ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

VS

MIDWAY CITY

Docket 20-035-03

PUBLIC HEARING

April 20, 2020

ADVANCED REPORTING SOLUTIONS

801-746-5080 | office@advancedrep.com | advancedrep.com SALT LAKE | 159 West Broadway, Broadway Lofts, Suite 100 | Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 PROVO | 3507 North University Avenue, Suite 350-D | Provo, Utah 84604 ST. GEORGE | 20 North Main Street, Suite 301 | St. George, Utah 84770



UTAH UTILITY FACILITY REVIEW BOARD PUBLIC HEARING DOCKET 20-035-03

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER, PETITIONER VS. MIDWAY CITY, RESPONDENT

Taken on April 20, 2020 * 9:00 a.m.

Volume I of III

Proceedings conducted via teleconference Day 1 of Hearing

Reported by: Tamra J. Berry, CSR, CCR, RPR

1		APPEARANCES
2	PUBLIC SERVI	CE COMMISSION:
3		THAD LEVAR, CHAIRMAN
4		DAVID R. CLARK, BOARD MEMBER JORDAN A. WHITE, BOARD MEMBER TROY FITZGERALD, BOARD MEMBER
5		GLENN J. WRIGHT, BOARD MEMBER
6	ROCKY MOUNTA	IN DOWER:
7		BRET REICH
8		HEIDI GORDON
9	MIDWAY CITY:	
10		CORBIN B. GORDON JOSHUA D. JEWKES
11		OPPOSITION TO LARGE TRANSMISSION LINES
12	(V.O.L.T):	
13		MARK O. MORRIS ELIZABETH BRERETON
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	-000-
2	INDEX
3	PAGE
4	PAGE
5	OPENING STATEMENTS BY ROCKY MOUNTAIN
6	OPENING STATEMENTS BY W.O.L.T
7	WITNESSES FOR ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER:
8	JAKE BARKER: PAGE
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Reich
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Goldon
11	Redirect Examination by Mr. Reich
12	Recross-Examinacion by Mr. Morris
13	BENJAMIN CLEGG:
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Reich
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Morris
16	Recross Examination by Mr. Gordon227
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Good morning. We are on the record in Utah Utility Facility Review Board docket 20-035-03, Rocky Mountain, petitioner, versus Midway City, respondent. We've confirmed before the hearing started that all five board members are on the line.

So at this point I'll just make a few reminders. Since this is a telephonic hearing, there are a few things we need to be aware of. Please be cautious of talking over each other. Please identify yourself when speaking, and I can't remember if I did that once we went on the record. This is Thad LeVar.

But if you need to interrupt or make an objection, please identify yourself or any time you're going to speak indicate who you are. Please put your phone on mute when you're not speaking, and then just try to remember to unmute it when you need to speak.

And with that I think we'll go ahead to appearances and then deal with some preliminary matters and some of these filings we received late last week.

Do we have anyone on the line for Rocky

1	Mountain Power?
2	MR. REICH: Yes. This is Bret Reich with
3	Rocky Mountain Power.
4	MS. GORDON: Heidi Gordon with Fabian
5	VanCott for Rocky Mountain Power.
6	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you.
7	For Midway City?
8	MR. GORDON: Corbin Gordon and
9	Joshua Jewkes for Midway City.
10	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you.
11	And for Valley-Wide Opposition to Large
12	Transmission Lines.
13	MR. MORRIS: Good morning, Mark Morris and
14	Elizabeth Brereton of Snell & Wilmer for V.O.L.T.
15	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you.
16	And, Ms. Berry, who's the court reporter,
17	I'll just let you know if you need to interrupt us or
18	ask anyone to repeat anything I know this is an
19	unusual circumstance to be doing this all
20	telephonically so please feel free if you're
21	having trouble to let us know if we need to do
22	anything differently. Thank you.
23	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: So why don't we jump
25	ahead into preliminary matters. As I've reviewed

some of the filings that came in late last week, I'll just kind of walk through it and make sure that no one sees anything differently than I do.

So we received a hearing brief from Rocky Mountain Power that also has a request for summary disposition. And so that request seems to be a matter that we should at least discuss before we start taking witnesses.

We have received from Midway City objections to various witness's written testimony, and it seems to me that we should address each of those as we move forward with each witness.

If anyone objects to moving forward that way -- well, any board member or party objects, please let me know. But it seems like we should deal with those one witness at a time.

We've received Midway City's trial memorandum. And as I read that, it looks like kind of a summary of arguments. But I don't read a motion or preliminary request into that. If I'm reading that incorrectly, please let me know.

And then we have Midway City's due process objections that includes a request that the board take all available measures to protect Midway City's due process. So I read that as somewhat of a

1 preliminary motion. So it seems to me we have a 2 request for summary disposition and a due process 3 request from Midway City we probably should address, 4 at least discuss preliminarily and then some objections to several of Rocky Mountain Power's 5 6 witnesses that we can address as those witnesses are called. 7 Does any party or board member have any 8 concerns with moving forward in that manner? 9 10 I'll ask any board member if that is -- if any board 11 member has any objection to moving forward that way, 12 please let me know. 13 Yeah, I'm not hearing any. 14 Do any of the parties object to moving 15 forward that way? 16 Your Honor, this is Mark MR. MORRIS: 17 Morris for V.O.L.T. We have no objection to moving 18 forward that way. I just wanted to note we filed 19 objections to the testimony of Mr. LeFevre and Mr. 20 We filed that about ten days ago I think. Myers. 21 And so I just wanted to make sure the court had those 22 in mind when those witnesses are called. But we're 23 having to raise those objections as we go. 24 Also, we plan on filing a trial memorandum 25 today. I inquired of the other parties if they had

1 any objection to our doing that today; they did not. 2 And there was no motion in there. It's merely a 3 memorandum of law to assist the board. 4 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. Just for clarification, I received that was filed 5 6 late Friday V.O.L.T.'s joinder to Midway City's objections to the witnesses. I am not seeing in the 7 docket a separate objection to witnesses that was 8 9 filed by V.O.L.T. you say approximately ten days ago. 10 I'm not seeing that document in our docket. 11 MR. MORRIS: I will look into that, your 12 Honor, and try and get back to you. 13 MR. REICH: We have not received those 14 objections either. 15 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Have you received 16 the joinder to Midway City's objections? That wasn't distributed by the PSC staff until this morning. 17 18 MR. REICH: Yeah, we have received the 19 joinder. 20 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: All right. So I'm not seeing the other objection that you've 21 22 referenced, Mr. Morris, online. But perhaps we 23 can -- if that can be identified as we move forward 24 today, we can do so. 25 Why don't we move next to Midway City's

1 due process objections. And let me just ask Mr. 2 Gordon, if you want to clarify if you're making any preliminary request of the board. You know, these 3 4 issues I think were also generally raised at the scheduling conference, and we understand you're 5 interest in maintaining those objections. Are you 6 asking the board to take any action with respect to 7 those issues at this point in the proceeding? 8 Chairman LeVar, let me just 9 MR. JEWKES: 10 respond briefly. Primarily, no. This is primarily 11 lodged to preserve our objections in the event of an 12 appeal before this board. 13 However, we do think that some of the due 14 process arguments are intertwined with the merits of 15 our arguments and the evidence that will be 16 presented, and we ask simply the board take that into 17 consideration when evaluating the merits of the 18 arguments. So, for example, there are some concerns 19 20 about our ability to respond to the substance of 21 these bids that were prepared. We ask that the board 22 do what it can to perhaps draw reasonable inferences 23 or to protect our due process rights in any way it 24 can find that is reasonable.

Thank you for that

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:

25

1 clarification, Mr. Jewkes. That is helpful. 2 Does any other party want to comment 3 further on this particular document that was filed 4 with the board, the due process objections from Midway City? 5 6 I'm not hearing any. Do any board members have any questions 7 for Midway City about this filing? 8 9 I'm not hearing any there either. Okav. 10 So let's move then to Rocky Mountain Power's request for -- let me make sure I'm saying it right. 11 Request 12 for Summary Disposition. 13 Mr. Reich or Mr. Gordon, would you like to 14 briefly discuss that request? 15 Sure, thank you. That request MR. REICH: 16 is based on the conditional use permit issued by 17 Midway City. Our position on that is that Midway 18 City had some expressed conditions in the conditional 19 Those expressed conditions included use permit. 20 obtaining three bids from Rocky Mountain Power. As 21 stated in our request, we submitted those bids to 22 Midway City on March 26th of 2020. Midway City then 23 had under the conditional use permit 15 days after 24 the three bids were provided to select which 25 construction option would meet its needs in terms of

costs and functions according to the conditional use permit. That date was on April 10th of 2020.

We reached out to Midway City after the deadline and asked for them to select an option.

They did not.

In addition to selecting an option after -- 15 days after receiving the bids, Midway City also, pursuant to their own conditional use permit, were required to raise the funds sufficient to pay for the project for the undergrounding of the line.

They also were required, as stated in the conditional use permit, to obtain a vote by the Wasatch County Council to approve a location for the dip poles that would otherwise be alongside

Highway 113 that's acceptable to Midway City because Rocky Mountain Power had previously obtained a conditional use permit from Wasatch County that did not include those dip poles or undergrounding of the line in Wasatch County.

Midway City failed to meet the conditions required in the conditional use permit. And as stated in the conditional use permit if they failed to meet those deadlines, then the conditional use permit states that the applicant "may proceed with

overhead construction with the following conditions." 1 2 And then it identifies several conditions that must 3 be met to move forward with the project with the 4 overhead route. Since Midway City never chose a 5 construction option, they never responded to our 6 request to obtain -- to select an option, and failed 7 to meet the funding conditions that they imposed, 8 9 it's our position that the -- that the conditional 10 use permit as stated, the expressed conditions 11 contained therein, they failed to meet those. 12 therefore under the conditional use permit, it's 13 Rocky Mountain Power's position that they have the 14 right now to proceed with the overhead project, 15 subject to the conditions outlined in the conditional 16 use permit. 17 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. 18 Reich. 19 In a moment I'll give Midway City an 20 opportunity to respond and also indicate the extent 21 to which they agree or disagree with the factual 22 issues that Rocky Mountain Power has proffered in

But before I do that, let me see if any board member has any questions for Mr. Reich before

23

24

25

connection with this.

we do that. If any board remember wants to ask questions right now, please jump in and let me know.

Okay. I'm not hearing any. I'll move to Midway City and again ask you if you any response to this and also specifically ask if you have a position on the factual issues that have been proffered by Rocky Mountain Power in connection with this request for summary disposition.

MR. GORDON: We do. This is Corbin Gordon on behalf of Midway City. So in order to get a directed verdict there would have to be no dispute of any fact before this board, and there are significant disputes of fact.

Mountain Power was required to provide us with three qualified bids. And we have been working -- as you saw in our trial memorandum, there are very distinct arguments that those bids are not qualified, that the specs included in the bids are not correct, and that several of the things that Rocky Mountain Power has put in the bid are not required nor necessary under the -- under the standards, the safety standards that are applicable to the industry.

So our position is we do not have any obligation to proceed forward to do any of the

additional requirements until we actually get 1 2 qualified bids from Rocky Mountain Power. 3 core of what you will hear today is much cross-examination that will establish that the bids 4 as presented are not reliable and that we have not 5 received those, and as such we can't proceed forward. 6 I mean we need to get bids that are reliable so we 7 actually know how much money we're supposed to --8 9 we're supposed to get or we're supposed to raise. 10 So there is a distinct dispute of fact, 11 and that's in my opinion the core of what we're going 12 to be arguing here over the next day or two, 13 establishing that those bids are not reliable. 14 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. 15 Let me go next to any board member. If any board 16 member has any questions for Mr. Gordon at this time, 17 please indicate that you have a question. 18 MR. JORDAN WHITE: Just one question along 19 those same things, Mr. Jewkes [sic]. I quess I'm 20 just kind of wondering what your thoughts are in 21 terms of the context of the statute. When would 22 Rocky Mountain Power have any kind of acceptance or 23 recognition or even a dispute with respect to whether 24 or not their bids are accepted or qualified? 25 why I'm asking that is because I looked at their

motion paper, and it looks like there was an e-mail indicating their -- their indication they provided qualified bids. But I see nothing in return, any disputes, any challenges, whatsoever from any of the other parties with respect to those bids. Can you help me understand?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Certainly. I mean we've been MR. GORDON: in -- I mean my thought on that was we all know that we're going to be in this hearing today, that we've already had a petition. And on our side we're asking that you as a board determine what the actual excess costs are going to be. And we received those bids just a little less than three weeks ago. So in my mind we had already lodged our objections, and everybody understood that we were going to be arguing about that in this hearing. So I did not think that we needed to raise objections. I thought we already And so that's my position on that is that we've done all of the work and you can see in all of the pleadings that it's very clear that we object to the form of these bids. But it did take us a little bit of time to work through with our experts to establish that, which once again comes back to due process.

So my obligation on that would be we've already lodged our objections; they're already

standing before this board. 1 2 MR. JORDAN WHITE: That's helpful. 3 just so I'm clear, it's your position that within the 4 statute the board is to actually give their approval or blessing or what have you of whether the bids are 5 qualified under the statute? Is that -- just so I'm 6 clear on that. 7 8 MR. GORDON: Yes. MR. JORDAN WHITE: 9 Okav. Thank you. 10 MR. GORDON: As part of the responsibility 11 to determine what the actual excess costs are, you 12 need to establish what the actual costs to go 13 underground are. And so that's going to be one of 14 the primary things you're going to be looking at over 15 the next couple of days. 16 MR. JORDAN WHITE: All right. Thank you. CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: 17 Thank you. For 18 purposes of the transcript, I'll just note I think 19 Mr. White addressed his question to Mr. Jewkes, but 20 it was answered by Mr. Gordon. Am I correct about 21 that, just to make sure the transcript was correct? 22 MR. GORDON: That is correct, yes. Mr. 23 Gordon answered that. 24 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you. 25 other questions from board members for Midway City?

1 I'm not hearing any. Why don't we Okay. 2 go ahead and move to V.O.L.T., if they have any 3 comments on this preliminary request from Rocky 4 Mountain Power -- well, sorry, preliminary is the wrong word -- this request for summary disposition 5 from Rocky Mountain Power. 6 Does V.O.L.T. have anything they want to 7 add to this? 8 9 MR. MORRIS: Thank you, your Honor. 10 little. We join in Midway's points opposing the 11 The point of this entire proceeding is to motion. 12 determine whether and how much Midway is going to 13 have to come up with in terms of actual excess costs 14 to implement a means of putting this line in, other than what Rocky Mountain Power proposes. 15 But to get 16 to those excess costs, you need -- the board needs to 17 understand what the real numbers are for going 18 overhead and what the real numbers are for going 19 And we respectfully submit that neither underground. 20 of those two numbers have been adequately calculated 21 or presented by Rocky Mountain at this point. And so 22 it is a legal and factual impossibility for Midway to 23 know what it is supposed to do in order to exercise 24 an option to have this line go underground.

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you,

25

1 Mr. Morris.

Does any board member have any questions for Mr. Morris at this point?

I'm not hearing any questions from board members. So why don't we go back to Rocky Mountain Power. This is your request for summary disposition. So why don't I give you a moment if you want to add anything final to your request before we discuss it as a board.

MR. REICH: Sure, thank you.

In the conditional use permit, the requirement called for -- it says "We require the applicant to provide three actual competitive construction bids prepared by qualified bonded and insured third parties in accordance with standard city policy to establish the actual costs of this construction."

And our position is that we have provided those bids. They meet those qualifications. They have to be competitive. We've testified through Darin Myers that we used our standard procurement and request for proposal process that Rocky Mountain Power uses. We secured those bids. We sent the RFP out to 18 different bidders, as Mr. Myers has stated in his opinion.

In addition, with respect to what Mr.

Morris said, the conditional use permit actually has a true-up provision on page 18 that says "once construction is finished on the underground line, the actual costs will be trued up. And either the applicant shall refund the overpayment to the city, or the city shall pay the difference to the applicant."

So there is a true-up provision in the conditional use permit. So I'd certainly disagree that there is any requirement to obtain a definitive number on excess costs if there's a true-up provision in the conditional use permit. That could be trued-up at the end of the project.

So the only -- the only dispute or the only evidence that Midway City presented was not a bid. They did not go out and contain a construction bid. They contained an estimate. And as you know an estimate is a lot different than a bid. It's not binding. It's a high-level estimate of what the project could cost. So there is no -- there's no controverted evidence that shows that those three competitive bids are not exactly what they say they are.

Of course Midway City must disagree with

1 the price and say that they're too high. 2 doesn't mean that they're not valid or they weren't 3 qualified and competitive as we followed our process. 4 So we would dispute that there's any controverted 5 evidence. There's no bid that was provided by either 6 Midway City or V.O.L.T. that contradicted the bid submitted by Rocky Mountain Power. Thank you. 7 8 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, 9 Mr. Reich. 10 Do any board members have any questions 11 for Mr. Reich at this point? 12 I'm not hearing any questions from board 13 members. So we'll move to board discussion of the 14 request for summary disposition by Rocky Mountain 15 Does any board member want to begin that 16 discussion? 17 MR. JORDAN WHITE: I quess I'll kick this 18 I quess my initial concern is I'm not sure if 19 I'm comfortable with the board getting into the 20 business of questioning the qualified bids. I don't 21 read that in the statute. It seems that Rocky 22 Mountain Power has followed the typical practices. 23 The question of whether or not they're 24 qualified to me seems to go beyond that typical term 25 of art of qualification. It's almost like we're

asked to be looking towards it with a jaundiced eye 1 2 beyond. You know, in other words, they've followed 3 their practice. They've let it out to the 4 competitive biding to the 18 possible prospects. They've got back the bids. 5 6 Like I said I'm just thinking out loud here, but that's my concern. I'm not sure where this 7 goes ultimately. Is it -- when will we ever get to a 8 9 point we feel comfortable or, you know, what is our 10 position to actually vet what it means to be a 11 qualified bid. I quess that's my initial concern is 12 where does this go ultimately both in this proceeding 13 and beyond that. Where do we -- do we actually 14 come to -- is a utility or other entity supposed to 15 come before the board when they're actually doing do 16 their RFP process so we can bless that. That's kind 17 of my initial concern, and I'll just leave it at that 18 for now. 19 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. 20 White. 21 Any other board members or discussions or 22 motions at this point? 23 MR. DAVID CLARK: I understand and 24 appreciate Commissioner White's perspective. Mine is 25 a little different. I think at least I want to -- in

my mind I entertain the possibility that the bids 1 2 could be demonstrated to be flawed without the 3 presentation of -- by the opponent of a competing And I know we have representations in the file 4 bid. 5 of testimony about the bidding process. But I'm 6 inclined to want to have that testimony vetted so that we can hear cross-examination of the testimony 7 to -- I'd like to assure myself that indeed the 8 9 process is what it's been represented to be in the 10 pre-filed testimony or whether or not there are any 11 factual challenges to that that can be sustained 12 through either cross-examination or the affirmative 13 testimony of the witness for Midway City. 14 So in my mind although it might be a bit 15 thin, I think there's a factual controversy here for 16 us to examine. 17 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, 18 Mr. Clark. 19 Any other comments or motions from board 20 members? 21 I'll just give my thoughts to this point. 22 To me there's at least -- there's at least enough of 23 a factual dispute here and, you know, a relatively 24 manageable amount of testimony that we have to go 25 through that I'm not comfortable at this point voting

```
1
     for summary disposition.
                               Where it seems like we have
 2
     enough facts in dispute that it makes sense to move
 3
     through the witnesses and the testimony.
 4
     where I am.
                 I'm ready to make a motion, unless any
 5
     other board members want to discuss it further or
 6
     anyone else wants to make any motion for action on
 7
 8
     the request.
                 MR. DAVID CLARK:
 9
                                    Just so that you don't
10
     have to do all the work, Chair LeVar, I'll move that
11
     the motion be denied for summary adjudication of the
12
     permit.
13
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:
                                        Does any board
14
     member want to second or discuss that motion for
     Mr. Clark?
15
16
                 MR. GLENN WRIGHT: I'll second the motion.
17
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:
                                        Any further
18
     discussion of the motion?
                 MR. JORDAN WHITE: I intend to vote to
19
20
     deny the motion. But I just want to make clear in
21
     the balance and this context of the due process
22
     motion, et cetera, I agree that we should continue
23
     this discussion before we -- you know, explore some
24
     of these issues. But I do want to make it clear I
25
     am -- I quess I'm voicing a concern for future issues
```

1	that I don't believe it's the position of the board
2	or within the statute to actually, you know, decide
3	what that means to be a qualified bidder. Because if
4	that were the case, it should have been done I think
5	much earlier in the proceeding or somehow more
6	descriptive in the statute.
7	With that being said, I would vote to deny
8	it for the reasons expressed by Chair LeVar and
9	member Clark.
10	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr.
11	White. We have a motion and a second. Any further
12	discussion by the board members before we have the
13	vote?
14	I'm not hearing any, so we'll go to voting
15	on the motion.
16	Mr. Clark?
17	MR. DAVID CLARK: I vote yes on the motion
18	to deny summary disposition.
19	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Fitzgerald?
20	MR. TROY FITZGERALD: I vote in favor of
21	denial.
22	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I also vote in favor
23	of denying the request for summary disposition.
24	Mr. White?
25	MR. JORDAN WHITE: I vote yes or in favor

1 of denying the motion. 2 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you. Mr. 3 Wright? 4 MR. GLENN WRIGHT: I vote to deny the motion. 5 6 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you. So that motion passes by unanimous vote. We're denying the 7 request for summary disposition. 8 9 And I think we're ready to go ahead to 10 moving forward with the testimony. Does any party 11 have anything else that they feel needs to be dealt 12 with preliminarily before we move to Rocky Mountain 13 Power calling their first witness? 14 MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, this is Mark 15 Morris with V.O.L.T. I've looked through our 16 filings, and it appears that the objections that I 17 drafted many days ago were not filed. And so I plan 18 on doing that immediately. They're on my system, and 19 I thought they were there. So these are objections 20 to Misters LeFevre and Myers testifying. And as the 21 board indicated, we can address the reasons for those 22 objections at the time they're called. Thank you. 23 And I regret the oversight. We have a lot 24 of stuff working from home, and it just slipped 25 through the cracks.

1 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you for that 2 clarification. These are definitely unique times. 3 But there will be opportunity to address the 4 substance of our objections as they call each So thank you for that clarification. 5 witness. 6 And in terms of schedule, let me just make it -- before we move to witnesses, let me make a 7 comment on schedules. As we discussed in both of our 8 9 previous hearings, some board members have other 10 obligations going on. So today we have to conclude 11 by 4:00 p.m. And if the hearing continues until 12 Wednesday, we'll have to conclude by 4:00 p.m. on 13 Wednesday also. Tuesday and Thursday look clear to 14 go up until 5:00 p.m. if we need all of those days. 15 But just so you know today we will need to finish by 16 4:00. 17 And just to also let everyone know, we 18 typically -- well, at least with public service 19 commission hearings, we typically take a hearing 20 break about every hour and a half or so. If anyone 21 has any reason why they need to request a short 22 recess other than that, including Ms. Berry, if you

> Advanced Reporting Solutions 801-746-5080

that, please jump in and do that. But otherwise I'll

need to ask for a recess any more frequently than

be watching the clock and trying to get us a short

23

24

25

break every hour and a half or so, and then of course 1 2 a lunch break midday. 3 So with that I think we're ready to go to 4 Mr. Reich or Mr. Gordon for Rocky Mountain Power's first witness. 5 6 MR. REICH: Thank you. The parties discussed the order of witnesses, and we had agreed 7 that Midway City and V.O.L.T. would proceed first 8 9 with cross-examination. They had identified the Since we've already filed 10 following six witnesses. 11 direct testimony on these witnesses, they had 12 identified Jason Norlen as going first, then Benjamin 13 Clegg, Darin Myers, Jake Barker, then Benjamin 14 LeFevre and Craiq Michaelis. So I think that Midway 15 City and V.O.L.T. actually are going to start with 16 the cross-examination. 17 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: So let me just 18 Are you going to put -- are you going to 19 introduce their testimony first or they're going to 20 be cross-examined before their testimony is introduced? I'd like a little clarification on the 21 22 process we're talking about. 23 MR. REICH: Sure, we can go ahead and 24 proffer the direct testimony of our witnesses. CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: And then of course 25

1	we have some objections to those proffers that we
2	will have to deal with one at a time I think.
3	So do you want to start with the first
4	witness that you intend to proffer?
5	MR. REICH: Sure. It would be Jake
6	Barker.
7	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Jake Barker first.
8	MR. REICH: Sure.
9	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Barker, are you
10	on the line?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm on the line.
12	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay, thank you.
13	Your volume isn't great. If there's
14	anything you can do to improve the volume quality,
15	that would be good.
16	MR. REICH: He's just going to get a
17	little closer to the speaker. He wasn't near the
18	speaker. We're trying to stay somewhat apart in the
19	conference room here.
20	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Oh, sure. Thank
21	you.
22	THE WITNESS: This is Jake Barker. Can
23	you hear me better?
24	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Yes, that's much
25	better. Let me just start by swearing you in.

1	Do you swear to tell the truth?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	
4	JAKE BARKER,
5	called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was
6	examined and testified as follows:
7	
8	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. And I am not
9	seeing an objection to Mr. Barker from Midway. And
10	from what you said, I don't think there's an
11	objection from V.O.L.T. to this witness. Am I
12	incorrect on that?
13	If there is any objection to this witness,
14	please indicate at this point.
15	I'm not hearing any. So, Mr. Reich, you
16	can go ahead with this witness.
17	MR. GORDON: Your Honor, this is Corbin
18	Gordon from Midway. We would like to invoke the
19	exclusionary rule.
20	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: You'd like all
21	witnesses are you asking for that with respect to
22	all witnesses in this proceeding?
23	MR. GORDON: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Does any board
25	member have any question for Mr. Gordon with respect

to this request?

MR. GLENN WRIGHT: I have no idea what that rule is.

MR. GORDON: Let me explain. I apologize. It's common when we are in trial to invoke the exclusionary rule, which requires anyone who's going to testify be removed from the courtroom while others testify so that they're not influenced by the testimony that they hear. So we're just asking that anyone who intends on testifying be removed, and the only person that be allowed on the record and in the room would be the person testifying.

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Let me ask this question, Mr. Gordon. Just from a mechanics point of view, this is a public meeting, and it's being streamed. So anyone can listen to it. You know in a courtroom when I've been in courtrooms and the exclusionary rule is invoked, it's typically pretty simple to just exclude the witnesses from the room.

But is your request to discontinue streaming the proceeding also? Because we stream it as a courtesy. It's not a legal requirement that we stream because we keep a court reporter and a transcript. But are you asking that we turn off the stream?

1	MR. GORDON: No, I am not, absolutely not.
2	I'm just asking that the witnesses be removed from
3	the room. And then I mean I think you could order
4	them not to listen to the stream until they testify.
5	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Any other board
6	members have any questions for Mr. Gordon about this
7	request?
8	MR. TROY FITZGERALD: I don't have any
9	objection or questions regarding the request. It's
10	just there's just no way for us to verify that it's
11	happening. So it would just have to be the basis of
12	representation from the parties.
13	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you,
14	Mr. Fitzgerald.
15	Any other board comments or questions for
16	Mr. Gordon before we move to other parties?
17	MR. DAVID CLARK: I don't have any
18	questions. I'd like to hear what the other parties
19	have to say about the request though.
20	MR. JORDAN WHITE: My question I guess is
21	I don't know that I'm opposed to it. I don't know if
22	we've heard that before in the public service
23	commission meetings. What's the reason for it or the
24	rationale for that? It might help.
25	MR. GORDON: Would you like me to answer

1 that, Chairman LeVar? 2 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Yes, Mr. Gordon, if 3 you'd respond to that question. 4 MR. GORDON: It just goes to the reliability of the testimony that you're going to 5 6 receive. There will be different things that we will be asking -- the same things in some instances we 7 will be asking different witnesses. And from a 8 9 fairness standpoint, it's not fair that everyone sits 10 and listens to everyone else's answers and 11 potentially tries to coordinate. 12 So in trial this is a very common thing, 13 where to protect the integrity of the process, we 14 just bring in one witness at a time and they testify and then they leave and then the next person comes 15 16 And that way there's no possibility of having in. 17 testimony altered due to what they've already heard. 18 MR. JORDAN WHITE: Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Any other questions 20 or comments from board members before we move to 21 Rocky Mountain Power? 22 MR. DAVID CLARK: So I quess I'm just 23 wondering about the consistency of this request with 24 any requirements under the Open and Public Meetings 25 Act and its applicability to our proceeding.

1 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Gordon, do you 2 have any response to that question? 3 MR. GORDON: Yeah. I mean this is -- so 4 you sit in really a quasi judicial scenario here just 5 like in a court. Courts are open to the public, but the judge does have the power to invoke this rule, 6 which is common in trials, to exclude the witnesses. 7 And once again it's just simply for the reliability 8 9 of the process. 10 So it wouldn't be in my opinion any 11 violation. You are sitting in a quasi judicial role 12 here; you're creating a record. And you certainly as 13 a board have the power to say, yes, we're going to 14 grant the exclusionary rule and take witnesses one at 15 a time. That's a very common thing that judges do. 16 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I have one follow-up 17 question to Mr. Clark's question. You're not 18 indicating that district courts in Utah are subject 19 to the Open and Public Meetings Act; is that correct? 20 MR. GORDON: No, I'm not. I don't think that that's -- that's an interesting question. 21 22 they are open to the public unless closed by a ruling 23 of the court. 24 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Maybe just one or 25 two more follow-ups to that then. Would you view

this, excluding some witnesses from listening to the 1 2 proceeding, as some form of closing the meeting under 3 the Open and Public Meetings Act? 4 MR. GORDON: I don't think so. T mean once again your primary job as a board today is to 5 6 make sure this is a fair process. And I don't think it's violating anybody's rights as far as wanting to 7 listen in if they're giving testimony and you're 8 9 concerned that it's going to make the testimony that 10 you hear unreliable. And so I think your primary 11 purpose today is to make sure that that occurs. 12 I think these witnesses fully expect and understand 13 that they're coming in to give testimony. We're not 14 excluding any of the public who is interested. 15 as a -- as party to we're going to be giving you 16 information, I think you have the right to protect 17 the process and the way that that is presented so 18 that you can rely on it. 19 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. 20 Gordon. 21 Any other questions from board members? 22 MR. JORDAN WHITE: Here's my question. 23 I'm wondering if maybe this is, you know, a precursor 24 to the response from Rocky Mountain Power. 25 wondering if it might just be something they would

1 consider doing voluntarily. My concern is under the Open Public Meetings Act, there's actual misdemeanor 2 3 and potential criminal liability for board members 4 for violating that act. So I'm a little bit concerned that we're out on the ledge about much 5 6 backing other than just representations about Utah Administrative Law. 7 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I don't know if that 8 9 was a question or not. Mr. White, did you want Mr. 10 Gordon to respond to that? 11 MR. JORDAN WHITE: Well, I quess I'm 12 asking before -- I'm asking that for Rocky Mountain 13 I know they're going to be responding to us 14 in a second. But that may be something we might want 15 to consider as a board or if they may be willing to 16 In other words because really we're relying on do. 17 their good faith and their willingness to do that. I 18 mean whether we order it or they just voluntarily do 19 that, either way. 20 I guess I'm trying to think of the most 21 safe route. I'm not opposed to that request. I've 22

23

24

25

1	the Utah Open Public Meetings Act and the request
2	for, you know, the due process underpinnings that
3	Midway City is giving out I guess.
4	So again this may be a potential
5	discussion point for the board and it may be a
6	request for Rocky Mountain Power to consider, whether
7	they would do that voluntarily or whether we need to
8	order them.
9	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Thank you,
L O	Mr. White.
1	Any other comments or questions from board
L2	members before we move to Rocky Mountain Power, and
L3	then of course we'll move to V.O.L.T. next also.
L4	Because my understanding is this request applies to
L5	the witnesses from all three parties.
L6	Any other questions or thoughts from board
L7	members before we move to the next party?
L8	Okay. Mr. Reich or Ms. Gordon, do you
L9	have any comments on this request from Midway City?
20	MR. REICH: Yes, this is Mr. Reich, and we
21	object to that request. We're not voluntarily going
22	to agree to that for several reasons.
23	The first reason being that the parties in
24	this proceeding have already filed direct testimony
25	and rebuttal testimony. That testimony is a matter

of public record. Unlike a court proceeding where there's live witnesses that offer testimony live and not written testimony. So this is in many ways different than a courtroom proceeding.

And in fact under the Facility Review
Board Act under section 54-14-104 under the rules and
procedures, it says that "The board may, pursuant to
title 63G of the Utah Administrative Rulemaking Act
adapt rules governing proceedings under this chapter
consistent with this chapter and title 63G chapter
4."

So if you look at the chapter 4 of the Administrative Procedures Act, there are procedures for formal adjudicated proceedings such as this one, a hearing. This is not a trial; it's a hearing. So under that -- under the provisions in Utah Code 63-G-4-206(1)(a), it says "The presiding officers shall regulate the course of the hearing to obtain full disclosure to relevant facts and to afford all the parties reasonable opportunity to present their positions."

So I think that this hearing is different in some ways than a courtroom proceeding. The rules of evidence are somewhat relaxed. In fact in this rule that we're referring to it says: The presiding

So

officer may not exclude evidence solely because it is 1 2 So that's another reason. There's no basis hearsav. 3 for invoking the exclusion. 4 The Exclusions Act we found in the Utah Rules of Evidence, Rule 615, and that rule says, "The 5 6 parties request the court must order witnesses excluded so they cannot hear others' testimony. 7 this rule does not authorize excluding a person whose 8 9 presence a party shows to be essential to presenting 10 the party's claim or defense." 11 So it's Rocky Mountain Power's position 12 that even under the rule it's not proper to be 13 invoked, especially in this hearing that's difficult 14 enough where we can't see the witnesses, where we 15 can't -- it's difficult. We would like our witnesses 16 to be present to hear the proceeding to make it comply with the APA, specifically obtaining full 17 18 disclosure in front of this board. So we do object 19 to it. 20 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, 21 Mr. Reich. 22 Do any board members have any questions 23 for him before we move to V.O.L.T.? 24 I'm not hearing any board members indicate

they have any questions for Rocky Mountain Power.

25

why don't we go to Mr. Morris or Ms. Brereton. Do you have any comments on this request for Midway City?

MR. MORRIS: Thank you. We join in Midway's motion to exclude. Responding most immediately to the points Mr. Reich made, it's true that there has been a lot of coordination among witnesses before now because they've had an opportunity to confer with counsel and they've all submitted their own written direct testimony in coordination with counsel. And so that concern under the exclusionary rule has now been on obviated. So I would submit that whatever public policy or reasons under open meetings for not excluding witnesses is largely obviated.

But I share Mr. Gordon's concern that if these witnesses are able to sit here and listen to questions that have not yet been presented to them in cross-examination, that there is a risk that testimony is going to change. A simple example is there might be four witnesses. If the first three testify that the light was red and the fourth one was going to testify it was green, that puts a lot of pressure on the fourth witness not to change the testimony and say instead, "Well, I guess it was red

instead of green."

And so that's what we're trying to achieve here. I agree with Mr. Gordon that the board is going to get the cleanest and most reliable testimony by excluding witnesses.

You know one way I've seen it done is simply to put all the witnesses under oath at the beginning, if they're available, and then the board could order them not to listen and simply to wait their turn to be called. I don't think that excluding five or six or seven from the world at large from the public being able to listen to these proceedings and observe them is going to be prejudiced by asking only five or six or seven people to wait their turn.

And, for example, as soon as Mr. Barker is done, he's welcome, once he's off the stand if you will, to participate and watch. And so the world of people not able to participate or not able to observe these proceedings is going to shrink as we go.

But I do share the concern that testimony could be modified in light of the cross-examination of early witnesses. The later witnesses are going to have an opportunity perhaps to reconsider what they were otherwise going to testify to.

1 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, 2 Mr. Morris. 3 Do any board members have questions for 4 Mr. Morris at this point? I'm not hearing any guestions. 5 Why don't I circle back to Mr. Gordon for any final comments on 6 the motion before we move into board discussion. 7 8 MR. GORDON: I just want to reiterate my 9 motion is based on fairness and reliability. I want 10 this process to be as fair as possible. I don't 11 think it's fair to allow Rocky Mountain Power's 12 witnesses to sit and listen to one another and 13 potentially coordinate. And so based on that I just 14 renew. 15 I'm more than happy to abide by whatever 16 the board decides, but I do lodge that objection that 17 I don't think that's fair if that's the way you want 18 to proceed. 19 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Let me ask you this 20 question, Mr. Gordon. As I'm looking at our legal 21 options and obviously not wanting to violate the Open 22 and Public Meetings Act, what would be your response 23 to a board action to request all witnesses not to 24 listen to the hearing until they're testifying? 25 MR. GORDON: I'll take whatever I can get,

1 your Honor. And so if that's as far as you feel 2 comfortable going, then we'll abide by that, and my 3 hope would be they would respect the board's guidance 4 there. 5 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. 6 Gordon. Any other questions from board members for 7 8 Mr. Gordon at this point? 9 I'm not hearing any. So why don't we move 10 to board discussion of the request by Midway City. 11 MR. JORDAN WHITE: If we were to entertain 12 this request -- and I think I've got the right 13 statute in front of us -- but do you have an opinion 14 in terms of whether we would need to close this as a 15 -- I guess that's what I'm grappling at. Typically 16 in a commission proceeding it would be -- you know, 17 we'd actually close a portion of the hearing if there 18 are certain reasons, confidential matters, 19 proprietary, et cetera. Is this something that we 20 should discuss whether we would be required to at 21 least close it? I guess the other option is just to 22 make a request. 23 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Yeah, this is Thad 24 LeVar, if you don't mind me commenting a little bit 25 on your comment too.

Just for clarification -- sorry, were you 1 2 not finished, Mr. White? 3 MR. JORDAN WHITE: No, that's actually a 4 question. I want you to comment, if you wouldn't mind. 5 6 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Oh, sure. Just for everyone's benefit, there is a statute in Title 54 7 that allows -- that the public service commission 8 9 frequently uses to close meetings, to close hearings 10 outside of the Open and Public Meetings Act. 11 don't see any basis for that statute in Title 54 that 12 applies to the Utility Facility Review Board. It's -- I don't have that statute that the Public 13 Service Commission uses frequently. 14 But I don't 15 think there's any argument to be made that this 16 statute applies to this board. So the short answer is while the Public 17 Service Commission has some additional flexibility, I 18 don't see that this board does outside of the Open 19 20 and Public Meetings Act, which is why I asked the 21 question about making the request. I'm not sure 22 there's any basis under the purposes for closing a 23 meeting in the Open Public Meetings Act to do this. 24 I think asking some participants not to 25 listen is -- or prohibiting some witnesses from

listening is some form of closing the hearing. On the other hand, I'll give my own view that I haven't heard anything in the responses from Rocky Mountain Power that gives me any indication that they would have prejudiced in any way having their witnesses excluded. I don't see any potential for prejudice to any party for having their witnesses excluded.

I'm just concerned about what we do and don't have legal authority to do. So that's where I'm sitting. As a matter of fairness I don't think it hurts any party to have the witnesses excluded. Since they all have read each other's testimony, I don't see any prejudice from not being able to listen to each other's cross-examination before they're cross-examined.

But I just have some concerns about our legal path to doing that. So those are my thoughts.

Do any other board members have comments or deliberation or discussion of this question?

MR. DAVID CLARK: I personally would want some authority for this board taking the action that we've been requested to take because of the routine practices of the board, this board, also even though it's met infrequently, and the applicability and Open and Public Meetings Act and how it relates to our

1 function and my questions about it without some firm 2 authority for taking the action that we've been 3 requested to take. I would -- I would not impose it. I think I don't have an objection to requesting that 4 witnesses refrain from listening to their -- the 5 other testimony being offered by their -- the 6 witnesses who are aligned with them. But I wouldn't 7 8 do any more than just request that. 9 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, 10 Mr. Clark. 11 Any other board discussion or board 12 motions? 13 MR. GLENN WRIGHT: I agree that the 14 sentiment that exclusion of the witness goes against 15 the purposes of the Open and Public Meetings Act, and 16 I would not support that motion. I'm okay with the 17 compromise of request. 18 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. 19 And Mr. Fitzgerald, I think you were trying 20 to make a comment also. 21 MR. TROY FITZGERALD: I quess just from 22 the board's perspective just so I can stay clear on 23 this, what set of rules are we even following here? 24 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: If you're asking for 25 thoughts from board members, I mean I think my short

- 1 answer to your question is the Administrative 2 Procedures Act and the Open and Public Meetings Act 3 are mandatory on this board. The way I view those 4 two acts, the Rules of Civil Procedure are persuasive 5 to this board but not mandatory. But the provisions of those two acts, as well as the Facility Review 6 Board Act are the basis for our procedures. 7 my view of it, if you're asking board members for 8 9 their view.
 - MR. TROY FITZGERALD: Just if that's the general consensus, that's helpful for me to know which rules to consider.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JORDAN WHITE: Yeah, I wanted to just add onto that. I see again it's similar to every other hearing that the Open and Public Meetings Act applies to. For example, you know, a city council meeting, a city planning commission meeting, a legislative session, it's similar to that. It's hard for me at times to not think through the lines of Title 54, but I recognize this is a separate board apart from that. So I think that Chair LeVar is correct in that those are the governing statutes, and we can utilize the Rules of Evidence and Civil Procedure as guides. But we're not like a district court. We're more like a planning commission or a

city council or something of that sort. 1 2 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: To your question, 3 Mr. Fitzgerald, I'll add one more personal opinion in 4 that in the years I've been working on administrative law I think it's clear that the Open and Public 5 Meetings Act was not written very well for 6 It was written for adjudicative proceedings. 7 legislative proceedings. And it's not a great fit 8 9 for quasi judicial proceedings. But having said 10 that, we said where we are and we have the law that 11 we have in front of us, And so that's my personal 12 view of it. 13 Any further board discussion or board 14 actions? 15 I'm not hearing any, so I don't object to 16 making a motion myself that we request the witnesses of all three parties to exclude themselves from 17 18 listening to the hearing while other witnesses are 19 testifying and being cross-examined. 20 If there's any discussion to that motion 21 or a second to motion, we'll entertain that. 22 MR. REICH: Can I just ask what the basis 23 of that motion is made under, what rule? 24 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I think that -- is 25 that question directed to Mr. Gordon?

MR. REICH: Yeah, or the board. Either one.

MR. GORDON: So I would say that you were granted the power to make that motion under 63(g)4-208, which specifically talks about the procedures for adjudicated proceedings and the orders that you may issue. Under section 4 it said, "This section does not preclude the presiding officer from issuing interim orders to," down in C, "otherwise provide for the fair and efficient conduct of the adjudicated proceeding."

And under that position you're making a ruling for the fairness of this process and issuing an order based on your concern about fairness.

explain my motion a little bit. I have concerns about our legal authority to order exclusions. However, I have not heard anything that leads me to believe any party or any witness would be prejudiced by excluding themselves from listening to the other cross-examination. I don't see how that's going to harm in an unfair way any witness's participation in the hearing to not have heard the cross-examination of other parties. So I do think it's a reasonable request. But as we've discussed, I have concerns

1	about the authority to order it rather than request
2	it. So that's the motion that I've put in front of
3	the board at this point.
4	So any further discussion? Mr. White?
5	Oh, it's Mr. Fitzgerald. Go ahead.
6	MR. TROY FITZGERALD: Yeah, I was simply
7	going to second that motion and state I've got a lot
8	of experience with the Open and Public Meetings Act,
9	and I agree with you I don't think that it's binding
10	because it is open and public, people can listen.
11	But it does go to a party's willingness to
12	participate in the proceedings and do what they can
13	to make it fair. So again I will second that motion.
14	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr.
15	Fitzgerald.
16	Any further discussion to the motion by
17	any board member?
18	I'm not hearing any further comments. So
19	why don't we move to voting.
20	Mr. Clark?
21	MR. DAVID CLARK: I vote yes.
22	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Fitzgerald?
23	MR. TROY FITZGERALD: I vote yes.
24	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you. This is
25	Thad LeVar, and I vote yes.

1	Mr. White?
2	MR. JORDAN WHITE: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Wright?
4	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you. The
6	motion passes. So this board has made the request of
7	all three parties, Rocky Mountain Power, Midway City
8	and V.O.L.T., to exclude your witnesses from
9	listening to the testimony of other witnesses as this
10	proceeding moves forward.
11	And with that I think I'm just trying
12	to decide whether to take a short break now. I think
13	we'll move ahead with Mr. Barker's testimony.
14	MR. GORDON: Commissioner LeVar, just one
15	thing. For the purposes of the record, Midway City
16	will honor that request.
17	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr.
18	Gordon.
19	Mr. Reich, do you want to go ahead and
20	move forward with Mr. Barker? He's been sworn in,
21	and I think it's back to you now.
22	MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman.
23	This is Mr. Morris. I too am going to send an e-mail
24	now to the V.O.L.T. witnesses conveying the board's
25	request and asking that they not listen in on the

```
1
     proceedings.
 2
                 And before we proceed, I would like to
 3
     know if Rocky Mountain is going to comply with the
 4
     request or not concerning its witnesses.
 5
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:
                                       Okav.
                                               Thank you,
     Mr. Morris. And I think you also just convinced me
 6
     it's probably a good time for a hearing break just to
 7
     allow parties to communicate with their witnesses.
 8
 9
     So I think we'll take a short break. But before that
10
     I will just go back to Rocky Mountain Power to see if
11
     Mr. Reich has any further comments he wants to make
12
     to the board at this point.
13
                 MR. REICH:
                             No.
                                  Now would be a great time
14
     for a break.
15
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:
                                       Okay. With that I
16
     think we will reconvene in approximately ten minutes.
17
     We'll be in recess for ten minutes. Thank you.
18
                 (Break taken from 10:05 to 10:16 a.m.)
19
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: We are back on the
20
              I want to clarify one comment I made
     record.
21
     previously. As we were discussing this motion, I
22
     referred -- wait, it looks like our streaming isn't
23
     quite back on yet. So I'll wait a moment until it's
24
     back on.
25
                 (Short break.)
```

1 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: We're back on the 2 record in Utility Facility Review Board Hearing on 3 20-035-03. I want to clarify one comment I made as 4 we were discussing the exclusionary request by Midway City. I referred to our streaming as a courtesy. 5 And that is normally the case when we do not -- when 6 we have an anchor location that is open to the 7 8 public. But I realized during the break that since 9 10 we do not have an anchor location and we're operating 11 in the electronic meeting in accordance with Governor 12 Herbert's executive order, that our streaming is not 13 a courtesy. It is mandatory unless we wanted to have 14 anyone from the public on the phone lines. 15 So I should not have referred to the 16 online stream as a courtesy. It is mandatory since we do not have an anchor location that's open to the 17 18 So with that clarification of my public right now. 19 previous comment, I think we're ready to move to 20 Rocky Mountain Power representing the testimony of 21 Mr. Barker. 22 Mr. Barker has been sworn in. And are you 23 on the line, Mr. Barker, still? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. 25 MR. GORDON: Commissioner LeVar. Can T

```
1
     just address just a couple of housekeeping issues
 2
     here before we proceed?
 3
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:
                                        Go ahead.
 4
                 MR. GORDON:
                              One, it was our intent to
 5
     offer an opening statement that would outline the
     reasons that we're cross-examining and just kind of
 6
     give the board the aid of what it is that we're
 7
     trying to do. We would like the opportunity to do
 8
 9
           I'm not sure if the other parties intended on
10
     that, but we would like that opportunity before
11
     cross-examine begins.
12
                                        Thank you, Mr.
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:
13
     Gordon.
14
                 I'll go next to Mr. Morris. Do you have
     any desire or thoughts on opening statements before
15
16
     we move into witnesses?
17
                 MR. MORRIS:
                             Not knowing what the board's
18
     preference was, I haven't prepared a lengthy opening.
19
     I do have some initial thoughts that I wouldn't mind
20
     sharing just as a preview to help the board
21
     understand perhaps later why some of the questions
22
     we're asking in cross are coming up. So I think it
23
     might be helpful.
24
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:
                                        Thank you.
25
                 Mr. Reich?
```

1 MR. REICH: We have no objection to opening statements.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Would you want -- since you were the original applicant in this docket, Mr. Reich, would you want to go first on any brief opening statement?

