



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Lorien Kuster (kuster.lorien@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Oct 2, 2023 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

5:15 PM

Reply-To: Lorien Kuster <kuster.lorien@yahoo.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners LeVar, Clark, and Harvey,

As a Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) ratepayer, I urge you to disapprove the 2023 PacifiCorp Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) as currently written for reasons that include those listed below:

- ~ The IRP lacks an adequate, reliable commitment to reducing Utah's greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this IRP continues our reliance on fossil fuels: potentially operating some coal plants in the 2030s, or potentially even longer if RMP-PacifiCorp's nuclear option fails.
- ~ The IRP would convert other coal burners to natural gas (methane), which is climate damaging and infamous for volatile price swings.
- ~ The plan relies heavily on nuclear power, which hasn't been an economically or environmentally viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades and faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered a credible option. Nevertheless, RMP-PacifiCorp claims that a first-of-its-kind nuclear power plant will be "crucial" for a transition from fossil fuels. Ratepayers could be saddled with massive costs, as has been the case with the Vogtle fiasco in Georgia.
- ~ The IRP allows RMP-PacifiCorp to shift more climate change related costs to ratepayers while forcing us to keep paying for fossil fuels that are driving climate change and its impacts. IRP costs for "hardening" utility equipment against wildfires and extreme weather events, along with increased utility insurance costs, are climate change related fossil fuel related.

The Commission should give these multiple resource plan failings your serious consideration while reviewing the 2023 IRP. Utah ratepayers depend on you to protect us from additional costs when utility investments in fossil fuels and risky technology like nuclear prove ill-advised. Clean renewable energy technologies like wind and solar are cost effective, available now and, with robust energy storage, should be the mainstay of a revised 2023 PacifiCorp IRP.

Please direct RMP-PacifiCorp to correct deficiencies in the 2023 IRP and withhold your approval until the company submits the corrected documents for further review.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lorien Kuster
1291 E Vine Gate Dr Apt 5
Salt Lake City, UT 84121
kuster.lorien@yahoo.com
(808) 220-3779

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Lisa Lambert (sleepinggiant@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Oct 2, 2023 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

5:28 PM

Reply-To: Lisa Lambert <sleepinggiant@yahoo.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I think after seeing what is happening to our great salt lake from over/improper use of water why must you guys spend another 7 years using fossil fuels. o right by us in Utah and switch faster

Dear Commissioners LeVar, Clark, and Harvey,

As a Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) ratepayer, I urge you to disapprove the 2023 PacifiCorp Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) as currently written for reasons that include those listed below:

- ~ The IRP lacks an adequate, reliable commitment to reducing Utah's greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this IRP continues our reliance on fossil fuels: potentially operating some coal plants in the 2030s, or potentially even longer if RMP-PacifiCorp's nuclear option fails.
- ~ The IRP would convert other coal burners to natural gas (methane), which is climate damaging and infamous for volatile price swings.
- ~ The plan relies heavily on nuclear power, which hasn't been an economically or environmentally viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades and faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered a credible option. Nevertheless, RMP-PacifiCorp claims that a first-of-its-kind nuclear power plant will be "crucial" for a transition from fossil fuels. Ratepayers could be saddled with massive costs, as has been the case with the Vogtle fiasco in Georgia.
- ~ The IRP allows RMP-PacifiCorp to shift more climate change related costs to ratepayers while forcing us to keep paying for fossil fuels that are driving climate change and its impacts. IRP costs for "hardening" utility equipment against wildfires and extreme weather events, along with increased utility insurance costs, are climate change related fossil fuel related.

The Commission should give these multiple resource plan failings your serious consideration while reviewing the 2023 IRP. Utah ratepayers depend on you to protect us from additional costs when utility investments in fossil fuels and risky technology like nuclear prove ill-advised. Clean renewable energy technologies like wind and solar are cost effective, available now and, with robust energy storage, should be the mainstay of a revised 2023 PacifiCorp IRP.

