



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**R Stewart (rks.faia@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message**

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 5:19 PM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

R Stewart  
4030 S Powers Cir  
Salt Lake City, UT 84124  
[rks.faia@comcast.net](mailto:rks.faia@comcast.net)  
(415) 250-4849

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Patricia Whitney (p\_\_whitney@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 5:35 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

reject,not good for utah prices are getting too high for elder people like me

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Patricia Whitney  
2800 S 2700 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109  
[p\\_\\_whitney@msn.com](mailto:p__whitney@msn.com)  
(801) 580-4646

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Clara Hopkins (claramaehopkins@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message**Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at  
5:41 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Clara Hopkins  
9270 Mountain Bluebird Ln  
Park City, UT 84098  
[claramaehopkins@yahoo.com](mailto:claramaehopkins@yahoo.com)  
(435) 640-6189

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Joe Bateman (seagelinc@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 5:42 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

As a Utahn, we're all aware of how terrible the air can be. I would like to see RMP focus on sustainable solutions like wind and solar.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Joe Bateman  
175 N Canyon Rd Apt 16  
Slc, UT 84103  
[seagelinc@gmail.com](mailto:seagelinc@gmail.com)  
(801) 876-0563

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Cash Meyerhoffer (cammoney@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 5:46

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Cash Meyerhoffer  
2754 E Louise Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109  
[cammoney@msn.com](mailto:cammoney@msn.com)  
(801) 458-1737

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**David Bennett (bennett\_dave@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at  
5:48 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

David Bennett  
921 E Spiers Ln  
Draper, UT 84020  
[bennett\\_dave@hotmail.com](mailto:bennett_dave@hotmail.com)  
(801) 555-1212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**jason merrill (igonotary@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 5:58

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

It is time to help Utah with cleaner air. RMP does what they want and have little regard for our environment

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

jason merrill  
7929 N Sagebrush Ln  
Eagle Mountain, UT 84005  
[igonotary@hotmail.com](mailto:igonotary@hotmail.com)  
(801) 699-6543

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Jon Rock (radrock\_8@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 6:03 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jon Rock  
375 W 2050 S  
Brigham City, UT 84302  
[radrock\\_8@yahoo.com](mailto:radrock_8@yahoo.com)  
(435) 723-8056

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Patrick Logan (jpatricklogan@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 6:07 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Please do not trust RMP; your oversight is the only reason solar hasn't been priced out in Utah. Every year, RMP tries to ruin the incentives for homeowners to switch to clean energy.

I believe RMP's incentives to burn coal, DESPITE our horrible - and growing - air pollution constantly motivates RMP to shortcut and game their profit business plan against a sustainable future.

PLEASE help develop a long-term, clean and sustainable energy model for our state!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Patrick Logan  
1221 S 900 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105  
[jpatricklogan@gmail.com](mailto:jpatricklogan@gmail.com)  
(801) 913-3733



This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Sage White (sagedwhite@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 6:18 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Nuclear energy is like a moth, and a flame. It's putting off the fact that it too will also need to be replaced.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sage White  
3443 s helen  
Magna, UT 84044  
[sagedwhite@outlook.com](mailto:sagedwhite@outlook.com)  
(385) 500-6065

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**J Anderson (jadaar@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 6:23 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

J Anderson  
945 E Sterling Drive  
Spanish Fork, UT 84660  
[jadaar@gmail.com](mailto:jadaar@gmail.com)  
(801) 798-6922

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Ramsey Farrage (ramseyfarrage@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at  
6:53 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ramsey Farrage  
565 Cayias Dr  
North Salt Lake, UT 84054  
[ramseyfarrage@gmail.com](mailto:ramseyfarrage@gmail.com)  
(951) 315-8538

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Llewellyn and Sally Humphreys (llewhumphreys@me.com) Sent You a Personal Message**Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at  
7:00 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

We are and have been participants in the Rocky Mountain Blue Sky program and the Coolkeeper programs from the inception of those programs. I am disappointed that RMP has not been more aggressive about utilizing alternative energy sources like wind and solar. This latest news about extending coal burning and planning for new and unproven/unapproved nuclear power sources is thoroughly discouraging. The lack of initiative to develop renewable resources is dumbfounding.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Llewellyn and Sally Humphreys  
380 E St  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103  
[llewhumphreys@me.com](mailto:llewhumphreys@me.com)  
(801) 355-8859



This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Aurora Ryan (mmeow611@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 7:03

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I firmly think we need to be treating our climate crisis much more seriously. Coal workers need to of course have jobs but we could switch to things like more solar and wind power. We really need to take action for our world and not trying to use untrustworthy technology thats burning our world

