21,24		63:23 64:21	204.4
25:3,6,10,15	130:2,3,14	88:24 94:4	97:12 103:4	
26:5 34:7	131:2 155:23	121:17 124:7		potato 157:13
58:9 78:9,11	179:24	128:6 161:6		-
79:24 116:7,	182:17 198:9	165:4 177:14,	pos 157:17	mater del
11 121:13	200:20,23	17 179:18		potential
	-, -		position	

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: potentially..prevent

			-	
63:10 104:4	58:8,15 59:5,	114:5 115:16 116:15	153:16	32:17
126:16 132:21 146:5 174:22 203:18	20 74:3 111:5 113:23 117:4, 5 118:5 121:5,12 122:6,10	122:20 126:2 132:24 146:4 148:10 149:10,13	preliminary 4:7 5:4 6:14, 23 10:2	president 19:17 23:14
potentially 48:17 95:14, 19 113:14 122:22	124:11 129:6 133:8 136:2, 10 139:12,15, 24 140:3	150:12,24 154:4 157:22 161:16 162:1 171:23,24	prematurely 124:9	pressure 36:8,11,12,17 38:5 84:11,21 93:23 107:21,
155:15,17 160:24 192:21 193:2 197:16 201:8	144:7,16 145:8,20 146:16 147:13,17,18,	185:21,22 187:11,20 206:12	premium 25:13	24,25 130:22 183:2,23,24 202:10
205:15,16,17	20 148:25 152:2 153:17 157:12 159:4	pre-2011 67:3,4,11	prepare 12:13 16:25 20:3 23:8	pressurizatio n 183:11,16
pound 84:21 pounds 36:11 84:10	165:6,25 167:1 173:24 175:2,7,20 184:20 195:9	precedents 206:18	prepared 16:7 17:14 138:7 144:2	pressurized 182:25 184:7
power 2:9	196:2,20 197:11 203:24 205:3,	precipitating 185:22	173:15	presume 109:1 135:1
4:5,9,11,24 5:11 10:5,10 11:2,7,8,11 12:24,25	9,11 207:1 Power's	precipitation 51:21	preparing 5:20	presuming 9:14 193:25
13:14 15:1 19:1,18,21,24 23:15,22	15:25 23:16 29:9 58:18	prefer 208:23 209:4	prescriptive 125:7	presumption 109:7
25:7,16 26:8 29:1,2,19 30:2,7,14,19,	practice 50:7 60:2 72:19 120:8 122:15	preference 12:2	present 5:2 209:25	pretty 116:8 188:8
20 31:10,14, 15,22 33:10, 14,17,23,25 34:10 35:5 20:16 17 10	123:5,20 125:2,4 126:6 132:17 149:6 155:20	prefiled 11:15,19,23 12:4,13 16:7,	presented 17:7 20:11 34:23	prevalent 164:14
39:16,17,19 40:12 53:6, 20,21 55:19 56:21 57:13	160:18 176:17 practices	11,18,25 20:3 21:7 22:16,22 23:1,8 137:11,19	presents 7:9 preserve	prevent 24:23 29:7 37:4 51:16 131:21
		ŕ		

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: preventative..proof

153:17,22 154:7 159:4,9 167:18	principals 158:4	85:4 89:13 93:10 95:17 96:2 109:22	155:18 188:15 196:14	11:15 13:20 17:17 128:15 129:7
preventative 134:1	principles 128:20 160:2	121:19 123:19 125:7 127:6,7,8 135:25 154:5	procedural 46:19 148:23	process 20:24 25:6 35:14 39:17,
prevented 24:4 193:13 196:3	prior 17:15 23:16 42:6 44:6,13 47:24,25 94:5 112:6,20	159:19 165:19 177:15 200:5 208:8	procedure 37:10,11,19 47:3,5,11 62:7 82:22,23	18 45:23 50:3 52:13 53:7 62:17 68:13 69:13 71:19
preventive 68:18 70:18 110:13 134:20	113:1 126:25 127:3 135:13 186:10 191:20	problem 18:8 44:20 46:19, 25 47:1 48:8 49:9 68:4,15 69:22 94:7	125:6 134:19 138:25 156:14 171:14 180:11 181:14	116:20 130:17 131:13,15 132:9 135:3 196:20
previous 29:12 41:3 44:5 69:12 106:1	priorities 154:11 155:2 prioritize	109:23 124:16 125:15 132:21 134:14 135:8	181:14 182:10 196:20 202:14	processes 24:19 25:15 45:21 118:7
previously 11:12 90:25	43:21 priority	154:19,20,22 160:21 161:9 163:2,3,12,14	procedures 46:24 50:18 69:4 130:1	produced 186:12
price 76:22	154:12	164:2,7,9,15, 17,20,23 165:2 168:4	148:10,17 149:24 150:1 155:14	professionals 188:2
prices 17:7 30:24 52:18 53:21,24	privileged 96:23 probability	180:9 181:5, 15 189:21,22, 24 190:2,4,7, 13,14 191:12,	156:11 161:1 167:21,24 173:16 180:4, 7 181:3,4,7,	prohibitive 175:4
117:14 122:6 pricey 104:9	118:13 probably 6:16	13,16 192:10, 12,13,17,20 193:1	24 182:5 201:23 202:1	project 74:21 75:17,23 76:5 114:1 128:22
pricing 15:24	7:7 8:1 29:22 35:18 37:13 40:10 44:12,	problematic 158:24	proceed 9:12	projects 68:20 124:14
Primavera 92:10	15,18 49:1 50:16 51:11 55:14 83:18	problems 133:13	proceeded 103:5 164:24	proof 198:14,
		-	proceeding	

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: prop..put

16,18,19	34:2 188:20	31:1,4 74:8 104:2 174:23,	Prussell@ hjdlaw.com	95:10
prop 41:8	protected 33:25	24	2:15	pumping 130:23
propagation		prudence	psi 182:25	
40:19	protection 46:4 73:25 79:13	7:13 29:4 32:16 145:5 149:1 151:5	public 2:5 4:4,15 13:10	purchased 145:8 146:16
40:20	protocols	178:19	27:1 96:13,19 103:5 116:9	purpose 50:18 116:21
propensity 69:3	92:2	prudency 14:1 23:24	137:4 144:25 198:13	Pursuant
	prove 72:18 84:13 121:6	24:1,7 150:15	publish	96:18
proper 158:2	169:16	prudent 7:8,	133:15	
160:19,25 161:1 173:9,	198:18	11 24:19,25 25:15 26:3		pursued 104:3
16 181:25		28:8,9 29:14,	pull 49:23,25	104.5
properly	provide 7:19 20:7 26:6 73:20 74:15	17 30:10 37:8 39:6 47:3,21 51:5 59:21	50:1,2 64:8 93:7 125:17 126:8 150:7	purview 11:8
36:20 83:20 110:18 150:21	153:6 157:19 195:17,18	79:10 82:19 85:18 91:4	158:19 193:24	push 11:4
155:14,16 168:5 173:4 195:11	207:13 provided 20:8	114:22 115:9 144:25 150:24	pulled 48:18 50:6 72:11	put 35:25 36:3 38:22 39:10.21
proposal	115:2 148:21 153:6,8,10 156:15 158:8	155:13 157:22 169:2 170:18,23	93:12,24 94:15 127:18 194:1,8	43:15 49:25 50:9 54:17 60:10,16
207:8,9 propose	166:20 181:17 186:21	171:25 192:16 203:12	pulling 49:25	61:12 63:14, 16 64:10 65:24 72:2,16
207:15	188:18 195:6	205:21,24	pulls 72:16	76:14,20 81:23 82:15,
proposed	provision	prudently		20 84:8,18
13:11,16,24,	34:10 57:16	26:9 29:7,17,	pump 35:24 36:1 112:4	85:10,13,19 86:3 89:12
25 17:7	74:2 75:8	20,21 59:15, 17 149:25	168:21	92:11,25
139:5,8	175:1	154:2	100.21	112:9 118:20,
protect 31:2	provisions		pumped	23 120:14