MR. REICH: Sure.

And you have a certain -- a certain time limit, or how much time would you like me to take on this?

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: My hope is that we could move forward without having to establish time limits. But, you know, we're dealing with expert witnesses but also some issues of fact. We've all received and I think all board members have read the hearing briefs that have been submitted. So we're not -- none of us are coming into this cold. hope that gives some guidance. If there's a need to establish specific time limits, we could entertain that, but hopefully we could just move forward without being that specific.

MR. REICH: Okay. Well, then I will not repeat the -- our position we outlined in our hearing brief and just spend a brief minute on some of our responses to some of the things that were mentioned

in the other briefs. And I will not go back and repeat what we've already discussed about the bids and why we think that we have complied with the conditional use permit submitting the three qualified bids by bonded contractors.

The one concern that Rocky Mountain Power has throughout this entire process is the delay that continues to be the theme advanced by Midway City.

As we stated in our testimony and -- in our direct testimony by Benjamin Clegg.

We met with the city on June 13, 2017 to explain this project. That was several years ago.

At that time they did not have a city ordinance that required a conditional use permit for a transmission project.

In about that time we filed applications with Wasatch County on October 31st of 2017 and also with Heber City on November 2nd of 2017.

On December 14th of 2017, Midway City's mayor elect asked Wasatch County to delay its approval of the county's CUP until she could take office and assess Midway City's position.

In early 2018 Midway City discusses its desire to amend the city code to add an ordinance making transmission lines a conditional use, which

1	they did then a year later in January 2019 when
2	Midway City passed a city ordinance requiring
3	transmission lines must obtain approval and they
4	passed a two-step process through both the Midway
5	City Planning Commission and the city council. The
6	reason I'm going over these dates, it's important to
7	set I think the stage for the process that Rocky
8	Mountain Power has gone through to obtain this
9	conditional use permit for Midway City. It's not
10	something that we're trying just to ram through the
11	process. We've been talking to them for several
12	years. As I stated, we started in as early as 2017.
13	So once the ordinance was passed, Rocky
14	Mountain Power applied for a conditional use permit
15	on April 2nd of 2019. That is over a year ago. So
16	it's taken us a year to get to this point. On
17	May 14th of 2019, the Midway City planning commission
18	held a public hearing. They requested some
19	information from Rocky Mountain Power, which was
20	given to them in July of last year. On August 13th
21	of 2019, the planning commission voted to recommend
22	the project to the city council.
23	So once we made it through the first step,
24	we then had to go through the Midway City council
25	meetings. Even though that was approved by the

planning commission on August 13th, Midway City 1 2 council didn't even hold a public hearing until 3 October 15th where they took public comment. 4 This also goes to the, I think, due process argument that's been raised by Midway City. 5 On November 14th and 16, Midway City held public open 6 houses to allow its citizens to speak about the 7 Then on November 19th, another public 8 project. 9 hearing where the city council received public 10 comment. And on December 3rd another public hearing 11 was held to discuss this project. 12 So the -- the conditional use permit was 13 finally issued by Midway City on December 17th of 14 They approved the project and issued the 15 conditional use permit that's now at issue in this 16 proceeding. And so you can see it's been an 17 extremely long process. 18 And I think there's -- I mean the fact 19 that we're here today shows that there's some 20 question towards the finality of the actual permit 21 that they issued in 20 -- in December '17. 22 The real issue for Rocky Mountain Power is

The real issue for Rocky Mountain Power is not whether it's overhead or underground. The real issue is which one is it and that we need to start going down that road.

23

24

25

And now Midway City has taken the 1 2 position: Well, why don't we just wait until 3 November; we can hold a bond hearing. And then we'll 4 let you know, and then you can magically start construction the following spring. 5 As this board knows, we can't wait until 6 November to acquire -- because we still have to 7 acquire right-of-way, we've got to order raw 8 9 materials. If they wait until November to make this 10 decision, that process can't start until after the 11 funding is approved and we have the go ahead from 12 Midway City. So it makes it very difficult for Rocky 13 Mountain Power to even make the following summer, if 14 this project continues to be delayed by Midway City. 15 Both Wasatch County and Heber City have 16 issued conditional use permits. We have those 17 permits in hand. It's just Midway City that has continued to delay, and then now they continue to ask 18 19 for delays during this process. 20 So since RMP and HL&P filed its joint CUP application on April 2nd, it took Midway City 21 22 259 days to issue the existing conditional use 23 permit. And it's been 384 days until today's hearing. So you know the concern that we have is 24 25 that this process is broken. And the city has

continued to ask for delays, and we've worked 1 2 extensively with them and provided the information. 3 So the testimony that we've provided shows 4 that there are some issues that need to be addressed to make sure we have reliable, safe power to the 5 residents and businesses in the Heber Valley area. 6 I think their witness even admitted that. 7 He stated in his testimony that this work should be 8 9 completed as soon as practical. I think the other 10 position that they've taken is: Well, you've had 11 this problem in the past, so you can continue to have 12 it for another couple of years, which is nonsensical. 13 I mean we need to get this issue addressed. 14 been trying to do it for several years now. 15 And so we would ask this board to take 16 action, to assist in either -- if it's overhead, 17 great. If it's underground, great. We just need to 18 know the direction and the parameters. So that's --19 that is our opening statement. 20 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, 21 Mr. Reich. 22 Mr. Gordon or Mr. Jewkes, do you want to 23 go ahead with your opening statement. MR. GORDON: Mr. Gordon will make the 24 25 opening statement. Thank you.

Members of the board, we appreciate your willingness to come and spend the days and hours needed to prepare for this. To begin I just want to state that this transmission line proposal has been one of the most difficult issues that Midway City has ever faced, and so it's important.

And as far as moving forward here, I want you to think for a few moments. Normally we would be together in a hearing, and you'd be able to see the amount of people, and we would have had many here in this hearing, and you would be able to sense and feel how important this is to our community.

To begin I want you to just -- I'm going to refer to Midway's Exhibits 15, 16 and 17. Those are pictures of Midway. We bring those up solely to kind of bring into focus why it is that we're so concerned. Midway City was established back in 1891. And since its inception, it's been recognized as a dream location for thousands of the people who live there or who one day hope to move there.

In 2017 it was named the best small city in Utah by a KSL poll, beating out 64 other communities. This wasn't by accident. Midway City is nestled in the northwestern part of the Heber Valley. It is surrounded by majestic mountains and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sits beneath the watchful eye of Timpanogos, which is one of the most beautiful scenic vistas in the entire world. It is surrounded by Wasatch Mountain State Park on the north, west, and south. Deer Creek Reservoir sits directly south. There are 3,500 acres of open farmland to the east, what we call the north fields. It is not hyperbole to say it is one of the most unique and beautiful places in the world. It is a home to Swiss Days, the Ice Castles and the Homestead Crater. And last year its citizens approved an open space bond that will preserve hundreds of acres of open space in the town.

that you own a home in Midway so that you can understand fully the impact this is going to have. You love your land and your neighborhood, and you feel it's a privilege to live in such a beautiful place. You recognize that your home was close to a 46 kV line but have no concerns regarding the line because there is already a 138 kV line just a half mile away that is similar in size to the line in the front of your house.

Now, imagine how you feel when Heber Light & Power and Rocky Mountain Power puts in the first phase of the proposed transmission line along

Highway 40, and you realize that because this is a joint project with a double circuit on the poles, the joint project increases the size of infrastructure to proportions that more resemble the gates of Mordor than the peaceful and quiet shire that you're used to. The poles are distinctly industrial, making it clash against the agrarian backdrop all the more distinct.

So suddenly instead of living in the shadow of the everlasting hills, pun intended, homeowners are being told that they are going to live in the shadow of the everlasting power poles that are up to 100 feet tall and 8 feet in diameter, and many of the largest poles will be placed directly in front of people's homes. You can only imagine the proposal has been controversial from the start, with many Midway citizens feeling this is a life and death battle to preserve its community as a more rural and agrarian atmosphere.

While I recognize most communities do not have the political willpower to even consider burying a transmission line, Midway City is not most communities. It has already paid to have a survey done to determine the citizens' willingness to pass the bond to bury the line and the results come

back with -- the results came back with a 70 percent in favor.

So now thinking of your home in Midway, if you owned one, imagine knowing there was a very real possibility of passing a bond to bury the line which would minimize the effect of the line on your home and your community for centuries, not just decades but centuries to come, being told without basis and fact that Rocky Mountain Power must have the line in no later than the end of 2020 or rolling blackouts could occur.

Imagine knowing that these claims are either not true or greatly exaggerated and how frustrated you would be knowing that with a little more time the money could be obtained to bury the line.

That gives you the perspective of a vast majority of the citizens of Midway City, many of whom are listening to this proceeding and would be in attendance if not for the coronavirus. I invite you to periodically look at the pictures I've referred to as we proceed with this trial so that we do not lose perspective on why we are here.

Now, moving forward. As I speak this morning, I'm going to ask you to write a few things

down. Only because I want you to hold me accountable as this trial proceeds. I'm going to tell you what Midway City intends on showing over the next several days and the conclusions that follow once the evidence I will outline is heard.

As an overview, RMP and Heber Light & Power are proposing a double circuit 138 kV transmission line through Midway City. This means that there will be two separate lines, one for RMP and one for HL&P. The joint use of poles cannot be minimized because doubling the circuits has doubled the weight and stress on the poles, requiring them to be 40 to 50 feet higher and 2 to 4 feet thicker than the existing wooden poles and would replace current corner structures with hulking metal poles some 100 feet tall and 8 feet in diameter.

This line runs through a peaceful rural residential neighborhood under the shadows of Mount Timpanogos, and some of the massive corner structures will literally be in some of our residents' front yards. Once installed, this line will have a permanent negative impact on this neighborhood for decades, if not centuries.

We understand that Rocky Mountain Power wants to run the lines overhead on the skyscraping

This is the cheapest and easiest exclusion. 1 poles. 2 Of course none of Rocky Mountain Power's employees 3 live in this neighborhood, and I don't believe if any 4 of them did they would be fine with staring at a 100-foot transmission tower in their front yard. 5 6 The citizens who are going to be impacted by those tower and power lines are very concerned, 7 which is understandable. Midway City and its 8 9 residents want to bury the line and are willing to 10 pay to bury the line but cannot get a straight answer 11 regarding what the actual costs to bury will be. Т 12 will discuss with you the problems with the submitted 13 bids here in a second. 14 Until Midway gets a straight answer, it cannot raise the money needed to bury the line, which 15 16 will likely be a general obligation bond. RMP insists that this line must be 17 18 completed by the end of 2020 or the sky will fall. 19 We will show that that is simply not true. No harm 20 will come if the commencement date of the Midway City 21 portion of this line is postponed for four or five 22 months to give Midway the opportunity to bond. 23 The board has two jobs today. Number one, 24 to determine what the actual excess costs are of

going underground. And number two, what the

25

appropriate commencement date should be for Midway City's portion of the line.

Regarding the actual excess costs, Midway will show that Rocky Mountain Power has failed to provide it with reliable information needed to determine those actual excess costs. And because of this, Midway City's obligation to pay for those costs as established in the conditional use permit have yet to be triggered.

We will show the following through cross examination: Due to serious mistakes in the specifications given to the three approved contractors who bid to bury the line, the bids have come in anywhere from 3 to \$5 million high. The bids are not accurate, are not reliable, and cannot be acceptable by this board as reliable evidence needed to determine what the actual excess costs to bury the line are.

For example, in addition to being extremely high, the bid amounts themselves vary from 12 million to 28 million for only one mile of the work.

Next we'll show that RMP has included several items in their bids that have no justification from a safety nor reliability

standpoint. First Rocky Mountain Power put forth specifications that required dual trenches to bury the separate 138 kV line. There is no safety standard that requires dual trenches and no justifiable reason to have two trenches, especially where it doubles the cost that RMP wants Midway and its taxpayers to pay.

Further, RMP specs require an extra conduit to be installed on each circuit. Midway does not disagree with the need for the empty conduit. Rocky Mountain Power also included the spec that a dead line be pulled through the circuit that will just sit there and possibly never be used. There is nothing in any industry standard that requires this extra expense, yet it increases the cable cost by one-third. The evidence will show that not only are the bids fatally defective due to mistakes, but Rocky Mountain Power is asking Midway City to install a Cadillac where a Honda would do the job.

Midway City's expert, who's been doing this for 50 years, will testify that the cost of the line without mistakes would be \$8.1 million. And if you take out the glamorous extras of dual trenches and extra conduit, the cost drops to just over 5 million. When we are done showing you this evidence,

we will ask you to either adopt our expert's valuations of the underground costs or require Rocky Mountain Power to provide bids based on correct specifications and trimming the fat.

Once the actual costs to bury are established, the next step in the analysis is to establish what the actual costs of the easements needed for the line are. There is no question that easements will be required to be built -- be required to build the massive poles in the Midway residents' front yards. There's also little question that planting the poles and lines in front yards will negatively impact the value of the surrounding properties.

There is a dispute regarding what exactly it will cost to buy the easement and compensate landowners. Rocky Mountain Power has the burden to prove this, and it has estimated all of it at a mere \$20,000.

The board will hear from two competing experts, one from Rocky Mountain Power and one from Midway regarding the estimated value of the easements. I want to underline and highlight estimated because neither witnesses' testimony is going to be sufficient to meet the requirements of

the statute. The statute requires this board to determine the actual costs of the easements. The only way to do that is to either have Rocky Mountain Power buy them from the landowners or condemn. Until one of those two actions happen, there is no way for this board to determine what the actual costs of those easements are, which means it cannot determine what the actual excess cost to bury will be.

As part of its power to determine the appropriate commencement date on this line, we will ask this board to issue an order stating that the commencement of the line cannot commence until the easements for the line have actually been obtained. There is nothing in the statute that suggests that the actual costs of easements can be determined by estimate.

Once they are obtained, the actual costs of the easements will be established and can be submitted to the board so the actual excess costs can be determined. This board has the power to request information that was supposed to be submitted by Rocky Mountain Power but was not and also has the power to suspend the hearing until the information is provided. Once the missing information is provided by the -- provided to the board, it has 30 days to

issue a decision. We will ask the board to exercise this power requesting updated bids and the actual costs of easements before reconvening.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next, regarding the appropriate commencement date, Midway City will show that Rocky Mountain Power's insistence that the line be finished by 2020 is contradicted by their own witnesses. Rocky Mountain Power claims that rolling blackouts will occur if the line isn't finished on the timeline We will show that Rocky Mountain they propose. Power's own studies establish there is no immediate risk to its customers if the line is delayed a few months to bond. The area is currently served by three different transmission lines. And Rocky Mountain Power's own experts will establish that the claimed threats of system failure, once analyzed, are so remote as to be non-factors.

The evidence will show that neither Heber Light & Power nor Rocky Mountain's system is currently exceeding acceptable loads and that neither will do so through the end of 2022.

Heber Light & Power's system only gets in trouble if it loses all of its generation and its north line at the same time, the likelihood of which is miniscule.

Rocky Mountain Power admits that if one of its transmission lines goes down, it could redirect power through the other lines to keep its systems at acceptable voltages.

So the risks Rocky Mountain Power is using to justify a commencement date for today is based on catastrophes that are remote and would be so devastating that none of its systems would survive regardless of whether this line is finished or not.

And finally, all of this is an academic exercise in the first place because Rocky Mountain Power has not obtained any of the needed easements to commence the line in any of the jurisdictions the line passes through. There are seven miles of easements that need to be obtained, and the conditional use permits in Wasatch County, Heber, and Midway all prohibit Rocky Mountain Power from commencing construction until the easements are obtained.

So the likelihood of this line even starting in 2020 is low and being finished in 2020 is almost an impossibility. Adding the delays that have come from Covid-19 and you have a situation where we are really fighting for nothing.

Midway wants time to pass a bond; it

1 should be allowed to do so. Rocky Mountain Power 2 loses nothing by waiting for the bond. The evidence 3 will show that the delay is justified and that the 4 board should use its power to establish the appropriate commencement date for the Midway portion 5 6 of the line to be in the spring of 2021. Not only because the line will still be in construction at 7 that time but because there is no legitimate reason 8 9 to justify while closing Midway City's chance to bury 10 this line and to minimize its visual and health 11 impact. 12 I appreciate that was a little long, but I 13 wanted to make sure you understood where we're coming 14 And we will outline -- that gives you an 15 outline of what it is we will be cross-examining the 16 witnesses on to establish. I appreciate your 17 attention. Thank you for your 18 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: 19 statement, Mr. Gordon. 20 Mr. Morris or Ms. Brereton, do you have an 21 opening statement for V.O.L.T.? 22 MR. MORRIS: We do. 23 Thank you, Chairman LeVar. Again, this is 24 Mark Morris. Ms. Brereton and I have the privilege 25 of representing a group of landowners and have

represented them for many months now, who from the beginning have had grave concerns about not just the aesthetic but the impact on their lives living around and under these proposed transmission lines.

To further explain, I mean these people are citizens of Midway, and vicariously I suppose Mr. Gordon is very ably, you know, representing their interest as citizens of Midway. But their concerns and their commitment to having this done in the right way are such that among themselves they have already raised privately \$600,000 to bury these lines. They have all collectively agreed that they'll not charge any fees or costs for Rocky Mountain to go underground and obtain whatever easements may be necessary to go underground.

On the other hand, they are committed to getting the value that Rocky Mountain Power will be taking away from their homes if these lines go overhead.

As Mr. Gordon ably expressed and Rocky Mountain must concede, Rocky Mountain does not have the easements and rights-of-way. And I think in Mr. Reich's opening statement he conceded that they still have to go and get rights-of-way in order to build merely this approximate mile-long line through

Midway. They have not done so. And the idea that they have budgeted 20 or 25 or \$27,000 for all of the easement acquisition they anticipate here is -- it's insupportable.

And candidly, people scratch their head as to what the motivation here is to suggest that. The evidence that we're going to present will show this is not the first time that Rocky Mountain has woefully underestimated the amount of money it is going to have to spend in order to obtain not only right-of-way, not only physical land, but also pay severance damages to landowners whose properties lie along this proposed route.

About six years ago Rocky Mountain proposed to build a substantial line through Tooele County to service the data center operated out at Fort Williams. They proposed in that case that \$70,000 was all that they would need to purchase what they needed to in order to run their towering lines through that land. Well, after the lawsuit and after a lot of proceedings, they ended up paying \$2.5 million, rather than \$70,000.

What we have heard heretofore from Rocky Mountain Power is, well, we'll true it up at the end. We'll figure it out at the end. And while it's not

up to us, it's up to a judge or a jury someday to decide what this is worth.

Well, that's not good enough for these particular citizens who belong to V.O.L.T. and who are living near Midway to after the fact try to get compensation and get into litigation with these people because the offers, if you split that \$27,000 among the landowners near this line, would never be acceptable or accepted.

It is primarily Rocky Mountain's failure to properly account for the easements and rights-of-way and the severance damages that are coming from going overhead into its cost that we think is the primary failure and in failing to get to Midway appropriate standard costs to build this line.

Among the facts that are not disputed I don't think in this case but the board should nevertheless take into account is that Rocky Mountain does not have any recorded easements. Heber Light & Power does have a line going through here. But our investigation, and we've seen nothing to the contrary, shows the board that any easements or rights Heber Light & Power has that it may intend to confer or have agreed to confer to Rocky Mountain Power here are prescriptive in nature. And that no

landowner has voluntarily signed anything or recorded a document saying: I hereby give to Heber Light & Power X number of feet or an easement through my property.

But because the lines have been there so long, prescriptive rights have arisen. But under Utah law, prescriptive easements are limited to their original uses, and you can't expand them. And having a single-lane road through a property does not give you the right to build an eight-lane highway.

After the construction Heber Light & Power will continue to own and operate facilities in these easements to provide service to Midway City and residents. But this double circuit transmission line requires rights-of-way that I think Rocky Mountain concedes and its appraiser concedes and wrongly assumed would be 55 to 60 feet in width along the route. Those easements do not currently exist.

These citizens should not have to have this line go through, get built, and then look forward to months or years of litigation trying to get their money out.

They've come forth voluntarily. They've dug into their own pockets to do this. They're going to be digging into their pockets as taxpayers of Midway for a bond. And they have voluntarily been

willing to allow the line to underground and waive any claims that they might have to the easements that would be necessary for the underground transmission.

That collective will/should dissuade the

board from allowing Rocky Mountain to go through on the basis of the -- I've search for a word that isn't going to offend everyone, but it's just flimsy. The bids and the way they've gone about trying to grossly overestimate the amount of money it's going to cost to bury a line for a mile, \$28 million, I just -- it boggles the mind that someone is seriously suggesting it's going to cost that much to go a mile underground. \$28 million.

The fact that they only got three out of 18 -- three bids out of 18 people they purport to have sent this out to suggests that and implies of necessity that the conditions and restrictions that Rocky Mountain put into this RFP made it so unattractive that only three people were willing to bid. And those three jacked up the price horribly.

So for these reasons we ask the board to -- and I think at the hearing on our motion to intervene, we made clear that the relief we seek is the same relief that Midway is seeking.

And we're grateful that the board has

1	permitted V.O.L.T. to intervene here, to have its
2	concerns aired and have it be given an opportunity to
3	cross-examine and present evidence that show this
4	needs to be a thoughtful and careful and fair
5	process, which under the rubric that Rocky Mountain
6	is suggesting now it would not be. We're grateful
7	for your time and look forward to participating in
8	these proceedings.
9	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you,
10	Mr. Morris.
11	I think with that, we're ready to go to
12	Rocky Mountain Power's first witness, who you've
13	indicated is Jake Barker, who has already been placed
14	under oath.
15	And if he's on the line, Mr. Reich, you
16	may go forward with presenting his testimony.
17	MR. REICH: Thank you.
18	
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. REICH:
21	Q. Mr. Barker, have you had an opportunity to
22	review the direct testimony that was prepared and
23	submitted under your name?
24	A. Yes, I have.
25	Q. And is it an accurate representation of

April 20, 2020 1 your testimony in this proceeding? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Do you have any updates or changes to make 0. 4 to that testimony? 5 Α. No. In preparing that testimony, I know there 6 0. were some documents that you relied on that have been 7 produced in this proceeding. Let me refer you to a 8 9 document that's entitled -- Bates numbered RMP 125. 10 That document also has been identified as a 11 confidential document. 12 Can you explain what this document 13 represents? 14 Α. Yes. So we build -- in planning, we build 15 a model of the transmission system so that we can run 16 contingency analysis, not necessarily wanting to 17 experience those contingencies, and look at the 18 So we build models to simulate those metered values. 19 types of contingencies. And so this document goes 20 over the worst case contingencies in the Heber Valley 21 Area Transmission System and the results from 22 those -- that model and studies. 23 Okay. So on this document Bates numbered 0.

RMP 125, it refers to some different percentages and some adjustments and some other things. Did you rely

24

1	on this document to provide your direct testimony?
2	A. Yes, that's correct.
3	Q. Okay. Did you help in the preparation of
4	this document?
5	A. The senior transmission planner for the
6	Park City area prepared this document. I reviewed it
7	and approved it.
8	Q. Okay. In your testimony you refer to a
9	standard of the of Rocky Mountain Planning
10	Standards for Transmission Voltage, require the
11	voltage to remain above 90 percent of nominal
12	voltage. Is that true?
13	A. Yes, during an outage.
14	Q. Okay. And is that identified in this
15	exhibit, RMP 125?
16	A. It's shown in red. Anything that goes
17	below 90 percent we show it in red. We didn't
18	explicitly put the .9 on that document.
19	Q. Okay. Let me turn then to another
20	document that you have or that has been produced
21	in this proceeding, identified as RMP 128. Do you
22	see that document?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And what does this document represent?
25	A. This is a one-line diagram of the

1	transmission system in the Heber Valley and greater
2	Park City areas.
3	Q. Okay. And then there's documents
4	identified as RMP 129 through 135. Are those
5	documents that you are familiar with?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And what are these?
8	A. These are the loading values on
9	transmission transformer in the area.
10	Q. Okay. And were these produced in this
11	proceeding?
12	A. Yes. They come from a database that we
13	keep up to date, and the actual documents were
14	exported from that database.
15	Q. Okay. And then looking at RMP 136 through
16	138, what are those documents?
17	A. So these are also a one-line diagram.
18	This is a graphical representation of the model that
19	we in planning run to simulate the contingencies.
20	And it shows the voltages and power flow megawatts on
21	transmission lines in the area under different
22	contingency analysis.
23	Q. Okay. So did you use this did you rely
24	on this information for your direct testimony?
25	A. Yes.

Q. Then finally on RMP 139, what does this
document represent?
A. This is the outage history on the
transmission lines in question over the last five
years.
Q. Okay.
MR. REICH: So we would then proffer
Mr. Barker's testimony, direct testimony, along with
those exhibits that he identified and provided a
foundation for.
CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you. If any
party objects to that proffer, please indicate your
objection.
I'm not hearing any objections, so the
motion is granted.
Thank you. You can go forward.
MR. GORDON: Okay. So would that mean
Midway City can now proceed with cross-examination,
your Honor?
CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Reich, do you
have any questions for Mr. Barker before we move to
cross-examination?
MR. REICH: I do not.
CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Then, yes,
why don't we go ahead to Midway City next for any

1	questions for Mr. Barker.
2	MR. GORDON: Thank you.
3	
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. GORDON:
6	Q. Good morning, Mr. Barker.
7	A. Good morning.
8	Q. You are the director of transmission
9	planning and power quality for Rocky Mountain Power,
10	correct?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. And you are in charge of applying
13	reliability standards to Rocky Mountain Power's
14	system, correct?
15	A. That is not correct.
16	Q. So you're not the one that oversees the
17	reliability standards for Rocky Mountain Power?
18	A. No, I do not.
19	Q. Okay.
20	A. That is a function of our main grid
21	transmission planning department. I'm the director
22	of what we call our sub-transmission department. We
23	do support the main grid department in their
24	reliability standards, but I am not over the
25	submittal or study of those standards.

So I just want to make sure that I'm --1 Q. 2 who I'm talking to here. In your testimony, you 3 indicated, "I am responsible for ensuring that Rocky 4 Mountain customers receive safe, reliable, and efficient energy. I do this by applying the 5 reliability standards to Rocky Mountain Power's 6 transmission system and planning for necessary 7 upgrades to the system to provide additional capacity 8 and improve electric service reliability to meet 9 10 power requirements." 11 So the core of my question comes from 12 that. Is that not accurate information in your 13 testimony? 14 Α. That is accurate. As I stated, we do 15 support our main grid department in their reliability 16 assessments. And so part of our purview is to follow 17 those standards. Your question was am I in charge of 18 those standards, and I am not in charge of those 19 standards. 20 0. Actually my question was: Are you in

Q. Actually my question was: Are you in charge of applying those standards? And so is that part of your job is making sure that the Rocky Mountain Power system applied the appropriate reliability standards?

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes. That is part of our position, yeah.

1	Q. Okay, very good. Were you involved in
2	creating the specifications given to the parties who
3	bid to bury the underground portion of the line?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Who was?
6	A. That's a question for the other witnesses.
7	Q. Well, if you know it, you need to answer
8	my question. Who did it?
9	A. I I do not know who in particular was
10	in charge of putting those standards together.
11	Q. Okay. Did you review them before they
12	went out?
13	A. No, I did not.
14	Q. So your testimony today is that you really
15	couldn't testify that those specifications are
16	applying the appropriate reliability standards needed
17	for Rocky Mountain Power's system, correct?
18	A. Can you ask that question again? It
19	wasn't clear.
20	Q. Sure. I'm asking you if you didn't review
21	those the specifications on the bids before they
22	went out, then you couldn't testify today whether
23	they appropriately applied the reliability standards
24	that the reliability standards for Rocky Mountain

25

Power's system, correct?

1	A. I don't believe that's correct. The
2	specifications are construction standards. In
3	planning, my concern is that a 138 kV connection is
4	made between Jordanelle and Midway substations. As
5	long as that standard is met, then I don't need to
6	review construction standards.
7	Q. So but once again, I go back to you
8	didn't review the specifications, correct?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. So you don't know whether they meet the
11	appropriate standards or not, correct?
12	A. I know that the connection between
13	Jordanelle and Midway will be made and
14	Q. Did you review the specifications in the
15	bid? Just answer my question: Did you review the
16	specifications in the bid?
17	A. I did not review the specifications in the
18	bid.
19	Q. So you cannot testify as to what those
20	specifications contain, correct?
21	MR. REICH: Objection. He's asked and
22	answered. He's answered this several times. You're
23	badgering him.
24	MR. GORDON: No. Well, he hasn't answered
25	it yet. He keeps trying to explain around it. I

1 just need a simple yes/no answer to he never looked 2 at the specifications. 3 MR. REICH: And he's given you that answer 4 five times. He did not look at the specifications. 5 MR. GORDON: He never said that on the So if that's the testimony --6 record. I'm going to jump 7 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: in, and I'm going to grant the objection on asked and 8 9 I think we do have that answer. answered. 10 And typically with expert witnesses, I'm 11 not inclined to limit them to yes or no answers if 12 the expert is inclined to give further explanation. 13 MR. GORDON: That's fine. I just didn't 14 feel like he answered the question. But I accept your ruling, and we'll move forward. 15 16 (BY MR. GORDON) So, Mr. Barker, many of 0. 17 the questions that I have for you I expected to be 18 about the specifications because I believe you were presented as the person that kind of oversees these 19 20 things. I'm going to ask these questions, but I'm 21 not sure you're going to be able to answer them. 22 The specifications required dual trenches 23 for the buried line, correct? 24 Α. As I understand it, yes. 25 Q. Okay. But there is nothing in safety or

reliability standards in your industry that required dual trenches, correct?

- A. This is not applicable. The second -- the second trenches for Heber Light & Power's line, that line is not applicable to the reliability standards.
- Q. Let me ask you a simple question. Is there anything in safety or reliability standards that says you cannot have two lines in the same trench?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
 - Q. Very good.

So the desire to have dual trenches is not based on safety standards or reliability standards, correct?

- A. I can't address the -- I can't address safety standards because they're two different entities operating those two lines, and they would be in not just the trench but also in the same vault.

 And so there are some safety concerns that I wouldn't be able to address. That question is better for another expert.
 - O. Is there a --
- A. From the reliability standards standpoint there isn't a reason. But from the reliability standpoint there is adequate reason in my view.

1 So on the safety issue then you're Q. Okay. 2 saying you can't testify to that. There would be 3 another witness that could. Who would I ask that 4 question to? Ben Clegg or Darin Myers who are more 5 6 familiar with the specifications that were provided. Perfect, thank you. 7 Q. Okay. In the specifications there's also the 8 requirement to not only provide an empty conduit for 9 10 each circuit but to have a dead line pulled through 11 that circuit, correct? 12 Let me file an objection here. MR. REICH: 13 I'm not sure I understand this whole line of 14 questioning. 15 Mr. Barker is -- his direct testimony has 16 to do with the purpose and need for this project. 17 It's not construction specifications. He had no 18 responsibility for constructing the project. 19 testimony -- your line of questioning is outside of 20 the scope of his testimony, which is about the 21 purpose and need of the project. 22 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Just to confirm. 23 that was Mr. Reich who made the objection, correct? 24 MR. REICH: Correct, sorry. I did not identify. 25

1 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: And so, Mr. Gordon, 2 can you identify where in the direct testimony this 3 issue is raised? 4 MR. GORDON: Yes. He -- they presented 5 him as the person who was the transmission planning 6 and power quality director for Rocky Mountain Power. He's the guy, right? And they've put him forward 7 saying that he oversees the system needs and the 8 reliability of this system. And so I have every 9 10 right to question him and assumed he would be the 11 only one that would have the capacity to testify as 12 to the reliability questions regarding dual trenches and the reliability questions regarding pulling a 13 14 dead line that won't be used in the specifications. 15 If he's not the one, then I don't know who else is. 16 And so maybe I'm -- maybe I misunderstood. But what 17 they've set him forward as is the director of the 18 transmission planning and power quality. 19 completely the person that we should be asking these 20 questions to. 21 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Reich, do you 22 want to give any final comments on your objection? 23 MR. REICH: Sure. Under the purpose of 24 testimony it says, "The purpose of my testimony is to

discuss the purpose and needs for this project."

Then Mr. Barker outlined the reasons why this project needs to be put into service. His title is Director of Transmission and Planning. It doesn't mean it has anything to do with construction specification. I don't think there's anything in his title or in the purpose of the testimony that implies he's the guy to talk about construction specifications.

We've identified the project manager, Mr. Myers, who was responsible for the request for proposal for the bid specifications. We've provided his testimony. To say Mr. Barker is the only one who can testify about that is absurd. Mr. Barker is here to testify about the need for this project based on his direct testimony that we've provided.

MR. GORDON: In response to that, let me actually read his testimony into the record so we all get this straight.

"As the Director of Transmission Planning and Power Quality, I am responsible for ensuring that Rocky Mountain customers receive safe, reliable, and efficient energy. I do this by applying reliability standards to Rocky Mountain Power's transmission system and planning for necessary upgrades to the system to provide additional capacity and improve

1 electric service reliability to meet power
2 requirements."

So am I being told that I can't ask him about questions regarding the safety, the reliability, or the efficiency of the specs that were put out for bid?

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. I think I understand the objection and the responses to them.

I'm going to speak to the other board members for just a moment, since this board doesn't meet very often. For the sake of the efficiency through the proceeding, I will try to as the chair of the board address objections like this as they come. If there's one that seems to me to rise to the level of needing full board discussion, I will do so. But please feel free if you feel like I'm erring on that line, if any of the board members if you feel like ruling on any objection should be brought to the full board rather than me moving them forward to keep the testimony moving, please do so.

So I think I'm going to rule on this one, but if any board members want to bring it to the board, I will give you that opportunity now and invite you any time in the future to jump in if you

1 | want to bring the discussion to the full board.

Not hearing any from board members, I'm going to grant the objection as I'm going to outline it and my understanding of it. My understanding of Mr. Barker's testimony is that he's applying the reliability standard, but he is not the witness who has testified with respect to the bid specifications. And Mr. Gordon has identified which witness that is who has -- who can testify to the bid specifications. I don't see anything within the scope of the direct testimony of Mr. Barker that opens him up to questions about bid specifications. But again seems focused on the reliability standards of what live line would be needed to meet the need that's being identified.

So I'm going to affirm the objection. And so, Mr. Gordon, you may move forward with your questioning.

MR. GORDON: Thank you. Let me just look through real quick here so I get down to the part that you have not excluded. Let's see here.

Q. (BY MR. GORDON) Okay. Mr. Barker, you state there are three lines that serve the Park City area. One up Parleys Canyon, one up Provo Canyon, and one out of Wyoming Silver Creek Line, correct?

1 Α. Correct. 2 As it stands today with all three of these 0. 3 lines functioning, Rocky Mountain Power's system 4 operates in accordance with the standards set by the American National Standards Institute, correct? 5 6 Correct. Α. Your testimony is that if one of these 7 0. lines go down, voltage will drop below 90 percent 8 9 nominal voltage on the system, correct? 10 Α. That is correct. It is more -- it's more 11 of an issue on the two lines, the one up Parleys 12 Canyon and one up Provo Canyon. It's less of an 13 impact --14 Let's talk about those lines. How old is 0. 15 the Parleys Canyon line? 16 Α. I don't have that information. 17 Q. Okay. From a reliability standpoint, 18 wouldn't it be important to understand how old that 19 line is to assess its reliability? 20 Α. Not necessarily. 21 So the age of the line doesn't really go 0. 22 into your analysis as far as reliability goes? 23 Α. As far as applying reliability standards, 24 we do not look at -- we don't look at the number of

outages affecting a line to assure that we align with

reliability standards. We only look at if the outage occurs, what is the extent of the issue that would occur because of that.

- Q. So just to be clear, so when you're -- in your industry standards there's nothing that you look at that says a line that's 50 years old is any less or more reliable than a line that's been put in last year?
- A. Well, I think we're mixing things up here. You're talking -- are you talking reliability, NERC reliability standards, that is true. We do not look at the age or the number of outages, which is what we are expressing here in -- in my testimony.

Now, if we are looking at reliability in general with the performance of a line, then, yes, we may take that into consideration.

- Q. Okay. But in this instance you have not looked at how old the line is or you're not aware of how old the -- how old the Parleys Canyon line is, correct?
 - A. Yes, I am not aware of that.
- Q. Okay. How many times has the Parleys Canyon line gone down?
- A. I'm going to have to look at if that was provided in our outage data that -- the confidential

outage data that we provided. Just give me a moment to look at that.

Q. Sure, that's fine.

- A. In what we provided, I'm counting 15 times that that line tripped offline over the last five years.
- Q. Over the last five years, okay. And when we have something that trips, what does that mean?
- A. I haven't differentiated between the two. That would require more analysis of this spreadsheet. But it can mean two things. One is a -- what we call a trip and a reclose where the fault that occurs along the line is an instantaneous fault such as a bird or a tree gets in the line or a lightning strike, something that's very temporary. Our line trips to clear that fault and then recloses and then stays in.

And then there's the second that is more of a permanent fault such as structure or a conductor issue where that stays as a permanent fault.

Q. Okay. So just to kind of clarify here.

So of the 15, some of those are going to be temporary ones that would just be like a temporary bump in the system, and it would be down for a few seconds and then come back up? Is that correct?

1 Yes, that's correct. Α. 2 And then the permanent ones, are you aware 0. 3 of how many of those are permanent outages? 4 Α. Again I'd have to look through this. Ιf you can give me a minute, I can determine that. 5 Yeah, if you want to look. 6 0. So in my quick review of this, I have 7 Α. identified five of the 15 instances that were longer 8 9 than five minutes, which is where we typically draw the line for a sustained versus momentary outage. 10 11 One of those was construction related. So four of 12 the 15 would be the more sustained faults. 13 0. Okay. Are you aware of those permanent 14 outages, were any of them -- I mean what was the 15 longest outage that was experienced? 16 MR. REICH: Just so the record is clear, 17 Mr. Barker is referring to the document RMP 139 that 18 was produced in this proceeding. 19 Thank you for that CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: 20 clarification. Since we've been 21 MR. TROY FITZGERALD: 22 referring to exhibits, I've got V.O.L.T.'s and 23 Midway's. But I've looked through everything I've 24 got, and I don't have access to Rocky Mountain's

exhibit list. If someone can let me know where that

is or where it may be locked in the system. 1 2 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: This is Thad LeVar, 3 I will go ahead and forward the e-mail I received 4 with Rocky Mountain's exhibits. I will do that right 5 now. 6 MR. TROY FITZGERALD: Thank you. MR. GORDON: And, Commissioner Fitzgerald, 7 if you need me to stop for a moment, just give me 8 9 quidance. I just want to make sure you've got what 10 you need in front of you as we proceed forward. 11 MR. TROY FITZGERALD: Let's move forward 12 If it gets to someplace where I'm hung up, for now. 13 I'll pipe up. 14 MR. GORDON: Sounds good. Thank you. 15 THE WITNESS: Just again in guick 16 calculations, I'm showing about 15 hours was the 17 longest. 18 (BY MR. GORDON) Okay. So there was one 19 outage for 15 hours. And other than that what -- I 20 mean the ranges on these from five minutes to 21 15 hours, is there a way to just let us know? I mean 22 did they range from five minutes to a bunch that were 23 two hours? What did we have? 24 Α. One was about three. Two were about six. 25 Q. Okay. So two were six?

1 The construction one was well over a week. Α. 2 But that one was not -- that one 0. Okav. 3 you knew was coming, correct? 4 Α. Correct. In your experience have 5 0. Okay, very good. 6 you ever -- have you ever known this line to go down for longer than 24 hours? 7 I would have to go back and look at 8 Α. No. 9 the history. 10 Okay. 0. 11 Α. I know we're around the time of the 12 Olympics, there was an issue with the helicopter in 13 that line. I'm not sure what that -- that was a 14 major outage, but I'm not sure of the time frame on 15 that. 16 Okay, all right. Very good. Now let's 0. 17 move to the Provo line. How many times has the Provo 18 line gone down? 19 Α. Four times. 20 And of those four, how many were 0. 21 temporary? 22 Α. Two. 23 And the other two, how long were they out? Q. 24 Α. One was eight hours. One was 25 approximately 37 hours.

1	Q. 37. On the 37-hour one, what happened?
2	A. There was a mudslide in the canyon that
3	took two structures out.
4	Q. Okay, very good. Now, let's turn to the
5	Wyoming line. How many times has it gone down?
6	A. Eight times.
7	Q. And of those eight, how many were
8	temporary?
9	A. Six of the eight.
LO	Q. And the two that were permanent, how long
1	were they?
L2	A. About one-and-a-half hours and one hour.
_3	Q. Okay. Just a quick question going back to
L4	the Provo line. If the Provo line goes down, it
L5	primarily hurts Heber Light & Power, correct?
L6	A. That is where the issue was the worst,
L7	that's correct. But I don't think it's a correct
L8	statement to say it primarily affects Heber Light &
L9	Power.
20	Q. Okay. But currently in the system there's
21	no there's no transmission line that connects that
22	Provo line over into Park City, correct?
23	A. No, that's not correct. There's a 46 kV
24	line that connects our Midway stub substation into
25	the Park City area.

1 So if the Provo -- the Provo Canyon Q. Okay. 2 line goes down, you still have two lines serving the 3 Park City area, correct? 4 Α. We have the two 138 kV lines that serve 5 that area. Yes, that's correct. And you can reroute those if the Provo one 6 0. 7 goes down to serve your clients over in Park City, 8 correct? No rerouting would be necessary. 9 Α. I don't 10 understand that question. 11 Maybe I'm just not using the correct term. 0. 12 I guess if the Provo City line or the Provo Canyon 13 line goes down, you still have adequate capacity to serve all of your customers over in Summit County, 14 15 correct? 16 No, that's not correct. Α. Explain to me why it's not. 17 Q. Okay. 18 Well, as we -- as we discussed in my Α. 19 testimony, the issue here is that the voltage in 20 various locations in the Park City area and primarily 21 in the Heber Valley area would go below our 22 guideline, and we anticipate blackouts due to that 23 low voltage throughout the Park City area initially. 24 0. So let me just -- let me just read this so

that I make sure I understand it. So there was a

question in your written testimony that says, "If either the Hale-Midway or Cottonwood-Snyder 138 kV transmission lines experience an outage, couldn't the Rocky Mountain Power system operators switch the power to come from alternate sources?"

And you answered, "All available transmission sources are being utilized under this scenario. Switching by Rocky Mountain Power system operators would enable the restoration of all but 42 megawatts of customer load, which would raise voltages above planning standard limits."

So based on that answer my understanding was that if the Provo line goes down, you would have sufficient serve -- to continue to serve through the other two lines and still meet your planning standard limits. Is that correct?

- A. That's correct. What I mean by switching here is that we would be opening circuit breakers throughout the Park City area, taking that 42 megawatts of power offline to enable that. So there would be what we would consider to be rolling blackouts to keep the loading to that 42 megawatt level.
- Q. I see, okay. And that helps me understand what it was your testimony was there.

- A. So in context as well that -- what that

 42-megawatt level is, that's the entirety of the

 Heber Valley area that's pretty close to 42 megawatts

 plus some of the Park City area.
 - Q. I'm trying to just make sense of what I just heard there. So let me just give some thought to that. I think I understand kind of what your answer is, and I appreciate your clarification on that. So let's move forward. The situation with the three lines in this area, how long have those three lines been serving this area?
 - A. Well, this goes back to when those lines were constructed, and I'm not sure when the two -the Cottonwood and the -- the Cottonwood line and the Midway line up Parleys Canyon and up Provo Canyon, those have been in service for many years, decades.
 We somewhat recently finished the railroad to Park City line, and I'm not exactly sure of the year. It was 2016 or 2017.
 - Q. So that one is new. The other two go back, I mean, 20, 30, 40 years or something like that, just to kind of give us a sense of how long they've been there?
 - A. Yes.

Q. Okay, thank you. In the amount of time

1	that these lines have been in place, how many times
2	have there been blackouts in the area that these
3	lines serve?
4	A. For the five years that I have looked at
5	the outage history in detail, we did not have any
6	blackouts.
7	Q. Okay. And to your knowledge prior to
8	this, have there ever been situations where the
9	system was damaged so bad that you had rolling
10	blackouts in this area?
11	A. Not to my knowledge. There have been
12	outages to this area due to transmission issues but
13	not the rolling blackouts that we're talking about.
14	Q. Okay. Thank you. And from what I
15	understand, the longest outage in any of these lines
16	right now is 37 hours due to a mudslide, correct?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Do you know how many times there's been
19	damage to Rocky Mountain Power's equipment due to the
20	loss of the load or one of these lines going down?
21	A. I'm not aware of any.
22	Q. Okay. What are the
23	A. You said Rocky Mountain Power equipment,
24	correct?
25	Q. Yes, yes. Rocky Mountain Power equipment,

1 yes.

- A. Yeah. I'm not aware of any.
- Q. Okay. What is the longest any customer has been out of electrical service due to the loss of one of these transmission lines?
 - A. I don't have that information.
- Q. Okay. Is it safe to say that that 37 hours would probably be the longest that you're aware of?
- A. So just to clarify, no Rocky Mountain

 Power customers were out of power during that

 37 hours.
- Q. Okay. So have -- I guess that's my question. Of the ones we've already talked about on all three of these lines, has there ever been -- what's the longest you're aware of that Rocky Mountain Power customers would have been out of power?
- A. Due to these outages, I don't show any Rocky Mountain Power customers being out of power due to these contingency outages that we're talking about.
- Q. Okay. So is it safe to say that the current system, based on at least the last five years and your knowledge, is pretty reliable, hasn't had a

lot of problems, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Can you tell me with -- well, how do I say this? How likely is it statistically that we're going to have an event on one of these lines if we extended building this new line until the spring of 2021, how likely is it that we would have an occurrence that would result in Rocky Mountain Power losing or customers not being able to receive power?
- A. You're asking me to speculate on whether a catastrophic issue is going to occur in our lines either this year or next year, and I can't speculate on whether that is going to occur or what the probability of that is going to occur. What I can tell you is that the number of hours where we will have voltage issues if it does occur is increasing every year the longer that we wait.
- Q. Fair enough, fair enough. And so short of a massive catastrophe that obviously none of us can predict, do you foresee in just the normal operation of these lines what the additional risk would be if the line does not go in until the spring of 2021?
- A. Next year we're projecting 620 hours of risk over -- over the winter. And for the following year there would be an additional number of hours

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

added to that. And I think that the likelihood, given that we had a mudslide in 2017 that took out some structures, now if that had occurred a few weeks earlier during our peak then we would have had Rocky Mountain Power customers out of power. If there had been adverse weather conditions that precluded us from getting into the area, then that would have If it had occurred in an area extended the outage. where we needed helicopters to repair the line, which there are many areas in both of these canyons where that is needed, then we would be extending that 37 hours by quite a bit. So to say that it's not likely or the -- it's not -- it's nearly nonexistent the probability of this occurring is just not accurate.