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Aurora Ryan  
550 n 200 e  
American fork, UT 84003  
[mmeow611@gmail.com](mailto:mmeow611@gmail.com)  
(305) 484-1866



3/1/22, 8:08 AM

State of Utah Mail - Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Jeffrey Campbell (mcintyre.campbell@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message**

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at  
7:16 PM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

It way past time to act responsibly in regards to your customers. Grow up!!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Campbell  
2344, Emerson Avenue  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108  
[mcintyre.campbell@comcast.net](mailto:mcintyre.campbell@comcast.net)  
(801) 641-6576

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**James Dreyfous (jcdreyfous@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 7:31 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Important to support long term planning with climate change in mind. Most of us have children or and grandchildren. Vote so they will have a cleaner world to live in

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

James Dreyfous  
5950 S 2300 E  
Holladay, UT 84121  
[jcdreyfous@gmail.com](mailto:jcdreyfous@gmail.com)  
(801) 201-9951

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Barbara Stone (new\_horizons\_js@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at  
7:35 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

We have a right to timely disclosure of all information. Don't accept less.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Barbara Stone  
2209 Monarch Dr  
Park City, UT 84060  
[new\\_horizons\\_js@hotmail.com](mailto:new_horizons_js@hotmail.com)  
(435) 647-9802

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Monte Pilling (montster1@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 7:41 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Monte Pilling  
324 S 300 W  
Helper, UT 84526  
[montster1@yahoo.com](mailto:montster1@yahoo.com)  
(435) 472-0396

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Chantal Papillon (chantalpapillon@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message**Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at  
8:18 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Chantal Papillon  
3225 E Marthas Cv  
Sandy, UT 84093  
[chantalpapillon@icloud.com](mailto:chantalpapillon@icloud.com)  
(385) 302-6490

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Rowan Cummins (rowancummins4075@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at  
8:48 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Rowan Cummins  
3970 S Howick St  
Millcreek, UT 84107  
[rowancummins4075@gmail.com](mailto:rowancummins4075@gmail.com)  
(208) 650-5417

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Joel Provencher (jproglow@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 8:51 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Joel Provencher  
8879 S Silverstone Way  
Sandy, UT 84093  
[jproglow@hotmail.com](mailto:jproglow@hotmail.com)  
(443) 690-2193

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Katherine Kingston (kait@thepperformerstudio.com) Sent You a Personal Message**Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at  
8:59 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

It's time to do what is right, not what's profitable, or easy.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Katherine Kingston  
604 E Leland Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106  
[kait@thepperformerstudio.com](mailto:kait@thepperformerstudio.com)  
(801) 641-4987

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Ann Hutton (ann.hutton@nurs.utah.edu) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 9:05 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ann Hutton  
1835 E Michigan Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108  
[ann.hutton@nurs.utah.edu](mailto:ann.hutton@nurs.utah.edu)  
(801) 582-5194

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Steffani Levels (slevels@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 9:40 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Our children are depending on it!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Steffani Levels  
663 W Nielsen Farm Ct  
Taylorsville, UT 84123  
[slevels@msn.com](mailto:slevels@msn.com)  
(801) 484-5400

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Dixie Oyler (bader1952@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 10:09 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Dixie Oyler  
733 E Ramona Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105  
[bader1952@yahoo.com](mailto:bader1952@yahoo.com)  
(801) 915-3466

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Laura McCullough (saura23@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 10:20 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I have lived in Utah all my life. The inversions make me very ill and we need to consider what will be best for current and future generations!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Laura McCullough  
73 F St Apt 11  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103  
[saura23@yahoo.com](mailto:saura23@yahoo.com)  
(801) 550-3469

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Larry Muench (sptsman4@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 10:37 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

It's time to stop subsidizing unhealthy air. As the population grows, the air gets worse and a large part of it is coal-fired power plants. It's time to transition to cleaner power and air.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Larry Muench  
1566 Sunview Dr  
Ogden, UT 84404  
[sptsman4@aol.com](mailto:sptsman4@aol.com)  
(801) 399-0672

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Rachel Parry (rayziaqi@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 12:07 AM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Rachel Parry  
3859 W 625 N  
Cedar City, UT 84721  
[rayziaqi@yahoo.com](mailto:rayziaqi@yahoo.com)  
(435) 691-2603

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Sabine Weil (utahsabine@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 12:09 AM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Thank you for considering this request. We are so fortunate to live in this beautiful and rapidly growing state. Unfortunately, however, Utah is an area especially threatened by climate change. Our collective health, economic well-being and happiness rest on wise planning, especially by the energy sector. Thank you!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sabine Weil  
4527 S Wallace Ln  
Holladay, UT 84117  
[utahsabine@gmail.com](mailto:utahsabine@gmail.com)  
(801) 560-7601



