

1 **UTAH PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - MELISSA PASCHAL**
2 **DOCKET NO. 20-035-34, 12-3-2025 HEARING**
3
4

5 Michael Hammer: [1:24] No testimony? Are there any other parties present with counsel?
6 Mr. Dallas, did – was it your understanding that Counsel for the Division
7 of Public Utilities or Office of Consumer Services or Western Resource
8 [inaudible 1:44] intended to appear today and present testimony? Do you
9 know?

10
11 Joe Dallas: [1:49] I can't confirm but that was my understanding but I haven't got
12 confirmation.

13
14 Michael Hammer: [1:55] All right.

15
16 Kelbe Goupil: [1:57] I believe that Sophie Hayes with Western Resource Advocates
17 intends to appear for WRA.

18
19 Brenda Salter: [2:05] [Inaudible 2:05] Mike?

20
21 Michael Hammer: [2:06] [Inaudible 2:06]. Yes?

22
23 Brenda Salter: [2:09] It seems like we've got a mix-up with two links for this hearing.

24
25 Male: [2:16] [Mm-hm 2:17].

26
27 Brenda Salter: [2:17] So there are other people on another...

28
29 Michael Hammer: [2:20] Okay. [Inaudible 2:19].

30
31 Brenda Salter: [2:19] ...line waiting. So can we give'm just a few minutes?

32
33 Michael Hammer: [2:22] Of course. Of course.

34
35 Brenda Salter: [2:24] Okay. They're working the way coming over.

36
37 Michael Hammer: [3:25] Contested. Sure.

38
39 Joe Dallas: [3:26] Yeah. I don't believe it'll be contested but in the stipulation, we did
40 stipulate to the admission of exhibits and testimony, so this would be the
41 exhibits and testimony in the company's initial application and the exhibits
42 and testimony of the parties' and staff's response to our application. And
43 then the company did not file any reply, so I just wanted to bring that to
44 your attention. I think since we've all stipulated, that could streamline this
45 hearing quite a bit if we can all agree to that admission.
46

1 Michael Hammer: [4:00] I won't – yeah. Once they're present, feel free to make a motion to
2 admit that on the regulation and we can address it up front.
3
4 Joe Dallas: [4:08] Yeah. That'd be great. Thank you.
5
6 Michael Hammer: [4:10] And I just heard Mr. Davis is on his way and should be with us
7 shortly.
8
9 Patrick Grecu: [4:21] I apologize. I think there is a mix-up with the meeting link.
10
11 Male: [4:26] [Yeah 4:26].
12
13 Michael Hammer: [4:26] No. I'm sorry for the inconvenience. We've taken most appearances.
14 Mr. Grecu, you're here for the Division of Public Utilities?
15
16 Patrick Grecu: [4:35] Yes. Thank you. Assistant Attorney General representing the DPU.
17
18 Michael Hammer: [4:42] [Inaudible 4:42].
19
20 Sophie Hayes: [4:42] Good morning. May I also make an appearance? I was one of the
21 people in the other call as well. This is Sophie Hayes with Western
22 Resource Advocates.
23
24 Michael Hammer: [4:51] Our apologies.
25
26 Sophie Hayes: [4:52] Good morning.
27
28 Michael Hammer: [4:53] Good morning.
29
30 Sophie Hayes: [4:54] I have Karl Boothman and Deborah Kapiloff with me, available to
31 answer questions. And I also wanted to introduce Kelbe Goupil with Utah
32 Clean Energy, who filed testimony. Utah Clean Energy was also a
33 signatory to the stipulation but is not represented by an attorney today.
34
35 Michael Hammer: [5:16] Understood. Is Counsel for the Office of Consumer Services with
36 us now? And I'm sorry. Earlier, I think I referred to Mr. Davis as their
37 counsel and it's Mr. Moore. Sorry, Bob. My understanding is that he was
38 down on our floor and is with his computer now.
39
40 Patrick Grecu: [6:01] I think he was having similar issues of being in the wrong meeting
41 somehow.
42
43 Michael Hammer: [6:08] Yeah. We'll [inaudible 6:08].
44
45 Sophie Hayes: [6:08] I...
46