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: puts..rate

121:8 122:7	112:1	10 199:19	135:21	raised 48:3
123:25		200:16 201:7	137:22 140:6,	
124:10		202:6 210:3	7,9,13,15,17	
126:15,16	quality 64:16	202.0 210.0	142:8 152:5,	raises 174:10
133:22	173:10		6,9 155:5	
154:17		questionable	160:1 162:2	raising 46:21
	quantification	202:16	165:8 175:18,	Taising 40.21
171:25 175:8	147:18		,	
180:11	147.10		20 177:4	Ralston 3:1
181:12		questioned	178:4 179:19	5:13 14:2
182:13,21	quarter 35:19	82:17	188:8 197:25	19:3,5,8,13,
183:14 184:3,	66:1		199:5,16	16 20:2 21:6
13 186:23		questioning	200:3,13,15	23:2,7,13
194:11 196:4		79:1 82:14	203:1,9	26:12,13
202:8 205:21	quarterly	89:20 189:5	206:20	73:15,19 93:5
207:21	80:3	194:3		103:22
		104.0	quick 50:17	106:22
puts 31:13	question 6:10		203:8	114:14 128:8
	8:7 14:9	questions	203.0	135:25
	26:23 28:11	2:23 6:8 8:3		147:24 148:7
putting 42:4	29:11,12 30:8	11:18 14:11,	quickly 160:8	186:8 187:1
56:7 60:20,25	33:3 47:17	12,14,15,17,		190:16
85:23 87:25	50:24 55:5	19 16:9	auite 10.05	
130:17		17:21,23,24	quite 42:25	205:20
	56:20 59:11	18:1,3,5	134:10	
Para	60:11 62:2	22:20 26:11,		Ralston's
puzzling	74:1,5 77:20	13,17 32:7	quote 167:20	148:2,5 151:2
168:12	78:20 82:25	33:1 37:23	186:1 189:9	206:2
173:11	84:5 88:16	55:2 56:6,8,	205:24	
	89:18 94:5	15,17 60:6		
Q	95:5 103:7	62:24 69:13		ramp 202:18
x	104:25	72:21 73:21	quoted 60:4	
	106:25 107:6,	77:7,21		rapid 192:21
	8,22 113:13,	79:17,19	R	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
QC 173:5	15 114:19	80:20,23	<u> </u>	
	116:4 119:17	82:8,21		rapidly
qualifications	123:22 128:1,	,		164:13
111:16,19	4,6,10 155:12	103:15,19,22	radiation	
	159:6,13,17	107:14	65:15	rate 4:6 17:7
	171:21 172:4	110:10		44:3 56:2
qualified	174:11	113:10	radioactive	
30:22	187:25	123:24	65:23	89:23 90:19
	189:14	127:25 129:9,	05.25	91:1,3 114:8
	194:10 198:9,	13 132:12,15		129:1 130:19
qualify 52:14	,		raise 46:15	
	Titication			

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: rates..records

145:10	real-time	79:10 108:20	receives	recommendat
151:22 157:7	147:2	114:21 115:9	25:13	ions 138:13
171:13,19	117.2	151:17	20.10	142:15 151:3
190:17 191:5,		153:11		158:7 161:15
9	realize	172:11	recent 187:7	178:18 185:4
J	187:22,24	172.11		170.10 100.4
rates 17:9,13 114:2 144:8, 17	realizes 164:6	reasons 87:6, 12 105:4 123:5	recently 52:25	recommende d 27:21 28:4 40:18 139:1,
	really 8:13		recess 73:7,9	12 149:5
	9:3 32:20,23		136:7 200:8,9	186:9
rather 7:2	36:23 37:8	reassemble	,-	
69:6 79:18	71:22 76:19	118:22		_
81:2 152:16	83:22 84:4		recite 7:8	recommends
163:5 176:20	89:12 109:25	reassembled		138:17
	110:6 112:12,	184:5	reclosed	
rationale	14 115:7		35:14	record 10:22
186:23	118:22 122:6			12:5 15:19
	125:3 133:19	rebuild 43:14	rooognizo	16:19 32:6
	158:6 178:6,		recognize 47:7 63:16	46:9 47:25
reach 172:11	10 189:11	rebuttal 21:11	96:25 173:6	73:11 111:25
	200:23 209:1	139:23	208:2,11	136:9 137:2
reaches 69:5		141:22,23	200.2,11	138:3 141:9
	reason 5:12,	142:9 143:18,		160:13 169:3,
read 22:12	15 39:16	21	recognizes	16 170:9
53:3 155:14	70:14 83:19		208:3	172:14 180:6
185:17	85:18 105:10	rec 132:5		199:22
186:17	109:1,9 115:6	100 102.0	recollection	200:11
	146:25 147:3		48:11	
	154:6 156:19	recall 57:19		recorded
reading 48:7	167:20 175:8	74:1 79:19		11:16 16:11
144:11	186:17	89:19 95:7	recommend	
	187:20	105:7 133:3	37:5 132:5	l
ready 136:8	189:15	178:8	146:9 147:12	recordkeepin
200:12	196:17 199:7			g 121:23
	204:13	receive	recommendat	161:1
	-	207:16	ion 88:4	
real 112:8,13			148:6 158:7	records 39:22
116:24 127:21		received 8:1	162:9 185:24	40:3 63:21
127:21	24:18 28:9	73:22 150:6	187:13	64:16 72:18
178:14	30:23 36:18	73:22 150:6 155:21 186:6		105:19 110:3
170.14	37:9 58:20	100.0		

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: recourse..remembering

reduced 38:10 69:10	regard 25:21 148:3 202:4	reimburseme nts 107:9	208:19
128:12,25 139:15	regarding 23:24 129:21	reinspection 113:5	relevance 7:11
reduces 114:1,8	133:24 144:7, 16 146:14,22, 23 147:5 148:18 150:4	reinstalling 37:20	relevant 15:22 121:20 153:25 154:8
reducing 147:13	199:5	reject 207:9	reliability 25:24 177:4
reduction 13:11 129:1,4 147:14	29:3,25	related 13:1, 3,6,8 14:1 24:17 38:6	relies 161:14
redundant 129:14	registered 70:8	87:8 139:16 147:14,16	relish 176:1
refer 177:25	regular 161:24 170:13,24	155:6 161:4 177:2,4,6	rely 40:16 70:25
100110	194:9	relating 5:8	relying 97:5
reference 38:18 164:11 166:11 176:9 198:8	regulation 15:25 16:4	relation 134:4 145:9	remain 137:23 142:10
references 111:23	regulatory 11:3,7 151:25 reheat 149:4	relationship 7:14 35:6 161:23	remedy 44:6 51:1 139:2
referring 77:5 185:25 197:18	reheater 149:15,17	relationships 33:24 58:8	remember 39:3 41:21 45:6 74:4
refers 25:17	163:15 191:22	relative 50:25 65:25 81:15 132:4,18	77:9 78:24 80:7 82:17,21 122:1 163:21
reflect 147:13	reimbursed 29:6,14,16	145:17 177:6	remembering
refresh 48:11		Relatively	39:3
	38:10 69:10 128:12,25 139:15 reduces 114:1,8 reducing 147:13 reduction 13:11 129:1,4 147:14 refer 177:25 189:16 reference 38:18 164:11 166:11 176:9 198:8 references 111:23 references 111:23 references 111:23 references 111:23 references 111:23 references 111:23 references 111:23 references 111:23	38:10 69:10 148:3 202:4 128:12,25 regarding 139:15 regarding reduces 133:24 144:7, 114:1,8 133:24 144:7, 114:1,8 16 146:14,22, reducing 147:15 reduction 13:11 129:1,4 147:13 regardless 29:3,25 148:18 150:4 147:14 199:5 redundant 29:3,25 147:14 regular regular 161:24 129:14 regular reference 38:18 164:11 166:11 176:9 198:8 references 11:3,7 151:25 111:23 regulation 15:25 16:4 198:8 references 11:3,7 151:25 111:23 reheat 149:4 references 149:15,17 163:15 191:22 references 149:15,17 163:15 191:22 references 149:15,17 163:15 191:22 references 149:15,17 163:15 191:22 <	38:10 69:10 128:12,25 139:15 148:3 202:4 regarding 23:24 129:21 133:24 144:7, 114:1,8 nts 107:9 reduces 148:18 150:4 147:13 reinspection 113:24 144:7, 16 146:14,22, 23 147:5 148:18 150:4 199:5 reinstalling 37:20 reduction 13:11 129:1,4 147:14 regardless 29:3,25 related 13:1, 3,6,8 14:1 24:17 38:6 87:8 139:16 redundant 129:14 regular 161:24 170:13,24 194:9 relation 134:4 177:2,4,6 reference 38:18 164:11 166:11 176:9 198:8 regulation 15:25 16:4 relation 134:4 145:9 references 111:23 regulatory 11:3,7 151:25 185:25 191:22 relation 134:4 145:9 references 111:23 reheat 149:4 relation 134:4 145:15 references 111:23 reheat 149:4 relation 134:4 145:15 references 197:18 reheater 149:15,17 163:15 relative 50:25 65:25 81:15 132:4,18 references 197:18 reinbursed 29:6,14,16 Relatively