Please direct RMP-PacifiCorp to correct deficiencies in the 2023 IRP and withhold your approval until the company submits the corrected documents for further review.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa Lambert
757 Darling St
Ogden, UT 84403
sleepinggiant@yahoo.com
(801) 920-2510

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Patrick Carolan (carolanconst@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal MessageMon, Oct 2, 2023 at
5:46 PM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Reply-To: Patrick Carolan <carolanconst@comcast.net>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

We must clean up atmosphere

Dear Commissioners LeVar, Clark, and Harvey,

As a Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) ratepayer, I urge you to disapprove the 2023 PacifiCorp Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) as currently written for reasons that include those listed below:

- ~ The IRP lacks an adequate, reliable commitment to reducing Utah's greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this IRP continues our reliance on fossil fuels: potentially operating some coal plants in the 2030s, or potentially even longer if RMP-PacifiCorp's nuclear option fails.
- ~ The IRP would convert other coal burners to natural gas (methane), which is climate damaging and infamous for volatile price swings.
- ~ The plan relies heavily on nuclear power, which hasn't been an economically or environmentally viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades and faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered a credible option. Nevertheless, RMP-PacifiCorp claims that a first-of-its-kind nuclear power plant will be "crucial" for a transition from fossil fuels. Ratepayers could be saddled with massive costs, as has been the case with the Vogtle fiasco in Georgia.
- ~ The IRP allows RMP-PacifiCorp to shift more climate change related costs to ratepayers while forcing us to keep paying for fossil fuels that are driving climate change and its impacts. IRP costs for "hardening" utility equipment against wildfires and extreme weather events, along with increased utility insurance costs, are climate change related fossil fuel related.

The Commission should give these multiple resource plan failings your serious consideration while reviewing the 2023 IRP. Utah ratepayers depend on you to protect us from additional costs when utility investments in fossil fuels and risky technology like nuclear prove ill-advised. Clean renewable energy technologies like wind and solar are cost effective, available now and, with robust energy storage, should be the mainstay of a revised 2023 PacifiCorp IRP.

Please direct RMP-PacifiCorp to correct deficiencies in the 2023 IRP and withhold your approval until the company submits the corrected documents for further review.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patrick Carolan
828 E Zenith Ave
Salt Lake City, UT 84106
carolanconst@comcast.net
(801) 414-8288

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Sue DeVall (sdev.cv@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal MessageMon, Oct 2, 2023 at
6:05 PM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Reply-To: Sue DeVall <sdev.cv@gmail.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Stop burning coal and natural gas! Focus on renewable energy.

Dear Commissioners LeVar, Clark, and Harvey,

As a Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) ratepayer, I urge you to disapprove the 2023 PacifiCorp Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) as currently written for reasons that include those listed below:

- ~ The IRP lacks an adequate, reliable commitment to reducing Utah's greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this IRP continues our reliance on fossil fuels: potentially operating some coal plants in the 2030s, or potentially even longer if RMP-PacifiCorp's nuclear option fails.
- ~ The IRP would convert other coal burners to natural gas (methane), which is climate damaging and infamous for volatile price swings.
- ~ The plan relies heavily on nuclear power, which hasn't been an economically or environmentally viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades and faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered a credible option. Nevertheless, RMP-PacifiCorp claims that a first-of-its-kind nuclear power plant will be "crucial" for a transition from fossil fuels. Ratepayers could be saddled with massive costs, as has been the case with the Vogtle fiasco in Georgia.
- ~ The IRP allows RMP-PacifiCorp to shift more climate change related costs to ratepayers while forcing us to keep paying for fossil fuels that are driving climate change and its impacts. IRP costs for "hardening" utility equipment against wildfires and extreme weather events, along with increased utility insurance costs, are climate change related fossil fuel related.

The Commission should give these multiple resource plan failings your serious consideration while reviewing the 2023 IRP. Utah ratepayers depend on you to protect us from additional costs when utility investments in fossil fuels and risky technology like nuclear prove ill-advised. Clean renewable energy technologies like wind and solar are cost effective, available now and, with robust energy storage, should be the mainstay of a revised 2023 PacifiCorp IRP.

Please direct RMP-PacifiCorp to correct deficiencies in the 2023 IRP and withhold your approval until the company submits the corrected documents for further review.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sue DeVall
HC 64 Box 1902
Castle Valley, UT 84532
sdev.cv@gmail.com
(435) 259-6336

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Ian Napper (ian4napper@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal MessageMon, Oct 2, 2023 at
6:39 PM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Reply-To: Ian Napper <ian4napper@gmail.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Clean air and water needs to become a larger priority! We all suffer the consequences of pollution from fossil fuels. Please work to reduce or eliminate our dependence on fossil fuel energy!

