



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

David J. Domin (bzncptndj52@allwest.net) Sent You a Personal Message

Sun, Feb 27, 2022 at 4:20

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

David J. Domin
791 Sage Ln
Kamas, UT 84036
bzncptndj52@allwest.net
(435) 783-5853

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Josephine Kovash (jkovash@stanfordalumni.org) Sent You a Personal Message

Sun, Feb 27, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

5:38 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Utah is facing significant effects from climate change and should be rapidly moving toward solar and wind. We need to move away from coal NOW.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Josephine Kovash
528 Locust Ln
Moab, UT 84532
jkovash@stanfordalumni.org
(435) 210-4179

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

S Hansen (restoration@prodigy.net) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:32

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

S Hansen
155 N 300 W
Richfield, UT 84701
restoration@prodigy.net
(801) 277-0109

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

HEIDI EVANS (viverra@xmission.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:33 PM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

HEIDI EVANS

4191 S Burkman Way, Dragonhall Little Free Library#55081, Dragonhall Little Free Library#55081
WEST VALLEY CITY, UT 84120viverra@xmission.com

(801) 608-4681

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Marie Larsen (mlarsen_66@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:34

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Marie Larsen
3585 Quincy Ave
Ogden, UT 84403
mlarsen_66@icloud.com
(801) 528-4674

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Brett Anderson (bba9324@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:34

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has

forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Brett Anderson
473 E 8260 S
Sandy, UT 84070
bba9324@gmail.com
(385) 290-7711

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Melissa Goss (melissawarren705@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

12:34 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I want the energy companies to keep Utah safe because if they don't all the beautiful things will disappear.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Melissa Goss
336 E Factory St
Garland, UT 84312
melissawarren705@gmail.com
(435) 932-5808

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Tiffany Baird (tlb918@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:36

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Baird
661 E Connie Dr
Midvale, UT 84047
tlb918@gmail.com
(404) 783-1233

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Darrin Lythgoe (darrin@lythgoes.net) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:36

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Darrin Lythgoe
12097 S Nicklaus Rd
Sandy, UT 84092
darrin@lythgoes.net
(801) 918-8442

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Christopher Butler (chrisbutlermn@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal MessageMon, Feb 28, 2022 at
12:37 PM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Christopher Butler
650 N 300 W Apt 126
Salt Lake City, UT 84103
chrisbutlermn@gmail.com
(507) 269-7880

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

KAREN COLLETT (kicollett3@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:36

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

KAREN COLLETT
280 E 1100 S
KAREN, UT 84010
kicollett3@gmail.com
(801) 295-9698

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Jeff Baird (jeffreymarshallbaird@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

12:38 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jeff Baird
8034 S 1715 E
Sandy, UT 84093
jeffreymarshallbaird@gmail.com
(801) 943-0318

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Kristine Baetz (kbaetz@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:39

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kristine Baetz
1792 E Auburn Ridge Ln
Draper, UT 84020
kbaetz@icloud.com
(443) 578-6629

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Katy Marsh (katy.marsh26@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:40

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Katy Marsh
1335 N 550 W
Pleasant Grove, UT 84062
katy.marsh26@gmail.com
(801) 785-4852

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

William Garrett (willbgarrett@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:42

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

William Garrett
441 E Brandt Court, Apt. 11
Salt Lake City, UT 84107
willbgarrett@gmail.com
(408) 310-7841

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Mitchell Eddards (mitch.eddards@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

12:43 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Eddards
296 W Antelope Dr Apt Q
Layton, UT 84041
mitch.eddards@gmail.com
(801) 970-3380

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Jean Ashley (jean.ashley@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:43

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

With climate change already affecting snowpack (and the tourism industry that relies on it), water availability, and the shrinking of the Great Salt Lake, it is critically important that Rocky Mountain Power's plan demonstrates an understanding that things cannot continue as they have done. We need to invest in and use more renewable energy sources, begin the process of transitioning coal out of our energy portfolio, and helps Utah thrive even as our climate changes.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jean Ashley
3111 S Waterleaf Way
West Valley City, UT 84128
jean.ashley@gmail.com
(801) 598-3841