1 Michael Hammer: [6:09] I apologize.
2
3 Sophie Hayes: [6:09] ...I'll send him an email.
4
5 Michael Hammer: [6:12] He just appeared. I just saw him.
6
7 Sophie Hayes: [6:13] Okay.
8
9 Michael Hammer: [6:15] Mr. Moore, would you like to enter your appearance on behalf of
10 the Office of Consumer Services? And I'm sorry for the technical trial –
11 troubles. You're on mute, Mr. Moore.
12
13 Robert Moore: [6:31] Thank you. I'm in, Alex.
14
15 Michael Hammer: [6:34] Yes. Would you like to enter an appearance on behalf of the Office
16 of Consumer Services, Mr. Moore? Can you hear me, Mr. Moore?
17
18 Robert Moore: [6:44] I can – I think I can hear you. This is Robert Moore, appearing on
19 behalf of the Office of Consumer Services. Our witness today is Alex
20 Ware. He's having technical problems at this time and has not yet joined
21 this meeting.
22
23 Michael Hammer: [7:08] Okay. Well, we can call him last if it takes him a few minutes but I
24 think we can probably begin, if there's no objection [to 7:14] those who
25 joined us a little late, apparently on behalf of – or apparently because of
26 technical difficulties, likely caused on our end, so I'm sorry about that. Mr.
27 Dallas, on behalf of Rocky Mountain Power, had earlier suggested that the
28 parties had stipulated to the admission of testimony and exhibits
29 previously filed and thought it might expedite things if we admitted those
30 in advance. If there's no objection, we can do that but would one of you
31 like to state for the record what particular filings are being admitted by
32 stipulation?
33
34 Robert Moore: [7:56] I'll go first. We are entering into evidence Alex Ware's direct
35 testimony from October 3, 2025.
36
37 Michael Hammer: [8:10] Mr. Dallas?
38
39 Joe Dallas: [8:15] Yes. One second. So Rocky Mountain Power moves to admit the
40 direct testimony of Kenneth Lee Elder Jr. and associated work papers,
41 Work Paper 1 and 2. And then we also move to admit the direct testimony
42 of James A. Campbell and the five associated exhibits, JAC 1 through
43 JAC 5.
44
45 Michael Hammer: [8:53] Mr. Grecu.
46

1 Patrick Grecu: [8:57] Thank you. The Division would like to admit the direct testimony
2 of Robert Davis and DPU exhibits 1.1 through 1.11 and also the direct
3 testimony of [Matthew Pernickley 9:10] and the related exhibits, DPU
4 exhibits 4.01 through 4.03.
5
6 Michael Hammer: [9:23] I'll ask Miss Hayes on behalf of WRA. And I don't know if Miss
7 Goupil is comfortable moving for admission of Utah Clean Energy's
8 submission. So feel free to do that on their behalf if you're comfortable.
9
10 Sophie Hayes: [9:36] Thank you. WRA would move the admission of the direct rebuttal
11 and sur-rebuttal testimonies of Deborah Kapiloff, along with associated
12 exhibits and the direct testimony of Karl Boothman. And I may need to
13 ask Kelbe to remind me which rounds of testimony you filed.
14
15 Kelbe Goupil: [9:58] Yes. The direct testimony filed on October 2nd.
16
17 Sophie Hayes: [10:02] Direct testimony of Kelbe Goupil on behalf of Utah Clean Energy.
18
19 Kelbe Goupil: [10:08] Thank you.
20
21 Michael Hammer: [10:09] All right. And hearing no objection, all of the foregoing are
22 admitted into evidence. We did have a stipulation filed in this matter. I
23 believe all parties are signatory, so I assume today what we will hear is
24 testimony in support of the stipulation. All right. If there's nothing else, I'll
25 ask Mr. Dallas to call his witness.
26
27 Patrick Grecu: [10:27] I was just gonna ask if – forgive me – have we – has anyone
28 moved to admit the settlement stipulation yet and do we wanna do that
29 now?
30
31 Michael Hammer: [10:37] I didn't hear it, so yeah.
32
33 Patrick Grecu: [10:40] I'll make that motion.
34
35 Michael Hammer: [10:42] It's admitted. The settlement stipulation filed on November 6,
36 2025, is admitted. Go ahead, Mr. Dallas.
37
38 Joe Dallas: [10:52] Thank you, Judge Hammer. Rocky Mountain Power calls Shawn
39 Grant as its witness.
40
41 Michael Hammer: [10:58] Mr. Grant, do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're about
42 to give shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? You
43 may be on mute. Mr. Grant, are you with us or can you hear me?
44
45 Alex Ware: [11:31] If I may, I'll just speak up to say that we were in that other group
46 and I was just there with Shawn and we think we figured out a way to get