Dear Commissioners LeVar, Clark, and Harvey,

As a Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) ratepayer, I urge you to disapprove the 2023 PacifiCorp Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) as currently written for reasons that include those listed below:

- ~ The IRP lacks an adequate, reliable commitment to reducing Utah's greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this IRP continues our reliance on fossil fuels: potentially operating some coal plants in the 2030s, or potentially even longer if RMP-PacifiCorp's nuclear option fails.
- ~ The IRP would convert other coal burners to natural gas (methane), which is climate damaging and infamous for volatile price swings.
- ~ The plan relies heavily on nuclear power, which hasn't been an economically or environmentally viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades and faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered a credible option. Nevertheless, RMP-PacifiCorp claims that a first-of-its-kind nuclear power plant will be "crucial" for a transition from fossil fuels. Ratepayers could be saddled with massive costs, as has been the case with the Vogtle fiasco in Georgia.
- ~ The IRP allows RMP-PacifiCorp to shift more climate change related costs to ratepayers while forcing us to keep paying for fossil fuels that are driving climate change and its impacts. IRP costs for "hardening" utility equipment against wildfires and extreme weather events, along with increased utility insurance costs, are climate change related fossil fuel related.

The Commission should give these multiple resource plan failings your serious consideration while reviewing the 2023 IRP. Utah ratepayers depend on you to protect us from additional costs when utility investments in fossil fuels and risky technology like nuclear prove ill-advised. Clean renewable energy technologies like wind and solar are cost effective, available now and, with robust energy storage, should be the mainstay of a revised 2023 PacifiCorp IRP.

Please direct RMP-PacifiCorp to correct deficiencies in the 2023 IRP and withhold your approval until the company submits the corrected documents for further review.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ian Napper
38 S 300 E
Bountiful, UT 84010
ian4napper@gmail.com
(801) 549-8123

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Ted Packard (ted.packard@utah.edu) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Oct 2, 2023 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

6:49 PM

Reply-To: Ted Packard <ted.packard@utah.edu>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners LeVar, Clark, and Harvey,

As a Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) ratepayer, I urge you to disapprove the 2023 PacifiCorp Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) as currently written for reasons that include those listed below:

- ~ The IRP lacks an adequate, reliable commitment to reducing Utah's greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this IRP continues our reliance on fossil fuels: potentially operating some coal plants in the 2030s, or potentially even longer if RMP-PacifiCorp's nuclear option fails.
- ~ The IRP would convert other coal burners to natural gas (methane), which is climate damaging and infamous for volatile price swings.
- ~ The plan relies heavily on nuclear power, which hasn't been an economically or environmentally viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades and faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered a credible option. Nevertheless, RMP-PacifiCorp claims that a first-of-its-kind nuclear power plant will be "crucial" for a transition from fossil fuels. Ratepayers could be saddled with massive costs, as has been the case with the Vogtle fiasco in Georgia.
- ~ The IRP allows RMP-PacifiCorp to shift more climate change related costs to ratepayers while forcing us to keep paying for fossil fuels that are driving climate change and its impacts. IRP costs for "hardening" utility equipment against wildfires and extreme weather events, along with increased utility insurance costs, are climate change related fossil fuel related.

The Commission should give these multiple resource plan failings your serious consideration while reviewing the 2023 IRP. Utah ratepayers depend on you to protect us from additional costs when utility investments in fossil fuels and risky technology like nuclear prove ill-advised. Clean renewable energy technologies like wind and solar are cost effective, available now and, with robust energy storage, should be the mainstay of a revised 2023 PacifiCorp IRP.