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: remind..response

remind 123:16	161:15 185:5 187:5	replacing 49:9 143:11 160:13	representatio n 27:3 29:15 34:25	106:15 research 8:25
remove 94:10	rephrase 30:8	164:16		133:8,9 172:5
	-		representativ	176:22
removed	replace 44:7,	report 13:11, 22 48:19 60:8	e 145:21	
27:21 60:10	16 49:6,7	64:23 124:25		residual
62:1 95:10 163:5	68:21 90:12 91:3 106:4	134:20,21	representing 4:15	88:23
103.5	117:5 124:8	135:4,6,10	4.15	
repair 39:17	142:17,19	139:9,10,23 165:12,14	represents	resistance 47:13,16
45:25 53:1,	167:4 190:3	166:5 167:12	24:15	
10,17,25		168:11		resource 16:3
54:25 55:2, 11,13 68:5	replaced 71:17	169:18 177:22 186:3,	request 14:4	
83:14 86:20	7 1.17	12,14,23	144:9,18	respect 7:10
90:20 92:24	replacement	187:16 188:3,		9:14 25:3
151:21 165:24	13:13 29:2	19 189:2	requested 12:21 138:21	113:24 165:8
166:16,20	33:10,14	191:1 196:23	12.21 130.21	
202:25	44:8,24 53:21 55:19 56:21	reported 41:6	requesting	respectfully 14:4
	57:13 103:12	107:2 138:13	4:24	
repaired 43:8	117:7 118:5			respective
53:14 92:24	120:9 124:11 139:12,15,24	reporter 10:8	require 158:3	146:20
	140:3 147:13,	126:11	208:4	
repairing 94:13	17,18 148:25	144:12		respond 21:9
	152:2 153:17 157:12	roporting	required 36:8 61:11 82:16	32:19 128:1 208:13
repairs 63:25	158:25 159:4	reporting 146:5 161:19	152:25	200.15
87:4 166:17,	165:2,6,25		191:19	responding
18,24	167:1 173:24	reports 13:25		13:21 69:12
	175:2,7 190:9,10	40:22 66:23	requirements	
repeat 29:11 185:9,13,18,	193:3,6,9	67:14 91:14 161:6	146:10	responds
19	197:11 205:2,	101.0	roquires	171:9
	9,11	represent	requires 158:9	
repeatedly	roplacomente	196:15 207:5		response 14:6 21:9
149:10	replacements 43:4	209:17	rerecross	36:5 39:11

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: responses..role

61:24 103:8 139:2,4,6,21 146:12	rests 163:12 198:19 result 27:14	returned 36:25 returning	134:20 145:23 153:8 ricocheting	20 25:13 77:8,25 118:6 159:9 174:22 204:13
147:23 148:2 151:2 171:20	28:5 42:19 45:12 46:23	75:12 76:14	87:22	Robert 2:24
responses	49:12 57:18 77:9 127:13	returns 74:21	rid 127:8	4:25 15:1,13, 20
23:23 199:11	147:21 148:8 149:8,23 150:17 153:1	reveal 170:20	rider 104:13	robust 39:18
responsibiliti es 177:3	resulted	revealed 146:3 201:8	ring 167:21	rock 49:16
responsibility 19:18 40:24 60:1 151:20	17:11 35:15 45:24 142:18	revenue 145:8 146:15	rings 41:2	Rocky 2:8 4:5,9,11,23
	resulting 139:13 150:19	revenues 12:25 13:1	risk 13:17,24 24:12 26:6 31:6,9,10,13, 20,21 32:3	5:11 10:4,10 14:25 15:25 19:1,18,20 23:14,15
responsible 23:15 29:2,19 31:23 57:12,	results 45:23	review 40:17	41:17 45:3,5 55:25 68:22 73:25 76:22	25:6,16 26:8 29:1,9,19 30:2,6,14,19,
17 58 1 5	retained 141:16 144:6, 15	64:13 135:9, 14 137:7 138:24 140:2 141:16 144:7, 16 145:2 146:2,24	77:19 78:4, 14,23 79:5 88:1 91:5 117:24 118:5, 8,14,17 139:7	20 31:14,22 33:16,22,25 35:4 53:5 58:14,17 59:4,19,20
174:18 179:8, 1 17	retention 121:25	140.2,24 147:5 152:4 153:7,20,23 171:23	146:10,11 148:13 154:15	136:2,9 144:7,16 175:19
responsive 165:11	retighten 130:1	197:19	157:18,19,24 159:15 164:13 165:6	184:20 195:9 196:20 204:24
93:20 111:9 173:23	retiring 13:2	reviewed 26:2 135:2,7 145:6,11,14 152:14	171:8 178:16 197:3,13 203:23,24,25 204:5 205:9, 11,16	206:25 rod 41:22
restart 112:11	73:4 76:20 129:4	reviewing 24:3 25:2	risks 24:19,	role 144:22

