ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

VS

MIDWAY CITY

Docket 20-035-03

PUBLIC HEARING

April 22, 2020

ADVANCED REPORTING SOLUTIONS

801-746-5080 | office@advancedrep.com | advancedrep.com SALT LAKE | 159 West Broadway, Broadway Lofts, Suite 100 | Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 PROVO | 3507 North University Avenue, Suite 350-D | Provo, Utah 84604 ST. GEORGE | 20 North Main Street, Suite 301 | St. George, Utah 84770



1	UTAH UTILITY FACILITY REVIEW BOARD PUBLIC HEARING DOCKET 20-035-03 ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER, PETITIONER VS. MIDWAY CITY, RESPONDENT Taken on April 22, 2020 * 9:00 a.m. Volume III of III Proceedings conducted via teleconference Day 3 of Hearing Reported by: Tamra J. Berry, CSR, CCR, RPR A P P E A R A N C E S
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:
3	THAD LEVAR, CHAIRMAN
4	DAVID R. CLARK, BOARD MEMBER JORDAN A. WHITE, BOARD MEMBER TROY FITZGERALD, BOARD MEMBER
5	GLENN J. WRIGHT, BOARD MEMBER
6	ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER:
7	BRET REICH
8	HEIDI GORDON
9	MIDWAY CITY:
10	CORBIN B. GORDON JOSHUA D. JEWKES
11 12	VALLEY-WIDE OPPOSITION TO LARGE TRANSMISSION LINES (V.O.L.T):
13	MARK O. MORRIS
14	ELIZABETH BRERETON
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020	Page 501
1	-000-	
2		
3	INDEX	
4		PAGE
5	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY ROCKY MOUNTAIN CLOSING STATEMENTS BY MIDWAY CITY	
6	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY V.O.L.T	.552
7	DELIBERATIONS	.573
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020 Page 502
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: This is Thad LeVar.
4	I'm not sure when I pushed my mute button, so I'll
5	start over.
6	We are on the record in Utility Facility
7	Review Board, docket 20-035-03. This is day 3 of the
8	hearing on the merits, and let me go to the parties
9	at this point.
10	Do we have attorneys for Rocky Mountain
11	Power present?
12	MR. REICH: Good morning. This is
13	Bret Reich with Rocky Mountain Power.
14	MS. GORDON: Heidi Gordon with Fabian
15	Vancott for Rocky Mountain Power.
16	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you. And
17	Midway City?
18	MR. GORDON: Corbin Gordon and Joshua
19	Jewkes for Midway City.
20	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you.
21	And Valley-Wide Opposition to Large
22	Transmission Lines?
23	MR. MORRIS: Yes, good morning. This is
24	Mark Morris. Liz Brereton and I are on this morning.
25	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. What we've

1 scheduled to do this morning is have closing 2 statements from parties and then board deliberations. 3 So let's move forward with the closing statements. 4 Let me just ask the parties: Is there any objection to doing those in the order of Rocky 5 6 Mountain Power, then Midway, then V.O.L.T.? Any concerns with that order? 7 We'll move forward that way. Board 8 Okay. 9 members, I think we'll allow questions from members 10 of the board after each opening statement. However, 11 if you feel like it would help to interrupt to ask a 12 question, I don't see any reason why that isn't 13 possible also. But we will stop in between each 14 closing argument to see if we have questions from 15 board members. And so we'll go first with Rocky 16 Mountain Power's closing statement. 17 MR. REICH: Thank you. This is Bret Reich 18 with Rocky Mountain Power. The Governor of Utah 19 recently asked Rocky Mountain Power's president to 20 attend a press conference to assure the citizens and 21 businesses of Utah that the lights will stay on 22 during the coronavirus pandemic. Rocky Mountain 23 Power and Heber Light & Power provide an essential 24 service to their customers. It is critical to the 25 welfare, safety, and well-being of our entire

1 community.

2

3

4

5

6

7

This service is so essential that Utah legislature created this board to protect the integrity of the electric transmission and delivery system. The integrity of the electric transmission system must be the paramount concern of this board and must govern the decision today.

The first question this board must address 8 9 is whether there is a need for this project. The 10 record in this proceeding shows this project is 11 absolutely necessary to retain the statutory 12 reliability standards in the Heber Valley. Because 13 there is an immediate need to protect the integrity 14 of the electric transmission and delivery system in 15 this state, then the board must ask whether the 16 project has been impaired by a local government that 17 would prevent these two utilities from providing 18 service to its customers in a safe, reliable, 19 adequate, or efficient manner under section 54-14-201 20 of the Facility Review Act. The answer is yes.

21 Rocky Mountain Power asks this board to 22 protect the integrity of the electric transmission 23 system by finding the overhead construction of this 24 project must proceed forward immediately as 25 authorized in the conditional use permit. This can

1 be accomplished in several ways. 2 First, Midway City failed to meet its 3 self-imposed conditions under the conditional use 4 permit. The permit requires Rocky Mountain Power to submit three actual competitive construction bids 5 prepared by qualified bonded and insured 6 third-parties. 7 Mr. Darin Myers testified that three bids 8 9 meeting those requirements were provided to Midway 10 City on March 27th of 2020. Those bids were submitted by reputable, well-known, and reliable 11 12 Those contractors were Wasatch contractors. 13 Electric, RES Group, and Summit Line Construction who 14 is located in Heber Valley. 15 Although Midway City and V.O.L.T. 16 complained about the bid amounts, they never disputed 17 receiving a copy of the bids as required in the 18 conditional use permit. Nothing in the conditional 19 use permit or the Facility Review Board Act requires 20 Midway City to agree with the bid amounts or approve 21 the contractors. Three bids were requested, and 22 three bids were received by Midway City. 23 Under the conditional use permit, Midway 24 City was required to select a route within 15 days of 25 receiving the bid. It is undisputed that Midway City

1 did not select a route but instead objected to the 2 bids and continued to delay this process, claiming 3 the bids are too expensive.

Rocky Mountain Power presented testimony 4 through Mr. Myers that the bids were based on Rocky 5 6 Mountain Power's standard specifications, the same specifications used for other projects. Specifically 7 Midway City questioned the use of spare conductors in 8 9 the bids. Rocky Mountain Power testified that the 10 use of spare conductors has been a standard specifications since 2015, five years before these 11 12 bids were obtained.

This board should not ask Rocky Mountain Power to compromise its specifications at the request of a local government, especially when it is Rocky Mountain Power that will be maintaining, repairing, and operating the transmission system for several years to come.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 Rocky Mountain Power likewise testified 20 that Rocky Mountain Power's standard specifications 21 and the National Electric Safety Code require the 22 circuits or the conductors for RMP and Heber Light & 23 Power be placed in separate duct banks. Mr. Myers 24 and Mr. Clegg testified that this is a standard RMP 25 specification that serves the purpose of protecting

the lines from third-party incidents, allowing two 1 2 utility companies to safely work around and maintain 3 the underground lines and increases reliability. 4 This board should not ask Rocky Mountain Power to compromise our standard specifications 5 6 requiring separate duct banks for each utility in accordance with the NESC. 7 Based on Midway City's failure to select 8 9 the route and proceed as required in the conditional 10 use permit, the board should find that Midway City has waived its rights under the conditional use 11 12permit to impose the alternate route of 13 undergrounding the line, and Rocky Mountain Power's 14 immediately authorized to proceed with the overhead 15 construction as authorized in the conditional use 16 permit. 17 This decision would not require the board 18 determine what constitutes actual excess costs, 19 standard costs, or timing of payment under the Facility Review Board Act. An alternate method under 20 21 the Facility Review Board Act, the board has several 22 statutory provisions that authorize it to find Rocky 23 Mountain Power can and should immediately move 24 forward with the overhead construction of the 25 project. None of these statutory provisions likewise

require the board to determine standard costs, actual 1 2 excess costs, or the time period to pay such costs. 3 For example, Midway City's conditional use 4 permit requires construction in a manner that will not permit RMP and HL&P to provide services to its 5 customers in a safe, reliable, adequate, or efficient 6 manner in violation of section 52-14-303-1(c). 7 And Because there's no finality to the permit. 8 why not? 9 It continues to delay the project and prevents the 10 critical infrastructure from being installed to 11 protect the utility customers in the Heber Valley. 12 Because it asks the companies to continue to delay 13 addressing statutorily required reliability standards 14 and requirements. 15 It asks the utilities customers to bear 16 the risk of rolling blackouts or power outages or 17 voltage reduction, especially during winter months 18 when demand is high. RMP's director of transmission 19 planning testified there will be up to 620 hours of 20 exposure to the risk of inadequate voltage to

20 exposure to the risk of inadequate voltage to 21 customers in the Heber Valley and Park City areas 22 until the project is completed. The hours of risk 23 will increase annually.

24Midway City's own expert testified there's25a valid basis for completing the construction as soon

as possible and testified during cross-examination
 that the need has existed for years and should have
 been addressed a long time ago.
 Even Midway City recognizes the urgent

5 need to address the potential exposure by stating in 6 the conditional use permit that, quote, the proposed 7 benefits neighboring areas and the -- excuse me. 8 "The proposed benefits neighboring areas and entire 9 power grids by providing more connectivity and 10 redundancy to support better function of the system 11 as a whole," closed quote.

12 What is the prejudice to Rocky Mountain 13 Power, HL&P, and their customers if this project is 14 not allowed to move forward immediately or wait until 15 a November bond vote and if approved funding in 16 February 2021? The very real and tangible prejudice 17 to RMP and HL&P and their customers is they, not Midway City, are asked to bear the risk of blackouts, 18 19 going without power in the very real threat of a 20 power outage or failure in Parleys or Provo Canyon 21 caused by a wildfire or the threat of wildfire caused 22 by high winds and dry climate conditions, avalanche, 23 or any number of events that could impact the 24 reliability of the transmission system especially 25 during winter months when the demand is high.

1 Delaying this project is not acceptable and 2 compromises the safety, integrity, and reliability of 3 RMP's transmission system. 4 In another provision under the Facility Review Board Act, Midway City failed to act on the 5 application within 60 days in violation of section 6 54-14-303-1(e). RMP and Midway City -- excuse me. 7 RMP and Heber Light & Power submitted a joint 8 9 application to Midway City on April 22nd of 2019. 10 Eight months later Midway City issued a conditional use permit on December 17th, 2020. The 60-day 11 12 provision is identified in the statute for a reason. 13 It means something. It means local governments are 14 not allowed to delay critical electric infrastructure 15 while the local government decides whether it has 16 funds to impose excess costs on the project. Once again the prejudice by continuing to delay this 17 18 process is borne by the utility customers of this 19 state.

Midway City's conditional use permit purports to impose conditions in Wasatch County that are in direct conflict with Wasatch County's conditional use permit in violation of section 54-14-303-1(f) of the Act. Midway City's conditional use permit requires taking the underground line above

1	ground and locating dip poles in Wasatch County.
2	This is not authorized under the Wasatch County
3	permit, and Wasatch County has not agreed to this
4	condition. Midway City has no authority to impose
5	conditions on Wasatch County any more than it has any
6	rights to impose conditions on Colorado or Alaska.
7	Finally, the board is the authority and
8	has the facts to support the finding that Midway City
9	waived the right to impose actual excess costs.
10	Under section 54-14-204 it states, "Any requirement
11	that imposes actual excess costs are waived if they
12	are not paid within 30 days before the construction
13	for the date construction of the facility should
14	commence to avoid a significant risk of impairment of
15	safe, reliable, and adequate service to its
16	customers."
17	The act defines commencement of
18	construction of a facility to include the project
19	design and ordering of materials necessary to
20	construct the facility.
21	Midway City has not paid a cent toward
22	actual excess costs, even assuming for sake of
23	argument that actual excess costs are difficult to
24	ascertain with exact certainty, Midway City would
25	still be required to pay something to meet the

statutory 30-day deadline in the statute. 1 To find 2 otherwise would require the utility to finance the 3 actual excess cost imposed by the local government. 4 This directly contradicts the intent and purpose of 5 the act. Because there's substantial evidence 6 supporting the statutorily required significant risk 7 of impairment of safe, reliable, and adequate service 8

9 to customers, the 30-day payment period was triggered 10 as soon as Midway City imposed any condition that 11 imposes actual excess costs. No payment was or has 12 been made within 30 days of December 17, 2020 [sic].

13 In fact, Midway City refused to pay the 14 nominal amount of \$25,000 to put together the request 15 for proposals and obtain the competitive bids Midway 16 City required in the conditional use permit. Such 17 costs clearly qualifies as actual excess costs 18 because they're clearly not required or necessary to 19 RMP's proposed overhead route. Failure to pay any of 20 the actual excess costs waives Midway City's right to 21 impose those excess costs on RMP.

In the alternative, if the board determines against the great weight of evidence that the project is not necessary to protect the integrity of the electric transmission system or the utility

customers of the Heber Valley, the board must 1 2 determine under the statute the amount of estimated 3 standard costs, actual excess costs, and the time 4 period to pay such costs. To determine the standard costs the act requires the public utility provide the 5 estimated standard cost of the facility and the 6 estimated excess cost of the facility. 7 Rocky Mountain Power provided the 8 9 estimated excess cost of the underground line which 10 was rejected by Midway City in the conditional use 11 permit. Rocky Mountain Power provided the estimated 12 standard cost of the facility, the proposed overhead 13 power line, through the testimony of Darin Myers, Ben 14 Clegg, and Ben LeFevre, its real estate appraiser. 15 Mr. Myers testified the estimated standard 16 cost of building the overhead line was \$1,886,601. 17 The statute requires only an estimate of the standard 18 costs and does not require a binding construction 19 Mr. Myers testified the standard cost estimate bid. 20 was obtained by comparing this project to a similar 21 recently-built project. 22 This methodology is authorized by the act 23 in the definition of standard cost at section

54-14-103-9(b) where it states, quote, With respect
to transmission lines, standard cost is the cost of

any overhead line constructed in accordance with the 1 2 public utility's normal practices, closed quote. 3 The question of right-of-way costs is 4 difficult in this setting to estimate. To determine the actual right-of-way costs it is true that Rocky 5 6 Mountain Power would need to obtain the right-of-way with individual property owners through negotiated 7 settlements or condemnation. In contrast to Midway 8 9 City's position, the statute does not require the 10 actual right-of-way costs but rather an estimate. 11 Several technical legal nuances make estimating the 12 right-of-way costs difficult. 13 The existing 50-year transmission line 14 owned by Heber Light & Power was obtained by 15 prescription and subsequently recorded by HL&P. 16 V.O.L.T. erroneously decided in their trial memorandum the width of the HL&P easement is 10 feet 17 18 on either side of the centerline. 19 Mr. Norlen at HL&P testified that HL&P 20 needs at least 20 feet on each side of the centerline 21 to maintain and operate the easement. Therefore, the 22 existing easement is at least 40 feet, but a Utah district court would be required to determine the 23

24 | actual width of the easement.

25

Rocky Mountain Power submitted evidence

during the permit application process, the estimated right-of-way costs were approximately \$25,000 based on obtaining 2 to 3 feet of additional right-of-way where necessary. Rocky Mountain Power's legal position is that the existing power line right-of-way can continue to be used as a transmission power line under the new project.

In addition Rocky Mountain Power moved the 8 9 proposed overhead lines slightly north on Ward's Lane 10 to prevent from taking any new right-of-way on the south side of Ward's Lane. 11 In other words, the 12 southern boundary of the new power line would be the 13 southern boundary of the existing HL&P power line. 14 Therefore there would be no new physical take on the 15 properties along the southern side of Ward's Lane. 16 Those properties comprised a majority of properties 17 appraised by Mr. Webber, the appraiser for Midway 18 City.

19 Another fatal flaw in Midway City and 20 V.O.L.T.'s right-of-way analysis as stated in their trail memorandum is that the new 138 kV transmission 21 22 line requires a 60-foot right-of-way. This is simply 23 not true and is not supported by the evidence before 24 this board. There is no provision in the National 25 Electric Safety Code that requires a 60-foot easement

1 for a 138 kV power line. Although it may be a good 2 practice to obtain a 60-foot easement on new power 3 line corridors, this is not a new power line 4 corridor. This power line corridor has existed for The NESC requires vertical and 5 over 50 years. horizontal clearances from existing structures. The 6 right-of-way distance is obtained by complicated 7 mathematical calculations that considered the 8 9 vertical sag of the conductor and the horizontal 10 movement of the conductor from the wind, the deflexion of the pole when horizontal wind is 11 12 applied, the framing of the pole and the required 13 NESC clearances that must be maintained. It is not a 14 60-foot right-of-way width. 15 Another fatal flaw in Midway City's 16 estimated right-of-way cost is the erroneous

17 assumptions by Mr. Webber that properties not
18 touching or experiencing a physical taking by the
19 proposed power line can obtain severance damages.
20 It's black letter Utah law that there must be a
21 physical taking in order for a property to receive
22 severance damages. Mr. Webber's calculations include
23 several properties where there is no physical taking.

24To account for Mr. Webber's incorrect25application of black letter Utah valuation and

1 eminent domain law, Mr. LeFevre took the grossly 2 exaggerated severance damages used by Mr. Webber and 3 applied them only to the properties actually touched 4 by the proposed overhead route. In his rebuttal testimony Mr. LeFevre testified that using Mr. 5 6 Webber's grossly exaggerated severance damages on just the properties where physical taking occurs, 7 amounts to between \$691,344 and \$767,712. Although 8 9 RMP does not concede this is the proper estimate of 10 right-of-way costs for the overhead line, RMP concedes that the best estimate available at this 11 12 juncture of the project is most likely between 13 \$25,000 and \$767,712 depending on the legal status of 14 the prescriptive easement, whether it can be used as 15 a 138 kV transmission corridor, the width of the 16 existing 50-year easement, and the width necessary for the 138 kV transmission line. 17 All of these 18 outstanding legal determinations make obtaining an 19 estimate of the right-of-way costs extremely 20 difficult at this juncture of the proceeding. 21 Because the Midway City permit rejected 22 RMP's estimate of excess costs, the Facility Review 23 Board Act requires RMP in section 54-14-203 to obtain 24 competitive bids. RMP did so. The act then states 25 that the actual excess costs of the facility shall be

the difference between the lowest bid acceptable to
 RMP plus RMP's administration and oversight expense
 and the standard costs.

4 The first problem with obtaining the excess costs imposed by Midway City is the cost to 5 acquire the right-of-way rights for undergrounding 6 the line. Although several property owners have made 7 non-binding overtures to donate the right-of-way free 8 of costs, none of the property owners have entered 9 10 into or offered any binding commitments to provide the right-of-way free of cost. The legal nuances 11 12with obtaining this right-of-way for the underground 13 line suffer for many of the same legal nuances of the 14 above ground line, such as whether the existing 15 50-year old HP&L easement can be used for the 16 underground line.

17 However, the unknown expense of the 18 underground right-of-way cost is tempered by the 19 true-up provision in Midway City's conditional use 20 permit. Setting the right-of-way cost aside, using 21 the lowest cost option to build the project 22 underground of 12,646,665, less the estimated 23 standard costs of the facility, which Mr. Myers 24 testified was \$1,886,601 and the estimated 25 right-of-way costs of \$500,000 for sake of argument,

1	which totals \$2,386,601. The actual excess costs of
2	Midway City's choice to underground the line will be
3	\$10,260,064. Under section 54-14-204 of the act,
4	this actual excess cost must be paid within 30 days
5	before commencement of construction, which is defined
6	as the project design and ordering of materials
7	necessary to construct the project.
8	Because the testimony supports placing
9	this project into service immediately, the board
10	should find that Midway City waives the right to
11	impose the excess costs of undergrounding the line,
12	unless it pays the actual excess costs of \$10,260,064
13	within ten days of signing the final order.
14	The clear and undisputed evidence in this
15	proceeding is that the project is necessary to
16	provide safe, reliable, and adequate service to its
17	customers, and therefore the board should authorize
18	the project to move forward immediately. That
19	concludes my comments. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you. Mr.
21	Reich. I want to ask you a couple questions, and
22	then I'll go to other board members.
23	My first question is in your view is the
24	need and timing of the line still a contested issue
25	for the board to determine considering the issuance

1	of a CUP by Midway City?
2	MR. REICH: I'm not sure I understand your
3	question. Can you restate it?
4	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. I'll phrase
5	it a different way. By issuing a CUP did Midway give
6	up its or waive its ability to contest the need for
7	the line?
8	MR. REICH: Yeah, I agree with that
9	statement. I mean I think in the actual conditional
10	use permit itself, it states that there's a need for
11	the line. I mean it's I don't think that that
12	matter is disputed. And I think that issuing the
13	conditional use permit is evidence of that.
14	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. I think I
15	just have one other question at this time before I go
16	to other board members. You know the Facility Review
17	Board Act clearly gives the board the authority to
18	resolve disputes over costs, and Midway has the right
19	to seek board review of any disputes between Midway
20	and Rocky Mountain Power over costs. So considering
21	that that legal right exists, does that by
22	implication give the board the authority, if it
23	were this is just one person asking, but if the
24	board were to consider resetting the timelines for
25	the CUP in saying: As of today, the day we make this

1	board decision, Midway City has 15 days from the date
2	of the decision to select a route and 30 days from
3	the date of the decision to pay the excess costs, is
4	that authority to reset those CUP deadlines inherent
5	or implicit within our authority to determine costs
6	or resolve disputes about costs?
7	MR. REICH: Well, I know that the the
8	statute states that the board can address any dispute
9	regarding specifications to an acceptable bid
10	administrative and oversight expenses and also can
11	ask for additional information under the act. So you
12	know I would I would tend to agree that the board
13	does have authority to to set new deadlines. I
14	think there's actually a provision in the statute
15	I'm not sure where it is exactly; I can't find it
16	right off hand where the board can actually issue
17	a decision, ask for additional information, and put
18	off a decision for a specific time period. So I do
19	believe that the board has that authority.
20	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
21	Those are the only questions I have at this point.
22	Do other board members have questions for
23	Mr. Reich at this point?
24	MR. JORDAN WHITE: I think I'm asking
25	maybe a similar question to what Chair LeVar was

1 asking, which is: If I understand the potential 2 alternative decisions in front of the board, is one 3 potential decision to allow the city to -- a certain 4 amount of time to pay, or I don't know what the legal function would be whether it's bond-borne, et cetera, 5 6 for the actual excess costs. And if they were not able to meet that deadline, the alternative would be 7 that Rocky Mountain Power would have the right to 8 9 immediately begin construction with the overhead In other words, under the statute 10 transmission line. 11 is it your opinion that there's a -- I quess like a 12dual decision I guess or a decision in the 13 alternative?

14 MR. REICH: I think that's the answer that 15 depends on the finding of this board on whether or 16 not the requirements or conditions imposed by Midway 17 City, if -- I'm referring to section 54-14-204 where 18 there is the timing mechanism about payment. And it 19 says that "Within 30 days before the date, 20 construction of facility should commence." And then I think there's the "in order to avoid a significant 21 22 risk of impairment." So I think the board has to 23 decide. If there's a significant risk of impairment, 24 then I don't think the board has discretion to change 25 the deadline. I think that 30 day deadline is

1 statutory.

If the board finds there's not a significant risk of impairment, then I think that would probably be a different story. But under the statute it clearly states that upon a finding of a significant risk of impairment, then payment must be made within 30 days before the date construction of the facility should commence.

9 MR. JORDAN WHITE: And if that were the --10 if the board were to find that and the city did not 11 meet that condition and that was the finding, then 12 would the -- would Rocky Mountain Power automatically 13 be granted the right to go -- to build the over -- to 14 proceed with the overhead construction?

MR. REICH: Sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you.

17 MR. JORDAN WHITE: That's my question, I 18 Is that if that were the case, if we made quess. 19 that finding if that were the case and the city did 20 not satisfy that order, what would be the result of 21 that? Would it be that it would just automatically 22 provide Rocky Mountain Power with the right to 23 proceed with overhead construction?

24 MR. REICH: Yes. The conditional use 25 permit as written today states that if the

ſ

1	underground line is not an option for whatever
2	reason I mean in the conditional use permit it
3	states that if the conditions aren't met. And I
4	think the board finding that the condition is not
5	met, the payment was not made when it was required,
6	then the conditional use permit, as written today,
7	states that Rocky Mountain Power will proceed with
8	the overhead project, and then it contains conditions
9	that I believe Rocky Mountain Power would continue to
10	need to meet under the existing conditional use
11	permit for the overhead lines. So my answer is yes.
12	MR. JORDAN WHITE: Thank you. That's all
13	the questions I have for now.
14	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr.
15	White.
16	Any other questions from board members?
17	I'm not hearing any.
18	So I have one follow up that Commissioner
19	White's question brought to mind. As I asked a
20	minute ago about your view of the board's authority
21	if we were to, under our authority to resolve
22	disputes on cost, reset the CUP deadline, it seems
23	like, you know, if we made a decision today we could
24	re we could consider resetting Midway's deadline
25	to choose a route under the CUP from 15 days from

Г

Page 525

1	today. If the board were also to make a finding with
2	respect to significant risk and reset the deadline
3	for Midway City to pay the excess costs, is there a
4	way that the board could calculate 30 days before the
5	date of construction before the date construction
6	should commence? Is there a way that we can
7	calculate now if we wanted to reset that deadline
8	from the CUP after determining what we consider the
9	appropriate costs, is there a way we could reset that
10	30-day deadline since it's not a going forward 30-day
11	deadline but it's a going backward from commencement
12	date. I hope my question makes sense.
13	MR. REICH: Yeah, I think to the extent I
14	understand your question, I think that turns on the
15	definition of commencement of construction. And in
16	order to push back a 30-day deadline or to set a
17	30-day deadline from today, the board would have to
18	find that commencement of construction is not
19	necessary for 30 days from today's date. So the
20	project design and ordering materials can wait for
21	30 days, and then I think that I think that then
22	would comply with the statutory authority granted to
23	the board.
24	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr.

24 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr.25 Reich.

1	Any other questions from board members?
2	Okay. I'm not hearing any questions. So
3	why don't we go ahead to Midway City for your closing
4	statements.
5	MR. GORDON: Thank you, Commissioner. I
6	appreciate the hard work that the board has put in.
7	Just in the beginning I'd like to say that this has
8	really been one of the hardest issues that ever come
9	into Midway City, and there's a tremendous amount of
10	concern and hours that have been put in to
11	understanding and addressing these issues. And we
12	appreciate all the work that the board has done to
13	get up to speed quickly on this process.
14	Now, in the beginning one of the things I
15	do want to point out is the actual excess costs are
16	really the core of everything here because under the
17	conditional use permit we required them to provide
18	competitive bids. And our responsibility to pay is
19	not triggered until we receive the bids so that the
20	actual excess costs can be determined. I'm going to
21	walk through today why it's impossible to determine
22	the actual excess costs, meaning that Rocky Mountain
23	Power still has not complied with the simple
24	requirements under our conditional use permit.
25	Now, with that said when I started on

Page 527

1 Monday, I outlined the items that Midway City 2 intended on establishing through cross-examination of 3 Rocky Mountain Power's witnesses. And if you recall 4 I asked the board to pay attention and to hold me accountable to the things I said that we would show. 5 As my closing, I'm going to walk through what I told 6 you I would prove and what actually came into the 7 As you recall, I told you that this board 8 record. has two jobs today. The first one is to determine 9 10 what the actual excess costs of going underground 11 And number two, what the appropriate are. 12commencement date should be for Midway City's portion 13 of the line.

In order for this board to determine the actual excess costs, you need the standard costs, you need the actual costs to go underground to be verified by reliable bids, and then you need the actual costs of the easements. I'm going to address each one of those in turn.