Please direct RMP-PacifiCorp to correct deficiencies in the 2023 IRP and withhold your approval until the company submits the corrected documents for further review.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ted Packard
2584 S Elizabeth St Apt 2
Salt Lake City, UT 84106
ted.packard@utah.edu
(801) 244-3502

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Doug Mayor (dougmayor@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal MessageMon, Oct 2, 2023 at
7:24 PM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Reply-To: Doug Mayor <dougmayor@hotmail.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners LeVar, Clark, and Harvey,

As a Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) ratepayer, I urge you to disapprove the 2023 PacifiCorp Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) as currently written for reasons that include those listed below:

- ~ The IRP lacks an adequate, reliable commitment to reducing Utah's greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this IRP continues our reliance on fossil fuels: potentially operating some coal plants in the 2030s, or potentially even longer if RMP-PacifiCorp's nuclear option fails.
- ~ The IRP would convert other coal burners to natural gas (methane), which is climate damaging and infamous for volatile price swings.
- ~ The plan relies heavily on nuclear power, which hasn't been an economically or environmentally viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades and faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered a credible option. Nevertheless, RMP-PacifiCorp claims that a first-of-its-kind nuclear power plant will be "crucial" for a transition from fossil fuels. Ratepayers could be saddled with massive costs, as has been the case with the Vogtle fiasco in Georgia.
- ~ The IRP allows RMP-PacifiCorp to shift more climate change related costs to ratepayers while forcing us to keep paying for fossil fuels that are driving climate change and its impacts. IRP costs for "hardening" utility equipment against wildfires and extreme weather events, along with increased utility insurance costs, are climate change related fossil fuel related.

The Commission should give these multiple resource plan failings your serious consideration while reviewing the 2023 IRP. Utah ratepayers depend on you to protect us from additional costs when utility investments in fossil fuels and risky technology like nuclear prove ill-advised. Clean renewable energy technologies like wind and solar are cost effective, available now and, with robust energy storage, should be the mainstay of a revised 2023 PacifiCorp IRP.

Please direct RMP-PacifiCorp to correct deficiencies in the 2023 IRP and withhold your approval until the company submits the corrected documents for further review.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Doug Mayor
4672 S Meadow View Cir
Murray, UT 84107
dougmayor@hotmail.com
(801) 263-8656

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Nedra Carroll (nedrajane@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Oct 2, 2023 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

9:16 PM

Reply-To: Nedra Carroll <nedrajane@gmail.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

We need to stop the progression of climate change and clean our air. I grew up in the 50's. Too bad we did not pay attention to maintaining our environment when we had more time to prevent the problems. I miss blue sky, butterflies and open land.

Dear Commissioners LeVar, Clark, and Harvey,

As a Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) ratepayer, I urge you to disapprove the 2023 PacifiCorp Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) as currently written for reasons that include those listed below:

- ~ The IRP lacks an adequate, reliable commitment to reducing Utah's greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this IRP continues our reliance on fossil fuels: potentially operating some coal plants in the 2030s, or potentially even longer if RMP-PacifiCorp's nuclear option fails.
- ~ The IRP would convert other coal burners to natural gas (methane), which is climate damaging and infamous for volatile price swings.
- ~ The plan relies heavily on nuclear power, which hasn't been an economically or environmentally viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades and faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered a credible option. Nevertheless, RMP-PacifiCorp claims that a first-of-its-kind nuclear power plant will be "crucial" for a transition from fossil fuels. Ratepayers could be saddled with massive costs, as has been the case with the Vogtle fiasco in Georgia.
- ~ The IRP allows RMP-PacifiCorp to shift more climate change related costs to ratepayers while forcing us to keep paying for fossil fuels that are driving climate change and its impacts. IRP costs for "hardening" utility equipment against wildfires and extreme weather events, along with increased utility insurance costs, are climate change related fossil fuel related.

The Commission should give these multiple resource plan failings your serious consideration while reviewing the 2023 IRP. Utah ratepayers depend on you to protect us from additional costs when utility investments in fossil fuels and risky technology like nuclear prove ill-advised. Clean renewable energy technologies like wind and solar are cost effective, available now and, with robust energy storage, should be the mainstay of a revised 2023 PacifiCorp IRP.

Please direct RMP-PacifiCorp to correct deficiencies in the 2023 IRP and withhold your approval until the company submits the corrected documents for further review.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nedra Carroll
102 E 6790 S
Midvale, UT 84047
nedrajane@gmail.com
(801) 910-3320

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Alec Miller (alecmillerarts@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Mon, Oct 2, 2023 at

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

9:22 PM

Reply-To: Alec Miller <alecmillerarts@gmail.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Please do the right thing to bring more clean energy to our region. I want my kids to be able to breathe clean air!

