Rocky Mountain Power Docket No. 22-035-01 Witness: Jack Painter

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF UTAH

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Rebuttal Testimony of Jack Painter

November 2022

1	Q.	Are you the same Jack Painter who previously filed direct and response testimony
2		in this proceeding on behalf of PacifiCorp, d.b.a. Rocky Mountain Power
3		("the Company")?
4	A.	Yes.
5		PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
6	Q.	What is the purpose of your response testimony?
7	A.	My testimony responds to certain issues raised by the Office of Consumer Services
8		("OCS") and the Utah Association of Energy Users ("UAE"). Specifically, I discuss the
9		Energy Imbalance Market ("EIM") Body of State Regulators ("BOSR") and Western
10		Power Pool ("WPP") Western Resource Adequacy Program ("WRAP") fees that UAE
11		proposes to remove from the Energy Balancing Account ("EBA"). I also present a
12		minor correction to the calculation provided by the OCS for a reduction in the EBA
13		related to the Aeolus event.
14	Q.	Are any other Company witnesses filing testimony in response to issues raised by
15		the OCS and UAE?
16	A.	Yes. Company witness Mr. Eller provides additional information regarding the Aeolus
17		Substation outage event and explains how the Company's actions were prudent.
		EIM BOSR AND WPP WRAP FEES
18	Q.	What does the UAE propose in regard to the EIM BOSR fee and the WPP WRAP
19		fee?
20	A.	The UAE recommends both the EIM BOSR and WPP WRAP fees be removed from
21		the EBA recovery because they are not currently approved as costs in the EBA.

Page 1 – Rebuttal Testimony of Jack Painter

Q. Does the Company agree with UAE that the EIM BOSR fee and WPP WRAP fee
should be removed from the EBA?

- A. No. Both the EIM BOSR fee and the WPP WRAP fee are directly tied to NPC and
 provide benefits to customers through lower NPC in the EBA.
- 26 Q. What is the EIM BOSR and why should fees associated with it be included in the
 27 EBA?
- 28 The Western Interstate Energy Board describes the EIM BOSR as "an independent, A. 29 self-governing organization charged with participating in and informing state 30 regulatory officials about Western EIM developments and activities, recognizes a need 31 for independent technical expertise and staff resources to support the organization in its efforts to effectively fulfill its obligations."¹ The Utah Public Service Commission 32 33 ("Commission") is a participant in the EIM BOSR and the fee supports this 34 participation, along with educating commissions, as stakeholders in the EIM, about 35 California Independent System Operator ("CAISO")-related matters. This fee is similar 36 to EIM administrative costs that are already included in the EBA. The Company incurs 37 these costs as a participant in the EIM, which is directly related to NPC and which 38 produces significant net benefits to customers.

39 Q. What is the WPP WRAP and why should fees associated with it be included in the 40 EBA?

A. The WPP WRAP is a regional reliability planning program addressing resource
adequacy and reliability in the region through coordination, collaboration, operating
efficiencies, and sharing pooled resources. Resource adequacy issues are becoming a

Page 2 - Rebuttal Testimony of Jack Painter

¹ <u>https://www.westernenergyboard.org/western-energy-imbalance-market-body-of-state-regulators/state-regulated-market-participant-funding-agreement/</u>

44 much more significant issue in the West and the regional reliability planning function
45 the WPP WRAP provides is critical to ensuring that customers continue to receive
46 reliable, affordable energy supply.

47 Q. How does the WPP WRAP benefit Utah customers in the EBA?

- A. Like the Western EIM and the BOSR, there is a direct correlation to system operations
 and net power costs in the WPP WRAP. This program will provide benefits to
 customers by setting up a structure that ensures the Western United States has the
 resources available to meet the needs of Rocky Mountain Power's customers. These
 costs are directly related to the Company's variable NPC and should therefore be
 included in the EBA.
- 54

AEOLUS EVENT

55 Q. Please describe OCS's proposed adjustment for the Aeolus Event.

A. OCS recommends reducing NPC by \$7,489,613 on a Utah allocated basis associated
with the Aeolus event on the basis that the Company has not provided sufficient details
to support that it acted prudently.

59 Q. Does the Company agree this proposed adjustment to the EBA recovery due to the 60 Aeolus event is appropriate?

A. No. Company witness Mr. Craig Eller responds to the merits of OCS's proposed adjustment and provides support for the Company's position that operations were prudent.

64 Q. Did you review OCS's calculation for the replacement power costs and lost PTCs 65 associated with the Aeolus event?

66 A. Yes.

Q. Notwithstanding the Company's objection to the proposed adjustments, does the
 Company agree with OCS's calculation of the replacement power costs and lost
 PTCs?

A. The Company generally agrees with OCS's calculations relating to replacement power
costs, but found one minor correction related to the replacement energy for wind
outages. Specifically, the allocation factors used are the System Energy ("SE"), but
instead should be System Generation ("SG"). Once this correction is made, the
Company agrees with the remaining aspects of OCS's calculations.

75 Q. What is the impact to the replacement power costs adjustments proposed by the

- 76 **DPU after correcting the capacity factors for TB Flats?**
- A. The impact to OCS's replacement power cost calculation is a reduction of \$13,116 on
 a Utah-allocated basis.
- 79 CONCLUSION
- 80 Q. What is your recommendation to the Commission?
- A. The Company requests the Commission approve the Company's request to recover
 \$90,427,325, which has been updated from the Company's initial filing and included
 in previously filed response testimony.
- 84 Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
- 85 A. Yes.