So first I told you that there would be -that we would be able to show that there's really no reliable evidence necessary to establish the standard costs. And the evidence shows as follows: Rocky Mountain Power has not submitted any bids to establish the overhead costs. Rocky Mountain Power

Page 528

1	put on Mr. Myers, the project manager, who testified
2	similar lines would typically be estimated at about
3	190 to 250 per foot range. That was not supported by
4	any form of external documentation or bid. He also
5	stated that the actual costs of a similar double
6	circuit overhead 138 kV transmission line project
7	that was finished in March 2019 came in at 269.90 per
8	foot. This also was not supported by any
9	documentation from the other project, was without any
10	indication how the project was similar, and lacked
11	any indication of reliability. He acknowledged that
12	even with his estimates they do not include surcharge
13	costs or costs of the easements which the definition
14	of standard costs require. And based on that
15	information that we have before us, Rocky Mountain
16	Power is asking you to determine what the above
17	ground costs should be. It is our position that
18	there is insufficient evidence on the record
19	necessary for this board to even remotely determine
20	what the standard costs to go above ground are, and
21	that additional information is needed.
22	Under section 54-14-103, it defines that
23	with respect to a transmission line, standard cost is
24	the cost of any overhead line constructed in
25	accordance with the public utility standard

Under 202-38 it states, "If the board 1 practices. 2 finds that the public utility has failed to provide 3 the standard costs and estimated excess costs in 4 accordance with the provisions of subsection 1, the board may request additional information from the 5 6 public utility. The public utility then has 30 days to provide that information. And if they do not, 7 then this hearing can be postponed until the 8 information is obtained." 9

10 Rocky Mountain Power has not provided this 11 information to Midway City or this board, and as such 12 the board cannot determine what the standard costs of 13 the project are. So based on the first prong of your 14 responsibilities today, you do not have the evidence 15 or reliable evidence necessary in my opinion to give 16 you comfort that what you're establishing as standard 17 costs are based on anything more than just kind of 18 speculation.

19 Next, regarding the below ground costs, I 20 stated "Due to serious mistakes in the specifications 21 given to the three approved contractors who bid to 22 bury the line, the bids have come in anywhere from 3 23 million to 5 million high."

Let's see on how I did on getting that into the record. Mr. Myers, who has only been on

this project for a year and testified that this was 1 2 his first underground -- underground line I think bid 3 process, openly acknowledged that he did not catch 4 problems in the bid. He testified and acknowledged that the measurements in the bids included 5 6 approximately 1,600 feet of length that was not supposed to be trenching. This length was supposed 7 to be cable only on the dip poles. Mr. Myers 8 9 admitted and the actual bids show that two of the 10 bidders actually bid the additional length at The evidence on those bids show the 11 trenching. 12 mistake was made on all of the options by two of the 13 bidders.

14 To give the board a sense of the scope of 15 the error, in the shortest option the extra 16 1,600 feet is 24 percent of the project and creates a 17 discrepancy of over \$3 million. In a conditional use 18 permit, Midway City asked for what we thought was a 19 very simple thing, three competitive and reliable 20 bids. What it received was one bid to the 21 specifications issued by Rocky Mountain Power and two 22 with gross mistakes that overestimate the costs by up 23 to 24 percent.

24At this point it is unclear whether the25low bid is actually accurate or if the other two bids

would have come in lower if they had bid the
 specifications correctly.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

And I remind the board that Midway City's obligations under the conditional use permit to pay are not triggered until this requirement is met. Once again, Midway City has not received what it asked for. And this board has the power under section 54-14-202-3(a) to require Rocky Mountain Power to provide this information. And we request that you exercise this power and require Rocky Mountain Power to obtain three bids based on accurate specifications.

Next, in my opening I stated: 13 We will 14 show that Rocky Mountain Power has included several 15 items in their bids that have no justification from a 16 safety nor a reliability standpoint. First Rocky 17 Mountain Power put forth specifications that required 18 dual trenches to bury and separate the 138 kV line. 19 There is no safety standard that requires dual trenches and no justifiable reason to have two 20 21 trenches especially where it doubles the cost that 22 RMP wants Midway and its taxpayers to pay. And Rocky 23 Mountain Power specs require an extra conduit to be 24 installed on each circuit. There's nothing in any 25 industry standard that requires this extra expense,

1	yet it increases the cable cost by one-third.
2	So let's look at the evidence and how I
3	did on my promise to bring that into the record.
4	First, Rocky Mountain Power did not present any
5	witness who was really qualified to testify as to the
6	necessity under the National Electric Safety Code
7	that a dual trench is required. In fact, Rocky
8	Mountain Power did not present any witnesses really
9	qualified to discuss Rocky Mountain Power's standard
10	practices under the NESC or its own. Benjamin Clegg
11	testified he talked to someone the morning of his
12	testimony and obtained some references in the NESC,
13	which really established nothing more than the fact
14	that he did not refer to these specifications prior
15	to this when acting as the project manager. He
16	admitted as project manager that he receives this
17	type of specification and information from the
18	standards division of the company.
19	Mr. Myers, current project manager,
20	testified he was in charge of the creation of the
21	specifications included in the bids, but then stated
22	he was not he does not come up with the company

23 standard nor the NESC compliance regarding the 24 specification of the bids and that those come to him 25 from the standards division of Rocky Mountain Power

He acknowledged that the only specification 1 as well. 2 he actually created was the length of the trenching 3 but could not testify to safety requirements or 4 reliability requirements of dual trenches or pulling an additional dead line through the extra conduit. 5 Jake Barker, the director of transmission 6 planning and power quality for Rocky Mountain Power, 7 testified that he was not aware of any NESC standard 8 9 that requires dual trenches. Rocky Mountain Power 10 curiously offered no testimony from its standard 11 department who actually created these specifications 12 regarding whether dual trenches are required under 13 the NESC or whether the extra dead cable was required 14 under the NESC. 15 Midway City submitted testimony from John 16 Nelson, an expert in burying transmission lines for 17 50 years, who stated that nothing in the NESC 18 standards prohibit two utility companies to share a He also testified that there was nothing in 19 trench. 20 the NESC that required the extra cable to be pulled 21 in the empty conduit. He testified that once the 22 length errors of the bids are corrected, the dual 23 trenches are changed to a single trench, and the 24 additional cable is removed, the cost to bury the 25 line would be 6.3 million approximately instead of

the low bid of 11,187,986.

2 I'd also told you that when we were done 3 showing all of this evidence, which we did, we kept 4 our promise to you, that we would ask you to either adopt our expert's valuations as the underground 5 costs or require Rocky Mountain Power to provide bids 6 based on correct specifications and also trim the fat 7 of luxury items. 8 Specifically, we asked today that Rocky 9 10 Mountain Power be made to comply with the conditional 11 use permit by providing three gualified and 12 competitive bids that, number one, are based on 13 correct lengths of trenching in the specifications, 14 remove the requirements for dual trenches, and remove 15 the requirement for the additional cable pulled 16 through the spare circuit. Without this information 17 there is no way for this board to determine what the 18 actual costs of burying the line below ground would 19 And so on the second prong it states -- our be. 20 argument is you still have insufficient information 21 needed to make that decision. 22 Next argument regarding the costs of the 23 easements, I stated: There is nothing in the statute 24 that suggests the actual costs of the easements can

25 | be determined by estimate. Once they are obtained,

1
the actual estimates of the easements will be
 established and can be submitted to the board so that
 the actual excess costs can be determined.

4 And I want to highlight here for you, Commissioners, that this is perhaps the most 5 6 important legal determination that you're going to make in this matter. Section 54-13-103 -- and I'm 7 just going to pause and pull that up here real 8 9 quick -- defines that the actual excess costs means 10 the difference between the standard cost of a 11 facility, which we say you do not have sufficient 12 information on, the actual cost of the facility --13 the actual cost of the facility, which is allowed to 14 be established by competitive bid, and the actual 15 cost of any necessary rights-of-way, which you do not have information on. 16

It states that the actual cost of the 17 18 facility will be established in accordance with 19 section 203. Section 203 sets forth Midway City's 20 right to request the public utility to obtain competitive bids for the facility if constructed in 21 22 accordance with the requirements of the conditions of 23 the local government. We want you to note that it 24 does not say competitive bids for the easements but 25 only the facility. The facility is defined. Ιt

says, "Facility means a transmission line, a
 substation, a gas pipeline, a tap, a measuring
 device, or a treatment device."

This definition does not include 4 5 easements. While a statute contemplates establishing 6 the costs of the facility through competitive bids, it does not extend the same process to obtain actual 7 costs of easements. For example, it would be 8 9 nonsensical to say that you could establish the 10 actual costs of easements by competitive bid. If the statute allowed excess cost to be established by 11 12 estimate, it would have provided a path to obtain 13 these estimates, which it clearly does not. All it 14 says is in order to determine the actual excess 15 costs, you need to obtain the actual costs of the 16 facilities which can be obtained by competitive bid 17 and the actual costs of the easements. The only way 18 to obtain the actual costs of the easements is either 19 settle with the landowner or condemn.

Based on this, we ask this board to make a legal finding that under this statute, the actual easement costs cannot be established by estimate and request that Rocky Mountain Power provide the actual easement costs before this board can determine the actual excess costs. And we ask you to take care in 1 really creating a record there. I think this is one 2 of the most important legal issues that --3 interpretive issues that you've got. 4 If the board does choose to interpret the 5 statute as allowing easements to be established by 6 estimate, we'd simply ask you that you give careful

care and put forth your legal reasoning on it.

7

Further, if that is the interpretation the 8 9 board chooses, Rocky Mountain Power has failed to 10 provide any reliable information on what the actual 11 costs of the easements are. First, there's a 12 distinct dispute of fact regarding what the actual 13 width of the existing easement is and how much needs 14 to be obtained. Measurements were given to Mr. 15 LeFevre by Rocky Mountain Power, but nobody seems to 16 know where the measurements came from.

17 Mr. LeFevre testified that his analysis 18 was specifically not directed at giving actual values 19 of the easements. He gave a range of 25 percent to 20 75 percent of the underlying value without applying 21 that to any of the impacted properties. He used 22 studies from Salt Lake that he admitted were not 23 necessarily applicable but were the best he could 24 get. He did not perform appraisals on any impacted 25 property and admitted he was not aware the size of

the poles were not nominal but a significant difference. Basically his testimony was rendered mostly useless due to admitted lack of specificity and reliability. He himself admitted the board could not use his work to establish the actual costs of the easements.

This board is left then with either 7 accepting Mr. Webber's testimony as to the value of 8 9 the easements or to require Rocky Mountain Power to 10 obtain appraisals on each impacted property so that a better estimate of actual costs can be ascertained. 11 12 Of course the problems of establishing the actual 13 costs of easements through appraisal is highlighted 14 by the fact that Rocky Mountain Power first proposed 15 the easements were worth \$27,000. Their expert 16 testified to a broad range that could be somewhere between 300 to over -- 300,000 to over a million. 17 18 And Mr. Webber testified to 3.4 million. Is it any 19 wonder that the statute does not allow actual value 20 to be established this way. Appraisal can be 21 manipulated to the point of destroying any sense of 22 reliability, which is why the statute does not allow 23 it.

24 So in summary, this board has the power to 25 request information that was supposed to be submitted

by Rocky Mountain Power but was not and also has the 1 2 power to suspend the hearing until the information is 3 provided. Once the missing information is provided, 4 the board has 30 days to issue a decision. And we ask the board to exercise this power requesting 5 б updated bids and the actual costs of the easements before reconvening. 7

Next, regarding the appropriate 8 9 commencement date, I stated that Midway City wants 10 the opportunity to bond, which would require the commencement date to be extended to spring 2021. 11 Т 12We will show that Rocky Mountain Power's stated: 13 studies establish there is no immediate risk to its 14 customers if the line is delayed a few months to 15 The area is currently served by three bond. 16 different transmission lines. And RMP's own experts 17 will establish that the claimed threats of system 18 failure once analyzed are so remote as to be 19 The evidence will show that neither non-factors. 20 Heber Light & Power nor Rocky Mountain Power's system 21 is currently exceeding acceptable loads and that 22 neither will do so through the end of 2022.

23 So let's see how we did on getting that 24 evidence into the record. Mr. Barker stated that 25 there has not been a situation where Rocky Mountain

Page 540

1	Power customers have been without power due to a
2	failure of any of the transmission lines in question
3	for at least 20 years. He testified that in the past
4	20 years he is only aware of two major incidents with
5	the lines, a helicopter crash and a mudslide. But
6	neither resulted in the loss of power to Rocky
7	Mountain Power customers. He testified that even in
8	the case of a significant natural disaster where a
9	mudslide cut service for 37 hours in Provo Canyon,
10	none of Rocky Mountain Power's clients went without
11	power.
12	Jason Norlen testified that in his
13	23 years with Heber Light & Power, the Provo Canyon
14	line has only been down a total of two to three days
15	collectively with the longest of those outages from
16	six to seven hours. If you take the length of his
17	time with Heber Light & Power at 23 years, that's
18	201,400 hours of service that this line has been
19	working. In that time the line has been out a total
20	of three days or 36 hours collectively. That is
21	.00017 percent of the time, which is a real life way
22	to determine the actual risk of an extended outage on
23	this line.

Now, I want to emphasize that I'm notsaying that a major catastrophe could not occur. But

the reality if a major catastrophe does occur like an 1 2 earthquake, it is far more likely that all of the 3 lines involved could go down, meaning that the risk 4 is no greater and no less if it takes a few extra 5 months to bury. 6 Jason Norlen testified that the north line can handle the load while the south line is rebuilt, 7 which is the plan already. They're already going to 8 9 While this is not ideal for Heber Light & do that. 10 Power, it is willing to accept this risk while the 11 south line is built. There's no way to avoid it. An 12increase of six months does not significantly 13 increase the risk Heber Light & Power's already 14 assuming in building the south line. And finally all 15 of this is an academic exercise in the first place 16 because Rocky Mountain Power has not obtained any of 17 the needed easements to commence the line in any of 18 the jurisdictions the line passes through. There's 19 seven miles of easements that need to be obtained. 20 And the conditional use permits in Wasatch County, 21 Heber, and Midway City all prohibit Rocky Mountain 22 Power from commencing construction until the 23 easements are obtained. So the likelihood of this 24 line even starting in 2020 is low and being finished 25 in 2020 an outright impossibility.

Benjamin Clegg confirmed there's no 1 2 possibility the line will be finished by the end of 3 2020. He also testified that weather conditions 4 could prolong the installation and push it farther into the spring of 2021. He stated that none of the 5 poles have been ordered and none of the easements 6 have been obtained -- actually, I think he said that 7 they were working on some of the easements, but that 8 9 the vast majority of the easements have not obtained 10 for any portion of the line. He stated that the 11 easements normally take up to six months to obtain 12 and that the poles take anywhere from seven to nine 13 months once ordered to be received. This means that 14 the likelihood of even starting this year is zero. 15 Rocky Mountain Power can't order the poles until they 16 do soil samples, and they can't do soil samples until 17 they know where the easements are going to go. 18 So realistically even under Rocky Mountain

Power's best case scenario, easements could be obtained by October and the poles ordered that would arrive in a best case scenario in May of 2021. This means that construction would start on the north end of the line, some 6.3 miles away, in the spring and continue to Midway where the line could be buried in the fall.

The evidence shows that this line is going 1 2 to be doing well if it's installed by the end of 3 2021, which means Midway City could and should have 4 the chance to bond, fund the excess costs, and have its portion buried without even interfering with 5 Rocky Mountain Power's critical path. All Midway 6 City is asking for is a chance to put a bond on the 7 general election in November. As I stated before 8 9 we're really arguing about nothing because the line 10 is not going to commence until the easements are obtained. 11

12 I do want to point out this line has not 13 been delayed by Midway City. Rocky Mountain Power 14 has had approval from Wasatch County and Heber City 15 for almost six months to go overhead but have done 16 little to nothing to obtain the needed easements to 17 commence, and this is not Midway City's fault. 18 Midway City should not be punished for Rocky Mountain 19 Power's failure. If the reality is Rocky Mountain 20 Power cannot install this line until the spring of 2021 due its own failure to obtain needed easements, 21 22 why should Midway City be punished?

In reality we are simply asking to take advantage of the time frames Rocky Mountain Power's imposed on itself. Nothing we are asking hurts Rocky

Mountain Power or increases its critical path, given
 the reality of things they still need to accomplish
 in order to proceed.

4 Now, that's the evidence that we The more overarching discussion that I 5 submitted. 6 want to have is why this board actually exists. This board is established under the public service 7 commission with the emphasis on public. 8 The reason 9 the public service commission exists is because our 10 nation doesn't like monopolies. Monopolies are 11 dangerous, and the only way we allow them to exist is 12 with public oversight. They're large, powerful, have 13 tremendous competitive advantages, are profitable 14 enough to attract the likes of Warren Buffett to buy 15 them and have the distinct capacity to do things that 16 can be very damaging to individuals and communities.

17 This board specifically exists to check 18 the power of the monopoly, to hold the monopoly 19 accountable under the law, and to assure that the 20 public generally is not being abused by its actions. 21 Rocky Mountain Power requested this hearing, yet has 22 not provided the fundamental evidence this board 23 needs to determine the actual excess costs. It gives 24 the board no reliable information on above ground 25 costs, seriously flawed underground bids that include

1 arguably luxury items that it wants Midway City to 2 pay for, and provides no reliable evidence as to the 3 actual costs of the easements.

It acts this way and then wonders why 4 Midway's citizens are upset and feel powerless. 5 Tn 6 regards to Midway City's citizens most impacted by these lines, Rocky Mountain Power has put on 7 witnesses that state that there is only a nominal 8 9 difference between a two-foot diameter and 50-foot 10 tall pole and the 90-foot tall eight-foot in diameter 11 metal pole. These types of statements are not 12 credible and not fair. And if that pole were set in 13 front of that person's home, you can bet the company 14 line would suddenly shift dramatically. This is why 15 this board exists, so that when monopolies that are 16 clearly driven by the lowest cost and highest profit 17 margin take offensive and unreasonable positions, 18 like the easements in this case are only worth 19 \$27,000 or homeowners who will have gargantuan poles 20 in their yards are not entitled to severance damages, 21 that this board can say: Wait a minute here. Wait 22 just a minute, Mr. Monopoly. If anything, I'm going 23 to hold you to the highest standard of the law due to 24 your competitive advantage.

25

If a statute says you have to provide

1	information to a city, you are going to provide it
2	without question, without argument, and to the
3	fullest extent required by the law. They are
4	entitled to it, and you are not and you are going
5	to give it to them. Again I remind you, Mr.
6	Monopoly, that you have the state sanctioned power to
7	condemn an individual's property. And if there is a
8	way these property owners or town wants to bury a
9	line and to pay to bury it and it can be done in a
10	way that does not impact your timelines, I'm going to
11	make sure they get that chance.
12	Now, if this board doesn't say it, no one
13	will. I have dozens of citizens watching this
14	proceeding today whose life will change based on the
15	decision of this board. One path is a long and
16	bitter battle over condemned easements and above
17	ground power poles. The other path is to give Midway
18	City the time to bond and to bury.
19	In the first scenario Rocky Mountain Power
20	wins at a crushing cost to Midway City and its
21	impacted citizens. In the second scenario Rocky
22	Mountain Power loses nothing and Midway City wins,
23	but we need to look at what they win. All they're
24	winning is the opportunity to pay millions of dollars
25	to bury a line. In many ways you would think that it

might be a little crazy that a group of citizens or a city would fight this hard to pay an extreme amount for something that they could get for free. But that's how much they care. And if you could look in their faces, which you would normally be able to do in this type of scenario, you would see how much they care about this.

The nice thing about our current situation 8 9 is that the law is on their side, and the law 10 requires that this board and Rocky Mountain Power 11 care as well. All we're asking this board to do is 12to enforce the provisions of the code and require Rocky Mountain Power to provide the appropriate 13 14 information so that we can get a straight answer on 15 what the actual excess costs are so that all of the 16 other dominoes can fall. Once we know how much we 17 can begin to move forward to raise the money, bond 18 for the money, possibly even receive a loan from 19 Heber Light & Power. We cannot do that until we have 20 that information, and this board has not been 21 provided it and neither has Midway City. Based on 22 that, I would submit.

23 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr.24 Gordon.

25

I think I have one question for you at

1 this point. What should this board view as the legal 2 consequence of Midway City not acting on the CUP 3 application within 60 days?

4 MR. GORDON: Well, I mean I think that that's a right that Rocky Mountain Power has to 5 6 enforce, but they have to challenge it. And so they -- I mean they basically have waived the 7 objection. If they wanted to raise that, they could 8 have raised it a long time ago. They have now raised 9 10 it, and we're now here. And we're showing to you 11 that we can't act on their application without 12appropriate information, and they haven't given it to 13 It's never been provided. us.

So either way, I mean they raise the issue and say you're supposed to do this within 60 days. Well, we're only supposed to do it if we have the information, and we can't. So I mean that would be Midway's position on that.

19 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you. Just a 20 follow up then. Is it your position that Rocky 21 Mountain Power should have raised the issue to this 22 board as soon as the 60 days expired?

23 MR. GORDON: Yes. I mean, I think that 24 that's within their right. But they can choose how 25 long they want to wait to raise it. And so they have

Page 549

1 now raised it, and we're here arguing it and 2 addressing it in this hearing. And the evidence 3 shows the reasons why we didn't are justified because 4 we don't have the information necessary to act. 5 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. I'm sorrv that leads me just to one follow up though. 6 Didn't the terms of the CUP that was ultimately issued by 7 Midway demonstrate that the CUP had the ability to 8 9 request the necessary -- the information necessary to 10 I mean you issued A CUP that said we have to act? 11 have three bids. So why couldn't that have been done 12within the 60-day deadline? Was there any more 13 information available to Midway City when the CUP was 14 issued that wasn't available within the 60-day time 15 frame? 16 MR. GORDON: I don't think so. Let me 17 kind of think that through here for a second. My 18 mind is kind of wandering all over here. I'm sorry. 19 Can you kind of just -- I'm sorry, I'm trying to do 20 this in real time. Could you just ask me the 21 question again so I can make sure I'm answering what

22 you're actually asking me.

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: So it's kind of a stream of consciousness question that I was trying to put together on the fly. So I'll try to rephrase it.

You said that the delay in acting on the CUP 1 2 application was due to a lack of information. But 3 the CUP that was ultimately issued by Midway simply 4 called for that information to be provided. So was there any information that Midway had when it 5 actually issued the CUP that it didn't have within 6 the 60-day period that the law required it to act on 7 the application? 8 I mean clearly there 9 MR. GORDON: Yes. 10 was information coming in while this was pending 11 before the city in every hearing. There were 12requests to establish easement costs that came in far

13 beyond the 60-day. There were questions regarding 14 what the design would be on an underground line. 15 There were requests made to determine what the length 16 of the poles would be, how far apart they would be. 17 All of that came streaming in over time and was far 18 beyond the 60-day time limit in this situation. So 19 the answer to your question is, yes, there was 20 information received all the way through far beyond 21 the 60-day limit.

22 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you.
23 You know, I did have one more question
24 that's unrelated to this. And I apologize if this
25 question is in the testimony and I just have

Γ

Page 551

1	forgotten where it is. Are you proposing a specific
2	commencement of construction date? You've said in
3	your closing statement spring of 2021. If we were to
4	issue an order, obviously we have to be more specific
5	than that. Are you proposing a specific date if the
6	board were to decide to give Midway the opportunity
7	to put it on a bond election in November? Do you
8	have something more specific than spring?
9	MR. GORDON: Certainly, yeah. So let me
10	just explain. The dominoes on that one would be the
11	bond would be passed in the latter part of November.
12	We would not be able to fund it until probably
13	January or into February. So in order for Midway
14	City to be able to pay the actual excess costs, the
15	time frame would need to be somewhere along the line
16	there. And Midway City is just fine. I think there
17	was a discussion from Commissioner White, if the bond
18	doesn't pass then the line goes above ground and
19	there's not going to be any argument there. If the
20	bond does pass, then we would ask for a commencement
21	date, probably March 1st would be safe. And once
22	again we're not asking that the other portion of the
23	line be held up. Construction can begin on that as
24	soon as they want. But I think March 1st is a
25	reasonable and fair estimate of when we think we

1	would have the money.
2	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you.
3	Okay. I'll go to other board members for
4	any questions for Midway City.
5	Okay. I'm not hearing any questions from
6	board members.
7	I'm trying to decide if we should give our
8	court reporter a break before we move to the
9	V.O.L.T.'s closing statements. I think we'll go
10	ahead with your closing statements and maybe take a
11	break before questions. So if anyone objects to that
12	speak up now, including Ms. Berry. Otherwise I think
13	we'll go ahead and go forward with V.O.L.T.'s closing
14	statements and probably take a break before
15	questions.
16	Mr. Morris.
17	MR. MORRIS: Thank you very much, Mr.
18	Chairman. Good morning. May it please the board,
19	Mr. Chairman, counsel, and board members, my name is
20	Mark Morris. And it's been my privilege for a long
21	time now to represent a number of families in Midway
22	who do have the concerns that Mr. Gordon just
23	described to you, and they've had them ever since
24	they first learned of Rocky Mountain's plan to run a
25	high voltage transmission line through the middle of

1	a rural picturesque valley.
2	Contrary to what Rocky Mountain has
3	
	suggested to the board, it is not Rocky Mountain
4	Power's way or the highway. The scores of families
5	along this route in Midway have made known their
6	not just their desires but their willingness to
7	backup these concerns and desires with money out of
8	their own checkbooks in hiring me, in hiring experts,
9	and in offering up easements and rights-of-way
10	without charge so that their reasons for coming here
11	and living in Midway will be maintained.
12	I want to join Mr. Gordon in thanking the
13	board for granting my clients the ability to
14	intervene in this matter and to present these
15	concerns and also test the evidence and challenge the
16	burden that Rocky Mountain Power had in order to
17	obtain the relief it seeks in this proceeding.
18	My clients want power too. They don't
19	want to have their TV turn off in the middle of the
20	Super Bowl. They don't want to have food in their
21	fridge spoil because they don't have power.
22	But there has been no showing of any kind
23	that there is an immediate need. I think it is
24	undisputed that, you know, upgrades are necessary as
25	time passes. And I think the evidence is clear that

Advanced Reporting Solutions 801-746-5080

Γ

Page 554

1	what Rocky Mountain Power is proposing to do here is
2	not a frivolous thing or an unnecessary thing. But
3	the idea that it has to be done now or last year or
4	two years ago is nowhere in evidence. And I won't
5	repeat all of the evidence that Mr. Gordon cited to
6	the board showing the lack of an immediate need.
7	There is no prejudice to Rocky Mountain
8	Power, and I'll allude to this at the conclusion of
9	my statements here. There's no prejudice to Rocky
10	Mountain Power or to its rate payers or to anyone in
11	the Heber Valley if a more thoughtful and considerate
12	approach to this critical issue is required by this
13	board. And that's the obligation we feel the board
14	has here.
15	I want to begin by saying that the relief
16	V.O.L.T. and its constituent members ask here is
17	precisely what Mr. Gordon enunciated, that this board
18	deny Rocky Mountain Power's petition and simply
19	require Rocky Mountain Power to comply with the
20	statutes. I don't think Rocky Mountain would argue
21	that its only obligation is to throw two numbers on
22	the board and say: You have to accept this now, and
23	we'll true-up in a couple of years when we know what
24	the real numbers are. I don't think even Rocky
25	Mountain would suggest they could come in here with a

flimsy estimate on easements when the number is far 1 2 greater and say you just have to take it, and you 3 have to write an enormous check even though at the 4 conclusion of two years condemnation fights and litigation with 40 or 50 landowners along this route 5 might result in them having to write a bigger check 6 and refund some of the actual excess costs that they 7 8 were claiming. That's silly. And we join in Midway's argument that what 9 10 is required is not an estimate of \$27,000, that their 11 own expert testified to you yesterday was nowhere 12 near what he thought the easement costs could be. 13 \$27,000 was an insult. And an invitation and it was a flagrant dare to say: You know, you're going to 14 15 have to write an enormous check, an enormous check if 16 you're going to buck us in our desire to go through and build this line through your rural picturesque 17 18 valley.

19 The essence of the conditional use permit 20 was to provide Midway with a fair opportunity to make 21 an informed decision on whether it wanted to pay 22 Even today after two days of evidence, excess costs. 23 does anyone know what it's going to cost Rocky 24 Mountain Power to build a line and go overhead? Does 25 anyone know what a reasonable and true expense of

going underground is going to be in this case? 1 Τf 2 the answer to those questions is no and the evidence 3 says it's no, then Rocky Mountain did not comply with 4 its obligation under the conditional use permit to provide Midway and its citizens with a fair 5 opportunity to know whether they wanted to come out 6 of pocket and avoid these gigantic poles being 7 planted through people's neighborhoods here. 8 Where did this -- let me begin with this 9 10 idea of the easement. Mr. Reich testified that our claim that we need a 60-foot easement for 138 kV 11 12 lines is unfounded. And yet if the board were to 13 look at V.O.L.T. Exhibit Number 12 and option B, 14 Rocky Mountain's own proposal says "There is an 15 existing 46 kV right-of-way of 27 feet from the 16 centerline." 17 So Rocky Mountain Power told bidders and 18 is telling the world there's already a 54-foot-wide 19 easement. Well, that's what they've said in their 20 But we heard Mr. Reich concede that, paperwork. 21 well, maybe it's only 40 feet. That's what Mr. 22 Norlen thought.