3/1/22, 8:10 AM

State of Utah Mail - Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Gordon Lind (gordylind@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 12:25 AM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Gordon Lind  
534 E Hilo St  
Sandy, UT 84070  
[gordylind@yahoo.com](mailto:gordylind@yahoo.com)  
(801) 571-4185

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Andrea Green (jerandkyhan@centurylink.net) Sent You a Personal Message**

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at  
12:34 AM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

The people of Utah trust your company to protect our environment for the highest good of our community. Please hold your standards higher.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Andrea Green  
7452 S Maple St  
Midvale, UT 84047  
[jerandkyhan@centurylink.net](mailto:jerandkyhan@centurylink.net)  
(801) 879-0332

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Sean Myles (seanmyles2002@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 3:37 AM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

As the IPCC issues the latest report into the dire effects of our continued addiction to fossil-fuel use, please seize this moment to ensure that companies like RMP cannot continue making short-term financial gains at the expense of our children's future. We've known about the impacts of fossil-fuel use for decades: The time to act decisively to prevent disastrous environmental effects is now.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sean Myles  
621 E 11th Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103  
[seanmyles2002@yahoo.com](mailto:seanmyles2002@yahoo.com)  
(801) 949-8792



3/1/22, 8:11 AM

State of Utah Mail - Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Ken Smith (kcsmith5@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 8:10 AM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ken Smith  
1599 N 1770 E  
Logan, UT 84341  
[kcsmith5@msn.com](mailto:kcsmith5@msn.com)  
(435) 752-3403

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Paul Maurer (pmaurer1@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**  
<kwautomail@phone2action.com>  
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 8:15 AM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

C'mon, care about your children and theirs!  
The world is heating up horribly. Get these companies to be responsible!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Paul Maurer  
119 M St Apt 4  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103  
[pmaurer1@hotmail.com](mailto:pmaurer1@hotmail.com)  
(801) 913-1732

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Katherine Hunter (kakahunter@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 8:47 AM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Hello! I am concerned about the future health and welfare of my granddaughter and the kind of world she will inherit if we do not curb pollution. Please enforce policies that will clean our beautiful home on the one planet we call home. It's all we've got! Do everything in your power to encourage, require safe clean energy alternatives to fossil fuels, or dangerous nuclear energy. With the possibility of foreign aggressors and reckless leaders as Putin as demonstrated in Ukraine, nuclear energy has the potential to become a weapon if targeted by enemies. Please use wisdom and care for the health of all concerned if we are to survive human greed. Thank you for your consideration.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Katherine Hunter  
256 E 100 S  
Moab, UT 84532  
[kakahunter@gmail.com](mailto:kakahunter@gmail.com)



(435) 259-8378

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Susan Mckeon (sbrosemckeon@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 8:55

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Susan Mckeon  
33 W Village Cir  
Midway, UT 84049  
[sbrosemckeon@yahoo.com](mailto:sbrosemckeon@yahoo.com)  
(435) 225-0199

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

## Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

1 message

---

**Kimberly Lamb (kim.lamb@ucas-edu.net) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 9:34

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Lamb  
1211 W 1460 N # B  
Provo, UT 84604  
[kim.lamb@ucas-edu.net](mailto:kim.lamb@ucas-edu.net)  
(801) 400-1710

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**1 message

---

**Jane Myers (myersjane2004@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 10:01

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I have waited for six hours to speak at the public service commission meetings in the past. My husband and I purchased solar panels which are producing power on already used land, ours. The power is being sold to the three schools near us during the day. We pay for the upkeep. The grid is already in place. This is the more secure way to produce power without covering more arable land. During stormy days, the cleaner fuels can be used to produce power. Coal is contributing to our pollution, along with the cars. We need to have a plan that addresses the burgeoning climate crises. Hopefully, the two public service commissioners that aren't on the payroll of Rocky Mountain Power will stand up for the people of Utah and the western United States.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jane Myers  
5317 W Wheatridge Ln  
West Jordan, UT 84081



[myersjane2004@yahoo.com](mailto:myersjane2004@yahoo.com)  
(801) 280-2374

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Timothy Cline (paddleboat106@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 10:04

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

The major concern is the climate!