1 the link for this meeting to direct us to the right one. What we ended up
2 having to do [inaudible 11:44] was to open up a whole new browser with
3 the link or a incognito mode, so that's why a few people are struggling to
4 get in, is there's some weird link issue. So...
5
6 Michael Hammer: [11:57] Mr. Dallas, did you only intend to call Mr. Grant or do you intend
7 to call other witnesses?
8
9 Joe Dallas: [12:03] Just Mr. Grant, so it – we may be having some technical issues on
10 our side, so it may make sense to move to a different party and circle back
11 to us.
12
13 Michael Hammer: [12:13] I think he just joined.
14
15 Joe Dallas: [12:15] Oh.
16
17 Michael Hammer: [12:15] Mr. Grant, can you hear me? Mr. Grant? We'll move on. If there's
18 no objection, we'll move on to the Division of Public Utilities. Mr. Grecu,
19 would you like to call your first witness?
20
21 Patrick Grecu: [12:42] Yes. Thank you. The Division calls Bob Davis.
22
23 Michael Hammer: [12:46] Mr. Davis, do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're about
24 to give shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help
25 you God?
26
27 Bob Davis: [12:51] I do.
28
29 Michael Hammer: [12:53] Go ahead.
30
31 Patrick Grecu: [12:54] Thank you. Mr. Davis, could you please state and spell your name
32 for the record?
33
34 Bob Davis: [12:59] It's Robert Davis, R-O-B-E-R-T D-A-V-I-S.
35
36 Patrick Grecu: [13:05] And by whom are you employed and what is your position?
37
38 Bob Davis: [13:08] Division of Public Utilities where I serve as a utility technical
39 consultant.
40
41 Patrick Grecu: [13:15] And what is your business address?
42
43 Bob Davis: [13:17] It's 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84114.
44
45 Patrick Grecu: [13:25] And have you participated in this docket on behalf of the
46 Division?

1
2 Bob Davis: [13:30] I have.

3
4 Patrick Grecu: [13:31] And did you review the company's application, supporting
5 exhibits, and pre-filed testimony, and the other party's testimony?
6

7 Bob Davis: [13:39] Yes.
8

9 Patrick Grecu: [13:40] And did you also review the settlement stipulation filed in this
10 docket?
11

12 Bob Davis: [13:44] I did.
13

14 Patrick Grecu: [13:46] And based on your review, is it the Division's position that the
15 settlement stipulation resolving the issues in this proceeding is just and
16 reasonable in result and in the public interest?
17