- Q. Okay. But isn't it fair to say that in the history of this line that you're aware of, there's been one catastrophic event that was called a mudslide, the catastrophic event, in the 20 or 30 years that these lines have been operating?
 - A. In the last five years that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And you're not aware of any others in the time frames before that?
- A. Other than the helicopter issue that I just mentioned, I'm not aware.

- Q. Okay. Thank you. Midway City has been told repeatedly that the new line must be finished by the end of 2020. Is that coming from you?
- A. We advocate getting this project done as quickly as possible to mitigate our risk of an outage occurring and affecting our customers in the Park City planning area. We've -- I've been personally working on this project since 2011, 2012. It's been in our budget since 2017 and been pushed back multiple times. So we are an advocate of getting this done as quickly as possible to mitigate that risk that we just -- that I just discussed.
- Q. Certainly. And the risks that we are discussing has been in place, probably increasing annually, but it's been in place for many, many years prior to right now, correct?
- A. Very minimally. I show that in 2011 we had very minimum risk, maybe a few hours a year. In 2017 we completed what we call our Snyderville project, which substantially increased that, that risk and the number of hours and exposure. Which is why from a planning perspective we recommended that become a budget item in 2017.
- Q. Okay. Let me just look through my questions here. So basically based on your testimony

right now, and I understand you're looking out and you're trying to deal with potential problems, but really the threat that we're talking about here is based on what could happen to the line, correct? But statistically speaking it's probably pretty minor that something like that could happen in an additional five months given the history of the line, correct?

A. I can't -- like I said, I'm not going to speculate on whether the mudslide is going to take down our line. It has happened in the last three years, so I don't know what you mean by statistically. But in my view it's probable. In Rocky Mountain Power's view it's probable.

If that does occur and there are rolling blackouts in the area, it's Rocky Mountain Power that is going to be held accountable.

- Q. Fair enough. What is the useful life of a transmission line once it's installed?
- A. We don't have a -- I wouldn't say we have a specific time frame. We maintain our lines. We replace poles as needed. So useful life is as we can keep it maintained and running properly.
- Q. Okay. Is it reasonable to assume that once this line goes in, it will be there for the

1	foreseeable future, meaning decades and even
2	centuries?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And once the line goes in, Rocky Mountain
5	Power wouldn't have any plans to ever remove it,
6	correct?
7	A. Not unless we were requested to put it
8	underground or relocate it, which often entities do.
9	Q. Okay. And so I guess the delay of four or
0	five months, if that's what it takes to get a bond,
L1	would be really kind of a small percentage of the
L2	entire life of this line, correct?
L3	A. Yep, that's fair.
4	Q. Okay. Just a couple more questions here.
L5	If complications occur within Heber Light & Power's
L6	system, those don't affect Rocky Mountain Power once
L7	the new line goes in, correct?
L8	A. Sorry. Can you repeat the question?
L9	Q. Sure. Let me explain it a little better.
20	My understanding is once this interconnect happens,
21	that Rocky Mountain would be able to wield power back
22	and forth on its own line regardless of whether
23	there's any complication within the Heber Light &
24	Power system; is that correct?

Yes, that's correct.

25

A.

- Q. Okay. So if there is a problem with the Heber Light & Power system, that will not interfere with Rocky Mountain Power's capacity to provide service to its customers over in Brighton, Deer Valley, and Park City, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. This I'm just going to ask, as I'm thinking this through it seems like if one of the lines go down is it fair to say, for example, if the Provo line goes down, the entity that would really face the biggest brunt would be Heber Light & Power, correct?
- A. No, I think it would be Rocky Mountain

 Power and its customers, one of which is Heber Light

 & Power.
- Q. But primarily that lineup serves Heber Light & Power, correct?
- A. Define primarily. It's of certain percentage, probably the majority of percentage of megawatts being served. But this is a system. It's all interconnected; it's all looped. The system itself, that's just one of the customers. The issue that we're talking about, it's a system issue. We don't just radial -- we call it a radial line that just serves them off of this line. It's all

interconnected, and they support each either.

- Q. Okay. But there's not -- the loop has not been completed at this point, correct?
- A. Well, like I said before, there is a 46 kV loop that allows the Midway transformer to stay energized if there is an issue on that line. It's just during certain numbers of the year that that line cannot support -- support the load, and so that's why we're proposing this loop through on the 138 so that we have that support.
- Q. Uh-huh (affirmative). All right. Is it -- I guess the point I'm trying to make here or trying to understand is if Heber Light & Power were willing to push this out and allow Midway City to bond, wouldn't they really be the entity that's taking the biggest risk if something goes down?
- A. No, I don't believe that's the case. I think Rocky Mountain Power is taking the biggest risk. It's Rocky Mountain Power's customers. Heber Light & Power is one of those customers, and we have other customers in the Park City planning area that would be assuming that risk.
- Q. Well, and let me understand that. Aren't the two lines coming over into the Park City area -- and maybe I'm misunderstanding -- aren't those

1 sufficient to deal with the needs of Park City even 2 if the Provo line went down? 3 Α. As I discussed before, it's adequate for 4 all but 42 -- well, the entire load minus the 5 42 megawatts. And so 42 megawatts would be in a rolling blackout stage in the entire Park City area. 6 As I understand, we would operate in that condition. 7 That helps me understand. 8 0. Okay. Ι appreciate the clarification there. 9 10 Let me see here if there's anything else. 11 Well, I think that that's everything. Let me just 12 look through. Give me just one second here. 13 So let me ask one other question here, and 14 then we're almost done. When talk about in your testimony that there's up to 620 hours of exposure to 15 16 the risk of inadequate voltage, what does that mean? 17 Α. It means the voltage on any -- at any 18 substation bus that we looked at would be less than 19 the .90 per unit, which is 90 percent of normal 20 voltage. So think of that in your house, it would be 90 percent of your 120 volts. 21 22 0. Okay. 23

Α. That's just applied to the transmission It's not necessarily applicable on the customer side.

24

- Q. Okay. And so on those 620 hours of
 exposure, I'm trying to understand what that means.

 For example, as we go back and talk about the history
 of these lines where most of that exposure it sounds
 like would be temporary outages. And how do you
 calculate what exposure is?
 - A. So there's a certain load level, and I believe we've identified that in some of our documents. That once the load level in the Park City planning area that includes Heber Valley, once the load level exceeds 175 megawatts then we have the risk of the voltage in the area going below .9. And so we just sum up the number of hours that we project the loading to be above 175 megawatts. And it varies I think in our -- in what we forecasted for this next summer -- or next winter's 217 megawatts.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. So the load will vary between 175 and 217, but the number of hours it varies between there is 620.
- Q. Okay. And on those hours of exposure to the risk, if it does drop below 90 percent, that doesn't mean that you're completely out of power, correct?
 - A. For some customers that's not the case.

If the voltage drops below .9, it is likely that some 1 2 of our customers' motor load would trip off to 3 prevent damaging their equipment. 4 0. And that would be like a Heber Light & Power customer, a transmission partner? 5 Not a -- not an end-user, correct? 6 No, this would be any end-user. 7 Α. And how far -- I mean if it dips Okav. 8 0. down to let's say 85 percent and then comes back up, 9 10 I mean what impact does that have on the system? MR. REICH: 11 Just to clarify, which system 12 are you referring to? 13 MR. GORDON: Well, I think the entire Not Heber Light & Power. Rocky Mountain 14 system. 15 Power's system. 16 Like I just previously THE WITNESS: 17 stated, customers protect their load from low 18 voltages in an ideal world. Sometimes they don't and 19 equipment damage can occur. But if they are 20 protecting their devices when the voltage goes below 21 some of these levels that we're talking about, their 22 load trips off, in particular their motor load and 23 perhaps some of their electronics that's not designed

Q. I see.

to operate at those lower voltages.

24

A. So we would not have devices that opened up. But customer equipment would most likely start to trip itself offline to protect itself from the low volts.

- Q. I see. And how difficult is it to reset those once they trip off? Is it like the standard home, what do you call those, your breakers where it kicks it off and you go turn it back on and it comes back on?
- A. I'm not -- I'm not an expert on what customers' particular loads are going to do. My speculation is once the voltage recovers, then it would be able to restart. There may be a cooling off period before they could restart some of their motors.
- Q. Very good. And based on your current customers that you would be concerned about, what percentage do you think would not have the protections that we're talking about as far as their system automatically tripping off if this happens?
- A. Again that would be complete speculation on my part. I don't have a -- I don't have a reasonable response for that.
- Q. Okay. Is it an industry standard though when like homes are being built and these types of

1 facilities are being built that they have to meet a 2 certain -- I mean they put in safety measures to 3 protect against this? 4 Α. That's a function of the equipment that's 5 being operated, not necessarily the homes that are being constructed. I would say that most do have 6 7 that protection. I think that's all the 8 MR. GORDON: 9 questions that I had. 10 Oh, I'm sorry, go ahead. You can finish. 11 THE WITNESS: I was going to say the 12 question here is the load is coming offline, not 13 necessarily that the equipment will be damaged. 14 that was reflected in my testimony, the equipment may be damaged depending on the -- that would depend on 15 16 what they have for protection. 17 MR. GORDON: That helps, that helps. 18 I think that's all the questions I 19 have for this witness. 20 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you. I think 21 it will be appropriate for us to take a break now 22 before we move to any questions from V.O.L.T. for 23 this witness. 24 Before we take a break just so I can use 25 my time during the break the best, Mr. Reich, you had

```
indicated earlier on -- you told us the order of
 1
 2
     witnesses. And I didn't get it jotted down as you
 3
     were stating it. Can you repeat for us again the
 4
     order in which you intend to call your witnesses
 5
     after Mr. Barker?
 6
                 MR. REICH:
                             Yeah.
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:
                                        If that's firm and
 7
     not fluid.
                 I mean if it's fluid, tell me.
 8
 9
     it's set it would be helpful to know.
10
                 MR. REICH: Yeah, we intend on calling Ben
11
     Clegg next and then Darin Myers.
12
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay, thank you.
13
     That's helpful to me.
14
                 I think what we'll do is just --
15
                 MR. DAVID CLARK: Chair LeVar --
16
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Who is speaking now?
17
                 MR. DAVID CLARK:
                                   Dave Clark.
18
                 If I could take just a second to express
19
     something I anticipate doing that might bear on
20
     counsel for Midway's cross-examination. I have --
21
     and I'm harkening back to the objection that was
22
     sustained regarding construction specifications.
                                                        Ι
23
     was a little confused by the questions and answers at
24
     that stage. And I wanted to express my -- at least
25
     my feeling that I'm interested in knowing what -- to
```

1	what extent the construction specifications were
2	influenced by reliability requirements or
3	requirements to meet reliability standards, speaking
4	in particular of the redundancy requirements that
5	exist in the standards that are promulgated by the
6	Western Electric Coordinating Council and North
7	American Electrical Liability Corporation. And I
8	think I got an understanding regarding the dual
9	trenching and but the other at least the one
10	other major expense item, the deadline requirement, I
11	understand that that's well, I'm uncertain whether
12	that's a construction specification or is it driven
13	by a reliability standard. But if it is a
14	reliability standard and if Mr. Barker is the witness
15	to address that, I intend to ask him about it. But I
16	didn't want to do that and then open an area of
17	cross-examination that Midway's counsel may have felt
18	precluded from addressing.
19	So that's the sort of issue that I wanted
20	to present to you.
21	MR. GORDON: Commissioner, this is Corbin.
22	As far as responding to that I did have a line of
23	questions, and I assumed that Mr. Barker was the
24	appropriate one to talk about that issue. And so I
25	skipped those based on the ruling from the board.

```
But it sounds like Commissioner Clark was on the same
 1
 2
                    I thought this was the appropriate
     page with me.
 3
     witness to answer those questions.
 4
                 MR. DAVID CLARK: If he's not, that's
            But if he is, you know, again I didn't want to
 5
     fine.
     foreclose you from your opportunity.
 6
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Anything
 7
     else, Mr. Clark?
 8
 9
                        We'll be in recess until 1:00.
                 Okav.
10
                 (Lunch from 11:57 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.)
11
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Before our lunch
12
     break we had preliminarily concluded the
13
     cross-examination by Midway City. And then one of
14
     the board members, Mr. Clark, raised the issue of
15
     revisiting the ruling on the objection by Rocky
16
     Mountain Power. The previous ruling was that
17
     questions regarding bid specifications were outside
     of the scope of Mr. Barker's testimony and were
18
19
     within the scope of Mr. Myers' testimony.
20
                 We had a request by a board member to
     revisit that ruling on the objection. So before we
21
22
     move on to V.O.L.T.'s cross-examination, I think it
23
     would be appropriate to address that issue with the
24
     board at this point. So I would ask if there's any
25
     board discussion to that issue.
```

I quess

1 MR. JORDAN WHITE: Chair LeVar, I quess, 2 you know, from my perspective, I am also 3 interested -- let me take a step back. I think when 4 we're talking about -- and this happens a lot in this business -- but we're talking about reliability in 5 terms of the capital "R" reliability. 6 That is a NERC standard, which is probably a little bit different at 7 least from my perspective from what the witness was 8 9 speaking to, which is power quality. Which are 10 things like, you know, wave strengths, voltage 11 stability, that kind of thing, how power quality 12 actually works with respect to customers and the 13 driving of crank shafts for ski resorts on lifts and I guess what I would suggest is if 14 things like that. 15 there is another witness that could speak to it, the 16 actual reliability standards, the NERC federally 17 delegated standards and that kind of world, I would 18 be interested in hearing that at some point. 19 But I quess the question is: 20 possible to maybe allow the other witnesses to be 21 cross-examined and to address their issues, and then 22 at the end of all of the witnesses if we still have 23 not scratched that niche, in other words addressing

how NERC standards flow into specifications for this

line, then we could go back to this witness?

24

1 what I'm trying to say is I'm not sure if we're going 2 to get what we want out of that witness because I think he's already addressed power quality issues, 3 but I could be wrong. But that's just a suggestion. 4 5 MR. DAVID CLARK: Let me just confirm. 6 think Mr. White has described what I'm trying to get to, and that is the extent of any relationship 7 between reliability standards that Mr. Barker applies 8 9 and the bid specifications. Is there linkage? particular he's addressed the dual conduit or the 10 11 dual trench bid requirements. I also had in mind the 12 dead cable specification, and I'm wondering does that 13 have any reliability underpinning, reliability 14 standard underpinning. 15 MR. JORDAN WHITE: If that is the -- I 16 thought that had been answered. If he has and there is a relationship, I would like to hear that also. 17 Ι 18 thought that that was answered. But if there is a 19 direct relationship between those two, that would be 20 helpful. And maybe I missed that, but I thought he 21 had answered that already. But if that's the case, 22 then perhaps that's a worthwhile question right now. 23 MR. REICH: Chairman, can I just add 24 something that might clarify this and move it along? 25 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Yes. That would be

1 appropriate.

MR. REICH: So I think that Mr. Barker is able to testify about reliabilities as it relates to NERC and the relationship of reliability as it applies to bid specifications generally. My objection was really focused on these bid specifications as did they have some specific reliability standard? Because our bid specifications are based on engineering standards that our specification group creates for all of our projects. There's not some reliability standards for this project and some for a different project.

So we have no objection to Midway City asking him about reliability as it relates to NERC or the relationship of reliability to our bid standards generally speaking. We have no objection to that.

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: So it seems like -is there any objection from the board just to
returning to Midway City before we move on to
V.O.L.T. to explore the issue a little bit further?

I'm not hearing any objection from board members, so I think we'll go forward that way.

So, Mr. Gordon, if you want to -- if you have any further questions on this topic that you would like to ask this witness, please go ahead.

MR. GORDON: 1 Certainly. Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I forgot to confirm 3 that Mr. Barker was on the line. Are you on the 4 line, Mr. Barker? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm still here. CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. And you're 6 still sworn in from the morning. Thank you. 7 8 MR. GORDON: Perfect. 9 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 11 BY MR. GORDON: 12 So, Mr. Barker, just a 0. Thank you. Okay. 13 few more questions then. As I understand it, I'm not 14 going to be referring to specific specifications but more generally. In this instance when you're burying 15 16 a line, my understanding is it is common to put an 17 extra conduit as part of the buried project; is that 18 correct? 19 I can't speak to what's common in the Α. 20 That would be more of an expert in our industry. 21 construction standards that would be able to talk to 22 that. 23 Well, is there -- is there a reliability 0. 24 aspect of putting in the extra conduit? 25 Α. Yes, absolutely.

	, p 20, 2020
1	Q. And what would that be?
2	A. Well, the failure of an underground line
3	can take several days to weeks to repair it,
4	depending on what the issue is. We don't have the
5	expertise to do in-house to do splices, and so
6	that needs to be brought in from outside the state
7	typically.
8	There's other issues with pulling that
9	can arise from pulling in the new cable. And so it
L O	is more reliable for us to have the conduit and cable
.1	in place so that we can energize that on a much
2	quicker basis.
L3	Q. Okay. Are you aware of any NERC standards
4	that require a dead line to be pulled through
L5	conduit?
L6	A. I'm not aware of any, no.
_7	Q. Are you aware, has Rocky Mountain Power
8	buried other 138 kV lines?
9	A. Yes.
20	Q. And were you involved in those projects
21	enough to know what happened on them?
22	A. No, not from a not from a detailed
23	construction standpoint.
24	O. Do you know, is it your recommendation

from a reliability standpoint, does Rocky Mountain

underground to leave the conduit open or to pull an extra line?

A. It's my understanding that we will be pulling the extra line.

Power have a standard when they're putting these

Q. And does that come from you as the director from the power of quality and reliability?

A. No, as I said before, those standards are set up by our construction standards engineering group. Our planning department may provide input, but that is -- those construction standards are built by that department.

Q. So really the extent here is you're not aware of any NERC requirement that requires that to go through, correct?

A. Correct. But the underpinning of that requirement that we have is to mitigate the risk of the NERC requirements. So in this case if the -- the NERC requirement may say that we have N minus 1 or a single contingency outage that causes an issue that we need to plan for that. If that cable fails and it's out for two months during the winter while we're trying to repair it, then we're in the exact same condition we are in today and that we've been discussing.

- Q. Do you have any knowledge or understanding on how long it takes to pull a new line through if the cable were -- or if the conduit were empty?
- A. Are you saying if the conduit is empty to get the cable in?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. Yeah. I don't have any specific details on what that would take. Like I said before if there are splices involved -- and within this case over a mile there would be splices involved -- I know we do not have in-house expertise. So that needs to be scheduled and flown in. And it does take time to perform those splices in addition.

As I understand it some of the cable needs to be ordered. We do have some spare cable in our inventory, but that might be an issue too on the timing. We might have to bring in additional cable for that.

So I don't know an exact time, but it isn't -- it isn't a couple of days. It's probably a couple of weeks at least.

Q. Okay. And having the extra -- having that extra dead line sitting there, it doesn't alter in any way the reliability of the energized circuit, correct?

1	A. Not that I'm aware of.
2	MR. GORDON: Okay. No further questions.
3	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Thank you,
4	Mr. Gordon.
5	Mr. Morris or Ms. Brereton, do you have
6	any cross-examination questions for Mr. Barker?
7	MR. MORRIS: I do. Thank you.
8	
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. MORRIS:
11	Q. Mr. Barker, good afternoon. During the
12	break did you have a chance to discuss your testimony
13	with anyone, other than counsel?
14	A. No.
15	Q. I'm going to try hard not to replow ground
16	that was already covered. But what I understood you
17	to tell Mr. Gordon is that although the three lines
18	you and he discussed and the downtime for them being
19	respectively I think eight for one, five for another,
20	15 for another, in all of those cases no one ever
21	lost power in their homes, right?
22	A. This is referring to the outages that
23	we've discussed?
24	Q. Yes.
	Z. 165.

- Q. Okay. So because Rocky Mountain has built redundancy into the system, you're able to switch over when a line goes out and maintain coverage for your end-users, correct?
- A. No, that's not correct. During certain times of the year and above certain loadings that is not correct, which is what this project is predicated upon.
- Q. Well, I'm not asking you to speculate about the future. But in the past you had -- I understand your testimony to be that that has not occurred, that you were -- that the situation arose where you were not able to switch and cover for a line going down, for example, the helicopter or a mudslide or whatever you referred to.
- A. It is my testimony that that situation has not occurred. However, it is my job as director of planning to plan for situations that can occur, which is what this -- again, what this project is all about. A catastrophic issue could cause rolling blackouts and serious issues in the Heber Valley and Park City areas.
- Q. It's fair to say that if a line is underground, it's less at risk from a helicopter hitting it and interrupting it, isn't it?

A. Perhaps. But we still have overhead components such as the dip poles that are still in the exterior line of risk.

Q. Well --

- A. But that line has -- let me clarify this though. That line as being undergrounded is not part of the line that causes the issue. The line that causes the issue that we're discussing is 100 percent overhead, and it is not being modified in any way as part of this project.
- Q. So it sounds like you're saying that reliability really isn't a factor as far as this line goes. You're worried about bad things happening elsewhere, right?
- A. This line is the solution to bad things happening elsewhere.
- Q. But by this line, I'm only concerned with the mile or so going through Midway. If that line goes underground, at least that portion of the line is not going to be subject to many of the problems that you've described as being at risk on other parts of the line like mudslides, weather, helicopters, things like that; is that fair?
- A. That's fair. My point is that it's irrelevant to the issue in the area.

1	Q. Okay. You just mentioned you have buried
2	transmission lines in the past. How long have you
3	been in your job, Mr. Barker?
4	A. I've been the director of area
5	transmission and planning for two years.
6	Q. And your total duration with Rocky
7	Mountain Power is how long?
8	A. 17 and a half years.
9	Q. Were you involved at all in the
LO	underground transmission line that was built along
L1	39th South from 9th East down to Main Street a few
L2	years ago?
L3	A. No, I was not.
L4	Q. Were you involved at all in the
L5	transmission line that was buried out in Draper from
L6	Dimple Dell Road down to about 10th East?
L7	A. No, I was not.
L8	Q. Are you aware of those lines?
L9	A. Yes, I'm aware of the lines.
20	Q. Okay. Are you involved in regional
21	transmission study planning at the Western
22	Electricity Coordinating Council?
23	A. We are involved my department is
24	involved in a support role. Like I testified earlier
25	our main grid transmission department takes the lead

1 role in that compliance effort. 2 And so to what extent are you involved in 0. 3 PacifiCorp's transmission planning for its integrated 4 resource plan? Very little. 5 Α. Do you participate in system impact 6 0. studies that are run for transmission service 7 8 requests pursuant to Rocky Mountain's open access 9 transmission tariff? 10 Α. Yes. We are involved with those impact 11 studies, depending on the voltage. 12 So would you have the ability to tell us 0. 13 then what portion, if any, of construction costs of 14 138 kV lines will be recovered by PacifiCorp through 15 rates charged under its OATT? 16 Α. I'm not in the position to talk about rate 17 recovery. Do you know if any of the other witnesses 18 19 coming on are going to be in a position to tell us 20 what portion of the construction costs that Rocky 21 Mountain is saying it will incur are going to be 22 recovered elsewhere?

Α. I'm not aware that these witnesses have that expertise.

23

24

25

Q. Can you tell me, Mr. Barker, is there any portion of this Heber to Midway line that is considered a network upgrade?

- A. It depends on how you define network upgrade. We have a Rocky Mountain Power definition for that. We also have a definition of that under the OATT, the transmission service request that's being made by Heber Valley, and I would not be in a position to answer to either of those.
- Q. And so would you agree with me that Rocky Mountain would not be entitled to charge monies for construction or assign costs that are attributable to network upgrades under either definition?
 - A. I don't know if I understand the question.
- Q. Well, please explain to the board the two definitions of network upgrades you just provided to me.
- A. Well, I just know there's the distinction between the two. I don't believe that I'm in a good enough position to define those for the board.
- Q. And you don't know, Mr. Barker, if -- what portion, if any, of any costs that Rocky Mountain would try to claim to be excess costs that Midway is going to have to come up with are due to a network upgrade rather than installing this line?
 - A. Like I said, I'm not in a position that I

- can -- I can tell you what I think. But I just don't
 think that I'm in that position to distinguish
 between network upgrade, and there are probably
 better witnesses for that discussion.
 - Q. Are any of those better witnesses in the queue that you're aware of?

- A. Not that I'm aware of. I haven't seen network recovered or network upgrades in any of the direct testimony that we've submitted or in rebuttal, so I'm not sure.
- Q. And that's the reason for my question.

 I'm not seeing it either. But I'm wondering if

 Midway is being expected to pay for a network

 upgrade. You don't know the answer to that?
- A. Well, I don't believe that Midway would be expected to pay for a network upgrade.
- Q. Well, I don't either. I would agree with you. But it doesn't sound like you or any of the other witnesses we're going to hear from can say unequivocally that among the costs being claimed by Rocky Mountain to build -- to go underground, for example, whether any of the lines called out by the specs and bid on by these contractors can be characterized as a network upgrade.
 - A. That's correct.

	April 20, 2020 1 age 1
1	Q. All right. I'm looking at my notes here.
2	Oh, you're not going to have a dead line
3	on the overhead towers, are you?
4	A. Can you clarify the question?
5	Q. Yes. Mr. Gordon was asking you about this
6	extra conduit and a line pulled through and asking
7	Midway to bear the expense of that, a line that isn't
8	going to be energized, that's just going to be lying
9	there for an emergency someday.
10	And my question is there is no such line
11	contemplated currently if this goes overhead, is
12	there? You're not going to be stringing dead lines
13	on these towers if you go overhead, are you?
14	A. Yes, that's correct. That's related to
15	the time to repair. Accessibility on the overhead,
16	and the materials to do it are there are not time
17	constraints.
18	Q. Would you agree with me that the
19	likelihood of an underground line going bad is a lot
20	lower than if it's exposed and in the air with birds
21	and helicopters and weather?
22	A. Well, with underground lines it's a
23	tradeoff. By and large I believe that we are
24	agnostic between overhead and underground. The
25	tradeoff is that there may be fewer instances on the

1 underground. But when they occur, they last much 2 longer as opposed to the overhead where they're more 3 frequent. 4 But again, as it relates to this issue, that line outage is not relevant to the issue at 5 hand. 6 MR. MORRIS: All right. I think that's 7 8 everything I have for you, Mr. Barker. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, 10 Mr. Morris. 11 Mr. Reich, any redirect? 12 MR. REICH: Yes, thank you. Just a few. 13 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. REICH: 16 Mr. Barker, in your direct testimony and 0. 17 in cross-examination, you mentioned you have some concerns about the outages of specifically two of 18 19 these lines that go up to the Heber Valley area. 20 you provide a little more detail about some of those 21 concerns with respect to repairs or access? 22 Α. Sure. I mean we can try to paint a worst 23 case scenario, which I think is a reasonable thing to 24 do in the position of planning that we are in. 25 And the 138 kV lines that run up those two canyons are in very difficult terrain. The accessibility is very difficult. Especially in the winter there are issues with avalanche concerns.

So if we did have an issue with one of those lines where a section of the line or even a single structure had an issue, we would have difficulty getting personnel to that location, for one, just to perform any repairs. It's likely that we'd have to bring in helicopters that may need to be brought in from a regional or national basis. So there's time that we need to get those materials and equipment to the site.

I just can't reiterate enough I don't think that there is -- there's significant risk in these canyons for a prolonged outage if the right set of circumstances occur. Which is why we are, you know, are being very I guess strong-willed about getting this project done and trying to mitigate that risk.

- Q. So based on your understanding of the Rocky Mountain Power transmission system, is this project necessary to permit Rocky Mountain Power to provide service to its customers in a safe, reliable, adequate, or efficient manner?
 - A. Yes, absolutely.

```
1
                              I have no further questions.
                 MR. REICH:
 2
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:
                                        Thank you,
 3
     Mr. Reich.
 4
                 Mr. Gordon, any recross?
 5
                 MR. GORDON:
                              No, your Honor.
 6
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:
                                        Thank you.
 7
                 Mr. Morris, any recross?
 8
                 MR. MORRIS: Just so I understand -- yes,
 9
     just one question.
10
11
                       RECROSS-EXAMINATION
12
     BY MR. MORRIS:
13
                 The things that you're trying to guard
           0.
14
     against and your particular concern about these two
     lines, neither of those issues are any more or less
15
16
     likely to occur in the next five months than ten
17
     years from now based on what you know, correct?
18
                 Again I'm not going to speculate on the
19
     probability of any natural event, something beyond
20
     our control occurring within the next five months or
21
            I'm -- I don't have that capability.
     year.
22
                 MR. MORRIS:
                                That's all.
                                              Thank you.
23
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:
                                        Thank you,
24
     Mr. Morris.
25
                 Mr. Clark, do you have any questions for
```

1 Mr. Barker? 2 MR. DAVID CLARK: Yes, just a couple. 3 Mr. Barker, from your answers, am I 4 correct in understanding that the reliability 5 standards you applied are expressed in -- or expressed as electric system attributes rather than 6 construction characteristics or construction 7 criteria? 8 When we look at NERC 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 reliability standards, we just look at outages as 11 occurring on the lines as an entity with no other 12 parameters like age or performance of the line. 13 of those things are taken into consideration for NERC 14 reliability standards. 15 MR. DAVID CLARK: So when you identify an 16 issue, as you've done in this case, then again I have 17 the impression that you hand that off to another 18 department that then applies -- from an electrical 19 engineering perspective creates the solution for the 20 issues that you've identified. Is that how the 21 process works? 22 THE WITNESS: No, not necessarily. 23 the planning group, we develop the scope of the 24 project from a high level, and then it is passed to

an engineering design group that would then apply our

1	construction standards and come up with an actual
2	design of the line. So we're talking about this
3	the number of structures and how it's constructed.
4	MR. DAVID CLARK: Yeah. Well
5	THE WITNESS: We specify the capacity that
6	is needed and basically where it connects to.
7	MR. DAVID CLARK: Thank you for that
8	clarification. Those are all the questions I have.
9	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Thank you,
10	Mr. Clark.
11	Mr. Fitzgerald, do you have any questions
12	for Mr. Barker?
13	MR. TROY FITZGERALD: I do not.
14	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you.
15	Mr. White, do you have any questions?
16	MR. JORDAN WHITE: I have no questions,
17	thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Mr. Wright,
19	do you?
20	MR. WRIGHT: Yes, I do.
21	Last summer the public officials in Summit
22	and Wasatch County both at county and city level both
23	laid out a program called the Public Safety Power
24	Shut Off Plan. And mostly it looked over the effect
25	of the distribution systems in our jurisdictions, if

the power lines could be shut off under high wind or 1 2 relative humidity conditions during the summer 3 wildfire season. Do any of these three transmission 4 lines, would any of those three be affected by that 5 same program? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, they are. I'm not positive on the Railroad Silver Creek line. 7 those other two are within those areas. 8 MR. GLENN WRIGHT: So under certain 9 10 weather conditions both the Parleys Canyon and Provo 11 Canyon lines --12 I hate to interrupt here, THE REPORTER: 13 but I'm having a hard time hearing you. This is the 14 reporter. Not the witness, I'm having a harder time 15 hearing the questions by Mr. Wright. 16 MR. GLENN WRIGHT: So my question concerns 17 the power safety power shut off plan that was 18 presented to counties and cities in the Wasatch back 19 last summer and to what extent the transmission lines 20 are they affected by that particular plan. THE WITNESS: Yes, the Hale to Midway line 21 22 and the Cottonwood to Snyderville line, up both canyons are affected by the PSTS. 23 24 MR. GLENN WRIGHT: Okay. Thank you. That's all of my questions. 25

1	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you,
2	Mr. Wright.
3	And, Mr. Barker, thank you for your
4	testimony today.
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Reich, you
7	intend to call Mr. Benjamin Clegg next; is that
8	correct?
9	MR. REICH: Correct.
10	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Why don't we
11	address Midway City's and V.O.L.T.'s objection to
12	this witness now.
13	Why don't we go to Mr. Gordon or
14	Mr. Jewkes first. We have the objection in writing
15	on this one. If there is anything you want to add
16	verbally before we consider this, why don't you go
17	ahead and do so now.
18	MR. MORRIS: Hello? Excuse me, are you
19	waiting for Rocky Mountain Power or for Midway City?
20	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: This is LeVar. I
21	asked Mr. Gordon or Jewkes if they wanted to provide
22	any additional verbal comment on their objection to
23	the testimony of Mr. Benjamin Clegg.
24	MR. REICH: It sounded like there was
25	maybe someone hit the off button instead of the mute

1	button, so I'm assuming they might be dialing back
2	in. This is Bret Reich with Rocky Mountain Power.
3	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
4	Is anyone from Midway City on the call
5	right now?
6	In that case, Mr. Reich, I suspect you are
7	correct in what happened. So hopefully they'll dial
8	back in.
9	MR. GORDON: I'm sorry we just I pushed
10	the wrong button. Sorry, guys. We just Josh was
11	here making a presentation, and we pushed the wrong
12	button. Can you hear us now?
13	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I can hear you now.
14	Thank you.
15	MR. GORDON: Okay. I apologize. I won't
16	push that button again.
17	So did none of that come through?
18	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: We have not heard
19	anything from you on the objection to Mr. Clegg.
20	MR. JEWKES: All right, sorry. I just
21	made the argument, and I guess we pushed the wrong
22	button. I apologize. This is Mr. Jewkes, by the
23	way, I'll be making the objection quickly.
24	Mr. Clegg, as we've read from his direct
25	testimony, it looks like he's been offered to testify

1 regarding the conditional use permit application 2 process, certain communications between Rocky Mountain Power and Midway City. Mr. Clegg was 3 4 directly involved in that permit application process. He attended the hearings. He was there for the grant 5 6 of the permit itself. We believe his testimony is irrelevant to any material issue in dispute. 7 There's no question that the conditional 8 9 use permit was granted and all of the parties have 10 proceeded under the CUP since then. So there may be 11 disputes about the Rocky Mountain Power needed a 12 separate line, as Mr. Barker talked about, and the 13 actual excess cost of the line which will be talked 14 about later. But testimony about the application 15 process itself in communication with Midway City 16 appear to be immaterial and quite frankly wasteful of 17 everyone's time. 18 We'd just ask that Mr. Clegg's testimony 19 either be excluded in its entirety -- we don't think 20 he's a necessary witness here -- or that it be 21 limited to issues that may be relevant. 22 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. 23 Jewkes. 24 Mr. Morris or Ms. Brereton, do you have 25 anything to add to the objection?

1 MR. MORRIS: We don't. We joined in the 2 objection, but I have nothing further to add. Thank 3 you. 4 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Morris. 5 6 Mr. Reich, do you want to address this? Sure, thank you. 7 MR. REICH: The testimony of Mr. Clegg is being 8 Yeah. 9 proffered as Mr. Clegg was the project manager of 10 He personally attended the several public 11 hearings and also nonpublic meetings with Midway 12 During that process there was quite a bit of 13 information that was provided to Midway City 14 including information regarding right-of-way, 15 regarding the cost, an estimate of an underground 16 transmission line, a feasibility study. Many of the 17 documents, many of the things Midway City has brought 18 up, and Mr. Clegg has personal testimony about that 19 information and those documents. 20 It also goes to this entire process that 21 Rocky Mountain Power has had in pursuing this 22 conditional use permit. And under administrative 23 code 63-G-4-206, the purpose of this hearing is to obtain full disclosure of relevant facts and to 24 25 afford all the parties reasonable opportunities to

1 present their positions. 2 Mr. Clegg is an important part of Rocky 3 Mountain Power's position in applying for and 4 receiving the conditional use permit, which is at So for that reason we would ask 5 issue in this case. that the board deny this objection and allow 6 Mr. Clegg to testify. 7 8 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, 9 Mr. Reich. 10 I have failed to go to board members. 11 for both the comments by Mr. Jewkes and Mr. Morris is 12 joining in that and Mr. Reich, do any board members 13 have questions for any of the three of those with the 14 understanding that I'll probably go back to Mr. 15 Jewkes at the end if he has any final wrap-up. 16 But let me see if any board members have 17 any questions for any of them, please jump in and ask 18 them now. 19 I'm not hearing any questions from board 20 members. 21 I do want to ask, Mr. Reich, I think you 22 said in your statement just now that the testimony of 23 Mr. Clegg is similar to issues raised by Midway City 24 in this proceeding. Do you have any examples you

25

could point to of that?

MR. REICH: 1 Yeah. For example, I know 2 that while Mr. Clegg was the project manager, there 3 was an underground transmission cost feasibility 4 study that was prepared and submitted to Midway City. Mr. Clegg has information about that cost feasibility 5 study. He also has information about the information 6 given to us by Midway City, how we responded to 7 those, what was provided, some questions that were 8 9 asked about the value of right-of-way easements and 10 our valuation of those easements. So he has -- he 11 can testify about those things. 12 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, 13 Mr. Reich. 14 I'll just give one more moment if any 15 board members have any questions. 16 And I'm not hearing any, so we'll go back 17 to Mr. Jewkes. If you want to give any final 18 comments on your motion. And I would especially ask 19 whether you consider any of the testimony about the 20 history of the application process to be prejudicial 21 to Midway City at all. 22 I think you've hit the nail MR. JEWKES: 23 on the head. I don't think it's prejudicial. 24 trying to avoid the waste of time and streamline 25 these proceedings. And maybe you've already spent

```
too much time talking about it. I think the things
 1
 2
     that Mr. Reich just mentioned, Mr. Clegg may have
 3
     knowledge about those things. But it's not in his
 4
     direct testimony. I wouldn't object to him
     testifying about some of those things.
 5
                                              What I don't
     want to do is spend a couple of hours talking about,
 6
     you know, the first application and all the hearings.
 7
     Mr. Clegg was there; I was there. He's a great guy.
 8
 9
     But none of that is relevant here. Not that it's
10
     prejudicial.
                   It's just I think would be a waste of
11
     time.
            Because we all admit that the CUP was actually
12
     granted and it says what it says.
13
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:
                                        Thank you,
14
     Mr. Jewkes.
15
                 Does any board member have any questions
16
     for him at this point?
17
                 I'm not hearing any board member
18
     questions.
19
                 I think on those objections to witnesses,
20
     I think all of these ought to be board decisions.
                                                         So
21
     let me just ask if there's any board discussion or
22
     thoughts on the motion in front of us -- or, sorry,
23
     the objection.
24
                 I will just state my one thought as I've
25
     been listening to the discussion is I understand the
```

1 issues that are in dispute and I understand the point 2 that perhaps the application process is not in 3 dispute. However, as I listened to the first few 4 minutes of Mr. Gordon's opening statement earlier 5 today, it would be tough for me to say that that was relevant but that Mr. Clegg's testimony is not. 6 7 was my one impression. 8 Do any other board members have any other 9 thoughts or comments? 10 MR. JORDAN WHITE: Yeah. I quess what I 11 would say is along those same lines. You know in the 12 spirit of due process and trying to -- I mean there 13 may be some redundancy, and certainly I don't know if 14 we need to retread all of the blow by blow of this U.P. process, unless it's relevant. But, you know, I 15 16 don't see an issue with, you know, having him 17 testify. Just again to the extent that we can be --18 avoid redundancy, that would be great. 19 there's something new or novel or important or 20 relevant, I think we should in the spirit of openness 21 allow him to testify. 22 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Any other thoughts 23 or comments or motions from the board? 24 MR. DAVID CLARK: I'll move to receive it 25 in evidence. I think it is useful background.

1	does certainly, I think, relate to some factual
2	allegations included in the opening statements of
3	Midway this morning. And so the process is an
4	important context for our consideration I think. So
5	that's why I move to receive it.
6	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Does anyone want to
7	second the motion?
8	MR. JORDAN WHITE: I'll second it.
9	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Any further
10	discussion?
11	Mr. Clark, how do you vote?
12	MR. DAVID CLARK: I vote in favor of
13	receiving it.
14	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you.
15	MR. DAVID CLARK: So I vote yes.
16	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you.
17	Mr. Fitzgerald?
18	MR. TROY FITZGERALD: I vote yes.
19	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. White?
20	MR. JORDAN WHITE: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Wright?
22	MR. WRIGHT: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: And I forgot to do
24	myself in alphabetical order; I've been trying to do
25	it that way. But I vote yes also.

1	So do we have Mr. Clegg on the telephone?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I'm sorry.
3	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Mr. Clegg, do
4	you swear to tell the truth?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	
7	BENJAMIN CLEGG,
8	called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was
9	examined and testified as follows:
10	
11	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Mr. Reich or
12	Mr. Gordon, go ahead.
13	MR. REICH: Thank you.
14	
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. REICH:
17	Q. Mr. Clegg, have you reviewed the direct
18	testimony prepared on your behalf for this
19	proceeding?
20	A. Yes, I have.
21	Q. As well as the rebuttal testimony?
22	A. Yes, I have.
23	Q. And are those statements an accurate
24	reflection of your testimony at this time?
25	A. Yes.

22

23

24

25

- Q. And do you need to make any changes or additions to it?
 - A. I did not note any.
- Q. Okay. Let me just ask you one question also. On page 9 of your direct testimony, there's a statement that before the project can move forward, Rocky Mountain Power needs a clear decision and upfront payment for any excess costs.

In your opinion why is it necessary that this project proceed at this time and not be delayed?

Α. As the board is likely well aware, it takes a whole a lot of time. It takes years to develop a project. In fact, I've been working on this project for multiple years, and it was in some form before that. The issue we have is that it seems like everybody wants to be last in this case. need an actionable decision now so that we can go out and acquire these rights-of-way, so we can continue with the design, so we can order long lead materials, so we can, you know, get the ball in motion to get this entire project complete for this needed -- for the reliability and capacity project.

Continuing to delay this, you know, any -- any delay will just delay when this project will ultimately be done.

1	MR. REICH: With that then I'd like to
2	proffer the direct and the rebuttal testimony of
3	Benjamin Clegg.
4	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you. Noting
5	that I assume Midway City and V.O.L.T. both maintain
6	their objections that we discussed a few minutes ago,
7	is there anything else anyone wants to add before we
8	consider this motion?
9	I'm not hearing any, so the motion is
10	granted consistent with the board decision a few
11	moments ago. Thank you.
12	Anything further, Mr. Reich?
13	MR. REICH: Nothing further.
14	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you.
15	Mr. Gordon, do you have any questions for
16	Mr. Clegg?
17	MR. GORDON: Yes, thank you.
18	
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. GORDON:
21	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Clegg. The first
22	question, did you listen to Jake Barker's testimony?
23	A. I did not. Pursuant to the board's
24	request, I exited the room.
25	Q. Thank you. The first question: As a

Public Hearing April 20, 2020 manager of this project can the transmission line, if 1 2 installed above ground, be finished by the end of 3 2020 at this point? 4 Α. I would find that highly unlikely for 5 above ground or below ground by the end of this calendar year. 6 Have there been project delays that 7 0. you've experienced due to the coronavirus? 8 I'm unaware of any specific days thus far 9 Α. 10 due to the Coronavirus. 11 0. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- If some of the bids there was discussion about the cable coming from oversees, I believe, Korea. Are you aware of any disruption in the ability or capacity to get the cable?
- Typically we would receive the -- the Α. utility would receive some level of force majeure letters and active contract with a release against We have no active contract, and we have no release against it. This actually kind of speaks to the need to move forward because we have to have contracts in place to receive specific notification in a force majeure situation like the one that you're walking down right now.
- 0. Has this process before the Utility Facility Review Board delayed the commencement of the

project?

A. I would say that the -- no. What's delayed the project is us trying to follow the conditions of this decision in November -- or excuse me, in December. I understand the utilities proffered the information for the underground bids, and we don't have a decision one way or another.

As I testified during the conditional use permit process, we needed to move forward as of the last quarter of last year in order to make sure that we were done by the end of 2020. We've lost time.

I don't know if it would be -- I wouldn't say it's because of this particular board review more than not having clear direction about what it is that we have been approved to build.

- Q. Okay. Is it fair to say based on that testimony to say that right now if the goal was to finish by the end of 2020, we're probably at least maybe, what, three to six months beyond 2020 to finish this line now?
- A. Yes, I think that that would be fair to say.
- Q. Okay. Has RMP or Heber Light & Power obtained immediate easements to install the line?
 - A. Heber Light & Power had existing

1	easements, prescriptive easements along this route
2	and has for decades now. And part of our agreement
3	with Heber Light & Power is at the completion of this
4	project those would be apportioned. We have, as we
5	testified during the conditional permit use process,
6	we have acknowledged that there are some width
7	deficiencies to upsize the voltage to 138 kV. Those
8	specific additional easements have not been acquired,
9	and we plan to acquire those once we have a specific
10	actionable decision.

Q. Where is Rocky Mountain Power obtaining easements of the portions for the lines that were approved above ground in Wasatch County and Heber City?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I -- so they have started that process. I am not in the position right now to speak to the exact specific details of that.
- Q. Okay. But to your knowledge they don't have those easements yet, correct?
- A. I understand that not every easement has been acquired, correct.
- Q. Okay. As the project manager, how long do you think it will take to obtain the easements through Wasatch County and Heber City?
 - A. That's a good question. In my experience

the two things that are really really hard to pin down on how long they'll take is easements and conditional use permits, and they can take anywhere from a few weeks to several months or as we see here, you know, years they get done. So it really depends on the level of concern about a specific project, other friction issues. We may have to even use condemnation or some form of eminent domain. Ideally we don't have to walk down that road that far. But yeah, it can take months or years depending on the specifics of the project.

- Q. Have you been involved in the condemnation process in past projects?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And in your experience, how long does it take if you need to condemn and go all the way through, what has your experience been in the length it takes to get there?
- A. What would you define as the starting point?
 - Q. Well, when you file the lawsuit.
- A. So typically, as you probably are very well aware, there's an ombudsman in the state that is set aside to make sure that in the events of these types of proceedings the parties are treated fairly.

So if we're starting at that point, the assumption would have been that we would have already contacted these landowners, provided them information about their rights under that act, and we'd reach the point where we couldn't resolve it outside of the condemnation procedure.

Typically it's a matter of months before we could get a granting of occupancy. The case may continue for much time after that, even potentially you know months or years. However access to the property itself to be able to construct the needed facilities is usually only months out, rather than years.

- Q. So is it fair to say normal process would be anywhere from maybe six to eight months to get to a point if you've gone through with the ombudsman and filed the lawsuit and finally got an order where you can possess the property; is that kind of a fair guesstimate of how long that would take?
- A. My experience has been it takes less time than that. It's more in the two to three-month range. And you know, I think one of the critical points here is that we have to know what it is that we're going to build. We have to know specifically what and where so that we can go and acquire those

easements.