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Gregory Clark (clark1841@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:43 PM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

It is too late for people or corporations to be dodging the responsibility to do everything in their power of minimize their carbon footprint. The planet will only be preserved if people drop the selfishness now!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Gregory Clark
1841 N 1400 E
Provo, UT 84604
clark1841@gmail.com
(801) 592-8254

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Kevin O'Meara (uintawy@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:44

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Please have them commit to less coal and more renewable energy. All of our futures depend on it.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kevin O'Meara
9175 Cottonwood Trl
Park City, UT 84098
uintawy@yahoo.com
(307) 679-8391

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

IAN KIWAN (iankiwan@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:44

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I want clean air for my new baby. The current plans would be detrimental to that.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

IAN KIWAN
1625 West Hemlock Drive,
Taylorsville, UT 84123
iankiwan@yahoo.com
(818) 744-2118

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Emma Scanlon (emmascanlon4@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

12:47 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Emma Scanlon
204 E Edith Ave
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
emmascanlon4@gmail.com
(860) 324-2638

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Emily Robbins (emzyrn@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:47

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Emily Robbins
2505 N 930 E
Provo, UT 84604
emzyrn@gmail.com
(801) 310-4393

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Cindi Field (cindilfield@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:48

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Cindi Field
664 30th Street
Ogden, UT 84403
cindilfield@gmail.com
(801) 627-8552

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

William Newmark (bnewmark@umnh.utah.edu) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

12:49 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Rocky Mountain Power needs to be more transparent and forth-coming about its plans to move towards renewable energy.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

William Newmark
591 N WALL ST
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84103
bnewmark@umnh.utah.edu
(801) 363-9749

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Leslie Stock (mystory1121@live.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:49

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Money doesn't matter when you've destroyed the earth and we all go extinct because of greed. Be responsible and moral with your responsibilities so you can answer in the light of truth without a guilty conscious when your turn comes.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Leslie Stock
735 24th St
Ogden, UT 84401
mystory1121@live.com
(801) 888-2781

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Anna Johnson (annajohnson2@live.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:49

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Anna Johnson
1519 S Lincoln St
Salt Lake City, UT 84105
annajohnson2@live.com
(425) 445-3575

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Stephanie Richardson (bobamajs@aol.com) Sent You a Personal MessageMon, Feb 28, 2022 at
12:51 PM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Richardson
430 Fairway Dr
Midway, UT 84049
bobamajs@aol.com
(954) 673-1047

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Susan and Rodrigo Eyzaguirre (eyzcanoe@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

12:51 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

We as a community need to do everything we can to improve our air quality. In our Salt Lake Valley, it is often unhealthy to even go outside. Please make your plans environmentally healthy as well as transparent. Thank you.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Susan and Rodrigo Eyzaguirre
4048 S 2835 E
Salt Lake City, UT 84124
eyzcanoe@gmail.com
(801) 278-8130

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Mr. and Mrs. H.M. Ball (camisakc@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

12:56 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. H.M. Ball
1067 S 500 E Apt A102
Heber City, UT 84032
camisakc@gmail.com
(435) 671-6121

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Jon Hager (stormcrow60@xmission.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:57

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jon Hager
11760 s 1300 w
Riverton, UT 84065
stormcrow60@xmission.com
(801) 254-5736

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Sue deVall (sdev.cv@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:58

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I urge you to abandon the use of Climate Changing coal.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sue deVall
HC 64 Box 1902
Castle Valley, UT 84532
sdev.cv@gmail.com
(435) 259-6336

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Bret Webster (bretwebs@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 12:59

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Bret Webster
7185 Canyon Dr.
Park City, UT 84098
bretwebs@gmail.com
(801) 682-7899

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Julie Ray (julieray@mail.weber.edu) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:00

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

We need to prioritize the long term well being of our community over short term profits. Coal is going to be obsolete in the next 50 years. This plan is a great disservice to both the economy and people of Utah.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Julie Ray
1027 Oxford Dr
Ogden, UT 84403
julieray@mail.weber.edu
(801) 786-9429

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Ann Curry (anneyre23@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:05

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

No.more.coal.