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: roles..says

	_	_	_	_
			404 5 470 44	100.10
roles 16:5	Russell 2:12	said 8:24	164:5 173:14	120:13
	3:4,8 4:19	41:7,11 46:14	176:25	124:18 125:3,
room 4:22 7:8	8:20,21 11:4	48:3,19 53:5	178:19 199:4	6,18 126:11
96:21 97:1	14:16,17	61:21 64:10	205:19	131:18
00.21 07.1	17:25 18:1	77:12 80:11	208:18	155:17,23
	56:11,12,14	85:21 88:6,11		156:12
root 52:25	57:7 59:12	94:1 104:18	sample	158:20
151:11	61:16 72:23	110:16 124:3	145:4,21	159:21
155:19,20	103:16,18,21	127:7,19,22	143.4,21	160:24
158:2 181:18	106:13 140:9,	130:1 131:12,		166:19 168:5,
200:21 201:8,	10 152:8,10,	24 132:20	satisfied	16 169:18
11	12 165:20,22	134:21	112:16	171:7,22
	166:4 175:17	163:17 179:7		176:21
	177:20 178:4	182:4 184:8		178:10
rotor 112:4,	189:6 194:3	185:3 187:3,	satisfy	179:25 182:7
22	199:25 209:4	11,15 189:8	111:18	184:19 186:5
	100.20 200.4	193:7 203:18		187:18 188:9
roughly 45:14		190.7 200.10	Save 208:24	191:3,8
	rustling			200:20
	129:12	sake 184:23		
round 208:18			savings 13:2	206:13
			159:19	207:12 209:3
	S	sakes 176:7		
route 122.24				
route 122:24			2004 11:G	saying 41:3
route 122:24 188:6		sales 13:7	saw 41:6	saying 41:3 59:14 77:10
	safe 87:4,9	sales 13:7 145:8 146:15	saw 41:6	59:14 77:10
				59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21
188:6	safe 87:4,9	145:8 146:15	saw 41:6 say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10,
188:6 rule 33:6	safe 87:4,9 125:6		say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12
188:6	safe 87:4,9	145:8 146:15	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9
188:6 rule 33:6	safe 87:4,9 125:6	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20
188:6 rule 33:6 ruling 9:25	safe 87:4,9 125:6 safely 68:5	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13 same 11:20	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17 37:17 45:7	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20 180:18,24
188:6 rule 33:6 ruling 9:25 run 43:15	 safe 87:4,9 125:6 safely 68:5 safer 122:23, 	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13 same 11:20 16:11 25:1,16	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17 37:17 45:7 49:14 53:12	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20 180:18,24 181:2 184:3,
188:6 rule 33:6 ruling 9:25 run 43:15 45:15,17	safe 87:4,9 125:6 safely 68:5	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13 same 11:20 16:11 25:1,16 29:8 30:4,24	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17 37:17 45:7 49:14 53:12 57:3,11,14,16	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20 180:18,24 181:2 184:3, 18 186:16
188:6 rule 33:6 ruling 9:25 run 43:15 45:15,17 49:4,22 51:18	safe 87:4,9 125:6 safely 68:5 safer 122:23, 24	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13 same 11:20 16:11 25:1,16 29:8 30:4,24 31:15 33:20	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17 37:17 45:7 49:14 53:12 57:3,11,14,16 60:12,19	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20 180:18,24 181:2 184:3,
188:6 rule 33:6 ruling 9:25 run 43:15 45:15,17 49:4,22 51:18 93:2 124:14	 safe 87:4,9 125:6 safely 68:5 safer 122:23, 	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13 same 11:20 16:11 25:1,16 29:8 30:4,24 31:15 33:20 38:22 40:8,11	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17 37:17 45:7 49:14 53:12 57:3,11,14,16 60:12,19 61:14 63:13	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20 180:18,24 181:2 184:3, 18 186:16
188:6 rule 33:6 ruling 9:25 run 43:15 45:15,17 49:4,22 51:18	safe 87:4,9 125:6 safely 68:5 safer 122:23, 24	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13 same 11:20 16:11 25:1,16 29:8 30:4,24 31:15 33:20 38:22 40:8,11 42:20 44:8	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17 37:17 45:7 49:14 53:12 57:3,11,14,16 60:12,19 61:14 63:13 65:9 67:4	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20 180:18,24 181:2 184:3, 18 186:16
188:6 rule 33:6 ruling 9:25 run 43:15 45:15,17 49:4,22 51:18 93:2 124:14 194:14	 safe 87:4,9 125:6 safely 68:5 safer 122:23, 24 safest 41:19 	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13 same 11:20 16:11 25:1,16 29:8 30:4,24 31:15 33:20 38:22 40:8,11 42:20 44:8 59:4,19,21	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17 37:17 45:7 49:14 53:12 57:3,11,14,16 60:12,19 61:14 63:13 65:9 67:4 70:13 74:24	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20 180:18,24 181:2 184:3, 18 186:16 190:2,3,7
188:6 rule 33:6 ruling 9:25 run 43:15 45:15,17 49:4,22 51:18 93:2 124:14	 safe 87:4,9 125:6 safely 68:5 safer 122:23, 24 safest 41:19 safety 87:5 	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13 same 11:20 16:11 25:1,16 29:8 30:4,24 31:15 33:20 38:22 40:8,11 42:20 44:8 59:4,19,21 66:10 79:8	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17 37:17 45:7 49:14 53:12 57:3,11,14,16 60:12,19 61:14 63:13 65:9 67:4 70:13 74:24 75:15 78:12	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20 180:18,24 181:2 184:3, 18 186:16 190:2,3,7 says 22:7,11
188:6 rule 33:6 ruling 9:25 run 43:15 45:15,17 49:4,22 51:18 93:2 124:14 194:14	 safe 87:4,9 125:6 safely 68:5 safer 122:23, 24 safest 41:19 safety 87:5 92:2,16 	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13 same 11:20 16:11 25:1,16 29:8 30:4,24 31:15 33:20 38:22 40:8,11 42:20 44:8 59:4,19,21 66:10 79:8 85:4,23 86:8,	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17 37:17 45:7 49:14 53:12 57:3,11,14,16 60:12,19 61:14 63:13 65:9 67:4 70:13 74:24 75:15 78:12 81:6 83:6	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20 180:18,24 181:2 184:3, 18 186:16 190:2,3,7 says 22:7,11 52:24 88:3
188:6 rule 33:6 ruling 9:25 run 43:15 45:15,17 49:4,22 51:18 93:2 124:14 194:14 running	 safe 87:4,9 125:6 safely 68:5 safer 122:23, 24 safest 41:19 safety 87:5 92:2,16 111:25 	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13 same 11:20 16:11 25:1,16 29:8 30:4,24 31:15 33:20 38:22 40:8,11 42:20 44:8 59:4,19,21 66:10 79:8 85:4,23 86:8, 16 93:8 95:15	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17 37:17 45:7 49:14 53:12 57:3,11,14,16 60:12,19 61:14 63:13 65:9 67:4 70:13 74:24 75:15 78:12 81:6 83:6 86:15 104:7	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20 180:18,24 181:2 184:3, 18 186:16 190:2,3,7 says 22:7,11 52:24 88:3 124:15
188:6 rule 33:6 ruling 9:25 run 43:15 45:15,17 49:4,22 51:18 93:2 124:14 194:14 running 54:18	 safe 87:4,9 125:6 safely 68:5 safer 122:23, 24 safest 41:19 safety 87:5 92:2,16 111:25 122:20 123:6, 	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13 same 11:20 16:11 25:1,16 29:8 30:4,24 31:15 33:20 38:22 40:8,11 42:20 44:8 59:4,19,21 66:10 79:8 85:4,23 86:8, 16 93:8 95:15 137:22,24	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17 37:17 45:7 49:14 53:12 57:3,11,14,16 60:12,19 61:14 63:13 65:9 67:4 70:13 74:24 75:15 78:12 81:6 83:6 86:15 104:7 106:10	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20 180:18,24 181:2 184:3, 18 186:16 190:2,3,7 says 22:7,11 52:24 88:3 124:15 160:16 173:13
188:6 rule 33:6 ruling 9:25 run 43:15 45:15,17 49:4,22 51:18 93:2 124:14 194:14 running 54:18 runs 50:4	 safe 87:4,9 125:6 safely 68:5 safer 122:23, 24 safest 41:19 safety 87:5 92:2,16 111:25 	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13 same 11:20 16:11 25:1,16 29:8 30:4,24 31:15 33:20 38:22 40:8,11 42:20 44:8 59:4,19,21 66:10 79:8 85:4,23 86:8, 16 93:8 95:15 137:22,24 142:8,10	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17 37:17 45:7 49:14 53:12 57:3,11,14,16 60:12,19 61:14 63:13 65:9 67:4 70:13 74:24 75:15 78:12 81:6 83:6 86:15 104:7 106:10 109:22 115:8,	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20 180:18,24 181:2 184:3, 18 186:16 190:2,3,7 says 22:7,11 52:24 88:3 124:15 160:16 173:13 180:11 188:4
188:6 rule 33:6 ruling 9:25 run 43:15 45:15,17 49:4,22 51:18 93:2 124:14 194:14 running 54:18	 safe 87:4,9 125:6 safely 68:5 safer 122:23, 24 safest 41:19 safety 87:5 92:2,16 111:25 122:20 123:6, 	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13 same 11:20 16:11 25:1,16 29:8 30:4,24 31:15 33:20 38:22 40:8,11 42:20 44:8 59:4,19,21 66:10 79:8 85:4,23 86:8, 16 93:8 95:15 137:22,24	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17 37:17 45:7 49:14 53:12 57:3,11,14,16 60:12,19 61:14 63:13 65:9 67:4 70:13 74:24 75:15 78:12 81:6 83:6 86:15 104:7 106:10	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20 180:18,24 181:2 184:3, 18 186:16 190:2,3,7 says 22:7,11 52:24 88:3 124:15 160:16 173:13
188:6 rule 33:6 ruling 9:25 run 43:15 45:15,17 49:4,22 51:18 93:2 124:14 194:14 running 54:18 runs 50:4	 safe 87:4,9 125:6 safely 68:5 safer 122:23, 24 safest 41:19 safety 87:5 92:2,16 111:25 122:20 123:6, 	145:8 146:15 Salt 2:6,10,13 same 11:20 16:11 25:1,16 29:8 30:4,24 31:15 33:20 38:22 40:8,11 42:20 44:8 59:4,19,21 66:10 79:8 85:4,23 86:8, 16 93:8 95:15 137:22,24 142:8,10	say 5:18 21:3 22:2,12 28:7 31:5,17 32:13 34:13 35:17 37:17 45:7 49:14 53:12 57:3,11,14,16 60:12,19 61:14 63:13 65:9 67:4 70:13 74:24 75:15 78:12 81:6 83:6 86:15 104:7 106:10 109:22 115:8,	59:14 77:10 88:7 93:21 112:5 123:10, 19 125:12 155:25 171:9 178:5,20 180:18,24 181:2 184:3, 18 186:16 190:2,3,7 says 22:7,11 52:24 88:3 124:15 160:16 173:13 180:11 188:4

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: scenario..seven

194:18 207:20	95:10,13,17 130:20	171:2 187:23 seemed	senior 19:17 23:13	service 4:4 15:25 36:25 52:12 65:18
scenario	searches	108:9	sense 79:21,	86:4 148:22
74:20 163:7	64:19		25 81:14	163:15 166:25
		seems 25:1	117:6 125:9 167:22	
schedule	season	108:7	205:13	services
53:19 74:23, 25 91:23	134:15		209:14	13:18 16:2
92:8,16 117:3		seen 31:8		197:15 210:4
,	second 14:25 44:22 145:6	77:13,18 78:3 89:7 117:19	sent 54:14	
scheduled	163:22 183:9	156:14	75:3 80:10	session 96:3
191:6 193:2,	185:10 186:1	172:14 177:9	131:23 194:25 195:2	97:13
8,12	191:16 202:7	196:13	194.20 195.2	
			sentence	set 11:18 32:24 48:25
scheduling	secondary	sees 40:23	22:7 135:18	57:1 92:3,8
92:8 209:23 210:1,10,19	149:1 150:14	46:15 96:24	142:16	169:12
210.1,10,10	accent 102:22	coloct 52:24	143:11	
scope 52:16	secret 192:22	select 53:24		setting 130:8
74:18 81:9	section 40:21	selected	September 40:12,13 46:3	
83:12,13	68:7 90:4	143:14	66:9 86:12	settled
91:15,18,19 117:11	96:18		132:5 134:8	145:18
118:19 209:5		self-drain	149:2 161:3	
	sections	69:1	184:25	settlement 13:3
script 176:6	53:15 68:24			10.0
-	93:15	Semiannual	sequence 12:3	seven 5:8
SDR 116:20	sector 19:24	169:11	12.0	13:14 14:1
	Sector 19.24		series 32:7	27:23,24
seal 36:2,9	security 92:1	senate 6:18	35:12,19	28:4,6 34:20 81:7 88:15
61:8 95:13,18	3000111y 92.1		79:16	139:13 140:3
181:9	seek 199:6	send 80:13 127:19		147:11,24
	300K 133.0	131:17	serious 156:9	148:4 152:20,
sealed 182:22	seeking 27:2			21,22,24,25 153:14,21
cooling	g	sending	seriously	155:4 163:21
sealing	seem 48:4	133:3 188:13	156:10	176:3,5