18 Bob Davis: [13:56] I do.
19

20 Patrick Grecu: [13:58] And do you have a summary to share supporting approval of the
21 settlement stipulation?
22

23 Bob Davis: [14:03] I do.
24

25 Patrick Grecu: [14:03] Please proceed.
26

27 Bob Davis: [14:06] Good morning, Mr. Hammer. The Division has the responsibility
28 to evaluate programs such as the Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Program,
29 or EVIP, to ensure the program is prudent and in the public interest. Since
30 its inception in 2022, the EVIP Program has performed relatively well
31 over the past three years. The Division has raised minor concerns
32 regarding the reporting and prudence of the EVIP over the 3-year period.
33 The Division appreciates Rocky Mountain Power's efforts to clarify and
34 implement modifications to its reporting template and balance sheet to
35 enable the Division to perform its annual prudence reviews as the EVIP
36 progresses. The Division expects this level of reporting commitment to
37 continue in the remaining years of the EVIP. The Division is not aware of
38 any impacts to competition or rate pairs as a result of the EVIP and Rocky
39 Mountain Power has been forthcoming in providing factual information as
40 the EVIP has progressed. The Division's review of the party's changes and
41 modifications at this 3-year review of the EVIP seem to align with the
42 goals of the EVIP and comply with the statutes. Therefore, the Division
43 supports the party's stipulation as just and reasonable in result and in the
44 public interest and recommends the Public Service Commission approve
45 the stipulation. This concludes my summary. Thank you.
46

1
2 Patrick Grecu: [15:33] Thank you. The witness is now available for cross-examination
3 and questions from the hearing officer.
4
5 Imran Mohammed: [15:52] [Inaudible 15:52].
6
7 Brenda Salter: [15:56] Mr. Hammer, it looks like you're on mute.
8
9 Michael Hammer: [16:01] Thank you. I'll ask all co-, all the counsel as a group if anyone has
10 any questions for Mr. Davis.
11
12 Sophie Hayes: [16:10] No.
13
14 Bob Davis: [16:13] No...
15
16 Michael Hammer: [16:13] All right.
17
18 Bob Davis: [16:13] ...questions from the Office.
19
20 Michael Hammer: [16:18] All right. Hearing no interest in asking any cross-examination and
21 questions [inaudible 16:22] Mr. Davis, I have none. I think you can be
22 excused. Any other witnesses, Mr. Grecu?
23
24 Patrick Grecu: [16:30] Nothing further from the Division.
25
26 Bob Davis: [16:31] Thank you.
27
28 Michael Hammer: [16:33] And at this point, I think it would make sense to go back to Mr.
29 Dallas. It appears as though Mr. Grant is with us. Would you like to call
30 Mr. Grant?
31
32 Joe Dallas: [16:40] Yes. Rocky Mountain Power calls Shawn Grant to the stand.
33
34 Michael Hammer: [16:45] Mr. Grant, do you solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole truth,
35 and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
36
37 Shawn Grant: [16:50] Yes.
38
39 Michael Hammer: [16:51] Thank you. Please go ahead.
40
41 Joe Dallas: [16:53] All right. Good morning, Mr. Grant. Can you please state and spell
42 your last name for the record?
43
44 Shawn Grant: [16:59] Shawn Grant. Last name spelled G-R-A-N-T.
45

1 Joe Dallas: [17:04] And Mr. Grant, can you explain your position at Rocky Mountain
2 Power?

3
4 Shawn Grant: [17:08] So I'm the director of customer solutions for PacificCorp.

5
6 Joe Dallas: [17:12] And Mr. Grant, have you prepared a statement today in support of
7 the settlement stipulation under review?

8
9 Shawn Grant: [17:18] Yes, I have.

10
11 Joe Dallas: [17:19] Can you read that into the record, please?