Enough with outdated energy sources. Its time to move on from Blockbuster video energy and move to Netflix energies!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ann Curry
9473 N Canyon Rd
Cedar Hills, UT 84062
anneyre23@gmail.com
(801) 318-9862

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Emma Hanson (emmarosehanson@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

1:07 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

We must consider the safety of the public including the environment they inhabit when making decisions about our power grids infrastructure.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Emma Hanson
909 S 800 E
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84105
emmarosehanson@icloud.com
(801) 232-2498

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Victoria Vassos (victoria.vassos@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

1:10 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Victoria Vassos
185N west temple
Salt Lake City, UT 84101
victoria.vassos@hotmail.com
(929) 325-8040

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Jean Roestenburg (anazazi_jean@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

1:14 PM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Please for the sake of all the children and animals!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jean Roestenburg
309 E 6310 S
Murray, UT 84107
anazazi_jean@yahoo.com
(801) 259-5443

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Kathleen Corr (kavarra@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:16

PM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

The present plan by Rocky Mtn. Power is outdated and does not reflect my values. Nuclear power in small areas has not been proven safe and what about the long term waste? What about solar here in Utah half the state is sunny 300 days a year why isn't this the primary focus on sustainable energy to prevent climate change. This plan needs more far-sighted creators not the same old same old white entitled men. How many women and people of color were on this plan team? How many scientists were on the team? and what kind of scientists were they? Let's create a plan that speaks to the future not the past to life not death to keeping animal species and people healthy.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Sodium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Corr
PO Box 613
Springdale, UT 84767
kavarra@yahoo.com

(435) 772-7804

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Marcia Baker (bakerme_1@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:18

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I'm truly concerned about our life on this planet. Please help us leave a healthy environment for our children.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Marcia Baker
339 E 700 S
River Heights, UT 84321
bakerme_1@icloud.com
(317) 413-4305

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Bill Stoye (bstoye@xmission.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:19

PM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Whoa, slow down. Don't let your profits decide over the decision for a more thoughtful and safe energy future.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Bill Stoye
978 E 600 S
SLC, UT 84102
bstoye@xmission.com
(801) 363-6166

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Ronald Rakos (ronald.rakos@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:19

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ronald Rakos
2534 Anasazi Way, PO Box 936
Springdale, UT 84767
ronald.rakos@gmail.com
(908) 872-3280

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Susan Tamowski (rossjack@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:24

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Susan Tamowski
4434 S Park Hill Dr
Salt Lake City, UT 84124
rossjack@comcast.net
(801) 641-2171

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Naomi Bown (naibown@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:27

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

In the year 2022 it is unthinkable that we won't look to the future interests of our species over the human greed of today and decisively make changes in order for us to live in a better tomorrow. If not now then when?

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Naomi Bown
341 W 200 N
Midway, UT 84049
naibown@gmail.com
(801) 673-2539

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Alexander Dolowitz (megabit.orbs-0k@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

1:29 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Alexander Dolowitz
1712 Monte Carlo Drive
Murray, UT 84121
megabit.orbs-0k@icloud.com
(801) 915-7113

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Connie Ball (conniervb@kanab.net) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:30

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

As we are seeing, and have seen in the past, this country and others can be, have been held hostage to other oil and gas producing nations. The answer is to get beyond fossil fuels altogether!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Connie Ball
549 W Pipe Springs Dr
Kanab, UT 84741
conniervb@kanab.net
(435) 644-2829

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Tom Laabs-Johnson (l-jnews@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:31

