

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Chelsea Workman (workman.chelsea@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Wed, Sep 20, 2023 at 6:07 PM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Reply-To: Chelsea Workman <workman.chelsea@yahoo.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I live in the literal shadows of Kennecott Copper Mine. In the last 10 years, the changes to my little town are dramatic. Last year we had a dark brown snowstorm that scientists weren't able to verify how it happened, just that it was linked to pollution. Utah deserves more than the risky proposed plans from Rocky Mountain Power. The time to reconsider is now.

I am deeply concerned about Rocky Mountain Power?s recently submitted 2023 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), which does little to reliably commit to reducing our state?s greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this plan maintains our reliance on fossil fuels by continuing to rely on some coal plants well past 2030 while converting others to natural gas, which is infamous for volatile price swings. The plan also relies heavily on new nuclear power, which the company is stating will be ?crucial? for its transition off of fossil fuels. Nuclear hasn?t been a viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades, and it faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered an option, not least of anticipated costs. Unlike the proposed Natrium plant in Wyoming, the proposed nuclear plants in Utah do not have a cost-share arrangement with the federal government.

I strongly urge the Commission to consider these shortcomings when making a decision regarding Rocky Mountain Power?s IRP. Please remember that it's the Utah ratepayers who will be stuck paying the costs when investments in risky technology like nuclear and carbon capture don?t bear fruit. Renewable energy, like wind and solar, are both cost effective and ready to roll out now. Let?s take advantage of this moment and the opportunities in legislation like the Inflation Reduction Act to bring real solutions here to Utah. I urge the Utah Commission to not acknowledge the riskiest aspects of Rocky Mountain Power?s plan, including continued reliance on coal, despite increasing federal regulatory pressure, and unrealistic optimism that three first-of-their-kind nuclear facilities will materialize on time and on budget.

Sincerely,

Chelsea Workman 8832 W Cyprus St, House #106, House #106, House #106 Copperton, UT 84006 workman.chelsea@yahoo.com (801) 918-0033



Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Rehana Kapta (rehanakapta@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Wed, Sep 20, 2023 at 9:02 PM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Reply-To: Rehana Kapta <rehanakapta@gmail.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I am deeply concerned about Rocky Mountain Power?s recently submitted 2023 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), which does little to reliably commit to reducing our state?s greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this plan maintains our reliance on fossil fuels by continuing to rely on some coal plants well past 2030 while converting others to natural gas, which is infamous for volatile price swings. The plan also relies heavily on new nuclear power, which the company is stating will be ?crucial? for its transition off of fossil fuels. Nuclear hasn?t been a viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades, and it faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered an option, not least of anticipated costs. Unlike the proposed Natrium plant in Wyoming, the proposed nuclear plants in Utah do not have a cost-share arrangement with the federal government.

I strongly urge the Commission to consider these shortcomings when making a decision regarding Rocky Mountain Power?s IRP. Please remember that it's the Utah ratepayers who will be stuck paying the costs when investments in risky technology like nuclear and carbon capture don?t bear fruit. Renewable energy, like wind and solar, are both cost effective and ready to roll out now. Let?s take advantage of this moment and the opportunities in legislation like the Inflation Reduction Act to bring real solutions here to Utah. I urge the Utah Commission to not acknowledge the riskiest aspects of Rocky Mountain Power?s plan, including continued reliance on coal, despite increasing federal regulatory pressure, and unrealistic optimism that three first-of-their-kind nuclear facilities will materialize on time and on budget.

Sincerely,

Rehana Kapta 627 N Snowcreek Cir Layton, UT 84040 rehanakapta@gmail.com (281) 685-2739



Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Brandon Bartholomew (bcbutah9@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Wed, Sep 20, 2023 at 11:06 PM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Reply-To: Brandon Bartholomew <bcbutah9@</pre>

Reply-To: Brandon Bartholomew <bcbutah9@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Because I care about myself, my children, and my neighbors, please stop polluting our air!

I am deeply concerned about Rocky Mountain Power?s recently submitted 2023 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), which does little to reliably commit to reducing our state?s greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this plan maintains our reliance on fossil fuels by continuing to rely on some coal plants well past 2030 while converting others to natural gas, which is infamous for volatile price swings. The plan also relies heavily on new nuclear power, which the company is stating will be ?crucial? for its transition off of fossil fuels. Nuclear hasn?t been a viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades, and it faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered an option, not least of anticipated costs. Unlike the proposed Natrium plant in Wyoming, the proposed nuclear plants in Utah do not have a cost-share arrangement with the federal government.