It's time for this corporation to be climate responsible. Utah could be a major player in solar energy.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Timothy Cline  
10993 S Kestrel Rise Rd  
South Jordan, UT 84009  
[paddleboat106@gmail.com](mailto:paddleboat106@gmail.com)  
(801) 280-3632

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**1 message

---

**Joyce Langston (pollydogg@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 10:16

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Mr. King,

I believe that our state, Utah, deserves an energy plan that's based in reality, not false solutions. Rocky Mountain Power's most recent 20-year utility plan ignores the realities of climate change, federal law, and declining coal economics. I am asking the Public Service Commission to reject Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 Integrated Resource Plan and demand a plan that accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities, prepares Utah for a climate resilient future, and invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Thank you for your consideration.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Joyce Langston  
1621 E Emerson Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



[pollydogg@comcast.net](mailto:pollydogg@comcast.net)  
(801) 466-5313

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Tracy Rogers (trogers1962@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 10:30

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Just need to take the time and think about the future

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tracy Rogers  
1002 N 2075 W # 25  
Ogden, UT 84404  
[trogers1962@gmail.com](mailto:trogers1962@gmail.com)  
(801) 675-1848

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**1 message

---

**Jackie Biskupski (jackiebiskupski@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

10:32 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

The earth can't wait for the failure of men to be transparent and responsible. Please make sure you do your part to hold them accountable.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jackie Biskupski  
1519 S 1900 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108  
[jackiebiskupski@gmail.com](mailto:jackiebiskupski@gmail.com)  
(801) 484-8369

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Kira Church (blond\_kira@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 10:35

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Please invest in our future now by planning for renewable energy sources now!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kira Church  
2243 Lorin Cir  
Ogden, UT 84401  
[blond\\_kira@yahoo.com](mailto:blond_kira@yahoo.com)  
(801) 605-8642

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Rick Creeger (fisherdude47@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 10:48

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Sold my soul to the company store sums up my faith in anything that comes out of a coal mining town. Coal is stifling the market expansion of energy! The transition from coal to alternative energy sources would be relatively easy as the plants are nothing more than transfer stations and the funding is already there in the form of \$20billion in fossil fuel subsidies which can easily fund the transition. The earth needs this now! Unapparelled profits are waiting to be made! Be part of the solution!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Rick Creeger  
159 W 2nd St  
Ogden, UT 84404  
[fisherdude47@comcast.net](mailto:fisherdude47@comcast.net)  
(801) 791-9913



This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Terry Thomas (lau@xmission.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 11:02

AM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Utah residents demand and deserve a plan that serves Utah, not corporate utility profits.

Utah residents demand a plan that:

- 1- Accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities
- 2- Prepares Utah for a climate resilient future, and invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy.
- 3- Includes tested storage technology

Terry Thomas  
Salt Lake City, Utah

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.



Sincerely,

Terry Thomas  
1840 N Stallion Ln  
Slc, UT 84116  
[lau@xmission.com](mailto:lau@xmission.com)  
(801) 466-3196

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Terry Mitchell (terry@utahliving.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 11:04

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Terry Mitchell  
1153 E Stratford Ave  
Slc, UT 84106  
[terry@utahliving.com](mailto:terry@utahliving.com)  
(801) 347-0333

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Raquel Yensen (raquel.yensen2017@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at  
1:15 PM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Raquel Yensen  
964 S 930 W  
Payson, UT 84651  
[raquel.yensen2017@gmail.com](mailto:raquel.yensen2017@gmail.com)  
(801) 361-4821

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Jean Stephenson (jls1113@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 2:18 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jean Stephenson  
150 South 300 East # 207  
Jean, UT 84111  
[jls1113@aol.com](mailto:jls1113@aol.com)  
(801) 521-3055

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Tracey Douthett (cleos.mom@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 2:27 PM

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Please, I am asking that the Public Service Commission reject Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 Integrated Resource Plan and demand a plan that accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities, prepares Utah for a climate resilient future, and invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Thank you.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP "should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable." By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tracey Douthett  
4964 E Meadows Dr  
Park City, UT 84098  
[cleos.mom@hotmail.com](mailto:cleos.mom@hotmail.com)  
(435) 513-1635



3/1/22, 2:30 PM

State of Utah Mail - Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Philip Giles (pgiles5046@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 3:12

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Future for Homo sapiens = nearing extinction. Oh well, nice while it lasted. Notice to greedy, fossil-fuel bastards -- You are the first to go!

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Philip Giles  
2959 S Garden Cir  
South Salt Lake, UT 84115  
[pgiles5046@msn.com](mailto:pgiles5046@msn.com)  
(385) 236-4767

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Angela Bullock (angela\_a\_bullock@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at  
3:16 PM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Angela Bullock  
1081 W 993 N, Unit 404  
Orem, UT 84057  
[angela\\_a\\_bullock@yahoo.com](mailto:angela_a_bullock@yahoo.com)  
(262) 753-3486

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Ariane Racer (ari.garrett@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 3:49

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Originally from Colorado, but have lived a majority of my life here. It's truly, truly disheartening, but even worse, terrifying how drastic the weather has changed here.