12
13 Shawn Grant: [17:21] Yes. I will. Okay. Good morning, Mr. Hammer. My name is
14 Shawn Grant, director of customer solutions at Rocky Mountain Power. I
15 appreciate the opportunity to present the settlement stipulation regarding
16 the Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Program. Rocky Mountain Power, in
17 collaboration with the Division of Public Utilities, Office of Consumer
18 Services, Western Resource Advocates, and Utah Clean Energy, has
19 reached a settlement regarding the 3-year review of the Electric Vehicle
20 Infrastructure Program, EVIP. This stipulation reflects lessons learned
21 since the program's inception in 2021 and proposes adjustments to ensure
22 continued success and alignment with legislative intent. Utah Association
23 of Energy users ChargePoint and EVgo are parties to this docket but they
24 are not settling parties. These parties did not submit testimony on the 2025
25 Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Program review, participate in settlement
26 negotiations, or provide objections to the stipulation. During the 2020
27 session, the Utah Legislature passed House Bill 396, Electric Vehicle
28 Charging Infrastructure Amendment, codified in Section 54-4-41 of the
29 Utah Code, which authorized Rocky Mountain Power to create EVIP. The
30 Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Program launched in 2021 as a 10-year,
31 \$50-million program to expand electric vehicle charging infrastructure
32 across Utah. The original stipulation required a 3-year review to assess
33 performance and make adjustments. So on or before October 3, 2025,
34 parties submitted direct testimony in response to the company's proposed
35 changes in the program review. On October 24, 2025, Rocky Mountain
36 Power hosted a settlement conference to which all parties were invited.

37
38 On October 29, 2025, the settling parties reached the settlement in
39 principle that formed the basis for the 2025 stipulation. A summary of the
40 2025 stipulation are as follows: 4.5 – 4.8 million will be allocated to
41 company-owned chargers, a half a million dollars will be allocated to
42 education and outreach, 3.4 million will be allocated for nonresidential
43 incentives for EV chargers through Schedule 120, incentives for
44 residential chargers will be discontinued, make ready – electric vehicle
45 make-ready investments will be discontinued, miscellaneous changes to
46 Schedule 60 rates will occur, and Schedule 198, the EVIP surcharge, will

1 decrease effective January 1, 2026, to avoid overcollection. Rocky
2 Mountain Power will continue annual reporting and mid-year stakeholder
3 updates and a final review scheduled for – will occur on June 30, 2029.
4 Mr. Hammer, Rocky Mountain Power appreciates the collaborative effort
5 that went into this stipulation. The proposed adjustments reflect lessons
6 learned over the past three years and ensure that the Electric Vehicle
7 Infrastructure Program continues to support electric vehicle adoption and
8 provides value and benefit to Utah rate payers. We respectfully request the
9 Commission's approval of this settlement. Thank you for your time and
10 consideration. This concludes my testimony.
11
12 Joe Dallas: [21:06] Thank you, Mr. Grant. No further questions. And Judge Hammer,
13 Mr. Grant is available for any questions.
14
15 Michael Hammer: [21:15] Mr. Grecu?
16
17 Patrick Grecu: [21:17] No questions. Thank you.
18
19 Michael Hammer: [21:18] Mr. Moore?
20
21 Robert Moore: [21:20] No questions. Thank you.
22
23 Michael Hammer: [21:22] Ms. Hayes or Ms. Goupil?
24
25 Sophie Hayes: [21:25] No, thank you.
26
27 Michael Hammer: [21:27] And I have none. Thank you, Mr. Grant. No other witnesses, Mr.
28 Dallas?
29
30 Joe Dallas: [21:31] No other witnesses.
31
32 Michael Hammer: [21:33] All right. I think it now makes sense to move to Mr. Moore.
33 Would you like to call your witness?
34
35 Robert Moore: [21:37] The Office of Consumer Services calls Alex Ware to the stand and
36 asks that he be sworn.
37
38 Michael Hammer: [21:44] Mr. Ware, do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're about
39 to give shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help
40 you God?
41
42 Alex Ware: [21:50] Yes.
43
44 Michael Hammer: [21:51] Thank you. Go ahead.
45