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: seventies..sitting

	•	•		
seventies 49:16 50:12 150:7	sheer 18:12 202:3	should 6:11, 19 18:12 21:20 22:6,8,	shows 25:17 169:3 195:23	similarly 17:19 22:19 55:15
several 82:7 86:1 111:13 134:10	shift 24:12 31:6 32:3 77:25 79:4	12,13,16 26:1 29:2,6,9,13, 16,19,24 30:1,5 41:7,	shutdown 69:4	simply 62:4 84:17 143:12 151:20
190:21	shifted 55:24 78:4 203:25	10 70:7 73:2 76:2 85:17 90:21 96:2	shutdowns 20:21 45:16	166:24 168:4 174:17 188:1
shake 108:11, 12	shifting 77:8 117:25	115:3,5 127:2 129:24 132:11	side 34:11 89:9	since 23:16 37:2 42:8,15
shake-down 168:2	197:13	139:15,24 140:3 148:12, 15 150:2,25	sign 77:18	47:8 51:1 67:7 71:11 146:8 148:13
shakes 41:25 196:18	shifts 31:21 shipped	157:23 159:15,22 168:2 172:4	significance 135:4	149:9,25 150:2 163:14, 15 169:23
shape 97:2	173:7 shocked	178:11 179:8 188:6 189:17 190:7 194:18	significant 116:20 134:24 147:7	170:1 176:13, 18 177:11
share 12:15 17:3 23:11	18:17	206:1,5 shouldn't	156:7 165:1	single 208:18
25:12 116:10, 11,15,18 133:14	shoes 78:6 205:21	171:18 179:24 204:15	significantly 24:10 25:23 56:1 64:17	sir 126:11 sit 30:24
shared 116:22	shop 53:1,10 54:25 55:2	show 23:25 24:18 89:13	149:18 158:21	159:21 182:9 184:19 188:17
159:19 shares 158:25	shops 53:17 54:1	showed 64:2 199:7	silicone 156:12 181:10,11	site 116:22 118:1 195:19 196:8
sharing 116:17	short 66:17 106:17 136:1 208:19	showing 92:1	similar 25:24 30:1 42:14,21 64:7 123:22	sites 116:23
133:21 203:19 204:4, 5,20,21	shorter 160:1	shown 106:24	139:8 148:16 194:6	sitting 67:12 85:2 187:4

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: situation..speak

situation 31:16 51:25 58:15 107:2	sleep 7:8	solve 154:18	19,23 104:14 116:21 118:10,11,14	sooner 90:22 162:23 165:5
154:23 160:20,21	slight 50:5	somebody 46:22 61:21	119:1,20 125:1 128:11	190:7,8 193:1 209:20
161:20 172:6	slightly	70:25 71:1 115:8 117:25	156:1 157:2,3	sorry 21:23
181:2 200:20	154:17	125:11,14	158:19	57:6,8 69:18
204:23		156:19 157:2,	159:21 165:21	73:14 75:15
	slipped 46:20	3 159:10	171:16	126:11
situational 158:17	70:15	174:13 180:8, 10,15,20,21	176:18,20	142:25 143:6 144:13
159:18,20		200:14	178:4,7,9	166:12
	slope 68:24, 25		179:16 180:13,19,20,	193:22
six 6:22 51:22	_0	somebody's	21 189:9	
73:12 80:6	slow 143:1	109:18	191:4 193:25	sort 34:22
81:19 88:15, 18 91:13		180:25	198:14	106:2 159:18 171:17 199:4
94:2,3 117:7	slower 40:19		201:15 202:23	171.17 199.4
159:25 186:9	144:12	somehow 79:12 109:13	205:12 206:5	sorts 104:4
		113:21 128:8,		30113 104.4
six-by-six	small 24:10	13 131:21	something's	sound 156:1
93:17 94:1	56:3		46:10 194:20	
	smaller 13:9	someone		sounds 66:21
sixth 176:2	91:5	48:3 78:22 84:18,19,25	sometime 36:24 46:3	117:1 130:25
	••	86:6 90:9	00.24 40.0	176:15 206:2
size 25:25	sole 170:5	94:25 161:14	sometimes	
skip 67:21		179:16 181:5 183:4 184:4,7	92:18,19	source 65:24
95:3	solely 57:12	103.4 104.4,7	130:25	
	-	something		South 2:6
skipped	solid 43:16	6:12 7:24	somewhat	couthorn
197:23		9:13,20 39:5	8:15 28:21 38:17 168:12	southern 115:20
	solution 37:9	51:12,13 58:22 60:19	00.17 100.12	
slag 41:22	190:15	64:7,25 65:3,	somewhere	spacer 67:24
42:1 86:20		20 68:2,11	38:19 71:13,	68:24 167:14
	solutions 188:15	70:1,7,24	14 103:25	
Sledge 87:18	100.10	80:3 82:25 83:19 93:13,	134:8	speak 185:16
		$00.19\ 00.10,$		

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: speakers..stay

				speakerbbeay
201:25	33:4 73:19 121:9	68:10	188:21,23 189:16,19	52:4 68:17 70:17 87:13
speakers		square	194:18	108:14
97:4	specs 120:11	171:10	196:21	133:21
			202:14	142:15 198:9
			205:25	
speaks	speculating	staff 81:11,14	206:13,14,15	starts 91:12
155:15	196:13		209:9	157:9 189:25
		staffed		202:17
spec 38:16	speculation	119:15	standards	202.11
65:5 82:20	199:2 202:3	150:21 173:4,	6:25 7:12,15	
83:7 119:8	100.2 202.0	5	9:2 25:2	startup 20:21
120:5,6,16,17		5	58:21 72:8	
131:11	speed 125:16		85:16 121:22	state 9:13
131.11		staffing 53:2,	126:20	10:22 15:18
	spell 141:8	11 81:16	132:13 200:7	19:14 20:13
spec'ing 83:6	Spen 141.0			39:18 137:1
		stand 19:4	207:3	197:6
special 13:7	spend 91:11	148:6 185:4		197.0
special 15.7	163:10	140.0 103.4	standing	
	164:16		87:24	stated 135:7
specific		standard 7:8,		139:6
29:11 30:12	oponding	10 8:7 24:7		
33:6 57:10	spending	27:2 28:9,22	standpoint	-1-1
70:22 74:2	41:21 56:4	29:8 30:1,5	34:9 203:13	statement
75:1 82:7,16		32:16 50:7		17:17 40:6
115:2 130:7	spent 160:5	59:3,4,19,21,	start 4:8 11:6	64:1 132:10
135:6 147:21	. 167:13 174:4,	22,24 60:4	26:22 38:7	144:3 158:22
148:9 156:16	19	64:2 67:5	46:2 76:18	179:6 204:16
157:7 158:6,		72:15 78:21,	91:20 93:1	
18 165:21		24 79:7,8,9,	134:8 141:7	stating 141:8
194:22	split 204:1	10 114:22	143:8 155:5	
196:20,22		115:4,9	164:12	
197:18	spot 51:14	119:12 120:4		stationed
	66:4	121:23 125:1		20:23
. <u>.</u>		126:2,21	started 41:9	
specifically		129:23	56:17 108:8	status 80:5
20:18 30:13	sprains 41:24	131:15	155:8 183:7	138:12 196:8
51:14 57:3		132:17 151:4,	191:14 201:6	
58:14 135:7	spread 17:7	5 160:18	202:17	
140:2 145:7		171:2,17		statute 210:4
		176:17	starting 6:9	
specifics	spring 53:20	170.17		stay 79:17,25

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: stays..supposition

stays 177:17 180:12	strategic 177:1	subcontractor s 56:18 57:22 58:5 151:16	164:12 189:12	134:9
steam 38:6	streaming 10:7	subject	suddenly 131:4	sunlight 49:3
steel 38:7 40:7 41:22	street 93:22	27:10,12,17, 19 197:19	sufficient	super 149:4
step 67:11	stress 41:2	submit 9:5	139:14 147:12,25	supervisor 20:1 23:19 135:9
128:17 129:19	66:24 67:15 88:8	209:6,8	suggest 7:22	supervisors
168:15	stretched	submitted 5:5 6:1 21:8 147:23	8:5 145:24	135:2,19
stepping 6:18	82:1,3	submitting	suggesting 77:15 192:25 205:14	supplemental 186:20,21
steps 30:6,9 42:10 51:1 73:22 146:7	strict 179:1, 14 180:10,18	209:10	suggestion	supplied
164:20	struck 178:7	subsequent 7:11	9:4 194:9	21:9,10
stick 43:6 164:11	structured 116:24	substantially	Suite 2:9,13	supply 19:21 26:6
sticks 188:4	structures 43:18	147:4 success 55:7	summarizing 144:3 179:9	support 156:21
still 5:16 28:2 88:17 95:1 114:9 127:11 148:12,21	studied 177:10	successfully 50:13 150:8	summary 12:13 16:25 17:17 23:8 40:14 77:6	supports 204:18
173:23 store 35:23	stuff 87:19 92:17 133:15	such 78:17 79:24 84:20 95:14 146:13	138:8 140:4 152:15	suppose 12:2 160:24 174:8
stories 43:18	subcontractor 57:18	149:19 151:9 185:22	summation 156:20	supposed 73:24 130:21 131:4,24
162:4 strains 41:24	subcontractor	sudden 163:25	summer 53:22 54:3	184:5 202:19
3uam3 41.24	's 148:8			supposition

