

August 26, 2021

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Public Service Commission of Utah
Heber M. Wells Building, 4th Floor
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, UT 84114

Attention: Gary Widerburg
Commission Administrator

**Re: Docket No. 21-035-42
In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Application for Alternative Cost
Recovery for Major Plant Additions of the Pryor Mountain and TB Flats Wind
Projects**

On August 3, 2021, Rocky Mountain Power (the "Company") filed its Application in the above-captioned matter. The Company has identified errors in paragraphs 4 and 5 of its Application.

The Company submits for filing with the Commission the enclosed Errata correcting paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Application. For convenience, the Company also provides red-lined and clean versions of page 4 of the Application with the corrections. The Company apologizes for any inconvenience caused by the error.

Informal inquiries may be directed to Jana Saba at (801) 220-2823.

Sincerely,



Joelle Steward
Vice President, Regulation

cc: Service List Docket Nos. 21-035-42

Emily Wegener (12275)
Stephanie Barber-Renteria (8808)
Rocky Mountain Power
1407 W. North Temple, Suite 320
Salt Lake City, Utah 84116
Telephone: (801) 220-4526
Fax: (801) 220-4615
E-mail: emily.wegener@pacificorp.com
stephanie.barber-renteria@pacificorp.com

Attorneys for Rocky Mountain Power

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of)	
Alternative Cost Recovery for Major Plant)	Docket No. 21-035-42
Additions of the Pryor Mountain and)	
TB Flats Wind Projects)	
)	
)	

ERRATA TO APPLICATION FOR ALTERNATIVE COST RECOVERY

On August 3, 2021, PacifiCorp, dba Rocky Mountain Power (“Rocky Mountain Power” or the “Company”), filed with the Public Service Commission of Utah (“Commission”) an Application (“Application”) for alternative cost recovery of the major plant addition investments in the Pryor Mountain and TB Flats wind projects that are not recovered through current rates set in the 2020 General Rate Case, Docket No. 20-035-04 (“2020 GRC”).

The Company has identified errors in the Application, in paragraphs 4. and 5., regarding the nameplate capacity of the projects that was in-service at the start of 2021. Paragraph 4. of the Application reads:

4. For Pryor Mountain, approximately 160 MW of nameplate capacity was in-service at the start of 2021. The remaining 80 MW of capacity was placed into service as of April 1, 2021.

Paragraph 4. should read:

4. For Pryor Mountain, approximately 20 MW of nameplate capacity was in-service at the start of 2021. The remaining 220 MW of capacity was placed into service as of April 1, 2021.

In addition, paragraph 5. of the Application reads:


5. For TB Flats, approximately 309 MW of nameplate capacity was in-service at the start of 2021. The remaining approximately 191 MW of capacity was placed into service on July 26, 2021.

Paragraph 5. should read:

5. For TB Flats, approximately 204.3 MW of nameplate capacity was in-service at the start of 2021. The remaining approximately 303 MW of capacity was placed into service on July 26, 2021.

DATED this 26th day of August 2021.

Respectfully submitted,
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER



Emily Wegener
Stephanie Barber-Renteria
1407 West North Temple, Suite 320
Salt Lake City, Utah 84116
Telephone No. (801) 220-4526
Facsimile No. (801) 220-3299
emily.wegener@pacificorp.com
stephanie.barber-renteria@pacificorp.com
Attorneys for Rocky Mountain Power

~~c~~Communication equipment, and supervisory control and data acquisition control equipment.

3. At the time Rocky Mountain Power filed its application in the 2020 GRC, on May 8, 2020, the Company expected Pryor Mountain and TB Flats to achieve commercial operation in December 2020 and be in service for the entire calendar year 2021 test period in the case. However, the completion of construction for both wind projects was unavoidably delayed due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

4. For Pryor Mountain, approximately ~~16020~~ MW of nameplate capacity was in-service at the start of 2021. The remaining ~~80220~~ MW of capacity was placed into service as of April 1, 2021.

5. For TB Flats, approximately ~~309204.3~~ MW of nameplate capacity was in-service at the start of 2021. The remaining approximately ~~191303~~ MW of capacity was placed into service on July 26, 2021.

6. In the 2020 GRC, Rocky Mountain Power proposed implementing a two-step rate increase, the second step of which would have included annualized recovery of the delayed plant investments rather than an average-of-period for plant in service during the test period.

7. This approach was not adopted by the Commission. Instead, the Commission adjusted the Company's "revenue requirement to reflect the inclusion of the delayed portions of [Pryor Mountain and TB Flats] in rate base at their average-of-period values in the Test Year."¹

¹ *Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations*, Docket No. 20-035-04, Confidential Order at 46 (Dec. 30, 2020).

communication equipment, and supervisory control and data acquisition control equipment.

3. At the time Rocky Mountain Power filed its application in the 2020 GRC, on May 8, 2020, the Company expected Pryor Mountain and TB Flats to achieve commercial operation in December 2020 and be in service for the entire calendar year 2021 test period in the case. However, the completion of construction for both wind projects was unavoidably delayed due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

4. For Pryor Mountain, approximately 20 MW of nameplate capacity was in-service at the start of 2021. The remaining 220 MW of capacity was placed into service as of April 1, 2021.

5. For TB Flats, approximately 204.3 MW of nameplate capacity was in-service at the start of 2021. The remaining approximately 303 MW of capacity was placed into service on July 26, 2021.

6. In the 2020 GRC, Rocky Mountain Power proposed implementing a two-step rate increase, the second step of which would have included annualized recovery of the delayed plant investments rather than an average-of-period for plant in service during the test period.

7. This approach was not adopted by the Commission. Instead, the Commission adjusted the Company's "revenue requirement to reflect the inclusion of the delayed portions of [Pryor Mountain and TB Flats] in rate base at their average-of-period values in the Test Year."¹

¹ *Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations*, Docket No. 20-035-04, Confidential Order at 46 (Dec. 30, 2020).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Docket No. 21-035-42

I hereby certify that on August 26, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic mail to the following:

Utah Office of Consumer Services

Michele Beck mbeck@utah.gov
ocs@utah.gov

Utah Association of Energy Users

Phillip J. Russell prussell@jdrslaw.com
Kevin C. Higgins khiggins@energystrat.com
Neal Townsend ntownsend@energystrat.com

Division of Public Utilities

dpudatarequest@utah.gov

Assistant Attorney General

Patricia Schmid pschmid@agutah.gov
Justin Jetter jjetter@agutah.gov
Robert Moore rmoore@agutah.gov
Victor Copeland vcopeland@agutah.gov

Rocky Mountain Power

Data Request Response Center
Jana Saba jana.saba@pacificorp.com
utahdockets@pacificorp.com

Emily Wegener



Mary Penfield
Adviser, Regulatory Operations