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Living in Utah since a child I've been very aware of the changes in our air quality. I can still remember the clear, clean air that we had in this valley and throughout the state. Now, whether here at my home in Sandy or on trips around Utah, the constant haze, the inversions both winter and summer, the increasing pollution all diminish the quality of our air, the air we breathe. BYU did a study recently that showed that the air pollution here takes several years off our lives. Some major companies have chosen not to set up here due to the poor air quality. And we're living through an historic drought where our reservoirs are at record or near record lows and the Salt Lake has shrunk significantly. Our climate is changing and will continue to do so. To look only to methods of producing energy that will keep worsening the situation is simple folly. To try an unproven nuclear energy option is too dangerous to realistically believe. Stop looking to line the pockets of your investors.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tom Laabs-Johnson

9072 S Sandridge Cir
Sandy, UT 84093
l-jnews@comcast.net
(801) 440-7810

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Kimberly Vincent (kvmagic@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:31

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Vincent
1490 east 8425 south
Sandy, UT 84093
kvmagic@yahoo.com
(801) 550-7560

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

John Prehn (john3031@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:34

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Rocky Mountain Power's "plan" is more of the same, business as usual, coal for 20 years (!), no recognition of climate crisis. Just as expected. They have plenty of \$Billions (Warren Buffett...) to adapt, if they chose to. They chose not to. Unless forced to change, they won't. Their execs are going to live on some Planet B, off in space, I guess....

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

John Prehn
2048 E Emerson Ave
Salt Lake City, UT 84108
john3031@msn.com
(801) 582-1637

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Ramesh Grandhi (rgrandhi@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:37

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ramesh Grandhi
1149 E Milton Ave
Salt Lake City, UT 84105
rgrandhi@hotmail.com
(914) 374-6890

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Sam Rushforth (samrushforth@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:38

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sam Rushforth
452 N Palisades Drive
Orem, UT 84097
samrushforth@gmail.com
(801) 380-2889

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Cory Bauman (corywbauman@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:43

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Cory Bauman
1765 E 1700 S
Salt Lake City, UT 84108
corywbauman@yahoo.com
(801) 583-7935

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Robert Bond (gandrbond@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:48

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Robert Bond
2441 E Evening Star Dr
Salt Lake City, UT 84124
gandrbond@gmail.com
(801) 272-0104

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Sarah Uharriet (sduharriet@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:51

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sarah Uharriet
1165 W Indian Hills Dr Unit 1E
Saint George, UT 84770
sduharriet@outlook.com
(435) 619-4183

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Caroline Gleich (carolinegleich@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

1:54 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Right now, Utahns are suffering dire health effects from our air quality. Our quality of life and the Utah we know and love is threatened by the climate crisis.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Caroline Gleich
624 Maple Dr
Park City, UT 84098
carolinegleich@gmail.com
(801) 949-0314

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Ken Hunt (kpja58@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:57

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

It is common sense to move beyond coal. Our kids and grandkids deserve better.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ken Hunt
5138 W Longbow Dr
South Jordan, UT 84009
kpja58@gmail.com
(716) 983-4936

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Cindy King (cynthia_king_84109@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

1:58 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I am 100% against nuclear power for electric. Any power company that think nuclear power has zero green house gases is miss leading the public. The extraction process from mining; the processing, and the emissions from nuclear waste at the plant and transportation of waste from plant all have green house gases. Coal is too dirty.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Cindy King
2963 S 2300 E
Millcreek, UT 84109
cynthia_king_84109@yahoo.com
(801) 486-4848

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Jerry Hamik (jhamik2000@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 2:01

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Coal burning must go away. We cannot breath anymore along the Wasatch Front

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jerry Hamik
1347 W Olive St
Salt Lake Cty, UT 84123
jhamik2000@yahoo.com
(801) 266-7015

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Magali Lequent (magleq@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 2:03