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: surmise..tend

201:3 surmise 88:16 180:19	40:2 sworn 10:18 15:15 19:10	186:25 191:4 194:10,14 197:22 200:2, 5	25 30:13 49:17 75:5 78:19 86:16 105:1 118:6	technical 61:5 81:22 118:15
surmising 83:22 165:1 182:1 184:6	114:9 136:15, 23 140:22 141:4	taken 44:5 50:25 73:23 109:19 146:7 151:15	129:21 160:6 163:8 167:13, 17 168:14 173:7 174:5, 20 176:16	technician 46:15,21 48:3,6 68:19 69:14 70:8,19 135:8 168:4,
surrebuttal 21:10,14,18, 24 23:23 139:22 151:2	system 39:23 64:5,6,8 68:3 97:5,6 105:16,18 109:17 110:8 133:2 151:25	152:17 156:9 163:22,23,24 168:14 202:23	179:4 184:2 190:10 191:11 192:15 202:22 203:22	14 technology 109:19
sustain 199:18	156:6,8 167:18,25 202:22,23	takes 30:7 76:19 92:12 118:21 123:9 134:10	209:18 210:12	telephone 2:14 15:3
sustaining 199:21	systems 46:19	207:17 208:12	tall 43:18 tape 47:13,14	telephonically 5:1
swear 10:13 15:7,9 19:6 136:18 140:24	T	taking 31:20 45:3 156:10 176:1	task 65:22 92:12 198:11 199:6	telling 66:3 129:22 161:18
switch 38:20 84:1 88:4	table 4:16 65:10 take 18:22	talk 58:7,13 60:5,8 71:2 92:15 111:23 124:22	tasked 199:6	tells 72:4 172:14
89:16 123:11 switched 54:22 88:12,	19:3 25:13 28:7 30:9,17, 21 31:5 35:21 43:13 44:10	153:15 156:5 160:23 193:19 202:5 204:24 205:7	tasks 92:11 taxi 55:12	temperature 38:5,6 42:23 45:2 69:5
13 122:12 123:17	51:9 52:18 53:13 65:13 68:1 73:3,23 87:22 92:6	talked 58:9 66:14 87:15	tear 43:13 53:16 81:23 92:17 122:23	temperatures 38:8 45:18 46:2,18 89:6
switching 54:24 switchover	107:15 116:5 136:1,5 174:16	91:8 172:24 207:3,6 talking 9:21,	127:14 tech 111:7	Temple 2:9 tend 41:17
SWILCHUYEI		aning 3.21,		

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: tender..therein

92:10 116:14	21 85:5	11:16,19,23	7 192:22	179:10,11
118:23	93:23,25	12:4,13	206:3	191:4,5
154:12,13	107:21,24	13:19,21		204:18 209:7,
162:7	108:2,13	14:6,8,9,22	testing 46:24	20
	116:2 125:24,	16:7,12,14,18	47:3,5,11	
tender 52:16	25 126:25	17:1,6,20	49:8 54:16,21	their 5:12 8:6
	127:3 130:22	18:7 20:3,5,8,	,	
	134:1,7	11 21:7,9,10,	66:23 149:24	13:25 21:11
tends 41:18	146:18	11,13,14	150:1 164:22,	25:12 29:6
42:2 117:20	182:22	22:17,21,22	25 169:8	30:24 37:11
119:1	183:10,11,23,	23:1,9,24,25	170:9,23	52:14 53:7,
	24 194:14,18	24:16,18,22	172:12 190:6,	10,23 59:8
	202:10	26:25 34:23	9,11,12	76:1,6 84:19
tens 145:18	202.10	36:6,13 38:11	191:15,19	104:13
		39:12 40:15	193:5,7	111:20,24
term 43:22	tested 36:8	41:11 45:20		112:3 115:19
60:3 74:12	72:15 169:10,	46:14 47:2	tests 172:12	116:6 121:12
114:21	11 170:12	-	194:9	130:1 143:14,
115:13	172:5,8	52:20 56:7	194.9	15 146:10
115.13		60:12 61:24,		148:14,15
		25 62:3,4,9	Thad 2:2	155:19
terminating	testified	63:7,22,24		157:21
126:25	10:18 11:13	66:16,22		161:13
	15:15 19:10	69:12 82:15	than 25:23	173:15
	45:21 90:9	95:1 111:9	27:24 31:13	175:15 177:2
terms 30:25	117:22 119:7	122:17 128:7	37:16 39:18	180:8,15
52:18 79:3	123:24	135:25	54:20 56:1	192:10 193:5
115:4,25	136:23 141:4	137:11,16,20,	66:19 69:6	194:18 204:8
117:22 119:8	190:16	23 138:2,3,8	72:25 79:18	205:8,10
121:22		139:2,4,6,21,	81:2,16,25	203.0,10
122:15,19	toctify 00.2	22,23 140:20	89:24 90:5,19	
126:5 128:12	testify 90:2	141:22,23,24	91:5 92:18,19	themselves
154:11	118:2 140:1	142:13	103:11	9:3 184:17
157:16		143:18,21,22	104:21	191:14
181:18	testifying	144:3 146:12	108:14	
	113:20	147:23 148:2	126:21	
	-	151:3 152:5	138:20 147:9	therefore
terribly 158:9		153:16	152:16 153:1	14:4 75:17
	testimonies	162:21	154:19 158:7	148:4 149:20
test 36:11,12,	142:1,6,9	165:11	162:23 163:5,	150:24
17 47:14		169:19	9 168:9	204:17
72:2,10,17	testimony		170:10	
84:6,8,10,16,	5:8,13,21 7:3	177:23	176:20	therein 9:2
	0.0,10,217.0	181:22 187:1,	170.20	
	-			-

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: thereof..time

			Index:	thereoftime
thereof 119:19	93:7 108:8 122:18 125:14 134:23	94:11 113:19 125:11 152:25 182:4	116:12,13,23 119:4 123:23 124:4,15,18 128:13	60:13,16 61:2 62:1 83:18,21 84:5,7,17,24 95:14,16
thereto 12:5 23:2	180:23 201:13	thoughts 7:19 207:3	129:19 133:16,17 137:15,16	129:24 155:16 182:16,17
thermal 19:17,19 23:14,16 25:22 26:5,9	thinks 5:24 130:4	thousand 38:8	138:2 143:20 144:21 147:2 155:4 156:11 159:25	183:15 tightening 61:7,18 84:6
27:7 147:6	third 29:10 30:2,10 31:19 33:11 35:1 40:16,18	thousands 43:19 145:19	175:10 176:2 181:6 182:3 184:24 185:2 194:8,15	tightens 130:3
41:25 51:15 83:14 84:3,25 93:8 108:12 112:1,14	44:22 54:15, 21 56:18,22 58:8 80:16,17	threaded 84:25	197:22	tighter 37:16
123:6 127:20 131:18 132:16	145:11,12 148:15 151:15 157:10,21	three 27:18 51:22 55:1 78:9 124:12	66:5 144:20 207:6	time 5:19 9:15,18 11:10 16:4 20:15
173:22 179:3 182:22 184:14 185:3 188:25	163:23 175:15,16 185:8 191:17 204:21	155:22 163:8, 25 164:3,5 191:3 193:18 209:2	throwing 167:3	30:24 33:20 38:10 39:20 41:4,23 42:23,25
things 39:24 43:22 46:16	204:21 third-party 161:10	three-eighths 35:20	ticking 164:10	42:23,25 44:25 45:2,3, 15 48:18 50:13 51:20
54:4 62:5 80:3,7,11 90:16 91:23 92:16 107:16	175:10 196:24 204:8	through 4:6 12:20 18:21	tight 21:20 37:12,14 61:7,14,22 85:3 95:19	54:19 63:17 64:18 68:6,13 69:3 71:15 72:21,23
116:18 126:7 130:21 154:25	Thompson 3:14 4:16 136:16,17,21	33:23 34:20 35:5,7,8,13 43:4 46:17,20 49:21,22,23	tighten 37:20 60:19 61:1	72:21,23 74:15,18 75:13,17 76:20 79:23
168:11 180:16 183:18 197:4	137:1,3 140:6,9,18	49.21,22,23 50:1 53:7 60:7 65:15 70:15 72:6	84:19,23 148:9 156:12	80:15 81:8 85:4,17 89:19 91:16 92:20
206:11 thinking 39:4	thought 47:6 68:11 69:11, 20 72:25 84:3	82:6 92:1 93:13 95:11 105:17 108:8	tightened 36:8,20,22	105:5 109:3,8 110:3 112:9 113:7 114:23