- Q. If this board chooses to impose a commencement date on the Midway City, just the Midway City portion of the line into the spring of 2021, what impact would it have on the rest of the project?
- A. It would not be possible to tie the 138 kV line between Midway substation and Jordanelle substation as I outlined in my direct testimony, which would deprive the project of the needed reliability component.
- Q. So during the time that you're building this line, the line is not going to be energized until it's complete, correct?
- A. Until the entire -- until the entire line is connected between Jordanelle substation and Midway substation.
- Q. Uh-huh (affirmative). So that means that the portion of the line could be constructed in theory in 2020 and the remaining portion in 2021, correct?
- A. Yes. A portion of the line could be constructed at a later date, but you wouldn't derive the benefit of the portion that you started to construct. It needs to all be done, but...
 - Q. So I guess the question is if that were

Public Hearing April 20, 2020 1 the scenario, none of Rocky Mountain Power's clients 2 would be without power if this were to occur where we 3 had to push it over into 2021, assuming that none of the other 138 kV lines go out, correct? 4 5 Α. Could you restate the question? I don't know that I'm following you. 6 Sure, sure. So if -- how do I state this 7 Q. 8 better? While you're building this line, whether 9 10 it's energized by the end of 2020 or energized at 11 some point in 2021, right now your clients are not 12 relying on this line, correct? 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Α. Correct. It's not in service yet.
 - Right. So if it takes a little bit longer 0. to build the line, none of your clients are going to be without power, correct?
 - I don't know. I testified to this at the Α. CUP hearings as well. You know, I'm not the transmission planner. Although, you know, in my role as project manager I work with all of the various engineers and all of the various disciplines on the projects, and I'm familiar with the purpose and need. You know, if there is specific events on the system, it could deprive individuals, groups, even all of Heber Light & Power loads from power depending on the

1 severity of the event. 2 0. Right. 3 Α. It may or may not. 4 Right. So assuming that none of the 138 0. kV lines go out, there would be no negative 5 consequences to the system if it took a little bit 6 longer to build this, correct? 7 The negative -- I guess assuming in that 8 Α. extremely narrow, you know, criteria that you 9 outlined, that's true. 10 11 However, delaying the project does -- does 12 deprive all of the other adjacent substations of the 13 reliability in case there were an outage. And a 14 really weird way to ask is -- it's boxing it in 15 extremely tight. 16 I'm just trying to establish that this 0. 17 line if it takes a little longer to build, your 18 clients are not going to be without power, correct? 19 That's all I'm asking. 20 Α. You're asking a question regarding load, and the system isn't operated as a load-only concern. 21 22 There are reliability components with that. 23 Especially on 138 kV lines that are, you know,

regional transmission in nature, you can't have that

much load hanging out on the, well, you know we'll

24

hope there's no outages.

- Q. But that's what you're doing currently, right?
- A. Your question is if everything is perfect, will it still be able to serve all the load? My understanding is yes. However, that's not the criteria that the area planners and transmission planners and system operators have to operate in. That is a false condition for a question.
- Q. Well, I disagree. But I think your answer is, no, you won't have anybody without power if this gets pushed a little longer, correct?
- A. Provided that there are no system interruptions on any of the 138 kV lines feeding the Park City region, that is my understanding.
- Q. So if you were to start this project tomorrow and let's say it goes above ground, how long does it take to finish it?
- A. As we had discussed earlier in this cross, the two things that are hardest to pin down are easement acquisition and conditional use permits from a schedule perspective. We would -- we would target having it done by springtime next year, 2021. That would likely be the outcome based off of getting an answer right now.

1	Q. Okay. So given that that's the best case
2	scenario, is there a scenario where Midway City could
3	seek to pass a bond in November and have the money
4	for the excess costs in February and not interfere
5	with Rocky Mountain Power's critical path on the
6	project?
7	A. So in that scenario what activities would
8	we be able to do between now and Midway City
9	proffering those funds?
10	Q. So it's my understanding that you've
11	already got conditional use permits granted through
12	Wasatch County and Heber for approximately 6.3, I
13	believe, miles of line, correct?
14	A. That we already have conditional use
15	permits? Yes, we already have that.
16	Q. Yes. So what I'm saying is: Is there any
17	reason why you couldn't install that line now and
18	install the Midway portion in the spring of 2021?
19	A. Again, what activities in Midway do you
20	expect that we would be able to do between now and
21	November?
22	Q. No, I'm asking the questions. So I just
23	need you to answer my question.
24	A. Well, I need to understand the extent of
25	what the question is. I'm not trying to

1	Q. Okay. Let me explain it again. Let me
2	explain it again. You have a granted conditional use
3	permit to put this portions of this transmission
4	line above ground in Wasatch County and in Heber
5	City, correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And the only thing that's stopping you
8	from proceeding or commencing in those jurisdictions
9	is you still need to get the easements, correct?
10	A. We are still working on easements, but
11	we're doing design. We're ordering materials. We're
12	ordering conductors; we're ordering steel poles.
13	Q. And how long do you think it's going to
14	take you to get all of your ordering and materials
15	here so that you could actually start installing the
16	line?
17	A. At least fall time this year end. It will
18	be fall time frame this year.
19	Q. Okay. So we're now pushing it to the
20	fall. And then once those get here, how long will it
21	take you let's assume everything now is here
22	how long will it take you to build just the Wasatch
23	County and the Heber City portion of the line?
24	A. It will be a couple of months. It will
25	depend a little bit on what Heber Light & Power

system outage constraints are to some extent. But it
won't take more than a couple of months to complete
that work.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Is it a realistic expectation that you would be done with that portion of the line before spring of 2021?
- It would be -- in this scenario Α. that you've outlined, it would be designed. It would be -- all but the long wind material would be acquired, and it would be complete by that time And that's why I asked the question. frame. asked what would happen if we don't tell you to move ahead and provide funds to move ahead until November. The problem is that the line will be done everywhere else, and then we'll be an entire year, approximately, from November before we'll be able to tie it through. We can't even start on these same activities we're working on everywhere else. produce a --
- Q. So let me ask that question. If Midway
 City passes a bond, it will be in November of this
 coming year. And so you would know by November from
 a design standpoint what you would need to do to move
 forward. How long it will take you to do the design
 work and to order the necessary infrastructure and

get that here so you can install the line underground?

- A. It will be another year from that date.
- Q. Why would it be another year?
- A. In order to -- I'll just take steel poles for example. And this is something I also explained at the conditional use permit hearing.

Once you know exactly what it is that you're building, the transmission engineer will take exactly what it is from a spatial perspective and create the free body diagram of pole loads on a pole. They send those out to specific manufacturers, and there's a bid process. That takes a couple of months to get done.

And then after that's done, bids are sent back. Those bids are reviewed, validated that they meet the standards. And then, you know, a qualifying bidder is chosen, and then it goes into fabrication.

Fabrication, depending on what's going on, I've seen anywhere from on the low end of 20 weeks once an order is placed to have steel poles there to as long as -- I think 46 weeks is the longest I've seen. So, you know, from start to finish you're somewhere in the eight, nine, ten months from when you say go to the point when I can have a steel pole

there.

So just going back specifically to, you know, why does it take that long? Well, if I don't have funds and don't know this is exactly what it is we're doing, we can't start that design. So I can't go out and get the specific bids for those dead-end poles. The same thing happens with the other parts and pieces of the work.

- Q. And that was the next question I had. Is there any difference between -- I mean in the underground scenario we're only doing four poles versus many more in the above ground. Does that cut your time when you're only ordering that many?
- A. Is your question does it take less time for underground because there's fewer steel poles?

 Is that --
- Q. Yeah. My question is if you go above ground, you've got it to do, from my understanding, more engineering. You've got more steel poles, more soil analysis, that kind of stuff. My question is will the time be reduced --
 - A. No.
 - Q. -- because you only have to do four poles?
- A. I wouldn't think it would be materially reduced. If you go the underground option, you would

spend -- the conductor will have to be manufactured 1 2 and sent to the site. You have to open up the road. 3 You have to build it. You're going to be a similar 4 time frame. While the geotechnical studies that are going to have to be done, you know, they differ 5 slightly, but either scenario requires those studies. 6 I don't know that it's accurate to say that there's 7 less engineering required. There may be less steel 8 9 poles specifically. But you know you still have all 10 of your standard design tasks; you're just designing 11 something else.

Q. Okay. Is there any reason why construction cannot start on the north end and come Midway City's direction so that Midway City's portion can be installed last?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, I'll answer that in two parts. One we've already -- that is currently the plan. We are moving forward acquiring easements; we're moving forward ordering steel poles, finalizing our engineering for that. And if we do not have a design by the time -- for Midway specifically by the time we have a clear and actionable direction on what it is exactly we're doing here, we will go out to bid.

However, one negative with that is that we're going to be yoked, saddled with a load and

deload costs for a contractor if they have to, you know, show up and complete something and then the design finishes for some other section we've got to get them back there to the site to finish up.

- Q. And what do you assume, I mean in your experience, the remobilization cost would be if they had to come back in the Spring of 2021 and finish it up?
- A. It depends on the contractor that wins the job. Some of them are closer than others. It can range anywhere from the low tens of thousands to even \$100,000. I would expect, you know, something towards the lower end to that, lower to mid range of that in a scenario like this.
- Q. Okay. How much does weather affect the critical path?
- A. For construction specifically, for construction activities specifically?
- Q. Yes. Yeah, I guess my question -- let me be more specific. What weather conditions would stop construction on a transmission line?
- A. We're able to -- in the event of a specific, you know, incident, we're typically able to respond to restore power at any time of year.

 However, typically we would try to construct, you

know, not at the top of a mountain range, for
example, in the middle of winter, but we can. It
really depends on other externalities like what's the
driver behind the project, how critical is the need?
Are people without power? All of those things go
into it. So there are means and methods and
techniques to construct any time of year.

You know this project is predominately on a valley floor. I would expect we'd be able to construct really at any time. You're going to have to deal with some frozen ground issues and some other things like that, which would not be necessarily preferred.

The other thing that is considered along -- along construction windows that is not necessarily dealing with frozen ground is system operation. And if it is a summer peaking or winter peaking area or, you know, there's other drivers on the system, you may or may not want to be doing and taking lines out to do specific portions of work.

So there's -- it's a -- I'm not going to give you just like this is the acid test for every scenario.

Q. Yeah, I know. But that's helpful to just kind of understand.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is it common, I mean -- I'm guessing you normally try to plan your project so that you're not building through the winter; is that correct?

Α. Yeah. We would normally try -- yeah. And so we would identify these sorts of constraint at the beginning of project planning, and then we would plan around those as efficiently as we could. You know if there's other external things that would influence it and we still have to have it done by a specific time, we might make adjustments.

But, yes, typically it's going to cost a little bit more to construct in the winter. we would avoid constructing in the winter. It's also a peak load season. You know, even if it's not the control, it's usually a higher load time depending on the area, so you would typically avoid doing those types of activities during peaks in the system of operations.

- In the scenario that I've asked you 0. Okay. about before where you begin construction right now and start moving it forward, would the plan include having to continue through the winter to get it finished?
- Α. Yeah, I think we would have to be able to identify exactly when we could start. We'd have to

identify what the constraints are that Heber Light & Power system operations is going to be dealing with and then we'd map that out. Depending on their loading, there very likely could be times when we couldn't have a certain section of the line out. But we might be able to plan around constructing other facilities at the same time. It just depends on when we can get everything here and ready to start.

- Q. Okay. So assuming -- I mean it sounds to me like -- it sounds like probably December, January, February would probably be the worst months that you would be trying to install a transmission line, correct?
- A. It can be. You know in the north -- you know, if we're talking specifically about this project, we might target to do specific tasks during those months as well. They in the North Fields area -- we're not even talking about this permit at this point. But say in the North Fields area, say you're crossing a wetland, you can sometimes access the property when the ground is frozen and do less damage. So there may be some targeted activities we would do, but we would typically try to avoid that.
- Q. Okay. Let me look through here. As far as the bidding goes, just a couple of quick

questions. Isn't it true that it's fundamental to get an accurate bid that you need to have the correct length of line that you're laying?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. You're saying for the bidders to verify the line length?
- Q. No. I'm saying isn't -- it seems fundamental that in order to get an accurate bid, the line lengths in the specifications have to be accurate, correct?
- So, yeah, we would typically expect our Α. contractors to verify specific lengths based off of the information provided in the document, the bid document. It would be critical to have accurate information. I'd say that the typical process that I've experienced at Rocky Mountain Power that I've been involved with is you create a specification, bid documents, and they have certain lengths in there, and then the bidders verify the lengths. And it is a fixed fee, a fixed cost bid that is given. It's not really an estimate.

I would say it's probably, you know, from how work is typically done with Rocky Mountain Power anyway, it's probably more important that an estimate have an accurate length than the bid. The bidders are provided the route. The bidders are provided

1	where things start and stop, and they're expected to
2	do their own take-off. In fact typically and I'm
3	not familiar with this particular bid event and that
4	level of detail but typically there's even a
5	statement in the bid documents that says something to
6	that effect.
7	Q. Okay. Were you involved in creating any
8	of the specifications for the bids to go underground?
9	A. I was very high level involved with some
10	of the discussions. I was not involved in the
11	day-to-day components of that.
12	Q. Okay.
13	A. I'm generally aware of PacifiCorp's
14	standards. I'm generally aware of what happened on
15	this project as well.
16	Q. Okay. Were you involved in the decision
17	to include in the specifications dual trenches to go
18	underground?
19	A. Yes. I had understood that dual trenches
20	were included as part of Rocky Mountain Power's
21	standard.
22	Q. And do you know if there's any safety
23	standard or reliability standard that that's tied to?
24	A. Yeah. The IEEE puts out NESC, and it's

refreshed every five years. The last version was

published in 2017. And just if I give a little bit of background, you know, the bid document was prepared based on Rocky Mountain Power's standards and standard specifications. And those standards are largely based off of the NESC as well as best practices in the industry, et cetera.

My understanding is -- so RMP's standard has a separate conduit duct bank system. And my understanding is -- so again I am not the person who wrote the standard; the standards department did. This was published years ago before this project was even discussed at Midway. They just applied that standard to it.

But section 32 of the NESC 2017 320-A-1-A "Conduit systems should be subject to the least disturbance practical. Conduit systems extending parallel to other subsurface structures" -- and that's any sort of structure -- "should not be located directly over or under subsurface structures. If this is not practical, the rule on separation as stated in Rule 320(b) should be followed."

It continues later on in that section, the same section. So this is the 320-B-1 general. "The radial separation between a conduit system and other underground structures could be as large as necessary

to permit maintenance of either of the conduit system 1 2 or the underground structures while limiting the 3 likelihood of damage to the other. These separations 4 should be determined by the parties involved." Later on in NESC; this is section 352-E, 5 6 it says, "Supply cables shall not be installed in the same duct bank with communication cables unless all 7 of the cables are operated and maintained by the same 8 9 utility." 10 For that reason, it's my understanding 11 that the standard is written the way that it is. 12 are separate utilities; we need to have separate duct 13 banks. If we do not have a separation between two 14 duct bank systems and something happened where either 15 system needed to be maintained as it says in part B, 16 "radial separation between conduit system and other 17 underground structures should be as large as 18 necessary to permit maintenance of either the conduit 19 system or underground structures while limiting the 20 likelihood of damage to the other." 21 Without that separation, I personally do 22 not see how that would be possible.

- Have you provided any of that information 0. in your direct testimony?
 - Α. No.

23

24

1	Q. Let me just give a couple of thoughts
2	here. So basically just so I understand, you're
3	saying that the NESC standards require separate
4	trenches; is that correct? And is that for a
5	facility or
6	A. That would be my interpretation.
7	Sorry. Go ahead.
8	Q. So that's so let's take one step back.
9	Your interpretation of those standards is that it is
10	required to have separate trenches, correct?
11	A. I don't know how you would meet subpart
12	or the part B-1. How would you maintain one conduit
13	system while without having some sort of radial
14	separation? Yeah, that's my interpretation. I
15	would
16	Q. And
17	A again point to the fact I didn't write
18	RMP's standard. As you've pointed out, I am not an
19	engineer. But the plain language of the code would
20	seem to indicate to me that we need that separation.
21	And that is why it's RMP's standard is that it's what
22	the NESC requires.
23	Q. And have you ever seen scenarios where
24	RMP's standards exceed the standards that are
25	established in the NESC?

- Yeah, I -- or maybe there's different 1 Α. 2 drivers behind it. You know, there's some standards 3 that are influenced by APLIC to protect avian and 4 other migratory birds. So it's part of the Bald and Golden Eagle Act and then part of the Migratory Bird 5 Act compliance. NESC is not necessarily driving 6 that, but the standards are driven based off of 7 So, yeah, I guess NESC wouldn't 8 varying codes. 9 require that. But RMP does follow APLIC, which most 10 utilities -- or many utilities any way do as well. 11 That's part of best practices.
 - Q. Okay. I believe in the first part that you read to me, you stated that the goal of going underground is to have the least disturbance possible, correct?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. No. It said -- and maybe I misunderstood what you just said. What it reads specifically is that "Conduit systems should be subject to the least disturbance practical." So I interpret that language to say if you're going to put a conduit system in, it needs to be designed in such a way to limit disturbance at a later date. So you know that's an additional argument I would say to have a separation. I mean if there even is an argument here. It's RMP's standard, and that's what the NESC has said.

- Q. Okay. So based on -- so your testimony is you've reviewed the NESC standards. You're not an engineer. You have interpreted them. But to your knowledge -- well, first, this isn't necessarily your field of expertise, correct?
- A. I've been in the industry for over a decade. I -- this is -- you know, I'm the project manager over projects from the design end to the construction and then turning it over to the operations end. So I wouldn't say that this is my subject of expertise.
- Q. Does Rocky Mountain Power depend upon you to do the NESC analysis?
- A. As I mentioned earlier, they have a standards department. The standards department reads and interprets the various codes, including the NESC, when creating the standards. Those standards were applied in creating the bid specification.
- Q. Okay. And in your experiences there, you don't know whether these standards are directed at safety, correct?
- A. The NESC -- and if I -- and I don't have that information directly available to me right now. But I believe in the preamble to the NESC that it states that that is the purpose of the NESC is

1 safety. 2 There are other standards that are looked 3 at I alluded to APLIC. It's I guess safety for 4 birds. But it's not necessarily for human safety. There are various standards that the standards group 5 looks at. You know, there's other --6 But I'm asking specifically regarding this 7 0. idea that there has to be dual trenches, based on 8 9 what I'm hearing from you there's nothing that you're 10 aware of that says that this is a safety standard, 11 correct? 12 It is in the -- it is in the NESC, which Α. 13 is the safety standard that we have to follow. So I 14 would say, yes, it is a safety thing. That's why it's in the code. 15 16 MR. GORDON: Fair enough. I don't think 17 I've got any other questions for this witness at this 18 point. 19 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okav. Thank you, 20 Mr. Gordon. I think what we'll do is we'll take a 21 22 break until 2:40, and then we'll return. As I 23 indicated this morning, we do have to finish by 4:00

today. So we'll break until about 2:40, and then we

will move to any cross-examination of Mr. Clegg by

24

1 V.O.L.T. 2 So we'll be in recess for a few minutes. 3 (Break taken from 2:28 to 2:40 p.m.) 4 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: We're back in Utility Facility Review Board Docket 20-035-03. 5 At. 6 this point I think we'll go to Mr. Morris or Ms. Brereton, if you have any cross-examination 7 8 questions for Mr. Cleag. Thank you. This is Mr. 9 MR. MORRIS: 10 Morris. 11 One request I'd like to make before I 12 start my questions, Mr. Clegg was referencing some 13 written material during his testimony that hasn't 14 been provided yet. And I wanted to ask could counsel 15 for Rocky Mountain provide an e-mail out to board and 16 counsel this NESC 1-B language that he was reading 17 from, as well as the Rocky Mountain Power standard 18 that he referred to? 19 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Reich, do you 20 have any objection to that request by Mr. Morris? 21 MR. REICH: Yeah. My one concern, and I 22 can maybe look into this a little bit more, the NESC 23 code, like some codes, you have to actually purchase 24 that. There's some copyright protections. And so I 25 just want to make sure we're not going outside -- I

1 don't have no -- I don't have obviously any concerns 2 sharing it. But I want to make sure we're in 3 compliance with any kind of a licensing agreement or 4 anything that we have on that. CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Morris, is it 5 acceptable to you if we revisit this the first thing 6 in the morning and with the ability to recall Mr. 7 Clegg if necessary? 8 9 It is. MR. MORRIS: I'm just concerned 10 about things being read into the record that none of 11 us have in front of us, so... 12 Okay. But it's CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: 13 acceptable to you if we address this issue at the beginning of the hearing tomorrow again and see if we 14 15 have a resolution? 16 MR. MORRIS: Yes, please. That's fine. 17 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Would you 18 like to go ahead with any questions you have for 19 Mr. Clegg? 20 MR. MORRIS: Yes. Thank you. 21 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. MORRIS: 24 0. Good afternoon, Mr. Clegg. My name is 25 Mark Morris. I represent V.O.L.T. in this

1 proceeding. 2 You were the lead project manager on this 3 project from 2015 to 2019? 4 Α. Yes. 5 0. Why did you cease being the project manager? 6 I had a contract with the utility. 7 Α. contract ran for a specific period of time. 8 9 transitioning away from that contract mechanism, and 10 so I've -- I'm in a transition phase at this point. 11 Okay. So are you currently employed by or 0. 12 contracted with Rocky Mountain for anything? 13 Α. The company I work for -- I've been a 14 consultant working on projects for the utility for the last five or six years. At one point I was a 15 16 full-time employee, and I left for a couple years and 17 then came back as a consultant. The company I work 18 for as a contract with Rocky Mountain Power, and that 19 contract is still active at this point. 20 Okay, thank you. Do you have your direct 0. 21 testimony, your written testimony in front of you? 22 Α. Yes. 23 On page 2, lines 25 and 26, could you go Q. 24 to that for us? 25 Α. The copy I have has every page listed as

1 | 9.

- Q. You know, so did mine. This is the -- this is the second page of testimony.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And so at lines 24, 25, and 26, you say, "Due to various changes in technology standards and best practices, the physical difference between a 46 kV transmission facility and a 138 kV transmission facility built to the current standard is nominal."

Do you see that?

- A. Yep, I did.
- Q. First -- and I'm not an electrical engineer. You described the 46 kV as a transmission facility. Is that -- I've heard these referred to as distribution lines, rather than transmission. Is there any art to this?
- A. In the State of Utah -- in the State of Utah distribution voltages have historically been 4 kV. And then they changed those to a 12 and a half kV nominal voltage. There are, I think, maybe some interpretations to say that some of the higher voltages, you know, 25 kV or something like that would be, but I'm a little bit of a little bit of 4 kV in the State of Utah, and the rest of it is 12 kV as distribution.

1 Once you get into the 46 kV, you're 2 historically then been dealing with regional 3 transmission lines. And just as 4 kV was superceded 4 by 12 kV, 46 kV is being superceded by 138 kV. And a lot of that is driven by the fact that the parts and 5 pieces that you use with the current standards are 6 nominally the same. They're pretty much -- they're 7 very similar. 8 9 And I wanted to ask you about the word 0. 10 nominal. Is that a word that you use in your 11 parlance, or did Rocky Mountain's lawyers suggest 12 that word to you? 13 Α. That was my parlance. 14 Could I ask you to look at V.O.L.T. 0. Okay. 15 Exhibit Number 3? Do you have that in front of you? 16 Α. I'm getting there. Bear with me. 17 I have it in front of me. Okay. You're familiar with Highway 40, 18 19 aren't you --20 Α. Yeah. 21 -- in Heber? Q. 22 Α. I am. 23 And there are two pictures in Exhibit 3. Q. 24 One is -- it looks like it's along Highway 40. 25 you see that?

1 Yes, I do. I'm familiar with that stretch Α. 2 there. 3 Okay. And is there a word that you Q. 4 insiders use to describe the pole that appears in the left-hand picture in that exhibit? 5 Α. That's a dead-end angle pole. 6 A dead-end angle pole. All right. 7 Q. That's what I would call it. 8 Α. Okay. And then there's a picture on the 9 0. 10 right. You're familiar with the route through Midway 11 that --12 Yeah, that looks like it's on Stringtown Α. 13 Road, turning onto 970. 14 MR. MORRIS: Okay. At this point I'd offer Exhibit 3. 15 16 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: If any party objects 17 to that motion, please indicate your objection to me. 18 MR. REICH: Yeah. What's the foundation? 19 Who took these pictures? 20 MR. MORRIS: It doesn't matter --21 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Is that Mr. Reich? 22 MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry. 23 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I just wanted to 24 identify, is that Mr. Reich who asked the question? 25 MR. REICH: Yeah, sorry.

1 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay, thank you. Go 2 ahead, Mr. Morris. 3 MR. MORRIS: Who took them is irrelevant, 4 Mr. Chairman. The witness has confirmed that he's 5 familiar with both scenes depicted in these photographs. 6 We have no objection. 7 MR. REICH: Okay, thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: 9 Any other objection from anyone? 10 The motion is granted. Thank you. 11 MR. MORRIS: Thank you. 12 (BY MR. MORRIS) Mr. Clegg, would you look 0. 13 at these two pictures and tell me if you believe the 14 physical difference between these poles is nominal? 15 Yeah, so the language in my testimony is Α. 16 talking about the design differences between a 46 kV 17 line to today's standard and a 138 kV to the today's The photograph on the right that you have 18 standards. 19 listed as the existing HL&P local transmission line 20 45 feet tall, if constructed today would not meet 21 NESC. And so it's -- you know, it's not something 22 that could be constructed today. 23 So you're not suggesting that the change 0. 24 that Rocky Mountain would create along this line, if 25 it goes overhead, is a nominal change, would you?

Well, what does the pole on the left --1 Α. 2 I'm familiar with the pole. But what does the pole 3 on the left have to do with the pole on the right? 4 0. Well, Mr. Clegg, are you testifying that this pole on the left doesn't figure anywhere into 5 the line proposed to go into Midway? 6 We provided a rendering that included, if 7 Α. I recall correctly, the angle structures that go 8 9 through Midway City. They don't have the two lowest 10 distribution data arms on it, which would have reduced the pole height to some certain extent, and 11 12 it also would have reduced the diameter. 13 Do you remember my question, Mr. Clegg? Q. 14 Α. If the difference between the one on the 15 left is nominal to the one on the right? 16 My question is -- forget these pictures --0. 17 are you testifying that the change between what exists now and what Rocky Mountain proposes to 18 19 install overhead is nominal? Yes. 20 Α. 21 So you don't think going from 45 to 0. 22 100 feet in pole height is any big deal? That's a 23 nominal change? 24 Α. We have to read the lines to the existing

standards to operate at the voltages that -- a 46 kV

line rebuilt to today's standard to 138 kV line, the 1 2 difference is nominal, yeah. 3 0. I'm not sure that answered my question. 4 Are you testifying that going from 45 to 100 feet is nominal, as you define nominal? 5 6 Α. I don't -- I guess I don't know how to answer the question on just what a 45-foot specific 7 structure versus a 100-foot specific structure looks 8 9 A voltage increase could produce something 10 that would be nominal. I suppose there are scenarios where it could not be. 11 12 In the conditional use permit application, 13 we specifically mapped out what the pole heights 14 would be. And if I recall correctly through Midway, 15 the tallest pole was 88 feet. And many of them were 16 closer to 75 feet. 17 0. Let me have you look now at V.O.L.T. 18 Exhibit 12. 19 Α. Okay. 20 Have you seen that document before? 0. 21 Yes, I have. Α. 22 Would you tell the board what it is, 0. 23 please. 24 Α. This document was prepared as part and

submitted to Midway City during the planning

1 commission hearings as part of the conditional use 2 permit process in Midway City. There were three 3 separate versions created: Option A, option B, and 4 option C. Options A and C was for a shorter span overhead and an underground and what the impact would 5 be as far as additional easement width required. 6 What we're looking at is option B, and the 7 pole placement compared to the existing poles as well 8 9 as what the additional easement overhang would be by 10 upsizing the voltage from 46 kV to 138. 11 MR. MORRIS: I'd offer Exhibit V.O.L.T. 12 12. 13 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: If anyone objects to the motion, please indicate your objection. 14 15 I'm not hearing any objection, so the 16 motion is granted. 17 0. (BY MR. MORRIS) Mr. Clegg, at the top of the page it says: For the existing 46 kV line, the 18 19 existing easement is 27 feet from the centerline. 20 Α. I see that. That means the width of the easement is 21 0. 22 54 feet as it exists now? 23 Α. What that means is if you do the math No. 24 after blow out, that you would need 27 feet from the 25 centerline to safely operate and maintain that

transmission line. 1 2 So you're not claiming that Heber Light & 0. 3 Power or Rocky Mountain has any existing easements 4 through Midway that are 54 feet wide, are you, today? 5 Α. I'm not -- can you restate the question? You're not claiming that Heber Light & 6 0. Power or Rocky Mountain Power for that matter have 7 any existing easements along this proposed route 8 9 through Midway where the width of the easement is 10 54 feet. 11 Α. I kind of feel like you're asking me to 12 draw a legal conclusion on this, and I'm probably not 13 the best person to ask that specific question. 14 Well, let me ask it this --0. 15 Rocky Mountain Power --Α. 16 0. -- way --17 Α. Go ahead. 18 So the answer is you don't know? 0. The answer is that I believe -- so you're 19 Α. 20 asking me if I can take a legal position, you know, 21 and I deferred to counsel on those. 22 As we've looked at this project, Heber 23 Light & Power has a prescriptive right, in addition

the common area within that subdivision to the south

of the transmission line has provisions for public

24

utilities.

Q. Well, Mr. Clegg, I'm sorry to interrupt. But right after you told us you're not a lawyer, you're going on to tell us all about easements and common areas that exist. And if you're going to claim you know this stuff, that's fine, then I'll ask you questions about it. But if you don't know and you're going to say you're not a lawyer, I would ask you not to go on and volunteer information that is not responsive to my question.

So are you qualified to tell the board about existing easements and the easements that are necessary to build the line that Rocky Mountain proposes to build?

- A. I am familiar with the transmission route. I am familiar with the discussions that I've had with our counsel regarding our legal position on what the easements are or what easements are there. I am not an attorney. I'm certainly not an easement expert attorney.
 - Q. Okay. And right now --
- A. I am familiar with the route. We did the calculations to make sure that that legal position is --
 - Q. Mr. Clegg, there's no question pending.

1	A. Okay.
2	Q. You're sitting in a room with Rocky
3	Mountain's counsel now, aren't you?
4	A. Yeah.
5	Q. So on this chart, V.O.L.T. Exhibit 12,
6	someone said "the existing 46 kV right-of-way is
7	27 feet from the centerline."
8	Do you see where I'm reading from?
9	A. Yes, yes.
0	Q. Okay. Do you know who's responsible for
.1	that language?
2	A. Yes.
L3	Q. Who?
L4	A. We had our transmission engineer run
L5	calculations for the 46 kV line pursuant to current
-6	standards and what easement width would be required
_7	for that, and we did the same thing for a $138\ \mathrm{kV}$
L8	line, and that's where those two figures came from.
9	Q. Okay. So it's the transmission engineers,
20	is that what you said?
21	A. Yeah, the transmission engineers did the
22	calculation for the width. And then we provided that
23	information to a surveyor and slotted the poles
24	accordingly.
25	Q. So tell me if you know the answer to this

Does Rocky Mountain claim that there are 1 question: 2 existing rights-of-way that are 54 feet long or wide 3 running through Midway today? 4 Α. Yeah. 5 0. You know the answer to that question? Α. 6 Yes. Okay. And what is the answer? 7 Q. 8 Α. The answer is yes. The prescriptive right extends 27 feet from the existing centerline to the 9 10 south today. 11 0. And that's information that you have been 12 providing to other witnesses in this case. 13 example, the appraiser that he should assume there 14 are existing rights-of-way that are 54 feet wide running all the way through Midway, correct? 15 16 MR. REICH: I'm going to object to that 17 question. That assumes facts not in evidence. 18 There's no evidence that Mr. Ben here supplied that 19 information to the appraiser. So I'd ask --20 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Morris, do you 21 want to respond to the question? 22 Well, yes. I think Mr. Clega MR. MORRIS: 23 could have given me that answer, rather than having 24 his attorney suggest it. The question was does he

know if anyone has provided that information to

1 And he can say "I don't know," without appraisers. 2 the attorney telling us all he doesn't know. 3 MR. REICH: That wasn't the question. The 4 question was -- the question was that Mr. Clegg 5 provided that. So you're -- I have no objection to 6 that question. Okay. 7 MR. MORRIS: Mr. Chairman, let me withdraw my 8 9 questions, and I'll ask a new one. 10 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. I'll refrain 11 from ruling on the objection in that case. 12 MR. MORRIS: Thank you. 13 0. (BY MR. MORRIS) Mr. Clegg, do you know whether or not the appraiser Rocky Mountain has hired 14 to give opinions in this case was provided an 15 16 assumption that the right-of-way for the existing 17 lines running through Midway is 54 feet? 18 I don't know. Α. Okay. Have you read Mr. LeFevre's direct 19 0. 20 testimony? 21 I -- I skimmed through the majority of the Α. 22 I don't recall that specifically if I've document. 23 read his testimony in. 24 0. Okay. Did you read the report that he 25 attached to his direct testimony?

- April 20, 2020 1 I can refer to it. Α. 2 No, that's not my question. Have you read 0. 3 it? 4 Α. I don't -- I did -- I did read it. Ι don't recall specifically all of the details on it. 5 Okay. Looking back at V.O.L.T. 6 Exhibit 12, it appears that Rocky Mountain is 7 suggesting the only additional widening of an 8 9 easement that is going to be necessary to install 10 this option B is just adding another 2 feet from the 11 centerline from existing rights-of-way. Do you read 12 that the same way that I do, Mr. Clegg? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Let me have you look at another picture. 0. If you'll look at V.O.L.T. number 6. 15 Let me know 16 when you have that in front of you. 17 Α. I have it in front of me. 18 I'm going to represent to you that Ο. 19 this is a portion of the existing line in Midway and 20 ask if this is at all familiar to you. Have you seen 21 this before? 22 I don't know for sure exactly where I'm Α.
 - looking to be honest. 0. Well, let me ask a hypothetical. If the

23

24

25

power line that you see in this picture is part of

1	the existing Heber Light & Power power line running
2	through Midway, would you agree with me that there is
3	not an existing right-of-way that runs 27 feet on
4	either side of that power line?
5	A. I would not necessarily agree with that
6	statement.
7	Q. Why not?
8	A. Because the existing existence of a
9	power line does not preclude any other facilities
10	being within that easement area. You can have other
11	things there that as long as the other use doesn't
12	preclude the safe operation of the transmission line,
13	they could both be there in the same location.
14	Q. Is it okay with Rocky Mountain for trees
15	to get as close to these lines as the picture
16	depicts?
17	A. Typically they would they would try to
18	do more consistent vegetation management than that.
19	However, I'm not familiar with Heber Light
20	& Power's vegetation management schedule and
21	practices.
22	Q. All right. Let's switch gears here and go
23	back to the historical process that you testified to
24	in your direct.

You've testified that Rocky Mountain and

Public Hearing April 20, 2020 1 Heber Light & Power were working together to reduce 2 impacts on Midway with this line. Do you agree with 3 that? 4 Α. Midway and the rest of the region, yes. 5 0. Okay. And would you agree that the impact on Midway would be less with an underground line than 6 going overhead? 7 Α. I don't -- I can see how there are some 8 people who would probably feel that way. 9 There might 10 be others who feel differently. I don't -- I don't 11

- know that I can say definitively that one is less impactful than another.
- Have you personally spoken to any 0. landowners along the route that you were managing for -- between 2015 and 2019?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I've spoken with a handful of landowners. Α. I don't know that I've had specific easement discussions with anybody in Midway.
- Did any of those landowners tell you that 0. they welcomed these overhead lines and taller poles and wider poles coming into the neighborhoods?
- Occasionally I've had some people who live Α. adjacent to a line say that having a line higher doesn't -- it affects them less than an existing line because they're not looking at the conductors.

Specifically in Midway I don't recall 1 2 having anybody say that to me. And there's -- as you well are aware, there's still a lot of discussion 3 4 about an underground line and a preference for that. If the city of Midway and its 5 0. Okay. citizens collectively were to tell you that the 6 impact on Midway would be reduced consistent with the 7 goals you've described Rocky Mountain and Heber Light 8 & Power is having, you wouldn't argue with that, 9 10 would you? 11 Α. They're welcome to have their opinion, and 12 I don't think that Rocky Mountain Power per the 13 statute has any right to have a concern about whether 14 it's overhead or underground. I don't think that that's been at issue at all in any of this. 15 It's 16 really been getting a clear and decisive decision and who's going to pay. 17 18 And we'll get to that last part of your 19 direct testimony at the end here. Let's talk about earlier on I think you 20 21 told Mr. Gordon that the project design was not yet 22 Is that right? complete. 23 Α. In Midway City specifically, or where are

Q. Well, let's start there.

24

25

you referring?

A. Yeah, so preliminary engineering activities have been completed. That's how we were able to produce the documents that were submitted with the conditional use permit.

Until we have something that's clear and decisive as far as what exactly we're going to be doing and where exactly we're going to be doing that, we can't proceed any further on engineering tasks through that -- through the city.

In other areas of the project, as I have said in my testimony, there's portions of OPGW that are part of this project that have already been installed north of Jordanelle as well as coming up Provo Canyon. And design is moving forward in other parts where we -- of the transmission line where we have permits in hand. And then there's work along Highway 40 that's complete.

- Q. At least for the Midway portion the project design is not complete, correct?
- A. Correct. We need something actionable from a decision perspective to move further along that road.
- Q. And you haven't ordered -- or to your knowledge Rocky Mountain hasn't ordered any materials yet for the Midway portion, has it?

1	A. I'm unaware of any materials ordered for
2	the Midway portion.
3	Q. What do the words radial separation mean
4	to you, Mr. Clegg?
5	A. Can you provide the context?
6	Q. Your testimony about a half hour ago.
7	A. Is this from the NESC?
8	Q. Those are the words I heard you use a few
9	times, "radial separation."
10	A. I would say horizontal separation.
11	Because earlier in that I would say that, you
12	know, it means separation laterally, you know, with
13	some distance apart.
14	Q. Now, why are you inserting the word
15	horizontal and lateral when the section you read from
16	says radial?
17	A. Because other parts of the same code
18	indicate that they can't be above or below.
19	Q. Could you give us those citations so that
20	when I ultimately get this I can look at that myself?
21	A. Yeah. That's the first part that I read.
22	It's 320-A-1-A. And it says "Conduit systems should
23	be subject to the least disturbance as practical.
24	Conduit systems extending parallel to other
25	subsurface structures should not be located directly

over or under other subsurface structures. It is not practical. The rule of separation as stated in 320-B should be followed."

Then 320-B says "Radial separation between a conduit system and other underground structures should be as large as necessary to permit maintenance of either the conduit system or the underground structures while limiting the likelihood of damage to the other. These separations should be determined by the parties involved."

- Q. Okay. So those separations have not been determined by the parties involved yet, have they?
- A. I don't know that I can answer that. I wasn't involved with those discussions.
- Q. And in your mind is there a difference between digging a trench that's 2 feet wide and digging two trenches that are 1 feet wide and spaced a bit apart?
- A. Yeah, I think that there would be. I don't necessarily believe that that's what you would do in this case. I think you would open one trench, and then you would provide a separation between duct banks that you formed in that one larger trench.
- Q. So right now Rocky Mountain doesn't know how far apart the two trenches that it wants the

contractors to dig would be?

- A. Are you asking me that? I don't know the answer to that question.
 - Q. Yes, I am asking. And you don't know?
- A. I don't know the answer to that question, no.
- Q. Okay. And is it your testimony that you can't dig one trench and just put one conduit on one side and one conduit on the other and achieve a lateral separation that the parties could agree on?
- A. I think that you'd have to determine a specific distance based off of the depth of those facilities and how they interface with other underground obstacles, say water lines or sewer lines, et cetera. You have to consider all of those things. Depending upon the circumstances, you may or may not be able to open up one large trench and then form two duct bank systems on either side of the trench with some separation between the two duct banks.
- Q. By the same token you don't know how hard or how difficult it would be to dig two different trenches going through the same ground for the same reasons, right?
 - A. Yeah. You would have some of the -- I

think you'd have much of the same issues, and you
might have some additional issues as well. But
somewhat similar issues.

- Q. I mean in your experience it might even be harder and more expensive to go with two trenches rather than one that's just a little wider?
- A. It really just depends on what underground structures there are. I mean I suppose there's scenarios where it could. There's scenarios where it could be more difficult. It really just is are you talking about an additional roadway that you're going to have to repair now. Are you talking about maybe you can miss some underground structures by separating them. I think it really just depends on the specific circumstances.
- Q. Okay. I think that's fair. You said you were involved in the bidding or soliciting bids for the underground portion at a high level. Is that -- did I recall that right?
- A. At a relatively high level. I generally am aware of activities that were going on. I wasn't involved in the details of it, no.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if either of the bid -any of the bidders, any of the 18 purported people
 that were asked to bid were invited to make a

1 recommendation as to whether they would go with one 2 or two trenches? 3 Α. I can't speak to that. I don't know. 4 Okay. So on the real page 9 of your 0. direct testimony -- I know they're all marked 9 --5 but this is the real one, the ninth page. 6 7 Α. Okay. Q. 8 Are you there? 9 Does it say Summary of Testimony? Α. 10 0. Yes. 11 Α. Okay, yes. 12 Right at the bottom lines 30 and 31 you 0. 13 say "Before the project can move forward, Rocky 14 Mountain Power needs a clear decision and upfront 15 payment for any excess costs." 16 Do you see that? 17 Α. I do. 18 How do you calculate excess costs? 0. 19 The standard cost, the difference between Α. 20 what -- I mean, I'm not using the legal language of 21 that. The difference between what a jurisdictional 22 entity requests us to do or conditions us to do and 23 whatever our standard cost is. 24 0. And the standard cost against which you 25 want Midway to weigh the excess costs included an

estimate of around 25 to \$27,000 to obtain easements and rights of way. Do you recall that?

A. Yeah. I believe through the permitting process one was provided and then it was updated. We provided one initially based off of RMP's internal estimates based off of three different groupings of property uses, and it produced ranges as you've described.

And then later we had an appraiser do a similar task. It wasn't -- and we applied the same square footage impact for new easements, and it varied a little bit. But it was within \$5,000. So it was like you say, in the 28 to \$30,000 range.

Q. And can you tell the board whether standard cost as you've described it should or should not include the money Rocky Mountain is going to have to spend to pay landowners for additional wider easements and severance damages?

MR. REICH: This is Bret Reich; I object to the question. It calls for a legal conclusion and an interpretation of the statute. The definition of excess costs is defined in the statute, and I think it's calling for a legal conclusion.

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Morris, do you want to respond to the objection?

1 Your Honor, this witness used MR. MORRIS: 2 the word "excess costs" in his testimony, and he's 3 told us he understands what standard costs are. 4 just asking him if that's consistent with his understanding to include easement severance damages 5 rights-of-way with standard costs. 6 And his testimony is that the 7 MR. REICH: excess costs should be paid. It's not that what 8 9 those excess costs do and don't include. 10 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Morris, if you 11 could give me a little more guidance on did he open 12 the door on defining excess costs or referring to 13 excess costs? 14 MR. MORRIS: Well, the summary of his 15 testimony was this is what Rocky Mountain Power 16 needs. They need a clear decision, and they want 17 upfront payment for excess costs. And I'm asking him 18 if he knows how Midway is supposed to calculate 19 excess costs. 20 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I'm going to 21 overrule the objection on the basis that I think 22 Mr. Clegg has probably discussed the issue enough to 23 open the door to it. With the recognition this is 24 ultimately a decision for the board to make. So one

witness's opinion of it has limited value to the

1 board. But I think I'm not going to grant the 2 objection at this time. I'll allow Mr. Clegg to 3 answer the question. MR. MORRIS: I'll ask it again just for 4 5 clarity. 6 (BY MR. MORRIS) Standard costs include 0. right-of-way and easement costs, don't they? 7 That's my understanding. 8 Α. And today Rocky Mountain doesn't know what 9 0. 10 its standard cost is, does it? 11 Α. We have estimates of what the standard 12 costs would be to construct an overhead line and 13 acquire those easements. 14 Mr. Clegg, were you with Rocky Mountain 0. 15 Power in 2014? Α. 16 I was consulting with them at some point 17 in 2014. 18 Did you have any involvement in the 19 project out in Tooele County involving SITLA? 20 MR. REICH: I object to the extent this is 21 irrelevant to the proceeding before us. 22 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Morris, do you 23 want to respond to the objection? 24 MR. MORRIS: You know, if he says no to 25 that question, I'll agree we can move on. But if he

1 says yes, I'm happy to lay more foundation. 2 MR. REICH: What relevance does the Tooele 3 project have to do with the Midway City project? The Tooele project is an 4 MR. MORRIS: example of where Rocky Mountain Power has come in 5 6 with an extremely unrealistic lowball estimate as to what the standard cost is going to be in building a 7 transmission line that turned out to be just wildly 8 9 inadequate. And the relevance is Rocky Mountain is 10 engaged in the same process here of suggesting Midway 11 is going to have to write a check for multi millions 12 of dollars because its standard cost is at "X," and 13 the evidence that we're putting on here shows it's X 14 times 3,000, or 35 rather, a 3,500 percent 15 difference. But that's the relevance, Mr. Chairman. 16 And then I'm also going to MR. REICH: object on foundation. I mean there's no testimony or 17 18 evidence that the same process was used to estimate 19 the right-of-way in the Tooele project as was used in 20 this project. 21 And I'm not offering it for MR. MORRIS: 22 the same process being used. It's just a fact in 23 both cases that an easement number has come in 24 that -- in both cases. And one, the Tooele example demonstrates Rocky Mountain's -- you know, whether 25

1 it's a process, whether it's a tactic, whether it's a 2 strategy or just a bad habit. They suggest that 3 easement costs at the beginning are really, really low, and that's not the case. And they're using it 4 here to suggest that Midway has a greater economic 5 burden than it should bear.

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Mr. Morris, I'm going to overrule the objection with relevance And with respect to foundation I'm going to grounds. give you a few questions to see if you can establish the necessary foundation with this witness.

> MR. MORRIS: Thank you.

- 0. (BY MR. MORRIS) Mr. Clegg, did you have any involvement in the SITLA -- or the transmission line that went through Tooele County on SITLA property back in the 2012, '13, '14 time frame?
 - Α. I did not.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's move on. 0. Okav.

You've testified in answering some of Mr. Gordon's questions about the length of time that it takes in order to go through the process of getting -- using the ombudsman and hopefully negotiating and hopefully avoiding having to file a condemnation action. And you thought that the process in your experience on a good day goes two to

three days? Do you remember that?

- A. You're saying specifically on a condemnation proceeding or specifically on what component of that? Design?
- Q. No. Let's limit it to obtaining easements from landowners.
- A. It's all project specific. We have obtained them in as little as weeks, and it's also taken much much longer than that.
- Q. Anywhere along the way here have you gained an understanding that if this line goes underground you won't need to negotiate with any landowners, that they'll provide easements allowing for underground lines without charging money?
- A. There's been statements made at public hearings that some people have a desire to donate whatever easements that would be needed for an underground line. I'm not aware of anything, I guess, of substance saying, you know, this is exactly how many or this is all or even that we have any of them to say, you know, here it is. I'm not aware of that. But I understand that there's some sentiment that there are some people who would quote/unquote donate an easement for an underground line.
 - Q. The answer is, yes, you have heard

something to that effect?

- A. I heard "all" in your question, and I didn't hear that all costs ever would be avoided by that. But I heard some people say that they had some desire to do that.
- Q. Fair enough. It would speed the process up for Rocky Mountain tremendously if it did not have to haggle and fight and eventually go to condemnation to obtain easements and rights-of-way, wouldn't it?
- A. You're saying if someone just handed us a bunch of easements and we could move forward without preparing having to go get them?
 - Q. Precisely.
 - A. Yeah, that could save time.
- Q. I'm just checking off some things on my notes here. Bear with me for a minute.

This is sort of out of order, but I recall you testifying in response to Mr. Gordon's questions that one of the goals here is to avoid service interruptions if one of the three lines coming into Heber Valley goes down. Do you recall that?

- A. Yes, generally.
- Q. Would you agree with me that in the last five years, even though there have been occasions when one of the lines has gone down, there have been

no service interruptions to customers that you're aware of?

- A. I'm not in a position to say whether that statement is or is not true. I'd have to go and ask people to produce information on that.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. I can't speak to that.
- Q. Fair enough. So when you were testifying earlier that you were trying to avoid service interruptions if one of the lines goes down, you don't know if service interruptions would really occur if one of the lines were to go down?
- A. No, I -- my statements during the public hearings as well as here has been informed on various discussions with area engineers and planners. The specific question that you asked was: Are you aware of anything in the last five years if anybody specifically was dropped off. I don't know that. That's way too specific.