1 Robert Moore: [21:54] Please state your name for the record and state where – how you're
2 employed.
3
4 Alex Ware: [22:00] My name is Alex Ware and I'm employed with the Office of
5 Consumer Services.
6
7 Robert Moore: [22:05] What is your business address?
8
9 Alex Ware: [22:07] 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City, Utah.
10
11 Robert Moore: [22:13] What is the position you have, again, with the Office of Consumer
12 Services?
13
14 Alex Ware: [22:19] Public utility analyst. I'm sorry.
15
16 Robert Moore: [22:21] In your capacity as a utility analyst, have you reviewed the filings
17 and discovery in this case?
18
19 Alex Ware: [22:26] Yes, I did.
20
21 Robert Moore: [22:29] Did you participate in the negotiations that led to this settlement
22 stipulation presented for approval at this hearing?
23
24 Alex Ware: [22:35] Yes.
25
26 Robert Moore: [22:36] Have you read and are you familiar with the Division – the
27 settlement – the final settlement stipulation that is the subject of this
28 hearing?
29
30 Alex Ware: [22:43] Yes.
31
32 Robert Moore: [22:45] Have you prepared a statement regarding the Office of Consumer
33 Services position on this settlement?
34
35 Alex Ware: [22:50] Yes, I have.
36
37 Robert Moore: [22:51] Please proceed.
38
39 Alex Ware: [22:53] Thank you. Rocky Mountain Power filed this docket to conduct an
40 in-depth review of the program following the third year – program year in
41 accordance with the 2021 settlement stipulation approving the creation of
42 the Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Program. The new settlement
43 stipulation before the Commission today is the product of good-faith
44 negotiations between parties and I believe the stipulation should be
45 approved. Some of the key elements for the OCS include first, the
46 settlement contains an agreement on the realignment of remaining make-

1 ready infrastructure [inaudible 23:32] to primarily support the continued
2 development of company-owned EV charging stations to focus efforts on
3 generating revenue and moving toward low cost of service. I supported
4 this in my direct testimony and continue to do so. However, another key
5 element of the stipulation is to retain some funding for EV charging
6 incentives targeted at multi-unit type facilities, as it is cost-effective,
7 delivering the lowest cost per charging port installed. I was persuaded by
8 arguments made by other parties in this case and support this element of
9 the settlement. I also support continuing a budget for consumer education
10 efforts to target EV owners and encourage enrollment in time-of-use rate
11 schedule options given the important of off-peak charging to both
12 conserve energy and minimize electricity bills. In summary, I recommend
13 the Commission approve the EVIP settlement stipulation of 2025, as it is
14 just and reasonable in results and in the public interest. And that concludes
15 my statement.

16
17 Robert Moore: [24:40] Thank you. Mr. Ware is now available for cross and questions
18 from the hearing officer.

19
20 Michael Hammer: [24:45] Does any party have any cross-examination questions for Mr.
21 Ware?

22
23 Sophie Hayes: [24:49] No.

24
25 Patrick Grecu: [24:50] No.

26
27 Michael Hammer: [24:53] All right. I have none. Thank you, Mr. Ware.

28
29 Alex Ware: [24:56] Thank you.

30
31 Michael Hammer: [24:57] Any other witnesses, Mr. Moore?

32
33 Robert Moore: [25:00] No other witnesses for the OCS. Thank you.

34
35 Michael Hammer: [25:02] All right. And as it's my understanding that Utah Clean Energy
36 does not intend to call a witness today, we'll move to Miss Hayes.

37
38 Sophie Hayes: [25:09] Good morning. I would like to call Miss Deborah Kapiloff to
39 make a statement in support of this stipulation.

40
41 Michael Hammer: [25:19] Miss Kapiloff, do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're
42 about to give shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth,
43 so help you God?