But when I asked Mr. Norlen what -- how many feet he had, he said as much as you need for a 46 kV line. He didn't put a dimension on it.

But looking again at V.O.L.T. Exhibit 12 1 2 underneath where they -- Rocky Mountain has 3 identified what it claims to be the existing easement 4 width of 27 feet, they say "138 kV right-of-way 29 feet from the centerline." 5 6 So Rocky Mountain Power in its own papers admits it needs at least 58 feet to run these 138 kV 7 The prescriptive easements that Heber Light & 8 lines. Power didn't buy, didn't pay for, didn't negotiate, 9 10 are by prescription. And the mere fact that Heber 11 Light & Power filed something in the county 12 recorder's office that said we have a prescriptive 13 easement does not give a dimension to that. And I 14 think Rocky Mountain Power concedes that it could be 15 an expensive and complicated process to find out what 16 those easements are. 17 Well, that's the burden Rocky Mountain 18 It's not up to the landowners to come in Power has. 19 and prove what they have to give up. It's up to 20 Rocky Mountain Power to prove what they have and what 21 they're going to take. 22 Now, Mr. Myers, Mr. Michaelis, and Mr. 23 LeFevre, presented by Rocky Mountain for their 24 respective propositions, were not the people with

firsthand knowledge of the topics they were

25

The board understands we objected to 1 testifying on. 2 Rocky Mountain choosing not to bring in the real 3 bidders, not to bring in someone who had actual 4 on-the-ground experience talking to the homeowners on the line and doing inspections there. 5 But rather people who looked at reports, looked at bids, passed 6 on them, and said "looks good to me." 7

The board, you did not hear from a single 8 9 bidder, from a single work horse on the appraisal 10 report, anyone that had the firsthand knowledge and experience that this board was entitled to hear from 11 12 and that due process and fairness and justice require 13 Rocky Mountain to present. Why was Rocky Mountain 14 unwilling to bring in the real people instead of the 15 80,000 foot elevation people looking down on the 16 people on the ground and said "looks good to me."

We asked the board to focus on the evidence, some of which -- well, most of which was pure hearsay. Review the record, and I want to just go through these witnesses and identify two or three things that I think were critical for each of them.

22 Rocky Mountain started with Mr. Barker,23 but I want to end with him.

24 Mr. Clegg, he said that there was a, 25 quote, nominal difference between 46 and 138 kV

Page 559

1	lines. We submit, if you look at the same picture I
2	showed him, nominal is not a word that comes to mind
3	when you look at the difference between the poles'
4	width dimension and height. Mr. Clegg also took upon
5	himself the job of being a rebuttal expert to an
6	appraiser. I don't know if it's I don't know what
7	possessed him candidly to think that in his position
8	as a former project manager it was his job to examine
9	Mr. Webber's opinions and try to rebut them. But I
10	think the board saw that his rebuttal was premised on
11	things he hadn't even read. The mistakes he thought
12	he had detected in Mr. Webber's testimony were in
13	fact acknowledged by Mr. Webber on page 5 of his
14	direct testimony and made known that he missed that.
15	I respectfully submit Mr. Clegg's
16	testimony as to the nominal difference between 46 and
17	138 and to be and challenging the opinions of Mr.
18	Webber, a certified MIA appraiser of many years, who
19	yesterday told the board about conversations he had
20	with landowners, with Midway planning, with real
21	estate agents. The idea that Mr. Clegg felt he could
22	rebut that hard work and on-the-ground research
23	simply is incredible.
24	Mr Myers well he provided specs and he

24 Mr. Myers, well, he provided specs and he 25 received bids, but this board does not know and will

never know without hearing from the bidders 1 2 themselves how real or measured the bids were. We 3 understand from Mr. Norlen that at the February 10th 4 bid meeting, only four of the 18 invitees bothered to 5 show up. Why? Well, Mr. Norlen reported to the board of Heber Light & Power at their May 4th meeting 6 that he thought the bidders had come in there and 7 they had concerns like: We're wasting our time. 8 Whv 9 bother doing this when we know Rocky Mountain wants to go overhead. And one of those four threw in the 10 11 towel even then. 12

12 There's no need -- Mr. Myers said that he 13 doesn't think there's a need for two trenches. You 14 just need two duct banks in one trench.

15 Mr. Michaelis said that the north line is 16 a beautiful reliable line. He's not worried about 17 anything going wrong there, and that 2020 is not an 18 emergency situation. As Mr. Gordon mentioned, 2020 19 is in the rearview at this point. It's not going to 20 be finished this year, and there are no red flags or 21 flares going up about a dire emergency of people 22 being out of power.

Going on with Mr. Norlen. As I mentioned the bidders came in skeptical. He testified that the line that this -- that this line that is proposed to

1	be built can be expected to be there for 50 years or
	be built can be expected to be there for 50 years or
2	more. And I think that's an important thing for this
3	board to keep in mind. The decision it makes now
4	isn't going to determine what happens in 2020 or in
5	2021 but what this valley is going to look like for
6	the next 50 years. That's that's consequential
7	and important. And he testified that he never told
8	Rocky Mountain Power that there was an existing
9	54-foot easement, as Rocky Mountain Power has
10	described and as Rocky Mountain Power has told its
11	experts to rely upon in going forward.
12	Mr. LeFevre I thought was a good sport.
13	In terms of in spite of his minimal well, he
14	readily acknowledged his minimal involvement, his
15	putting maybe ten hours into a project that he said
16	his own worker bees had devoted many, many hours to.
17	But when cross-examined about whether he thought,
18	really thought it was a modest increase from a 46 kV $$
19	line to 138 kV line, I think we all heard him. I
20	would have liked to have seen him chuckle and
21	suggest: Well, maybe modest isn't the right word to
22	describe the difference between those poles. And he
23	too had no idea who had provided him with the
24	assumption that there is a 54-foot existing
25	prescriptive easement on this line.

Page 5	62
--------	----

1	Mr. Nelson was interesting, I thought,
2	because he literally was thinking outside the box.
3	He presented testimony and a different approach to
4	how to lay a line underground. And he testified it
5	was in the \$6 million range, not 12, not 18, and
6	not certainly not \$28 million. It was credible.
7	He was cross-examined on the point. And there was no
8	evidence to suggest that his estimate was any
9	different from what a bid would come in at.
10	Mr. Webber did a detailed investigation
11	with homeowners, real estate agents, Midway planning.
12	His estimate of an additional \$3.4 million being
13	needed to compensate these people for the taking of
14	their property for an adequate easement, an easement
15	with a metric that Rocky Mountain itself has
16	suggested it needs, and to compensate the people for
17	the loss in the value of their land, which even
18	Mr. LeFevre agreed would be I think his
19	characterization was an after-impact value.
20	Two people you didn't hear from but whose
21	testimony is in the record. Ron Lowrey, Rocky
22	Mountain chose not to cross-examine him on his
23	testimony. But he suffered a loss of over \$100,000
24	in the sale of his land because the people buying
25	heard about the threat of this line and were not

1 willing to pay fair market value. Mr. Lowrey also 2 said he would have been happy to give up an easement 3 for the price of a clear sight line and not having 4 these poles and lines running through his property and neighborhood. 5 6 Bengt Jonsson gave detailed direct testimony in writing, and I asked the court to review 7 it -- or the board to review it again. Mr. Jonsson 8 9 has devoted hundreds of hours of research that is 10 easily inferable from what you read in his testimony. 11 He represented and represents 53 members of V.O.L.T., 12who have come out of pocket with cash donations, 13 payment for lawyers, and surrendering easements on 14 their properties. 15 He showed too that's undisputed, and Mr. 16 Norlen admitted this, HL&P has not maintained these 17 prescriptive easements in any meaningful way. Mr. 18 Well, if we have to get in and do some Norlen said: 19 work, we may have to chop some branches down. But 20 there are trees and tree houses in the photographs that are in the record that show that what exists now 21 22 is nowhere near 20 feet on either side of centerline, 23 and it's not even 10 feet in many instances.

24 Mr. Reich, in his closing, indicated that 25 there's no evidence that all of these people are

1 willing to give up their easements in favor of an 2 underground line. Well, we have Mr. Lowrey and Mr. 3 Jonsson indicating that is a fact. But, you know, Rocky Mountain Power if it had chosen to speak with a 4 single landowner in all of this process, it would 5 6 know the truth of those statements. It's not the obligation of these people to come in and deliver 7 signed papers at this point saying: I'm going to 8 9 give this up if you'll do the right thing, Rocky 10 Mountain Power. Rocky Mountain Power can go knock on 11 a door or make a phone call and hear it for 12 themselves. 13 Finally Mr. Barker, who was their first 14 witness. There's only one thing that he said that I 15 thought was -- that really caught my ear. And that 16 is Rocky Mountain Power is agnostic about going underground or going overhead. Well, let's take Mr. 17 18 Barker at his word that Rocky Mountain Power is 19 agnostic. But the numbers that the board has heard 20 today -- and candidly, I don't think the record would 21 support the board making hard findings on values 22 But the math on the numbers that have been today.

23 presented to the board are such that if Mr. Webber's 24 testimony turns out to be true and if Rocky 25 Mountain's overhead estimate of 1.8 million is true,

1	that puts the cost of going overhead closer to \$5
2	million. And if the underground cost is
3	\$6.8 million, as Mr. Nelson testified, then you're
4	only looking at a delta there of a million 8, which
5	is a far cry from the \$10 million Rocky Mountain
6	claims it is entitled to get a check for and deposit
7	into its bank in order to proceed with what the
8	evidence should tell this board is inefficient and
9	unproven in terms of reliable, actual efficient cost
10	method of going underground.
11	To be agnostic you cannot underestimate
12	the overhead line costs by saying that easements and
13	rights-of-way will come in at \$27,000, and then say,
14	well, we'll true it up after we've built this line
15	that is going to mar your valley for 50 years. Just
16	as you can't start with a \$70,000 estimate and end up
17	later agreeing that what you've claimed was worth
18	\$70,000 was actually worth \$2.5 million in the SITLA
19	example I provided to the board.
20	I'd like to think that Rocky Mountain
21	Power isn't isn't intentionally being underhanded
22	with this. I understand they have a profit motive.
23	I understand that they fly the banner of trying to
24	save their ratepayers money.
25	But Rocky Mountain had a burden to come in

and persuade and establish to this board that the 1 2 numbers it provided in connection with the 3 conditional use permit were reliable and reasonable. 4 They did neither. You cannot overstate the excess costs by having a bidder's meeting just a couple of 5 6 months ago and tell them that they have to be done this year. All of those bidders said we're not -- we 7 can't do it this year. The board cannot believe that 8 a \$28 million bid to bury a mile-long line is a bid 9 10 that Midway City was obligated to take seriously and consider in whether to write a check for the excess 11 12 costs.

13 So what my clients ask the board to do is 14 require real evaluations of what the right-of-way and 15 easement costs will be. Let's invite bidders and 16 give them time and a real expectation of going 17 underground. Let's invite Midway and V.O.L.T. to 18 help in the process by writing checks and giving up 19 property rights to protect a beautiful environment, 20 one that is increasingly being inhabited by families 21 moving into homes, rather than just have barren 22 fields affected by this.

And lastly, let's not make a mistake that the people in the good city of Midway will regret for at least the next 50 years. We respectfully request

1	that the board deny the petition and put Rocky
2	Mountain Power to the work it was obligated to do
3	long ago and give Midway a real choice with real
4	numbers and reliable numbers that it can make a
5	decision and its citizens can make a decision on to
6	determine what this valley is going to look like for
7	the next 50 years.
8	Thank you for your time.
9	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you,
10	Mr. Morris.
11	I think we'll take a 15-minute break at
12	this point and then return for any final board
13	questions and then board deliberation and discussion.
14	So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. Thank you.
15	(Break taken from 10:34 to 10:50 a.m.)
16	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: At this point I
17	think we'll go to any board member questions for Mr.
18	Morris based on his closing arguments. If any board
19	member has any questions for Mr. Morris, please jump
20	in and indicate your questions.
21	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: Mr. Morris, you've
22	indicated in the past that V.O.L.T. has raised a fair
23	amount of money. How much could you come up with in
24	cash if the decision was to go forward fairly soon?
25	

Γ

1	Mr. Bengt's [sic] testimony is we're at about
2	\$650,000 at present.
3	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: Thank you.
4	MR. MORRIS: Mr. Jonsson. It's Bengt
5	Jonsson. I think I said Mr. Bengt, I apologize.
6	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr.
7	Wright.
8	Any other questions from board members for
9	Mr. Morris?
10	MR. DAVID CLARK: Are you going to permit
11	us to question other counsel in relation to arguments
12	that have been raised? In other words, is there
13	going to be a point in time when we can address
14	questions to any of the counsel who have argued?
15	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I think probably now
16	is the time for that.
17	MR. DAVID CLARK: So if you'll permit me
18	to do that, I have a couple of questions for Mr.
19	Reich.
20	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay, go ahead. Mr.
21	Reich, are you on the line?
22	MR. REICH: I am.
23	MR. DAVID CLARK: My first question
24	relates to Midway City's argument requiring the
25	responsibility under the statute of the utility to

present the actual costs of easements and what -- I'm interested in what you think -- if you have anything more to say to us on what that responsibility means. And I'd specifically like you to address the arguments of Midway City's counsel on what Midway City's interpretation is.

MR. REICH: Sure, I'd be happy to do that. 7 So if you look in the definition sections 8 Thank you. 9 of the statute in 54-14-103-9(a), it defines standard 10 And the definition of standard cost says it cost. 11 means "the estimated cost of the facility, including 12 any necessary right-of-way if constructed in accordance with" -- and then it identifies some 13 14 different requirements.

15 So it's our position -- and I think if you 16 carry out kind of the natural conclusion of what Midway City is asking, I think it would support 17 18 this -- it's our conclusion that it's the estimated cost, not an actual cost. I do agree with Midway 19 20 City the only way to determine an actual cost, and I 21 believe they even said even an appraisal is not an 22 actual cost, is to actually go out and buy the 23 rights-of-way. So what they're asking is basically 24 for Rocky Mountain Power to go out and buy 25 rights-of-way on a project that may never be built.

Γ

1	So they're saying in order to decide the excess
2	costs, Rocky Mountain Power, you have to go out and
3	pay thousands, hundreds of thousands, whatever the
4	amount is in dollars and obtain an actual
5	right-of-way. Then come back to us, and the city
6	will then use that information to decide whether we
7	should go overhead or go underground. The problem
8	with that is then the overhead right-of-way easements
9	that we've required aren't necessarily going to be
10	available for our underground line. I mean the route
11	could be different; the terms are different.
12	So I think that to require a utility to
13	actually go out and buy right-of-way easements is
14	not, first of all, required by the statute and in
15	practice makes no sense.
16	MR. DAVID CLARK: One additional question
17	for you. Counsel for Midway referred to the evidence
18	received related to and I think it's option 1 that
19	calls for 6,990 feet of cable and 5,300 feet of
20	trenching I think is the record at least as I recall
21	Mr. Myers' testimony. And he also at least I
22	concluded from his testimony that it appeared that
23	two of the three bidders had misinterpreted that or
24	at least their bids suggested that they were bidding
25	on the basis of 6,690 feet of trenching. Do you know
1	which of the contractors who bid on option 1 bid the
----	--
2	intended specification of 5,300 feet of trenching?
3	MR. REICH: So you're looking for the name
4	of the contractor? Is that what you're asking?
5	MR. DAVID CLARK: Well, contractor A, B,
6	or C using the nomenclature from Mr. Myers'
7	testimony, the chart in his direct testimony. That's
8	what I'd like to know is if it was contractor A, B,
9	or C, if you know.
10	MR. REICH: Yeah, we believe it's
11	contractor A.
12	MR. DAVID CLARK: Thank you.
13	MR. REICH: You bet.
14	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: This is
15	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: This is Glenn Wright, I
16	had
17	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Wright, go
18	ahead.
19	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: Yes. I have a
20	follow-up question on Mr. Clark's initial inquiry to
21	Rocky Mountain Power. Could you not go out and
22	obtain agreements for easements without paying for
23	them at this point?
24	MR. REICH: You know, certainly you could
25	go out and buy an option to purchase easements. That

1	I guess is what your question is, yeah, you that
2	would be an option for a utility to go out and
3	actually purchase options, which would give you a
4	right at some point in the future to purchase the
5	easement.
6	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: Well, I'm saying could
7	you not enter into agreement with landowners saying:
8	If we need your easement, we will pay you this
9	amount?
10	MR. REICH: Well, I think it would
11	there would need to be probably a I doubt a
12	property owner would be willing to do that without
13	some type of compensation. They would need some type
14	of incentive to enter into that type of an agreement.
15	So I think
16	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: Okay.
17	MR. REICH: you know, to say if we make
18	it contingent
19	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: I can live with that
20	answer. Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr.
22	Wright.
23	Any additional questions from board
24	members?
25	I'm not hearing any questions, so I think

we'll move into board deliberation at this point. 1 2 The counsel for parties are welcome to stay on the bridge line while we do this. We may have questions 3 4 for them. Although I'll just note this portion is deliberation, so we'd ask counsel from the parties 5 not to -- not to jump into the deliberation 6 discussions unless we ask a question. 7 And with that I'll open it up to board 8 9 Does any board member want to start the members. 10 deliberation process or have any thoughts? Τ 11 certainly have some of my own, but I'll open this 12discussion up to the board members at this point. 13 Please identify yourself when you begin speaking for 14 the transcript. 15 MR. GLENN WRIGHT: This is Glenn Wright, 16 I'll start with some of my opinions if we're ready. 17 I look at this process as we have about four 18 First is the need for this questions to answer. 19 construction project and how immediate is the need, 20 the construction costs, the right-of-way costs, and 21 then the timing of -- the amount of -- the amount to 22 be charged to Midway and V.O.L.T. and the timing of 23 that payment.

24In terms of the need, I agree with Rocky25Mountain Power that this is a need. I base a lot of

1	my argument or my opinion on the Public Safety Power
2	Shut Off Program that was briefed to Summit and
3	Wasatch County elected official last year and
4	testimony from both Mr. Norlen and one of the Rocky
5	Mountain engineers indicating that the Snyderville
6	Cottonwood line would be vulnerable to this
7	particular shut off. Going through more than the
8	number of wildfire seasons than are necessary I think
9	is a needless risk.
10	In terms of costs, I think Rocky Mountain
11	Power has absolute, you know, responsibility to set
12	their own construction criteria. And from my past
13	work as a safety engineer in the insurance industry,
14	I fully support their conservative construction
15	policies in terms of the trenching and the extra
16	conductor that's connected.
17	In terms of right-of-way costs I am all
18	I think we're all aware that there's a lot of
19	unknowns there. And I would suggest that we figure
20	out what the actual costs of the right-of-way
21	easements will be before we set a definite number to
22	be paid. But I think we could set an initial number
23	that is at the high end of the right-of-way costs and
24	true that up. And by that I mean I would suggest
25	Rocky Mountain Power immediately start getting

1 agreements for the right-of-way, and that the 2 commencement date of construction would be when they 3 have all of their easements and at that time payment 4 would be due. So that's my conclusions at this point. 5 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. 6 Any other board members want to interject Wright. 7 any thoughts or comments? 8 And I'm happy at this point to lay out my 9 10 current thought process for where we are. As I look 11 at the various issues in front of us, I think the 12need for the line isn't -- isn't much in dispute, 13 simply how quick it has to be up and the time frame 14 for it. 15 Starting with the costs, I'll give my 16 view. As I've listened to the evidence on all the 17 different sides, in my view Rocky Mountain Power has 18 made its case that its bids were conducted according 19 to its standard procedures. I don't read anything in 20 this statute that says this board needs to evaluate 21 whether there's any potential way those bid specs 22 could have been done in a less expensive way. At the 23 Public Service Commission we're often evaluating whether costs are just and reasonable. But in this 24 25 case all of the evidence points me to a finding that

Rocky Mountain Power conducted these bids in accordance with its standard practices for this type of a project. And the fact that other expert witnesses proposed alternate ways that it could have been done doesn't -- doesn't take me anywhere away from that conclusion.

With respect to the easements, you know, 7 the statute says that there -- that Rocky Mountain 8 9 Power should provide -- well, this board is supposed 10 to find the estimated value of any necessary rights-of-way. And an estimated value of 11 12 right-of-way is never going to be an easy concept. Ι 13 mean trying to determine rights-of-way and easement 14 values with any kind of specificity before they're 15 ever negotiated and litigated, if necessary, is 16 simply impossible. Rocky Mountain Power made an 17 estimate based on its standard practices of 18 We have some evidence that those estimates. 19 estimates are low, some reasonable estimates -- some 20 reasonable evidence that those estimates might be 21 low.

However, the biggest problem with the evidence, particularly the witness provided by Midway City, is that that witness used values of properties that don't actually have easements or takings

1 And in my view those are involved with them. 2 completely irrelevant to the issue we have at hand 3 here. Rights-of-way costs do not include severance 4 damages -- in my view, simply do not include severance damages to properties that don't have 5 б easements that go through them. So to me that makes that counterevidence completely unreliable. 7 And so on the easement issue, basically my 8 9 view is what we have Midway City and V.O.L.T. asking 10 us to do is they're asking us to increase the cost of Rocky Mountain Power's estimate for the self -- for 11 12 the overhead build, above-line build based on what 13 they view is the delta between what easements would 14 cost to build the ground above line and what 15 easements would cost to build them underground. And 16 I don't think we have enough evidence to clearly 17 establish a delta on that. And I'm -- I think Midway 18 City recognized this when they drafted the CUP in a 19 way that requires for a true-up of actual -- of 20 actual easement costs. I don't think it's reasonable for this 21 22 board and I don't think it's required by the statute 23 when it says "estimate necessary rights-of-way" to determine a dollar value of this is the difference 24

25

between what easements would cost for an above-ground

1 line versus a below-ground line. I think that's what 2 we're being asked to do by some parties. I don't 3 think it's reasonable, and I don't think it's 4 required by the statute. And I think we have a reasonable easement number that will be trued-up 5 6 pursuant to the CUP. So I'm comfortable with that. My -- my 7 feeling is that Rocky Mountain Power's estimate of 8 9 the above-ground cost was done also according with 10 its standard practice to estimate those costs based 11 on a self-build option that it's done in a similar 12process previously to me is reasonable evidence of 13 the self-build cost. The bids are reasonable 14 evidence of the underground cost. 15 In Public Service Commission proceedings 16 we evaluate bids on a regular basis, and I'm not 17 aware of any instance where bidders have been 18 required to provide testimony on how they developed 19 their bids. The fact that the bids were received 20 speaks for itself on the bids, and they're 21 established simply to show the bids were sent, not 22 necessarily the truthfulness or the process that went 23 behind those bids.

And finally my thoughts with respect to a commencement date, I think Rocky Mountain Power's

testimony that it can't be completed in 2020 is --1 2 leads me to where I would probably be in favor of a 3 finding that the March 1st date proposed by Midway 4 City for construction commencement probably isn't that far off from when Rocky Mountain Power actually 5 6 could get this line going. I think giving Midway City a chance to have a bond election probably seems 7 reasonable. 8 So all that being said, where I'm leaning 9

right now would be an order that would give Midway City 15 days from the date of the order to choose the route, pursuant to the CUP, and then establish a March 1st commencement date; 30 days before that is when Midway City would be required to provide payment to Rocky Mountain Power.

16 So those are my thoughts at this point. 17 I'm not saying I couldn't be convinced otherwise if 18 other board members have different views or different 19 perspectives on it.

20 MR. TROY FITZGERALD: Chairman LeVar, 21 could you clarify your last couple of statements 22 there about your dates? I was confused about the 23 March thinking.

24 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Well, my view is if 25 we issue an order saying that we're affirming Rocky

Mountain Power's costs based on the bids, I think we should re-trigger Midway's right to have 15 days under the CUP to choose its preferred route. But then the second deadline is they're required to pay the excess costs 30 days before the construction commencement date. So we would need to

set a construction commencement date. Midway City has suggested March 1st. That would give them a chance to bond -- to put a bond on the election ballot in November.

7

8

9

10

11 You know, obviously Rocky Mountain Power 12opposes waiting that long. To me the testimony of 13 when this line could be completed reasonably leads me 14 to think the March 1st construction commencement date is probably reasonable considering the risk in the 15 16 There's some risk of outages if it's delayed area. 17 to that point, but the risk has existed for some 18 time. And you know as we look at -- I'm trying to 19 remember the statutory phrase. I had it in front of 20 me during the closing statement, but I don't have it 21 in front of me. Oh, here it is. You know, Midway 22 City, if we -- if we determine that the costs -- make 23 a determination on the costs, Midway is required for 24 54-14.204 "Within 30 days before the date the 25 construction of the facility should commence in order

Page 581

to avoid a significant risk of impairment to the 1 2 safe, reliable, and adequate service to customers of 3 the public utility." I think under that standard we have 4 evidence on risk of impairment for safe, reliable and 5 adequate service. To me the March 1st date proposed 6 by Midway City reasonably addresses that risk of 7 impairment to safe, reliable, and adequate service to 8 9 customers of the public utility. And considering 10 their desire to seek a bond from voters of Midway 11 City, I am not opposed to that. 12 But I do think if we issue an order Midway 13 City's obligation to choose a preferred route from 14 the bid should -- should run 15 days from the date of 15 the order. 16 Does that answer your question, Mr. 17 Fitzgerald, at least on my thought process? 18 MR. TROY FITZGERALD: Yes, that's helpful. 19 But again for clarity that's March 1st, 2021, 20 correct? 21 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, 22 that's what I meant, 2021. 23 MR. TROY FITZGERALD: I think your answer 24 made that clear. And my confusion came because of 25 the March 1st, 2020 date found in the conditional use

I guess since I'm speaking, maybe I'll toss 1 permit. 2 Similar in some ways, different in my thoughts out. 3 others. I think the need for the project has been 4 established. And through the closing arguments my mind was taken more to the conditional use permit 5 issued by the city. The city laid out its 6 requirements with great specificity. Rocky Mountain 7 Power's obligation was to provide bids. 8 They did The date in the conditional use permit that 9 that. 10 those should have been submitted was February 15th. 11 The evidence indicates they didn't come in until 12 March 27th. So for me, I don't have any problem 13 extending the dates as stated in the conditional use 14 permit. I think that's about 41 days. In fact the 15 conditional use permit says if Rocky Mountain Power 16 is late on their submission, that the timelines 17 should all be delayed.

To me that indicates that Midway City would have until May 7th to complete their portions of the CUP requirements. Otherwise in accordance again with the conditional use permit, if they, Midway City, have not completed their requirements, then the line becomes an overhead line.

24To me with regard to the easement costs, I25think the proposal of Mr. LeVar is not a bad one. I

Γ

1	agree that we can't determine the actual costs of the
2	easements. There is testimony out there that there's
3	significant overlap in the estimated costs of
4	easements for overhead and underground. So I think
5	it's reasonable to conclude that the standard cost
6	and the excess costs would be increased by a similar
7	or like amount. Meaning the difference between the
8	two would be the same regardless of the end cost of
9	those. So I'd suggest that as a conclusion to this.
10	I think there's some evidence that the
11	underground easements would be cheaper, and if we
12	wanted to allow for that in some fashion we could.
13	So those are my thoughts right now.
14	MR. JORDAN WHITE: Can I, Chair LeVar,
15	have your permission to ask Mr. Reich a question? I
16	just wanted to follow up on the thoughts of
17	Mr. Fitzgerald with regard to Rocky Mountain Power
18	complying with their obligation to provide the bid in
19	a timely fashion under the conditional use permit.
20	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. Reich, are you
21	still on the line?
22	MR. JORDAN WHITE: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Go ahead, Mr.
24	White.
25	MR. JORDAN WHITE: Yes. Can you hear me?