The question that I answered earlier was, you know, what's the purpose of this project? What's one of the main benefits? And one of the main benefits is there's exposure to this system at certain times that if -- you know, if we have an outage under certain conditions, there would be major

1 consequences to that. And T --2 And --0. 3 Α. Go ahead. 4 Well, even if you build this big line that 0. is the subject of this, I mean there are still 5 circumstances that could occur that would interrupt 6 service to end-users, right? 7 I suppose that there are issues in the 8 Α. Park City load area that, you know, that could happen 9 or that could result in outages. 10 11 However, it's my understanding as well 12 that from a 138 kV transmission perspective we'll get 13 back to being able to survive losing one of those 14 lines and still being able to carry the load from an 15 area transmission perspective. 16 But again, you know, there may be other 17 circumstances or maybe you'd lose two lines and then 18 I think you've got other people something happens. 19 you can ask those questions to besides me from a 20 system perspective. Now, Mr. Chairman, I want to 21 MR. MORRIS: 22 turn now to Mr. Clegg's rebuttal testimony. 23 Although Mr. Webber, whom he rebuts, 24 hasn't yet testified. I'm happy to do whatever the board prefers to do. I could -- I could tackle his

rebuttal to Mr. Webber now, or if he's available it 1 2 might make more sense to tackle it after Mr. Webber 3 has testified. But I'm happy to proceed either way. 4 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I think it's more efficient to proceed while we have this witness on 5 6 the stand. If there's a need to recall witnesses as we go forward, we can discuss those. And I think 7 that's an issue we can go forward with. But I think 8 it's probably more efficient to go ahead with the 9 10 questioning at this point. 11 MR. MORRIS: Okay. I'm happy to do that. 12 (BY MR. MORRIS) So, Mr. Clegg, do you 0. 13 understand who Mr. Jerry Webber is? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Who do you understand him to be? 0. 16 I understand he produced certain Α. 17 appraisals for various personnel that I quess V.O.L.T. represents and compiled some of that 18 information and then presented his testimony. 19 20 an appraiser in Heber Valley. 21 And you're not an appraiser, are you, Q. 22 Mr. Clegg? 23 Α. I am not. 24 0. Could you explain to the board what 25 qualifies you to rebut the testimony of an expert

appraiser?

- A. I rebutted specifics within Mr. Webber's testimony that he offered, and there appeared to be some specific errors as well as inclusion of people that are not along the route where I'm familiar with the route and I'm familiar with what areas require overhang easements. I was in a position to clarify those errors.
- Q. Now, you've made a point of telling us that you're not a lawyer. But are you now saying you are qualified to opine to this board what areas need easements and which do not?

MR. REICH: Objection, that was not his testimony. That misstates his testimony.

MR. MORRIS: Then I'm sorry I got it wrong.

MR. MORRIS:

MR. REICH: His testimony was that he was aware of the location of the route and how it -- whether or not it touched or impacted the properties.

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Yeah, I'm going to sustain that objection. But if you want to rephrase the question, you may do so.

I thought he used the word --

Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Okay. So I asked you what qualified you to rebut the testimony of an

And for example on page 1 of your 1 expert appraiser. 2 rebuttal testimony, lines 13 to 16, you felt 3 compelled to bring up the fact that the same property 4 was mentioned twice or described twice. Do you see that, parcels 10 and 82? 5 6 Α. Yeah. Did you read all of Mr. Webber's 7 Q. 8 testimony? 9 I thought I did. Α. 10 Did you see on page 5 of his testimony 0. 11 that he noted the same thing that you did? 12 I did not. Α. 13 Well, do you have his direct testimony in Q. 14 front of you? 15 Α. I can -- I can get to it. His calculations did not include both of --16 17 Q. Mr. Clegg, we're not talking about 18 calculations yet. I'm just asking you to go to page 19 5. 20 Α. I am at page 5. 21 Go to lines 98 and 99. Q. 22 Α. I see that. 23 Do you see where Mr. Webber made the same Q. 24 observation that you did, that two of the parcels 25 were the same one?

1	A. I see that.				
2	Q. Okay. So you missed that when you went				
3	through his direct testimony the first time?				
4	A. It appears that I did.				
5	Q. On page 1 of your rebuttal testimony so				
6	we're back on your rebuttal now at line 20. Well,				
7	let's see, I think we've already covered that. Hold				
8	on.				
9	Have you read the direct testimony of				
10	Ron Lowrey?				
11	A. I don't recall reading that one.				
12	MR. REICH: Mr. Morris, I'm not that sure				
13	we have seen the filing of Mr. Lowrey. Has that been				
14	filed in this proceeding?				
15	MR. MORRIS: I thought Mr. Lowrey's				
16	testimony was filed along with Bangt Jonsson's. I				
17	sure hope it was.				
18	MR. REICH: You're correct. You're				
19	correct. We did find it. Thank you.				
20	Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) So Mr. Clegg				
21	A. I don't recall reading that, no.				
22	Q. All right. I might be done. Let me check				
23	one thing here.				
24	Getting back to the last thing that you				
25	said in your direct testimony, that you want a clear				

1	decision and you want payment of upfront money. You				
2	understand that the upfront money would not be due to				
3	be paid until after your project designs and material				
4	orders were complete? You understand that?				
5	MR. REICH: I'm going to object to the				
6	extent that calls for a legal conclusion. I think				
7	the timing of when payment is made is contained in				
8	the statute, and I think it actually contradicts what				
9	you just said. And I think that calls for a legal				
10	conclusion.				
11	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Morris, can you				
12	respond to the objection?				
13	MR. MORRIS: Yeah, I'm happy to withdraw				
14	the question and ask another one.				
15	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Why don't you				
16	go ahead that way.				
17	Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Do you have an				
18	understanding today as to when this upfront payment				
19	that you said Rocky Mountain so desperately wants				
20	would be due?				
21	MR. REICH: Objection. His testimony did				
22	not say that Rocky Mountain Power so desperately				
23	wants the excess upfront payment.				
24	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Morris, could				
25	you rephrase a little bit more?				

1 MR. MORRIS: Yes. 2 (BY MR. MORRIS) Do you know, Mr. Clegg, 0. 3 when the upfront payment that you say in your 4 testimony is what Rocky Mountain wants in addition to 5 a clear decision, when that payment would have to be made? 6 MR. REICH: Again objection. Calls for a 7 legal conclusion and interpretation of the statute. 8 9 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I think I'm going to 10 have to sustain that objection. That is a legal 11 issue that's outlined in statute. 12 MR. MORRIS: All right. 13 0. (BY MR. MORRIS) The last question then is 14 it's a fact that as of today, for this portion of the line the project design is not complete and the 15 16 materials have not been ordered, correct? 17 Α. For the Midway portion of the project, that's true. As I outlined earlier in my -- or in 18 19 this cross, that other portions are complete or have 20 started. 21 MR. MORRIS: I think that's all the 22 questions I have. Thank you, Mr. Clegg. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Morris. 25

1	Mr. Reich, let me ask you this question.				
2	We go to you for redirect next, but know that we do				
3	have to finish by 4:00 at the latest. We have one				
4	board member with a hard commitment where we have to				
5	close by 4:00. So do you want to do some redirect				
6	now, or would you rather us simply adjourn and begin				
7	with this first thing in the morning?				
8	MR. REICH: I think I can get it done in				
9	the time we have left.				
10	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Why don't we				
11	go ahead with the redirect then.				
12	MR. REICH: Okay.				
13					
14	REDIRECT EXAMINATION				
15	BY MR. REICH:				
16	Q. First let me get back to your rebuttal				
17	testimony you were just asked about, Mr. Clegg.				
18	Was your rebuttal testimony on page 1 with				
19	respect to the calculations that were made by				
20	Mr. Webber?				
21	A. Yeah. Specifically I was referring to				
22	Mr. Webber having not removed or having included both				
23	10 and 82 in the calculations that was attached				
24	specific there.				
25	Q. And then also you were asked earlier about				

the project schedule by Midway City, and I think they asked you what the impact would be or why not delay this until November of 2020 when they could get a bond -- vote on a bond and then have that bond funded in February of 2021. What are your concerns, if any, of proceeding with that type of schedule?

- A. It would put us in the same situation that we're in right now for the 2020, 2021 load season. If we couldn't start on the design and material acquisition until that point, we would -- we would not be able to complete the project by winter load 2021, and it would very likely roll into the next spring.
- Q. And do you understand when the peak period is in this area in the Park City --
- A. Yeah, my understanding is the -- and I just alluded to that.

My understanding is the peak load is in the winter. They have a summer peak as well. But regionally it peaks in the winter, and that's when the most exposure to the system occurs which is exactly why waiting until springtime next year to be able to start on these other activities would put the system at risk for yet another winter.

Q. Okay. Let me shift gears now to --

there's a couple of statements in Midway City's trial memorandum that I wanted to ask you about.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With respect to the bids, Midway City takes the position that the bids are so outrageously high and so far apart when bidding the same work that it destroys all credibility. Tell me a little bit about your background with respect to these type of construction projects. How many years have you been doing this?

- Α. I've been doing projects for 16 years. I've been doing utility projects for the last 11 years, specifically for electric utilities. In that scope I take a project and I'm involved with a project from the concept from when there's a -- you know of the purpose and need is determined and it goes out and it receives some level of funding and involved with siting discussions as well as any permitting both state and legal, so any federal permits, oversee the design, and then go out -- go out to bid and then oversee construction. Once it's done, it's handed over to operations, and then they operate that. So it's really the entire development and construction of these projects.
- Q. In your former experience have you had an opportunity to review bids on these type of

construction projects?

- A. Scores of times, yes.
- Q. And is it standard for you to receive bids that are not the same or they have some disparity?

MR. MORRIS: Mr. Chairman, I need to object for two grounds here. One, he's leading his own witness. And number two, this is beyond anything that's been brought up before, disparities in bids.

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Reich, can you identify this issue was raised in cross-examination of this -- of Mr. Clegg?

MR. REICH: No, it was not raised in cross-examination. But it has been raised by Midway City in their trial brief, so I'm just responding to that.

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I think I'm going to sustain the objection for this witness. I think either with a different witness of Rocky Mountain or in cross-examination of a Midway witness would be a more appropriate place for this question where it hasn't been raised in the cross-examination of this witness yet.

MR. REICH: Okay.

Q. (BY MR. REICH) In a similar part of the Midway City brief they talk about -- Midway City

talks about a 2018 estimate to bury the entire line
of 70 miles for \$32.16 million. During the
conditional use permit application in front of Midway
City, are you aware of a study that was conducted
with respect to the costs of burying the line?

A. Yeah. A study was originally conducted at the request of Wasatch County as part of their permitting process. And the project team for various reasons, one of which was that there was some concern about potentially the county or others questioning the estimate itself, we went to a third-party -- Heber Light & Power did the heavy lifting for that. Lower Valley up in Wyoming had just done a project and had discussions with them.

Jason Norlen reached out to a company out of Colorado, I believe, named NEI, and they produced an underground estimate. It included the entire length of the line through the -- through county, cities, all of it as well as various subsets of that. So various start and finish points if certain portions were elected to go underground. It was -- the nature, and I believe it's mapped out in the executive summary, the nature is really just to estimate costs. And if I actually read from it, "The report focuses on costs but provides a short

description of other considerations. Estimated costs have been provided by various entities and have been compiled to determine the cost per segment based on the segment map provided by Heber Light & Power. The purpose of the study is to provide an estimated cost within 30 percent of the actual value. It's meant to be a cost feasibility analysis. It is not intended to be a ready-for-construction design estimate."

That's from the executive summary.

- Q. And during the bid application process and in the conditional use permit, is it your understanding did Midway City accept this study that was conducted as the estimate of what it would cost to underground the line?
 - A. I --

- MR. MORRIS: Mr. Chairman, again, excuse me. I wish Mr. Reich wouldn't lead his own witness. I object.
 - CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Reich, do you want to respond to the objection based on the leading question?
 - MR. REICH: Sure. I'm just asking him for his understanding of what -- of how Midway City, if they accepted this study in the conditional use permit.

```
1
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:
                                        Yeah, I'm going to
 2
     rule -- I'm going to rule that's not unnecessarily
 3
     inappropriately leading, and I'll allow him to answer
 4
     the question.
                                       My understanding is
 5
                 THE WITNESS:
                                Yeah.
 6
     that they rejected the estimated cost.
                                              That's how we
     ended up going out to bid to get actual bids from
 7
     others because they did not accept the estimated
 8
 9
     costs provided by us at that time.
10
                 MR. REICH:
                             Okay.
                                     I have no further
11
     questions.
12
                 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR:
                                        Thank you,
13
     Mr. Reich.
14
                 I'll go to Mr. Gordon or Mr. Jewkes for
     any recross but reminding you again we have about ten
15
16
     minutes left before we have the hard stop. So if you
17
     don't think you can complete any recross based on the
18
     redirect in that period of time, we probably should
19
     adjourn until tomorrow.
                              So let me know --
20
                 MR. GORDON:
                             We'll be done with that, yes.
21
     Just a couple of follow-up questions here.
22
23
                      RECROSS-EXAMINATION
24
     BY MR. GORDON:
25
           Q.
                 Mr. Clegg, in the executive summary just
```

- 1 right underneath that in the NEI -- in the NEI cost 2 estimate feasibility study, it establishes that the 3 estimate for the Midway line was 6.83 million, 4 correct? I want to make sure Let me look at that. 5 Α. that I consider that entire length. 6 That would be line number 8, correct? 7 0. 1.3 miles? 8 9 Yeah, I'm looking at that. Α. Yeah, I 10 believe it actually exits Midway and heads to the north end of the county for a portion of that. 11 12 it does cross Midway in section 8 as well. 13 Ο. And then down below from Highway 40 to 14 Midway the estimate was 32.16 million, correct? 15 That's what NEI's estimate came to, yes. Α. 16 And the highest bid that came in from the Ο. 17 bidders was 28 million just for section 8, correct? I'd have to go back and refer to that. 18 Α. Ι 19 know one is an estimate and one is a bid. 20 prepared to answer that question specifically. 21 Ο. Just two more questions. So the Okav. 22 NECS standards that you quoted today were not in
 - Q. So just kind of regarding your omniscience

either your direct or rebuttal testimony, correct?

23

24

25

Α.

Correct.

here of kind of understanding what we would ask, what made you bring the code with you today?

A. Just I --

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Sorry. There hasn't been an objection, but I think the question was a little pejorative. If you wouldn't mind restating in a less pejorative way.

MR. GORDON: I apologize. I wasn't trying to be pejorative. So if that was taken that way, I apologize.

- Q. (BY MR. GORDON) I was just surprised by the detail of something that was outside of the scope of what you had originally testified to. So what made you bring the code with you today?
- A. I'd understood there were some questions regarding a common trench or a common -- actually, more specifically a common duct bank system. And so prior to starting this morning, I had some discussions with the transmission engineer at Rocky Mountain Power and found this specific location where that information is called out. It was really just because I'd understood there was some concern regarding a shared duct bank system, and I wanted to be prepared to answer it if it came up.

MR. GORDON: Fair enough. No further

1	questions.		
2	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you.		
3	Mr. Morris, do you have any redirect you		
4	feel you could do now, or would you rather hold it		
5	for first thing in the morning?		
6	MR. MORRIS: I don't have any questions.		
7	I'm just hopeful that this language and code section		
8	can be delivered to us before the morning, if that's		
9	possible.		
10	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay, thank you.		
11	You do have our commitment to address that issue at		
12	the beginning of the hearing tomorrow.		
13	Let me go to then board members. Do you		
14	have any questions that we could address in the next		
15	few minutes, or do any of you have questions that		
16	you'd like to hold and address with this witness in		
17	the morning? If any board members have questions for		
18	the witness, please jump in and let me know what kind		
19	of questions you have.		
20	MR. DAVID CLARK: I'm going to tell you		
21	that I have no questions.		
22	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr.		
23	Clark.		
24	If any other board members have questions		
25	for Mr. Clegg, please indicate that.		

