UAE Exhibit 1.1 Docket No. 19-035-45

RMP Responses to Data Requests Referenced in the Direct Testimony of Kevin C. Higgins 19-035-45 / Rocky Mountain Power February 24, 2020 UAE Data Request 3.1

UAE Data Request 3.1

Confirm that the method for calculating the deferral described by Mr. McDougal does not take into consideration the energy production foregone during the time the repowered facilities are out of service while the repowering equipment is being installed. If this is incorrect, please explain where in the deferral calculation the foregone production is taken into account. If confirmed, please explain the Company's rationale for excluding foregone production from the deferral calculation.

Response to UAE Data Request 3.1

The deferral calculation does not include the energy production foregone during the time the repowered facilities are out of service while the repowering equipment is being installed. Any increase in net power costs (NPC) from foregone generation will be included in the applicable energy balancing account (EBA) filing. Whereas the EBA will pass through to customers the same NPC impact from foregone energy production as what would be captured and amortized in the accounting deferral, the complexity of calculating what the "lost" generation would have been had the turbines been operational would be overly burdensome and somewhat arbitrary.

19-035-45 / Rocky Mountain Power February 24, 2020 UAE Data Request 3.4

UAE Data Request 3.4

Since the adoption of the EBA, what RMP generation resources have come into service prior to their costs being included in either a general rate case or Major Plant Additions case? How was the energy output from those facilities treated with respect to the EBA? Specifically, was the energy output from those facilities included in the EBA calculation?

Response to UAE Data Request 3.4

The commercial operation date for the Company's Lake Side 2 generation facility was May 2014. The plant was included in the Company's general rate case (GRC), Docket 13-035-184, with a stipulated effective date of September 1, 2014. The energy output from Lake Side 2 was included in the energy balancing account (EBA) calculation for the time between when it was placed in service and included in rates through the GRC.

19-035-45 / Rocky Mountain Power February 18, 2020 UAE Data Request 5.1

UAE Data Request 5.1

For each of the 12 wind plants for which RMP seeks deferred accounting, please identify the monthly reduction in MWh generated, starting from the initial shutdown of original equipment up until the date repowering was (or is expected to be) complete. Please provide this information for each month of the period in which the repowering equipment was being installed. For repowering projects not yet completed, please provide the Company's best estimate.

Response to UAE Data Request 5.1

The requested information is not available. The Company does not track megawatt-hours (MWh) not produced by its wind generation resources.

19-035-45 / Rocky Mountain Power January 29, 2020 OCS Data Request 2.16

OCS Data Request 2.16

Refer to the Application for Accounting Order or Alternative Relief filed on December 30, 2019, specifically the public version of Exhibit A which included an "Illustrative Example of Monthly Revenue Requirement Deferral Calculation" based on Seven Mile Hill I and II repowering projects that were placed into service in September 2019. Included in the calculation of the monthly deferral is "Incremental NPC Savings (EBA)" which offsets or otherwise reduces the deferral amount. Since the Company is proposing that the incremental net power cost savings be used as an offset in calculating the deferral amount, please explain, in detail, how this will impact the currently approved EBA calculations and methodology. For example, will power costs being recovered through the EBA be increased above the actual costs incurred in order to remove the incremental savings can instead be deferred on the Company's books? As part of this response, please describe, in detail, all changes to the currently approved and used EBA methodology that the Company is proposing in this case.

Response to OCS Data Request 2.16

Yes. The Company proposes to remove from the energy balancing account (EBA) the same amount of net power cost (NPC) benefit that will included and recovered through the incremental NPC benefit of the proposed accounting deferral mechanism. This would likely be accomplished by adding a worksheet into the EBA workbook with the incremental NPC benefit calculation and inserting a line item for this calculation in the "Exhibit 1 Commission Order Calculation Method" worksheet.

OCS Data Request 2.18

Refer to the Application for Accounting Order or Alternative Relief filed on December 30, 2019, specifically the public version of Exhibit A which included an "Illustrative Example of Monthly Revenue Requirement Deferral Calculation" based on Seven Mile Hill I and II repowering projects that were placed into service in September 2019.