I remember how deep the snow would get in the winter! We were literally snowed in, in Murray on 1/11/93 and had a snow day from school. Snow banks piled higher than our 6ft-ish heads! Going sledding with friends almost daily. NO droughts. No extreme fires, except Yellowstone once and the ashes fell on us like snow. March blew in like a lion and it rained so much in the spring!

They want the Winter Olympics here again. But, they'd be pumping out fake snow. Not the powder that we were so famous for.

I hope it's not too late to fix Mother Earth. She's dying and she'll take us with her. And we killed, and still are, killing her.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ariane Racer



3/1/22, 4:11 PM

State of Utah Mail - Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09

3227 S 1565 W Unit 9C  
West Valley City, UT 84119  
[ari.garrett@gmail.com](mailto:ari.garrett@gmail.com)  
(801) 953-0551

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**Ralph and Kay Packard (ted.packard@utah.edu) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

4:00 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ralph and Kay Packard  
2524 S Elizabeth St Unit 2  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106  
[ted.packard@utah.edu](mailto:ted.packard@utah.edu)  
(801) 278-5958

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

---

**W Dixon (bdixon@xmission.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 4:01

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

We need to move this country forward to cleaner energy that minimizes carbon dioxide emissions.

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

W Dixon  
10 Heritage CV  
Logan, UT 84321  
[bdixon@xmission.com](mailto:bdixon@xmission.com)  
(435) 760-0691

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.









PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Public Comment on Docket No. 21-035-09**

1 message

**Dana Joslyn (danadesign@xmission.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Mar 1, 2022 at 4:52

&lt;kwautomail@phone2action.com&gt;

PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge you to not accept the Rocky Mountain Power 2021 Integrated Resource Plan for filing. The current 20-year plan and 5-year Action Plan represent a huge risk for Utah's future and do not reflect my values as a Utah resident. I am deeply concerned that the current IRP ignores critical information and employs wishful thinking on behalf of untested technologies to build an unrealistic energy mix, without any viable contingency plan. Rocky Mountain Power's failure to consider climate change impacts, the continued decline of coal economics, and Clean Air Act compliance requirements for their thermal plants, does not mean those realities do not exist, but rather, that Utah communities will have to face the consequences of inaction unprepared and unsupported by their public utility. We deserve a utility plan that ensures least cost and least risk energy supply that is based in reality, not false solutions.

The Natrium nuclear plant, targeted to be online relatively soon by 2028, is shockingly unsupported in the IRP with no contract or permitting details and no reasonable accounting of costs and risks. Worse yet, Rocky Mountain Power has forced the nuclear project into almost every single planning scenario. What happens when the project runs into inevitable delays and procedural hurdles? What alternative resources would need to be built to replace that capacity in the near term? We do not know, because Rocky Mountain Power has not included that very foreseeable reality into planning assumptions.

Utah's own Electric IRP Guidelines state that an IRP should include a demonstration and analysis as to whether the resources studied are the least-cost/least risk, the modeling assumptions, sensitivity analyses, the types of resources considered and a demonstration that the assumptions used in the study are reasonable. By this standard alone, the Utah Commission should not accept the Rocky Mountain Power IRP for filing. The utility has repeatedly failed to plan for existing and projected Clean Air Act compliance obligations on coal plants. The utility manufactured a recent crisis because of this lack of planning, which required an emergency proclamation from Wyoming Governor Gordon to keep Jim Bridger unit 2 operating in violation of federal law. Now, coal communities and customers must contend with severe uncertainty because Rocky Mountain Power acted irresponsibly by refusing to plan for the foreseeable eventuality of federal air regulations.

Utah needs a plan that prepares us to address the very real challenges facing our state. We need a plan that takes our carbon-constrained economy into account, and accurately represents transition timelines to coal workers and communities. We need a plan that includes consideration of the causes, impacts, and risks of climate change, and prepares Utah for a more resilient future. We need a plan that invests in the untapped potential of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and tested storage technology. Rocky Mountain Power's 2021 IRP fails to reasonably address all of these concerns, and should be rejected.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Dana Joslyn  
2248 E Emerson Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108  
[danadesign@xmission.com](mailto:danadesign@xmission.com)  
(801) 631-1236

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at [core.help@sierraclub.org](mailto:core.help@sierraclub.org) or (415) 977-5500.