1 I'm not hearing any questions. I do think 2 we probably should have Mr. Clegg available in the 3 morning as we deal with the code issue and whether 4 that can be provided to anyone. So we may need to 5 have him available to answer any brief questions about that. 6 But other than that, Mr. Clegg, thank you 7 8 for your testimony today. 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: And we will be in 11 recess until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow. Our first order of 12 business will be dealing with these codes, and then 13 Rocky Mountain Power has indicated their next witness 14 is Darin Myers. And we have an objection to that 15 witness, so we will need to deal with that right off 16 the bat as well tomorrow morning. 17 So we will reconvene at 9:00 a.m. 18 Thank you. tomorrow. 19 Mr. Chairman, is the dial in MR. MORRIS: 20 information the same tomorrow as it was for today? CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Yeah, it will be the 21 22 same all week. We just -- hopefully not distribute 23 it too widely. The audio file was pretty good today 24 without a lot of extra people on the line. And I was 25 following the streaming, and the streaming seemed to

```
1
     be working well all day as well.
                                         So residents who
 2
     were interested have been able to listen without
     having to burden the dial in number.
 3
                                             So that's a
     long answer to a short question. The short answer is
 4
 5
     yes.
 6
                 MR. MORRIS:
                               Thank you.
 7
                  CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. We're in
 8
              Thank you.
     recess.
 9
                  (Concluded at 3:55 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1			
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE		
3			
4	STATE OF UTAH)		
5) ss. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)		
6	I, Tamra J. Berry, Registered Professional		
7	Reporter in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify:		
8	That on April 20, 2020, the statements by		
9	board members, statements by counsel, and testimony of said witnesses were reported by me in stenotype		
10	and thereafter transcribed, and that a full, true, and correct transcription of said testimony is set		
11	forth in the preceding pages;		
12	I further certify that I am not kin or		
13 14	otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action and that I am not interested in the outcome thereof.		
15			
16	WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 4th day of May, 2020.		
17			
18	4 0 "		
19	James Burns		
20	0		
21	Tamra J. Berry, RPR, CSR		
22			
23			
24			
25			

Index: \$100,000..26th

\$ 125 79:9,24 80:15		120 113:21	
\$2.5 74:22 \$20,000 68:19 \$27,000 74:2 75:7 206:1 \$28 77:10,13 \$30,000 206:13 \$31.6 225:2 \$112:10 125:18 132:14 \$30,000 206:13 \$32.16 225:2 \$112:10 125:18 132:14 \$35,000 206:12 \$35,000 206:12 \$36:41 61:4,23 162:14 \$3600,000 73:11 \$370,000 74:18,22 \$31.6 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$32.16 7:22 \$33.16 7:22 \$33.16 7:22 \$34.17 10:25:18 132:14 \$35.000 206:12 \$35.000 206:12 \$35.000 206:12 \$35.000 206:12 \$35.000 206:12 \$35.000 206:12 \$35.000 206:12 \$36.16 16:34 16:14.23 162:14 \$36.16 16:34 16:14.23 162:14 \$36.16 16:34 16:14.23 162:14 \$36.16 16:34 16:14.23 162:14 \$35.000 206:12 \$35.000 206:12 \$35.000 206:12 \$35.000 206:12 \$36.17 19:10 \$32.16 202:17 11 \$36.18 16:20 57:1 \$36.10 19:31 17 \$36.11 19:31 17 \$36.11 19:31 17 \$36.11 19:31 17 \$36.11 19:31 17 \$36.11 19:31 17 \$36.12 19:31 17 \$36.12 19:31 17 \$36.12 19:31 17 \$36.12 19:31 17 \$36.12 19:31 17 \$36.12 19:31 17 \$36.12 19:31 17 \$36.12 19:31 17 \$36.12 19:31 17 \$36.12 10:31 19 \$36.12 1	\$	125 79:9,24 80:15	2
\$20,000 68:19 \$27,000 74:2 75:7 206:1 \$27,000 74:2 75:7 206:1 \$35 81:4 \$28 77:10,13 \$30,000 206:13 \$32.16 225:2 \$112:10 125:18 132:14 \$5 66:14 \$136:25 156:7 159:6 \$160:4 161:4,23 162:14 \$184:8 185:4 187:17 \$5000,000 73:11 \$189:1 190:10 193:17 \$70,000 74:18,22 \$139 82:1 97:17 \$139 82:1 97:17 \$14 210:16 1 126:19 202:17 217:1 218:5 221:18 1 14 210:16 1 126:19 202:17 217:1 218:5 221:23 100 62:13 64:16 130:8 188:22 189:4 100-foot 65:5 189:8 100:16 51:18 100:16 51:18 110:16 51:18 1116 13:16 1123:12 1116 1891 60:17 1116 1891 60:17 1116 1891 60:17 1116 1891 60:17 1116 1891 60:17 1117 57:21 60:14 131:8 1116 18 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 204:24 113 11:16 114 210:19 1157 120:10 1266:21 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 100 120:9,10 100 120:9,10 100-55-8:22 201 103:21 107:19 166:20 218:6 20-035-03 4:5 52:3 181:5 2011 108:8,17 2012 108:8 210:16 2014 208:15,17 2015 183:3 198:15 2016 103:19 2017 55:11,17,18,19 2017 55:11,17,18,19 2018 55:23 225:1 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2020 10:22 11:2 63:10 65:18 70:7 71:21 108:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 159:4,19 160:3,11 162:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 206:1 259 58:22 266 183:23 184:5	\$100,000 169:12	128 80:21	2 64:13 183:23 196:10
\$27,000 74:2 75:7 206:1 \$28 77:10,13 \$30,000 206:13 \$32.16 225:2 \$112:10 125:18 132:14 \$5 66:14 \$136:25 156:7 159:6 \$160:4 161:4,23 162:14 \$5600,000 73:11 \$600,000 74:18,22 \$139 82:1 97:17 \$14 210:16 1 126:19 202:17 217:1 218:5 221:18 1-B 181:16 10 217:5 221:23 100 62:13 64:16 130:8 188:22 189:4 100-foot 65:5 189:8 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 11:16 11 223:12 113 11:16 11 26:19 10:10 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 100 120:9,10 11 20-035-03 4:5 52:3 181:5 2011 108:8,17 2012 108:8 210:16 2014 208:15,17 2015 183:3 198:15 2016 103:19 2017 55:11,17,18,19 2017 55:11,17,18,19 2018 55:23 225:1 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2020 10:22 11:2 63:10 65:18 70:7 71:21 108:3 154:3 155:11,18,19 162:23 160:14 217:2 223:10 17 57:21 60:14 131:8 162:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 2021 72:6 106:7,22 159:4,19 160:3,11 162:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 206: 1 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 1:00 120:9,10	\$2.5 74:22	129 81:4	202:16
\$27,000 74:2 75:7 206:1 \$28 77:10,13 \$30,000 206:13 \$318 61:20 64:7 67:3 \$32.16 225:2 \$112:10 125:18 132:14 \$55,000 206:12 \$55,000 206:12 \$600,000 73:11 \$70,000 74:18,22 \$14:12 \$14:15 \$2011 108:8,17 2012 108:8 210:16 2014 208:15,17 2015 183:3 198:15 2016 103:19 2017 55:11,17,18,19 56:12 60:21 103:19 2017 55:11,17,18,19 56:12 60:21 103:19 2017 55:11,17,18,19 56:12 60:21 103:19 2017 55:11,17,18,19 56:12 60:21 103:19 2018 55:23 225:1 2018 55:23 225:1 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2010 60:21 103:19 2017 55:11,17,18,19 56:12 60:21 103:19 2018 55:23 225:1 2018 55:23 225:1 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2010 60:21 103:19 2017 55:11,17,18,19 56:12 60:21 103:19 2018 55:23 225:1 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2020 10:22 11:2 63:10 65:18 70:7 71:21 108:3 154:3 155:11,18,19 159:19 160:30 222:3,8 16 57:6 60:14 217:2 223:10 10-foot 65:5 189:8 10:05 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:10 5:19:11 10:10 10:19:19 10:10 10:19 10:10 10:19 10:10 10:19	\$20,000 68:19	13 55:11 210:16 217:2	
\$28 77:10,13 \$30,000 206:13 \$32.16 225:2 \$112:10 125:18 132:14 \$5 66:14 \$5 66:14 \$136:25 156:7 159:6 \$5,000 206:12 \$600,000 73:11 \$70,000 74:18,22 \$12 139 82:1 97:17 \$14 210:16 \$1 126:19 202:17 217:1 \$218:5 221:18 \$15 10:23 11:7 60:14 96:4, 22 97:8,12 98:16,19,21 \$100 62:13 64:16 130:8 \$188:22 189:4 \$100:16 51:18 \$10:16 51:18 \$10:16 51:18 \$11:16 \$11 223:12 \$130 120:10 \$138 61:20 64:7 67:3 81:16 86:3 101:4 102:2 112:10 125:18 132:14 136:12 064:7 67:3 81:16 86:3 101:4 102:2 112:10 125:18 132:14 2014 208:15,17 2015 183:3 198:15 2016 103:19 2017 55:11,17,18,19 56:12 60:21 103:19 2017 55:11,17,18,19 56:12 60:21 103:19 2018 55:23 225:1 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 184:100:101:102:103:10 190:101:101:102:103:10 190:101:101:102:101 190:101:101:102:101 190:1	\$27,000 74:2 75:7 206:1	135 81:4	
\$30,000 206:13	\$28 77:10,13	136 81:15	
\$32.16 225:2 \$5.66:14 \$5.600 206:12 \$600,000 73:11 \$70,000 74:18,22 \$8.1 67:22 11 210 125:18 132:14 184:8 185:4 187:17 189:1 190:10 193:17 214:12 2016 103:19 2017 55:11,17,18,19 56:12 60:21 103:19 2018 55:23 225:1 11 126:19 202:17 217:1 218:5 221:18 10 217:5 221:23 100 62:13 64:16 130:8 188:22 189:4 100-foot 65:5 189:8 10:05 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10th 11:2 131:16 11 223:12 128:10 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 196:7 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 196:7 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 196:7	\$30,000 206:13		,
\$5 66:14	\$32.16 225:2		
\$5,000 206:12 \$600,000 73:11 \$70,000 74:18,22 \$8.1 67:22 139 82:1 97:17 14 210:16 1 126:19 202:17 217:1 218:5 221:18 1-B 181:16 10 217:5 221:23 100 62:13 64:16 130:8 188:22 189:4 100-foot 65:5 189:8 10:05 51:18 10:16 51:18 10th 11:2 131:16 11 223:12 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 100 120:9,10 11 160:4 161:4,23 162:14 184:8 185:4 187:17 189:1 190:10 193:17 2016 103:19 2017 55:11,17,18,19 56:12 60:21 103:19 107:2 108:9,19,23 175:1, 14 2018 55:23 225:1 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2020 10:22 11:2 63:10 65:18 70:7 71:21 108:3 154:3 155:11,18,19 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 159:4,19 160:3,11 160:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 2022 70:21 217 114:16,18 24 99:7 184:5 25 74:2 183:23 184:5,22 206:1 259 58:22 26 183:23 184:5	\$5 66:14		•
\$600,000 73:11 \$70,000 74:18,22 \$8.1 67:22 139 82:1 97:17 13th 56:20 57:1 14 210:16 1 126:19 202:17 217:1 218:5 221:18 1-B 181:16 10 217:5 221:23 100 62:13 64:16 130:8 188:22 189:4 100-foot 65:5 189:8 10:05 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 11 223:12 11 184:8 185:4 187:17 189:1 190:10 193:17 2017 55:11,17,18,19 56:12 60:21 103:19 107:2 108:9,19,23 175:1, 14 2018 55:23 225:1 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2020 10:22 11:2 63:10 65:18 70:7 71:21 108:3 154:3 155:11,18,19 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 16 57:6 60:14 217:2 223:10 17 57:21 60:14 131:8 162:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 2022 70:21 217 114:16,18 24 99:7 184:5 25 74:2 183:23 184:5,22 206:1 259 58:22 26 183:23 184:5	\$5,000 206:12	·	
\$70,000 74:18,22 \$8.1 67:22 139 82:1 97:17 14 210:16 1 126:19 202:17 217:1 218:5 221:18 1-B 181:16 10 217:5 221:23 100 62:13 64:16 130:8 188:22 189:4 100-foot 65:5 189:8 10:05 51:18 10:16 51:18 175 114:11,14,18 175 120:10 18 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 204:24 1891 60:17 19th 57:8 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 196:7			
\$8.1 67:22 139 82:1 97:17 13th 56:20 57:1 14 210:16 1 126:19 202:17 217:1 218:5 221:18 1-B 181:16 10 217:5 221:23 100 62:13 64:16 130:8 188:22 189:4 100-foot 65:5 189:8 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 10:16 51:18 11 223:12 12 8:20 139 82:1 97:17 14 2018 55:23 225:1 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2020 10:22 11:2 63:10 65:18 70:7 71:21 108:3 154:3 155:11,18,19 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 16 57:6 60:14 217:2 223:10 17 57:21 60:14 131:8 162:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 2022 70:21 217 114:16,18 218 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 204:24 219:7 14:16,18 217 114:16,18 219:7 72:10 218 55:23 225:1 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 2020 10:22 11:2 63:10 65:18 70:7 71:21 108:3 154:3 155:11,18,19 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 159:4,19 160:3,11 162:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 2022 70:21 217 114:16,18 218 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 204:24 219:7 184:5 226:1 259 58:22 266 183:23 184:5			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 13th 56:20 57:1 14 2018 55:23 225:1 2018 55:23 225:1 2018 55:23 225:1 2018 55:23 225:1 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 221:18 15 10:23 11:7 60:14 96:4, 22 97:8,12 98:16,19,21 128:20 10:22 11:2 63:10 65:18 70:7 71:21 108:3 154:3 155:11,18,19 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 16 57:6 60:14 217:2 223:10 159:4,19 160:3,11 162:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 17th 57:13 10th 11:2 131:16 17th 57:13 11:16 182:21 204:24 185:4 19:32 14 77:15 204:24 189:18 190:12 193:5 100 120:9,10 14 120:9,10 14 130:8 150:18 100 120:9,10 14 130:8 14 150:18 120:19 159:4,19 160:3,11 162:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 169:7 184:5 17th 57:13 11:16 1891 60:17 19th 57:8 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 100 120:9,10 14 130:8 150:18 165:22 26 183:23 184:5 164:5 169:7 184:5 166:7		139 82:1 97:17	
1 14 210:16 2018 55:23 225:1 1 126:19 202:17 217:1 218:5 221:18 14th 55:19 56:17 57:6 218:5 221:18 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 1-B 181:16 15 10:23 11:7 60:14 96:4, 22 97:8,12 98:16,19,21 128:20 2020 10:22 11:2 63:10 65:18 70:7 71:21 108:3 154:3 155:11,18,19 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 100 62:13 64:16 130:8 188:22 189:4 16 57:6 60:14 217:2 223:10 2021 72:6 106:7,22 159:4,19 160:3,11 162:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 10:05 51:18 17 57:21 60:14 131:8 162:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 16 11 223:12 204:24 2022 70:21 217 114:16,18 11 223:12 18 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 204:24 24 99:7 184:5 11 3 11:16 1891 60:17 20:10 25 74:2 183:23 184:5,22 206:1 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 196:7 1:00 120:9,10 259 58:22 26 183:23 184:5	Ψο:1 07.22		14
1 126:19 202:17 217:1 218:5 221:18 14th 55:19 56:17 57:6 2019 56:1,15,17,21 57:14 183:3 198:15 1-B 181:16 15 10:23 11:7 60:14 96:4, 22 97:8,12 98:16,19,21 128:20 2020 10:22 11:2 63:10 65:18 70:7 71:21 108:3 154:3 155:11,18,19 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 100 62:13 64:16 130:8 188:22 189:4 16 57:6 60:14 217:2 223:10 2021 72:6 106:7,22 159:4,19 160:3,11 162:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 10:05 51:18 17 57:21 60:14 131:8 162:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 169:7 222:5,8,12 10th 11:2 131:16 18 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 204:24 2022 70:21 11:57 120:10 19th 57:8 25 74:2 183:23 184:5,22 206:1 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 1:00 120:9,10 26 183:23 184:5	1		2018 55:23 225:1
218:5 221:18 15 10:23 11:7 60:14 96:4, 22 97:8,12 98:16,19,21 128:20 2020 10:22 11:2 63:10 65:18 70:7 71:21 108:3 154:3 155:11,18,19 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 155:11,18,19 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 154:3	1 126:19 202:17 217:1		
1-B 181:16 22 97:8,12 98:16,19,21 128:20 65:18 70:7 71:21 108:3 154:3 155:11,18,19 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 166:21 189:8 100 62:13 64:16 130:8 188:22 189:4 16 57:6 60:14 217:2 223:10 159:4,19 160:3,11 162:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 160:14 131:8 162:23 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:5,8,12 160:14 131:8 175 114:11,14,18 160:7 222:5,8,12 175 114:11,14,18 175 114:11,14,18 175 114:11,14,18 175 114:16,18 175 114:16,18 175 114:16,18 175 114:16,18 175 114:16,18 175 114:16,18 175 120:10 175 12	218:5 221:18	•	
10 217:5 221:23 15th 57:3 159:19 160:10 222:3,8 100 62:13 64:16 130:8 16 57:6 60:14 217:2 2021 72:6 106:7,22 100-foot 65:5 189:8 17 57:21 60:14 131:8 169:7 222:5,8,12 10:16 51:18 175 114:11,14,18 2022 70:21 10th 11:2 131:16 18 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 24 99:7 184:5 11 223:12 1891 60:17 206:1 11:57 120:10 19th 57:8 259 58:22 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 1:00 120:9,10 26 183:23 184:5	1-B 181:16		
100 62:13 64:16 130:8 16 57:6 60:14 217:2 2021 72:6 106:7,22 100-foot 65:5 189:8 17 57:21 60:14 131:8 159:4,19 160:3,11 10:05 51:18 17 57:21 60:14 131:8 162:23 163:18 165:6 10:16 51:18 175 114:11,14,18 2022 70:21 10th 11:2 131:16 18 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 217 114:16,18 11 223:12 18 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 24 99:7 184:5 113 11:16 1891 60:17 25 74:2 183:23 184:5,22 11:57 120:10 19th 57:8 259 58:22 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 1:00 120:9,10 26 183:23 184:5	10 217:5 221:23		• •
100-foot 65:5 189:8 223:10 159:4,19 160:3,11 10:05 51:18 17 57:21 60:14 131:8 162:23 163:18 165:6 10:16 51:18 175 114:11,14,18 2022 70:21 10th 11:2 131:16 18 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 217 114:16,18 11 223:12 204:24 24 99:7 184:5 113 11:16 1891 60:17 25 74:2 183:23 184:5,22 11:57 120:10 19th 57:8 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 1:00 120:9,10 25 9 58:22 189:18 190:12 193:5 1:00 120:9,10 26 183:23 184:5			,
100-foot 65:5 189:8 17 57:21 60:14 131:8 162:23 163:18 165:6 10:05 51:18 175 114:11,14,18 2022 70:21 10th 11:2 131:16 18 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 217 114:16,18 11 223:12 204:24 24 99:7 184:5 113 11:16 1891 60:17 25 74:2 183:23 184:5,22 11:57 120:10 19th 57:8 259 58:22 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 1:00 120:9,10 26 183:23 184:5			•
10:05 51:18 175 114:11,14,18 169:7 222:5,8,12 10:16 51:18 17th 57:13 2022 70:21 10th 11:2 131:16 18 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 204:24 217 114:16,18 11 223:12 204:24 24 99:7 184:5 113 11:16 1891 60:17 25 74:2 183:23 184:5,22 206:1 11:57 120:10 19th 57:8 259 58:22 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 1:00 120:9,10 26 183:23 184:5	100-foot 65:5 189:8		
10:16 51:18 2022 70:21 10th 11:2 131:16 217 114:16,18 11 223:12 18 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 204:24 24 99:7 184:5 113 11:16 1891 60:17 25 74:2 183:23 184:5,22 206:1 11:57 120:10 19th 57:8 259 58:22 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 1:00 120:9,10 26 183:23 184:5	10:05 51:18		169:7 222:5,8,12
10th 11:2 131:16 18 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 217 114:16,18 11 223:12 18 18:24 19:3 21:4 77:15 24 99:7 184:5 113 11:16 1891 60:17 25 74:2 183:23 184:5,22 11:57 120:10 19th 57:8 259 58:22 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 1:00 120:9,10 26 183:23 184:5	10:16 51:18	• •	2022 70:21
11 223:12 204:24 24 99:7 184:5 113 11:16 1891 60:17 25 74:2 183:23 184:5,22 11:57 120:10 19th 57:8 206:1 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 1:00 120:9,10 259 58:22 189:18 190:12 193:5 26 183:23 184:5	10th 11:2 131:16		217 114:16,18
11:57 120:10 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 196:7	11 223:12		24 99:7 184:5
11.57 120.10 19th 57:8 12 66:21 184:19,24 185:4 189:18 190:12 193:5 196:7 19th 57:8 259 58:22 26 183:23 184:5	113 11:16	1891 60:17	•
12 00.21 184.19,24 183.4 1:00 120:9,10 26 183:23 184:5	11:57 120:10	19th 57:8	
189:18 190:12 193:5 26 183:23 184:5	•	1:00 120:9,10	
26th 10:22		,	
	100.7		26th 10:22

Index: 27..absolutely

27 190:19,24 193:7 194:9 197:3	40 62:1 64:13 103:21 185:18,24 200:17	63-g-4-206(1)(a) 37:17
28 66:21 206:13	42 102:10,20,22 103:3	63G 37:8,10
2:28 181:3	113:4,5	64 60:22
2:40 180:22,24 181:3	42-megawatt 103:2	7
2nd 55:18 56:15 58:21	45 187:20 188:21 189:4	
	45-foot 189:7	70 63:1 225:2
3	46 61:19 100:23 112:4	75 189:16
3 66:14 185:15,23 186:15	166:22 184:7,13 185:1,4 187:16 188:25 190:10,18	8
3,000 209:14	193:6,15	8 62:13 64:16
3,500 61:5 209:14	4:00 26:11,12,16 180:23	82 217:5 221:23
30 69:25 103:21 107:20	221:3,5	85 115:9
205:12 226:6	5	88 189:15
31 205:12		00 109.13
31st 55:17	5 67:24 217:10,19,20	9
32 175:14	50 64:13 67:21 95:6	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
320(b) 175:21	54 43:7,11 46:20 190:22 191:4,10 194:2,14	9 80:18 114:12 115:1 152:5 184:1 205:4,5
320-A-1-A 175:14 201:22	195:17	90 80:11,17 94:8 113:19
320-B 202:2,4	54-14-104 37:6	21 114:22
320-B-1 175:23	55 76:17	970 186:13
35 209:14	5:00 26:14	98 217:21
352-E 176:5		99 217:21
37 99:25 100:1 104:16 105:8,12 107:12	6	9th 131:11
37-hour 100:1	6 196:15	Α
384 58:23	6.3 163:12	
39th 131:11	60 76:17	a.m. 51:18 120:10
3rd 57:10	615 38:5	abide 41:15 42:2
4	620 106:23 113:15 114:1, 20	ability 9:20 132:12 154:14 182:7
	63(g)4-208 48:5	ably 73:7,20
4 37:11,12 48:7 64:13 184:18,23 185:3	63-G-4-206 145:23	absolutely 31:1 124:25 137:25

Index: absurd..afford

absurd 91:13 44:25 45:15 46:2.7.15 addressed 16:19 59:4,13 47:6 49:8 158:4 178:5,6 122:3,10 academic 71:10 addressing 119:18 action 9:7 23:7 41:23 accept 87:14 226:12 44:21 45:2 59:16 210:24 121:23 227:8 actionable 152:17 adequate 88:25 101:13 acceptable 11:16 66:16 156:10 168:22 200:20 113:3 137:24 70:20 71:4 75:9 182:6.13 actions 47:14 69:5 adequately 17:20 acceptance 14:22 adjacent 161:12 198:23 **active** 154:17,18 183:19 accepted 14:24 75:9 **activities** 163:7,19 adjourn 221:6 227:19 226:24 165:18 169:18 171:17 access 97:24 132:8 adjudicated 37:14 48:6, 172:22 200:2 204:21 136:21 158:10 172:20 11 222:23 accessibility 135:15 adjudication 23:11 acts 46:4,6 137:2 adjudicative 47:7 actual 15:11 16:11,12 accident 60:23 adjustments 79:25 17:13 18:13,16 19:5 35:2 accordance 18:15 52:11 171:10 57:20 65:11,24 66:3,6,17 94:4 68:5,7 69:2,6,8,15,17,19 administrative 35:7 37:8, 70:2 81:13 121:16 140:1 account 75:11,18 13 46:1 47:4 145:22 144:13 226:6 227:7 accountable 64:1 109:17 admit 148:11 **adapt** 37:9 accurate 66:15 78:25 admits 71:1 add 17:8 18:7 46:14 47:3 84:12,14 107:15 151:23 admitted 59:7 55:24 122:23 142:15 168:7 173:2,7,9,13,24 144:25 145:2 153:7 adopt 68:1 achieve 40:2 203:9 added 107:1 advanced 55:8 acid 170:22 adding 71:22 196:10 adverse 107:6 acknowledged 156:6 addition 11:6 19:1 66:19 advocate 108:4,10 acquire 58:7,8 152:18 127:13 191:23 220:4 aesthetic 73:3 156:9 158:25 208:13 **additional** 14:1 43:18 affect 110:16 169:15 acquired 156:8,21 84:8 91:25 106:21.25 165:10 affected 141:4,20,23 109:7 127:17 142:22 156:8 178:23 190:6,9 acquiring 168:18 affecting 94:25 108:6 196:8 204:2,11 206:17 acquisition 74:3 162:21 affects 100:18 198:24 additions 152:2 222:10 **affirm** 93:16 address 6:11 7:3,6 25:21 acres 61:5,12 affirmative 22:12 112:11 26:3 53:1 88:15,20 92:14 act 32:25 33:19 34:3 159:17 119:15 120:23 121:21 35:2,4 36:1 37:6,8,13 142:11 145:6 182:13 afford 37:19 145:25 38:4 41:22 43:10,20,23

Index: afternoon..area

afternoon 128:11 153:21 amend 55:24 189:12 225:3 226:10 182:24 **American** 94:5 119:7 applications 55:16 age 94:21 95:12 139:12 amount 22:24 60:10 74:9 **applied** 56:14 84:23 agnostic 135:24 77:9 103:25 85:23 113:23 139:5 175:12 179:18 206:10 **agrarian** 62:7,19 amounts 66:20 **applies** 36:14 43:12,16 agree 12:21 23:22 36:22 **analysis** 68:6 79:16 46:16 122:8 123:5 81:22 94:22 96:10 40:3 45:13 49:9 133:9 139:18 167:20 179:13 226:7 134:17 135:18 197:2,5 198:2,5 203:10 208:25 **apply** 139:25 analyzed 70:16 212:23 applying 83:12 84:5,21 anchor 52:7,10,17 agreed 27:7 73:12 75:24 85:16 91:22 93:5 94:23 angle 186:6,7 188:8 146:3 agreement 156:2 182:3 **annually** 108:15 apportioned 156:4 ahead 4:21 5:25 17:2 answering 210:19 25:9 27:23 29:16 49:5 appraisals 215:17 50:13,19 53:3 58:11 answers 32:10 87:11 **appraiser** 76:16 194:13, 59:23 82:25 98:3 117:10 118:23 139:3 19 195:14 206:9 215:20, 123:25 142:17 151:12 21 216:1 217:1 anticipate 74:3 101:22 165:13 177:7 182:18 118:19 appraisers 195:1 187:2 191:17 214:3 215:9 219:16 221:11 anybody's 34:7 appropriately 85:23 aid 53:7 **APA** 38:17 **approval** 16:4 55:21 56:3 **APLIC** 178:3,9 180:3 air 135:20 approve 11:14 **aired** 78:2 apologize 30:4 143:15, approved 56:25 57:14 22 58:11 61:11 66:12 80:7 align 94:25 155:15 156:13 appeal 9:12 aligned 45:7 approximate 73:25 appearances 4:22 allegations 150:2 approximately 8:9 51:16 appeared 216:3 allowed 30:11 72:1 99:25 163:12 165:16 appears 25:16 186:4 allowing 77:5 211:13 **April** 11:2 56:15 58:21 196:7 218:4 alluded 180:3 222:17 area 59:6 70:13 79:21 applicability 32:25 44:24 alongside 11:15 80:6 81:9,21 93:24 **applicable** 13:23 88:3,5 100:25 101:3,5,20,21,23 alphabetical 150:24 113:24 102:19 103:3,4,10,11 alter 127:23 applicant 11:25 18:13 104:2,10,12 107:7,8 19:6,8 54:4 108:7 109:16 112:21,24 altered 32:17 113:6 114:10,12 119:16 **application** 58:21 144:1, alternate 102:5 130:25 131:4 136:19 4,14 147:20 148:7 149:2 162:7 170:18 171:16

172:18,19 191:24 197:10 attended 144:5 145:10 116:8,9 118:21 121:3,25 213:15 214:9,15 222:15 attention 72:17 areas 81:2 107:10 129:22 attorney 192:19,20 141:8 192:5 200:10 194:24 195:2 216:6,11 attributable 133:11 argue 199:9 221:16 attributes 139:6 arguing 14:12 15:15 August 56:20 57:1 argument 43:15 57:5 authority 44:9,21 45:2 143:21 178:23,24 48:17 49:1 arguments 6:19 9:14,15, authorize 38:8 18 13:18 automatically 116:20 arise 125:9 avalanche 137:3 arisen 76:6 avian 178:3 **arms** 188:10 avoid 147:24 149:18 arose 129:12 **Bald** 178:4 171:13,16 172:23 212:19 art 20:25 184:16 213:9 **aspect** 124:24 avoided 212:3 assess 55:22 94:19 avoiding 210:23 203:18 assessments 84:16 aware 4:11 88:10 95:18, assign 133:11 21 97:2,13 104:21 105:2, 203:20 9,16 107:17,22,25 assist 8:3 59:16 125:13,16,17 126:14 assume 109:24 153:5 128:1 131:18.19 132:23 164:21 169:5 194:13 134:6,7 152:11 154:13 157:23 174:13,14 180:10 assumed 76:17 90:10 199:3 204:21 211:18,21 119:23 213:2,16 216:18 225:4 **assumes** 194:17 assuming 112:22 143:1 В 160:3 161:4,8 172:9 **B-1** 177:12 assumption 158:1 195:16 back 8:12 15:23 18:5 21:5 41:6 50:21 51:10, **assure** 22:8 94:25 19,23,24 52:1 55:1 60:17 atmosphere 62:19 63:1 86:7 96:25 99:8 attached 195:25 221:23 100:13 103:12.21 108:9 110:21 114:3 115:9 attendance 63:20

Index: areas..based

119:25 123:9 137:20
138:17 155:16 162:24
173:11 175:3,5 178:7
179:1 180:8 203:12
206:5 6 226:3 20 227:17

basically 108:25 140:6 177:2

basis 31:11 38:2 43:11, 22 46:7 47:22 63:8 77:6 125:12 137:10 207:21

Bates 79:9,23

battle 62:18

bear 118:19 135:7 185:16 210:6 212:16

beating 60:22

beautiful 61:2,8,17

begin 20:15 60:3,13 171:20 221:6

beginning 40:8 73:2 171:6 182:14 210:3

begins 53:11

behalf 13:10 151:18

belong 75:4

Ben 89:5 118:10 194:18

beneath 61:1

benefit 43:7 159:23

benefits 213:22,23

Benjamin 27:12,13 55:10 142:7,23 151:7 153:3

Berry 5:16 26:22

bid 13:21 19:17,18,19 20:5,6 21:11 22:4 66:13, 20 77:20 85:3 86:15,16, 18 91:11 92:6 93:7,9,12 120:17 122:9,11 123:5,6, 8,15 134:23 166:13 168:23 173:2,7,12,16,19, 24 174:3,5 175:2 179:18 204:23,25 223:20 226:10 227:7

bidder 24:3 166:18

bidders 18:24 173:4,18, 24,25 204:24

bidding 22:5 172:25 204:17 223:5

biding 21:4

bids 9:21 10:20,21,24 11:7 13:16,18,19 14:2,4, 7,13,24 15:3,5,12,21 16:5 18:14,19,23 19:23 20:20 21:5 22:1 55:2,5 65:13 66:13,14,24 67:17 68:3 70:2 77:8,15 85:21 154:11 155:6 166:15,16 167:6 174:8 204:17 223:3,4,25 224:3,8 227:7

big 188:22 214:4

biggest 111:11 112:16, 18

binding 19:20 49:9

bird 96:14 178:5

birds 135:20 178:4 180:4

bit 15:21 22:14 35:4 42:24 48:16 107:12 121:7 123:20 145:12 160:14 161:6 164:25 171:12 175:1 181:22 184:23 202:18 206:12 219:25 223:6

blackout 113:6

blackouts 63:10 70:8 101:22 102:22 104:2,6, 10,13 109:16 129:21

bless 21:16

blessing 16:5

blow 149:14 190:24

Index: basically..bond

board 4:5,7 6:14,23 7:8, 10 8:3 9:3,7,12,16,21 10:4,7 12:25 13:1,12 14:15 15:11 16:1,4,25 17:16 18:2,4,9 20:10,12, 13,15,19 21:15,21 22:19 23:6,13 24:1,12 25:21 26:9 29:24 31:5.15 32:20 33:13 34:5,21 35:3,15 36:5,11,16 37:6,7 38:18, 22,24 40:3,8 41:3,7,16, 23 42:7,10 43:12,16,19 44:18,21,23 45:11,25 46:3,5,7,8,20 47:13 48:1 49:3,17 50:6 51:12 52:2 53:7,20 54:15 58:6 59:15 60:1 65:23 66:16 68:20 69:1,6,11,19,20,25 70:1 72:4 75:17,22 77:5,21,25 92:10,11,14,16,18,20,23, 24 93:1,2 119:25 120:14, 20,24,25 123:18,21 133:14,19 146:6,10,12, 16,19 147:15 148:15,17, 20,21 149:8,23 152:11 153:10 154:25 155:13 159:2 181:5,15 189:22 192:11 206:14 207:24 208:1 214:25 215:24 216:11 221:4

board's 42:3 45:22 50:24 53:17 153:23

body 166:11

boggles 77:11

bond 58:3 61:11 62:25 63:5 65:16,22 70:13 71:25 72:2 76:25 110:10 112:15 163:3 165:21 222:4

Public Hearing April 20, 2020 Index: bonded..cease **bonded** 18:14 55:5 bunch 98:22 212:11 called 7:7.22 18:12 25:22 29:5 40:10 107:18 **bottom** 205:12 **burden** 68:17 210:6 134:22 140:23 151:8 buried 87:23 124:17 **boxing** 161:14 calling 25:13 118:10 125:18 131:1,15 break 26:20 27:1.2 50:12 206:23 51:7,9,14,18,25 52:9 **bury** 62:25 63:5,15 65:9, calls 206:20 219:6,9 117:21,24,25 120:12 10,11,15 66:13,17 67:2 220:7 128:12 180:22,24 181:3 68:5 69:8 72:9 73:11 77:10 85:3 225:1 candidly 74:5 breakers 102:18 116:7 burying 62:21 124:15 canyon 93:24 94:12,15 **Brereton** 5:14 39:1 225:5 95:19,23 100:2 101:1,12 72:20,24 128:5 144:24 103:15 141:10,11 200:14 181:7 **bus** 113:18 canyons 107:10 137:1, business 20:20 121:5 **Bret** 5:2 143:2 206:19 15 141:23 **briefly** 9:10 10:14 businesses 59:6 capability 138:21 **briefs** 54:16 55:1 button 142:25 143:1,10, capacity 84:8 90:11 12,16,22 **Brighton** 111:4 91:25 101:13 111:3 buy 68:16 69:4 140:5 152:22 154:14 **bring** 32:14 60:15,16 92:23 93:1 127:17 137:9 capital 121:6 C 217:3 careful 78:4 **broken** 58:25 **cable** 67:15 122:12 carry 214:14 **brought** 92:19 125:6 125:9,10 126:21 127:3,5, case 24:4 52:6 74:17 137:10 145:17 224:8 14,15,17 154:12,14 75:17 79:20 112:17 **brunt** 111:11 cables 176:6,7,8 114:25 122:21 126:18 127:9 136:23 139:16 **budget** 108:9,23 Cadillac 67:19 143:6 146:5 152:16 budgeted 74:2 calculate 114:6 205:18 158:8 161:13 163:1 207:18 **build** 68:10 73:24 74:15 194:12 195:11,15 202:21 75:15 76:10 79:14,18 210:4 calculated 17:20 134:21 155:15 158:24 cases 128:20 209:23,24 calculation 193:22 160:15 161:7,17 164:22 Castles 61:9 calculations 98:16 168:3 192:13,14 214:4 192:23 193:15 217:16,18 catastrophe 106:19 building 106:6 159:11 221:19,23 160:9 166:9 171:3 209:7 catastrophes 71:7 calendar 154:6 **built** 68:9 76:20 116:25

call 26:4 61:6 83:22

186:8

96:11 108:19 111:24

116:7 118:4 142:7 143:4

117:1 126:11 129:1

131:10 184:9

bump 96:23

catastrophic 106:11

107:18,19 129:20

cautious 4:12

cease 183:5

center 74:16

centerline 190:19,25 193:7 194:9 196:11

centuries 63:7,8 64:23 110:2

cetera 23:22 42:19 175:6 203:15

chair 23:10 24:8 46:21 92:13 118:15 121:1

Chairman 4:3 5:6,10,15, 24 8:4,15,20 9:9,25 12:17 14:14 16:17,24 17:25 20:8 21:19 22:17 23:13,17 24:10,19,22 25:2,6 26:1 27:17,25 28:7,9,12,20,24 29:8,20, 24 30:13 31:5,13 32:1,2, 19 33:1,16,24 34:19 35:8 36:9 38:20 41:1,19 42:5, 23 43:6 45:9,18,24 47:2, 24 48:15 49:14,22,24 50:3,5,17,22 51:5,15,19 52:1 53:3,12,24 54:3,11 59:20 72:18,23 78:9 82:11,20,24 87:7 89:22 90:1,21 92:7 97:19 98:2 117:20 118:7,12,16 120:7,11 122:23,25 123:17 124:2,6 128:3 136:9 138:2,6,23 140:9, 14,18 142:1,6,10,20 143:3,13,18 144:22 145:4 146:8 147:12 148:13 149:22 150:6.9. 14,16,19,21,23 151:3,11 153:4,14 180:19 181:4, 19 182:5,12,17 186:16, 21,23 187:1,4,8 190:13 194:20 195:8,10 206:24 207:10,20 208:22 209:15 210:7 214:21 215:4

216:21 219:11,15,24

220:9,24 221:10 224:5,9, 16 226:16,19 227:1,12

challenges 15:4 22:11

chance 72:9 128:12

change 39:20,24 187:23, 25 188:17,23

changed 184:19

chapter 37:9,10,12

characteristics 139:7

characterized 134:24

charge 73:12 83:12 84:17,18,21 85:10

133:10

charged 132:15

charging 211:14

chart 193:5

cheapest 65:1

check 209:11 218:22

checking 212:15

chooses 159:2

chose 12:5

chosen 166:18

circle 41:6

circuit 62:2 64:7 67:9,12 76:14 89:10,11 102:18

127:24

circuits 64:11

circumstance 5:19

circumstances 137:16 203:16 204:15 214:6,17

citations 201:19

cities 141:18 225:19

citizens 57:7 61:10 62:17

63:18 65:6 73:6,8 75:4

76:19 199:6

citizens' 62:24

city 4:6 5:7,9 6:9 7:3 10:5,8,17,18,22 11:3,8, 16,21 12:5,19 13:4,10 16:25 18:16 19:6,7,16,25 20:6 22:13 27:8,15 36:3, 19 39:3 42:10 46:16,17 47:1 50:7,15 52:5 55:8, 11,13,18,23,24 56:2,5,9, 17,22,24 57:1,5,6,9,13 58:1,12,14,15,17,21,25 60:5,17,21,23 62:22 63:18 64:3,8 65:8,20 67:18 70:5 76:13 80:6 81:2 82:18,25 93:23 100:22,25 101:3,7,12,20, 23 102:19 103:4,18 108:1,7 111:5 112:14,21, 24 113:1,6 114:9 120:13 123:13,19 129:22 140:22 142:19 143:4 144:3,15 145:12,13,17 146:23 147:4,7,21 153:5 156:14, 24 159:3,4 162:15 163:2, 8 164:5,23 165:21 188:9 189:25 190:2 199:5,23 200:9 209:3 214:9 222:1, 15 223:3 224:14,25 225:4 226:12,23

City's 6:17,22,24 8:6,16, 25 55:19,22 66:2,7 67:20 72:9 142:11 168:14 223:1

Civil 46:4,23

claim 38:10 133:22 192:6 194:1

claimed 70:16 134:20

claiming 191:2,6

claims 63:12 70:8 77:2

clarification 8:5 10:1 26:2,5 27:21 43:1 52:18 97:20 103:8 113:9 140:8

clarify 9:2 27:18 51:20 52:3 96:21 105:10 115:11 122:24 130:5 135:4 216:7

clarity 208:5

Clark 21:23 22:18 23:9, 15 24:9,16,17 31:17 32:22 44:20 45:10 49:20, 21 118:15,17 120:1,4,8, 14 122:5 138:25 139:2, 15 140:4,7,10 149:24 150:11,12,15

Clark's 33:17

clash 62:7

cleanest 40:4

clear 15:20 16:3,7 23:20, 24 26:13 45:22 47:5 77:23 85:19 95:4 96:16 97:16 152:7 155:14 168:22 199:16 200:5 205:14 207:16 218:25 220:5

Clegg 27:13 55:10 89:5 118:11 142:7,23 143:19, 24 144:3 145:8,9,18 146:2,7,23 147:2,5 148:2,8 151:1,3,7,17 153:3,16,21 180:25 181:8,12 182:8,19,24 187:12 188:4,13 190:17 192:2,25 194:22 195:4, 13 196:12 201:4 207:22 208:2,14 210:13 215:12, 22 217:17 218:20 220:2, 22 221:17 224:11 227:25

Clegg's 144:18 149:6 214:22

clients 101:7 160:1,11,15 161:18

clock 26:25

close 42:14,17,21 43:9 61:18 103:3 197:15 221:5

closed 33:22

closer 28:17 169:10 189:16

closing 34:2 43:22 44:1 72:9

code 37:16 55:24 145:23 177:19 180:15 181:23 201:17

codes 178:8 179:16 181:23

cold 54:17

collective 77:4

collectively 73:12 199:6

Colorado 225:16

comfortable 20:19 21:9 22:25 42:2

commence 69:12 71:13

commencement 65:20 66:1 69:10,12 70:5 71:6 72:5 154:25 159:3

commencing 71:18 164:8

comment 10:2 26:8 42:25 43:4 45:20 51:20 52:3,19 57:3,10 142:22

commenting 42:24

comments 17:3 22:19 31:15 32:20 36:11,19 39:2 41:6 44:18 49:18 51:11 90:22 146:11 147:18 149:9,23

commission 26:19 31:23 42:16 43:8,14,18 46:17, 25 56:5,17,21 57:1 190:1

Index: clarification..completed

commissioner 21:24 35:23 50:14 52:25 98:7 119:21 120:1

commitment 73:9 221:4

committed 73:16

common 30:5 32:12 33:7,15 35:23 124:16,19 171:1 191:24 192:5

communicate 51:8

communication 144:15 176:7

communications 144:2

communities 60:23 62:20,23

community 60:12 62:18 63:7

company 183:13,17 225:15

compared 190:8

compelled 217:3

compensate 68:16

compensation 75:6

competing 22:3 68:20

competitive 18:13,20 19:23 20:3 21:4

compiled 215:18 226:3

complete 116:21 152:21 159:13 165:2,10 169:2 199:22 200:17,19 219:4 220:15,19 222:11 227:17

completed 59:9 65:18 108:19 112:3 200:2

Index: completely..construction **confidential** 42:18 79:11 95:25 confirm 89:22 122:5 124:2 confirmed 4:6 187:4 confused 118:23 connected 159:15 **connection** 12:23 13:7 86:3,12 connects 100:21,24 140:6 consensus 46:11 consequences 161:6 214:1 consideration 9:17 95:16 139:13 150:4 considerations 226:1 considered 133:2 170:14 consistency 32:23 **consistent** 37:10 153:10 197:18 199:7 207:4 constraint 171:5 constraints 135:17 165:1 172:1 construct 158:11 159:24 169:25 170:7,10 171:12 208:12 constructed 103:13 117:6 140:3 159:18,22 187:20,22

completely 90:19 114:23
completion 156:3
compliance 132:1 178:6
182:3
complication 110:23
complications 110:15
complied 55:3

comply 38:17 51:3 component 159:10 211:4

components 130:2 161:22 174:11

compromise 45:17

concede 73:21

conceded 73:23

concedes 76:16

concept 223:14

concern 20:18 21:7,11, 17 23:25 35:1 39:11,16 40:21 48:14 55:6 58:24 86:3 138:14 157:6 161:21 181:21 199:13 225:9

concerned 34:9 35:5 44:8 60:17 65:7 116:17 130:17 182:9

concerns 7:9 9:19 44:16 48:16,25 61:19 73:2,8 78:2 88:19 136:18,21 137:3 141:16 182:1 222:5

conclude 26:10,12

concluded 120:12

conclusion 191:12 206:20,23 219:6,10 220:8

conclusions 64:4

condemn 69:4 157:16

condemnation 157:8,12 158:6 210:24 211:3 212:8

condition 113:7 126:24 162:9

conditional 10:16,18,23 11:1,8,13,18,22,23,24 12:9,12,15 13:14 18:11 19:2,10,13 55:4,14,25 56:9,14 57:12,15 58:16, 22 66:8 71:16 144:1,8 145:22 146:4 155:8 156:5 157:3 162:21 163:11,14 164:2 166:7 189:12 190:1 200:4 225:3 226:11,24

conditions 10:18,19 11:21 12:1,2,8,10,15 77:17 107:6 141:2,10 155:4 169:20 205:22 213:25

conduct 48:10

conducted 225:4,6 226:13

conductor 96:19 168:1

conductors 164:12 198:25

conduit 67:9,10,24 89:9 122:10 124:17,24 125:10,15 126:2 127:3,4 135:6 175:8,15,16,24 176:1,16,18 177:12 178:18,20 201:22,24 202:5,7 203:8,9

confer 39:9 75:24

conference 9:5 28:19

constructing 89:18 171:13 172:6

construction 10:25 12:1, 6 18:14,17 19:4,17 58:5 71:18 72:7 76:11 86:2,6 89:17 91:4,7 97:11 99:1

118:22 119:1,12 124:21 125:23 126:9,11 132:13, 20 133:11 139:7 140:1 168:13 169:17,18,21 170:15 171:20 179:9 223:8,20,23 224:1		
consultant 183:14,17		
consulting 208:16		
contacted 158:2		
contained 12:11 19:18 219:7		
contemplated 135:11		
context 14:21 23:21 103:1 150:4 201:5		
contingencies 79:17,19, 20 81:19		
contingency 79:16 81:22 105:21 126:20		
continue 23:22 58:18 59:11 76:12 102:14 152:18 158:9 171:22		
continued 58:18 59:1 124:10		
continues 26:11 55:8 58:14 175:22		
Continuing 152:23		
contract 154:17,18 183:7,8,9,18,19		
contracted 183:12		
contractor 169:1,9		
contractors 55:5 66:13 134:23 173:11 203:1		
contracts 154:21		
contradicted 20:6 70:7		

contradicts 219:8

Public Hearing April 20, 2020			
contrary 75:22			
control 138:20 171:15			
controversial 62:16			
controversy 22:15			
controverted 19:22 20:4			
conveying 50:24			
convinced 51:6			
cooling 116:13			
coordinate 32:11 41:13			
Coordinating 119:6 131:22			
coordination 39:7,11			
copy 183:25			
copyright 181:24			
Corbin 5:8 13:9 29:17 119:21			
core 14:3,11 84:11			
corner 64:15,19			
coronavirus 63:20 154:8,10			
Corporation 119:7			
correct 13:19 16:20,21, 22 33:19 46:22 68:3 80:2 83:10,11,14,15 85:17,25 86:1,8,9,11,20 87:23 88:2,14 89:11,23,24 93:25 94:1,5,6,9,10			
05.00 00.05 07.4 00.0 4			

correct 13:19 16:20,21, 22 33:19 46:22 68:3 80:2 83:10,11,14,15 85:17,25 86:1,8,9,11,20 87:23 88:2,14 89:11,23,24 93:25 94:1,5,6,9,10 95:20 96:25 97:1 99:3,4 100:15,17,22,23 101:3,5, 8,11,15,16 102:16,17 104:16,17,24 106:1,2 107:21 108:16 109:4,8 110:6,12,17,24,25 111:5, 6,12,17 112:3 114:24 115:6 124:18 126:15,16 127:25 129:4,5,7 134:25

135:14 138:17 139:4 142:8,9 143:7 156:19,21 159:13,20 160:4,12,13, 16 161:7,18 162:12 163:13 164:5,9 171:3 172:13 173:2,9 177:4,10 178:15 179:5,21 180:11 194:15 200:19,20 218:18,19 220:16

Index: consultant..counties

cost 19:21 67:6,15,21,24 68:16 69:8 75:13 77:9,12 144:13 145:15 147:3,5 169:6 171:11 173:19 205:19,23,24 206:15 208:10 209:7,12 226:3,5, 7,13 227:6

correctly 188:8 189:14

costs 11:1 15:12 16:11, 12 17:13,16 18:16 19:5, 12 65:11,24 66:3,6,7,17 68:2,5,7 69:2,6,15,17,19 70:3 73:13 75:15 132:13, 20 133:11,21,22 134:20 152:8 163:4 169:1 205:15,18,25 206:22 207:2,3,6,8,9,12,13,17, 19 208:6,7,12 210:3 212:3 225:5,24,25 226:1 227:9

Cottonwood 103:14 141:22

Cottonwood-snyder 102:2

council 11:14 46:16 47:1 56:5,22,24 57:2,9 119:6 131:22

counsel 39:9,11 118:20 119:17 128:13 181:14,16 191:21 192:17 193:3

counties 141:18

credibility 223:6

counting 96:4

Index: counting..dead

customers' 115:2 116:11

Creek 61:4 93:25 141:7 county 11:14,18,20 cut 167:12 55:17.20 58:15 71:16 criminal 35:3 74:16 101:14 140:22 D criteria 139:8 161:9 156:13,24 163:12 164:4, 162:7 23 208:19 210:15 225:7, damage 104:19 115:19 10,18 critical 158:22 163:5 172:22 176:3,20 202:8 169:16 170:4 173:13 county's 55:21 damaged 104:9 117:13, cross 53:22 66:10 15 **couple** 16:15 53:1 59:12 162:19 220:19 110:14 127:20.21 139:2 damages 74:12 75:12 148:6 164:24 165:2 cross-examination 14:4 206:18 207:5 166:13 172:25 177:1 22:7,12 27:9,16 39:19 damaging 115:3 183:16 223:1 227:21 40:22 44:14 48:21,23 **Darin** 18:21 27:13 89:5 82:18,22 83:4 118:20 court 5:16 7:21 30:23 118:11 119:17 120:13.22 124:10 33:5,23 35:24 37:1 38:6 128:6,9 136:17 153:19 46:25 data 74:16 95:25 96:1 180:25 181:7 182:22 188:10 courtesy 30:22 52:5,13, 224:10,13,19,21 **database** 81:12,14 cross-examine 53:11 **courtroom** 30:7,17 37:4, date 11:2 65:20 66:1 78:3 23 69:10 70:5 71:6 72:5 cross-examined 27:20 81:13 159:3,22 166:3 courtrooms 30:17 44:15 47:19 121:21 178:22 courts 33:5,18 cross-examining 53:6 **dates** 56:6 cover 129:13 72:15 **Dave** 118:17 coverage 129:3 crossing 172:20 **DAVID** 21:23 23:9 24:17 **covered** 128:16 218:7 **CUP** 55:21 58:20 144:10 31:17 32:22 44:20 49:21 148:11 160:18 118:15,17 120:4 122:5 Covid-19 71:23 139:2,15 140:4,7 149:24 current 64:14 105:24 **cracks** 25:25 150:12,15 116:16 184:9 185:6 **Craig** 27:14 193:15 day 14:12 60:20 210:25 **crank** 121:13 customer 102:10 105:3 day-to-day 174:11 113:25 115:5 116:2 **Crater** 61:10 days 7:20 8:9 10:23 11:7 **customers** 70:12 84:4 create 166:11 173:16 16:15 25:17 26:14 58:22, 91:21 101:14 105:11,17, 187:24 23 60:2 61:9 64:4 69:25 20 106:9 107:5 108:6 125:3 127:20 154:9 created 190:3 111:4,14,22 112:19,20, 211:1 21 114:25 115:17 116:17 creates 123:10 139:19 dead 67:12 89:10 90:14 121:12 137:23 213:1 creating 33:12 85:2 122:12 125:14 127:23 174:7 179:17,18

Index: dead-end..develop

135:2,12	206:21	depicted 187:5
dead-end 167:6 186:6,7	definitions 133:15	depicts 197:16
deadline 11:4 119:10	definitive 19:11	deprive 159:9 160:24
deadlines 11:24	definitively 198:11	161:12
deal 4:22 6:15 28:2 109:2 113:1 170:11 188:22	delay 55:7,20 58:18 72:3 110:9 152:23,24 222:2	depth 203:12 derive 159:22
dealing 54:13 170:16 172:2 185:2	delayed 58:14 70:12 152:10 154:25 155:3	describe 186:4 description 226:1
dealt 25:11	delaying 161:11	descriptive 24:6