- (a) Please provide electronic spreadsheets in a similar format to the example provided for Seven Mile Hill I and II which show the Company's current best estimates of the deferral calculations inclusive of all of the repowered wind projects by month from inception of the deferral through December 31, 2020. Please leave all formulas and calculations intact.
- (b) Please provide electronic spreadsheets in a similar format to the example provided for Seven Mile Hill I and II separately for each of the twelve separate wind projects proposed for deferral in this case which show the Company's current best estimates of the deferral calculations by month from inception of the deferral through December 31, 2020. Please leave all formulas and calculations intact.

1st Supplemental Response to OCS Data Request 2.18

Further to the Company's response to OCS Data Request 2.18 dated January 29, 2019 the Company provides the following supplemental information:

The summary for all projects combined is also included in Attachment OCS 2.18 1st Supp.

UT - 19-035-45 OCS 2.18

2019 Actual Wind Plants

Assumptions:								
Property Tax Rate	0.007687675							
Federal/State Combined Tax Rate	0.245866							
Net to Gross Bump up Factor = (1/(1-tax rate))	1.326024287							
Utah SG Factor Docket No. 13-035-184	0.426283							
Utah GPS Factor Docket No. 13-035-184	0.424704							
Total Company	September 2019		October 2019		November 2019		December 2019	
		Utah		Jtah	Total	Utah	Total	Utah
Repowering Costs:	Company	Allocated	Company A	Allocated	Company	Allocated	Company	Allocated
Incremental Expense:								
O&M Expense	(169,466)	(72,240)	75,544	32,203	(499,453)		(308,811)	())
Depreciation Expense	474,166	202,129	1,053,862	449,243	1,252,493	533,916	1,650,219	703,461
Depr Exp (CR)	(533,279)	(227,328)	(1,193,051)	(508,578)	(1,430,737)	(609,899)	(1,934,638)	(824,703)
Property Taxes	-	-		-		-		-
Wind Tax	3,486	1,486	18,987	8,094	21,304	9,081	32,169	13,713
Total Expense	(225,092)	(95,953)	(44,659)	(19,037)	(656,393)	(279,809)	(561,060)	(239,170)
Incremental Rate Base:								
Capital Investment	-	-	344,566,723	146,882,936	419,607,677	178,871,619	486,411,451	207,348,933
Accumulated Depreciation	-	-	(474,166)	(202,129)	(1,528,028)		(2,780,520)	
Accumulated Deferred Income Tax	-	-	(12,759,144)	(5,439,006)	(15,537,430)		(19,078,602)	
Total Rate Base	-	•	331,333,413	141,241,801	402,542,219	171,596,905	464,552,329	198,030,760
Pre-Tax Return		9.21%		9.21%		9.21%		9.21%
Pre-Tax Return on Rate Base		5.2170		1,083,964		1,316,925		1,519,792
		_		1,003,304		1,510,525		1,515,752
Total Repowering Costs		(95,953)		1,064,927		1,037,116		1,280,622
Repowering Benefits:								
Production Tax Credit:								
Production Tax Credit	(167,177)	(71,265)	(579,170)	(246,890)	(595,699)	(253,936)	(878,121)	(374,328)
Gross Up		(23,234)		(80,492)		(82,789)		(122,040)
Total Production Tax Credit	-	(94,499)	-	(327,383)		(336,726)		(496,368)
Net Power Cost Savings:								
Incremental NPC Savings (EBA)	(186,405)	(79,461)	(588,117)	(250,704)	(714,802)	(304,708)	(998,448)	(425,621)
Total Densus ring Densfits		(472.000)		(579.097)		(644.424)		(021.080)
Total Repowering Benefits		(173,960)		(578,087)		(641,434)		(921,989)
Popoworing Not Deformal								
Repowering Net Deferral:		(269,913)		486,840		205 692		358,633
Total Repowering Monthly Deferral		(209,913)		480,840		395,682		308,633

UT - 19-035-45 OCS 2.18

2020 Projected Wind Plants

Line No.