Dear Commissioners LeVar, Clark, and Harvey,

As a Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) ratepayer, I urge you to disapprove the 2023 PacifiCorp Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) as currently written for reasons that include those listed below:

- ~ The IRP lacks an adequate, reliable commitment to reducing Utah's greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this IRP continues our reliance on fossil fuels: potentially operating some coal plants in the 2030s, or potentially even longer if RMP-PacifiCorp's nuclear option fails.
- ~ The IRP would convert other coal burners to natural gas (methane), which is climate damaging and infamous for volatile price swings.
- ~ The plan relies heavily on nuclear power, which hasn't been an economically or environmentally viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades and faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered a credible option. Nevertheless, RMP-PacifiCorp claims that a first-of-its-kind nuclear power plant will be "crucial" for a transition from fossil fuels. Ratepayers could be saddled with massive costs, as has been the case with the Vogtle fiasco in Georgia.
- ~ The IRP allows RMP-PacifiCorp to shift more climate change related costs to ratepayers while forcing us to keep paying for fossil fuels that are driving climate change and its impacts. IRP costs for "hardening" utility equipment against wildfires and extreme weather events, along with increased utility insurance costs, are climate change related fossil fuel related.

The Commission should give these multiple resource plan failings your serious consideration while reviewing the 2023 IRP. Utah ratepayers depend on you to protect us from additional costs when utility investments in fossil fuels and risky technology like nuclear prove ill-advised. Clean renewable energy technologies like wind and solar are cost effective, available now and, with robust energy storage, should be the mainstay of a revised 2023 PacifiCorp IRP.

Please direct RMP-PacifiCorp to correct deficiencies in the 2023 IRP and withhold your approval until the company submits the corrected documents for further review.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Alec Miller
5801 S 1185 E
Murray, UT 84121
alecmillerarts@gmail.com
(530) 412-2516

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Rhonda Peters (yogascience@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal MessageTue, Oct 3, 2023 at
6:30 AM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Reply-To: Rhonda Peters <yogascience@gmail.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Air pollution is horrific in Salt Lake City with the inversion layer. We need to do all we can to protect the health and well being of people, and transitioning to renewable energy is very practical easy and saves money and supports national security. There is absolutely no reason to have coal in an IRP other than ignorance or greed.

Dear Commissioners LeVar, Clark, and Harvey,

As a Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) ratepayer, I urge you to disapprove the 2023 PacifiCorp Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) as currently written for reasons that include those listed below:

- ~ The IRP lacks an adequate, reliable commitment to reducing Utah's greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this IRP continues our reliance on fossil fuels: potentially operating some coal plants in the 2030s, or potentially even longer if RMP-PacifiCorp's nuclear option fails.
- ~ The IRP would convert other coal burners to natural gas (methane), which is climate damaging and infamous for volatile price swings.
- ~ The plan relies heavily on nuclear power, which hasn't been an economically or environmentally viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades and faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered a credible option. Nevertheless, RMP-PacifiCorp claims that a first-of-its-kind nuclear power plant will be "crucial" for a transition from fossil fuels. Ratepayers could be saddled with massive costs, as has been the case with the Vogtle fiasco in Georgia.
- ~ The IRP allows RMP-PacifiCorp to shift more climate change related costs to ratepayers while forcing us to keep paying for fossil fuels that are driving climate change and its impacts. IRP costs for "hardening" utility equipment against wildfires and extreme weather events, along with increased utility insurance costs, are climate change related fossil fuel related.

The Commission should give these multiple resource plan failings your serious consideration while reviewing the 2023 IRP. Utah ratepayers depend on you to protect us from additional costs when utility investments in fossil fuels and risky technology like nuclear prove ill-advised. Clean renewable energy technologies like wind and solar are cost effective, available now and, with robust energy storage, should be the mainstay of a revised 2023 PacifiCorp IRP.