44
45 Deborah Kapiloff: [25:26] Yes.
46

1 Michael Hammer: [25:27] Thank you. Go ahead.
2
3 Sophie Hayes: [25:29] Ms. Kapiloff, by whom are you – well, actually, first, would you
4 please state and spell your name for the record?
5
6 Deborah Kapiloff: [25:35] Yes. My name is Deborah Kapiloff. The first name is D-E-B-O-R-
7 A-H and the last name is K-A-P-I-L-O-F-F.
8
9 Sophie Hayes: [25:46] Thank you. By whom are you employed and what is your
10 position?
11
12 Deborah Kapiloff: [25:51] I am employed by Western Resource Advocates as a clean energy
13 policy advisor.
14
15 Sophie Hayes: [25:57] Do you have a statement in support of this stipulation?
16
17 Deborah Kapiloff: [26:00] Yes, I do.
18
19 Sophie Hayes: [26:02] Please proceed.
20
21 Deborah Kapiloff: [26:04] WRA filed direct testimony in this proceeding. Both myself and
22 Mr. Karl Boothman were witnesses. Today, we respectfully request that
23 the Commission approve the settlement stipulation as we find it to be just
24 and reasonable in results and in the public interest. Specifically, we are
25 supportive of the settlement stipulation's inclusion of various elements of
26 WRA's testimony, including the recommendation for the continuation of
27 Schedule 120 incentives, which support a variety of unique charging use
28 cases, including multi-family and other commercial EV charging uses and
29 additionally, the settlement stipulation includes elements which encourage
30 off-peak charging through time-of-use rates as well as increased
31 participation in managed charging from EV drivers. We find this to be an
32 incredibly important element of the stipulation as off-peak charging has
33 various benefits to both EV and non-EV drivers across the system. And I
34 will again reiterate that we find that this settlement stipulation is just and
35 reasonable in results and would encourage the Commission to approve it.
36 Thank you.
37
38 Sophie Hayes: [27:27] Thank you. Miss Kapiloff is available for questions.
39
40 Michael Hammer: [27:31] Does any party have any questions for Miss Kapiloff?
41
42 Patrick Grecu: [27:35] No questions.
43
44 Robert Moore: [27:37] No questions.
45

1 Michael Hammer: [27:40] All right. I have none. Thank you, Miss Kapiloff. Any other
2 witnesses, Miss Hayes?
3
4 Sophie Hayes: [27:49] No. But if the Commission has questions for Mr. Boothman or
5 Miss Goupil, I am happy to call them.
6
7 Michael Hammer: [27:58] The Commission has none. Thank you.
8
9 Sophie Hayes: [28:00] Okay.
10
11 Michael Hammer: [28:00] All right. Is there anything from any party before we adjourn?
12
13 Patrick Grecu: [28:04] Maybe – I would like to make a motion to admit the RMP work
14 papers one that was filed with the settlement stipulation on November 6th.
15 I neglected to move for admission of that.
16
17 Michael Hammer: [28:18] Any objection? They're admitted.
18
19 Patrick Grecu: [28:22] Thank you.
20
21 Michael Hammer: [28:23] Anything else? All right. Thank you, everyone. Have a nice
22 morning. We're adjourned.
23
24 Patrick Grecu: [28:29] Thank you.
25
26 Robert Moore: [28:30] Thank you.
27
28 Female: [28:30] [Inaudible 28:30]. Bye.
29
30 Male: [28:31] Thanks.
31
32 Kelbe Goupil: [28:32] Thank you.
33
34
35 /es

December 4, 2025

RE: Transcript: Public Service Commission - Melissa Paschal Docket No. 20-035-34, 12-3-2025 Hearing

Please be advised that the above-referenced typewritten transcript is a true and accurate transcription of the recorded conversations to the extent that the audio could be clearly heard/understood. Portions that could not be heard or understood have been notated with [inaudible] or highlighted in yellow.

Sincerely,

Mary A. Goehring

Mary A. Goehring
CEO, Transcription Plus, LLC

I certify that this document is a true and accurate transcription of the audio voice file indicated above.

Emily A. Schueller

Emily A. Schueller, Transcriptionist
Transcription Plus, LLC