



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment, Docket 22-035-35**

1 message

---

**Oliver Brown** <brow noliver@gmail.com>  
To: psc@utah.gov

Sat, Aug 13, 2022 at 8:58 AM

ATTN PSC:

Thank you for working hard to keep utilities in Utah something we can count on and at rates we can afford.

In another direction though, I am concerned about Pacficorp generating power through burning fossil fuels and not being held accountable for the air pollution, water pollution, and climate change they are causing. I think we need to put pressure on them to invest more in renewable energy. In accordance with this, Pacficorp should not be responsible to provide its view of pollution costs. Will you please update your IRP guidelines to include:

1. An independent body, maybe even someone at the PSC, needs to be appointed to identify environmental costs
2. the cost incurred by the independent body is included in its report
3. a technical review of the latest environmental costs research be included in the biennial IRP process

Thank you again for your hard work and diligence on our behalf. I would like to see Pacficorp take responsibility for their pollution and encourage renewable energy. I feel Pacficorp has recently lost interest in renewable energy and has taken away incentives for solar and wind power generation.

Oliver Brown  
Saratoga Springs, UT resident



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Comment, Docket 22-035-35

1 message

---

**Joey Eccleston** <joeyeccleston@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 12:56 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

The current IRP is broken and cannot be defined by RMP. You need input from the public and any other organization that cares about the drought we are in and making Utah a place we all still want to live.

Thank you,  
Joey Eccleston  
[1426 E 525 N, Layton, UT 84040](#)



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Comment, Docket 22-035-35**

1 message

---

**Laurie Eccleston** <leccleston@hotmail.com>  
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 1:15 PM

Greetings:

I was just made aware of the fact the PacifiCorp is the entity that dictates the IRP process and it is woefully out of date and does not take into consideration current climate change concerns.

We've just experienced our hottest two Julys on record. We now have renewable technologies that are proven and are cost effective. With the passage of the Inflation Reduction Act, we finally have the government moving ahead with real climate action. Please hold RMP accountable to bring us clean energy and fully account for all external costs.

- ~ all environmental and societal externality costs are specifically identified and assessed by a neutral third party, not PacifiCorp;
- ~ all IRP resource models and portfolios acknowledge the full range of monetized externality costs; and,
- ~ every biennial IRP process will include a technical review of the latest externalities research.

Laurie Eccleston  
Layton, Utah

Owner of 24 solar panels - dependable workhorses  
2013 Nissan Leaf - still going strong with little battery degradation  
2019 Tesla Model 3 - Awesome and full of power