	Assumptions:	
1	Federal/State Combined Tax Rate	24.5866%

-	reactary state combined tax nate	21.500070
2	Net to Gross Bump up Factor = (1/(1-tax rate))	1.326024287
3	Utah SG Factor Docket No. 13-035-184	0.4263
4	Utah GPS Factor Docket No. 13-035-184	0.4247

3 Utah SG Factor Docket No. 13-035-184 4 Utah GPS Factor Docket No. 13-035-184

Total Company		Total 2020		,	January 2020		February 2020		March 2020		April 2020		2020
		Total	Utah	Total	Utah	Total	Utah	Total	Utah	Total	Utah	Total	Utah
	Repowering Costs:	Company	Allocated	Company	Allocated	Company	Allocated	Company	Allocated	Company	Allocated	Company	Allocated
	Incremental Expense:												
5	O&M Expense	12,137	5,174	976	416	976	416	976	416	976	416	976	416
6	Depreciation Expense	32,635	13,912	2,686	1,145	2,686	1,145	2,686	1,145	2,686	1,145	2,686	1,145
7	Depr Expense (CR)	(36,897)	(15,729)	(3,039)	(1,295)	(3,039)	(1,295)	(3,039)	(1,295)	(3,039)	(1,295)	(3,039)	(1,295)
8	Property Taxes	7,370	3,130	614	261	614	261	614	261	614	261	614	261
9	Wind Tax	338	144	28	12	28	12	28	12	28	12	28	12
10	Total Expense	15,583	6,631	1,265	538	1,265	538	1,265	538	1,265	538	1,265	538
	Incremental Rate Base (prior month end)												
11	Capital Investment			967,000	412,216	967,000	412,216	967,000	412,216	967,000	412,216	967,000	412,216
12	Accumulated Depreciation			(8,260)	(3,521)	(10,946)	(4,666)	(13,632)	(5,811)	(16,318)	(6,956)	(19,004)	(8,101)
13	Accumulated Deferred Income Tax			(48,297)	(20,588)	(48,297)	(20,588)	(48,297)	(20,588)	(65,078)	(27,741)	(65,078)	(27,741)
14	Total Rate Base			910,444	388,107	907,758	386,962	905,072	385,817	885,605	377,518	882,919	376,373
15	Pre-Tax Return				9.21%		9.21%		9.21%		9.21%		9.21%
16	Pre-Tax Return on Rate Base		34,202		2,979		2,970		2,961		2,897		2,888
17	Total Repowering Costs		40,833		3,517		3,508		3,499		3,436		3,427
17a	Total Excluding Depr. Exp Credit		56,562										
	Repowering Benefits:												
	Production Tax Credit:												
18	Production Tax Credit	(76,031)	(32,411)	(6,253)	(2,666)	(6,253)	(2,666)	(6,253)	(2,666)	(6,253)	(2,666)	(6,253)	(2,666)
19	Gross Up	_	(10,567)	_	(869)	_	(869)		(869)		(869)		(869)
20	Total Production Tax Credit		(42,978)		(3,535)		(3,535)		(3,535)		(3,535)		(3,535)
	Net Power Cost Savings:												
21	Incremental NPC Savings (EBA)		(4,453)		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a
	(only Total Company annual NPC available)	_											
22	Total Repowering Benefits	_	(47,431)		(3,535)		(3,535)		(3,535)		(3,535)		(3,535)
				(exclude	s NPC savings)	(exclude	s NPC savings)	(excludes	NPC savings)	(excludes	NPC savings)	(excludes	NPC savings)
	Repowering Net Deferral:												
23	Total Repowering Monthly Deferral		(6,597)		(18)		(26)		(35)		(99)		(108)
23a	Total Excluding Depr. Exp Credit		9,131	(exclude	s NPC savings)	(exclude	s NPC savings)	(excludes	NPC savings)	(excludes	NPC savings)	(excludes	NPC savings)