Please direct RMP-PacifiCorp to correct deficiencies in the 2023 IRP and withhold your approval until the company submits the corrected documents for further review.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Peters
8526 S 1520 E
Sandy, UT 84093
yogascience@gmail.com
(720) 319-1860

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Kimberly Vincent (kvmagic@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal MessageTue, Oct 3, 2023 at
8:12 AM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Reply-To: Kimberly Vincent <kvmagic@yahoo.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners LeVar, Clark, and Harvey,

As a Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) ratepayer, I urge you to disapprove the 2023 PacifiCorp Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) as currently written for reasons that include those listed below:

- ~ The IRP lacks an adequate, reliable commitment to reducing Utah's greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this IRP continues our reliance on fossil fuels: potentially operating some coal plants in the 2030s, or potentially even longer if RMP-PacifiCorp's nuclear option fails.
- ~ The IRP would convert other coal burners to natural gas (methane), which is climate damaging and infamous for volatile price swings.
- ~ The plan relies heavily on nuclear power, which hasn't been an economically or environmentally viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades and faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered a credible option. Nevertheless, RMP-PacifiCorp claims that a first-of-its-kind nuclear power plant will be "crucial" for a transition from fossil fuels. Ratepayers could be saddled with massive costs, as has been the case with the Vogtle fiasco in Georgia.
- ~ The IRP allows RMP-PacifiCorp to shift more climate change related costs to ratepayers while forcing us to keep paying for fossil fuels that are driving climate change and its impacts. IRP costs for "hardening" utility equipment against wildfires and extreme weather events, along with increased utility insurance costs, are climate change related fossil fuel related.

The Commission should give these multiple resource plan failings your serious consideration while reviewing the 2023 IRP. Utah ratepayers depend on you to protect us from additional costs when utility investments in fossil fuels and risky technology like nuclear prove ill-advised. Clean renewable energy technologies like wind and solar are cost effective, available now and, with robust energy storage, should be the mainstay of a revised 2023 PacifiCorp IRP.

Please direct RMP-PacifiCorp to correct deficiencies in the 2023 IRP and withhold your approval until the company submits the corrected documents for further review.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Vincent
1490 E 8425 S
Sandy, UT 84093
kvmagic@yahoo.com
(801) 550-7560

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Sam Rushforth (samrushforth@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Tue, Oct 3, 2023 at 8:29 AM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Reply-To: Sam Rushforth <samrushforth@gmail.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I am a five-generation Utahan. I love my state and it's landscapes. That is WHEN I CAN SEE THEM. Our state is more and more polluted and can no longer rely on coal-generated energy. It fouls our air and sickens and kills our citizens. Leave coal behind and move to renewables.

Do the right and ultimately profitable thing. Ditch coal and go renewable.

Dear Commissioners LeVar, Clark, and Harvey,

As a Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) ratepayer, I urge you to disapprove the 2023 PacifiCorp Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) as currently written for reasons that include those listed below:

- ~ The IRP lacks an adequate, reliable commitment to reducing Utah's greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this IRP continues our reliance on fossil fuels: potentially operating some coal plants in the 2030s, or potentially even longer if RMP-PacifiCorp's nuclear option fails.
- ~ The IRP would convert other coal burners to natural gas (methane), which is climate damaging and infamous for volatile price swings.
- ~ The plan relies heavily on nuclear power, which hasn't been an economically or environmentally viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades and faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered a credible option. Nevertheless, RMP-PacifiCorp claims that a first-of-its-kind nuclear power plant will be "crucial" for a transition from fossil fuels. Ratepayers could be saddled with massive costs, as has been the case with the Vogtle fiasco in Georgia.
- ~ The IRP allows RMP-PacifiCorp to shift more climate change related costs to ratepayers while forcing us to keep paying for fossil fuels that are driving climate change and its impacts. IRP costs for "hardening" utility equipment against wildfires and extreme weather events, along with increased utility insurance costs, are climate change related fossil fuel related.

The Commission should give these multiple resource plan failings your serious consideration while reviewing the 2023 IRP. Utah ratepayers depend on you to protect us from additional costs when utility investments in fossil fuels and risky technology like nuclear prove ill-advised. Clean renewable energy technologies like wind and solar are cost effective, available now and, with robust energy storage, should be the mainstay of a revised 2023 PacifiCorp IRP.