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020 Page 584
1	MR. REICH: Yes, I'm still on the line.
2	MR. JORDAN WHITE: Oh, I'm sorry. I
3	thought you said Mr. White. You were saying Mr.
4	Reich.
5	I question, I guess I was hoping you could
б	respond, I wasn't aware there or it wasn't my
7	understanding that the Rocky Mountain Power
8	essentially missed the deadline to provide the
9	qualified bids under the conditional use permit. Is
10	that can you help me understand that or clarify
11	that?
12	MR. REICH: Yes. And I agree in the
13	conditional use permit it says if the bids are not
14	submitted by that date, there's a day-for-day flip.
15	I guess where my disagreement comes, I don't think
16	it's May 7th; I think it's April 10th is the
17	day-for-day flip. So I think the deadline then
18	changed to April 10th for Midway City to then pick a
19	route.
20	MR. JORDAN WHITE: That was my
21	understanding as well. I'm happy to
22	MR. TROY FITZGERALD: This is Troy
23	Fitzgerald.
24	Sorry. Yeah, I think that's a reasonable
25	interpretation as well that they would have two weeks

1	after the submission time.
2	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Who was that that
3	just spoke?
4	MR. TROY FITZGERALD: This is Troy
5	Fitzgerald again.
6	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay, thank you.
7	MR. JORDAN WHITE: I guess along the lines
8	of what Mr. Fitzgerald said, in terms of I'm already
9	speaking I guess I'll get to my initial thoughts too.
10	First of all I want to say I agree, you know, Midway
11	City is a gorgeous city, and I understand people
12	don't like power lines. But I also know that
13	reliable electrical services is an integral part of
14	the fabric of modern life. And the testimony we've
15	heard over the past couple of days and presented on
16	in terms of the, you know, pre-filed written
17	testimony is undisputed there's a clear need for the
18	project to provide safe, reliable power.
19	And I recognize there's been discussion
20	about the continuing probabilities with respect to
21	risk and reliability of whether it will occur in that
22	type of prognostication, I guess. But the fact is
23	that every day without the project presents a risk to
24	customers.
25	You know, in reading through the history

Page 586

1	of the case and the development, it appears that, you
2	know, Rocky Mountain Power has worked with the city
3	over the past couple years, several years. I guess
4	since '17 as far as I can see as far as the initial
5	project development, and they openly did receive a
6	conditional use permit in 2019. When I look at that
7	conditional use permit, it seems pretty clear that
8	I'm just going to pull up the conditional use permit
9	here just so I can make sure I'm reading it clearly,
10	I guess. But under that conditional use permit
11	there's a phrase in there where or I guess some
12	verbiage that essentially says I'm scrolling down
13	on the computer.
14	But if applicant has met all of the city's

15 requests given in this motion and in a timely manner 16 but the city has not met or has not been able to 17 secure all of the items -- and I won't go through all 18 of those, but you can read it yourself on page 4 of 19 the conditional use permit -- that by March 1st, 20 2020, the applicant may proceed with the overhead 21 construction with the following conditions, and goes on with the conditions. 22

I recognize that that date is flipped to the extent that, you know, there's a day for day change about that. But I just -- I go to that plain

1	reading and, you know, with respect to the again
2	the need has been established. They provide
3	qualified bids under their specifications. I
4	recognize, you know, after hearing the testimony of
5	Mr. Nelson that there are differing specifications or
6	internal engineering specs for building transmission
7	lines. But I've heard nothing to suggest that Rocky
8	Mountain Power was in any way doing anything untoward
9	or incorrect in terms of their specifications in
10	setting their specifications.
11	In terms of the right-of-way costs, again
12	I recognize I think that's a good point, you know,
13	made by Mr. Wright that potentially they could secure
14	options, but I don't know how they would ever be able
15	to come up with an actual cost because there's so
16	many variables that can go into it. They can only
17	estimate at this point without either litigating or
18	actually having a signed contract, et cetera. So I
19	just don't know how they'd ever achieve that.
20	I guess openly I'm willing to kind of
21	you know I'm intrigued by some concept of this
22	looking at a potential ability to pass the bond, but
23	that gives me concern. Again, we're already from
24	the evidence I've heard thus far, there's been a need
25	for some time. That need doesn't go away. Every day

Γ

Page 588

1	that that project does not proceed, it pushes that
2	date, you know, further beyond. And so I'm and in
3	understanding that elections and bonds, bond
4	elections especially there's no guarantees. I guess
5	I'm a bit concerned about kicking that can down the
6	road for yet another year. So I guess what I would
7	say, you know, cutting to the chase here, if I were
8	to vote right now I would vote to allow Rocky
9	Mountain Power to proceed with immediate construction
10	of the overhead design for the reason that they have
11	satisfied the conditions under the conditional use
12	permit and that that permit is expressed.
13	And so that's kind of where I'm at right
14	now is that again I I recognize they're not
15	popular. It's a beautiful area. But it's such an
16	important part of the need for reliable electrical
17	service, the society is so great that that is
18	ultimately why I believe the statute is written the
19	way it was is to ultimately the buck has got to
20	stop somewhere in terms of having to build a power
21	line to maintain reliability, and I think this is the
22	obligation of the board. So I'll stop talking there
22	
23	and allow some other board members to speak.
	and allow some other board members to speak. CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr.

1	interject one thought to what you've said. The one
2	issue I'm struggling with with what you've proposed
3	is if we strictly hold to the deadlines of the CUP
4	and rule that: Okay, Midway didn't choose an option
5	within 15 days of receiving the bids, therefore Rocky
6	Mountain Power may go forward on the overhead lines,
7	are we basically making Midway City's right to come
8	to this board to dispute those bids and dispute those
9	costs, which they have a right to do, are we
10	rendering that right meaningless?
11	Because if we say: Well, we're sticking
12	to the deadlines of the CUP, that doesn't give Midway
13	any opportunity to come to this board to make their
14	arguments that the costs weren't estimated actual
15	reasonable costs. That's my concern with that
16	direction.
17	But if you have any thoughts on that, Mr.
18	White or other board members, I'd be happy to hear
19	them.
20	MR. JORDAN WHITE: Yeah, that's a very
21	fair point. I guess I guess part of my rationale
22	behind that frankly is the fact that, you know, I saw
23	the discovery responses with respect to the bid
24	submissions and the note from Rocky Mountain Power's
25	counsel with no reply to that. No question of or

Page 590

1	request or criticism or otherwise of those bids. And
2	I guess that partially informs my decision that I
3	guess the short answer to your question is, yes, I
4	think that at a certain point if if a party goes
5	before a public body like a city council and
6	essentially the conditional use permit amounts to
7	essentially a contract between the petitioner and the
8	city and one party upholds their obligation and the
9	other party doesn't, I'm not sure where that leaves
10	you. So I guess ultimately what I'm saying is, yes,
11	the city has waived their rights under that
12	conditional use permit.
13	MR. TROY FITZGERALD: I'd suggest that
14	they be given the amount of time they've bargained
15	for in the CUP they drafted. Give them a couple
16	weeks to finish and/or make their solutions known
16 17	weeks to finish and/or make their solutions known under the CUP. And if they can move forward, they
17	under the CUP. And if they can move forward, they
17 18	under the CUP. And if they can move forward, they can. Then the board will have established that the
17 18 19	under the CUP. And if they can move forward, they can. Then the board will have established that the excess costs are and will have resolved that dispute

I would note that in their conditional use
permit, there was zero mention of a bond election.
The city has a number of funding mechanisms available

1	to it that don't require a general obligation bond.
2	They can use any of those, including the one that
3	they suggested directly in the conditional use
4	permit, which is going to Heber Light & Power.
5	MR. JORDAN WHITE: Mr. Fitzgerald, just so
6	I understand the timing of what you're suggesting, it
7	sounds like it's a bit of a tweak on what Mr. LeVar
8	was suggesting. You're suggesting that help me
9	understand a little bit more about what that would
10	look like.
11	MR. TROY FITZGERALD: Sure. I would just
12	say that they would have I can't remember if it's
13	14 or 15 days, but 14 or 15 days from the issuance of
14	the written order for Midway City to complete what
15	they've done or what they need to do under the
16	conditional use permit. If they meet if they're
17	unable to then secure the three things they've
18	indicated they need to do in the conditional use
19	permit, then the overhead line could begin moving
20	forward at that time, two weeks after the issuance of
21	the written order from this board.
22	MR. JORDAN WHITE: That seems fair to me.
23	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I'd like to ask
24	Mr. Fitzgerald for a little bit more clarification on
25	your proposal. There's you know the CUP requires

Midway City to choose a route within 15 days. 1 And 2 you know I agree we could set that based on our 3 order. I think we still need to set a construction 4 commencement date for safety and reliability that would trigger 30 days in advance of that date, Midway 5 6 City's obligation to actually pay those costs. So are you -- does your view of the CUP give us a path 7 to setting that date in a way that once they choose 8 9 the route, within 15 days of that order they also 10 have a deadline to pay those costs 30 days before a 11 construction commencement date? 12 MR. TROY FITZGERALD: Maybe we're crossing 13 a few things. Again this is Troy Fitzgerald. In the 14 conditional use permit, as I see it, Rocky Mountain 15 was to submit their bids by February 15th, and then 16 by March 1st, 2020 the applicant may proceed with 17 overhead construction with the following conditions, 18 unless Midway City had been able to secure, again the 19 CUP language, three items, which was sufficient 20 funding to pay and a minimum donation -- a minimum in 21 donation fund dollars and Wasatch County Council 22 approval of something. To me they set their own 23 deadline on when that would be. I don't remember 24 seeing anything else in there about when the payment 25 would actually have been being made. So I quess I'm

just looking to honor the permit that was issued saying they would have that period of time between 15 of February and March 1, however many days that is to do those things, which I assume include payment. Although I don't know that that's clear.

6 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I'm in complete agreement that our order should clarify that within 7 15 days out of the order all of those CUP obligations 8 9 should be satisfied. To me the obligation to pay, which I think isn't clarified in the CUP, comes from 10 11 And it's the Facility Review Board Act that statute. 12 says the payment has to be made 30 days before 13 commencement of construction. So the CUP requires 14 the funding to be secured within 15 days. So then 15 when would we need -- you know, but I think this 16 board probably still needs to give a date for 17 construction commencement for safety and reliability 18 that would trigger that statutory obligation of 19 payment.

20 MR. GLENN WRIGHT: I have a suggestion on 21 that. I suggest that at the same time Midway picks a 22 route and the cost of that route, they also get a 23 commitment from the Heber Light & Power, where they 24 have a board seat, for the necessary funding probably 25 through a revenue in anticipation bond for the rate

payers in Midway. And in terms of the payment date, I think we need to be a little flexible and figure in and get some feedback on how long it would take to execute that bond. And if for any reason the bond was not executed within the date that we can set, then overhead construction starts.

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I'm sorry to keep interjecting, but that makes sense to me. And I just wanted to point out one light that just came on to me from when Mr. Fitzgerald was reading the CUP commitment.

7

8

9

10

11

12Since the CUP requires the funding to be 13 secured within 15 days, I think that precludes our 14 option of delaying this for a November bond vote. Ι 15 think that -- I hadn't made that connection before I 16 made the suggestion. But I think I agree that the 17 CUP text itself doesn't allow us to say they're not 18 going to secure the funding until November. So I 19 think I no longer support that option based on the 20 language of the CUP.

21 MR. TROY FITZGERALD: I'm okay giving a 22 reasonable time to secure that funding as well. If 23 we triggered it two weeks after for choosing a route 24 from the date of our written order and then 60, 90, 25 120 days whatever seems reasonable for bonding 1 discussions to happen, then you would have all the 2 dates necessary that the parties on both sides could 3 rely upon.

4 MR. JORDAN WHITE: And I'll probably rely on Mr. Fitzgerald just because this is his area of 5 6 practice. And maybe this is a right that you 7 mentioned with other sources of funding. T'm assuming that the question of whether or not they 8 9 could get an election, you know, completed in 10 November for a 15 day time period is a moot question, but what other options would be -- obviously that's 11 12 not necessarily with our purview. But what are some 13 potential options other than seeking, you know, a 14 bond through an election in November within the two 15 weeks for a municipality?

16 MR. TROY FITZGERALD: I don't know 17 Midway's circumstances or financial situation enough 18 to really comment on that. There are bonding options 19 that simply require the city council action and not a 20 general election. I think I fully understand why 21 they're interested in that because of what revenue 22 sources they would like to use to pay that money 23 back.

I would just say the only evidence we have in front of us right now is that the city council

Page 596

1	considered that and passed a conditional use permit
2	indicating what their funding source would be, and it
3	was not a general election. And even in the evidence
4	that came before us now, I think we have indications
5	that that's Midway's desire at this point. But we
6	don't I mean the council could have passed the
7	necessary language indicating they're moving forward
8	with an election this fall. There's no evidence of
9	that. There's no evidence of anything other than
10	oral statements of counsel that that's their intent,
11	at least that I can recall.
12	MR. JORDAN WHITE: Thank you, that's very
13	helpful.
14	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: I think if I remember
15	there was some discussion during the year, CUP
16	discussion, that Heber Light & Power was a possible
17	source for funding, you know, through a late-based
18	bond for the ratepayers in Midway.
19	But at any rate, I agree with Mr.
20	Fitzgerald that Midway needs to come up with the
21	solution in the next two weeks.
22	MR. DAVID CLARK: Let me present some of
23	my thinking now as well, since I'm the only one who
24	hasn't yet.
25	I would not favor a conclusion that the

that Midway City has forfeited its right to pursue 1 2 underground construction. And yet I do conclude that 3 the need for the facility exists. I see it as a 4 continuum of pressure that's been building and will continue to build that at some point becomes 5 untenable. Our reaching conclusions that would б accommodate a construction beginning in the spring of 7 2021 seem reasonable to me. So I was attracted to it 8 9 and found my thinking to be consistent with what 10 Chair LeVar expressed regarding a March 1st 11 construction date commencement. I think we need --12 whatever we do needs to provide some assurance that 13 Rocky Mountain Power will have the certainty of 14 outcome that it needs to begin to build by that -- by 15 that time.

16 I -- regarding the standard specifications 17 and construction standards evidence, I feel strongly 18 that our roles should not be to re-examine the 19 existing standards. And I feel there's been adequate 20 evidence that -- ample evidence really that Rocky 21 Mountain Power pursued its standard processes in both 22 the design and the bidding of the underground 23 project, and I think the evidence of the bid 24 information is also adequate for us. And I wouldn't 25 contemplate us ever requiring that the bidders

actually present the information that underlies their 1 2 bids to us. So I feel we've got adequate evidence on 3 the bids, adequate evidence of what the actual costs 4 will be. With one -- and then regarding the 5 standard costs, one thing I'm considering is adding 6 to the costs of overhead construction, the \$691,344, 7 that to me is somewhat convincing evidence of what 8 the -- what the right-of-way costs are likely to be. 9 10 And that number comes from both witness Webber and witness LeFevre, and it's particularly specified in 11 12witness LeFevre's rebuttal testimony. And so that 13 would be one thing that I would ask my fellow board 14 members to consider as we determine what -- what the 15 excess costs will be that we find in this matter. So 16 those are my thoughts at this stage. 17 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. 18 Clark. 19 I'd like to ask you just one clarification 20 question, if you don't mind. Is that okay with you? 21 MR. DAVID CLARK: Yeah, sure. 22 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: So does your final 23 statement on easement values -- and I know where 24 you're getting that number from -- are you saying 25 that you're convinced and ready to make a finding

1	that that easement costs would not apply to the
2	underground project? I mean we have some verbal
3	representations of donations of easements. Is that
4	evidence sufficient to you to say that we think that
5	cost should apply to the overhead project but not to
6	the underground project?
7	MR. DAVID CLARK: Well, I guess that's the
8	question I'm raising. I I'd be inclined to make
9	that judgment and to for the purpose of
10	determining what the excess cost is to accord that
11	representation that's been made to us, the benefit of
12	the doubt for lack of a better phrase.
13	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: This is Glenn Wright
14	
15	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: This is
16	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: Go ahead.
17	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: No, go ahead, Mr.
18	Wright.
19	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: Yeah, I think I agree
20	with that analysis. And in any case if they go
21	underground and incur additional right-of-way costs,
22	that would be in the true-up.
23	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you. That's
24	the point I was going to make the same point, Mr.
25	Wright, so thank you. The true-up does give us some

1 wiggle room on easement values. 2 MR. DAVID CLARK: So that's why I feel 3 like in fairness I would give the benefit of the 4 representations that have been made to us to the --5 to the city at the outset at least. CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: We're using the 6 six -- oh, go ahead, Mr. Clark -- I mean Mr. White. 7 MR. JORDAN WHITE: Oh, I apologize. 8 Just a question for clarification. 9 So you're suggesting 10 that if we give them the benefit of the doubt, that you would reduce the delta between the actual and 11 12 excess costs by the \$600,000? In other words the 13 ultimate -- you know, whether it's through however 14 they receive the funding, it would just be reduced by 15 that amount, and then it would be trued-up at a later 16 Is that what you're suggesting is the 600,000 time? 17 plus? 18 Right, that's -- I'm MR. DAVID CLARK: 19 assuming the true-up would allow any final 20 adjustments up or down to be made. I would give the 21 benefit of the evidence that we've received on --22 from Mr. Webber with respect only to the properties 23 that are actually impacted physically by the 24 construction. I think there were nine. And so I 25 would give that benefit in our initial assessment of

1	excess costs to the city. And I'm asking we consider
2	that at least.
3	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: You're suggesting
4	the 691,000 number?
5	MR. DAVID CLARK: Correct. There are two
6	numbers in Mr. LeFevre's testimony, and I think we
7	received evidence that one of the properties was
8	duplicated in the analysis. And so I'm the number
9	of 691,344 is the one that I think is most
10	applicable.
11	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Well, it seems like
12	we were approaching board consensus on most issues.
13	It's not clear yet if we have board consensus on that
14	easement issue. Mr. Wright kind of indicated some
15	thoughts on that, and I think I would not have any
16	opposition to including that in our order, that
17	estimate of right-of-way costs.
18	But are there any thoughts from other
19	board members on that easement issue or where our
20	discussion has been generally?
21	MR. DAVID CLARK: Could I just add one
22	other thing. I also wanted to express on that
23	question of easements that I do not think that the
24	statute requires, nor would there be any reasonable
25	way for us to require Rocky Mountain Power to present

1	the actual costs or to identify the actual cost of
2	the rights-of-way at this at this juncture. And I
3	simply wanted to state that for the record.
4	MR. JORDAN WHITE: With respect to Chair
5	LeVar's question, in light of the fact there's a
6	true-up option, I don't have an issue with the
7	easement adjustment suggested by Mr. Clark.
8	MR. TROY FITZGERALD: I have a similar
9	thought process there. I'd be fine including that.
10	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. I think we're
11	approaching a board consensus. I'm not entirely
12	clear we have a consensus on a construction date that
13	would trigger the payment 30 days in advance. The
14	discussion of the CUP language has led me to believe
15	I don't think that is as crucial as we thought since
16	the CUP if the CUP requirements are not met
17	within, I think as we're discussing, 15 days after
18	the issuance of our order, Rocky Mountain Power would
19	have the right to move ahead with building the line
20	above ground.
21	But in the event that the CUP conditions
22	are all met within 15 days after our order, I think
23	we still need to set what's the construction
24	commencement date that would trigger the payment

25 requirement under the statute 30 days in advance of

1	that date. So we've had some discussion of March 1st
2	and some discussion of whether that should be sooner.
3	Do any board members want to bore down on
4	that issue any farther?
5	MR. JORDAN WHITE: Just to be clear, are
6	you suggesting because maybe this is that that
7	date would be March 1st of 2021? I'm assuming that's
8	what you had in mind. In other words, they would
9	have to the city would have to provide help me
10	understand that. I guess what my real question is
11	under what you're suggesting, when would the
12	construction commence of the project?
13	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Well, if we were to
14	use a March 1, 2021 number, that would be the
15	construction commencement number date, and Midway
16	City would be required to pay the excess costs to
17	Rocky Mountain Power 30 days before that date.
18	Now, I think this issue was less
19	significant than I was originally thinking because I
20	was not remembering that the CUP required funding to
21	be secured. So if the CUP requires the funding to be
22	secured within 15 days after the costs are presented,
23	which we're going to be doing in our order, you know,
24	on one hand I don't think the construction
25	commencement date is as crucial.

Page 60	04
---------	----

1	On the other hand I'm not sure a March 1,
2	2021, date prejudices much because Midway City is
3	still going to have to secure the funding. So maybe
4	it doesn't make sense because I don't know if a bond
5	election is even possible considering that CUP
6	language that says the funding has to be secured.
7	So I guess I'm saying I don't know I'm
8	not sure I know what I want to do with this
9	construction commencement date. I'm I'm in a
10	cloud on it.
11	MR. JORDAN WHITE: I'm speaking a
12	little I'm thinking out loud here for a second.
13	So essentially what we're talking about or what we're
14	proposing or kind of circling around a potential
15	motion here is that we would allow the city to secure
16	funding, you know, by date certain, say, you know,
17	15 days from the date of issuance of the order, et
18	cetera. But your but your question is in
19	conjunction with that, do we need to set a date for
20	commencement of construction. I don't know if it
21	you know potentially as a we could provide a
22	no-later date that it was somewhere around after that
23	two weeks date.
24	Well, hold on, let me think here for a
25	second. Because if they were to secure the funding,

It's almost like we need a 1 what would that mean? 2 condition subsequent based upon -- well, there's two 3 different routes here. If the funding is not secured 4 by, you know, the 15 days after the order is issued, the construction date will be -- the commencement 5 date will be that date, the date of the failure of 6 the conditions. 7 If they do secure the funding, that's the 8 9 question I think we need to focus on. If they were 10 to be able, within the 15 days of issuance of the 11 order, to secure the funding, do we need to provide a 12date for commencement of construction under that 13 scenario? 14 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I agree with that. 15 And I think Mr. Fitzgerald near the discussion of 16 this issue earlier on deliberation suggested 60, 90, 17 or 120 days after that 15-day expiration period. Am 18 I remembering your suggestion correctly, Mr. 19 Fitzgerald? 20 MR. TROY FITZGERALD: Again looking at the 21 conditional use permit, Midway would be seeking Heber 22 Light & Power board approving issuance of bonds. Ι 23 think they would need time to do that, and that's 24 going to take I think a minimum of 90 days. You're 25 suggesting from the statute that they need to tender

1 that payment 30 days before the commencement date. 2 So if we were to give them reasonable opportunity to 3 complete what they were anticipating back in 4 December, I do think they would need a minimum of 120 days from the date, the commencement date you're 5 6 calling it, so that they could tender 30 days before That's an extremely tight timeline though for 7 that. obtaining bond funding in any circumstance, and I 8 9 think we've heard from counsel saying the same thing. 10 If you pass a bond election in November, to get the 11 actual funding by January or February is very, very 12 tight. And we're in very interesting times where I 13 would say the bond markets are not functioning at top 14 speed.

15 So with all of that out loud thinking, 16 maybe what I would suggest is 180 days for the 17 commencement time from now or we could pick that 18 But that should give the parties enough time date. 19 to do everything they've contemplated in here of 20 actually tendering the money. But Rocky Mountain Power could proceed in 15 days knowing which 21 22 direction that's happening, and Midway would have 23 their opportunity to determine which direction they 24 want to go as well.

25

CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr.
1	Fitzgerald.		
2	Listening to your reasoning, I'm		
3	comfortable with 180 days. Were you suggesting		
4	180 days from the date of the order or 180 days from		
5	the expiration of the 15 days after the order? I'm		
6	fine with either.		
7	MR. TROY FITZGERALD: To keep it		
8	consistent I would say 15 days after the date of the		
9	written order.		
10	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: And then 180 days		
11	after that?		
12	MR. TROY FITZGERALD: Correct.		
13	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I could support		
14	that.		
15	MR. JORDAN WHITE: I could support that		
16	too.		
17	Ultimately just to piggyback on what Mr.		
18	Fitzgerald said, I think ultimately having some		
19	certainty is probably what's critical at this point,		
20	whether it's under or above ground, just knowing		
21	something in a reasonable manner. So I would support		
22	that.		
23	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: I support that also.		
24	MR. DAVID CLARK: I agree.		
25	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Well let me ask the		

1	board this: Do we have enough clarity of discussion
2	that we could ask a motion asking the Public Service
3	Commission staff to draft an order consistent with
4	this discussion, or do we need to outline our
5	decisions a little more specifically to direct that
6	order drafting?
7	I'm sure if our attorney were on the line
8	he would beg us to give a little more specificity to
9	our motions.
10	MR. REICH: One clarification from Rocky
11	Mountain Power, do you mean by commencement of
12	construction actually shovels in the ground, or are
13	we talking about securing right-of-way and
14	engineering as defined in the statute?
15	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I think we would be
16	using the term as is defined in the statute, and we
17	would not be defining it any further but giving a
18	date attached to that statutory term.
19	MR. REICH: Then the concern of Rocky
20	Mountain Power is if the money is not tendered for
21	180 days, we cannot start engineering, we cannot
22	start ordering materials or obtaining right-of-way
23	until the money is tendered.
24	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Right. And the
25	statute requires the money to be tendered 30 days

1 before that construction commencement date. T think 2 that's also a statutory issue that we can't modify. 3 MR. MORRIS: Mr. LeVar, I had a question 4 on the true-up. 5 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I quess -- I quess we're starting to entertain questions from parties. 6 Although, you know, I don't want to turn this back 7 into more closing statements. So this is -- I think 8 the board is close to making a motion and coming to a 9 10 decision and directing an order. But if there are 11 some limited questions from parties, since we've 12already had some from Rocky Mountain Power we need to 13 continue allowing it. But there needs to be some 14 reasonable limit on how far we go there. So, Mr. 15 Morris, qo ahead. 16 MR. MORRIS: Please let me know if I go 17 too far. It's just a simple question. It seems that 18 the board is inclined to proceed with an 19 understanding that there will be a true-up at some 20 point. And I just want to make sure I understand 21 that the true-up would benefit all -- either party. 22 It could go up or down. And that, for example, if 23 the actual -- if the easement costs of going overhead 24 turn out to be more than what the board believes they 25 are today, that that would correspondingly reduce the

amount of money Midway would ultimately be 1 2 responsible to pay to go underground. Was that 3 clear? 4 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Well, I think as a practical matter if the line is ultimately 5 6 constructed overhead, then Midway is not paying anything to Rocky Mountain Power and all of this 7 8 becomes moot. 9 MR. MORRIS: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I think our board 11 discussion is we're going to make as we determine 12 what we consider the costs of 691,344 difference 13 between that's the amount we're estimating the 14 easements for the overhead -- the overhead line would 15 We're assuming -- we're giving the benefit of cost. 16 the doubt that these easements costs are not going to 17 be imposed on the undergrounding of the line. And if 18 it's undergrounded and if Midway pays for the 19 undergrounding, then there's a true-up if that 20 assumption becomes incorrect as the process moves 21 forward.

But going the other direction, if it's overhead personally I don't see how the true-up applies because in that scenario Midway is not paying Rocky Mountain Power anything.

Page 6	511
--------	-----

1	MR. MORRIS: No, that's exactly my
2	understanding. I'm not concerned about going
3	overhead. That will just roll out the way it will.
4	But if it goes underground and the board determines
5	preliminary at least that there's a \$691,000 delta on
б	actual cost, but in other words would Midway have an
7	opportunity to show that the board came in light on
8	that and show that if it had gone overhead the cost
9	would have been "X" and if it were more than the 691,
10	would that allow for a reduction in the amount that
11	Midway ultimately has to pay?
12	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: You know, you're
13	asking the board a question. I'm happy to let other
14	members weigh in. I think the board would certainly
15	have the ability to hear any disputes between the
16	parties if it proceeded to that party and there was a
17	dispute over the easement values, that issue could be
18	brought to the board if it's not able to be resolved
19	between the parties.
20	But
21	MR. MORRIS: Okay.
22	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I'm not sure we
23	would in our order at this point anticipate any of
24	that dispute resolution down the road.
25	But if other board members see it

Page 612

1 differently, please weigh in.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

25

MR. JORDAN WHITE: Maybe I misunderstood the question. But I guess to me it's just a simple that he would reduce the estimated excess costs, in other words the delta. So I guess I'm just trying to wrap my head around, Mr. Morris, when you're looking for this to be resolved. To me it's just the amount of money that would have to be raised openly if it was off. That's what it would be trued-up down the road with the final construction costs, et cetera. I guess I'm just trying to understand what you're suggesting at this point of how that would look.

13 MR. MORRIS: So what I would be interested 14 in seeing in the order is an opportunity for Midway 15 down the road to show that \$691 [sic] was not enough 16 of a delta to offset the difference between the two 17 numbers. And as long as the order doesn't preclude 18 Midway from having that opportunity, I guess I don't 19 And Rocky Mountain would have a corresponding mind. 20 right, I suppose, to suggest that it shouldn't have been that much, the 691. Fair is fair. 21 But I would 22 hope that a true-up would be available to both 23 parties to move the number up or down depending on 24 what that ultimate easement number should be.