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Magali Lequent
110 Parkview Pl
Park City, UT 84098
magleq@yahoo.com
(801) 875-2502

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Jennifer McKeel (jenmckeel72@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

2:10 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jennifer McKeel
6682 S Sol Rise Dr
Jennifer, UT 84081
jenmckeel72@hotmail.com
(813) 785-1047

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Ted Cordingley (ted.cordingley@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 2:12

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Regulation is not a Dirty Word. These companies only Care about profits. Their short term goals will effect our future for numerous decades. We need to see these plans. Transparency is crucial !!!!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ted Cordingley
2696 E Kentucky Ave
Holladay, UT 84117
ted.cordingley@gmail.com
(801) 502-3777

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Donna Booher (onedegreedolphin@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

2:15 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Donna Booher
856 W 1600 S
Woods Cross, UT 84087
onedegreedolphin@gmail.com
(801) 497-1233

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Katrina Twing Gardner (katrinatwing@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

2:21 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Katrina Twing Gardner
341 E Garfield Ave
Salt Lake City, UT 84115
katrinatwing@gmail.com
(413) 358-3260

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Abe And Chris Murdock (a-c@murdesign.net) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

2:24 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Abe And Chris Murdock
5310 W Old Highway Rd
Morgan, UT 84050
a-c@murdesign.net
(801) 791-4704

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Kelsey Cannon (singlemom4kaden@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

2:27 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

We need to phase out fossil fuels, solar panels best idea also

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kelsey Cannon
4380 Harrison Blvd Apt 30
Ogden, UT 84403
singlemom4kaden@yahoo.com
(801) 603-8288

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Janiece Pompa (pompa_j@ed.utah.edu) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 2:27

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Hi -

I believe that we have to transition from coal as an energy source for Rocky Mountain Power as soon as possible. Their reliance on coal is incompatible with improving our air quality, which we so desperately need.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Janiece Pompa
2129 S 1800 E
Salt Lake City, UT 84106
pompa_j@ed.utah.edu
(801) 273-7555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Heather Dove (hdove@xmission.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 2:33

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Heather Dove
2072 E Rainbow Point Dr
Salt Lake City, UT 84124
hdove@xmission.com
(801) 201-3637

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Robbie Heath (rheathjr@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 2:37

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I work in the renewable energy industry, and I observe every day that there are companies with financing that are ready today to deploy existing technologies that can meet our electricity demand needs at scale and at a lower cost than current utility prices. While it is critical that we maintain the reliability of the electric system and invest in new technologies, I believe the focus on those (maintaining coal plants and implementing new nuclear) ignores the vast wind, solar, and energy storage technologies we have TODAY to transition into a low carbon energy future at both reliably and at costs that save ratepayers money. Please consider this as it relates to all future grid planning.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Robbie Heath
2815 S Dearborn St
Salt Lake City, UT 84106
rheathjr@gmail.com

(214) 356-9476

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Candace Bull (candacebull17@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

2:38 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Candace Bull
245 N Vine St
Salt Lake City, UT 84103
candacebull17@hotmail.com
(801) 809-0158

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Kristine Knowlton (kknowlton@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

2:49 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kristine Knowlton
1337 Marilyn Dr
Ogden, UT 84403
kknowlton@comcast.net
(801) 392-6018

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Gloria Wurst (gzwaction@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 2:51

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Gloria Wurst
3389 Van Buren
Ogden, UT 84403
gzwaction@gmail.com
(801) 627-2769

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Monaca Seamans (km_seamans@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

2:57 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Went solar, if I lived in a different state, would pay next to nothing for power...but because no other optionally than Rocky Mountain. Disgusted with them disregard what consumers are trying to do to assist with quality using our own money to do so!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Monaca Seamans
11712 S Willow Walk Dr
South Jordan, UT 84009
km_seamans@msn.com
(801) 523-6064

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Lucia Gallo (lmgallo13@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 2:57