Please direct RMP-PacifiCorp to correct deficiencies in the 2023 IRP and withhold your approval until the company submits the corrected documents for further review.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sam Rushforth
452 N Palisades Dr
Orem, UT 84097
samrushforth@gmail.com
(801) 380-2889

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Jacqueline Pender (j_pender_pcut@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal MessageTue, Oct 3, 2023 at
10:21 AM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Reply-To: Jacqueline Pender <j_pender_pcut@yahoo.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners LeVar, Clark, and Harvey,

As a Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) ratepayer, I urge you to disapprove the 2023 PacifiCorp Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) as currently written for reasons that include those listed below:

- ~ The IRP lacks an adequate, reliable commitment to reducing Utah's greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this IRP continues our reliance on fossil fuels: potentially operating some coal plants in the 2030s, or potentially even longer if RMP-PacifiCorp's nuclear option fails.
- ~ The IRP would convert other coal burners to natural gas (methane), which is climate damaging and infamous for volatile price swings.
- ~ The plan relies heavily on nuclear power, which hasn't been an economically or environmentally viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades and faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered a credible option. Nevertheless, RMP-PacifiCorp claims that a first-of-its-kind nuclear power plant will be "crucial" for a transition from fossil fuels. Ratepayers could be saddled with massive costs, as has been the case with the Vogtle fiasco in Georgia.
- ~ The IRP allows RMP-PacifiCorp to shift more climate change related costs to ratepayers while forcing us to keep paying for fossil fuels that are driving climate change and its impacts. IRP costs for "hardening" utility equipment against wildfires and extreme weather events, along with increased utility insurance costs, are climate change related fossil fuel related.

The Commission should give these multiple resource plan failings your serious consideration while reviewing the 2023 IRP. Utah ratepayers depend on you to protect us from additional costs when utility investments in fossil fuels and risky technology like nuclear prove ill-advised. Clean renewable energy technologies like wind and solar are cost effective, available now and, with robust energy storage, should be the mainstay of a revised 2023 PacifiCorp IRP.

Please direct RMP-PacifiCorp to correct deficiencies in the 2023 IRP and withhold your approval until the company submits the corrected documents for further review.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Pender
PO Box 981771
Park City, UT 84098
j_pender_pcut@yahoo.com
(435) 513-4891

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comment on RMP IRP, Docket # 23-035-10

1 message

Judith Coller (judithcoller21@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal MessageTue, Oct 3, 2023 at
10:27 AM

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Reply-To: Judith Coller <judithcoller21@gmail.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Dear Commissioners LeVar, Clark, and Harvey,

As a Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) ratepayer, I urge you to disapprove the 2023 PacifiCorp Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) as currently written for reasons that include those listed below:

- ~ The IRP lacks an adequate, reliable commitment to reducing Utah's greenhouse gas emissions in a cost-effective manner. Instead, this IRP continues our reliance on fossil fuels: potentially operating some coal plants in the 2030s, or potentially even longer if RMP-PacifiCorp's nuclear option fails.
- ~ The IRP would convert other coal burners to natural gas (methane), which is climate damaging and infamous for volatile price swings.
- ~ The plan relies heavily on nuclear power, which hasn't been an economically or environmentally viable solution for our nation's energy needs in decades and faces significant hurdles before it can even be considered a credible option. Nevertheless, RMP-PacifiCorp claims that a first-of-its-kind nuclear power plant will be "crucial" for a transition from fossil fuels. Ratepayers could be saddled with massive costs, as has been the case with the Vogtle fiasco in Georgia.
- ~ The IRP allows RMP-PacifiCorp to shift more climate change related costs to ratepayers while forcing us to keep paying for fossil fuels that are driving climate change and its impacts. IRP costs for "hardening" utility equipment against wildfires and extreme weather events, along with increased utility insurance costs, are climate change related fossil fuel related.

The Commission should give these multiple resource plan failings your serious consideration while reviewing the 2023 IRP. Utah ratepayers depend on you to protect us from additional costs when utility investments in fossil fuels and risky technology like nuclear prove ill-advised. Clean renewable energy technologies like wind and solar are cost effective, available now and, with robust energy storage, should be the mainstay of a revised 2023 PacifiCorp IRP.

Please direct RMP-PacifiCorp to correct deficiencies in the 2023 IRP and withhold your approval until the company submits the corrected documents for further review.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Judith Coller
3510 W 3650 S
West Valley City, UT 84119
judithcoller21@gmail.com
(801) 214-4679

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Member Care at Sierra Club at member.care@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5673.