MR. REICH: This is Bret Reich with Rocky

1 If I can respond to that. Mountain Power. 2 The 691,344 is the estimated standard cost 3 for the right-of-way on the overhead line is what I think the board discussion has been. 4 And the discussion was to fix that cost, the 691,344, as the 5 estimated standard cost of the overhead line. 6 Т think there's a little bit of confusion about the 7 The true-up would only come into play if 8 true-up. 9 the line goes below ground. And even if there were a 10 true-up, that \$691,000 would never be trued up. Τ 11 mean you're saying that's going to be a fixed cost. 12You're accepting that as the estimated cost of 13 right-of-way. So that number is going to go into the 14 construction costs of 1.8 million for a combined of 15 That's going to be the estimated 2.5 million. 16 standard cost of building the project overhead. So 17 if we go ahead and go to the underground line, if Midway City raises the money, if they get approval 18 19 from Wasatch County, and they pay the money in 20 whatever timeframe the board submits, then the 21 true-up provision would be on the amount that they 22 pay and the amount the project actually ends up. 23 And that fixed right-of-way cost of 24 691,344 would be set. It wouldn't be subject to any 25 kind of alteration. At least that's what I heard the

Page 614	ŀ
----------	---

1 board say, and that's what I thought they intended. 2 And my -- my concern about MR. MORRIS: 3 that is I'd be concerned that this board would fix an 4 estimate. I mean the board could just as easily fix 5 it at 27,000 or 8 million. But it ought to be subject to a true-up at some point and not that --6 that an estimate not be fixed today. That would be 7 8 my request. Okay, thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Ι 10 think we've heard the parties' positions on this. It 11 doesn't change -- take me very far from where I was. 12And I think I can't see it any differently from the 13 way Mr. Reich described. If we set the standard 14 cost, including that 691,000, and then if the line is 15 built above ground -- I'm sorry, underground, if it's 16 undergrounded, then we would true-up the actual 17 easement costs. But if it's built underground, I 18 can't envision any scenario where the estimate of 19 what it would have cost to get easements above ground 20 could ever be in dispute at that point. I mean I --21 I think that's a hypothetical that I can't imagine. 22 You know, if the line is built underground, there 23 will never be actual evidence of what the easements 24 would have been to build it above ground. So I think 25 we're talking in impossible hypotheticals, at least

1	in my view.
2	Let's come back to the board. Are we
3	ready for a board motion?
4	MR. DAVID CLARK: Let me just say that I
5	did not intend the identification of the right-of-way
6	component of actual costs to be fluid. I intended it
7	to be fixed. And the way you've described, Chair
8	LeVar and Mr. Wright, the true-up to work is what I
9	envisioned in my proposal.
10	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you, Mr.
11	Clark. That's helpful.
12	If any board members see that differently,
13	please speak up.
14	MR. GORDON: Commissioner LeVar, just one
15	short clarification. Is there going to be a
16	surcharge included in the above-ground costs?
17	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: We have not had any
18	board member advocate for that at this point. I'll
19	state to the board, my view is that the surcharge was
20	established by evidence that that's Rocky Mountain
21	Power's costs for managing their bid process and
22	bidding it out. And I think we have evidence from
23	their witness that those costs don't apply to a
24	self-build above ground. That's my view. If any
25	other board members see it differently, that's also

an appropriate item for discussion. 1 2 MR. JORDAN WHITE: I'm just assuming, but 3 again maybe I'm mistaken here, but the overhead costs 4 are part of whatever construction costs of Rocky That's their standard -- part of 5 Mountain Power. their standard construction costs. I quess I just 6 don't understand if you're wondering whether they're 7 going to extract that from the bid. I don't -- that 8 9 doesn't make sense to me. There will be an overhead 10 cost as part of the construction costs, but maybe I'm 11 misunderstanding that question. 12 CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Well, Mr. White, 13 maybe I can clarify. I think Mr. Gordon was asking 14 about there was a -- I think it was in the 15 neighborhood of 275-ish -- I don't have the dollar in 16 front of me -- surcharge that Rocky Mountain Power 17 was putting on the cost of the bids, adding onto the 18 bids for their cost of managing the bids, and they 19 did not include similar costs in the standard costs 20 for the overhead lines that they would not be bidding 21 out that they would be doing it themselves. 22 Mr. Gordon, am I referring to the same 23 thing you're referring to? 24 MR. GORDON: Yes. And my understanding 25 was they would still have those costs if they were

1	going above ground. So I'm just asking if that's
2	something that the board wants to consider including
3	in the above ground costs.
4	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Mr. White, does that
5	clarify anything for you?
6	MR. JORDAN WHITE: Yeah, thanks for the
7	clarification. So, yeah, I stand by where your
8	position was, Chair LeVar.
9	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Any further board
10	discussion of that issue?
11	Any other board discussion generally or a
12	motion from any board member?
13	You know, I'll go ahead and make a motion
14	that the board direct the staff of the Public Service
15	Commission to draft an order to be issued no later
16	than May 8th consistent with the board discussion
17	today. Any discussion or second to that motion?
18	MR. DAVID CLARK: This is Dave Clark
19	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: Glenn Wright, second.
20	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: So we had Mr. Clark
21	and Mr. Wright speaking at the same time. Why don't
22	we go to Mr. Wright first.
23	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: I will second that.
24	This is Glenn Wright.
25	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay, thank you.

1	Mr. Clark?
2	MR. DAVID CLARK: I vote yes.
3	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Any further
4	discussion before we continue with voting on the
5	motion?
6	I'm not hearing any discussions, so Mr.
7	Clark voted yes.
8	Mr. Fitzgerald?
9	MR. TROY FITZGERALD: I vote yes.
10	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: I vote yes. This is
11	Thad LeVar.
12	Mr. White?
13	MR. JORDAN WHITE: I vote yes.
14	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Thank you.
15	Mr. Wright?
16	MR. GLENN WRIGHT: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN THAD LEVAR: Okay. Do any board
18	members have any issues that we need to address
19	before we adjourn?
20	I'm not hearing any. I just want to say
21	to all participants, thank you for your participation
22	in this difficult hearing. The issues weren't
23	simple, and the circumstances of doing this
24	telephonically gave all of us some challenges. I
25	appreciate everyone's help in doing this. I think

1	everyone has been as accommodating to the realities
2	that we were facing with the timing of this hearing.
3	Thank you for everyone and their participation. I
4	want to say thank you to the court reporter who was
5	very helpful and the Public Service Commission staff
6	who made sure we got this streamed and available to
7	you to the public. And thank you to the board
8	members, particularly those who were not associated
9	with the Public Service Commission who are donating
10	your time from very busy lives to do this. With
11	that, we're adjourned.
12	(Concluded at 12:08 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	April 22, 2020 Page 620
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF UTAH)
4) ss. County of salt lake)
5	I, Tamra J. Berry, Registered Professional
6	Reporter in and for the State of Utah, do hereby certify:
7	That on April 20, 2020, the statements by
8	board members, statements by counsel, and testimony of said witnesses were reported by me in stenotype
9	and thereafter transcribed, and that a full, true, and correct transcription of said testimony is set
10	forth in the preceding pages;
11	I further certify that I am not kin or
12	otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action and that I am not interested in the
13	outcome thereof.
14	WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 6th
15	day of May, 2020.
16	
17	
18	Jama Burnt
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20	Tamra J. Berry, RPR, CSR
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Public Hearing

	1 ,	+))
^	<u></u>	608:21
\$	1	190 528:3
\$1,886,601 513:16 518:24	1 529:4 570:18 571:1 593:3 603:14 604:1	1st 551:21,24 579:3,13 580:8,14 581:6,19,25
\$10 565:5	1,600 530:6,16	586:19 592:16 597:10 603:1,7
\$10,260,064 519:3,12	1.8 564:25 613:14	
\$100,000 562:23	10 514:17 563:23	2
\$2,386,601 519:1	10:34 567:15	2 515:3
\$2.5 565:18	10:50 567:15	2.5 613:15
\$25,000 512:14 515:2	10th 560:3 584:16,18	20 514:20 540:3,4 563:22
517:13	11,187,986 534:1	20-035-03 502:7
\$27,000 538:15 545:19 555:10,13 565:13	12 556:13 557:1 562:5	201,400 540:18
\$28 562:6 566:9	12,646,665 518:22	2015 506:11
\$3 530:17	120 594:25 605:17 606:5	2019 510:9 528:7 586:6
\$3.4 562:12	12:08 619:12	2019 510.9 528.7 568.6 202-38 529:1
\$5. 4 562.12 \$5 565:1	138 515:21 516:1 517:15,	202-38 529.1 2020 505:10 510:11
	17 528:6 531:18 556:11	512:12 541:24,25 542:3
\$500,000 518:25 \$6 562:5	557:4,7 558:25 559:17 561:19	560:17,18 561:4 579:1
\$6.8 565:3	14 591:13	581:25 586:20 592:16
\$600,000 600:12	15 505:24 521:1 524:25	2021 509:16 539:11 542:5,21 543:3,21 551:3
\$650,000 568:2	567:14 579:11 580:2	561:5 581:19,22 597:8
\$691 612:15	581:14 589:5 591:13 592:1,9 593:2,8,14	603:7,14 604:2
	594:13 595:10 602:17,22	2022 539:22
\$691,000 611:5 613:10	603:22 604:17 605:4,10 606:21 607:5,8	203 535:19
\$691,344 517:8 598:7		22nd 510:9
\$70,000 565:16,18	15-day 605:17	23 540:13,17
\$767,712 517:8,13	15-minute 567:11 15th 582:10 592:15	24 530:16,23
0		25 537:19
00017 540.04	17 512:12 586:4 17th 510:11	250 528:3
00017 540:21		269.90 528:7
	18 560:4 562:5	27 556:15 557:4

180 606:16 607:3,4,10

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020	Index: 27,000accep
27,000 614:5	561:1,6 565:15 566:25	600,000 600:16
275-ish 616:15	567:7	620 508:19
27th 505:10 582:12	50-foot 545:9	691 611:9 612:21
29 557:5	50-year 514:13 517:16 518:15	691,000 601:4 614:14
3	52-14-303-1(c) 508:7	691,344 601:9 610:12 613:2,5,24
3 502:7 515:3 529:22	53 563:11	
	54-13-103 535:7	7
3.4 538:18	54-14-103 528:22	75 537:20
30 511:12 512:12 519:4 521:2 522:19,25 523:7	54-14-103-9(a) 569:9	7th 582:19 584:16
525:4,19,21 529:6 539:4	54-14-103-9(b) 513:24	
579:13 580:5,24 592:5,	54-14-201 504:19	8
10 593:12 602:13,25 603:17 606:1,6 608:25	54-14-202-3(a) 531:8	8 565:4 614:5
30-day 512:1,9 525:10,	54-14-203 517:23	80,000 558:15
16,17 300 538:17	54-14-204 511:10 519:3 522:17	8th 617:16
300,000 538:17	54-14-303-1(e) 510:7	9
36 540:20	54-14-303-1(f) 510:24	
37 540:9	54-14.204 580:24	90 594:24 605:16,24
	54-foot 561:9,24	90-foot 545:10
4	54-foot-wide 556:18	Α
4 586:18	58 557:7	
40 514:22 555:5 556:21		a.m. 567:15
41 582:14	6	ability 520:6 549:8
46 556:15,25 558:25	6,690 570:25	553:13 587:22 611:15 above-ground 577:25
559:16 561:18	6,990 570:19	578:9 615:16
4th 560:6	6.3 533:25 542:23	above-line 577:12
5	60 510:6 548:3,15,22 594:24 605:16	absolute 574:11
5 529:23 559:13	60-day 510:11 549:12,14	absolutely 504:11
	550:7,13,18,21	abused 544:20
5,300 570:19 571:250 516:5 533:17 555:5	60-foot 515:22,25 516:2, 14 556:11	academic 541:15 accept 541:10 554:22
		•

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020
acceptable 510:1 518:1 521:9 539:21	16,18 528:5 530:9
accepting 538:8 613:12	534:18,24 535:1,3,9,12, 13,14,17 536:7,10,14,15,
accommodate 597:7	17,18,21,23,25 537:10,
accommodating 619:1	12,18 538:5,11,12,19
accomplish 544:2	539:6 540:22 544:23 545:3 547:15 551:14
accomplished 505:1	555:7 558:3 565:9 569:1,
accord 599:10	19,20,22 570:4 574:20 577:19,20 583:1 587:15
accordance 507:7 514: 528:25 529:4 535:18,22 569:13 576:2 582:20	1 589:14 590:20 598:3 2 600:11 602:1 606:11 609:23 611:6 614:16,23
account 516:24	615:6
accountable 527:5	add 601:21
544:19	adding 598:6 616:17
accurate 530:25 531:11	
achieve 587:19 acknowledged 528:11 530:3,4 533:1 559:13 561:14	additional 515:3 521:11, 17 528:21 529:5 530:10 533:5,24 534:15 562:12 570:16 572:23 599:21
acquire 518:6 act 504:20 505:19	address 504:8 509:5 521:8 527:18 568:13 569:4 618:18
507:20,21 510:5,24	addressed 509:3
511:17 512:5 513:5,22 517:23,24 519:3 520:17 521:11 548:11 549:4,10 550:7 593:11	7 addresses 581:7
	addressing 508:13 526:11 549:2
acting 532:15 548:2 550:1	adequate 504:19 508:6 511:15 512:8 519:16
action 595:19	562:14 581:2,6,8 597:19,
actions 544:20	24 598:2,3
acts 545:4	adjourn 618:19
actual 505:5 507:18	adjourned 619:11
508:1 511:9,11,22,23 512:3,11,17,20 513:3	adjustment 602:7
514:5,10,24 517:25	adjustments 600:20
519:1,4,12 520:9 522:6	administration 518:2

Index: acceptable..allowed administrative 521:10 22 527:10,15, admits 557:7 admitted 530:9 532:16 36:7,10,14,15, 537:22,25 538:3,4 563:16 adopt 534:5 advance 592:5 602:13,25 3 565:9 569:1. advantage 543:24 545:24 advantages 544:13 advocate 615:18 affected 566:22 affirming 579:25 after-impact 562:19 agents 559:21 562:11 agnostic 564:16,19 565:11 agree 505:20 520:8 521:12 569:19 573:24 583:1 584:12 585:10 592:2 594:16 596:19 599:19 605:14 607:24 agreed 511:3 562:18 agreeing 565:17 agreement 572:7,14 593:7 agreements 571:22 575:1 :2,6,8 597:19, **ahead** 526:3 552:10,13 568:20 571:18 583:23 599:16,17 600:7 602:19 609:15 613:17 617:13 Alaska 511:6 **allowed** 509:14 510:14 535:13 536:11

allowing 507:1 537:5 609:13 **allude** 554:8 alteration 613:25 alternate 507:12,20 576:4 alternative 512:22 522:2, 7,13 amount 512:14 513:2 522:4 526:9 547:2 567:23 570:4 572:9 573:21 583:7 590:14 600:15 610:1,13 611:10 612:7 613:21,22 amounts 505:16,20 517:8 590:6 ample 597:20 analysis 515:20 537:17 599:20 601:8 **analyzed** 539:18 and/or 590:16 annually 508:23 answering 549:21 anticipate 611:23 anticipating 606:3 anticipation 593:25 apologize 550:24 568:5 600:8 appeared 570:22 appears 586:1 applicable 537:23 601:10 applicant 586:14,20 592:16 application 510:6,9

Public Hearing April 22, 2020 515:1 516:25 548:3.11 550:2,8 **applied** 516:12 517:3 **applies** 610:24 **apply** 599:1,5 615:23 applying 537:20 appraisal 538:13,20 558:9 569:21 appraisals 537:24 538:10 appraised 515:17 appraiser 513:14 515:17 559:6.18 approach 554:12 562:3 approaching 601:12 602:11 **approval** 543:14 592:22 613:18 approve 505:20 approved 509:15 529:21 approving 605:22 approximately 515:2 530:6 533:25 **April** 510:9 584:16,18 area 539:15 580:16 588:15 595:5 areas 508:21 509:7,8 arguably 545:1 argue 554:20 argued 568:14 arguing 543:9 549:1 argument 503:14 511:23

518:25 534:20,22 546:2 551:19 555:9 568:24 Index: allowing..avalanche

574:1 arguments 567:18 568:11 569:5 582:4 589:14 arrive 542:21 ascertain 511:24

ascertained 538:11

asks 504:21 508:12,15

assessment 600:25

assume 593:4

assuming 511:22 541:14 595:8 600:19 603:7 610:15 616:2

assumption 561:24 610:20

assumptions 516:17

assurance 597:12

assure 503:20 544:19

attached 608:18

attend 503:20

attention 527:4

attorney 608:7

attorneys 502:10

attract 544:14

attracted 597:8

authority 511:4,7 520:17, 22 521:4,5,13,19 524:20, 21 525:22

authorize 507:22 519:17

authorized 504:25 507:14,15 511:2 513:22

automatically 523:12,21 avalanche 509:22

avoid 511:14 522:21 541:11 556:7 581:1
aware 533:8 537:25 540:4 574:18 578:17 584:6
В
back 525:16 570:5 595:23 606:3 609:7 615:2
backup 553:7
backward 525:11
bad 582:25
ballot 580:10
bank 565:7
banks 506:23 507:6 560:14
banner 565:23
bargained 590:14
Barker 533:6 539:24 558:22 564:13,18
barren 566:21
base 573:25
based 506:5 507:8 515:2 528:14 529:13,17 531:11 534:7,12 536:20 546:14 547:21 567:18,25 576:17 577:12 578:10 580:1 592:2 594:19 605:2
basically 538:2 548:7 569:23 577:8 589:7
basis 508:25 570:25 578:16
battle 546:16
bear 508:15 509:18

Public Hearing April 22, 2020 beautiful 560:16 566:19 588:15 **bees** 561:16 beg 608:8 begin 522:9 547:17 551:23 554:15 556:9 573:13 591:19 597:14 **beginning** 526:7,14 597:7 **believes** 609:24 below-ground 578:1 Ben 513:13,14 benefit 599:11 600:3,10, 21,25 609:21 610:15 benefits 509:7,8 Bengt 563:6 568:4,5 Bengt's 568:1 Benjamin 532:10 542:1 Berry 552:12 **bet** 545:13 571:13 **bid** 505:16,20,25 513:19 518:1 521:9 528:4 529:21 530:2,4,10,20,25 531:1 534:1 535:14 536:10,16 560:4 562:9 566:9 571:1 575:21 581:14 583:18 589:23 597:23 615:21 616:8 bidder 558:9 bidder's 566:5 **bidders** 530:10,13 556:17 558:3 560:1,7,24 566:7,15 570:23 578:17 597:25

bidding 570:24 597:22 615:22 616:20

Index: avoid..board

bids 505:5,8,10,17,21,22 506:2,3,5,9,12 512:15 517:24 526:18,19 527:17.24 529:22 530:5, 9,11,20,25 531:11,15 532:21,24 533:22 534:6, 12 535:21,24 536:6 539:6 544:25 549:11 558:6 559:25 560:2 570:24 575:18 576:1 578:13,16,19,20,21,23 580:1 582:8 584:9,13 587:3 589:5,8 590:1 592:15 598:2,3 616:17, 18 **bigger** 555:6 **biggest** 576:22 binding 513:18 518:10 **bit** 588:5 591:7,9,24 613:7 **bitter** 546:16 **black** 516:20,25 blackouts 508:16 509:18 board 502:7 503:2,8,10, 15 504:3,6,8,15,21 505:19 506:13 507:4,10, 17,20,21 508:1 510:5 511:7 512:22 513:1 515:24 517:23 519:9,17, 22,25 520:16,17,19,22, 24 521:1,8,12,16,19,22 522:2,15,22,24 523:2,10 524:4,16 525:1,4,17,23 526:1,6,12 527:4,8,14 528:19 529:1,5,11,12 530:14 531:3,7 534:17 535:2 536:20.24 537:4,9 538:4,7,24 539:4,5 544:6,7,17,22,24 545:15, 21 546:12,15 547:10,11,

20 548:1,22 551:6 552:3,

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020	Index: board'scenterline
6,18,19 553:3,13 554:6,	boundary 515:12,13	button 502:4
13,17,22 556:12 558:1,8, 11,17 559:10,19,25 560:6 561:3 563:8 564:19,21,23 565:8,19	Bowl 553:20 box 562:2	buy 544:14 557:9 569:22, 24 570:13 571:25
	branches 563:19	buying 562:24
566:1,8,13 567:1,12,13, 17,18 568:8 572:23 573:1,8,9,12 575:7,20	break 552:8,11,14 567:11,15	C
576:9 577:22 579:18	Brereton 502:24	cable 530:8 532:1
588:22,23 589:8,13,18 590:18,20 591:21	Bret 502:13 503:17 612:25	533:13,20,24 534:15 570:19
593:11,16,24 598:13 601:12,13,19 602:11	bridge 573:3	calculate 525:4,7
603:3 605:22 608:1	briefed 574:2	calculations 516:8,22
609:9,18,24 610:10 611:4,7,13,14,18,25	bring 532:3 558:2,3,14	call 564:11
613:4,20 614:1,3,4	broad 538:16	called 550:4
615:2,3,12,18,19,25	brought 524:19 611:18	calling 606:6
617:2,9,11,12,14,16 618:17 619:7	buck 555:16 588:19	calls 570:19
board's 524:20	Buffett 544:14	candidly 559:7 564:20
body 590:5	build 518:21 523:13	Canyon 509:20 540:9,13
bond 509:15 539:10,15	555:17,24 577:12,14,15	capacity 544:15
543:4,7 546:18 547:17 551:7,11,17,20 579:7	588:20 597:5,14 614:24 building 513:16 541:14	care 536:25 537:7 547:4, 7,11
580:9 581:10 587:22 588:3 590:24 591:1	587:6 597:4 602:19 613:16	careful 537:6
593:25 594:4,14 595:14	built 541:11 561:1	carry 569:16
596:18 604:4 606:8,10, 13	565:14 569:25 614:15, 17,22	case 523:18,19 540:8 542:19,21 545:18 556:1
bond-borne 522:5	burden 553:16 557:17	575:18,25 586:1 599:20
bonded 505:6	565:25	cash 563:12 567:24
bonding 594:25 595:18	buried 542:24 543:5	catastrophe 540:25 541:1
bonds 588:3 605:22	bury 529:22 531:18 533:24 541:5 546:8,9,18,	catch 530:3
bore 603:3	25 566:9	caught 564:15
borne 510:18	burying 533:16 534:18	caused 509:21
bother 560:9	businesses 503:21	cent 511:21
bothered 560:4	busy 619:10	centerline 514:18,20

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020	Index: certaintyCla
556:16 557:5 563:22	chart 571:7	522:3,17 523:10,19
certainty 511:24 597:13	chase 588:7	525:3 526:3,9 527:1 529:11 530:18 531:6
607:19	cheaper 583:11	533:15 539:9 541:21
certified 559:18	check 544:17 555:3,6,15	543:3,7,13,14,18,22
cetera 522:5 587:18	565:6 566:11	545:1 546:1,18,20,22
604:18 612:10	checkbooks 553:8	547:2,21 548:2 549:13 550:11 551:14,16 552:4
Chair 521:25 583:14	checks 566:18	566:10,24 569:17,20
597:10 602:4 615:7		570:5 576:24 577:9,18
617:8	choice 519:2 567:3	579:4,7,11,14 580:7,22
Chairman 502:3,16,20,	choose 524:25 537:4	581:7,11 582:6,18,22
25 519:20 520:4,14	548:24 579:11 580:3 581:13 589:4 592:1,8	584:18 585:11 586:2,16 590:5,8,11,21,25 591:14
521:20 524:14 525:24 547:23 548:19 549:5,23		590.5,8,11,21,25 591.14
550:22 552:2,18,19	chooses 537:9	597:1 600:5 601:1 603:9,
567:9,16 568:6,15,20	choosing 558:2 594:23	16 604:2,15 613:18
571:14,17 572:21 575:6	chop 563:19	city's 507:8 508:3,24
579:20,24 581:21 583:20,23 585:2,6	chose 562:22	510:20,24 512:20 514:9
588:24 591:23 593:6	chosen 564:4	516:15 518:19 519:2
594:7 598:17,22 599:15,	chuckle 561:20	527:12 531:3 535:19 543:17 545:6 568:24
17,23 600:6 601:3,11		569:5,6 581:13 586:14
602:10 603:13 605:14	circling 604:14	589:7 592:6
606:25 607:10,13,25 608:15,24 609:5 610:4,	circuit 528:6 531:24 534:16	claim 556:11
10 611:12,22 614:9 615:10,17 616:12 617:4,	circuits 506:22	claimed 539:17 565:17
9,20,25 618:3,10,14,17	circumstance 606:8	claiming 506:2 555:8
challenge 548:6 553:15	circumstances 595:17	claims 557:3 565:6
challenges 618:24	618:23	clarification 591:24
challenging 559:17	cited 554:5	598:19 600:9 608:10 615:15 617:7
chance 543:4,7 546:11	citizens 503:20 545:5,6 546:13,21 547:1 556:5	clarified 593:10
579:7 580:9	567:5	clarify 579:21 584:10
change 522:24 546:14	city 502:17,19 505:2,10,	593:7 616:13 617:5
586:25 614:11	15,20,22,24,25 506:8	clarity 581:19 608:1
changed 533:23 584:18	507:10 508:21 509:4,18	Clark 568:10,17,23
characterization 562:19	510:5,7,9,10 511:4,8,21, 24 512:10,13,16 513:10	570:16 571:5,12 596:22
charge 532:20 553:10	515:18,19 517:21 518:5	598:18,21 599:7 600:2,7 18 601:5,21 602:7
-		

Public Hearing April 22, 2020 20 575:2 578:25 579:4. 20 618:1,2,7 13 580:6,7,14 592:4,11 Clark's 571:20 593:13,17 597:11 602:24 **clear** 519:14 553:25 603:15,25 604:9,20 563:3 581:24 585:17 605:5,12 606:1,5,17 586:7 593:5 601:13 608:11 609:1 602:12 603:5 610:3 commencing 541:22 clearances 516:6,13 **comment** 595:18 **Clegg** 506:24 513:14 comments 519:19 575:8 532:10 542:1 558:24 559:4.21 commission 544:8.9 575:23 578:15 608:3 Clegg's 559:15 617:15 619:5,9 **clients** 540:10 553:13,18 **Commissioner** 524:18 566:13 526:5 551:17 615:14 **climate** 509:22 Commissioners 535:5 **close** 609:9 commitment 593:23 **closed** 509:11 514:2 594:11 closer 565:1 commitments 518:10 **closing** 503:1,3,14,16 communities 544:16 526:3 527:6 551:3 552:9, community 504:1 10,13 563:24 567:18 580:20 582:4 609:8 companies 507:2 508:12 533:18 cloud 604:10 **company** 532:18,22 code 506:21 515:25 545:13 532:6 547:12 comparing 513:20 collectively 540:15,20 **compensate** 562:13,16 Colorado 511:6 compensation 572:13 **combined** 613:14 competitive 505:5 **comfort** 529:16 512:15 517:24 526:18 **comfortable** 578:7 607:3 530:19 534:12 535:14. 21,24 536:6,10,16 **commence** 511:14 544:13 545:24 522:20 523:8 525:6 541:17 543:10,17 580:25 complained 505:16 603:12 complete 582:19 591:14 commencement 511:17 593:6 606:3 519:5 525:11,15,18 **completed** 508:22 579:1 527:12 539:9,11 551:2,

Index: Clark's..conclusions 580:13 582:22 595:9 completely 577:2,7 completing 508:25 compliance 532:23 complicated 516:7 557:15 complied 526:23 comply 525:22 534:10 554:19 556:3 complying 583:18 component 615:6 comprised 515:16 compromise 506:14 507:5 compromises 510:2 **computer** 586:13 concede 517:9 556:20 concedes 517:11 557:14 concept 576:12 587:21 **concern** 504:6 526:10 587:23 589:15 608:19 614:2 **concerned** 588:5 611:2 614:3 **concerns** 503:7 552:22 553:7.15 560:8 **conclude** 583:5 597:2 concluded 570:22 619:12 concludes 519:19 conclusion 554:8 555:4 569:16,18 576:6 583:9 596:25

conclusions 575:5 597:6

condemn 536:19 546:7 condemnation 514:8 555:4 condemned 546:16 condition 511:4 512:10 523:11 524:4 605:2 conditional 504:25 505:3,18,23 507:9,11,15 508:3 509:6 510:10.20. 23.24 512:16 513:10 518:19 520:9,13 523:24 524:2,6,10 526:17,24 530:17 531:4 534:10 541:20 555:19 556:4 566:3 581:25 582:5,9,13, 15,21 583:19 584:9,13 586:6,7,8,10,19 588:11 590:6,12,23 591:3,16,18 592:14 596:1 605:21 conditions 505:3 509:22 510:21 511:5,6 522:16 524:3.8 535:22 542:3 586:21,22 588:11 592:17 602:21 605:7 conducted 575:18 576:1 conductor 516:9,10 574:16 **conductors** 506:8,10,22 conduit 531:23 533:5.21 conference 503:20 confirmed 542:1 **conflict** 510:22 confused 579:22 confusion 581:24 613:7 conjunction 604:19 connected 574:16