June Total	2020 Utah	July 2 Total	2020 Utah	Augus Total	t 2020 Utah	Septem Total	ber 2020 Utah	Octob Total	er 2020 Utah	Novemb Total	oer 2020 Utah	Decemb Total	oer 2020 Utah
Company	Allocated	Company	Allocated	Company	Allocated	Company	Allocated	Company	Allocated	Company	Allocated	Company	Allocated
976	416	976	416	976	416	976	416	976	416	976	416	1,396	595
2,686	1,145	2,691	1,147	2,691	1,147	2,691	1,147	2,691	1,147	2,691	1,147	3,063	1,306
(3,039)	(1,295)	(3,039)	(1,295)	(3,039)	(1,295)	(3,039)		(3,039)		(3,039)	(1,295)	(3,469)	(1,479)
614	261	614	261	614	261	614	261	614	261	614	261	614	261
28	12	28	12	28	12	28	12	28	12	28	12	35	15
1,265	538	1,270	541	1,270	541	1,270	541	1,270	541	1,270	541	1,639	698
967,000	412,216	967,000	412,216	968,712	412,946	968,712	412,946	968,712	412,946	968,712	412,946	968,712	412,946
(21,690)	(9,246)	(24,376)	(10,391)	(27,067)	(11,538)	(29,758)	(12,686)	(32,449)	(13,833)	(35,140)	(14,980)	(37,832)	(16,127)
(65,078)	(27,741)	(81,858)	(34,895)	(81,858)	(34,895)	(81,858)	(34,895)	(98,639)	(42,048)	(98,639)	(42,048)	(98,639)	(42,048)
880,233	375,228	860,766	366,930	859,786	366,512	857,095	365,365	837,624	357,065	834,932	355,918	832,241	354,770
	9.21%		9.21%		9.21%		9.21%		9.21%		9.21%		9.21%
	2,880		2,816		2,813		2,804		2,740		2,731		2,723
	3,418		3,357		3,353		3,345		3,281		3,272		3,420
	3,410		3,357		3,353		3,345		3,201		3,272		3,420
(6,253)	(2,666)	(6,253)	(2,666)	(6,253)	(2,666)	(6,253)	(2,666)	(6,253)	(2,666)	(6,253)	(2,666)	(7,246)	(3,089)
	(869)	-	(869)	-	(869)		(869)		(869)		(869)		(1,007)
	(3,535)		(3,535)		(3,535)		(3,535)		(3,535)		(3,535)		(4,096)
	n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a		n/a
	(3,535)		(3,535)		(3,535)		(3,535)		(3,535)		(3,535)		(4,096)
(excludes	NPC savings)	(excludes	NPC savings)	(excludes	NPC savings)	(exclude	s NPC savings)	(exclude	s NPC savings)	(excludes	NPC savings)	(excludes	NPC savings)
,		((Exclude)		, c. teroote.		,	······································	1.100000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	(117)		(178)		(181)		(190)		(254)		(263)		(676)
(excludes	NPC savings)	(excludes	NPC savings)	(excludes	NPC savings)	(exclude	s NPC savings)	(exclude:	s NPC savings)	(excludes	NPC savings)	(excludes	NPC savings)

OCS Data Request 2.20

Please refer to Exhibit RMP__(JRS-2SD) filed with the Supplemental Direct Testimony of Joelle R. Steward in Docket No. 17-035-39, which was titled "Example Annual RTM Deferral Calculation – Revenue Requirement." Column (h) line 19 shows the estimated revenue requirement associated with the proposed RTM deferral for 2020 as \$9,132,000 on a Utah jurisdictional basis. Since the calculations shown in Exhibit RMP__(JRS-2SD) in Docket No. 17-035-39 for calculating the amount on line 19 of the exhibit is similar to the proposed deferral calculations presented in the public portion of Exhibit A submitted with the Company's Application in this case, is it currently the Company's estimate that the amount to be deferred under its proposal in this case during 2020 will be approximately \$9.1 million? If no, explain, in detail, why not and describe what factors have changed since Docket No. 17-035-39 that would impact the deferral calculation.

1st Revised Response to OCS Data Request 2.20

Further to the Company's response to OCS Data Request 2.20 dated January 29, 2020, the Company provides the following revised response to correct for not including the depreciation expense credit. This response replaces, in its entirety, the Company's original response to OCS Data Request 2.20:

No. The \$9.1 million estimated 2020 revenue requirement in Exhibit RMP__(JRS-2SD) filed with the Supplemental Direct Testimony of Joelle R. Steward in Docket No. 17-035-39 is the Company's current estimate for the amount to be deferred <u>prior to</u> the inclusion of the depreciation expense credit adjustment of \$(15.7) million that was included in the Company's response to OCS Data Request 2.18, specifically Attachment OCS 2.18, file "Wind Repower Accounting Deferral 2020 Monthly Estimate" on line 7. With the depreciation expense credit included, the current estimated 2020 deferral is \$(6.6) million as shown on line 23.