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: timeline..Tri-state

	•	•		
117:11 120:5	tires 24:23	token 173:14	147:14	145:5 146:3
121:17	54:24	IUKEII 173.14	173:23	145.5 140.5
122:11,13,18	04.24		170.20	
123:8,9,11,15		told 62:11		transactions
124:7 128:4	title 15:22	70:10 104:8	touch 82:7	138:25
134:12 136:1	168:13	182:8 200:21	172:1 176:8	141:17
148:25				145:17,20,22,
150:13	today 4:22	took 20:22	towards 29:7	23 146:1
151:13	5:2,9,17 6:17	48:24 62:17	31:2 41:17	
158:20 160:6,	8:13 11:19	164:20	80:23 162:7	transcript
14 162:22	16:10 18:7	166:24	00.20 102.1	207:16,24
164:10	19:2 20:9,19	173:14 178:9		209:10
167:13,19	21:4 22:21		trace 68:18	200.10
169:15,17,24	23:23 32:24		70:18	
173:20 174:5,	39:25 56:8	tool 104:20		transition
20 175:18	67:12 72:21		tracing 45:13,	38:20
180:17	73:12 91:8	tools 92:9	15,22 47:13	
183:24	96:23 107:21	126:14	68:21 69:2	Transmission
184:23	109:21 119:6		149:23 150:3	35:3
194:13,20	129:15			
196:10 202:7,	135:25	top 27:8,15		
9 205:23	137:23	28:14,18	track 39:24	transparency
207:23 208:8,	140:20 142:6	202:5		116:6
12,18 209:22	152:21		tracking 64:5,	
,	153:15 162:7	topic 8:7	6,8	traveling
	176:4,16	196:23	,	49:18
timeline	181:22 182:9		Trada 400.40	
163:13	185:3 187:3		Trade 133:16	
184:19	194:17	topics 21:4		treat 5:17
			trader 146:5	
times 53:24,		tore 54:4		treatment
25 54:1 80:6	today's		tre din a	157:7
86:20 111:13	207:17	toraug. 20:0	trading	
117:3 130:18		torque 36:8	117:13 147:2	tromondouoly
187:3 190:21	together 12:4	37:6 60:13		tremendously
192:9 193:18,	16:19 23:2	61:6,11,12	trailers 92:4	49:10
19	43:15 60:20,	82:15,16,20		
	25 65:7,9	119:8,19	train 02.2	Tri-state 35:2,
	81:24 92:12	130:8	train 92:2	6 58:10,16
tire 24:24	93:1 112:9			59:3,18,22
25:1 54:25	118:21	torquing 83:7	training 130:4	60:1 77:21,23
89:7,8,10	133:22 184:3			78:6 79:8,13
		total 139:19	transaction	

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: Tri-state's..uncommon

				•
116:12 155:18 157:5 197:2,5,19	15:10,15 19:6,10 136:18,23	87:8 88:23,25 89:13 109:1, 10 120:19	126:25 turns 160:21	typical 51:25 77:24 117:6 126:5
204:25 Tri-state's	140:24 141:4 try 6:13 31:2	131:14,16,19, 22 133:10,12 149:3,11,15 160:7 161:5	TV 35:22	typically 20:14 33:11
79:6 triage 43:22	34:16 43:14, 15 46:17 53:17 55:24	tubes 43:19	two 6:14 18:21 45:7	56:24 68:9 113:21 121:21
122:11	56:15 60:18 65:15 81:14 92:13,22	63:5,9 66:25 67:16 69:9,10 85:21 86:7	80:17,19 85:21 86:6,7 89:1,4 97:1	U
tricky 96:7 tried 49:1	118:23 119:5 124:8 125:16 132:23	88:8 tubing 22:7	105:17 112:12 117:17 123:5	U.S. 128:19
75:16 104:23 178:5 179:6	134:12 198:4 201:16	38:12 50:20 67:24 85:9 86:8,14 88:17	124:5,12 127:22 142:2 162:4 170:7	UAE 2:12 209:5,6
trigger 74:20	trying 29:7 32:5,11,20 50:2 54:6	108:25 131:10,12 148:20,23	178:6 189:1 192:6,9 193:18	Uh-huh 180:5
triggering 75:10	79:12 113:24 115:24 128:14	167:14	207:18 208:5 210:20	ultimate 127:13
trip 176:2	129:13 156:13 159:8 161:10	tune 129:20 turbine 52:17	type 29:3 42:15 50:4 65:19 77:14	ultimately 35:15 46:23
tripped 168:22	164:18 172:9, 11 180:24 187:17	53:13,15 81:23 118:20	124:2,15 130:15 153:17	127:19 129:3 152:20 153:20 154:3
trivializing 187:17,24	193:17 197:7 198:10 205:20	turn 21:17 57:8 93:5 97:4 112:13	170:21 171:14 173:13 189:25	159:1 171:13, 19
true 125:19 167:21,22	tube 38:18, 21,25 39:8,12	157:4 177:21	192:20 203:19	unable 197:4
169:8 172:13 177:12 186:1 187:8 201:18	40:7,21,22,25 50:20 64:21 65:6 66:5,11	turned 97:6 112:10 127:9 177:20	types 76:11 121:13	unacceptable 185:23
truth 10:13,18	68:25 69:10 85:8 86:19	turning	170:21	uncommon 51:23
	Litication	• · · · · · ·	00-330-1112	

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: undamaged..useful

undamaged	162:13,17	69:2 92:20	unit's 163:14	127:18
52:1	163:10	158:1 206:10		163:19
	176:10		units 60:6	164:17 190:1
undefined	178:25	unilateral	68:22	191:18 200:4
	179:13 182:6		00.22	
157:14	186:22 187:9,	25:5		
	15 193:8		universally	unusual
under 11:8	198:9 201:17,	unique 7:9	208:25	51:19
51:18 65:14	18,22,24	189:23		
91:7 103:10	203:20			unwritten
142:14 171:7	200.20		unknown	135:16
209:22		unit 34:24	118:5	100.10
203.22	understandin	35:3 37:24		
	g 25:18 27:4	38:4 42:13,14	unless 12:2	update 13:15,
underground	59:7 75:25	52:6 54:13	18:21 80:3	22,23 92:16
48:14 168:21	79:1,4 107:5	58:9,11 60:8	10.21 00.3	
193:21,23	129:20	71:3 75:12		
	131:13	77:2,5 82:9,	unnecessary	upper 168:16
	132:14,24	10 84:2 86:3	142:18	
underlying	172:6 192:4	92:6 93:6		use 24:22
151:16	198:11,17,21,	107:3 108:21		25:15 35:21
	22 199:10	110:10	unplanned	39:7,9 40:18
underneath	208:21	111:10 119:7	68:1	60:25 61:5
86:23	200.21	123:7 129:22		67:17 74:12
00.20		131:10 132:4,	unquote	82:3 92:10
	understood	19 133:24	167:20	126:14 130:8
underscore	47:17 69:11	148:7,19	205:24	132:6,10
153:3	106:12 107:7	•	200.24	148:16,19,22
	122:17 130:9	149:3,14,22		150:14 166:2
understand	178:10 189:8	150:4,16	unrealistic	130.14 100.2
understand	190:20 194:4	155:6,13,15	151:4	
32:22 42:8		160:4,17		used 13:15
59:10 60:12,		161:4 162:13	unragenet	24:18 38:3
14 77:15 78:5	undertake	166:13,25	unreasonably	40:7 58:20
90:18 104:6	24:13	167:11,25	37:18	60:3,14 64:3,
111:8 113:24		168:19		22 65:20
117:10	unfamiliar	172:17 179:4	unrecoverabl	66:18 104:19
122:18	42:10	181:8 182:4	e 28:5	111:11,13
123:23	12.10	184:25 189:2	·•	131:14
129:25		193:20		132:11
131:20	unforced	194:24	until 20:22	102.11
152:15 154:5	107:10	200:20	47:7 69:6	
157:15,18		202:17	73:4,8 94:14	useful 9:1
160:8,9 161:7	unfortunataly		95:3 114:14	172:25 201:9
	unfortunately			
	•	• •	•	•

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: Users..vulnerability

				•
206:16 207:7,	13 163:4	various 13:9	versus 12:25	194:5
13	176:17	16:4 21:7		137.5
	-	-		
	194:18	77:7 158:15	viable 191:21	visibly 94:6
Users 4:20	198:13			
13:19		vault 49:21,		
	utility 28:10	22 51:7,13	vibrated	visited 79:23
	42:25 58:21	71:23,24 94:3	36:15,19,22,	
uses 25:2,16,	60:2 79:10	71.20,24 04.0	24 37:2 201:4	visual 183:15,
19,21 123:3	85:16 114:22			,
161:24		vaults 49:20	vibrating	16,17,19
	115:9 121:22	51:2,17,22	vibrating	
	123:2 125:2	, ,	130:16	visually 54:17
using 24:6	137:3,4			72:1 86:7,10
41:7,16,18	153:12	Veach 72:14	vibration	131:11
42:6,9 66:23	160:10		108:2	199:11,13
67:7 75:7	162:19	Veatch 127:6	100.2	100.11,10
161:25	176:13,25			
188:19	177:5,11		vibrations	void 45:23
207:25	178:14,22	velocity 41:8,	108:14,18	47:7 69:13
	184:20	13 66:18,24		181:20
	190:22 191:3	67:7,15,17		
usually 46:2	194:6 196:8	123:17	vice 19:17	
49:12 52:14	203:12 205:7,	132:11	23:13	voltage 46:9,
72:10 80:2		102.11		13 47:11,19
91:11 112:1	22 206:11		view 31:19	48:1,2 50:9
118:21		vendor 53:7		69:16,19,21
	utilized	128:21	116:9 153:25	70:3,8 72:3
	148:17	175:10	155:10 157:1,	110:14,23
UT 2:10	110.11		25 168:6	126:19
			169:1,18	134:22
Utah 2:6,13	V	vendors	174:2	
4:14,15,20		52:14 157:10		
, ,		158:15	views 174:23	volts 69:25
13:4,18 20:13	value 27:6			
29:1 39:16,17	value 37:6	Varbally	175:4	Volumes
96:18 121:12	52:19 61:11	verbally		volumes
139:16	103:7,11	209:24	virtually	133:10
147:16	124:9 175:15		156:13,15	
	187:1	verified 53:6	100110,10	vote 6:18
utilities 2:5				
4:15 13:10	variances		vis-a-vis	
27:1 43:2	12:23	verify 36:9	73:23 88:18	vulnerability
53:19 115:11	12.20	45:22 46:18		133:6
		72:16	visible 93:13	
116:10 133:9,	varies 158:21		VISING 33.13	
		l	l	l
	Titigation		200-220-1112	