April 22, 2020 connection 566:2 594:15 connectivity 509:9 consciousness 549:24 **consensus** 601:12,13 602:11,12 consequence 548:2 consequential 561:6 conservative 574:14 considerate 554:11 considered 516:8 596:1 consistent 597:9 607:8 608:3 617:16 constituent 554:16 constitutes 507:18 construct 511:20 519:7 constructed 514:1 528:24 535:21 569:12 610:6 construction 504:23 505:5,13 507:15,24 508:4.25 511:12.13.18 513:18 519:5 522:9,20 523:7,14,23 525:5,15,18 541:22 542:22 551:2,23 573:19,20 574:12,14 575:2 579:4 580:6,7,14, 25 586:21 588:9 592:3, 11,17 593:13,17 594:6 597:2,7,11,17 598:7 600:24 602:12,23 603:12,15,24 604:9,20 605:5,12 608:12 609:1 612:10 613:14 616:4,6, 10 contemplate 597:25

Public Hearing

contemplated 606:19

contemplates 536:5 **contest** 520:6 contested 519:24 contingent 572:18 continue 508:12 515:6 524:9 542:24 597:5 609:13 618:4 continued 506:2 continues 508:9 continuing 510:17 585:20 continuum 597:4 contract 587:18 590:7 contractor 571:4,5,8,11 contractors 505:12,21 529:21 571:1 contradicts 512:4 Contrary 553:2 contrast 514:8 conversations 559:19 convinced 579:17 598:25 convincing 598:8 **copy** 505:17 **Corbin** 502:18 core 526:16 coronavirus 503:22 **correct** 534:7,13 581:20 601:5 607:12 **corrected** 533:22

Index: condemn..corridor

correctly 531:2 605:18 correspondingly 609:25 corridor 516:4 517:15

Index: corridors..date

corridors 516:3 **cost** 512:3 513:6,7,9,12, 16,19,23,25 516:16 518:5,11,18,20,21 519:4 524:22 528:23,24 531:21 532:1 533:24 535:10,12, 13,15,17 536:11 545:16 546:20 555:23 565:1,2,9 569:10,11,19,20,22 577:10,14,15,25 578:9, 13,14 583:5,8 587:15 593:22 599:5,10 602:1 610:15 611:6,8 613:2,5, 6,11,12,16,23 614:14,19 616:10,17,18 **costs** 507:18.19 508:1.2 510:16 511:9,11,22,23 512:11,17,20,21 513:3,4, 5,18 514:3,5,10,12 515:2 517:10,19,22,25 518:3,5, 9,23,25 519:1,11,12 520:18,20 521:3,5,6 522:6 525:3,9 526:15,20, 22 527:10,15,16,18,23, 25 528:5,13,14,17,20 529:3,12,17,19 530:22 534:6,18,22,24 535:3,9 536:6,8,10,15,17,18,22, 24,25 537:11 538:5,11, 13 539:6 543:4 544:23, 25 545:3 547:15 550:12 551:14 555:7,12,22 565:12 566:5,12,15 569:1 570:2 573:20 574:10,17,20,23 575:15, 24 577:3,20 578:10 580:1,5,22,23 582:24 583:1,3,6 587:11 589:9, 14,15 590:19,20 592:6, 10 598:3,6,7,9,15 599:1, 21 600:12 601:1,17 602:1 603:16.22 609:23 610:12,16 612:4,10

613:14 614:17 615:6,16, 21,23 616:3,4,6,10,19,25 617:3

Cottonwood 574:6

council 590:5 592:21 595:19,25 596:6

counsel 552:19 568:11, 14 569:5 570:17 573:2,5 589:25 596:10 606:9

counterevidence 577:7

county 510:21 511:1,2,3, 5 541:20 543:14 557:11 574:3 592:21 613:19

County's 510:22

couple 519:21 554:23 566:5 568:18 579:21 585:15 586:3 590:15

court 514:23 552:8 563:7 619:4

crash 540:5

crazy 547:1

created 504:3 533:2,11

creates 530:16

creating 537:1

creation 532:20

credible 545:12 562:6

criteria 574:12

critical 503:24 508:10 510:14 543:6 544:1 554:12 558:21 607:19

criticism 590:1

cross-examination 509:1 527:2

cross-examine 562:22

cross-examined 561:17

562:7 crossing 592:12 crucial 602:15 603:25 crushing 546:20 cry 565:5 CUP 520:1,5,25 521:4 524:22 25 525:8 548:2

524:22,25 525:8 548:2 549:7,8,10,13 550:1,3,6 577:18 578:6 579:12 580:3 582:20 589:3,12 590:15,17 591:25 592:7, 19 593:8,10,13 594:10, 12,17,20 596:15 602:14, 16,21 603:20,21 604:5

curiously 533:10

current 532:19 547:8 575:10

customers 503:24 504:18 508:6,11,15,21 509:13,17 510:18 511:16 512:9 513:1 519:17 539:14 540:1,7 581:2,9 585:24

cut 540:9

cutting 588:7

D

damages 516:19,22 517:2,6 545:20 577:4,5

damaging 544:16

dangerous 544:11

dare 555:14

Darin 505:8 513:13

date 511:13 521:1,3 522:19 523:7 525:5,12, 19 527:12 539:9,11

551:2,5,21 575:2 578:25 **deadlines** 521:4,13 579:3,11,13 580:6,7,14, 589:3.12 24 581:6,14,25 582:9 December 510:11 512:12 584:14 586:23 588:2 606:4 592:4,5,8,11 593:16 decide 522:23 551:6 594:1,5,24 597:11 552:7 570:1,6 602:12,24 603:1,7,15,17, 25 604:2,9,16,17,19,22, decided 514:16 23 605:5,6,12 606:1,5,18 decides 510:15 607:4,8 608:18 609:1 decision 504:7 507:17 dates 579:22 582:13 521:1,2,3,17,18 522:3,12 595:2 524:23 534:21 539:4 Dave 617:18 546:15 555:21 561:3 567:5.24 590:2 609:10 **DAVID** 568:10,17,23 570:16 571:5,12 596:22 decisions 522:2 590:22 598:21 599:7 600:2.18 608:5 601:5,21 607:24 615:4 defined 519:5 535:25 617:18 618:2 608:14,16 day 502:7 520:25 522:25 defines 511:17 528:22 585:23 586:24 587:25 535:9 569:9 595:10 defining 608:17 day-for-day 584:14,17 definite 574:21 days 505:24 510:6 511:12 512:12 519:4,13 definition 513:23 525:15 521:1,2 522:19 523:7 528:13 536:4 569:8,10 524:25 525:4,19,21 deflexion 516:11 529:6 539:4 540:14,20 delay 506:2 508:9,12 548:3,15,22 555:22 510:14,17 550:1 579:11,13 580:2,5,24 581:14 582:14 585:15 **delayed** 539:14 543:13 589:5 591:13 592:1,5,9, 580:16 582:17 10 593:3,8,12,14 594:13, delaying 510:1 594:14 25 602:13,17,22,25 603:17.22 604:17 605:4. deliberation 567:13 10,17,24 606:1,5,6,16,21 573:1,5,6,10 605:16 607:3,4,5,8,10 608:21,25 deliberations 503:2 dead 533:5,13 **deliver** 564:7 deadline 512:1 522:7,25 **delivery** 504:4,14 524:22,24 525:2,7,10,11, delta 565:4 577:13,17 16,17 549:12 580:4 584:8,17 592:10,23 600:11 611:5 612:5,16

Index: dates..determining demand 508:18 509:25 demonstrate 549:8 deny 554:18 567:1 department 533:11 depending 517:13 612:23 depends 522:15 deposit 565:6 **describe** 561:22 design 511:19 519:6 525:20 550:14 588:10 597:22 desire 555:16 581:10 596:5 desires 553:6,7 destroying 538:21 detailed 562:10 563:6 **detected** 559:12 determination 535:6 580:23 determinations 517:18 determine 507:18 508:1 513:2,4 514:4,23 519:25 521:5 526:21 527:9,14 528:16,19 529:12 534:17 536:14,24 540:22 544:23 550:15 561:4 567:6 569:20 576:13 577:24 580:22 583:1 598:14 606:23 610:11 determined 526:20 534:25 535:3 determines 512:23 611:4 determining 525:8 599:10

Public Hearing April 22, 2020 developed 578:18 development 586:1,5 **device** 536:3 **devoted** 561:16 563:9 diameter 545:9,10 **difference** 518:1 535:10 538:2 545:9 558:25 559:3.16 561:22 577:24 583:7 610:12 612:16 differently 612:1 614:12 615:12,25 differing 587:5 difficult 511:23 514:4,12 517:20 618:22 dimension 556:25 557:13 559:4 dip 511:1 530:8 dire 560:21 direct 510:22 559:14 563:6 571:7 608:5 617:14 directed 537:18 directing 609:10 direction 589:16 606:22, 23 610:22 directly 512:4 591:3 director 508:18 533:6 disagreement 584:15 disaster 540:8 discovery 589:23 discrepancy 530:17 discretion 522:24 discuss 532:9 discussing 602:17

April 22, 2020 discussion 544:5 551:17 567:13 573:12 585:19 596:15,16 601:20 602:14 603:1.2 605:15 608:1.4 610:11 613:4,5 616:1 617:10,11,16,17 618:4 discussions 573:7 595:1 618:6 dispute 521:8 537:12 575:12 589:8 590:19 611:17,24 614:20 disputed 505:16 520:12 **disputes** 520:18,19 521:6 524:22 611:15 distance 516:7 **distinct** 537:12 544:15 **district** 514:23 division 532:18,25 docket 502:7 documentation 528:4,9 dollar 577:24 616:15 dollars 546:24 570:4 592:21 domain 517:1 dominoes 547:16 551:10 donate 518:8 donating 619:9 donation 592:20,21 donations 563:12 599:3 door 564:11 **double** 528:5 doubles 531:21 doubt 572:11 599:12 600:10 610:16

Public Hearing

down 540:14 541:3 558:15 563:19 586:12 588:5 600:20 603:3 609:22 611:24 612:9,15, 23 dozens 546:13 draft 608:3 617:15 drafted 577:18 590:15 drafting 608:6 dramatically 545:14 driven 545:16 dry 509:22 dual 522:12 531:18,19 532:7 533:4,9,12,22 534:14 duct 506:23 507:6 560:14 **due** 529:20 538:3 540:1 543:21 545:23 550:2 558:12 575:4 duplicated 601:8 Ε ear 564:15 earlier 605:16 earthquake 541:2 easement 514:17,21,22, 24 515:25 516:2 517:14, 16 518:15 536:22,24 537:13 550:12 555:12 556:10,11,19 557:3,13 561:9.25 562:14 563:2

566:15 572:5,8 576:13 577:8,20 578:5 582:24 598:23 599:1 600:1 601:14,19 602:7 609:23 611:17 612:24 614:17

easements 527:18 528:13 534:23,24 535:1, 24 536:5,8,10,17,18 537:5,11,19 538:6,9,13, 15 539:6 541:17,19,23 542:6,8,9,11,17,19 543:10,16,21 545:3,18 546:16 553:9 555:1 557:8,16 563:13,17 564:1 565:12 569:1 570:8,13 571:22,25 574:21 575:3 576:7,25 577:6,13,15,25 583:2,4, 11 599:3 601:23 610:14, 16 614:19.23 easily 563:10 614:4 easy 576:12 efficient 504:19 508:6 565:9 eight-foot 545:10 **elected** 574:3 election 543:8 551:7 579:7 580:9 590:24 595:9,14,20 596:3,8 604:5 606:10 **elections** 588:3,4 **electric** 504:4,5,14,22 505:13 506:21 510:14 512:25 515:25 532:6 electrical 585:13 588:16 elevation 558:15 emergency 560:18,21 eminent 517:1 emphasis 544:8 emphasize 540:24 empty 533:21 end 539:22 542:2.22

Public Hearing April 22, 2020 543:2 558:23 565:16 574:23 583:8 ends 613:22 enforce 547:12 548:6 engineer 574:13 engineering 587:6 608:14,21 engineers 574:5 enormous 555:3,15 enter 572:7,14 **entered** 518:9 entertain 609:6 entire 503:25 509:8 entitled 545:20 546:4 558:11 565:6 enunciated 554:17 environment 566:19 **envision** 614:18 envisioned 615:9 erroneous 516:16 erroneously 514:16 error 530:15 errors 533:22 essence 555:19 essential 503:23 504:2 essentially 584:8 586:12 590:6.7 604:13 establish 527:22,25 536:9 538:5 539:13,17 550:12 566:1 577:17 579:12 established 532:13

535:2,14,18 536:11,22 537:5 538:20 544:7 Index: easements..evidence

578:21 582:4 587:2 590:18 615:20

establishing 527:2 529:16 536:5 538:12

estate 513:14 559:21 562:11

estimate 513:17,19 514:4,10 517:9,11,19,22 534:25 536:12,22 537:6 538:11 551:25 555:1,10 562:8,12 564:25 565:16 576:17 577:11,23 578:8, 10 587:17 601:17 614:4, 7,18

estimated 513:2,6,7,9, 11,15 515:1 516:16 518:22,24 528:2 529:3 569:11,18 576:10,11 583:3 589:14 612:4 613:2,6,12,15

estimates 528:12 535:1 536:13 576:18,19,20

estimating 514:11 610:13

evaluate 575:20 578:16

evaluating 575:23

evaluations 566:14

event 602:21

events 509:23

everyone's 618:25

evidence 512:6,23 514:25 515:23 519:14 520:13 527:22,23 528:18 529:14,15 530:11 532:2 534:3 539:19,24 543:1 544:4,22 545:2 549:2 553:15,25 554:4,5 555:22 556:2 558:18

562:8 563:25 565:8 570:17 575:16,25 576:18,20,23 577:16 578:12,14 581:5 582:11 583:10 587:24 595:24 596:3,8,9 597:17,20,23 598:2,3,8 599:4 600:21 601:7 614:23 615:20,22 exact 511:24 exaggerated 517:2,6 examine 559:8 exceeding 539:21 excess 507:18 508:2 510:16 511:9,11,22,23 512:3,11,17,20,21 513:3, 7,9 517:22,25 518:5 519:1,4,11,12 521:3 522:6 525:3 526:15,20, 22 527:10,15 529:3 535:3,9 536:11,14,25 543:4 544:23 547:15 551:14 555:7,22 566:4. 11 570:1 580:5 583:6 590:19 598:15 599:10 600:12 601:1 603:16 612:4 excuse 509:7 510:7 **execute** 594:4 executed 594:5 exercise 531:10 539:5 541:15 Exhibit 556:13 557:1 exist 544:11 existed 509:2 516:4 580:17 existing 514:13,22 515:5,13 516:6 517:16 518:14 524:10 537:13

exists 520:21 544:6,9,17 545:15 563:21 597:3 expectation 566:16 expected 561:1 expense 518:2,17 531:25 555:25 **expenses** 521:10 **expensive** 506:3 557:15 575:22 **experience** 558:4,11 experiencing 516:18 expert 508:24 533:16 538:15 555:11 559:5 576:3 expert's 534:5 experts 539:16 553:8 561:11 expiration 605:17 607:5 **expired** 548:22 explain 551:10 **exposure** 508:20 509:5 **express** 601:22 expressed 588:12 597:10 extend 536:7 extended 539:11 540:22 extending 582:13 extent 525:13 546:3 586:24 external 528:4 **extra** 530:15 531:23.25 533:5,13,20 541:4

Public Hearing April 22, 2020

556:15 557:3 561:8.24

597:19

Index: exact..fairness

574:15 extract 616:8

extreme 547:2

extremely 517:19 606:7

F

 Fabian
 502:14

 fabric
 585:14

 faces
 547:5

 facilities
 536:16

facility 502:6 504:20 505:19 507:20,21 510:4 511:13,18,20 513:6,7,12 517:22,25 518:23 520:16 522:20 523:8 535:11,12, 13,18,21,25 536:1,6 569:11 580:25 593:11 597:3

facing 619:2

fact 512:13 532:7,13 537:12 538:14 557:10 559:13 564:3 576:3 578:19 582:14 585:22 589:22 602:5

facts 511:8

failed 505:2 510:5 529:2 537:9

failure 507:8 509:20 512:19 539:18 540:2 543:19,21 605:6

fair 545:12 551:25 555:20 556:5 563:1 567:22 589:21 591:22 612:21

fairly 567:24

fairness 558:12 600:3

Index: fall..fund

	April 22, 2020	Index: fallfund
fall 542:25 547:16 596:8	find 507:10,22 512:1	fluid 615:6
families 552:21 553:4	519:10 521:15 523:10 525:18 557:15 576:10	fly 549:25 565:23
566:20	598:15	focus 558:17 605:9
farther 542:4 603:4 fashion 583:12,19	finding 504:23 511:8 522:15 523:5,11,19	follow 524:18 548:20 549:6 583:16
fat 534:7	524:4 525:1 536:21	follow-up 571:20
fatal 515:19 516:15	575:25 579:3 598:25	food 553:20
fault 543:17	findings 564:21	foot 528:3,8 558:15
favor 564:1 579:2 596:25	finds 523:2 529:2	forfeited 597:1
	fine 551:16 602:9 607:6	
February 509:16 551:13 560:3 582:10 592:15	finish 590:16	forgotten 551:1
593:3 606:11	finished 528:7 541:24	form 528:4
feedback 594:3	542:2 560:20	forward 503:3,8 504:24 507:24 509:14 519:18
feel 503:11 545:5 554:13	firsthand 557:25 558:10	525:10 547:17 552:13
597:17,19 598:2 600:2	Fitzgerald 579:20	561:11 567:24 589:6
feeling 578:8	581:17,18,23 583:17	590:17 591:20 596:7 610:21
feet 514:17,20,22 515:3	584:22,23 585:4,5,8 590:13 591:5,11,24	found 581:25 597:9
530:6,16 556:15,21,24 557:4,5,7 563:22,23	592:12,13 594:10,21	frame 549:15 551:15
570:19,25 571:2	595:5,16 596:20 602:8 605:15,19,20 607:1,7,12,	575:13
fellow 598:13	18 618:8,9	frames 543:24
felt 559:21	fix 613:5 614:3,4	framing 516:12
fields 566:22	fixed 613:11,23 614:7	frankly 589:22
fight 547:2	615:7	free 518:8,11 547:3
fights 555:4	flagrant 555:14	fridge 553:21
figure 574:19 594:2	flags 560:20	frivolous 554:2
filed 557:11	flares 560:21	front 522:2 545:13
final 519:13 567:12	flaw 515:19 516:15	575:11 580:19,21 595:25
598:22 600:19 612:10	flawed 544:25	616:16
finality 508:8	flexible 594:2	fullest 546:3
finally 511:7 541:14	flimsy 555:1	fully 574:14 595:20
564:13 578:24	flip 584:14,17	function 509:10 522:5
finance 512:2	flipped 586:23	functioning 606:13
financial 595:17		fund 543:4 551:12

Public Hearing April 22, 2020 Index: fundamental...Heidi good 502:12,23 516:1 603:10 604:7 609:5 592:21 552:18 558:7,16 561:12 612:3,5,11,18 616:6 fundamental 544:22 566:24 587:12 funding 509:15 590:25 н Gordon 502:14,18 526:5 592:20 593:14,24 547:24 548:4,23 549:16 594:12,18,22 595:7 hand 521:16 577:2 550:9 551:9 552:22 596:2,17 600:14 603:20, 603:24 604:1 553:12 554:5,17 560:18 21 604:3,6,16,25 605:3, 615:14 616:13,22,24 handle 541:7 8,11 606:8,11 gorgeous 585:11 funds 510:16 happen 595:1 govern 504:7 happening 606:22 future 572:4 government 504:16 happy 563:2 569:7 575:9 506:15 510:15 512:3 584:21 589:18 611:13 G 535:23 hard 526:6 547:2 559:22 gargantuan 545:19 governments 510:13 564:21 gas 536:2 **Governor** 503:18 hardest 526:8 gave 537:19 563:6 granted 523:13 525:22 head 612:6 618:24 granting 553:13 hear 558:8,11 562:20 general 543:8 591:1 564:11 583:25 589:18 great 512:23 582:7 595:20 596:3 611:15 588:17 generally 544:20 601:20 heard 556:20 561:19 greater 541:4 555:2 617:11 562:25 564:19 585:15 grids 509:9 gigantic 556:7 587:7,24 606:9 613:25 gross 530:22 614:10 give 520:5,22 529:15 grossly 517:1,6 530:14 537:6 546:5,17 hearing 502:8 524:17 551:6 552:7 557:13,19 526:2 529:8 539:2 **around** 511:1 518:14 563:2 564:1,9 566:16 544:21 549:2 550:11 528:17,20 529:19 534:18 567:3 572:3 575:15 552:5 560:1 572:25 544:24 546:17 551:18 587:4 618:6,20,22 619:2 579:10 580:8 589:12 558:16 577:14 602:20 590:15 592:7 593:16 607:20 608:12 613:9 hearsay 558:19 599:25 600:3,10,20,25 614:15,19,24 615:24 Heber 503:23 504:12 606:2,18 608:8 617:1.3 505:14 506:22 508:11,21 giving 537:18 566:18 group 505:13 547:1 510:8 513:1 514:14 579:6 594:21 608:17 539:20 540:13,17 541:9, guarantees 588:4 610:15 13,21 543:14 547:19 guess 522:11,12 523:18 Glenn 567:21 568:3 554:11 557:8,10 560:6 572:1 582:1 584:5,15 571:15,19 572:6,16,19 591:4 593:23 596:16 585:7,9,22 586:3,10,11 573:15 593:20 596:14 605:21 587:20 588:4,6 589:21 599:13,16,19 607:23 Heidi 502:14 590:2,3,10 592:25 599:7 617:19,23,24 618:16

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020	Index: heightindustry
height 559:4	hurts 543:25	imposes 511:11 512:11
held 551:23	hypothetical 614:21	impossibility 541:25
helicopter 540:5	hypotheticals 614:25	impossible 526:21 576:16 614:25
helpful 581:18 596:13 615:11 619:5	I	inadequate 508:20
high 508:18 509:22,25 529:23 552:25 574:23	idea 554:3 556:10 559:21	incentive 572:14 incidents 507:1 540:4
highest 545:16,23	561:23	inclined 599:8 609:18
highlight 535:4	ideal 541:9	include 511:18 516:22
highlighted 538:13	identification 615:5	528:12 536:4 544:25
highway 553:4	identified 510:12 557:3	577:3,4 593:4 616:19
hiring 553:8	identifies 569:13	included 530:5 531:14
history 585:25	identify 558:20 573:13 602:1	532:21 615:16
HL&P 508:5 509:13,17	imagine 614:21	including 552:12 569:11
514:15,17,19 515:13	-	591:2 601:16 602:9 614:14 617:2
563:16	immediately 504:24 507:14,23 509:14 519:9,	incorrect 516:24 587:9
hold 527:4 544:18	18 522:9 574:25	610:20
545:23 589:3 604:24	impact 509:23 546:10	increase 508:23 541:12,
home 545:13	impacted 537:21,24	13 561:18 577:10
homeowners 545:19 558:4 562:11	538:10 545:6 546:21 600:23	increased 583:6
homes 566:21		increases 507:3 532:1
honor 593:1	impaired 504:16	544:1
	impairment 511:14 512:8 522:22,23 523:3,6 581:1,	increasingly 566:20
hope 525:12 612:22	5,8	incredible 559:23
hoping 584:5	implication 520:22	incur 599:21
horizontal 516:6,9,11	implicit 521:5	indicating 564:3 574:5 596:2,7
horse 558:9	important 535:6 537:2	·
hours 508:19,22 526:10 540:9,16,18,20 561:15,	561:2,7 588:16	indication 528:10,11
16 563:9	impose 507:12 510:16,	indications 596:4
houses 563:20	21 511:4,6,9 512:21 519:11	individual 514:7
HP&L 518:15	imposed 512:3,10 518:5	individual's 546:7
hundreds 563:9 570:3	522:16 543:25 610:17	individuals 544:16
		industry 531:25 574:13

inefficient 565:8
inferable 563:10
information 521:11,17 528:15,21 529:5,7,9,11 531:9 532:17 534:16,20 535:12,16 537:10 538:25 539:2,3 544:24 546:1 547:14,20 548:12,17 549:4,9,13 550:2,4,5,10, 20 570:6 597:24 598:1
informed 555:21
informs 590:2
infrastructure 508:10 510:14
inhabited 566:20
inherent 521:4
initial 571:20 574:22 585:9 586:4 600:25
inquiry 571:20
inspections 558:5
install 543:20
installation 542:4
installed 508:10 531:24 543:2
instance 578:17
instances 563:23
insufficient 528:18 534:20
insult 555:13
insurance 574:13
insured 505:6
integral 585:13
integrity 504:4,5,13,22 510:2 512:24

Public Hearing April 22, 2020 intend 615:5 intended 527:2 571:2 614:1 615:6 intent 512:4 596:10 intentionally 565:21 interested 569:2 595:21 612:13 interesting 562:1 606:12 interfering 543:5 interject 575:7 589:1 interjecting 594:8 internal 587:6 interpret 537:4 interpretation 537:8 569:6 584:25 interpretive 537:3 interrupt 503:11 523:16 intervene 553:14 intrigued 587:21 investigation 562:10 invitation 555:13 invite 566:15,17 invitees 560:4 involved 541:3 577:1 involvement 561:14 irrelevant 577:2 **issuance** 519:25 591:13. 20 602:18 604:17 605:10,22 **issue** 519:24 521:16 539:4 548:14,21 551:4 554:12 577:2,8 579:25 581:12 589:2 601:14,19 602:6 603:4,18 605:16

Index: inefficient..jump

609:2 611:17 617:10

issued 510:10 530:21 549:7,10,14 550:3,6 582:6 593:1 605:4 617:15

issues 526:8,11 537:2,3 575:11 601:12 618:18,22

issuing 520:5,12

item 616:1

items 527:1 531:15 534:8 545:1 586:17 592:19

J

Jake 533:6 January 551:13 606:11 Jason 540:12 541:6 Jewkes 502:19 job 559:5,8 jobs 527:9 **John** 533:15 join 553:12 555:9 **joint** 510:8 **Jonsson** 563:6,8 564:3 568:4,5 **JORDAN** 521:24 523:9, 17 524:12 583:14,22,25 584:2,20 585:7 589:20 591:5,22 595:4 596:12 600:8 602:4 603:5 604:11 607:15 612:2 616:2 617:6 618:13 Joshua 502:18 judgment 599:9 jump 567:19 573:6