death 62:17	delays 58:19 59:1 71:22	•
decade 179:7	154:7	design 139:25 140:2 152:19 164:11 165:23,24
decades 63:7 64:23	delegated 121:17	167:5 168:10,20 169:3
103:16 110:1 156:2	deliberation 44:19	179:8 187:16 199:21 200:14,19 211:4 220:15
December 55:19 57:10,	Dell 131:16	222:9 223:19 226:8
13,21 155:5 172:10	deload 169:1	designed 115:23 165:8
decide 24:2 50:12 75:2	demonstrated 22:2	178:21
decides 41:16	demonstrates 209:25	designing 168:10
decision 58:10 70:1	denial 24:21	designs 219:3
152:7,17 153:10 155:4,7 156:10 174:16 199:16	denied 23:11	desire 53:15 55:24 88:12
200:21 205:14 207:16,24	deny 23:20 24:7,18 25:4	211:16 212:5
219:1 220:5	146:6	desperately 219:19,22
	140.0	desperately 219.19,22
decisions 148:20	denying 24:23 25:1,7	destroys 223:6
decisions 148:20 decisive 199:16 200:6	denying 24:23 25:1,7 department 83:21,22,23	• •
	denying 24:23 25:1,7 department 83:21,22,23 84:15 126:10,12 131:23,	destroys 223:6
decisive 199:16 200:6	denying 24:23 25:1,7 department 83:21,22,23 84:15 126:10,12 131:23, 25 139:18 175:10 179:15	destroys 223:6 detail 104:5 136:20 174:4 detailed 125:22 details 127:7 156:17
decisive 199:16 200:6 Deer 61:4 111:4	denying 24:23 25:1,7 department 83:21,22,23 84:15 126:10,12 131:23,	destroys 223:6 detail 104:5 136:20 174:4 detailed 125:22 details 127:7 156:17 196:5 204:22
decisive 199:16 200:6 Deer 61:4 111:4 defective 67:17	denying 24:23 25:1,7 department 83:21,22,23 84:15 126:10,12 131:23, 25 139:18 175:10 179:15 depend 117:15 164:25 179:12	destroys 223:6 detail 104:5 136:20 174:4 detailed 125:22 details 127:7 156:17 196:5 204:22 determine 15:11 16:11
decisive 199:16 200:6 Deer 61:4 111:4 defective 67:17 defense 38:10	denying 24:23 25:1,7 department 83:21,22,23 84:15 126:10,12 131:23, 25 139:18 175:10 179:15 depend 117:15 164:25 179:12 depending 117:15 125:4 132:11 157:10 160:25	destroys 223:6 detail 104:5 136:20 174:4 detailed 125:22 details 127:7 156:17 196:5 204:22
decisive 199:16 200:6 Deer 61:4 111:4 defective 67:17 defense 38:10 deferred 191:21 deficiencies 156:7 define 111:18 133:3,19	denying 24:23 25:1,7 department 83:21,22,23 84:15 126:10,12 131:23, 25 139:18 175:10 179:15 depend 117:15 164:25 179:12 depending 117:15 125:4 132:11 157:10 160:25 166:19 171:15 172:3	destroys 223:6 detail 104:5 136:20 174:4 detailed 125:22 details 127:7 156:17 196:5 204:22 determine 15:11 16:11 17:12 62:24 65:24 66:6,
decisive 199:16 200:6 Deer 61:4 111:4 defective 67:17 defense 38:10 deferred 191:21 deficiencies 156:7	denying 24:23 25:1,7 department 83:21,22,23 84:15 126:10,12 131:23, 25 139:18 175:10 179:15 depend 117:15 164:25 179:12 depending 117:15 125:4 132:11 157:10 160:25 166:19 171:15 172:3 203:16	destroys 223:6 detail 104:5 136:20 174:4 detailed 125:22 details 127:7 156:17 196:5 204:22 determine 15:11 16:11 17:12 62:24 65:24 66:6, 17 69:2,6,7,9 97:5 203:11 226:3 determined 69:15,20
decisive 199:16 200:6 Deer 61:4 111:4 defective 67:17 defense 38:10 deferred 191:21 deficiencies 156:7 define 111:18 133:3,19	denying 24:23 25:1,7 department 83:21,22,23 84:15 126:10,12 131:23, 25 139:18 175:10 179:15 depend 117:15 164:25 179:12 depending 117:15 125:4 132:11 157:10 160:25 166:19 171:15 172:3	detail 104:5 136:20 174:4 detailed 125:22 details 127:7 156:17 196:5 204:22 determine 15:11 16:11 17:12 62:24 65:24 66:6, 17 69:2,6,7,9 97:5 203:11 226:3 determined 69:15,20 176:4 202:9,12 223:15
decisive 199:16 200:6 Deer 61:4 111:4 defective 67:17 defense 38:10 deferred 191:21 deficiencies 156:7 define 111:18 133:3,19 157:19 189:5	denying 24:23 25:1,7 department 83:21,22,23 84:15 126:10,12 131:23, 25 139:18 175:10 179:15 depend 117:15 164:25 179:12 depending 117:15 125:4 132:11 157:10 160:25 166:19 171:15 172:3 203:16 depends 133:3 157:5	detail 104:5 136:20 174:4 detailed 125:22 details 127:7 156:17 196:5 204:22 determine 15:11 16:11 17:12 62:24 65:24 66:6, 17 69:2,6,7,9 97:5 203:11 226:3 determined 69:15,20 176:4 202:9,12 223:15 devastating 71:8
decisive 199:16 200:6 Deer 61:4 111:4 defective 67:17 defense 38:10 deferred 191:21 deficiencies 156:7 define 111:18 133:3,19 157:19 189:5 defined 206:22	denying 24:23 25:1,7 department 83:21,22,23 84:15 126:10,12 131:23, 25 139:18 175:10 179:15 depend 117:15 164:25 179:12 depending 117:15 125:4 132:11 157:10 160:25 166:19 171:15 172:3 203:16 depends 133:3 157:5 169:9 170:3 172:7 204:7,	detail 104:5 136:20 174:4 detailed 125:22 details 127:7 156:17 196:5 204:22 determine 15:11 16:11 17:12 62:24 65:24 66:6, 17 69:2,6,7,9 97:5 203:11 226:3 determined 69:15,20 176:4 202:9,12 223:15

183:20 195:19,25 197:24

199:19 205:5 217:13

218:3,9,25

	Public Hearing April 20, 2020	Index: developmentdomain
development 223:22	directed 13:11 47:25	disparity 224:4
devices 115:20 116:1	179:20	disposition 6:6 7:2 10:12
diagram 80:25 81:17 166:11	direction 59:18 155:14 168:14,22	13:8 17:5 18:6 20:14 23:1 24:18,23 25:8
dial 143:7	directly 61:5 62:14 144:4 175:19 179:23 201:25	dispute 13:11 14:10,23 19:15 20:4 22:23 23:2
dialing 143:1	director 83:8,21 90:6,17	68:15 144:7 149:1,3
diameter 62:13 64:16 188:12	91:3,19 126:7 129:17 131:4	disputed 75:16
differ 168:5	disagree 12:21 19:10,25	disputes 13:13 15:4 144:11
difference 19:7 167:10	67:10 162:10	disruption 154:13
184:7 187:14 188:14	disciplines 160:21	dissuade 77:4
189:2 202:15 205:19,21 209:15	disclosure 37:19 38:18	distance 201:13 203:12
differences 187:16	145:24	distinct 13:17 14:10 62:8
differentiated 96:9	discontinue 30:20	distinction 133:17
differently 5:22 6:3	discuss 6:7 7:4 10:14 18:8 23:6,14 42:20 57:11	distinctly 62:6
198:10	65:12 90:25 128:12	distinguish 134:2
difficult 38:13,15 58:12	215:7	distributed 8:17
60:5 116:5 137:1,2 203:22 204:10	discussed 26:8 27:7	distribution 140:25
	48:25 55:2 101:18 108:12 113:3 128:18,23	184:15,18,25 188:10
difficulty 137:7	153:6 162:19 175:12	district 33:18 46:24
dig 203:1,8,22	207:22	disturbance 175:16
digging 76:24 202:16,17	discusses 55:23	178:14,19,22 201:23
Dimple 131:16	discussing 51:21 52:4	docket 4:5 8:8,10 54:4
dip 11:15,19 130:2	108:14 126:25 130:8	181:5
dips 115:8	discussion 20:13,16 23:18,23 24:12 36:5 41:7	document 8:10 10:3 76:2 79:9,10,11,12,19,23
direct 27:11,24 36:24 39:10 55:9 78:19,22 80:1 81:24 82:8 89:15 90:2 91:15 93:10 122:19 134:9 136:16 143:24	42:10 44:19 45:11 47:13, 20 49:4,16 92:16 93:1 120:25 134:4 148:21,25 150:10 154:11 199:3	80:1,4,6,18,20,22,24 82:2 97:17 173:12,13 175:2 189:20,24 195:22 documents 79:7 81:3,5,
148:4 151:15,17 152:5 153:2 159:8 176:24	discussions 21:21 174:10 192:16 198:18	13,16 114:9 145:17,19 173:17 174:5 200:3

disparities 224:8

202:14 213:15 223:17

225:14

dollars 209:12

domain 157:8

donate 211:16,24 door 207:12,23 double 62:2 64:7 76:14 doubled 64:11 doubles 67:6 doubling 64:11 down 48:9 57:25 64:1 71:2 93:20 94:8 95:23 96:24 99:6,18 100:5,14 101:2,7,13 102:13 104:20 109:11 111:9,10 112:16 113:2 115:9 118:2 129:14 131:11,16 154:23 157:2.9 162:20 212:21,25 213:10,12 downtime 128:18 drafted 25:17 **Draper** 131:15 draw 9:22 97:9 191:12 dream 60:19 driven 119:12 178:7 185:5 **driver** 170:4 drivers 170:18 178:2 driving 121:13 178:6 drop 94:8 114:22 **dropped** 213:18 drops 67:24 115:1 dual 67:2,4,23 87:22 88:2,12 90:12 119:8 122:10,11 174:17,19 180:8 duct 175:8 176:7,12,14 202:22 203:18,19

due 6:22,25 7:2 9:1,13,23

Public Hearing April 20, 2020 10:4 15:23 23:21 32:17 36:2 57:4 66:11 67:17 101:22 104:12,16,19 105:4,19,20 133:23 149:12 154:8,10 184:6 219:2,20 duq 76:23 duly 29:5 151:8 duration 131:6 Е e-mail 15:1 50:23 98:3 181:15 **Eagle** 178:5 earlier 24:5 107:4 118:1 131:24 149:4 162:19 179:14 199:20 201:11 213:9,20 220:18 221:25 early 40:23 55:23 56:12 **easement** 68:16 74:3 76:3 156:20 162:21 190:6,9,19,21 191:9 192:19 193:16 196:9 197:10 198:17 207:5 208:7 209:23 210:3 211:24

easements 68:7,9,23 69:2,7,13,15,18 70:3 71:12,15,18 73:14,22 75:11,19,22 76:7,13,18 77:2 147:9,10 155:24 156:1,8,12,19,23 157:2 159:1 164:9,10 168:18 191:3,8 192:4,12,18 206:1,11,18 208:13 211:5,13,17 212:9,11 216:7,12

easiest 65:1

east 61:6 131:11,16 economic 210:5 **effect** 63:6 140:24 174:6 212:1 efficiency 92:5,12 efficient 48:10 84:5 91:22 137:24 215:5,9 efficiently 171:7 **effort** 132:1 eight-lane 76:10 **elect** 55:20 elected 225:21 **electric** 84:9 92:1 119:6 139:6 223:12 **electrical** 105:4 119:7 139:18 184:12 Electricity 131:22 electronic 52:11 electronics 115:23 Elizabeth 5:14 **else's** 32:10 emergency 135:9 eminent 157:8 employed 183:11 employee 183:16 employees 65:2 **empty** 67:10 89:9 127:3, 4 **enable** 102:9,20 end 19:14 63:10 65:18 70:21 74:24,25 108:3 121:22 146:15 154:2,5 155:11.18 160:10 164:17

166:20 168:13 169:13

179:8,10	199:19
end-user	115:6,7

end-users 129:4 214:7

ended 74:21 227:7

energize 125:11

energized 112:6 127:24 135:8 159:12 160:10

energy 84:5 91:22

engaged 209:10

engineer 166:9 177:19 179:3 184:13 193:14

engineering 123:9 126:9 139:19,25 167:19 168:8, 20 200:1,8

engineers 160:21 193:19,21 213:15

ensuring 84:3 91:20

entertain 22:1 42:11 47:21 54:19

entire 17:11 55:7 61:2 110:12 113:4,6 115:13 145:20 152:21 159:14 165:15 223:22 225:1,17

entirety 103:2 144:19

entities 88:17 110:8 226:2

entitled 79:9 133:10

entity 21:14 111:10 112:15 139:11 205:22

equipment 104:19,23,25 115:3,19 116:2 117:4,13, 14 137:12

erring 92:17

errors 216:4,8

essential 38:9

establish 14:4 15:22 16:12 18:16 54:12,19 68:7 70:11,15 72:4,16 161:16 210:10

established 60:17 66:8 68:6 69:18 177:25

establishing 14:13

estimate 19:18,19,20 69:16 145:15 173:20,23 206:1 209:6,18 225:1,11, 17,24 226:8,13

estimated 68:18,22,24 226:1,5 227:6,8

estimates 206:6 208:11

evaluating 9:17

event 9:11 106:5 107:18, 19 138:19 161:1 169:22 174:3

events 157:24 160:23

eventually 212:8

everlasting 62:10,12

everyone's 43:7 144:17

evidence 9:15 19:16,22 20:5 37:24 38:1,5 46:23 64:5 66:16 67:16,25 70:18 72:2 74:7 78:3 149:25 194:17,18 209:13,18

exact 126:23 127:19 156:17

exaggerated 63:13

examination 66:11 78:19 136:14 151:15 221:14

examine 22:16

examined 29:6 151:9

examples 146:24

exceed 177:24

exceeding 70:20

exceeds 114:11

excess 15:11 16:11 17:13,16 19:12 65:24 66:3,6,17 69:8,19 133:22 144:13 152:8 163:4 205:15,18,25 206:22 207:2,8,9,12,13,17,19 219:23

exclude 30:19 33:7 38:1 39:5 47:17 50:8

excluded 38:7 44:6,7,11 93:21 144:19

excluding 34:1,14 38:8 39:14 40:5.11 48:20

exclusion 38:3 45:14 65:1

exclusionary 29:19 30:6, 18 33:14 39:12 52:4

exclusions 38:4 48:17

excuse 142:18 155:4 226:16

executive 52:12 225:23 226:9 227:25

exercise 17:23 70:1 71:11

exhibit 80:15 97:25 185:15,23 186:5,15 189:18 190:11 193:5 196:7

exhibits 60:14 82:9 97:22 98:4

exist 76:18 119:5 192:5

existence 197:8

existing 58:22 64:14 155:25 187:19 188:24

Index: existsfairly		
F		
Fabian 5:4		
fabrication 166:18,19		
face 111:11		
faced 60:6		
facilities 76:12 117:1 158:12 172:7 197:9 203:13		
facility 4:4 37:5 43:12 46:6 52:2 154:25 177:5 181:5 184:8,9,14		
fact 13:12,13 14:10 37:5, 24 54:14 57:18 63:9 75:5 77:14 152:13 174:2 177:17 185:5 209:22 217:3 220:14		
factor 130:12		
facts 23:2 37:19 75:16 145:24 194:17		
factual 12:21 13:6 17:22 22:11,15,23 150:1		
failed 11:21,23 12:7,11 66:4 146:10		
failing 75:14		
fails 126:21		
failure 70:16 75:10,14 125:2		
fair 32:9 34:6 41:10,11,		

190:8,18,19 191:3,8 192:12 193:6 194:2,9,14 195:16 196:11,19 197:1, 3,8 198:24

exists 188:18 190:22

exited 153:24

expand 76:8

expect 34:12 163:20 169:12 170:9 173:10

expectation 165:4

expected 87:17 134:13, 16 174:1

expense 67:15 119:10 135:7

expensive 204:5

experience 49:8 79:17 99:5 102:3 156:25 157:15,17 158:20 169:6 204:4 210:25 223:24

experienced 97:15 154:8 173:15

experiences 179:19

expert 54:13 67:20 87:10.12 88:21 116:10 124:20 192:19 215:25 217:1

expert's 68:1

expertise 125:5 127:11 132:24 179:5,11

experts 15:22 68:21 70:15

explain 30:4 48:16 55:12 73:5 79:12 86:25 101:17 110:19 133:14 164:1,2 215:24

explained 166:6

explanation 87:12

explicitly 80:18

explore 23:23 123:20

exported 81:14

exposed 135:20

exposure 108:21 113:15 114:2,4,6,21 213:23 222:21

17 48:10 49:13 78:4

106:18 107:16 109:18

110:13 111:9 129:23

130:23,24 155:16,21

212:6 213:8

fairly 157:25

158:14,18 180:16 204:16

express 118:18,24

expressed 10:18,19 12:10 24:8 73:20 139:5,6

expressing 95:13

extended 106:6 107:8

extending 107:11 175:16 201:24

extends 194:9

extensively 59:2

extent 12:20 95:2 119:1 122:7 126:13 132:2 141:19 149:17 163:24 165:1 188:11 208:20 219:6

exterior 130:3

external 171:8

externalities 170:3

extra 67:8,15,24 124:17, 24 126:3,5 127:22,23 135:6

extras 67:23

extremely 57:17 66:20 161:9,15 209:6

eye 21:1 61:1

Index: fairness..formed

	April 20, 2020	index. fairnessforme
fairness 32:9 41:9 44:10	202:16,17	fit 47:8
48:13,14	felt 119:17 217:2	Fitzgerald 24:19,20 31:8,
faith 35:17	fewer 135:25 167:15	14 45:19,21 46:10 47:3
fall 65:18 164:17,18,20	field 179:5	49:5,6,15,22,23 97:21 98:6,7,11 140:11,13
false 162:9	fields 61:7 172:17,19	150:17,18
familiar 81:5 89:6 160:22	fight 212:8	fixed 173:19
174:3 185:18 186:1,10 187:5 188:2 192:15,16,	fighting 71:24	flawed 22:2
22 196:20 197:19 216:5,	figure 74:25 188:5	flexibility 43:18
6	figures 193:18	flimsy 77:7
farmland 61:6	file 22:4 89:12 157:21	floor 170:9
fat 68:4	210:23	flow 81:20 121:24
fatally 67:17	filed 7:18,20 8:5,9 10:3	flown 127:12
fault 96:12,13,16,19,20	25:17 27:10 36:24 55:16 58:20 158:17 218:14,16	fluid 118:8
faults 97:12	filing 7:24 10:8 218:13	focus 60:16
favor 24:20,22,25 63:2	•	focused 93:13 123:6
150:12	filings 4:23 6:1 25:16 final 18:8 41:6 90:22	focuses 225:25
feasibility 145:16 147:3, 5 226:7	146:15 147:17	follow 64:4 84:16 155:3
February 163:4 172:11	finality 57:20	178:9 180:13
222:5	finalizing 168:19	follow-up 33:16 227:21
federal 223:18	finally 57:13 71:10 82:1	follow-ups 33:25
federally 121:16	158:17	footage 206:11
fee 173:19	find 9:24 154:4 218:19	force 154:16,22
feeding 162:14	fine 65:4 87:13 96:3	forecasted 114:15
feel 5:20 21:9 25:11 42:1	120:5 182:16 192:6	foreclose 120:6
60:11 61:17,23 87:14	finish 26:15 117:10	foresee 106:20
92:17,18 191:11 198:9, 10	155:18,20 162:18 166:23 169:4,7 180:23 221:3	foreseeable 110:1
feeling 62:17 118:25	225:20	forget 188:16
fees 73:13	finished 19:4 43:2 70:6,9	forgot 124:2 150:23
feet 62:13 64:13,16 76:3,	71:9,21 103:17 108:2 154:2 171:23	form 15:21 34:2 44:1
17 187:20 188:22 189:4,	finishes 169:3	152:15 157:8 203:18
15,16 190:19,22,24	firm 45:1 118:7	formal 37:14
191:4,10 193:7 194:2,9, 14 195:17 196:10 197:3	mm ≒5.1 110. <i>1</i>	formed 202:23

14 195:17 196:10 197:3

Index: Fort..grant

Fort 74:17 **full-time** 183:16 giving 34:8,15 36:3 forward 6:12,13 7:9,11, fully 34:12 61:15 glamorous 67:23 15,18 8:23 12:3 13:25 function 45:1 83:20 **GLENN** 23:16 25:4 30:2 14:6 25:10 50:10,20 117:4 45:13 50:4 141:9,16,24 54:12,20 60:7 63:24 functioning 94:3 goal 155:17 178:13 76:20 78:7,16 82:16 87:15 90:7,17 92:20 functions 11:1 goals 199:8 212:19 93:17 98:3,10,11 103:9 fundamental 173:1,7 **Golden** 178:5 123:22 152:6 154:20 155:9 165:24 168:18,19 **funded** 222:4 **good** 4:3 5:13 28:15 171:21 200:14 205:13 35:17 51:7 75:3 83:6,7 funding 12:8 58:11 212:11 215:7,8 85:1 88:11 98:14 99:5,16 223:16 100:4 116:16 128:11 found 38:4 funds 11:9 163:9 165:13 133:18 153:21 156:25 foundation 82:10 186:18 167:4 182:24 210:25 209:1,17 210:9,11 future 23:25 92:25 110:1 **Gordon** 5:4,8 9:2 10:13 fourth 39:22,24 129:10 13:9 14:16 15:7 16:8,10, 20,22,23 27:4 29:17,18, frame 99:14 109:21 23,25 30:4,14 31:1,6,16, G 164:18 165:11 168:4 25 32:2,4 33:1,3,20 34:4, 210:16 20 35:10 36:18 40:3 gained 211:11 frames 107:23 41:6,8,20,25 42:6,8 **gates** 62:4 **frankly** 144:16 47:25 48:3 50:14,18 **gears** 197:22 222:25 52:25 53:4,13 59:22,24 free 5:20 92:17 166:11 72:19 73:7,20 82:17 general 46:11 65:16 frequent 136:3 83:2,5 86:24 87:5,13,16 95:15 175:23 90:1,4 91:16 93:8,17,19, frequently 26:23 43:9,14 generally 9:4 123:5,16 22 98:7,14,18 115:13 friction 157:7 124:15 174:13,14 204:20 117:8,17 119:21 123:23 212:22 124:1,8,11 128:2,4,17 Friday 8:6 135:5 138:4,5 142:13,21 generation 70:23 front 38:18 42:13 47:11 143:9,15 151:12 153:15, 49:2 61:22 62:14 64:20 geotechnical 168:4 17,20 180:16,20 199:21 65:5 68:11,12 98:10 227:14,20,24 get all 164:14 148:22 182:11 183:21 give 12:19 16:4 18:7 **Gordon's** 39:16 149:4 185:15,17 196:16,17 210:20 212:18 22:21 34:13 44:2 53:7 217:14 225:3 65:22 76:2.9 87:12 90:22 **governing** 37:9 46:22 frozen 170:11.16 172:21 92:24 96:1 97:5 98:8 Governor 52:11 frustrated 63:14 103:6.22 113:12 147:14. 17 170:22 175:1 177:1 **full** 37:19 38:17 92:16,19 grant 33:14 87:8 93:3 195:15 201:19 207:11 144:5 208:1 93:1 145:24 210:10

Index: granted..Heber guessing 171:1 **hardest** 162:20 granted 48:4 82:15 144:9 148:12 153:10 163:11 guesstimate 158:19 harkening 118:21 164:2 187:10 190:16 **guidance** 42:3 54:18 harm 48:22 65:19 granting 158:8 98:9 207:11 hate 141:12 graphical 81:18 guideline 101:22 head 74:5 147:23 grappling 42:15 **guides** 46:24 **health** 72:10 grateful 77:25 78:6 quy 90:7 91:7 148:8 hear 14:3 22:7 28:23 **grave** 73:2 **guys** 143:10 30:9 31:18 34:10 38:7.16 great 28:13 47:8 51:13 68:20 122:17 134:19 59:17 148:8 149:18 143:12,13 212:3 Н greater 81:1 210:5 heard 31:22 32:17 35:22 **habit** 210:2 44:3 48:18,23 64:5 74:23 greatly 63:13 103:6 143:18 184:14 **haggle** 212:8 green 39:23 40:1 201:8 211:25 212:2.4 Hale 141:21 grid 83:20,23 84:15 hearing 4:7,10 6:4 7:13 Hale-midway 102:2 131:25 10:6,9 13:3 15:9,16 17:1 18:4 20:12 24:14 26:11, grossly 77:8 half 26:20 27:1 61:20 19 29:15 37:15,18,22 131:8 184:19 201:6 ground 128:15 154:2,5 38:13,24 41:5,24 42:9,17 hand 44:2 58:17 73:16 156:13 162:17 164:4 44:1 46:15 47:15,18 136:6 139:17 200:16 167:12,18 170:11,16 48:23 49:18 51:7 52:2 172:21 203:23 handed 212:10 223:21 54:16,23 56:18 57:2,9,10 58:3,24 60:9,11 69:23 grounds 210:9 224:6 handful 198:16 77:22 82:14 93:2 121:18 **group** 72:25 123:10 **hanging** 161:25 123:21 141:13,15 145:23 126:10 139:23,25 180:5 146:19 147:16 148:17 happen 69:5 109:4,6 groupings 206:6 153:9 166:7 180:9 165:12 214:9 182:14 190:15 groups 160:24 happened 100:1 109:11 hearings 26:9,19 43:9 125:21 143:7 174:14 guard 138:13 144:5 145:11 148:7 176:14 **guess** 14:19,24 20:17,18 160:18 190:1 211:16 happening 31:11 130:13, 21:11 23:25 31:20 32:22 213:14 16 35:11,20,24 36:3 39:25 hearsay 38:2 42:15,21 45:21 101:12 happy 41:15 209:1 105:13 110:9 112:12 heavy 225:12 214:24 215:3.11 219:13 121:1,14,19,25 137:17 **Heber** 55:18 58:15 59:6 hard 46:18 128:15 143:21 149:10 159:25 60:24 61:23 64:6 70:18, 141:13 157:1 203:21 161:8 169:19 178:8 22 71:16 75:19,23 76:2,

11 79:20 81:1 88:4

100:15,18 101:21 103:3

221:4 227:16

harder 141:14 204:5

180:3 189:6 211:19

215:17

110:15,23 111:2,11,14, 16 112:13,19 114:10 115:4,14 129:21 133:1,7 136:19 155:23,25 156:3, 13,24 160:25 163:12 164:4,23,25 172:1 185:21 191:2,6,22 197:1, 19 198:1 199:8 212:21 215:20 225:12 226:4 Heidi 5:4 height 188:11,22 **heights** 189:13 held 56:18 57:6,11 109:17 **helicopter** 99:12 107:24 129:14,24 helicopters 107:9 130:22 135:21 137:9 helpful 10:1 16:2 46:11 53:23 118:9,13 122:20 170:24 helps 102:24 113:8 117:17 Herbert's 52:12 heretofore 74:23

high 20:1 66:14,20 139:24 141:1 174:9 204:18,20 223:5

high-level 19:20

higher 64:13 171:15 184:21 198:23

highlight 68:23

highly 154:4

highway 11:16 62:1 76:10 185:18,24 200:17

hills 62:10

hired 195:14

historical 197:23

historically 184:18 185:2

history 82:3 99:9 104:5 107:17 109:7 114:3 147:20

hit 142:25 147:22

hitting 129:25

HL&P 58:20 64:10 187:19

hold 57:2 58:3 64:1 218:7

home 25:24 61:9,14,18 63:3,6 116:7

homeowners 62:11

homes 62:15 73:18 116:25 117:5 128:21

Homestead 61:10

Honda 67:19

honest 196:23

honor 7:16 8:12 17:9 25:14 29:17 42:1 50:16 82:19 138:5 207:1

hope 42:3 54:11,18 60:20 162:1 218:17

horizontal 201:10,15

horribly 77:20

hour 26:20 27:1 100:12 201:6

hours 60:2 98:16,19,21, 23 99:7,24,25 100:12 104:16 105:8,12 106:15, 23,25 107:12 108:18,21 113:15 114:1,13,19,21 148:6 house 61:22 113:20

Index: Heidi..impact

housekeeping 53:1

houses 57:7

hulking 64:15

human 180:4

humidity 141:2

hundreds 61:12

hung 98:12

hurts 44:11 100:15

hyperbole 61:7

hypothetical 196:24

I

Ice 61:9

idea 30:2 74:1 180:8

ideal 115:18

Ideally 157:8

identified 8:23 27:9,12 79:10 80:14,21 81:4 82:9 91:9 93:8,15 97:8 114:8 139:20

identifies 12:2

identify 4:12,16 89:25 90:2 139:15 171:5,25 172:1 186:24 224:10

IEEE 174:24

imagine 61:13,23 62:15 63:4,12

immaterial 144:16

immediately 25:18 39:6

impact 61:15 64:22 68:13 72:11 73:3 94:13 115:10 132:6,10 159:5 190:5 198:5 199:7

206:11 222:2	incorrectly 6:21	inserting 201:14
impacted 65:6 216:19	increase 189:9	insiders 186:4
impactful 198:12	increased 108:20	insistence 70:6
impacts 198:2	increases 62:3 67:15	insists 65:17
implement 17:14	increasing 106:16	install 67:18 155:24
implies 77:16 91:6	108:14	163:17,18 166:1 172:12 188:19 196:9
important 56:6 60:6,12	incur 132:21	installed 64:21 67:9
94:18 146:2 149:19 150:4 173:23	indicating 15:2 33:18	109:19 154:2 168:15
impose 45:3 159:2	indication 15:2 44:4	176:6 200:13
imposed 12:8	individuals 160:24	installing 133:24 164:15
·	industrial 62:6	instance 95:17 124:15
impossibility 17:22 71:22	industry 13:23 67:14 88:1 95:5 116:24 124:20	instances 32:7 97:8 135:25
impression 139:17 149:7	175:6 179:6	instantaneous 96:13
improve 28:14 84:9 91:25	inferences 9:22	Institute 94:5
in-house 125:5 127:11	influence 171:8	insupportable 74:4
inadequate 113:16 209:9	influenced 30:8 119:2 178:3	insured 18:15
inappropriately 227:3	information 34:16 56:19	integrated 132:3
inception 60:18	59:2 66:5 69:21,23,24	integrity 32:13
incident 169:23	81:24 84:12 94:16 105:6	intend 23:19 28:4 75:23
inclined 22:6 87:11,12	145:13,14,19 147:5,6 155:6 158:3 173:12,14	118:4,10 119:15 142:7
include 11:19 171:21	176:23 179:23 192:9	intended 53:9 62:10
174:17 206:16 207:5,9	193:23 194:11,19,25 213:5 215:19	226:7
208:6 217:16	informed 213:14	intends 30:10 64:3
included 10:19 13:19	infrastructure 62:3	intent 53:4
66:23 67:11 150:2 174:20 188:7 205:25	165:25	interconnect 110:20
221:22 225:17	infrequently 44:24	interconnected 111:21 112:1
includes 6:23 114:10	initial 20:18 21:11,17	interest 9:6 73:8
including 26:22 145:14 179:16	53:19 initially 101:23 206:5	interested 34:14 118:25 121:3,18
inclusion 216:4	input 126:10	interesting 33:21
incorrect 29:12	inquired 7:25	interface 203:13

	7 tp 20, 2020	mack maniferenjanoarene
interfere 111:2 163:4	irrelevant 130:25 144:7	Jewkes 5:9 9:9 10:1
interim 48:9	187:3 208:21	14:19 16:19 59:22 142:14,21 143:20,22
internal 206:5	issue 48:7 57:15,22,24	144:23 146:11,15
interpret 178:19	58:22 59:13 69:11 70:1 89:1 90:3 94:11 95:2	147:17,22 148:14 227:14
interpretation 177:6,9,14	96:20 99:12 100:16 101:19 106:11 107:24	job 34:5 67:19 84:22 129:17 131:3 169:10
206:21 220:8	111:22,23 112:6 119:19,	
interpretations 184:21	24 120:14,23,25 123:20	jobs 65:23
interpreted 179:3	125:4 126:20 127:16	join 17:10 39:4
interprets 179:16	129:20 130:7,8,25 136:4, 5 137:4,6 139:16 144:7	joinder 8:6,16,19
interrupt 4:15 5:17	146:5 149:16 152:15	joined 145:1
141:12 192:2 214:6	182:13 199:15 207:22	joining 146:12
interrupting 129:25	215:8 220:11 224:10	joint 58:20 62:2,3 64:10
interruptions 162:14	issued 10:16 57:13,14,21 58:16	Jonsson's 218:16
212:20 213:1,10,11 intertwined 9:14	issues 9:4,8 12:22 13:6	JORDAN 14:18 16:2,9,16 20:17 23:19 24:25 31:20
intervene 77:23 78:1	23:24,25 53:1 54:14 59:4	32:18 34:22 35:11 42:11
introduce 27:19	60:5 104:12 106:16 121:21 122:3 125:8	43:3 46:13 50:2 121:1
introduced 27:21	129:21 137:3 138:15	122:15 140:16 149:10 150:8,20
inventory 127:16	139:20 144:21 146:23 149:1 157:7 170:11	Jordanelle 86:4,13
•	204:1,2,3 214:8	159:7,15 200:13
investigation 75:21 invite 63:20 92:25	issuing 48:9,13	Josh 143:10
invited 204:25	item 108:23 119:10	Joshua 5:9
invoke 29:18 30:5 33:6	items 66:24	jotted 118:2
		judge 33:6 75:1
invoked 30:18 38:13	J	judges 33:15
invoking 38:3	jacked 77:20	judicial 33:4,11 47:9
involved 85:1 125:20 127:9,10 131:9,14,20,23,	Jake 27:13 28:5,7,22	July 56:20
24 132:2,10 144:4	29:4 78:13 153:22	jump 5:24 13:2 26:24
157:12 173:16 174:7,9,	January 56:1 172:10	87:7 92:25 146:17
10,16 176:4 202:10,12, 14 204:17,22 223:13,17	Jason 27:12 225:15	June 55:11
involvement 208:18	jaundiced 21:1	jurisdictional 205:21
210:14	Jerry 215:13	jurisdictions 71:13
involving 208:19	•	140:25 164:8

jury 75:1 justifiable 67:5 justification 66:25 justified 72:3 justify 71:6 72:9

Κ

kicks 116:8 **kind** 6:2,18 14:20,22 21:16 53:6 60:16 87:19 96:21 103:7,22 110:11 121:11,17 154:19 158:18

167:20 170:25 182:3 191:11

knew 99:3

kick 20:17

knowing 53:17 63:4,12, 14 118:25

knowledge 104:7,11 105:25 127:1 128:25 148:3 156:18 179:4 200:24

Korea 154:13

KSL 60:22

kv 61:19,20 64:7 67:3 86:3 100:23 101:4 102:2 112:4 125:18 132:14 136:25 156:7 159:6 160:4 161:5,23 162:14 184:8,13,19,20,22,24 185:1,3,4 187:16,17 188:25 189:1 190:10,18 193:6,15,17 214:12

L

laid 140:23

land 61:16 74:11,20

landowner 76:1

landowners 68:17 69:4 72:25 74:12 75:8 158:3 198:14,16,19 206:17 211:6,13

language 177:19 178:19 181:16 187:15 193:11 205:20

large 5:11 40:12 135:23 175:25 176:17 202:6 203:17

largely 39:15 175:5

larger 202:23

largest 62:14

late 4:23 6:1 8:6

lateral 201:15 203:10

laterally 201:12

latest 221:3

law 8:3 35:7 47:5,10 76:7

lawsuit 74:20 157:21 158:17

lawyer 192:3,8 216:10

lawyers 185:11

lay 209:1

laying 173:3

lead 131:25 152:19 183:2

226:17

leading 224:6 226:20 227:3

leads 48:18

leave 21:17 32:15 126:2

ledge 35:5

Lefevre 7:19 25:20 27:14

Lefevre's 195:19

left 183:16 188:1,3,5,15 221:9 227:16

left-hand 186:5

legal 17:22 30:22 41:20 44:9,17 48:17 191:12,20 192:17,23 205:20 206:20,23 219:6,9 220:8, 10 223:18

legislative 46:18 47:8

legitimate 72:8

length 157:17 173:3,5,24 210:20 225:18

lengths 173:8,11,17,18

lengthy 53:18

letters 154:17

Levar 4:3,14 5:6,10,15, 24 8:4,15,20 9:9,25 12:17 14:14 16:17,24 17:25 20:8 21:19 22:17 23:10,13,17 24:8,10,19, 22 25:2,6 26:1 27:17,25 28:7,9,12,20,24 29:8,20, 24 30:13 31:5,13 32:1,2, 19 33:1,16,24 34:19 35:8 36:9 38:20 41:1,19 42:5, 23,24 43:6 45:9,18,24 46:21 47:2,24 48:15 49:14,22,24,25 50:3,5, 14,17 51:5,15,19 52:1,25 53:3,12,24 54:3,11 59:20 72:18,23 78:9 82:11,20, 24 87:7 89:22 90:1,21 92:7 97:19 98:2 117:20 118:7,12,15,16 120:7,11 121:1 122:25 123:17 124:2,6 128:3 136:9 138:2,6,23 140:9,14,18 142:1,6,10,20 143:3,13, 18 144:22 145:4 146:8

147:12 148:13 149:22 150:6,9,14,16,19,21,23 151:3,11 153:4,14 180:19 181:4,19 182:5, 12,17 186:16,21,23 187:1,8 190:13 194:20 195:10 206:24 207:10,20 208:22 210:7 215:4 216:21 219:11,15,24 220:9,24 221:10 224:9, 16 226:19 227:1,12

level 92:15 102:23 103:2 114:7,9,11 139:24 140:22 154:16 157:6 174:4,9 204:18,20 223:16

levels 115:21

liability 35:3 119:7

licensing 182:3

lie 74:12

life 62:17 109:18,22 110:12

lifting 225:12

lifts 121:13

light 39:22 40:22 61:23 64:6 70:19,22 75:19,23 76:2,11 88:4 100:15,18 110:15,23 111:2,11,14, 17 112:13,20 115:4,14 155:23,25 156:3 160:25 164:25 172:1 191:2,6,23 197:1,19 198:1 199:8 225:12 226:4

lightning 96:14

likelihood 70:24 71:20 107:1 135:19 176:3,20 202:8

limit 54:9 87:11 178:21 211:5

limited 76:7 144:21 207:25

limiting 176:2,19 202:8

limits 54:13,19 102:11,16

lines 5:12 46:19 52:14 55:25 56:3 64:9.25 65:7 68:12 70:14 71:2,3 73:4, 11,18 74:19 76:5 81:21 82:4 88:8,17 93:23 94:3, 8,11,14 101:2,4 102:3,15 103:10,11,12 104:1,3,15, 20 105:5,15 106:5,11,21 107:20 109:21 111:9 112:24 114:4 125:18 128:17 131:2,18,19 132:14 134:22 135:12.22 136:19,25 137:5 138:15 139:11 141:1,4,11,19 149:11 156:12 160:4 161:5,23 162:14 170:20 183:23 184:5.15 185:3 188:24 195:17 197:15 198:20 203:14,15 205:12 211:14 212:20,25 213:10,12 214:14,17 217:2,21

lineup 111:16

linkage 122:9

list 97:25

listed 183:25 187:19

listen 30:16 31:4 34:8 39:17 40:9,12 41:12,24 43:25 44:13 49:10 50:25 153:22

listened 149:3

listening 34:1 44:1 45:5 47:18 48:20 50:9 63:19 148:25

listens 32:10

literally 64:20

litigation 75:6 76:21

live 37:2 60:19 61:17 62:11 65:3 93:13 198:22

lives 73:3

living 62:9 73:3 75:5

load 102:10 104:20 112:8 113:4 114:7,9,11,18 115:2,17,22 117:12 161:20,25 162:5 168:25 171:14,15 214:9,14 222:8,11,18

load-only 161:21

loading 81:8 102:22 114:14 172:4

loadings 129:6

loads 70:20 116:11 160:25 166:11

local 187:19

located 175:19 201:25

location 11:14 52:7,10, 17 60:19 137:7 197:13 216:18

locations 101:20

locked 98:1

lodge 41:16

lodged 9:11 15:14,25

long 57:17 72:12 76:6 86:5 99:23 100:10 103:10,22 127:2 131:2,7 152:19 156:22 157:2,15 158:19 162:17 164:13, 20,22 165:9,24 166:22 167:3 194:2 197:11

longer 97:8 99:7 106:17 136:2 160:14 161:7,17

Index: longest..means

162:12 211:9 143:11.23 M longest 97:15 98:17 manageable 22:24 104:15 105:3,8,16 **management** 197:18,20 made 39:6 43:15 47:23 166:22 50:6 51:20 52:3 56:23 manager 91:9 145:9 looked 14:25 25:15 87:1 77:18,23 86:4,13 89:23 147:2 154:1 156:22 95:18 97:23 104:4 133:7 143:21 211:15 160:20 179:8 183:2,6 113:18 140:24 180:2 216:9 217:23 219:7 managing 198:14 191:22 220:6 221:19 mandatory 46:3,5 52:13, loop 112:2,5,9 magically 58:4 looped 111:21 main 83:20,23 84:15 manner 7:9 137:24 131:11,25 213:22 lose 63:22 214:17 manufactured 168:1 maintain 109:21 129:3 loses 70:23 72:2 153:5 177:12 190:25 manufacturers 166:12 losing 106:9 214:13 maintained 109:23 map 172:3 226:4 loss 104:20 105:4 176:8,15 mapped 189:13 225:22 lost 128:21 155:11 maintaining 9:6 March 10:22 **lot** 19:19 25:23 39:7.23 maintenance 176:1,18 Mark 5:13 7:16 25:14 49:7 74:21 106:1 121:4 202:6 72:24 182:25 135:19 152:12 185:5 majestic 60:25 199:3 **marked** 205:5 majeure 154:16,22 **loud** 21:6 massive 64:19 68:10 major 99:14 119:10 106:19 **love** 61:16 213:25 material 144:7 165:9 low 71:21 101:23 115:17 majority 63:18 111:19 181:13 219:3 222:9 116:3 166:20 169:11 195:21 210:4 materially 167:24 make 4:9,15 6:2 7:21 lowball 209:6 materials 58:9 135:16 10:11 16:21 23:5,7,20,24 137:11 152:19 164:11,14 lower 115:24 135:20 26:6,7 34:6,9,11 38:16 200:24 201:1 220:16 169:13 225:13 42:22 45:20 48:4 49:13 math 190:23 51:11 58:9,13 59:5,24 lowest 188:9 72:13 79:3 84:1 98:9 matter 6:7 36:25 44:10 **Lowrey** 218:10,13 101:25 103:5 112:12 158:7 186:20 191:7 Lowrey's 218:15 152:1 155:10 157:24 matters 4:23 5:25 42:18 171:10 181:11,25 182:2 lunch 27:2 120:10,11 192:23 204:25 207:24 **mayor** 55:20 lying 135:8 215:2 meaning 110:1 makes 23:2 58:12 means 17:14 21:10 24:3 making 9:2 43:21 47:16 64:8 69:7 113:17 114:2 48:12 55:25 62:6 84:22

Index: meant..minutes

159:17 170:6 190:21,23 201:12

meant 226:6

measures 6:24 117:2

mechanics 30:14

mechanism 183:9

meet 10:25 11:21,24 12:8,11 18:19 68:25 84:9 86:10 92:1,12 93:14 102:15 117:1 119:3 166:17 177:11 187:20

meeting 30:15 34:2 43:23 46:17 52:11

meetings 31:23 32:24 33:19 34:3 35:2 36:1 39:14 41:22 43:9,10,20, 23 44:25 45:15 46:2,15 47:6 49:8 56:25 145:11

megawatt 102:22

megawatts 81:20 102:10,20 103:3 111:20 113:5 114:11,14,16

member 6:14 7:8,10,11 12:25 14:15,16 18:2 20:15 23:14 24:9 29:25 49:17 120:20 148:15,17 221:4

members 4:8 10:7 16:25 18:5 20:10,13 21:21 22:20 23:6 24:12 26:9 31:6 32:20 34:21 35:3 36:12,17 38:22,24 41:3 42:7 44:18 45:25 46:8 54:15 60:1 92:11,18,23 93:2 120:14 123:22 146:10,12,16,20 147:15 149:8

memorandum 6:18 7:24 8:3 13:17 223:2

mentioned 54:25 107:25 131:1 136:17 148:2 179:14 217:4

mere 68:18

merits 9:14,17

met 12:3 44:24 55:11 86:5

metal 64:15

metered 79:18

methods 170:6

Michaelis 27:14

mid 169:13

midday 27:2

middle 170:2

Midway 4:6 5:7,9 6:9,17, 22,24 7:3 8:6,16,25 10:5, 8,17,22 11:3,7,16,21 12:5,19 13:4,10 16:25 17:12,22 19:16,25 20:6 22:13 27:8,14 29:9,18 36:3.19 39:2 42:10 50:7. 15 52:4 55:8,19,22,23 56:2,4,9,17,24 57:1,5,6, 13 58:1,12,14,17,21 60:5,15,17,23 61:14 62:17,22 63:3,18 64:3,8 65:8,14,20,22 66:1,3,7 67:6,9,18,20 68:10,22 70:5 71:17,25 72:5,9 73:6,8 74:1 75:5,15 76:13,25 77:24 82:18,25 86:4,13 100:24 103:15 108:1 112:5,14 120:13 123:13,19 130:18 133:1, 22 134:13,15 135:7 141:21 142:11,19 143:4 144:3,15 145:11,13,17 146:23 147:4.7.21 150:3 153:5 159:3,7,15 163:2,

8,18,19 165:20 168:14, 21 175:12 186:10 188:6, 9 189:14,25 190:2 191:4, 9 194:3,15 195:17 196:19 197:2 198:2,4,6, 18 199:1,5,7,23 200:18, 25 201:2 205:25 207:18 209:3,10 210:5 220:17 222:1 223:1,3 224:13,19, 25 225:3 226:12,23

Midway's 17:10 39:5 60:14 97:23 118:20 119:17

migratory 178:4,5

mile 61:21 66:21 77:10, 12 127:10 130:18

mile-long 73:25

miles 71:14 163:13 225:2

million 66:14,21 67:22, 25 74:22 77:10,13 225:2

millions 209:11

mind 7:22 15:14 22:1,14 42:24 43:5 53:19 77:11 122:11 202:15

mine 21:24 184:2

minimally 108:17

minimize 63:6 72:10

minimized 64:11

minimum 108:18

miniscule 70:25

minor 109:5

minus 113:4 126:19

minute 54:24 97:5

212:16

minutes 51:16,17 97:9 98:20,22 149:4 153:6

Index: misdemeanor..move

181:2 227:16

misdemeanor 35:2

missed 122:20 218:2

missing 69:24

misstates 216:14

mistakes 66:11 67:17,22

Misters 25:20

misunderstanding

112:25

misunderstood 90:16

178:16

mitigate 108:5,11 126:17

137:18

mixing 95:9

model 79:15,22 81:18

models 79:18

modified 40:22 130:9

moment 12:19 18:7

51:23 61:13 92:11 96:1

98:8 147:14

momentary 97:10

moments 60:8 153:11

money 14:8 63:15 65:15

74:9 76:21 77:9 163:3 206:16 211:14 219:1.2

monies 133:10

months 65:22 70:13 73:1

76:20 109:7 110:10

126:22 138:16.20 155:19 157:4,10 158:7,10,12,15

164:24 165:2 166:13,24

172:11,17

Mordor 62:4

morning 4:3 5:13 8:17

63:25 83:6,7 124:7 150:3

180:23 182:7 221:7

Morris 5:13 7:16,17 8:11, 22 17:9 18:1.3 19:2

25:14,15 39:1,4 41:2,4

50:22,23 51:6 53:14,17

72:20,22,24 78:10 128:5,

7,10 136:7,10 138:7,8,

12,22,24 142:18 144:24

145:1,5 146:11 181:6,9, 10,20 182:5,9,16,20,23,

25 186:14,20,22 187:2,3,

11,12 190:11,17 194:20,

22 195:7,12,13 206:24

207:1,10,14 208:4,6,22,

24 209:4,21 210:7,12,13

214:21 215:11,12

216:15,20,24 218:12,15,

20 219:11,13,17,24

220:1,2,12,13,21,25

224:5 226:16

motion 6:19 7:1 8:2 15:1 17:11 23:5,7,11,14,16,

18,20,22 24:11,15,17

25:1,5,7 39:5 41:7,9

45:16 47:16,20,21,23 48:4,16 49:2,7,13,16

50:6 51:21 77:22 82:15

147:18 148:22 150:7

152:20 153:8,9 186:17

187:10 190:14,16

motions 21:22 22:19 45:12 149:23

motivation 74:6

motor 115:2,22

motors 116:15

Mount 64:18

mountain 4:5 5:1,3,5 6:5 7:5 10:10,20 11:17

12:13,22 13:7,15,20

14:2,22 17:4,6,15,21

18:5,22 20:7,14,22 25:12

27:4 32:21 34:24 35:12

36:6,12 38:11,25 41:11 44:3 50:7 51:3,10 52:20

55:6 56:8,14,19 57:22

58:13 61:3,24 63:9 64:24

65:2 66:4 67:1,11,18

68:3,17,21 69:3,22 70:6,

8,10,15 71:1,5,11,17

72:1 73:13,17,21 74:8,

14,24 75:18,24 76:15

77:5,18 78:5,12 80:9

83:9,13,17 84:4,6,23

85:17,24 90:6 91:21,23

94:3 102:4,8 104:19,23,

25 105:10,17,20 106:8

107:5 109:14,16 110:4,

16,21 111:3,13 112:18,

19 115:14 120:16

125:17,25 129:1 131:7

132:21 133:4,10,21

134:21 137:21,22 142:19

143:2 144:3,11 145:21

146:3 152:7 156:11

160:1 163:5 170:1

173:15,22 174:20 175:3

179:12 181:15,17

183:12,18 187:24 188:18

191:3,7,15 192:13 194:1

195:14 196:7 197:14,25

199:8,12 200:24 202:24

205:14 206:16 207:15

208:9,14 209:5,9 212:7 219:19,22 220:4 224:18

Mountain's 70:19 75:10 97:24 98:4 132:8 185:11

193:3 209:25

mountains 60:25

move 6:12 8:23,25 10:10

12:3 13:3 17:2 20:13

23:2,10 25:12 26:7 31:16

32:20 36:12,13,17 38:23

41:7 42:9 49:19 50:13,20

52:19 53:16 54:12,20

Index: moves..object

60:20 82:21 87:15 93:17 98:11 99:17 103:9 117:22 120:22 122:24 123:19 149:24 150:5 152:6 154:20 155:9 165:12,13,23 180:25 200:21 205:13 208:25 210:18 212:11

moves 50:10

moving 6:13 7:9,11,14, 17 25:10 60:7 63:24 92:20,21 168:18 171:21 200:14

mudslide 100:2 104:16 107:2,19 109:10 129:15

mudslides 130:22

multi 209:11

multiple 108:10 152:14

mute 4:18 142:25

Myers 7:20 18:21,24 25:20 27:13 89:5 91:10 118:11

Myers' 120:19

Ν

nail 147:22

named 60:21 225:16

narrow 161:9

national 94:5 137:10

natural 138:19

nature 75:25 161:24 225:22,23

necessarily 79:16 94:20 113:24 117:5,13 139:22 170:12,16 178:6 179:4 180:4 197:5 202:20 necessity 77:17

needed 15:17 60:3 65:15 66:5,16 68:8 71:12 74:19 85:16 93:14 107:9,11 109:22 140:6 144:11 152:21 155:9 158:11 159:9 176:15 211:17

needing 92:16

negative 64:22 161:5,8 168:24

negatively 68:13

negotiate 211:12

negotiating 210:23

NEI 225:16

neighborhood 61:16 64:18,22 65:3

neighborhoods 198:21

NERC 95:10 121:6,16,24 123:4,14 125:13 126:14, 18,19 139:9,13

NESC 174:24 175:5,14 176:5 177:3,22,25 178:6, 8,25 179:2,13,16,22,24, 25 180:12 181:16,22 187:21 201:7

nestled 60:24

network 133:2,3,12,15, 23 134:3,8,13,16,24

niche 121:23

ninth 205:6

nominal 80:11 94:9 184:9,20 185:10 187:14, 25 188:15,19,23 189:2,5, 10

nominally 185:7

non-factors 70:17

nonexistent 107:13

nonpublic 145:11

nonsensical 59:12

Norlen 27:12 225:15

normal 106:20 113:19 158:14

north 61:4,6 70:24 119:6 168:13 172:14,17,19 200:13

northwestern 60:24

note 7:18 16:18 152:3

noted 217:11

notes 135:1 212:16

notification 154:21

Noting 153:4

November 55:18 57:6,8 58:3,7,9 155:4 163:3,21 165:13,16,21,22 222:3

number 19:12 65:23,25 76:3 94:24 95:12 106:15, 25 108:21 114:13,19 140:3 185:15 196:15 209:23 224:7

numbered 79:9,23

numbers 17:17,18,20 112:7

0

oath 40:7 78:14

OATT 132:15 133:6

object 7:14 15:20 36:21 38:18 47:15 148:4 194:16 206:19 208:20 209:17 219:5 224:6 226:18

Index: objection..opinions **objection** 4:16 7:11,17 Occasionally 198:22 one-third 67:16 online 8:22 52:16

8:1,8,21 29:9,11,13 31:9 41:16 45:4 54:1 82:13 86:21 87:8 89:12,23 90:22 92:8,19 93:3,16 118:21 120:15,21 123:6, 13,16,18,21 142:11,14, 22 143:19,23 144:25 145:2 146:6 148:23 181:20 186:17 187:7,9 190:14,15 195:5,11 206:25 207:21 208:2,23 210:8 216:13,22 219:12, 21 220:7,10 224:17 226:20

objections 6:10,23 7:5, 19,23 8:7,14,16 9:1,6,11 10:4 15:14,17,25 25:16, 19,22 26:4 28:1 82:14 92:14 148:19 153:6

objects 6:13,14 82:12 186:16 190:13

obligation 13:25 15:24 65:16 66:7

obligations 26:10 35:25

observation 217:24

observe 40:13,19

obstacles 203:14

obtain 11:13 12:7 19:11 37:18 56:3,8 73:14 74:10 145:24 156:23 206:1 212:9

obtained 11:17 63:15 69:13,17 71:12,15,19 155:24 211:8

obtaining 10:20 38:17 156:11 211:5

obviated 39:12,15

occasions 212:24 occupancy 158:8

occur 63:11 70:9 95:3 106:11,13,14,16 109:15 110:15 115:19 129:18 136:1 137:16 138:16 160:2 213:12 214:6

occurred 107:3,8 129:12, 17

occurrence 106:8

occurring 107:14 108:6 138:20 139:11

occurs 34:11 95:2 96:12 222:21

October 55:17 57:3

offend 77:7

offer 37:2 53:5 186:15 190:11

offered 45:6 143:25 216:3

offering 209:21

offers 75:7

office 55:22

officer 38:1 48:8

officers 37:17

officials 140:21

offline 96:5 102:20 116:3 117:12

Olympics 99:12

ombudsman 157:23 158:16 210:22

one-and-a-half 100:12

one-line 80:25 81:17

open 32:24 33:5,19,22 34:3 35:2 36:1 39:14 41:21 43:10,19,23 44:24 45:15 46:2,15 47:5 49:8, 10 52:7,17 57:6 61:6,11, 12 119:16 126:2 132:8 168:2 202:21 203:17 207:11,23

opened 116:1

opening 53:5,15,18 54:2, 6 59:19,23,25 72:21 73:23 102:18 149:4 150:2

openness 149:20

opens 93:11

operate 76:12 113:7 115:24 162:8 188:25 190:25 223:22

operated 74:16 117:5 161:21 176:8

operates 94:4

operating 52:10 88:17 107:20

operation 106:20 170:17 197:12

operations 171:18 172:2 179:10 223:21

operators 102:4,9 162:8

OPGW 200:11

opine 216:11

opinion 14:11 18:25 33:10 42:13 47:3 152:9 199:11 207:25

opinions 195:15

opponent 22:3

opportunities 145:25

opportunity 12:20 26:3 37:20 39:9 40:24 53:8,10 65:22 78:2,21 92:24 120:6 223:25

opposed 31:21 35:21 136:2

opposing 17:10

Opposition 5:11

option 10:25 11:4,6 12:6, 7 17:24 42:21 167:25 190:3,4,7 196:10

options 41:21 190:4

order 13:10 17:23 27:7 31:3 35:18 36:8 38:6 40:9 48:14,17 49:1 52:12 58:8 69:11 73:24 74:10, 19 118:1,4 150:24 152:19 155:10 158:17 165:25 166:5,21 173:7 210:21 212:17

ordered 127:15 200:23, 24 201:1 220:16

ordering 164:11,12,14 167:13 168:19

orders 48:6.9 219:4

ordinance 55:13,24 56:2, 13

original 54:4 76:8

originally 225:6

other's 44:12,14

others' 38:7

outage 80:13 82:3 95:1, 25 96:1 97:10,15 98:19 99:14 102:3 104:5,15 107:8 108:5 126:20 136:5 137:15 161:13 165:1 213:25

outages 94:25 95:12 97:3,14 104:12 105:19, 21 114:5 128:22 136:18 139:10 162:1 214:10

outcome 162:24

outline 53:5 64:5 72:14, 15 93:3

outlined 12:15 54:23 91:1 159:8 161:10 165:8 220:11,18

outrageously 223:4

overestimate 77:9

overhang 190:9 216:7

overhead 12:1,4,14 17:18 57:23 59:16 64:25 73:19 75:13 130:1,9 135:3,11,13,15,24 136:2 187:25 188:19 190:5 198:7,20 199:14 208:12

overpayment 19:6

overrule 207:21 210:8

oversee 223:19,20

oversees 83:16 87:19 90:8 154:12

oversight 25:23

overview 64:6

owned 63:4

Ρ

p.m. 26:11,12,14 120:10 181:3

Pacificorp 132:14

Pacificorp's 132:3 174:13

paid 62:23 207:8 219:3

paint 136:22

paper 15:1

parallel 175:17 201:24

parameters 59:18 139:12

parcels 217:5,24

Park 61:4 80:6 81:2 93:23 100:22,25 101:3,7, 20,23 102:19 103:4,17 108:6 111:5 112:21,24 113:1,6 114:9 129:22 162:15 214:9 222:15

parlance 185:11,13

Parleys 93:24 94:11,15 95:19,22 103:15 141:10

part 16:10 60:24 69:9 84:16,22,25 93:20 116:22 124:17 130:6,10 146:2 156:2 174:20 176:15 177:12 178:4,5, 11,12 189:24 190:1 196:25 199:18 200:12 201:21 224:24 225:7

participants 43:24

participate 40:18,19 49:12 132:6

participating 78:7

participation 48:22

parties 7:14,25 15:5 18:15 27:6 31:12,16,18 36:15,23 37:20 38:6 47:17 48:24 50:7 51:8 53:9 85:2 144:9 145:25 157:25 176:4 202:10,12 203:10

partner 115:5

parts 130:21 167:7

