PATRICIA E. SCHMID (#4908)
JUSTIN C. JETTER (#13257)
Assistant Attorney Generals
Counsel for the DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
SEAN D. REYES (#7969)
Attorney General of Utah
160 E 300 S, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor
P.O. Box 140857
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0857
Telephone (801) 366-0380
pschmid@agutah.gov
ijetter@agutah.gov

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISION OF UTAH

APPLICATION OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER FOR AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RETAIL ELECTRIC UTILITY SERVICE RATES IN UTAH AND FOR APPROVAL OF ITS PROPOSED ELECTRONIC SERVICE SCHEDULES AND ELECTRONIC SERVICE REGULATIONS Docket No. 20-035-04

Division of Public Utilities' Petition for Review or Rehearing

Pursuant to Utah Code §§ 54-7-15, 54-4a-1, 63G-4-301 and Utah Admin Code r. 746-1-801 the Utah Division of Public Utilities (Division) files this Petition for Review. The Public Service Commission of Utah (Commission) should review and reconsider its December 30, 2020 Order ("Order") concluding that Rocky Mountain Power ("RMP") met its burden of proof to recover the costs associated with the August 2019 generator failure at the Lake Side 2 power plant. The Commission committed legal error by applying the incorrect burden of proof and should reconsider and correct its legal error. The facts on the record are insufficient to meet RMP's burden and the Commission should reconsider its Order, applying the correct burden of proof, and deny recovery.

Under Utah law "a fundamental principle is: the burden rests heavily upon a utility to prove it is entitled to rate relief and not upon the commission, the commission staff, or any interested party or protestant; to prove the contrary." *Utah Dep't of Bus. Regulation, Div. of Pub. Utilities v. Pub. Serv. Comm'n*, 614 P.2d 1242, 1245 (Utah 1980). This burden is essential to ratemaking because the utility is typically the party in possession of the necessary evidence. "Otherwise, the hands of the regulatory body could be tied in such fashion it could not effectively determine whether a proposed rate was justified." *Id.* 

In the Order, the Commission found that RMP had met its burden of substantial evidence because it "followed prudent practices by performing an RCA." Order at 35. And that there was nothing in the RCA that "identifies negligent or imprudent actions as a likely cause" of the generator failure. *Id* at 35-36. The Commission further supported this finding because "RMP demonstrated concerted efforts to prudently contract for quality services in maintaining and operating" the Lake Side 2 power plant. *Id*. at 36. The Commission further held that "evidence does not support disallowance." *Id*. In summary the finding of prudence for the outage appears from the Order to be based on the lack of factual evidence of imprudence and not on any specific evidence of prudence outside of general operation and after-the-fact contracting for investigation of the root cause of the failure.

The evidence on record does not conclusively show imprudence. That is not the appropriate burden of proof, however. The burden rests on RMP to prove by substantial evidence that it acted prudently in incurring the expenses that it seeks to recover. The Division is not disputing the Commission's findings with respect to the normal daily operation and maintenance or recovery of the expenses related to those activities or the efforts after the outage to determine the cause. Only the direct cause of the outage.

The Order's findings of fact related to this outage fall into either the category of general operation and maintenance or the review of the outage. Prudence in both categories does not inherently demonstrate prudence in terms of the even that caused the outage. A utility might act entirely prudently in the operation of a facility, have an imprudently caused outage, and then follow it with a prudent investigation of the outage. The utility would not be entitled to recovery. In this case, the Order lacks a finding of substantial evidence that RMP acted prudently with respect to the actual cause of the outage. The Commission recognized that "RMP continues to work to uncover the root cause of this outage..." *Id.* Therefore, it is a natural result that the Order could not have found that substantial evidence of prudence related to the root cause has been shown.

Given the lack of substantial evidence relating to the outage, when the correct burden of proof is applied, prudence cannot be shown. "If there is no substantial evidence to support an essential finding, that finding cannot stand and a rate order predicated upon it must fall."

Mountain States Tel. & Tel. Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm'n of Utah, 105 Utah 266, 145 P.2d 790, 792 (1944). The lack of evidence supporting disallowance is insufficient for RMP to have carried its burden and the Commission should reconsider the burden of proof and its application to the facts.

The Commission's desire not to provide a disincentive for RMP to seek the true cause is a laudable goal. One that the Division fully supports. The fact that a second investigation may be ongoing does not demonstrate imprudence. But it also does not demonstrate prudence with respect to the matter at issue here: the prudence of the outage. A default rule allowing for recovery of costs for events where no cause is determined is inconsistent with the substantial evidence burden. This rule would set a clear incentive for the utility to find the cause inconclusive or fail to conduct comprehensive investigation in any event that could result in the root cause

analysis pointing to imprudent behavior. In those instances, the utility is better off finding no

cause than identifying the cause. In the alternative, if the utility does not recover costs when

equipment failure cause is unknown the utility will have a significant incentive to investigate

thoroughly.

The Commission committed legal error in approving recovery of the costs related to the

Lake Side 2 outage by applying the incorrect burden of proof to the relevant events. Applying the

correct burden of proof to the Commission's own findings of fact in its Order results in a

necessary conclusion that RMP failed to meet the burden of substantial evidence of prudence with

respect to the cause of the Lake Side 2 outage and the incurrence of the capital costs associated

with it. The Commission should reconsider its approval of recovery of the costs related to the

Lake Side 2 outage. Should further evidence be offered in a future proceeding, the Commission

could approve costs as prudent at that time. The inconvenient timing of a general rate case that

occurs before evidence of prudence exists does not eliminate the requirement that such prudence

be demonstrated before the costs may be included in rates.