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Lucia Gallo
1239 Sheridan Dr
Ogden, UT 84404
lmgallo13@gmail.com
(951) 746-6485

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Jane Garcia (janedgarcia@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 3:03

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jane Garcia
3660 E Millstream Dr
Salt Lake City, UT 84109
janedgarcia@comcast.net
(801) 867-4643

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

John Hibbs (francoisehibbs@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 3:09

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

we need an energy plan that offers real solutions to climate change. Utah could be a model for the use of renewable energy: Let's use Utah's wind and sun and do away with fossil fuels.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

John Hibbs
5347 S Cottonwood Ln
Holladay, UT 84117
francoisehibbs@gmail.com
(801) 999-4022

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Susan Watson (swatsonarnp@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 3:11

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I am angry that a monopoly is allowed to exist. Because they do exist, they are not acting in a responsible and accountable way to address climate change, renewable energy and the toxic effects of not updating plants.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Susan Watson
1972 E Eldorado Dr
Holladay, UT 84124
swatsonarnp@gmail.com
(702) 968-5662

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Robert Banghart (rbanghart@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 3:16

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Robert Banghart
1008 24th St
Ogden, UT 84401
rbanghart@msn.com
(425) 633-9185

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Molly Hanrahan (mollyhanrahan17@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

3:32 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Please don't accept Rocky Mountain Power's plan! This plan does not adequately address the huge concern of climate change, which is incredibly important to me as a Utahn who loves the outdoors (especially our snow)!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Molly Hanrahan
510 Maple Dr
Park City, UT 84098
mollyhanrahan17@gmail.com
(435) 655-5562

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Zach Shepherd (directive@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 3:58

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Don't be evil.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Zach Shepherd
65 S Main St
Logan, UT 84321
directive@mac.com
(435) 752-0155

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-091 message

Joan Coles (joancoles@xmission.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 4:00

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

We are running out of time. Failure to act, fully and promptly, will make our Earth uninhabitable.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Joan Coles
838 E. South Temple #310
Joan, UT 84102
joancoles@xmission.com
(801) 364-9921

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Nicola Nelson (nickie.nelson@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 4:08

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Your plan is not adequate for the climate crisis we are facing.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Nicola Nelson
36 Ironwood Dr
North Salt Lake, UT 84054
nickie.nelson@gmail.com
(801) 294-2882

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Melissa Boulanger (missyb611@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

4:09 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

With the continued growth that is occurring in our beautiful western states, it is critical that we insist on transparency and detailed planning to make sure the future is safe. It does not appear that Rocky Mountain Power is being responsible in their planning. This needs correction.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Melissa Boulanger
1852 E 9845 S
Sandy, UT 84092
missyb611@comcast.net
(801) 573-7477

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Kate Engelsman (engelsmankate@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

4:17 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kate Engelsman
4174 Forestdale Dr Ste A
Park City, UT 84098
engelsmankate@gmail.com
(801) 562-2161

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Hank Louis (hank@gplex.biz) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 4:21 PM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Hank Louis
PO Box 3360
Park City, UT 84060
hank@gplex.biz
(435) 649-2924

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Kelley Ingols (canyonmuse@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 4:27

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

It is time to pay better attention to companies that are not healthy for the climate and communities. Let Utah be an example and take action to do better for the state and the land~

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kelley Ingols
929 Pole Dr
Heber City, UT 84032
canyonmuse@gmail.com
(928) 607-8970

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Robert and Sharon Kain (robkain@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

4:39 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Robert and Sharon Kain
13349 S Apple Orchard Ln
Draper, UT 84020
robkain@gmail.com
(801) 910-6356

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

Angela Waagen (angela.waagen@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

4:41 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

The time has come to acknowledge that the coal industry is not only a dying industry, but one that is helping to kill our planet. Rocky Mountain Power must be held to deadlines.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Angela Waagen
924 E Elm Ave
Salt Lake City, UT 84106
angela.waagen@yahoo.com
(801) 484-4684

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