Index: party..planning **perspective** 21:24 45:22 63:17,23 108:22 121:2,8 139:19 162:22 166:10 200:21 214:12,15,20 persuasive 46:4 petition 15:10 petitioner 4:5 phase 61:25 183:10 phone 4:18 52:14 photograph 187:18 photographs 187:6 **physical** 74:11 184:7 187:14 picture 186:5,9 196:14, 25 197:15 pictures 60:15 63:21 185:23 186:19 187:13 188:16 **pieces** 167:8 185:6 pin 157:1 162:20 **pipe** 98:13 **place** 61:18 71:11 104:1 224:20 placement 190:8 places 61:8 **plain** 177:19 plan 7:24 25:17 126:21 129:18 132:4 140:24 141:17,20 156:9 168:17 171:2,6,21 172:6

168:16 185:5 200:15 201:17

party 6:14 7:8 10:2 25:10 34:15 36:17 38:9 44:7,11 48:19 82:12 186:16

party's 38:10 49:11

pass 62:24 71:25 163:3

passed 56:2,4,13 139:24

passes 25:7 50:6 71:14 165:21

passing 63:5

past 59:11 129:10 131:2 157:13

path 44:17 163:5 169:16

pay 11:10 19:7 65:10 66:7 67:7 74:11 134:13, 16 199:17 206:17

paying 74:21

payment 152:8 205:15 207:17 219:1,7,18,23 220:3.5

peaceful 62:5 64:17

peak 107:4 171:14 222:14,18,19

peaking 170:17,18

peaks 171:17 222:20

pending 192:25

people 40:14,19 49:10 60:10,19 73:5 74:5 75:7 77:15,19 170:5 198:9,22 204:24 211:16,23 212:4 213:5 214:18 216:4

people's 62:15

percent 63:1 80:11,17 94:8 113:19,21 114:22 115:9 130:8 209:14

226:6

percentage 110:11 111:19 116:18

percentages 79:24

perfect 89:7 124:8 162:4

perform 127:13 137:8

performance 95:15 139:12

period 116:14 183:8 222:14 227:18

periodically 63:21

permanent 64:22 96:19, 20 97:2,3,13 100:10

permit 10:16,19,23 11:2, 9,13,18,22,23,25 12:10, 12,16 13:14 18:11 19:2, 10,13 23:12 55:4,14 56:9,14 57:12,15,20 58:23 66:8 137:22 144:1, 4,6,9 145:22 146:4 155:9 156:5 164:3 166:7 172:18 176:1,18 189:12 190:2 200:4 202:6 225:3 226:11,25

permits 58:16,17 71:16 157:3 162:21 163:11,15 200:16 223:19

permitted 78:1

permitting 206:3 223:18 225:8

person 30:11,12 32:15 38:8 87:19 90:5,19 175:9 191:13

personal 47:3,11 145:18 personally 44:20 108:7 145:10 176:21 198:13

personnel 137:7 215:17

108:14,15 125:11 154:21

planner 80:5 160:19

planners 162:7,8 213:15

planning 46:17,25 56:5, 17,21 57:1 79:14 80:9

81:19 83:9,21 84:7 86:3 90:5,18 91:3,19,24 102:11,15 108:7,22 112:21 114:10 126:10 129:18 131:5,21 132:3 136:24 139:23 171:6 189:25

plans 110:5

planting 68:12

pleadings 15:20

pockets 76:23,24

point 4:9 9:8 17:11,21
18:3 20:11 21:9,22
22:21,25 29:14 30:14
36:5 41:4 42:8 49:3
51:12 56:16 112:3,12
120:24 121:18 130:24
146:25 148:16 149:1
154:3 157:20 158:1,4,16
160:11 166:25 172:19
177:17 180:18 181:6
183:10,15,19 186:14
208:16 215:10 216:9
222:10

pointed 177:18

points 17:10 39:6 158:23 225:20

pole 166:11,25 186:4,6,7 188:1,2,3,5,11,22 189:13,15 190:8

poles 11:15,19 62:2,6,12, 14 64:10,12,14,15 65:1 68:10,12 109:22 130:2 164:12 166:5,21 167:7, 11,15,19,23 168:9,19 187:14 190:8 193:23 198:20,21

policy 18:16 39:13

political 62:21

poll 60:22

portion 42:17 65:21 66:2 72:5 85:3 130:19 132:13, 20 133:1,21 159:4,18,19, 21,23 163:18 164:23 165:5 168:14 196:19 200:18,25 201:2 204:18 220:14,17

portions 156:12 164:3 170:20 200:11 220:19 225:21

position 10:17 12:9,13 13:5,24 15:18 16:3 18:18 21:10 24:1 38:11 48:12 54:23 55:22 58:2 59:10 84:25 132:16,19 133:8, 19,25 134:2 136:24 146:3 156:16 191:20 192:17,23 213:3 216:7 223:4

positions 37:21 146:1

positive 141:7

possess 158:18

possibility 22:1 32:16 63:5

possibly 67:13

postponed 65:21

potential 35:3 36:4 44:6 109:2

potentially 32:11 41:13 158:9 225:10

power 5:1,3,5 6:5 10:20 11:17 12:22 13:7,15,20 14:2,22 17:4,6,15 18:6, 23 20:7,15,22 25:13 32:21 33:6,13 34:24 35:13 36:6,12 38:25 44:4 48:4 50:7 51:10 52:20 55:6 56:8,14,19 57:22 58:13 59:5 61:24 62:12 63:9 64:7,24 65:7 66:4 67:1,11,18 68:3,17,21 69:4,9,20,22,23 70:2,8, 19 71:1,3,5,12,17 72:1,4 73:17 74:24 75:20,23,25 76:3,11 81:20 83:9,17 84:10,23 90:6,18 91:20 92:1 100:15,19 102:4,5, 8,20 104:23,25 105:11, 17,18,20 106:8,9 107:5 109:16 110:5,16,21,24 111:2,11,14,15,17 112:13,18,20 114:23 115:5,14 120:16 121:9, 11 122:3 125:17 126:1,7 128:21 131:7 133:4 137:21,22 140:23 141:1, 17 142:19 143:2 144:3, 11 145:21 152:7 155:23, 25 156:3,11 160:2,16,25 161:18 162:11 164:25 169:24 170:5 172:2 173:15,22 179:12 181:17 183:18 191:3,7,15,23 196:25 197:1,4,9 198:1 199:9.12 205:14 207:15 208:15 209:5 219:22 225:12 226:4

Power's 7:5 10:10 12:13 27:4 38:11 41:11 65:2 70:6,11,15,22 78:12 83:13 84:6 85:17,25 88:4 91:23 94:3 104:19 109:14 110:15 111:3 112:19 115:15 146:3 160:1 163:5 174:20 175:3 197:20

practical 59:9 175:16,20 178:19 201:23 202:2

practice 21:3 35:24 practices 20:22 44:23

Index: pre-filed..produce

		April 20, 2020	mack, pre meaproduce
	175:6 178:11 184:7 197:21	presentation 22:3	procedure 46:4,24 158:6
	_	143:11	procedures 37:7,13
	pre-filed 22:10	presented 9:16 14:5	46:2,7 48:6
	preamble 179:24	17:21 19:16 34:17 39:18 87:19 90:4 141:18	proceed 11:25 12:14
	Precisely 212:13	215:19	13:25 14:6 27:8 41:18 51:2 53:2 63:22 82:18
	preclude 48:8 197:9,12	presenting 38:9 78:16	98:10 152:10 200:8
	precluded 107:6 119:18	preserve 9:11 61:11	215:3,5
	precursor 34:23	62:18	proceeded 144:10
	predicated 129:7	presiding 37:17,25 48:8	proceeding 9:8 17:11
	predict 106:20	pressure 39:24	21:12 24:5 29:22 30:21 32:25 34:2 35:23 36:24
	predominately 170:8	pretty 30:18 103:3	37:1,4,23 38:16 42:16
	preference 53:18 199:4	105:25 109:5 185:7	48:11 50:10 57:16 63:19
	preferred 170:13	prevent 115:3	79:1,8 80:21 81:11 92:13 97:18 146:24 151:19
	prefers 214:25	preview 53:20	164:8 183:1 208:21
	prejudice 44:6,13	previous 26:9 52:19 120:16	211:3 218:14 222:6
	prejudiced 40:14 44:5		proceedings 37:9,14 40:13,20 47:7,8,9 48:6
	48:19	previously 11:17 51:21 115:16	49:12 51:1 74:21 78:8
	prejudicial 147:20,23	price 20:1 77:20	147:25 157:25
	148:10	primarily 9:10 75:10	proceeds 64:2
	preliminarily 7:4 25:12 120:12	100:15,18 101:20 111:16,18	process 6:22,25 7:2 9:1, 14,23 10:4 15:23 18:22
	preliminary 4:22 5:25	primary 16:14 34:5,10	20:3 21:16 22:5,9 23:21
	6:20 7:1 9:3 17:3,4 200:1	75:14	27:22 32:13 33:9 34:6,17 36:2 41:10 48:13 55:7
	preparation 80:3	prior 104:7 108:16	56:4,7,11 57:5,17 58:10,
	prepare 60:3	privately 73:11	19,25 78:5 139:21 144:2,
	prepared 9:21 18:14 53:18 78:22 80:6 147:4	privilege 61:17 72:24	4,15 145:12,20 147:20 149:2,12,15 150:3
	151:18 175:3 189:24	probability 106:14	154:24 155:9 156:5,15
	preparing 79:6 212:12	107:14 138:19	157:13 158:14 166:13 173:14 190:2 197:23
	prescriptive 75:25 76:6,7	probable 109:13,14	206:4 209:10,18,22
	156:1 191:23 194:8	problem 59:11 111:1 165:14	210:1,21,25 212:6 225:8 226:10
	presence 38:9	problems 65:12 106:1	
	present 37:20 38:16 74:7	109:2 130:20	procurement 18:21
	78:3 119:20 146:1		produce 165:19 189:9
- 1			

200:3 213:5 produced 79:8 80:20 81:10 97:18 206:7 215:16 225:16

proffer 27:24 28:4 82:7, 12 153:2

proffered 12:22 13:6 145:9 155:6

proffering 163:9

proffers 28:1

program 140:23 141:5

prohibit 71:17

prohibiting 43:25

project 11:10 12:3,14 19:14,21 55:12,15 56:22 57:8,11,14 58:14 62:2,3 89:16,18,21 90:25 91:2, 9,14 108:4,8,20 114:13 123:12 124:17 129:7,19 130:10 137:18,22 139:24 145:9 147:2 152:6,10,13, 14,21,22,24 154:1,7 155:1,3 156:4,22 157:6, 11 159:5,9 160:20 161:11 162:16 163:6 170:4,8 171:2,6 172:16 174:15 175:11 179:7 183:2,3,5 191:22 199:21 200:10,12,19 205:13 208:19 209:3,4,19,20 211:7 213:21 219:3 220:15,17 222:1,11 223:13,14 225:8,13

projecting 106:23

projects 123:10 125:20 157:13 160:22 179:8 183:14 223:8,10,11,23 224:1

prolonged 137:15

promulgated 119:5

proper 38:12

properly 75:11 109:23

properties 68:14 74:12 216:19

property 76:4,9 158:11, 18 172:21 206:7 210:16 217:3

proportions 62:4

proposal 18:22 60:4 62:15 91:11

propose 70:10

proposed 61:25 73:4 74:13,15,17 188:6 191:8

proposes 17:15 188:18 192:14

proposing 64:7 112:9

proprietary 42:19

prospects 21:4

protect 6:24 9:23 32:13 34:16 115:17 116:3 117:3 178:3

protecting 115:20

protection 117:7,16

protections 116:19 181:24

prove 68:18

provide 13:15 18:13 48:10 66:5 68:3 76:13 80:1 84:8 89:9 91:25 111:3 126:10 136:20 137:23 142:21 165:13 181:15 201:5 202:22 211:13 226:5 provided 10:24 15:2 18:18 20:5 59:2,3 69:24, 25 82:9 89:6 91:11,15 95:25 96:1,4 133:15 145:13 147:8 158:3 162:13 173:12,25 176:23 181:14 188:7 193:22 194:25 195:5,15 206:4,5 226:2,4 227:9

providing 194:12

provision 19:3,9,12

provisions 37:16 46:5 191:25

Provo 93:24 94:12 99:17 100:14,22 101:1,6,12 102:13 103:15 111:10 113:2 141:10 200:14

PSC 8:17

PSTS 141:23

public 26:18 30:15 31:22 32:24 33:5,19,22 34:3,14 35:2 36:1 37:1 39:13 40:12 41:22 43:8,10,13, 17,20,23 44:25 45:15 46:2,15 47:5 49:8,10 52:8,14,18 56:18 57:2,3, 6,8,9,10 140:21,23 145:10 191:25 211:15 213:13

published 175:1,11

pull 126:2 127:2

pulled 67:12 89:10 125:14 135:6

pulling 90:13 125:8,9 126:5

pun 62:10

purchase 74:18 181:23

purport 77:15

Index: purported..rationale

purported 204:24

purpose 34:11 89:16,21 90:23,24,25 91:6 145:23 160:22 179:25 213:21 223:15 226:5

purposes 16:18 43:22 45:15 50:15

pursuant 11:8 37:7 132:8 153:23 193:15

pursuing 145:21

purview 84:16

push 112:14 143:16 160:3

pushed 108:9 143:9,11, 21 162:12

pushing 164:19

put 4:18 13:21 27:18 40:7 49:2 67:1 77:18 80:18 90:7 91:2 92:6 95:7 110:7 117:2 124:16 164:3 178:20 203:8 222:7.23

puts 39:23 61:24 174:24

putting 17:14 85:10 124:24 126:1 209:13

Q

qualification 20:25

qualifications 18:19

qualified 13:16,18 14:2, 24 15:3 16:6 18:14 20:3, 20,24 21:11 24:3 55:4 192:11 216:11,25

qualifies 215:25

qualifying 166:17

quality 28:14 83:9 90:6, 18 91:20 121:9,11 122:3 126:7

quarter 155:10

quasi 33:4,11 47:9

question 14:17,18 16:19 20:23 29:25 30:14 31:20 32:3 33:2,17,21 34:22 35:9 41:20 43:4,21 44:19 46:1 47:2,25 57:20 68:8, 11 82:4 84:11,17,20 85:6,8,18 86:15 87:14 88:6,20 89:4 90:10 100:13 101:10 102:1 105:14 110:18 113:13 117:12 121:19 122:22 133:13 134:11 135:4,10 138:9 141:16 144:8 152:4 153:22,25 156:25 159:25 160:5 161:20 162:4,9 163:23,25 165:11,20 167:9,14,17, 20 169:19 186:24 188:13,16 189:3,7 191:5, 13 192:10,25 194:1,5,17, 21,24 195:3,4,6 196:2 203:3,5 206:20 208:3,25 212:2 213:16,20 216:23 219:14 220:13 221:1 224:20 226:21 227:4

questioning 20:20 89:14, 19 93:18 215:10 225:10

questions 10:7 12:25
13:2 14:16 16:25 18:2,4
20:10,12 31:6,9,15,18
32:19 34:21 36:11,16
38:22,25 39:18 41:3,5
42:7 45:1 53:21 82:21
83:1 87:17,20 90:12,13,
20 92:4 93:12 108:25
110:14 117:9,18,22
118:23 119:23 120:3,17

123:24 124:13 128:2,6 138:1,25 140:8,11,15,16 141:15,25 146:13,17,19 147:8,15 148:15,18 153:15 163:22 173:1 180:17 181:8,12 182:18 192:7 195:9 210:10,20 212:18 214:19 220:22 227:11,21

queue 134:6

quick 93:20 97:7 98:15 100:13 172:25

quicker 125:12

quickly 108:5,11 143:23

quiet 62:5

quote/unquote 211:23

R

radial 111:24 175:24 176:16 177:13 201:3,9, 16 202:4

railroad 103:17 141:7

raise 7:23 11:9 14:9 15:17 65:15 102:10

raised 9:4 57:5 73:11 90:3 120:14 146:23 224:10,12,13,21

ram 56:10

ran 183:8

range 98:22 158:22 169:11,13 170:1 206:13

ranges 98:20 206:7

rate 132:16

rates 132:15

rationale 31:24

Index: raw..refreshed

raw 58:8 151:21 153:2 214:22 52:2 87:6 91:17 97:16 215:1 217:2 218:5,6 182:10 reach 158:4 221:16,18 recorded 75:19 76:1 reached 11:3 225:15 rebutted 216:2 recovered 132:14,22 read 6:18,19,25 20:21 recall 182:7 188:8 189:14 134:8 44:12 54:15 91:17 195:22 196:5 199:1 101:24 143:24 178:13 recovers 116:12 204:19 206:2 212:17,21 182:10 188:24 195:19, recovery 132:17 215:6 218:11,21 23,24 196:2,4,11 201:15, recross 138:4,7 227:15, 21 217:7 218:9 225:24 receive 32:6 84:4 91:21 17 106:9 149:24 150:5 reading 6:20 181:16 154:15,16,21 224:3 **RECROSS-**193:8 218:11,21 **EXAMINATION** 138:11 received 4:23 6:4,9,17 reads 178:17 179:15 227:23 8:5,13,15,18 14:6 15:12 ready 23:5 25:9 27:3 54:15 57:9 98:3 **red** 39:22,25 80:16,17 52:19 78:11 172:8 **receives** 223:16 redirect 71:2 136:11.14 ready-for-construction 221:2,5,11,14 227:18 receiving 11:7 146:4 226:8 150:13 **reduce** 198:1 real 17:17,18 57:22,23 recently 103:17 reduced 167:21,25 63:4 93:20 205:4,6 188:11.12 199:7 recess 26:22,23 51:17 realistic 165:4 120:9 181:2 redundancy 119:4 129:2 realize 62:1 149:13,18 reclose 96:12 realized 52:9 refer 60:14 79:8 80:8 recloses 96:16 reason 26:21 31:23 196:1 recognition 14:23 36:23 38:2 56:6 67:5 referenced 8:22 207:23 72:8 88:24,25 134:11 referencing 181:12 146:5 163:17 168:12 recognize 35:23 46:20 61:18 62:20 176:10 referred 51:22 52:5,15 63:21 129:15 181:18 reasonable 9:22.24 recognized 60:18 184:14 37:20 48:24 109:24 recommend 56:21 116:23 136:23 145:25 referring 37:25 97:17,22 recommendation 125:24 115:12 124:14 128:22 reasons 24:8 25:21 205:1 199:24 207:12 221:21 36:22 39:13 42:18 53:6 recommended 108:22 77:21 91:1 203:24 225:9 refers 79:24 reconsider 40:24 rebuilt 189:1 reflected 117:14 reconvene 51:16 rebut 215:25 216:25 reflection 151:24 reconvening 70:3 rebuts 214:23 refrain 45:5 195:10 record 4:4,14 30:11 rebuttal 36:25 134:9 refreshed 174:25 33:12 37:1 50:15 51:20

Index: refund..request

refund 19:6 relationship 122:7,17,19 reminders 4:10 123:4,15 region 162:15 198:4 reminding 227:15 relative 141:2 regional 131:20 137:10 remobilization 169:6 161:24 185:2 relaxed 37:24 remote 70:17 71:7 regionally 222:20 release 154:17,19 **remove** 110:5 regret 25:23 relevance 209:2,9,15 **removed** 30:7,10 31:2 210:8 regulate 37:18 221:22 relevant 37:19 136:5 **Reich** 5:2 8:13,18 10:13, rendering 188:7 144:21 145:24 148:9 15 12:18,25 18:10 20:9, renew 41:14 149:6,15,20 11 27:4,6,23 28:5,8,16 repair 107:9 125:3 29:15 36:18,20 38:21 reliabilities 123:3 126:23 135:15 204:12 39:6 47:22 48:1 50:19 reliability 32:5 33:8 41:9 51:11,13 53:25 54:1,5,7, repairs 136:21 137:8 66:25 83:13,17,24 84:6, 22 59:21 78:15.17.20 9,15,24 85:16,23,24 repeat 5:18 54:23 55:2 82:7,20,23 86:21 87:3 88:1,5,7,13,23,24 90:9, 110:18 118:3 89:12,23,24 90:21,23 12,13 91:22 92:1,5 93:6, 97:16 115:11 117:25 repeatedly 108:2 13 94:17,19,22,23 95:1, 118:6,10 122:23 123:2 10,11,14 119:2,3,13,14 rephrase 216:22 219:25 136:11,12,15 138:1,3 121:5,6,16 122:8,13 replace 64:14 109:22 142:6,9,24 143:2,6 123:4,8,11,14,15 124:23 145:6,7 146:9,12,21 **replow** 128:15 125:25 126:7 127:24 147:1,13 148:2 151:11, 130:12 139:4,10,14 report 195:24 225:25 13,16 153:1,12,13 152:22 159:10 161:13.22 181:19,21 186:18,21,24, reporter 5:16,23 30:23 174:23 25 187:7 194:16 195:3 141:12,14 reliable 14:5,7,13 40:4 206:19 207:7 208:20 represent 80:24 82:2 59:5 66:5,15,16 84:4 209:2,16 216:13,17 182:25 196:18 91:21 95:7 105:25 218:12,18 219:5,21 125:10 137:23 220:7 221:1,8,12,15 representation 31:12 78:25 81:18 224:9,12,23,24 226:17, relied 79:7 19,22 227:10,13 representations 22:4 relief 77:23,24 **Reich's** 73:23 35:6 relocate 110:8 represented 22:9 73:1 reiterate 41:8 137:13 rely 34:18 79:25 81:23 rejected 227:6 representing 52:20 relying 35:16 160:12 72:25 73:7 relate 150:1 **remain** 80:11 represents 79:13 215:18 related 97:11 135:14 remaining 159:19 request 6:5,6,20,23 7:2,3 relates 44:25 123:3,14 9:3 10:10.11.14.15.21 remember 4:13,19 13:1 136:4 12:7 13:7 17:3,5 18:6,8, 188:13 211:1

Index: requested..RMP

RMP 58:20 64:6,9 65:17

22 20:14 23:8 24:23 25:8 residential 64:18 restore 169:24 26:21 30:1,20 31:7,9,19 residents 59:6 65:9 restrictions 77:17 32:23 35:21 36:1,6,14, 76:14 result 106:8 214:10 19,21 38:6 39:2 41:23 residents' 64:20 68:10 42:10,12,22 43:21 45:8, results 62:25 63:1 79:21 17 47:16 48:25 49:1 resolution 182:15 **retread** 149:14 50:6,16,25 51:4 52:4 resolve 158:5 69:20 91:10 120:20 return 15:3 180:22 133:6 153:24 181:11,20 **resorts** 121:13 returning 123:19 225:7 resource 132:4 review 4:4 37:5 43:12 requested 44:22 45:3 respect 9:7 14:23 15:5 46:6 52:2 78:22 85:11,20 56:18 110:7 19:1 29:21,25 42:3 93:7 86:6,8,14,15,17 97:7 requesting 45:4 70:2 121:12 136:21 210:9 154:25 155:13 181:5 221:19 223:3,7 225:5 223:25 requests 132:8 205:22 respectfully 17:19 reviewed 5:25 80:6 require 18:12 67:8 68:2 151:17 166:16 179:2 80:10 96:10 125:14 respond 9:10,20 12:20 177:3 178:9 216:6 32:3 35:10 169:24 revisit 120:21 182:6 194:21 206:25 208:23 required 11:9,12,22 revisiting 120:15 219:12 226:20 13:15,21 42:20 55:14 **RFP** 18:23 21:16 77:18 67:2 68:9 87:22 88:1 responded 12:6 147:7 right-of-way 58:8 74:11 168:8 177:10 190:6 respondent 4:6 145:14 147:9 193:6 193:16 responding 35:13 39:5 195:16 197:3 208:7 requirement 18:12 19:11 119:22 224:14 209:19 30:22 89:9 119:10 response 13:4 33:2 **rights** 9:23 34:7 75:23 126:14,17,19 34:24 41:22 91:16 76:6 158:4 206:2 requirements 14:1 32:24 116:23 212:18 rights-of-way 73:22,24 68:25 84:10 92:2 119:2, responses 44:3 54:25 75:12 76:15 152:18 3,4 122:11 126:18 92:8 194:2,14 196:11 207:6 requires 30:6 67:4,14 212:9 responsibility 16:10 69:1 76:15 126:14 168:6 rise 92:15 89:18 177:22 responsible 84:3 91:10, risk 39:19 70:12 106:21, requiring 56:2 64:12 20 193:10 24 108:5,12,18,21 reroute 101:6 112:16,19,22 113:16 responsive 192:10 rerouting 101:9 114:12,22 126:17 129:24 rest 159:5 184:24 198:4 130:3,21 137:14,19 resemble 62:4 222:24 restart 116:13,14 Reservoir 61:5 risks 71:5 108:13 restate 160:5 191:5 reset 116:5

restoration 102:9

April 20, 2020 Index: Rmp's..scratched 66:23 67:6,8 79:9,24 runs 64:17 197:3 191:3.7.15 192:13 193:2 80:15,21 81:4,15 82:1 194:1 195:14 196:7 rural 62:18 64:17 97:17 155:23 178:9 197:14,25 199:8,12 200:24 202:24 205:13 **RMP's** 175:7 177:18,21, S 206:16 207:15 208:9,14 24 178:24 206:5 209:5,9,25 212:7 219:19, **saddled** 168:25 road 57:25 76:9 131:16 22 220:4 224:18 157:9 168:2 186:13 safe 35:21 59:5 84:4 role 33:11 131:24 132:1 200:22 91:21 105:7,23 137:23 160:19 197:12 roadway 204:11 roll 222:12 safely 190:25 **Rocky** 4:5,25 5:3,5 6:4 rolling 63:10 70:8 102:21 7:5 10:10,20 11:17 safety 13:22 66:25 67:3 104:9,13 109:15 113:6 12:13,22 13:7,14,20 87:25 88:7,13,16,19 89:1 129:20 14:2,22 17:3,6,15,21 92:4 117:2 140:23 18:5,22 20:7,14,21 25:12 **Ron** 218:10 141:17 174:22 179:21 27:4 32:21 34:24 35:12 180:1,3,4,10,13,14 room 28:19 30:12,19 36:6,12 38:11,25 41:11 31:3 153:24 193:2 **sake** 92:12 44:3 50:7 51:3,10 52:20 route 12:4 35:21 74:13 55:6 56:7,13,19 57:22 save 212:14 76:18 156:1 173:25 58:12 61:24 63:9 64:24 scenario 33:4 102:8 186:10 191:8 192:15,22 65:2 66:4 67:1,11,17 136:23 160:1 163:2,7 198:14 216:5,6,18 68:2,17,21 69:3,22 70:5, 165:7 167:11 168:6 8,10,14,19 71:1,5,11,17 routine 44:22 169:14 170:23 171:19 72:1 73:13,17,20,21 rubric 78:5 74:8,14,23 75:10,18,24 scenarios 177:23 189:10 76:15 77:5,18 78:5,12 204:9 rule 29:19 30:3,6,18 80:9 83:9,13,17 84:3,6, 33:6,14 37:25 38:5,8,12 **scenes** 187:5 22 85:17,24 90:6 91:21, 39:12 47:23 92:22 scenic 61:2 23 94:3 97:24 98:4 175:20,21 202:2 227:2 102:4,8 104:19,23,25 schedule 26:6 162:22 Rulemaking 37:8 105:10,16,20 106:8 197:20 222:1,6 rules 37:6,9,23 38:5 107:4 109:14,16 110:4, scheduled 127:12 45:23 46:4,12,23 16,21 111:3,13 112:18, 19 115:14 120:15 schedules 26:8 ruling 33:22 48:13 87:15 125:17,25 129:1 131:6 92:19 119:25 120:15,16, scheduling 9:5 132:8,20 133:4,9,21 21 195:11 **scope** 89:20 93:10 134:21 137:21,22 142:19 run 64:25 74:19 79:15 120:18,19 139:23 223:13 143:2 144:2,11 145:21 81:19 132:7 136:25 146:2 152:7 156:11 **Scores** 224:2

running 109:23 194:3,15

scratch 74:5

scratched 121:23

193:14

195:17 197:1

160:1 163:5 173:15,22

174:20 175:3 179:12

181:15,17 183:12,18

185:11 187:24 188:18

Index: search..skimmed

search 77:6	service 26:18 31:22 43:8,	shrink 40:20
season 141:3 171:14	14,18 74:16 76:13 84:9 91:2 92:1 103:16 105:4	shut 140:24 141:1,17
222:8	111:4 132:7 133:6	sic 14:19
seconds 96:24	137:23 160:13 212:19	side 15:10 113:24,25
section 37:6 48:7,8	213:1,9,11 214:7	197:4 203:9,18
137:5 169:3 172:5 175:14,22,23 176:5	serving 101:2 103:11	signed 76:1
201:15	session 46:18	significant 13:12 137:14
secured 18:23	set 45:23 56:7 90:17 94:4 118:9 126:9 137:15	Silver 93:25 141:7
seek 77:23 163:3	157:24	similar 46:14,18 61:21
seeking 77:24	severance 74:12 75:12	146:23 168:3 185:8 204:3 206:10 224:24
sees 6:3	206:18 207:5	simple 30:19 39:20 87:1
segment 226:3,4	severity 161:1	88:6
select 10:24 11:4 12:7	sewer 203:14	simply 9:16 33:8 40:7,9
selecting 11:6	shadow 62:10,12	49:6 65:19 221:6
send 50:23 166:12	shadows 64:18	simulate 79:18 81:19
senior 80:5	shafts 121:13	single 126:20 137:6
sense 23:2 60:11 103:5,	share 39:16 40:21	single-lane 76:9
22 215:2	charing 52:20 102:2	sir 151:2
	sharing 53:20 182:2	311 101.2
sentiment 45:14 211:22	shift 222:25	sit 33:4 39:17 41:12
separate 8:8 46:20 64:9	•	sit 33:4 39:17 41:12 67:13
separate 8:8 46:20 64:9 67:3 144:12 175:8	shift 222:25	sit 33:4 39:17 41:12 67:13 site 137:12 168:2 169:4
separate 8:8 46:20 64:9 67:3 144:12 175:8 176:12 177:3,10 190:3	shift 222:25 shire 62:5 short 26:21,25 43:17 45:25 50:12 51:9,25	sit 33:4 39:17 41:12 67:13 site 137:12 168:2 169:4 siting 223:17
separate 8:8 46:20 64:9 67:3 144:12 175:8 176:12 177:3,10 190:3 separating 204:14	shift 222:25 shire 62:5 short 26:21,25 43:17 45:25 50:12 51:9,25 106:18 225:25	sit 33:4 39:17 41:12 67:13 site 137:12 168:2 169:4
separate 8:8 46:20 64:9 67:3 144:12 175:8 176:12 177:3,10 190:3	shift 222:25 shire 62:5 short 26:21,25 43:17 45:25 50:12 51:9,25 106:18 225:25 shorter 190:4	sit 33:4 39:17 41:12 67:13 site 137:12 168:2 169:4 siting 223:17
separate 8:8 46:20 64:9 67:3 144:12 175:8 176:12 177:3,10 190:3 separating 204:14 separation 175:20,24	shift 222:25 shire 62:5 short 26:21,25 43:17 45:25 50:12 51:9,25 106:18 225:25 shorter 190:4 show 65:19 66:4,10,23 67:16 70:5,10,18 72:3	sit 33:4 39:17 41:12 67:13 site 137:12 168:2 169:4 siting 223:17 SITLA 208:19 210:14,15
separate 8:8 46:20 64:9 67:3 144:12 175:8 176:12 177:3,10 190:3 separating 204:14 separation 175:20,24 176:13,16,21 177:14,20 178:23 201:3,9,10,12	shift 222:25 shire 62:5 short 26:21,25 43:17 45:25 50:12 51:9,25 106:18 225:25 shorter 190:4 show 65:19 66:4,10,23 67:16 70:5,10,18 72:3 74:7 78:3 80:17 105:19 108:17 169:2	sit 33:4 39:17 41:12 67:13 site 137:12 168:2 169:4 siting 223:17 SITLA 208:19 210:14,15 sits 32:9 61:1,5 sitting 33:11 44:10
separate 8:8 46:20 64:9 67:3 144:12 175:8 176:12 177:3,10 190:3 separating 204:14 separation 175:20,24 176:13,16,21 177:14,20 178:23 201:3,9,10,12 202:2,4,22 203:10,19 separations 176:3 202:9, 11	shift 222:25 shire 62:5 short 26:21,25 43:17 45:25 50:12 51:9,25 106:18 225:25 shorter 190:4 show 65:19 66:4,10,23 67:16 70:5,10,18 72:3 74:7 78:3 80:17 105:19 108:17 169:2 showing 64:3 67:25	sit 33:4 39:17 41:12 67:13 site 137:12 168:2 169:4 siting 223:17 SITLA 208:19 210:14,15 sits 32:9 61:1,5 sitting 33:11 44:10 127:23 193:2 situation 71:23 103:9
separate 8:8 46:20 64:9 67:3 144:12 175:8 176:12 177:3,10 190:3 separating 204:14 separation 175:20,24 176:13,16,21 177:14,20 178:23 201:3,9,10,12 202:2,4,22 203:10,19 separations 176:3 202:9,	shift 222:25 shire 62:5 short 26:21,25 43:17 45:25 50:12 51:9,25 106:18 225:25 shorter 190:4 show 65:19 66:4,10,23 67:16 70:5,10,18 72:3 74:7 78:3 80:17 105:19 108:17 169:2 showing 64:3 67:25 98:16	sit 33:4 39:17 41:12 67:13 site 137:12 168:2 169:4 siting 223:17 SITLA 208:19 210:14,15 sits 32:9 61:1,5 sitting 33:11 44:10 127:23 193:2 situation 71:23 103:9 129:12,16 154:22 222:7
separate 8:8 46:20 64:9 67:3 144:12 175:8 176:12 177:3,10 190:3 separating 204:14 separation 175:20,24 176:13,16,21 177:14,20 178:23 201:3,9,10,12 202:2,4,22 203:10,19 separations 176:3 202:9, 11 serve 93:23 101:4,7,14	shift 222:25 shire 62:5 short 26:21,25 43:17 45:25 50:12 51:9,25 106:18 225:25 shorter 190:4 show 65:19 66:4,10,23 67:16 70:5,10,18 72:3 74:7 78:3 80:17 105:19 108:17 169:2 showing 64:3 67:25 98:16 shown 80:16	sit 33:4 39:17 41:12 67:13 site 137:12 168:2 169:4 siting 223:17 SITLA 208:19 210:14,15 sits 32:9 61:1,5 sitting 33:11 44:10 127:23 193:2 situation 71:23 103:9 129:12,16 154:22 222:7 situations 104:8 129:18
separate 8:8 46:20 64:9 67:3 144:12 175:8 176:12 177:3,10 190:3 separating 204:14 separation 175:20,24 176:13,16,21 177:14,20 178:23 201:3,9,10,12 202:2,4,22 203:10,19 separations 176:3 202:9, 11 serve 93:23 101:4,7,14 102:14 104:3 162:5	shift 222:25 shire 62:5 short 26:21,25 43:17 45:25 50:12 51:9,25 106:18 225:25 shorter 190:4 show 65:19 66:4,10,23 67:16 70:5,10,18 72:3 74:7 78:3 80:17 105:19 108:17 169:2 showing 64:3 67:25 98:16	sit 33:4 39:17 41:12 67:13 site 137:12 168:2 169:4 siting 223:17 SITLA 208:19 210:14,15 sits 32:9 61:1,5 sitting 33:11 44:10 127:23 193:2 situation 71:23 103:9 129:12,16 154:22 222:7 situations 104:8 129:18 size 61:21 62:3

Index: skipped..standards

skipped 119:25	156:16 205:3 213:7	109:10 129:9 138:18
sky 65:18	speaker 28:17,18	speculation 116:12,21
skyscraping 64:25	speaking 4:13,18 109:5	speed 212:6
slightly 168:6	118:16 119:3 121:9 123:16	spend 54:24 60:2 74:10
slipped 25:24	speaks 154:19	148:6 168:1 206:17
slotted 193:23	spec 67:11	spent 147:25
small 60:21 110:11	specific 54:19,21 109:21	spirit 149:12,20
Snell 5:14	123:7 124:14 127:7	splices 125:5 127:9,10,
Snyderville 108:19 141:22	154:9,21 156:8,9,17 157:6 160:23 166:12	split 75:7
soil 167:20	167:6 169:20,23 170:20	spoken 198:13,16
solely 38:1 60:15	171:9 172:16 173:11 183:8 189:7,8 191:13	spreadsheet 96:10
soliciting 204:17	198:17 203:12 204:15	spring 58:5 72:6 106:6,
solution 130:15 139:19	211:7 213:16,19 216:4 221:24	22 159:4 163:18 165:6 169:7 222:13
someday 75:1 135:9	specifically 13:5 38:17	springtime 162:23
someplace 98:12	48:5 136:18 158:24	222:22
sort 47:1 119:19 175:18	167:2 168:9,21 169:17, 18 172:15 178:17 180:7	square 206:11
177:13 212:17	189:13 195:22 196:5	stability 121:11
sorts 171:5	199:1,23 211:2,3 213:18 221:21 223:12	staff 8:17
sound 134:18	specification 91:5	stage 56:7 113:6 118:24
sounded 142:24	119:12 122:12 123:10	stand 40:17 215:6
sounds 98:14 114:4	173:16 179:18	standard 18:15,21 67:4,
120:1 130:11 172:9,10	specifications 66:12	14 75:15 80:9 86:5 93:6 102:11,15 116:6,24
sources 102:5,7	67:2 68:4 85:2,15,21 86:2,8,14,16,17,20 87:2,	119:13,14 121:7 122:14
south 61:4,5 131:11 191:24 194:10	4,18,22 89:6,8,17 90:14	123:8 126:1 168:10 174:21,23 175:4,7,10,13
space 61:11,12	91:8,11 93:7,9,12 118:22 119:1 120:17 121:24	176:11 177:18,21 178:25
spaced 202:17	122:9 123:5,7,8 124:14	180:10,13 181:17 184:9
span 190:4	173:8 174:8,17 175:4	187:17 189:1 205:19,23, 24 206:15 207:3,6 208:6,
spare 127:15	specifics 157:11 216:2	10,11 209:7,12 224:3
spatial 166:10	specs 13:19 67:8 92:5 134:23	standards 13:22 80:10 83:13,17,24,25 84:6,17,
speak 4:17,20 57:7 63:24 92:10 121:15 124:19	speculate 106:10,12	18,19,21,24 85:10,16,23,

Index: standing..substance

24 86:2,6,11 88:1,5,7,13, 16,23 91:23 93:13 94:4, 5,23 95:1,5,11 119:3,5 121:16,17,24 122:8 123:9,11,15 124:21 125:13 126:8,9,11 139:5, 10,14 140:1 166:17 174:14 175:3,4,10 177:3, 9,24 178:2,7 179:2,15, 17,20 180:2,5 184:6 185:6 187:18 188:25 193:16

standing 16:1

standpoint 32:9 67:1 88:23,25 94:17 125:23, 25 165:23

stands 94:2

staring 65:4

start 6:8 27:15 28:3,25 57:24 58:4,10 62:16 116:2 162:16 164:15 165:17 166:23 167:5 168:13 171:21,25 172:8 174:1 181:12 199:25 222:9,23 225:20

started 4:7 56:12 156:15 159:23 220:20

starting 71:21 157:19 158:1

state 49:7 60:4 61:3 93:23 125:6 148:24 157:23 160:7 184:17,24 223:18

stated 10:21 11:12,23 12:10 18:24 55:9 56:12 59:8 84:14 115:17 175:21 178:13 202:2

statement 53:5 54:6 59:19,23,25 72:19,21 73:23 100:18 146:22

149:4 152:6 174:5 197:6 213:4

statements 53:15 54:2 150:2 151:23 211:15 213:13 223:1

states 11:25 179:25

stating 69:11 118:3

statistically 106:4 109:5, 13

statute 14:21 16:4,6 20:21 24:2,6 42:13 43:7, 11,13,16 69:1,14 199:13 206:21,22 219:8 220:8, 11

statutes 46:22

stay 28:18 45:22 112:5

stays 96:17,20

steel 164:12 166:5,21,25 167:15,19 168:8,19

step 56:23 68:6 121:3 177:8

stop 98:8 169:20 174:1 227:16

stopping 164:7

straight 65:10,14 91:18

strategy 210:2

stream 30:21,23,25 31:4 52:16

streamed 30:16

streaming 30:21 51:22 52:5,12

streamline 147:24

Street 131:11

strengths 121:10

stress 64:12

stretch 186:1

strike 96:15

stringing 135:12

Stringtown 186:12

strong-willed 137:17

structure 96:19 137:6 175:18 189:8

structures 64:15,19 100:3 107:3 140:3 175:17,19,25 176:2,17, 19 188:8 201:25 202:1,5, 8 204:8,13

stub 100:24

studies 70:11 79:22 132:7,11 168:4,6

study 83:25 131:21 145:16 147:4,6 225:4,6 226:5,12,24

stuff 25:24 167:20 192:6

sub-transmission 83:22

subdivision 191:24

subject 12:15 33:18 130:20 175:15 178:18 179:11 201:23 214:5

submit 17:19 39:13

submittal 83:25

submitted 10:21 20:7 39:10 54:16 65:12 69:19, 21 78:23 134:9 147:4 189:25 200:3

submitting 55:4

subpart 177:11

subsets 225:19

substance 9:20 26:4 211:19

	April 20, 2020	Index: substantialtechnique
substantial 74:15	surrounded 60:25 61:3	
substantially 108:20	surrounding 68:13	т
substation 100:24	survey 62:23	tackle 214:25 215:2
113:18 159:7,8,15,16	surveyor 193:23	tactic 210:1
substations 86:4 161:12	survive 71:8 214:13	take-off 174:2
subsurface 175:17,19 201:25 202:1	suspect 143:6	takes 110:10 127:2
suddenly 62:9	suspend 69:23	131:25 152:12 157:18 158:20 160:14 161:17
sufficient 11:9 68:25	sustain 216:22 220:10 224:17	166:13 210:21 223:4
102:14 113:1 suggest 74:6 121:14 185:11 194:24 210:2,5	sustained 22:11 97:10, 12 118:22	taking 6:8 44:21 45:2 73:18 102:19 112:16,18 170:20
suggesting 77:11 78:6	swear 29:1 151:4	talk 91:7 94:14 113:14
187:23 196:8 209:10	swearing 28:25	114:3 119:24 124:21 132:16 199:20 224:25
suggestion 122:4	Swiss 61:9	
suggests 69:14 77:16	switch 102:4 129:2,13	talked 105:14 144:12,13
sum 114:13	197:22 switching 102:8,17	talking 4:12 27:22 56:11 84:2 95:10 104:13
summary 6:5,19 7:2 10:12 13:8 17:5 18:6 20:14 23:1,11 24:18,23 25:8 205:9 207:14	sworn 29:5 50:20 52:22 124:7 151:8 system 25:18 70:16,19,	105:21 109:3 111:23 115:21 116:19 121:4,5 140:2 148:1,6 172:15,18 187:16 204:11,12 217:17
225:23 226:9 227:25	22 79:15,21 81:1 83:14	talks 48:5 225:1
summer 58:13 114:16 140:21 141:2,19 170:17	84:7,8,23 85:17,25 90:8, 9 91:24,25 94:3,9 96:24	tall 62:13 64:16 187:20
222:19	98:1 100:20 102:4,8	taller 198:20
Summit 101:14 140:21	104:9 105:24 110:16,24 111:2,20,21,23 115:10,	tallest 189:15
superceded 185:3,4	11,14,15 116:20 129:2	target 162:22 172:16
supplied 194:18	132:6 137:21 139:6	targeted 172:22
Supply 176:6	160:23 161:6,21 162:8, 13 165:1 170:16,19	tariff 132:9
support 45:16 83:23	171:17 172:2 175:8,24	task 206:10
84:15 112:1,8,10 131:24	176:1,15,16,19 177:13 178:20 202:5,7 213:23	tasks 168:10 172:16
suppose 73:6 189:10 204:8 214:8	214:20 222:21,24	200:8 taxpayers 67:7 76:24
supposed 14:8,9 17:23 21:14 69:21 207:18	systems 71:3,8 140:25 175:15,16 176:14 178:18	team 225:8

techniques 170:7

201:22,24 203:18

21:14 69:21 207:18

Index:	technology	ythoughts
--------	------------	-----------

technology 184:6
telephone 151:1
telephonic 4:10
telephonically 5:20
telling 195:2 216:9
temporary 96:15,22,23 99:21 100:8 114:5
ten 7:20 8:9 51:16,17 138:16 166:24 227:15
tens 169:11
term 20:24 101:11
terms 10:25 14:21 17:13 26:6 42:14 121:6
terrain 137:1
test 170:22
testified 18:20 29:6 93:7 131:24 151:9 155:8

156:5 160:17 197:23,25 210:19 214:24 215:3 **testify** 30:7,8 31:4 32:14 39:22,23 40:25 67:21 85:15,22 86:19 89:2 90:11 91:13,14 93:9 123:3 143:25 146:7 147:11 149:17,21 **testifying** 25:20 30:10,12

41:24 47:19 148:5 188:4.

17 189:4 212:18 213:8

testimony 6:10 7:19
22:5,6,7,10,13,24 23:3
25:10 27:11,19,20,24
30:9 32:5,17 34:8,9,13
36:24,25 37:2,3 38:7
39:10,20,25 40:4,21
44:12 45:6 50:9,13 52:20
55:9,10 59:3,8 68:24
78:16,22 79:1,4,6 80:1,8
81:24 82:8 84:2,13 85:14

87:6 89:15,19,20 90:2,24 91:6,12,15,17 92:21 93:5,11 94:7 95:13 101:19 102:1,25 108:25 113:15 117:14 120:18,19 128:12 129:11,16 134:9 136:16 142:4,23 143:25 144:6,14,18 145:8,18 146:22 147:19 148:4 149:6 151:18,21,24 152:5 153:2,22 155:17 159:8 176:24 179:1 181:13 183:21 184:3 187:15 195:20,23,25 199:19 200:11 201:6 203:7 205:5,9 207:2,7,15 209:17 214:22 215:19,25 216:3,14,17,25 217:2,8, 10,13 218:3,5,9,16,25 219:21 220:4 221:17,18

Thad 4:3,14 5:6,10,15,24 8:4,15,20 9:25 12:17 14:14 16:17,24 17:25 20:8 21:19 22:17 23:13. 17 24:10,19,22 25:2,6 26:1 27:17,25 28:7,9,12, 20,24 29:8,20,24 30:13 31:5,13 32:2,19 33:1,16, 24 34:19 35:8 36:9 38:20 41:1,19 42:5,23 43:6 45:9,18,24 47:2,24 48:15 49:14,22,24,25 50:3,5,17 51:5,15,19 52:1 53:3,12, 24 54:3,11 59:20 72:18 78:9 82:11,20,24 87:7 89:22 90:1,21 92:7 97:19 98:2 117:20 118:7,12,16 120:7,11 122:25 123:17 124:2,6 128:3 136:9 138:2,6,23 140:9,14,18 142:1,6,10,20 143:3,13, 18 144:22 145:4 146:8 147:12 148:13 149:22

150:6,9,14,16,19,21,23 151:3,11 153:4,14 180:19 181:4,19 182:5, 12,17 186:16,21,23 187:1,8 190:13 194:20 195:10 206:24 207:10,20 208:22 210:7 215:4 216:21 219:11,15,24 220:9,24 221:10 224:9, 16 226:19 227:1,12

theme 55:8 theory 159:19 thicker 64:13 thin 22:15

thing 32:12 33:15 50:15 121:11 136:23 164:7 167:7 170:14 180:14 182:6 193:17 217:11 218:23,24 221:7

things 4:11 13:20 14:19 16:14 32:6,7 54:25 63:25 79:25 87:20 95:9 96:11 121:10,14 130:13,15,23 138:13 139:13 145:17 147:11 148:1,3,5 157:1 162:20 170:5,12 171:8 174:1 182:10 197:11 203:16 212:15

thinking 21:6 63:3 111:8

third-party 225:11

thought 15:8,17 25:19 103:6 120:2 122:16,18, 20 148:24 210:24 216:20 217:9 218:15

thoughtful 78:4

thoughts 14:20 22:21 36:16 44:17 45:25 53:15, 19 148:22 149:9,22 177:1

Index: thousands..trouble

	April 20, 2020	mack. modsandsrodbic
thousands 60:19 169:11	22 94:2 126:24 142:4	7 104:12 105:5 109:19
threat 109:3	149:5 180:24 187:20,22	113:23 115:5 131:2,5,10,
threats 70:16	191:4 194:3,10 208:9 219:18 220:14	15,21,25 132:3,7,9 133:6 137:21 141:3,19 145:16
three-month 158:21	today's 58:23 187:17	147:3 154:1 160:19
Thursday 26:13	189:1	161:24 162:7 164:3
tie 159:6 165:17	token 203:21	166:9 169:21 172:12 184:8,13,15 185:3
tied 174:23	told 62:11 63:8 92:3	187:19 191:1,25 192:15
	108:2 118:1 192:3	193:14,19,21 197:12
tight 161:15	199:21 207:3	200:15 209:8 210:14 214:12,15
time 4:16 6:16 14:16 15:22 25:22 28:2 32:14	tomorrow 162:17 182:14	treated 157:25
33:15 51:7,13 54:8,9,12,	227:19	
19 55:13,16 63:15 70:24	Tooele 74:15 208:19	tree 96:14
71:25 72:8 74:8 78:7 92:25 99:11,14 103:25	209:2,4,19,24 210:15	trees 197:14
107:23 109:21 117:25	top 170:1 190:17	tremendously 212:7
127:12,19 135:15,16	topic 123:24	trench 88:9,18 122:11
137:11 141:13,14 144:17	total 131:6	202:16,21,23 203:8,17, 19
147:24 148:1,11 151:24 152:10,12 155:11 158:9,	touched 216:19	trenches 67:2,4,5,23
20 159:11 164:17,18	tough 149:5	87:22 88:2,4,12 90:12
165:10 167:13,14,21	tower 65:5,7	174:17,19 177:4,10
168:4,21 169:24 170:7, 10 171:9,15 172:7 183:8	towering 74:19	180:8 202:17,25 203:23 204:5 205:2
208:2 210:16,20 212:14	towers 135:3,13	
218:3 221:9 227:9,18	town 61:12	trenching 119:9
timeline 70:9	tradeoff 135:23,25	trial 6:17 7:24 13:17 30:5 32:12 37:15 63:22 64:2
times 26:2 46:19 86:22	transcript 16:18,21	223:1 224:14
87:4 95:22 96:4 99:17,19 100:5,6 104:1,18 108:10	30:24	trials 33:7
129:6 172:4 201:9	transformer 81:9 112:5	triggered 66:9
209:14 213:24 224:2	transition 183:10	trimming 68:4
timing 127:17 219:7	transitioning 183:9	trip 96:12 115:2 116:3,6
Timpanogos 61:1 64:19	transmission 5:12 55:14,	tripped 96:5
title 37:8,10 43:7,11	25 56:3 60:4 61:25 62:22	tripping 116:20
46:20 91:2,6	64:8 65:5 70:14 71:2 73:4 76:14 77:3 79:15,21	trips 96:8,16 115:22
today 7:25 8:1,24 14:3	80:5,10 81:1,9,21 82:4	trouble 5:21 70:23
15:9 26:10,15 34:5,11 57:19 65:23 71:6 85:14,	83:8,21 84:7 90:5,18	11 JUNIO 0.21 10.20
	91:3,19,23 100:21 102:3,	

Index: TROY..updated

TROY 24:20 31:8 45:21 unanimous 25:7 222:14 46:10 49:6,23 97:21 unattractive 77:19 understandable 65:8 98:6,11 140:13 150:18 unaware 154:9 201:1 understanding 36:14 true 39:6 63:13 65:19 93:4 102:12 110:20 uncertain 119:11 74:24 80:12 95:11 119:8 124:16 126:4 161:10 173:1 213:4 underestimated 74:9 127:1 137:20 139:4 220:18 146:14 162:6,15 163:10 underground 16:13 true-up 19:3,9,12 167:18 175:7,9 176:10 17:19,24 19:4 57:23 207:5 208:8 211:11 59:17 65:25 68:2 73:14. **trued** 19:5 214:11 219:18 222:16.18 15 77:1,3,13 85:3 110:8 **trued-up** 19:14 226:12,23 227:5 125:2 126:2 129:24 truth 29:1 151:4 130:19 131:10 134:21 understands 207:3 135:19,22,24 136:1 **Tuesday** 26:13 understood 15:15 72:13 145:15 147:3 155:6 128:16 174:19 turn 30:24 40:10,15 166:2 167:11,15,25 80:19 100:4 116:8 174:8,18 175:25 176:2, unequivocally 134:20 214:22 17.19 178:14 190:5 unfair 48:22 198:6 199:4,14 202:5,7 **turned** 209:8 unique 26:2 61:8 203:14 204:7,13,18 turning 179:9 186:13 211:12,14,18,24 225:17, unit 113:19 21 226:14 two-step 56:4 Unlike 37:1 undergrounded 130:6 type 222:6 223:7,25 **unmute** 4:19 undergrounding 11:10, **types** 79:19 116:25 unnecessarily 227:2 157:25 171:17 19 unrealistic 209:6 underline 68:23 typical 20:22,24 35:24 173:14 unreliable 34:10 underpinning 122:13,14 126:16 typically 26:18,19 30:18 unusual 5:19 42:15 87:10 97:9 125:7 underpinnings 36:2 **up** 17:13 19:5 26:14 154:15 157:22 158:7 53:22 60:15 62:13 74:21, understand 9:5 15:6 169:23,25 171:11,16 24 75:1 77:20 81:13 17:17 21:23 34:12 53:21 172:23 173:10,22 174:2, 93:11,24 94:11,12 95:9 61:15 64:24 87:24 89:13 4 197:17 96:25 98:12,13 103:15 92:8 94:18 101:10,25 113:15 114:13 115:9 102:24 103:7 104:15 U 116:2 126:9 133:23 109:1 112:13,23 113:7,8 136:19,25 140:1 141:22 114:2 119:11 124:13 **U.P.** 149:15 145:18 168:2 169:2,4,8 127:14 129:11 133:13 200:13 203:17 212:7 **Uh-huh** 112:11 159:17 138:8 148:25 149:1 217:3 224:8 225:13 155:5 156:20 163:24 ultimately 21:8,12 227:7 170:25 177:2 211:22 152:25 201:20 207:24 215:13,15,16 219:2,4 updated 70:2 206:4

Index: updates..wanted

updates 79:3

upfront 152:8 205:14 207:17 219:1,2,18,23 220:3

upgrade 133:2,4,24 134:3,14,16,24

upgrades 84:8 91:24 133:12,15 134:8

upsize 156:7

upsizing 190:10

Utah 4:4 33:18 35:6 36:1 37:8,16 38:4 60:22 76:7 184:17,18,24

utilities 155:5 176:12 178:10 192:1 223:12

utility 4:4 21:14 43:12 52:2 154:16,24 176:9 181:5 183:7,14 223:11

utilize 46:23 utilized 102:7

٧

V.O.L.T. 5:14 7:17 8:9 17:2,7 20:6 25:15 27:8, 15 29:11 36:13 38:23 50:8,24 72:21 75:4 78:1 117:22 123:20 153:5 181:1 182:25 185:14 189:17 190:11 193:5 196:6,15 215:18

V.o.l.t.'s 8:6 97:22 120:22 142:11

valid 20:2

validated 166:16

valley 59:6 60:25 79:20 81:1 101:21 103:3 111:5 114:10 129:21 133:7

136:19 170:9 212:21 215:20 225:13

Valley-wide 5:11

valuation 147:10

valuations 68:2

values 79:18 81:8

Vancott 5:5

varied 206:12

varies 114:14,19

vary 66:20 114:18

varying 178:8

vast 63:17

vault 88:18

vegetation 197:18,20

verbal 142:22

verbally 142:16

verdict 13:11

verify 31:10 173:4,11,18

version 174:25

versions 190:3

versus 4:6 97:10 167:12

189:8

vet 21:10

vetted 22:6

vicariously 73:6

view 30:15 33:25 44:2

46:3,8,9 47:12 88:25

109:13,14

violate 41:21

violating 34:7 35:4

violation 33:11

vistas 61:2

visual 72:10

voicing 23:25

voltage 80:10,11,12

94:8,9 101:19,23 106:16

113:16,17,20 114:12

115:1,20 116:12 121:10

132:11 156:7 184:20

189:9 190:10

voltages 71:4 81:20

102:11 115:18,24

184:18,22 188:25

volts 113:21 116:4

volume 28:13,14

voluntarily 35:1,18 36:7,

21 76:1,22,25

volunteer 192:9

vote 11:13 23:19 24:7,13,

17,20,22,25 25:4,7

49:21,23,25 150:11,12,

15,18,25 222:4

voted 56:21

voting 22:25 24:14 49:19

W

wait 40:9,15 51:22,23

58:2,6,9 106:17

waiting 72:2 142:19

222:22

waive 77:1

walk 6:2 35:25 157:9

walking 154:23

wanted 7:18,21 46:13

52:13 72:13 118:24

119:19 142:21 181:14

185:9 186:23 223:2

Index: wanting..worth

wanting 34:7 41:21 20:17 21:20 23:19 24:11. 24 29:21.22 30:19 31:2 79:16 24,25 31:20 32:18 34:22 32:8 33:7,14 34:1,12 35:9,11 36:10 42:11 36:15 37:2 38:6,14,15 Wasatch 11:14,18,20 39:8,14,17,21 40:5,7,23 43:2,3 46:13 49:4 50:1,2 55:17,20 58:15 61:3 121:1 122:6,15 140:15, 41:12,23 43:25 44:5,7,11 71:16 140:22 141:18 16 149:10 150:8,19,20 45:5,7 47:16,18 50:8,9, 156:13,24 163:12 164:4, 24 51:4,8 53:16 54:14 22 225:7 White's 21:24 70:7 72:16 85:6 87:10 waste 147:24 148:10 wide 191:4 194:2,14 118:2,4 121:20,22 202:16,17 132:18,23 134:4,5,19 wasteful 144:16 148:19 194:12 215:6 widening 196:8 watch 40:18 witnesses' 68:24 wider 198:21 204:6 watchful 61:1 206:17 woefully 74:9 watching 26:25 width 76:17 156:6 190:6, wondering 14:20 32:23 water 203:14 21 191:9 193:16,22 34:23,25 122:12 134:12 wave 121:10 wield 110:21 wooden 64:14 ways 37:3,23 wildfire 141:3 word 17:5 77:6 185:9,10, 12 186:3 201:14 207:2 weather 107:6 130:22 wildly 209:8 216:20 135:21 141:10 169:15,20 will/should 77:4 words 21:2 35:16 121:23 Webber 214:23 215:1,2, Williams 74:17 201:3,8 13 217:23 221:20,22 willingness 35:17 49:11 work 15:19,22 23:10 59:8 Webber's 216:2 217:7 60:2 62:24 66:22 160:20 165:3.25 **Wednesday** 26:12,13 willpower 62:21 167:8 170:20 173:22 week 4:24 6:1 99:1 183:13,17 200:16 223:5 Wilmer 5:14 weeks 15:13 107:3 125:3 worked 59:1 wind 141:1 165:9 127:21 157:4 166:20,22 working 13:16 25:24 **windows** 170:15 211:8 47:4 108:8 152:13 weigh 205:25 wins 169:9 164:10 165:18 183:14 198:1 winter 106:24 126:22 weight 64:12 137:3 170:2,17 171:3,12, works 121:12 139:21 weird 161:14 13,22 222:11,19,20,24 world 40:11,18 61:3,8 **welcomed** 198:20 winter's 114:16 115:18 121:17 west 61:4 withdraw 195:8 219:13 **worried** 130:13 Western 119:6 131:21 witness's 6:10 48:22 worst 79:20 100:16 wetland 172:20 207:25 136:22 172:11 whatsoever 15:4 witnesses 6:8 7:6,22 8:7, worth 75:2 8 23:3 26:7 27:7,10,11, White 14:18 16:2,9,16,19

Index: worthwhile..yoked

worthwhile 122:22

223:8,10,12

wrap-up 146:15

yes/no 87:1

Wright 23:16 25:3,4 30:2

yes/110 of.1

45:13,19 50:3,4 140:18, 20 141:9,15,16,24 142:2 yoked 168:25

150:21,22

write 63:25 177:17

209:11

writing 142:14

written 6:10 37:3 39:10 47:6,7 102:1 176:11

181:13 183:21

wrong 17:5 122:4 143:10,11,21 216:16

wrongly 76:16

wrote 175:10

Wyoming 93:25 100:5

225:13

Υ

yard 65:5

yards 64:21 68:11,12

year 56:1,15,16,20 61:10

95:8 103:18 106:12,17,

23,25 108:18 112:7

129:6 138:21 154:6

155:10 162:23 164:17,18

165:15,22 166:3,4

169:24 170:7 222:22

years 47:4 55:12 56:12

59:12,14 67:21 74:14

76:21 82:5 95:6 96:6,7

103:16,21 104:4 105:24

107:20,21 108:15 109:12

131:5,8,12 138:17

152:12,14 157:5,10

158:10,13 174:25 175:11

183:15,16 212:24 213:17