I strongly urge the Commission to consider these shortcomings when making a decision regarding Rocky Mountain Power?s IRP. Please remember that it's the Utah ratepayers who will be stuck paying the costs when investments in risky technology like nuclear and carbon capture don?t bear fruit. Renewable energy, like wind and solar, are both cost effective and ready to roll out now. Let?s take advantage of this moment and the opportunities in legislation like the Inflation Reduction Act to bring real solutions here to Utah. I urge the Utah Commission to not acknowledge the riskiest aspects of Rocky Mountain Power?s plan, including continued reliance on coal, despite increasing federal regulatory pressure, and unrealistic optimism that three first-of-their-kind nuclear facilities will materialize on time and on budget.

Sincerely,

Brandon Bartholomew 2012 N 1000 W West bountiful, UT 84087 bcbutah9@gmail.com (801) 200-7105



Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Eve Scofield (eve3sco@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Thu, Sep 21, 2023 at 9:39 AM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Reply-To: Eve Scofield <eve3sco@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dirty fuels are making our environment worse, and we have a lot of reversal to accomplish before it?s too late to make any changes at all.

I am deeply concerned about Rocky Mountain Power?s recently submitted 2023 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), which does little to reliably commit to reducing our state?s greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this plan maintains our reliance on fossil fuels by continuing to rely on some coal plants well past 2030 while converting others to natural gas, which is infamous for volatile price swings. The plan also relies heavily on new nuclear power, which the company is stating will be ?crucial? for its transition off of fossil fuels. Nuclear hasn?t been a viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades, and it faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered an option, not least of anticipated costs. Unlike the proposed Natrium plant in Wyoming, the proposed nuclear plants in Utah do not have a cost-share arrangement with the federal government.

I strongly urge the Commission to consider these shortcomings when making a decision regarding Rocky Mountain Power?s IRP. Please remember that it's the Utah ratepayers who will be stuck paying the costs when investments in risky technology like nuclear and carbon capture don?t bear fruit. Renewable energy, like wind and solar, are both cost effective and ready to roll out now. Let?s take advantage of this moment and the opportunities in legislation like the Inflation Reduction Act to bring real solutions here to Utah. I urge the Utah Commission to not acknowledge the riskiest aspects of Rocky Mountain Power?s plan, including continued reliance on coal, despite increasing federal regulatory pressure, and unrealistic optimism that three first-of-their-kind nuclear facilities will materialize on time and on budget.

Sincerely,

Eve Scofield 1968 s 1500 e 84105, UT 84105 eve3sco@gmail.com (207) 706-6972



Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Sarah Best (sarbear775@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Thu, Sep 21, 2023 at 9:51 AM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Reply-To: Sarah Best <sarbear775@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I am deeply concerned about Rocky Mountain Power?s recently submitted 2023 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), which does little to reliably commit to reducing our state?s greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this plan maintains our reliance on fossil fuels by continuing to rely on some coal plants well past 2030 while converting others to natural gas, which is infamous for volatile price swings. The plan also relies heavily on new nuclear power, which the company is stating will be ?crucial? for its transition off of fossil fuels. Nuclear hasn?t been a viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades, and it faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered an option, not least of anticipated costs. Unlike the proposed Natrium plant in Wyoming, the proposed nuclear plants in Utah do not have a cost-share arrangement with the federal government.

I strongly urge the Commission to consider these shortcomings when making a decision regarding Rocky Mountain Power?s IRP. Please remember that it's the Utah ratepayers who will be stuck paying the costs when investments in risky technology like nuclear and carbon capture don?t bear fruit. Renewable energy, like wind and solar, are both cost effective and ready to roll out now. Let?s take advantage of this moment and the opportunities in legislation like the Inflation Reduction Act to bring real solutions here to Utah. I urge the Utah Commission to not acknowledge the riskiest aspects of Rocky Mountain Power?s plan, including continued reliance on coal, despite increasing federal regulatory pressure, and unrealistic optimism that three first-of-their-kind nuclear facilities will materialize on time and on budget.

Sincerely,

Sarah Best 378 S 650 E Hyrum, UT 84319 sarbear775@gmail.com (435) 757-0379