Submitted this 29th day of January 2020.

/s/ Justin C. Jetter

Justin C. Jetter

Assistant Attorney General

Utah Division of Public Utilities

4

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on January 29, 2021, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be filed with the Public Service Commission and served by the Utah Division of Public Utilities to the following in Utah Docket 20-035-04 as indicated below:

### BY Electronic-Mail:

Rocky Mountain Powerdatarequest@pacificorp.comJana Sabajana.saba@pacificorp.comJoelle Stewardjoelle.steward@pacificorp.comEmily Wegeneremily.wegener@pacificorp.com

### Stoel Rives, LLP on behalf of Rocky Mountain Power

Cameron L Sabin <u>cameron.sabin@stoel.com</u>

Public Service Commissionpsc@utah.govJames A. Loganjlogan@utah.gov

### Utah Association of Energy Users

Phillip J. Russell prussell@jdrslaw.com **Kevin Higgins** khiggins@energystrat.com Neal Townsend ntownsend@energystrat.com Justin Bieber jbieber@energystrat.com Courtney Higgins chiggins@energystrat.com Donald Hendrickson dhendrickson@energystrat.com Olivia Smith osmith@energystrat.com Gary A. Dodge gdodge@hjdlaw.com

#### Western Resource Advocates

Sophie Hayes

Nancy Kelly

Steven S. Michel

Callie Hood

Sophie.hayes@westernresources.org

nkelly@westernresources.org

smichel@westernresources.org

callie.hood@westernresources.org

Douglas Howedhowe@highrocknm.comJames B. Daltonjamesblainedalton@gmail.comApril Elliottapril.elliott@westernresources.orgAaron Kressigaaron.kressig@westernresources.org

### Walmart, Inc.

Vicki M. Baldwinvbaldwin@parsonsbehle.comStephen W. Chrissstephen.chriss@walmart.com

# Nucor Steel-Utah

Peter J. Mattheis
Eric J. Lacey

pjm@smxblaw.com
ejl@smxblaw.com

Jeremy R. Cook jcook@cohnekinghorn.com

The Kroger Co.

Kurt J. Boehm

Jody Kyler Cohn

Richard A. Baudino

kboehm@bkllawfirm.com
jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com
rbaudino@jkenn.com

Utah Clean Energy

Hunter Holmanhunter@utahcleanenergy.orgKate Bowmankate@utahcleanenergy.orgSarah Wrightsarah@utahcleanenergy.org

US Magnesium

Phillip J. Russell <u>prussell@jdrslaw.com</u> Roger Swenson <u>roger.swenson@prodigy.net</u>

Stadion LLC

Irion A. Sangeririon@sanger-law.comJoni Sligerjoni@sanger-law.com

R Bryce Dalley
Elizabeth Ferrell
Jacqueline Dory

rbd@fb.com
eferrell@fb.com
jdory@fb.com

Brian Dickman <u>bdickman@newgenstrategies.net</u>

Erin Yoder Logue <u>erin@sanger-law.com</u>

University of Utah

Phillip J. Russell <u>prussell@jdrslaw.com</u> Christopher F. Benson <u>chris.benson@utah.edu</u>

ChargePoint, Inc.

Scott Dunbar sdunbar@keyesfox.com

Matthew Deal <u>matthew.deal@chargepoint.com</u>

Salt Lake City Corporation

Megan J. DePaulismegan.depaulis@slcgov.comChristopher Thomaschristopher.thomas@slcgov.com

Christensen Associates Energy Consulting, LLC with DPU

Bruce R. Chapman

Robert Camfield

Corey J. Lott

Nicholas Crowley

Drchapman@caenergy.com

rjcamfield@caenergy.com

cjlott@caenergy.com

nacrowley@caenergy.com

William Dunkel and Associates with DPU

William Dunkel <u>williamdunkel@consultant.com</u>
Roxie McCullar <u>roxiemccullar@consultant.com</u>

# Daymark Energy Advisors with DPU

Philip DiDomenico <u>pdidomenico@daymarkea.com</u>
Dan Koehler <u>dkoehler@daymarkea.com</u>

## Office of Consumer Services

Michelle Beck
Alyson Anderson
Erin Childs
Matt McDonnell
Mary Caroline Palmer
Ron Nelson
Maria Roumpani
Michelle Beck

mbeck@utah.gov
akanderson@utah.gov
echilds@strategen.com
mmcdonnell@strategen.com
cpalmer@strategen.com
mroumpani@strategen.com
mroumpani@strategen.com

Philip Hayet phayet@jkenn.com
Randall J. Falkenberg randacf@aol.com
Samuel Wyrobeck swyrobeck@jkenn.com
J. Randall Woolridge jrwoolridge@gmail.com
Donna Ramas donnaramas@aol.com

# Utah Attorney General's Office

Assistant Attorney Generals

Justin Jetterjjetter@agutah.govPatricia Schmidpschmid@agutah.govRobert Moorermoore@agutah.gov

/S/