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: wait..whatever

W	131:19 133:16	150:13	13,14 184:5 188:5,11,12	192:6
wait 21:16 89:18 114:13,	171:12 172:8 176:8 182:3 187:8,23	warranty 31:1	194:1,5 195:12 196:2, 12 205:4,7	welding 42:20
17 192:13	190:25 197:15 201:16,17	watching 196:4	ways 41:15 174:5	welds 43:19 89:17,23
waited 164:17 191:18	205:18 207:1, 2,11,20 208:20 209:2,	water 38:6 45:12 50:14	wear 122:22	90:3,8,11 120:3 132:18 133:20
waiting 69:6 95:1 163:6	11	51:18,19,23 52:2 67:24 69:5,9,10	127:14	149:16 162:14,20 163:16
164:10 190:1	wanted 6:23 210:7	167:13,14 168:21,22	weather 89:7, 9	163:16 164:23 167:4 189:22
waive 174:24				
waived 32:13	wanting 90:18	way 5:17 9:12 28:24 32:11 34:24 35:17, 20 37:21	week 43:17 44:11,19,20 81:7,8 86:1 91:24 117:7	went 46:17 54:24 64:10, 13,14 121:14
waiver 56:24	wants 7:19 18:22 53:23 128:1 157:13,	47:14 50:19 51:15 53:12 55:23 57:8	207:17 210:12	163:4 169:5 179:11 183:4 202:25
walk 124:4 155:4 159:24	14 175:7 207:9	60:18 69:17 71:22,25 82:4 83:18 92:13	week's 76:18	West 2:13
walked 60:7 119:21 184:7	warned 185:6 187:5	103:25 106:21 108:13	weekday 210:13	whatever 8:11,12 40:24 66:1 74:11
want 9:9 18:11 31:5,6 32:12,16,19	warning 185:25	109:11,20 116:25 118:8 119:18 120:3, 7,17,21	weeks 43:13 80:17,19 81:20 86:6	86:1 105:10 114:11 115:2 124:23 154:3
45:7 61:7 83:13 84:2 86:22 87:9	warrant 49:8 148:1 165:2	122:22,23 125:20,23 126:9 127:11,	89:1 91:13 93:2 118:21 207:19 208:5	156:12 158:20 161:19
90:16 94:4, 10,13 107:16 113:16 114:13,14	warranted 193:5	12 143:13 154:18 158:14	weld 22:5 42:21,22 45:1 65:6,9 124:2	164:24 175:10,13 181:9 204:7 205:24
118:22 122:16 124:8	warrants	163:18 170:11 174:1,	05:0,9 124:2 149:15,18	206:15 207:20

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: wheeling..words

wheeling 12:24,25	197:13 203:25	10,14 17:11 113:15 114:4 128:1,3,17	134:15 wire 48:14,18	13,16 19:1,7, 9 22:2 32:14 57:6 62:6
wheels 55:1	while 6:3 24:20,24	129:5	126:15,17 193:21,23	95:22 97:10 136:16,19,22 138:15
whenever 8:17 163:15 189:11	55:12 65:18 96:14,20 97:3,7 134:11	Wilding's 12:4	wiring 51:25 71:5,9	139:25 140:25 141:3 143:2,5,7,10
Whereas	169:7 178:20 192:19	will 6:2,7,13, 16,17 9:4,11, 12,13 14:3	168:20,23,25 169:20	160:16 175:20 196:18 203:3
119:1 wherever	White 2:3,23 3:11,21 17:6 18:4,5	26:8 31:5 34:19,23 53:19 57:12,	wit 14:2	206:23
55:12	113:10,12 114:16 127:2, 24 128:5	17 64:3 65:7, 8,13 72:7 73:7 76:16,	withdraw 33:3	witnessed 62:7
whether 29:25 30:12 37:8 40:23 46:10 47:14 55:2 56:20	129:3,8 132:12 140:14,15 203:4,5,7	21,22,24 80:10 81:5,7 83:12 86:20 91:18,21,25	within 19:20 23:17 38:4,20 51:7 53:18 129:25 133:1	witnesses 6:8 9:25 18:21 142:2
58:25 59:2, 16,25 61:17, 18,21 62:2	206:19 whoever 48:5	92:1,4 93:12 97:3 105:13 111:23	183:6 208:7 without 32:21	wondered 130:10
64:14 65:17 67:10 74:2 77:23 79:5	77:25 157:21	116:10,11 118:3,8 132:19 135:8,	42:1 73:25 84:22 150:9	wondering 59:18 90:23
86:5 88:22 94:11 103:24 110:3,4	whole 32:7 41:25 66:5 81:5 116:21 126:17	9 138:15 139:6 140:1 143:3 160:1 168:2 171:19	152:1 166:7 172:5 178:21 181:24 209:9	114:9 116:2 131:12 134:23 159:15
113:19 119:18 120:4 125:12 128:6 129:23	169:15 wholesale	185:14 195:7 210:19	withstand 84:21	165:25 171:15
130:10,11 144:22 154:1 166:1 170:22	145:8 146:15	willing 31:9 77:18 118:16 127:21 208:6,	witness 2:20 4:16,17 10:3,	word 21:20 22:5 143:8 176:20 178:6
175:12 177:1 179:15 183:21 195:4	Wilding 2:21 10:5,11,12, 16,21,23	10	5,11,14,17 14:2,23,25 15:5,11,14	words 59:21
196:11	12:12 14:7,	winter 53:22	17:10 18:8,	77:10 117:3

HEARING (NON CONFIDENTIAL), DOCKET NO. 18-035-01 - 02/05/2019 Index: work..zero

	•			
118:10 120:5	working	6,12 84:19	year 42:18	188:17
122:21 143:7	19:24 20:17	130:8	48:25 66:10	190:14 192:3
	23:21 46:19	130.0	40.25 00.10 80:6 91:11	190.14 192.3
189:8 197:1,7				
201:9 206:10	86:23 91:11	write 68:19	117:12,17	yellow 5:9,12,
	95:18 111:18	70:19,24	123:16	14
work 20:19	146:13	135:8 178:5	124:12	
22:11,12	157:21	179:6	138:20	1 50 0 40
30:22 31:15	158:15		144:23	yet 53:2,10
35:7 36:10	176:10		145:12,25	90:19 191:6
43:21 44:18	177:10	written 16:10	172:9	
45:25 52:17	181:24	20:10 36:7		ying 171:16
53:1,25 54:8	188:10,11,12	69:21 110:24	years 6:22	j
55:3 61:19		115:8 187:2	11:10 16:1,2	
68:5,19 70:1,	workmanship	209:23,25	19:25 23:21	yourself
2,3,4,19	202:2	210:10	31:8 39:13,	142:2 203:10
76:18 81:6,7,	202.2		15,21 40:1,3,	
9 83:4,11,13,		wrong 40:15	4 41:5,20	yourselves
15 87:17	works 8:12	54:10 75:4	43:12,16 49:4	9:6
91:15,19		113:6 150:17	50:14 53:15	0.0
92:3,7,23	world 171:7	156:1 157:2,3	55:6 63:20,25	
105:25	178:15	160:15	64:10,11,15	Youtube
111:20,24	170.15	163:20	65:10 66:7,18	18:19
112:4 118:19		172:22 173:8,	71:21 72:19	
119:13 134:5	worn 89:8	17 180:14,19,	73:12 77:14	Yvonne 2:9
135:1 139:6		20,21 194:25		4:10
	worried	195:2,24	81:13,20	4.10
148:15	104:17 171:7	195.2,24	85:20 86:6	
150:20,23	104.17 171.7	197.10	88:10,15,18, 22 89:19 93:4	yvonne.
173:3,4,15				hogle@
174:1,12	worries 56:9	wrote 110:20,	105:12,16	pacificorp.
195:19 196:9		21,22 178:4	109:2,12	com 2:10
	worry 171:18	179:3,6	111:15,22	
worked 16:1,		186:17	115:17 116:2	z
2 20:23			121:10,14	<u> </u>
176:13	worse 92:18		123:20	
		Y	124:19	
	worst 43:25		148:22 150:8	zero 110:23
worker 157:4	WUISL 43.23		161:25	
		yang 171:16	163:20	
workers	worth 7:24		164:18,19	
87:21 188:20	76:18	varda 71:00	169:7 170:1	
		yards 71:23	172:1 176:11	
	wronch 64.4		186:10	
	wrench 61:1,		l	
L		<u>.</u>		