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020	Index: junctureliterally
juncture 517:12,20 602:2	language 592:19 594:20	20 571:14,17 572:21
jurisdictions 541:18	596:7 602:14 604:6	575:6 579:20,24 581:21 582:25 583:14,20,23
justice 558:12	large 502:21 544:12	585:2,6 588:24,25 591:7,
justifiable 531:20	lastly 566:23	23 593:6 594:7 597:10
justification 531:15	late 582:16	598:17,22 599:15,17,23
justified 549:3	late-based 596:17	600:6 601:3,11 602:10 603:13 605:14 606:25
	law 516:20 517:1 544:19	607:10,13,25 608:15,24
K	545:23 546:3 547:9	609:3,5 610:4,10 611:12,
	550:7	22 614:9 615:8,10,14,17 616:12 617:4,8,9,20,25
kicking 588:5	lawyers 563:13	618:3,10,11,14,17
kind 529:17 549:17,18,	lay 562:4 575:9	Levar's 602:5
19,23 553:22 569:16 576:14 587:20 588:13	leads 549:6 579:2 580:13	life 540:21 546:14 585:14
601:14 604:14 613:25	leaning 579:9	light 503:23 506:22
knock 564:10	learned 552:24	510:8 514:14 539:20
knowing 606:21 607:20	leaves 590:9	540:13,17 541:9,13
knowledge 557:25	led 602:14	547:19 557:8,11 560:6 591:4 593:23 594:9
558:10	Lefevre 513:14 517:1,5	596:16 602:5 605:22
kv 515:21 516:1 517:15,	537:15,17 557:23 561:12	611:7
17 528:6 531:18 556:11,	562:18 598:11	lights 503:21
15,25 557:4,7 558:25 561:18,19	Lefevre's 598:12 601:6	likelihood 541:23 542:14
301.10,13	left 538:7	likes 544:14
L	legal 514:11 515:4	likewise 506:19 507:25
lack 538:3 550:2 554:6	517:13,18 518:11,13 520:21 522:4 535:6	limit 550:18,21 609:14
599:12	536:21 537:2,7 548:1	limited 609:11
lacked 528:10	legislature 504:3	lines 502:22 507:1,3
laid 582:6	length 530:6,7,10 533:2,	513:25 515:9 524:11
Lake 537:22	22 540:16 550:15	528:2 533:16 539:16 540:2,5 541:3 545:7
land 562:17,24	lengths 534:13	556:12 557:8 559:1
landowner 536:19 564:5	letter 516:20,25	563:4 585:7,12 587:7
landowners 555:5	Levar 502:3,16,20,25	589:6 616:20
557:18 559:20 572:7	519:20 520:4,14 521:20,	listened 575:16
Lane 515:9,11,15	25 524:14 525:24 547:23 548:19 549:5,23 550:22	Listening 607:2 literally 562:2
	552:2 567:9,16 568:6,15,	

Index: litigated..member

litigated 576:15 551:21,24 579:3,13,23 Μ 580:8,14 581:6,19,25 litigating 587:17 582:12 586:19 592:16 litigation 555:5 made 512:12 518:7 593:3 597:10 603:1,7,14 523:7,18 524:5,23 604:1 live 572:19 530:12 534:10 550:15 margin 545:17 lives 619:10 553:5 559:14 575:18 Mark 502:24 552:20 576:16 581:24 587:13 living 553:11 592:25 593:12 594:15,16 market 563:1 **Liz** 502:24 599:11 600:4,20 619:6 markets 606:13 load 541:7 maintain 507:2 514:21 materials 511:19 519:6 loads 539:21 588:21 525:20 608:22 loan 547:18 maintained 516:13 math 564:22 553:11 563:16 local 504:16 506:15 mathematical 516:8 510:13,15 512:3 535:23 maintaining 506:16 matter 520:12 535:7 **located** 505:14 major 540:4,25 541:1 553:14 598:15 610:5 locating 511:1 majority 515:16 542:9 meaning 526:22 541:3 long 509:3 546:15 548:9, make 514:11 517:18 583:7 25 552:20 567:3 580:12 520:25 525:1 534:21 meaningful 563:17 594:3 612:17 535:7 536:20 546:11 549:21 555:20 564:11 meaningless 589:10 longer 594:19 566:23 567:4,5 572:17 means 510:13 535:9 **longest** 540:15 580:22 586:9 589:13 536:1 542:13,22 543:3 590:16.21 598:25 599:8. looked 558:6 569:3,11 24 604:4 609:20 610:11 **loses** 546:22 616:9 617:13 meant 581:22 **loss** 540:6 562:17,23 makes 525:12 561:3 measured 560:2 570:15 577:6 594:8 lot 573:25 574:18 measurements 530:5 making 564:21 589:7 537:14,16 **loud** 604:12 606:15 609:9 **low** 530:25 534:1 541:24 measuring 536:2 576:19,21 manager 528:1 532:15, mechanism 522:18 16,19 559:8 lower 531:1 mechanisms 590:25 managing 615:21 616:18 lowest 518:1,21 545:16 meet 505:2 511:25 522:7 manipulated 538:21 523:11 524:10 591:16 Lowrey 562:21 563:1 manner 504:19 508:4,7 564:2 meeting 505:9 560:4,6 586:15 607:21 luxury 534:8 545:1 566:5 **mar** 565:15 member 567:17,19 573:9 615:18 617:12 March 505:10 528:7

Public Hearing April 22, 2020 Index: members..motion members 503:9.15 535:19 539:9 541:21 misinterpreted 570:23 519:22 520:16 521:22 542:24 543:3,6,13,17,18, missed 559:14 584:8 524:16 526:1 552:3,6,19 22 545:1,6 546:17,20,22 missing 539:3 554:16 563:11 568:8 547:21 548:2 549:8,13 572:24 573:9,12 575:7 550:3,5 551:6,13,16 mistake 530:12 566:23 579:18 588:23 589:18 552:4,21 553:5,11 mistaken 616:3 598:14 601:19 603:3 555:20 556:5 559:20 611:14,25 615:12,25 562:11 566:10,17,24 mistakes 529:20 530:22 618:18 619:8 567:3 568:24 569:5,17, 559:11 19 570:17 573:22 576:23 memorandum 514:17 misunderstanding 577:9,17 579:3,6,10,14 515:21 616:11 580:7,21,23 581:7,10,12 mention 590:24 misunderstood 612:2 582:18,22 584:18 585:10 589:4,7,12 590:21 **mentioned** 560:18,23 modern 585:14 591:14 592:1,5,18 595:7 modest 561:18,21 593:21 594:1 596:18,20 mere 557:10 597:1 603:15 604:2 modify 609:2 605:21 606:22 610:1,6, merits 502:8 Monday 527:1 18,24 611:6,11 612:14, met 524:3,5 531:5 586:16 18 613:18 money 547:17,18 552:1 602:16,22 553:7 565:24 567:23 Midway's 524:24 545:5 met all 586:14 595:22 606:20 608:20, 548:18 555:9 580:2 23,25 610:1 612:8 metal 545:11 595:17 596:5 613:18,19 method 507:20 565:10 mile-long 566:9 monopolies 544:10 methodology 513:22 miles 541:19 542:23 545:15 metric 562:15 million 529:23 530:17 monopoly 544:18 545:22 533:25 538:17,18 562:5, 546:6 **MIA** 559:18 6,12 564:25 565:2,3,4,5, months 508:17 509:25 Michaelis 557:22 560:15 18 566:9 613:14,15 510:10 539:14 541:5.12 614:5 middle 552:25 553:19 542:11,13 543:15 566:6 millions 546:24 Midway 502:17,19 503:6 moot 595:10 610:8 505:2,9,15,20,22,23,25 mind 524:19 549:18 morning 502:12,23,24 506:8 507:8,10 508:3,24 559:2 561:3 582:5 503:1 532:11 552:18 509:4,18 510:5,7,9,10, 598:20 603:8 612:19 20,24 511:4,8,21,24 Morris 502:23,24 552:16, minimal 561:13,14 512:10,13,15,20 513:10 17,20 567:10,18,19,21, 514:8 515:17,19 516:15 minimum 592:20 605:24 25 568:4,9 609:3,15,16 517:21 518:5,19 519:2, 606:4 610:9 611:1,21 612:6,13 10 520:1,5,18,19 521:1 614:2 minute 524:20 545:21.22 522:16 525:3 526:3,9 motion 586:15 604:15 minutes 567:14 527:1,12 529:11 530:18 608:2 609:9 615:3 531:3,6,22 533:15

617:12,13,17 618:5 motions 608:9 motive 565:22 Mountain 502:10,13,15 503:6,16,18,19,22 504:21 505:4 506:4,6,9, 13,16,19,20 507:4,13,23 509:12 513:8,11 514:6, 25 515:4,8 520:20 522:8 523:12,22 524:7,9 526:22 527:3,24,25 528:15 529:10 530:21 531:8,11,14,17,23 532:4, 8,9,25 533:7,9 534:6,10 536:23 537:9,15 538:9, 14 539:1,12,20,25 540:7, 10 541:16,21 542:15,18 543:6,13,18,19,24 544:1, 21 545:7 546:19,22 547:10,13 548:5,21 553:2,3,16 554:1,7,10, 18,19,20,25 555:24 556:3,17 557:2,6,14,17, 20,23 558:2,13,22 560:9 561:8,9,10 562:15,22 564:4,10,16,18 565:5,20, 25 567:2 569:24 570:2 571:21 573:25 574:5,10, 25 575:17 576:1,8,16 577:11 578:8,25 579:5. 15 580:1,11 582:7,15 583:17 584:7 586:2 587:8 588:9 589:6,24 592:14 597:13,21 601:25 602:18 603:17 606:20 608:11.20 609:12 610:7. 25 612:19 613:1 615:20 616:5,16

Mountain's 552:24 556:14 564:25

move 503:3,8 507:23 509:14 519:18 547:17

552:8 573:1 590:17 602:19 612:23

Public Hearing April 22, 2020

moved 515:8

movement 516:10

moves 610:20

moving 566:21 591:19 596:7

mudslide 540:5,9

municipality 595:15

mute 502:4

Myers 505:8 506:5,23 513:13,15,19 518:23 528:1 529:25 530:8 532:19 557:22 559:24 560:12

Myers' 570:21 571:6

Ν

nation 544:10

National 506:21 515:24 532:6

natural 540:8 569:16

necessarily 537:23 570:9 578:22 595:12

necessity 532:6

needed 528:21 534:21 541:17 543:16,21 562:13

needless 574:9

negotiate 557:9

negotiated 514:7 576:15

neighborhood 563:5 616:15

neighborhoods 556:8

neighboring 509:7,8

Index: motions..numbers

Nelson 533:16 562:1 565:3 587:5

NESC 507:7 516:5,13 532:10,12,23 533:8,13, 14,17,20

nice 547:8

no-later 604:22

nomenclature 571:6

nominal 512:14 538:1 545:8 558:25 559:2,16

non-binding 518:8

non-factors 539:19

nonsensical 536:9

Norlen 514:19 540:12 541:6 556:22,23 560:3,5, 23 563:16,18 574:4

normal 514:2

north 515:9 541:6 542:22 560:15

note 535:23 573:4 589:24 590:23

November 509:15 543:8 551:7,11 580:10 594:14, 18 595:10,14 606:10

nuances 514:11 518:11, 13

number 509:23 527:11 534:12 552:21 555:1 556:13 574:8,21,22 578:5 590:25 598:10,24 601:4,8 603:14,15 612:23,24 613:13

numbers 554:21,24 564:19,22 566:2 567:4 601:6 612:17
Public Hearing April 22, 2020 Index: objected..owner one-third 532:1 ordered 542:6,13,20 0 open 573:8,11 ordering 511:19 519:6 525:20 608:22 opening 503:10 531:13 objected 506:1 558:1 originally 603:19 openly 530:3 586:5 objection 503:5 548:8 587:20 612:8 outage 509:20 540:22 objects 552:11 operate 514:21 outages 508:16 540:15 obligated 566:10 567:2 580:16 operating 506:17 obligation 554:13,21 outcome 597:14 opinion 522:11 529:15 556:4 564:7 581:13 582:8 583:18 588:22 574:1 outline 608:4 590:8 591:1 592:6 593:9, opinions 559:9,17 outlined 527:1 18 573:16 outright 541:25 **obligations** 531:4 593:8 opportunity 539:10 outset 600:5 546:24 551:6 555:20 obtain 512:15 514:6 outstanding 517:18 556:6 589:13 606:2,23 516:2,19 517:23 531:11 611:7 612:14,18 535:20 536:7,12,15,18 overarching 544:5 538:10 542:11 543:16,21 opposed 581:11 overestimate 530:22 553:17 570:4 571:22 opposes 580:12 overhead 504:23 507:14. obtained 506:12 513:20 24 512:19 513:12,16 opposition 502:21 514:14 516:7 529:9 514:1 515:9 517:4,10 601:16 532:12 534:25 536:16 522:9 523:14,23 524:8, 537:14 541:16,19,23 option 518:21 524:1 11 527:25 528:6.24 542:7,9,20 543:11 530:15 556:13 570:18 543:15 555:24 560:10 571:1.25 572:2 578:11 obtaining 515:3 517:18 564:17,25 565:1,12 589:4 594:14,19 602:6 518:4.12 606:8 608:22 570:7,8 577:12 582:23 options 530:12 572:3 583:4 586:20 588:10 occur 540:25 541:1 587:14 595:11,13,18 589:6 591:19 592:17 585:21 594:6 598:7 599:5 oral 596:10 occurs 517:7 609:23 610:6.14.23 order 503:5,7 516:21 611:3,8 613:3,6,16 **October** 542:20 519:13 522:21 523:20 616:3,9,20 offensive 545:17 525:16 527:14 536:14 overlap 583:3 542:15 544:3 551:4,13 offered 518:10 533:10 553:16 565:7 570:1 oversight 518:2 521:10 offering 553:9 579:10,11,25 580:25 544:12 office 557:12 581:12,15 591:14,21 overstate 566:4 592:3.9 593:7.8 594:24 official 574:3 overtures 518:8 601:16 602:18,22 603:23 offset 612:16 604:17 605:4,11 607:4,5, owned 514:14 9 608:3,6 609:10 611:23 on-the-ground 558:4 owner 572:12

612:14,17 617:15

559:22

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020	Index: ownersplacing
owners 514:7 518:7,9 546:8	path 536:12 543:6 544:1 546:15,17 592:7	513:11 515:1 517:21 518:20 520:10,13 523:25
P	pause 535:8	524:2,6,11 526:17,24 530:18 531:4 534:11
F	pay 508:2 511:25 512:13,	555:19 556:4 566:3
p.m. 619:12	19 513:4 521:3 522:4 525:3 526:18 527:4	568:10,17 582:1,5,9,14, 15,21 583:19 584:9,13
paid 511:12,21 519:4 574:22	531:4,22 545:2 546:9,24 547:2 551:14 555:21	586:6,7,8,10,19 588:12 590:6,12,24 591:4,16,19
pandemic 503:22	557:9 563:1 570:3 572:8	592:14 593:1 596:1
papers 557:6 564:8	580:5 592:6,10,20 593:9 595:22 603:16 610:2	605:21
paperwork 556:20	611:11 613:19,22	permits 541:20
paramount 504:6	payers 554:10 594:1	person 520:23
Park 508:21	paying 571:22 610:6,24	person's 545:13
Parleys 509:20	payment 507:19 512:9,	personally 610:23
part 551:11 585:13	11 522:18 523:6 524:5	perspectives 579:19
588:16 589:21 616:4,5,	563:13 573:23 575:3 579:14 592:24 593:4,12,	persuade 566:1
10	19 594:1 602:13,24	petition 554:18 567:1
partially 590:2	606:1	petitioner 590:7
participants 618:21	pays 519:12 610:18	phone 564:11
participation 618:21 619:3	pending 550:10	photographs 563:20
parties 502:8 503:2,4	people 557:24 558:6,14, 15,16 560:21 562:13,16,	phrase 520:4 580:19 586:11 599:12
573:2,5 578:2 595:2 606:18 609:6,11 611:16, 19 612:23	20,24 563:25 564:7 566:24 585:11	physical 515:14 516:18, 21,23 517:7
parties' 614:10	people's 556:8	physically 600:23
party 590:4,8,9 609:21	percent 530:16,23 537:19,20 540:21	pick 584:18 606:17
611:16	perform 537:24	picks 593:21
pass 551:18,20 587:22 606:10	period 508:2 512:9 513:4 521:18 550:7 593:2	picture 559:1 picturesque 553:1
passed 551:11 558:6	595:10 605:17	555:17
596:1,6	permission 583:15	piggyback 607:17
passes 541:18 553:25	permit 504:25 505:4,18,	pipeline 536:2
past 540:3 567:22 574:12 585:15 586:3	19,23 507:10,12,16 508:4,5,8 509:6 510:11,	place 541:15
	20,23,25 511:3 512:16	placing 519:8

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020	Index: plainpresen
plain 586:25	possibility 542:2	608:11,20 609:12 610:7,
plan 541:8 552:24	possibly 547:18	25 613:1 616:5,16
planning 508:19 533:7 559:20 562:11	postponed 529:8 potential 509:5 522:1,3	Power's 503:16,19 506:6,20 507:13 515:4
planted 556:8	575:21 587:22 595:13	527:3 532:9 539:12,20 540:10 541:13 542:19
play 613:8	604:14	543:6,19,24 553:4
pocket 556:7 563:12	potentially 587:13 604:21	554:18 577:11 578:8,25 580:1 582:8 589:24
point 502:9 521:21,23 526:15 530:24 538:21 543:12 548:1 560:19 562:7 564:8 567:12,16	power 502:11,13,15 503:6,18,23 504:21 505:4 506:4,9,14,16,19,	615:21 powerful 544:12 powerless 545:5
568:13 571:23 572:4 573:1,12 575:5,9 579:16	23 507:5,23 508:16 509:9,13,19,20 510:8	practical 610:5
580:17 587:12,17 589:21 590:4 594:9 596:5 597:5	513:8,11,13 514:6,14,25 515:5,6,8,12,13 516:1,2,	practice 516:2 570:15 578:10 595:6
599:24 607:19 609:20 611:23 612:12 614:6,20	3,4,19 520:20 522:8 523:12,22 524:7,9 526:23 527:24,25 528:16	practices 514:2 529:1 532:10 576:2,17
615:18	529:10 530:21 531:7,9,	pre-filed 585:16
points 575:25	10,11,14,17,23 532:4,8,	precisely 554:17
pole 516:11,12 545:10, 11,12	25 533:7,9 534:6,10 536:23 537:9,15 538:9,	preclude 612:17
	14,24 539:1,2,5,20	precludes 594:13
poles 511:1 530:8 538:1 542:6,12,15,20 545:19	540:1,6,7,11,13,17	preferred 580:3 581:13
546:17 550:16 556:7 561:22 563:4	541:10,16,22 542:15 543:13,20 544:1,18,21 545:7 546:6,17,19,22	prejudice 509:12,16 510:17 554:7,9
poles' 559:3	547:10,13,19 548:5,21	prejudices 604:2
policies 574:15	553:16,18,21 554:1,8,10, 19 555:24 556:17 557:6,	preliminary 611:5
popular 588:15	9,11,14,18,20 560:6,22	premised 559:10
portion 527:12 542:10	561:8,9,10 564:4,10,16, 18 565:21 567:2 569:24	prepared 505:6
543:5 551:22 573:4 portions 582:19	570:2 571:21 573:25	prescription 514:15 557:10
position 514:9 515:5 528:17 548:18,20 559:7 569:15 617:8	574:1,11,25 575:17 576:1,9,16 579:5,15 580:11 582:15 583:17 584:7 585:12,18 586:2	prescriptive 517:14 557:8,12 561:25 563:17
positions 545:17 614:10	587:8 588:9,20 589:6	present 502:11 532:4,8 553:14 558:13 568:2
possessed 559:7	591:4 593:23 596:16 597:13,21 601:25 602:18 603:17 605:22 606:21	569:1 596:22 598:1 601:25

presented 506:4 557:23 profit 545:16 565:22 proposing 551:1604:14 562:3 564:23 585:15 profitable 544:13 proposing 551:1604:14 603:22 prognostication 585:22 proposing 551:1604:14 presents 585:23 prognostication 585:22 proposing 551:1604:14 presents 585:23 prognostication 585:22 propositions 55 president 503:19 profit 533:18 541:21 protect 504:3,13 press 503:20 project 504:9,10,16,24 protecting 506:2 pressure 597:4 project 504:9,10,16,24 prove 527:7 557 pretty 586:7 510:1,16 511:18 512:24 provide 503:23 prevent 504:17 515:10 513:20,21 515:7 517:12 513:5 518:10 51 prevents 508:9 524:8 525:20 528:1,6,9, 531:9 534:6 536 previously 578:12 10 529:13 530:1,16 537:10 545:25 5 price 563:3 561:15 569:25 573:19 576:9 578:18 57	57:24 3,22 566:19 25 7:19,20 508:5 19:16 529:2,7 6:23 546:1 556:5
603:22profitable 544:13propositions 55presents 585:23prognostication 585:22propositions 55president 503:19profibit 533:18 541:21protect 504:3,13press 503:20prohibit 533:18 541:21protect 504:9,10,16,24pressure 597:4project 504:9,10,16,24protect 503:23pretty 586:7510:1,16 511:18 512:24provide 503:23prevent 504:17 515:10513:20,21 515:7 517:12513:5 518:10 51prevents 508:9524:8 525:20 528:1,6,9,531:9 534:6 536previously 578:1210 529:13 530:1,16537:10 545:25 5price 562:2522:252:25547:13 555:20 5	3,22 566:19 25 7:19,20 508:5 19:16 529:2,7 6:23 546:1 556:5
presents 585:23 prognostication 585:22 protect 504:3,13 president 503:19 prohibit 533:18 541:21 protect in 506:2 pressure 597:4 project 504:9,10,16,24 protect in 506:2 pretty 586:7 510:1,16 511:18 512:24 provide 503:23 prevent 504:17 513:20,21 513:20,21 513:57 513:5 518:10 513:5 prevents 508:9 524:8 525:20 528:1,6,9, 531:9 537:10 545:25 532:15,16,19 537:10 545:25 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 555:20 547:13 557:10 547:13 547:13<	3,22 566:19 25 7:19,20 508:5 19:16 529:2,7 6:23 546:1 556:5
Program 574:2 protect 504.3, 13 pressident 503:19 prohibit 533:18 541:21 protect 504.3, 13 press 503:20 prohibit 533:18 541:21 protect 504.3, 13 pressure 597:4 project 504:9,10,16,24 prove 527:7 557 pretty 586:7 510:1,16 511:18 512:24 provide 503:23 prevent 504:17 515:10 513:20,21 515:7 517:12 513:5 518:10 51 prevents 508:9 524:8 525:20 528:1,6,9, 531:9 534:6 536 previously 578:12 10 529:13 530:1,16 537:10 545:25 5 price 562:2 532:15,16,19 559:8 547:13 555:20 5	566:19 25 7:19,20 508:5 19:16 529:2,7 6:23 546:1 556:5
press503:20project504:9,10,16,24protecting506:2pressure597:4507:25508:9,22509:13prove527:7557pretty586:7510:1,16511:18512:24provide503:23513:20,21513:5518:10513:5prevent504:17515:10513:20,21515:7517:12513:5518:10513:5518:10513:5prevents508:9524:8525:20528:1,6,9,531:9534:6536previously578:1210529:13530:1,16537:10545:25547:13555:20price562:23532:15,16,19559:8547:13555:20547555:20547	7:19,20 508:5 19:16 529:2,7 6:23 546:1 556:5
pressure597:4507:25508:9,22509:13prove527:7557pretty586:7510:1,16511:18512:24provide503:23513:5513:	508:5 19:16 529:2,7 6:23 546:1 556:5
pretty586:7510:1,16 511:18 512:24provide503:23 5prevent504:17 515:10513:20,21 515:7 517:12513:5 518:10 51prevents508:9524:8 525:20 528:1,6,9,531:9 534:6 536previously578:1210 529:13 530:1,16537:10 545:25 5price563:23547:13 555:20 5	19:16 529:2,7 6:23 546:1 556:5
prevent504.17513.10518:21519:6,7,9,15,18523:22526:175prevents508:9524:8525:20528:1,6,9,531:9534:6536previously578:1210529:13530:1,16537:10545:255price563:2532:15,16,19559:8547:13555:205	529:2,7 6:23 546:1 556:5
prevents508:9524:8525:20528:1,6,9,531:9534:6536previously578:1210529:13530:1,16537:10545:25545:25547:13555:20547:13 <td>6:23 546:1 556:5</td>	6:23 546:1 556:5
previously 578:12 10 529:13 530:1,16 537:10 545:25 5 price 563:2 532:15,16,19 559:8 547:13 555:20 5	546:1 556:5
prior 532:14 576:3 582:3 585:18,23 582:8 583:18 58	
privilege 552:20 586:5 588:1 597:23 585:18 587:2 59	
probabilities 585:20 599:2,5,6 603:12 613:16, 603:9 604:21 60 22 provided 505:0	
problem 518:4 570:7 projects 506:7 529:10 536:12 5	
576:22 582:12 prolong 542:4 544:22 547:21 5	
problems 530:4 538:12 550:4 559:24 56 565:19 566:2 57	
procedures 575:19 prong 529:13 534:19 providing 504:1	
proceed 504:24 507:9,14 523:14,23 524:7 544:3 proper 517:9 534:11	
523:14,23 524.7 544.3 properties 515:15,16 provision 510:4 565:7 586:20 588:1,9 properties 515:15,16 515:24 518:19 5 592:16 606:21 609:18 516:17,23 517:3,7 515:24 518:19 5	
proceeded 611:16 537:21 563:14 576:24 613:21	
577:5 600:22 601:7 provisions 507:	:22,25
517:20 519:15 546:14 property 514:7 516:21 02:3.4 047:12	10.0 13
553:17 546:7,8 562:14 563:4 public 512:5 51	,
528:25 529:2,6	
process506:2510:18proposal556:14582:25544:7,8,9,12,20515:1526:13530:3591:25615:9575:23578:15575:23	
536:7 557:15 558:12 proposals 512:15 590:5 608:2 617 619:5 7 9	7:14
575:10 578:12,22 581:17 proposed 509:6,8 512:19)
602:9 610:20 615:21 513:12 515:9 516:19 pull 535:8 586:8 517:4 538:14 560:25 pulled 533:20 55	
processes 597:21 517:4 538:14 560:25 pulled 533:20 53 576:4 579:3 581:6 589:2	34.13

pulling 533:4
punished 543:18,22
purchase 571:25 572:3,4
pure 558:19
purports 510:21
purpose 506:25 512:4 599:9
pursuant 578:6 579:12
pursue 597:1
pursued 597:21
purview 595:12
push 525:16 542:4
pushed 502:4
pushes 588:1
<pre>put 512:14 521:17 526:6, 10 528:1 531:17 537:7 543:7 545:7 549:25 551:7 556:25 567:1 580:9</pre>
puts 565:1

putting 561:15 616:17

Q

qualified 505:6 532:5,9 534:11 584:9 587:3

qualifies 512:17

quality 533:7

question 503:12 504:8 514:3 519:23 520:3,15 521:25 523:17 524:19 525:12,14 540:2 546:2 547:25 549:21,24 550:19,23,25 568:11,23 570:16 571:20 572:1 573:7 581:16 583:15 April 22, 2020 584:5 589:25 590:3 595:8,10 598:20 599:8 600:9 601:23 602:5 603:10 604:18 605:9 609:3,17 611:13 612:3 616:11

Public Hearing

questioned 506:8

questions 503:9,14 519:21 521:21,22 524:13,16 526:1,2 550:13 552:4,5,11,15 556:2 567:13,17,19,20 568:8,14,18 572:23,25 573:3,18 609:6,11

quick 535:9 575:13

quickly 526:13

quote 509:6,11 513:24 514:2 558:25

R

raise 547:17 548:8,14,25

raised 548:9,21 549:1 567:22 568:12 612:8

raises 613:18

raising 599:8

range 528:3 537:19 538:16 562:5

rate 554:10 593:25 596:19

ratepayers 565:24 596:18

rationale 589:21

re-examine 597:18

re-trigger 580:2

reaching 597:6

Index: pulling..receive

read 559:11 563:10 575:19 586:18

readily 561:14

reading 585:25 586:9 587:1 594:10

ready 573:16 598:25 615:3

real 509:16,19 513:14 535:8 540:21 549:20 554:24 558:2,14 559:20 560:2 562:11 566:14,16 567:3 603:10

realistically 542:18

realities 619:1

reality 541:1 543:19,23 544:2

rearview 560:19

reason 503:12 510:12 524:2 531:20 544:8 588:10 594:4

reasonable 551:25 555:25 566:3 575:24 576:19,20 577:21 578:3, 5,12,13 579:8 580:15 583:5 584:24 589:15 594:22,25 597:8 601:24 606:2 607:21 609:14

reasoning 537:7 607:2

reasons 549:3 553:10

rebuilt 541:7

rebut 559:9,22

rebuttal 517:4 559:5,10 598:12

recall 527:3,8 570:20 596:11

receive 516:21 526:19 547:18 586:5 600:14

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020	Index: receivedrequire
received 505:22 530:20	refused 512:13	remotely 528:19
531:6 542:13 550:20 559:25 570:18 578:19	regard 582:24 583:17	remove 534:14
600:21 601:7	regret 566:24	removed 533:24
receives 532:16	regular 578:16	rendered 538:2
receiving 505:17,25	Reich 502:12,13 503:17	rendering 589:10
589:5	519:21 520:2,8 521:7,23 522:14 523:15,24	repairing 506:16
recently 503:19	525:13,25 556:10,20	repeat 554:5
recently-built 513:21	563:24 568:19,21,22	rephrase 549:25
recess 567:14	569:7 571:3,10,13,24 572:10,17 583:15,20	reply 589:25
recognize 585:19 586:23	584:1,4,12 608:10,19	report 558:10
587:4,12 588:14	612:25 614:13	reported 560:5
recognized 577:18	rejected 513:10 517:21	reporter 552:8 619:4
recognizes 509:4	related 570:18	reports 558:6
reconvening 539:7	relates 568:24	represent 552:21
record 502:6 504:10 527:8 528:18 529:25	relation 568:11	representation 599:11
532:3 537:1 539:24 558:19 562:21 563:21	reliability 504:12 507:3 508:13 509:24 510:2 528:11 531:16 533:4	representations 599:3 600:4
564:20 570:20 602:3	538:4,22 585:21 588:21	represented 563:11
recorded 514:15	592:4 593:17	represents 563:11
recorder's 557:12	reliable 504:18 505:11	reputable 505:11
red 560:20	508:6 511:15 512:8 519:16 527:17,22 529:15	request 506:14 512:14
reduce 600:11 609:25 612:4	530:19 537:10 544:24 545:2 560:16 565:9 566:3 567:4 581:2,5,8	529:5 531:9 535:20 536:23 538:25 549:9
reduced 600:14		566:25 590:1 614:8
reduction 508:17 611:10	585:13,18 588:16	requested 505:21 544:21
redundancy 509:10	relief 553:17 554:15	requesting 539:5
refer 532:14	rely 561:11 595:3,4	requests 550:12,15 586:15
references 532:12	remember 580:19 591:12 592:23 596:14	require 506:21 507:17
referred 570:17	remembering 603:20	508:1 512:2 513:18
referring 522:17 616:22,	605:18	514:9 528:14 531:8,10, 23 534:6 538:9 539:10
23	remind 531:3 546:5	547:12 554:19 558:12
refund 555:7	remote 539:18	566:14 570:12 591:1

Public Hearing April 22, 2020

Index: required..Rocky

595:19 601:25 required 505:17,24 507:9 508:13 511:25 512:7,16, 18 514:23 516:12 524:5 526:17 531:17 532:7 533:12,13,20 546:3 550:7 554:12 555:10 570:9,14 577:22 578:4, 18 579:14 580:5,23 603:16,20 requirement 511:10 531:5 534:15 602:25 requirements 505:9 508:14 522:16 526:24 533:3,4 534:14 535:22 569:14 582:7,20,22 602:16 requires 505:4,19 508:4 510:25 513:5,17 515:22, 25 516:5 517:23 531:19, 25 533:9 547:10 577:19 591:25 593:13 594:12 601:24 603:21 608:25 requiring 507:6 568:24 597:25 **RES** 505:13 research 559:22 563:9 **reset** 521:4 524:22 525:2,7,9 resetting 520:24 524:24 resolution 611:24 resolve 520:18 521:6 524:21 resolved 590:19 611:18 612:7 **respect** 513:24 525:2 528:23 576:7 578:24 585:20 587:1 589:23

600:22 602:4 respectfully 559:15 566:25 respective 557:24 respond 584:6 613:1 responses 589:23 responsibilities 529:14 responsibility 526:18 568:25 569:3 574:11 responsible 610:2 **restate** 520:3 result 523:20 555:6 resulted 540:6 retain 504:11 return 567:12 revenue 593:25 595:21 review 502:7 504:20 505:19 507:20,21 510:5 517:22 520:16,19 558:19 563:7,8 593:11 right-of-way 514:3,5,6, 10,12 515:2,3,5,10,20,22 516:7,14,16 517:10,19 518:6.8.11.12.18.20.25 556:15 557:4 566:14 569:12 570:5,8,13 573:20 574:17,20,23 575:1 576:12 587:11 598:9 599:21 601:17 608:13,22 613:3,13,23 615:5 rights 507:11 511:6 518:6 566:19 590:11 rights-of-way 535:15

553:9 565:13 569:23,25 576:11,13 577:3,23 602:2 risk 508:16,20,22 509:18 511:14 512:7 522:22,23 523:3,6 525:2 539:13 540:22 541:3,10,13 574:9 580:15,16,17 581:1,5,7 585:21,23

RMP 506:22,24 508:5 509:17 510:7,8 512:21 517:9,10,23,24 518:2 531:22

RMP's 508:18 510:3 512:19 517:22 518:2 539:16

road 588:6 611:24 612:10,15

Rocky 502:10,13,15 503:5,15,18,19,22 504:21 505:4 506:4,5,9, 13,15,19,20 507:4,13,22 509:12 513:8,11 514:5, 25 515:4,8 520:20 522:8 523:12,22 524:7,9 526:22 527:3,23,25 528:15 529:10 530:21 531:8,10,14,16,22 532:4, 7,9,25 533:7,9 534:6,9 536:23 537:9,15 538:9, 14 539:1,12,20,25 540:6, 10 541:16,21 542:15,18 543:6,13,18,19,24,25 544:21 545:7 546:19.21 547:10,13 548:5,20 552:24 553:2,3,16 554:1, 7,9,18,19,20,24 555:23 556:3,14,17 557:2,6,14, 17,20,23 558:2,13,22 560:9 561:8,9,10 562:15, 21 564:4,9,10,16,18,24 565:5,20,25 567:1 569:24 570:2 571:21 573:24 574:4,10,25 575:17 576:1,8,16

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020	Index: rolesshow
577:11 578:8,25 579:5,	sake 511:22 518:25	615:24
15,25 580:11 582:7,15 583:17 584:7 586:2	sale 562:24	self-imposed 505:3
587:7 588:8 589:5,24	Salt 537:22	sense 525:12 530:14
592:14 597:13,20 601:25	samples 542:16	538:21 570:15 594:8
602:18 603:17 606:20 608:10,19 609:12 610:7,	sanctioned 546:6	604:4 616:9
25 612:19,25 615:20	satisfied 588:11 593:9	separate 506:23 507:6 531:18
616:4,16	satisfy 523:20	served 539:15
roles 597:18	save 565:24	
roll 611:3		serves 506:25
rolling 508:16	scenario 542:19,21 546:19,21 547:6 605:13	service 503:24 504:2,18 511:15 512:8 519:9,16
Ron 562:21	610:24 614:18	540:9,18 544:7,9 575:23
room 600:1	scheduled 503:1	578:15 581:2,6,8 588:17
route 505:24 506:1	scope 530:14	608:2 617:14 619:5,9
507:9,12 512:19 517:4	scores 553:4	services 508:5 585:13
521:2 524:25 553:5 555:5 570:10 579:12	scrolling 586:12	set 521:13 525:16 545:12 574:11,21,22 580:7
580:3 581:13 584:19	seasons 574:8	592:2,3,22 594:5 602:23
592:1,9 593:22 594:23	seat 593:24	604:19 613:24 614:13
routes 605:3	section 504:19 508:7	sets 535:19
rule 589:4	510:6,23 511:10 513:23	setting 514:4 518:20
run 552:24 557:7 581:14	517:23 519:3 522:17 528:22 531:8 535:7,19	587:10 592:8
running 563:4	sections 569:8	settle 536:19
rural 553:1 555:17		settlements 514:8
S	secure 586:17 587:13 591:17 592:18 594:18,22	severance 516:19,22 517:2,6 545:20 577:3,5
	604:3,15,25 605:8,11	share 533:18
safe 504:18 508:6 511:15 512:8 519:16 551:21	secured 593:14 594:13 603:21,22 604:6 605:3	shift 545:14
581:2,5,8 585:18	securing 608:13	short 590:3 615:15
safely 507:2	seek 520:19 581:10	shortest 530:15
safety 503:25 506:21	seeking 595:13 605:21	shovels 608:12
510:2 515:25 531:16,19	seeks 553:17	show 527:5,21 530:9,11

show 527:5,21 530:9,11 531:14 539:12,19 560:5 563:21 578:21 611:7,8 612:15

507:8 521:2

select 505:24 506:1

self-build 578:11,13

532:6 533:3 574:1,13

592:4 593:17

sag 516:9

ah awa di 650-0 500 45	April 22, 2020	Index: showedsta
showed 559:2 563:15	skeptical 560:24	specs 531:23 559:24 575:21 587:6
showing 534:3 548:10 553:22 554:6	slightly 515:9	speculation 529:18
shows 504:10 527:23	Snyderville 574:5	speed 526:13 606:14
543:1 549:3	society 588:17	spite 561:13
shut 574:2,7	soil 542:16	•
sic 512:12 568:1 612:15	solution 596:21	spoil 553:21
side 514:18,20 515:11,15	solutions 590:16	spoke 585:3
547:9 563:22	sooner 603:2	sport 561:12
sides 575:17 595:2	sounds 591:7	spring 539:11 542:5,23 543:20 551:3,8 597:7
sight 563:3	source 596:2,17	staff 608:3 617:14 619:5
signed 564:8 587:18	sources 595:7,22	
significant 511:14 512:7	south 515:11 541:7,11,	stage 598:16
522:21,23 523:3,6 525:2	14	stand 617:7
538:1 540:8 581:1 583:3 603:19	southern 515:12,13,15	standard 506:6,10,20,24 507:5,19 508:1 513:3,4,
	spare 506:8,10 534:16	6,12,15,17,19,23,25
significantly 541:12	speak 552:12 564:4	518:3,23 527:15,22
signing 519:13	588:23 615:13	528:14,20,23,25 529:3, 12,16 531:19,25 532:9,
silly 555:8	speaking 573:13 582:1	23 533:8,10 535:10
similar 513:20 521:25	585:9 604:11 617:21	545:23 569:9,10 575:19
528:2,5,10 578:11 582:2 583:6 602:8 616:19	speaks 578:20	576:2,17 578:10 581:4
	specific 521:18 551:1,4,	583:5 597:16,21 598:6 613:2,6,16 614:13 616:5
simple 526:23 530:19 609:17 612:3 618:23	5,8	6,19
	specifically 506:7 534:9	standards 504:12 508:13
simply 515:22 537:6 543:23 550:3 554:18	537:18 544:17 569:4	532:18,25 533:18
559:23 575:13 576:16	608:5	597:17,19
577:4 578:21 595:19	specification 506:25 532:17,24 533:1 571:2	standpoint 531:16
602:3		start 502:5 542:22
single 533:23 558:8,9	specifications 506:6,7,	565:16 573:9,16 574:25
564:5	11,14,20 507:5 521:9 529:20 530:21 531:2,12,	608:21,22
SITLA 565:18	17 532:14,21 533:11	started 526:25 558:22
situation 539:25 547:8	534:7,13 587:3,5,9,10	starting 541:24 542:14
550:18 560:18 595:17	597:16	575:15 609:6
size 537:25	specificity 538:3 576:14 582:7 608:8	starts 594:6

545:8 546:6 602:3 615:19 stated stated 515:20 528:5 529:20 531:13 532:21 533:17 534:23 539:9,12, 24 542:5,10 543:8 582:13 str str 538:23 statement 503:10,16 520:9 551:3 580:20 598:23 str str statements statement 503:10,16 520:9 551:3 580:20 str str str 598:23 statements 503:2,3 526:4 545:11 552:9,10, 14 554:9 564:6 579:21 596:10 609:8 str str str 596:10 609:8 states 511:10 513:24 50:10 521:8 534:19 535:17 str str stating states 511:10 513:24 50:517 str str str 50:534:19 535:17 status 517:13 su 50:538:19,22 545:25 568:25 509:9 570:14 575:20 576:8 577:22 578:4 588:18 593:11 601:24 602:25 605:25 608:14, 16,25 su str str su su 576:8 577:22 578:4 500:12 50:25 608:14, 16,25	cking 589:11 op 503:13 588:20,22 ory 523:4 raight 547:14 ream 549:24 reamed 619:6 reaming 550:17 rictly 589:3 rongly 597:17 ructures 516:6 ruggling 589:2 udies 537:22 539:13 bject 613:24 614:6 bmission 582:16 85:1	suggest 554:25 561:21 562:8 574:19,24 583:9 587:7 590:13 593:21 606:16 612:20 suggested 553:3 562:16 570:24 580:8 591:3 602:7 605:16 suggesting 591:6,8 600:9,16 601:3 603:6,11 605:25 607:3 612:12 suggestion 593:20 594:16 605:18 suggests 534:24 summary 538:24 Summit 505:13 574:2 Super 553:20
stated 515:20 528:5 529:20 531:13 532:21 533:17 534:23 539:9,12, 24 542:5,10 543:8 582:13 str statement 503:10,16 520:9 551:3 580:20 598:23 str statements 503:2,3 526:4 545:11 552:9,10, 14 554:9 564:6 579:21 596:10 609:8 str states 511:10 513:24 su 517:24 520:10 521:8 su 534:19 535:17 su su status 517:13 su su 534:19 509:5 su su status 517:13 su su 513:2,17 514:9 521:8,14 su 522:10 523:5 534:23 su 536:5,11,21 513:2,17 5 5 540:5,5125 568:25 5 5 509:9 570:14 575:20	raight 547:14 ream 549:24 reamed 619:6 reaming 550:17 rictly 589:3 rongly 597:17 ructures 516:6 ruggling 589:2 rudies 537:22 539:13 bject 613:24 614:6 bmission 582:16	 suggested 553:3 562:16 570:24 580:8 591:3 602:7 605:16 suggesting 591:6,8 600:9,16 601:3 603:6,11 605:25 607:3 612:12 suggestion 593:20 594:16 605:18 suggests 534:24 summary 538:24 Summit 505:13 574:2
statement 303.10,10 520:9 551:3 580:20 598:23 str statements 503:2,3 str 526:4 545:11 552:9,10, 14 14 554:9 564:6 579:21 str 596:10 609:8 states str states 511:10 513:24 su 517:24 520:10 521:8 su 523:5,25 524:3,7 529:1 5 534:19 535:17 5 su 534:19 535:17 5 su status 517:13 su 5 status 517:13 su 5 status 517:13 5 5 status 517:13 5 5 status 517:13 5 5 status 517:25 5 5 538:19,22 545:25 5 5 569:9 570:14 575:20 5 576:8 577:22 578:4 5 <t< td=""><td>rictly 589:3 rongly 597:17 ructures 516:6 ruggling 589:2 rudies 537:22 539:13 bject 613:24 614:6 bmission 582:16</td><td>605:25 607:3 612:12 suggestion 593:20 594:16 605:18 suggests 534:24 summary 538:24 Summit 505:13 574:2</td></t<>	rictly 589:3 rongly 597:17 ructures 516:6 ruggling 589:2 rudies 537:22 539:13 bject 613:24 614:6 bmission 582:16	605:25 607:3 612:12 suggestion 593:20 594:16 605:18 suggests 534:24 summary 538:24 Summit 505:13 574:2
statements 503:2,3 str 526:4 545:11 552:9,10, 14 14 554:9 564:6 579:21 str 596:10 609:8 str str states 511:10 513:24 su 517:24 520:10 521:8 su 517:24 520:10 521:8 su 523:5,25 524:3,7 529:1 5 534:19 535:17 5 su stating 509:5 su 5 status 517:13 su 5 status 517:13 5 5 538:19,22 545:25 5 5 569:9 570:14 575:20 5 576:8 577:22 578:4 5 588:18	Fuctures 516:6 Fuggling 589:2 Jdies 537:22 539:13 bject 613:24 614:6 bmission 582:16	594:16 605:18 suggests 534:24 summary 538:24 Summit 505:13 574:2
states 511:10 513:24 su 517:24 520:10 521:8 su 523:5,25 524:3,7 529:1 5 534:19 535:17 5 su stating 509:5 su 5 status 517:13 su 5 status 517:13 su 5 status 517:13 su 5 statute 510:12 512:1 5 513:2,17 514:9 521:8,14 su 522:10 523:5 534:23 su 536:5,11,21 537:5 5 5 569:9 570:14 575:20 5 569:9 570:14 575:20 5 576:8 577:22 578:4 su 602:25 605:25 608:14, su 16,25 su su 5	bject 613:24 614:6 bmission 582:16	Summit 505:13 574:2
523.5,23 524.5,7 529.1 5 534:19 535:17 5 stating 509:5 su status 517:13 5 status 517:13 5 statute 510:12 512:1 5 513:2,17 514:9 521:8,14 su 522:10 523:5 534:23 su 536:5,11,21 537:5 5 569:9 570:14 575:20 5 576:8 577:22 578:4 su 602:25 605:25 608:14, su 16,25 su		Ouper 000.20
status 517:13 su statute 510:12 512:1 513:2,17 514:9 521:8,14 522:10 523:5 534:23 536:5,11,21 537:5 5 569:9 570:14 576:8 576:8 577:22 578:4 588:18 593:11 601:24 602:25 605:25 608:14, 16,25 su	bmissions 589:24	support 509:10 511:8 564:21 569:17 574:14
513.2,17 514.9 521.8,14 522:10 523:5 534:23 su 536:5,11,21 537:5 5 538:19,22 545:25 568:25 5 569:9 570:14 575:20 5 576:8 577:22 578:4 su 588:18 593:11 601:24 su 602:25 605:25 608:14, su 16,25 su su	bmit 505:5 547:22 59:1,15 592:15	594:19 607:13,15,21,23 supported 515:23 528:3, 8
588:18 593:11 601:24 su 602:25 605:25 608:14, su 16,25 su	bmits 613:20 bmitted 505:11 510:8 14:25 527:24 533:15 35:2 538:25 544:5 82:10 584:14	supporting 512:7 supports 519:8 suppose 612:20
atatutaa EE1:20	bsection 529:4 bsequent 605:2 bsequently 514:15	supposed 530:7 538:25 548:15,16 576:9 surcharge 528:12 615:16,19 616:16
statutes 554:20 su		surrendering 563:13
statutorily 508:13 512:7 su statutory 504:11 507:22, su 25 512:1 523:1 525:22 su 580:19 593:18 608:18 su 609:2 su stay 503:21 573:2 su	bstantial 512:6	suspend 539:2 system 504:5,6,14,23

Public Hearing April 22, 2020

Index: takes..timeline 591:17 592:13 593:4 537:17 538:16,18 540:3, т 7,12 541:6 542:3 555:11 thinking 562:2 579:23 556:10 560:24 561:7 596:23 597:9 603:19 takes 541:4 562:4 565:3 604:12 606:15 taking 510:25 515:10 testify 532:5 533:3 third-parties 505:7 516:18,21,23 517:7 testifying 558:1 third-party 507:1 562:13 testimony 506:4 513:13 thought 530:18 555:12 takings 576:25 517:5 519:8 532:12 556:22 559:11 560:7 talked 532:11 533:10,15 538:2,8 561:12,17,18 562:1 550:25 559:12,14,16 talking 558:4 588:22 564:15 575:10 581:17 562:3,21,23 563:7,10 604:13 608:13 614:25 584:3 589:1 602:9,15 564:24 568:1 570:21,22 614:1 tall 545:10 571:7 574:4 578:18 thoughtful 554:11 579:1 580:12 583:2 tangible 509:16 585:14,17 587:4 598:12 thoughts 573:10 575:8 tap 536:2 601:6 578:24 579:16 582:2 taxpayers 531:22 583:13.16 585:9 589:17 text 594:17 598:16 601:15,18 technical 514:11 **Thad** 502:3,16,20,25 thousands 570:3 telephonically 618:24 519:20 520:4,14 521:20 524:14 525:24 547:23 threat 509:19,21 562:25 telling 556:18 548:19 549:5,23 550:22 threats 539:17 tempered 518:18 552:2 567:9,16 568:6,15, 20 571:14,17 572:21 threw 560:10 ten 519:13 561:15 575:6 579:24 581:21 throw 554:21 tend 521:12 583:20,23 585:2,6 tight 606:7,12 tender 605:25 606:6 588:24,25 591:23 593:6 594:7 598:17,22 599:15, time 508:2 509:3 513:3 tendered 608:20,23,25 17,23 600:6 601:3,11 520:15 521:18 522:4 tendering 606:20 602:10 603:13 605:14 540:17,19,21 543:24 606:25 607:10,13,25 term 608:16,18 546:18 548:9 549:14.20 608:15,24 609:5 610:4, 550:17,18 551:15 552:21 terms 549:7 561:13 10 611:12,22 614:9 553:25 560:8 566:16 565:9 570:11 573:24 615:10,17 616:12 617:4, 567:8 568:13,16 575:3. 574:10,15,17 585:8,16 9,20,25 618:3,10,11,14, 13 580:18 585:1 587:25 587:9,11 588:20 594:1 17 590:14 591:20 593:2.21 test 553:15 594:22 595:10 597:15 thanking 553:12 600:16 605:23 606:17,18 testified 505:8 506:9,19, thing 530:19 547:8 554:2 617:21 619:10 24 508:19,24 509:1 561:2 564:9,14 598:6,13 513:15,19 514:19 517:5 timeframe 613:20 601:22 606:9 616:23 518:24 528:1 530:1,4 timeline 606:7 things 526:14 527:5 532:11,20 533:8,19,21 544:2,15 558:21 559:11

timelines 520:24 546:10 582:16 timely 583:19 586:15 times 606:12 timing 507:19 519:24 522:18 573:21,22 591:6 619:2 today 504:7 520:25 523:25 524:6,23 525:1, 17 526:21 527:9 529:14 534:9 546:14 555:22 564:20,22 609:25 614:7 617:17 today's 525:19 told 527:6,8,20 534:2 556:17 559:19 561:7,10 top 606:13 topics 557:25 toss 582:1 total 540:14,19 totals 519:1 touched 517:3 touching 516:18 towel 560:11 town 546:8 trail 515:21 transcript 573:14 transmission 502:22 504:4,5,14,22 506:17 508:18 509:24 510:3 512:25 513:25 514:13 515:6,21 517:15,17 522:10 528:6,23 533:6, 16 536:1 539:16 540:2 552:25 587:6 treatment 536:3

Public Hearing April 22, 2020 tree 563:20 trees 563:20 tremendous 526:9 544:13 trench 532:7 533:19,23 560:14 trenches 531:18,20,21 533:4,9,12,23 534:14 560:13 trenching 530:7,11 533:2 534:13 570:20,25 571:2 574:15 trial 514:16

trigger 592:5 593:18 602:13,24

triggered 512:9 526:19 531:5 594:23

trim 534:7

Troy 579:20 581:18,23 584:22 585:4 590:13 591:11 592:12,13 594:21 595:16 602:8 605:20 607:7,12 618:9

true 514:5 515:23 555:25 564:24,25 565:14 574:24

true-up 518:19 554:23 577:19 599:22,25 600:19 602:6 609:4,19,21 610:19,23 612:22 613:8, 10,21 614:6,16 615:8

trued 613:10

trued-up 578:5 600:15 612:9

truth 564:6

truthfulness 578:22

turn 527:19 553:19 609:7,24

Index: timelines..underlies

turns 525:14 564:24

TV 553:19 **tweak** 591:7

two-foot 545:9

type 532:17 547:6 572:13,14 576:2 585:22

types 545:11

typically 528:2

ultimate 600:13 612:24

U

ultimately 549:7 550:3 588:18,19 590:10 607:17,18 610:1,5 611:11

unable 591:17

unclear 530:24

underestimate 565:11

underground 507:3 510:25 513:9 518:12,16, 18,22 519:2 524:1 527:10,16 530:2 534:5 544:25 550:14 556:1 562:4 564:2,17 565:2,10 566:17 570:7,10 577:15 578:14 583:4,11 597:2, 22 599:2,6,21 610:2 611:4 613:17 614:15,17, 22

undergrounded 610:18 614:16

undergrounding 507:13 518:6 519:11 610:17,19

underhanded 565:21

underlies 598:1

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020	Index: underlyingwanted
underlying 537:20	updated 539:6	vast 542:9
underneath 557:2	upgrades 553:24	verbal 599:2
understand 520:2 522:1	upholds 590:8	verbiage 586:12
525:14 560:3 565:22,23 584:10 585:11 591:6,9	upset 545:5	verified 527:17
595:20 603:10 609:20	urgent 509:4	versus 578:1
612:11 616:7	useless 538:3	vertical 516:5,9
understanding 526:11 567:25 584:7,21 588:3 609:19 611:2 616:24	Utah 503:18,21 504:2 514:22 516:20,25 utilities 504:17 508:15	view 519:23 524:20 548:1 575:16,17 577:1,4, 9,13 579:24 592:7 615:1,
understands 558:1 undisputed 505:25 519:14 553:24 563:15 585:17	utility 502:6 507:2,6 508:11 510:18 512:2,25 513:5 528:25 529:2,6 533:18 535:20 568:25 570:12 572:2 581:3,9	19,24 views 579:18 violation 508:7 510:6,23 voltage 508:17,20
unfounded 556:12 unknown 518:17	utility's 514:2	552:25 vote 509:15 588:8 594:14
unknowns 574:19	V	618:2,9,10,13 voted 618:7
unnecessary 554:2	V.O.L.T. 503:6 505:15	voters 581:10
unproven 565:9 unreasonable 545:17 unrelated 550:24	514:16 554:16 556:13 557:1 563:11 566:17 567:22 573:22 577:9	voting 618:4 vulnerable 574:6
unreliable 577:7 untenable 597:6	V.o.l.t.'s 515:20 552:9,13	W
untoward 587:8 unwilling 558:14 up 508:19 520:6 524:18 526:13 530:22 532:22 535:8 542:11 548:20 549:6 551:23 552:12 553:9 557:18,19 560:5, 21 563:2 564:1,9 565:14, 16 566:18 567:23 573:8, 12 574:24 575:13 583:16 586:8 587:15 596:20 600:20 609:22 612:23	 valley 504:12 505:14 508:11,21 513:1 553:1 554:11 555:18 561:5 565:15 567:6 Valley-wide 502:21 valuation 516:25 valuations 534:5 values 537:18 564:21 576:14,24 598:23 600:1 611:17 Vancott 502:15 	 wait 509:14 525:20 545:21 548:25 waiting 580:12 waive 520:6 waived 507:11 511:9,11 548:7 590:11 waives 512:20 519:10 walk 526:21 527:6 wandering 549:18 wanted 525:7 548:8
613:10,22 615:13	variables 587:16	555:21 556:6 583:12,16 594:9 601:22 602:3

	Public Hearing April 22, 2020	Index: Ward'syesterda
Ward's 515:9,11,15	559:4	587:13 593:20 596:14
Warren 544:14	wiggle 600:1	599:13,16,18,19,25 601:14 607:23 615:8
Wasatch 505:12 510:21,	wildfire 509:21 574:8	617:19,21,22,23,24
22 511:1,2,3,5 541:20 543:14 574:3 592:21	willingness 553:6	618:15,16
613:19	win 546:23	write 555:3,6,15 566:11
wasting 560:8	wind 516:10,11	writing 563:7 566:18
watching 546:13	winds 509:22	written 523:25 524:6
ways 505:1 546:25 576:4	winning 546:24	585:16 588:18 591:14,21 594:24 607:9
582:2	wins 546:20,22	wrong 560:17
weather 542:3	winter 508:17 509:25	
Webber 515:17 516:17 517:2 538:18 559:13,18	witnesses 527:3 532:8 545:8 558:20 576:4	Y
562:10 598:10 600:22	wondering 616:7	yards 545:20
Webber's 516:22,24	wonders 545:4	year 530:1 542:14 554:3
517:6 538:8 559:9,12 564:23		560:20 566:7,8 574:3
	word 559:2 561:21 564:18	588:6 596:15
weeks 584:25 590:16 591:20 594:23 595:15 596:21 604:23	words 515:11 522:10 568:12 600:12 603:8	years 506:11,18 509:2 516:5 533:17 540:3,4,1 17 554:4,23 555:4
weigh 611:14 612:1	611:6 612:5	559:18 561:1,6 565:15
weight 512:23	work 507:2 526:6,12	566:25 567:7 586:3
welfare 503:25	538:5 558:9 559:22 563:19 567:2 574:13 615:8	yesterday 555:11 559:19
well-being 503:25		
well-known 505:11	worked 586:2	
White 521:24 523:9,17	worker 561:16	
524:12,15 551:17	working 540:19 542:8	
583:14,22,24,25 584:2,3,	world 556:18	
20 585:7 588:25 589:18, 20 591:5,22 595:4	worried 560:16	
596:12 600:7,8 602:4	worth 538:15 545:18	
603:5 604:11 607:15	565:17,18	
612:2 616:2,12 617:4,6 618:12,13	wrap 612:6	
White's 524:19	Wright 567:21 568:3,7	
width 514:17,24 516:14 517:15,16 537:13 557:4	571:15,17,19 572:6,16, 19,22 573:15 575:7	