1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH
2	-000-
3	
4	Application of Rocky )
	Mountain Power for Waiver of )
5	the Solicitation Process and )
	Waiver of the Requirement )
6	for Preapproval of )
	Significant Energy Resource )
7	Decision )
	) Docket No. 23-035-11
8	)
9	
10	
11	
12	VIDEOCONFERENCE HEARING TAKEN THROUGH
13	VERITEXT - ADVANCED REPORTING SOLUTIONS VIA ZOOM
14	Taken on March 9, 2023
15	1:00 p.m. to 1:30 p.m.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Reported by: Michelle Mallonee, RPR, CCR
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 1

```
1
                             APPEARANCES
2.
     Public Service Commission:
3
     Eric Martinson, Mediator (ericmartinson@utah.gov)
     Yvonne Hogle (yhogle@utah.gov)
4
     Melissa Paschal (mpaschal@utah.gov)
     Melinda Krahenbuhl (mkrahenbuhl@utah.gov)
5
6
    Rocky Mountain Power:
7
     Jana Saba (jana.saba@pacificorp.com)
     Joseph Dallas (joseph.dallas@pacificorp.com)
8
     Craiq Eller
     Daniel MacNiel
9
     Joelle Steward (joelle.steward@pacificorp.com)
     Lara Esteban
     Jack Painter
10
11
     Department of Public Utilities:
12
     Bob Davis (radavis@utah.gov)
13
     Doug Wheelwright (dwheelwright@utah.gov)
     David Williams (dcwilli@utah.gov)
14
15
     Office of Consumer Services:
16
     Bela Vestag (bvastag@utah.gov)
     Michelle Beck (mbeck@utah.gov)
17
    Utah Attorney General's Office:
18
19
     Patricia E. Schmid (pschmid@agutah.gov)
     Patrick Grecu (pgrecu@agutah.gov)
2.0
21
     Utah Clean Energy:
2.2
     Sarah Puzzo (spuzzo@utahcleanenergy.org)
23
     Utah Association of Energy Users:
2.4
     Phillip Russell (prussell@jdrslaw.com)
2.5
                                                 Page 2
```

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	-000-
3	MODERATOR MARTINSON: This is the time noticed
4	for a virtual technical conference under Docket
5	No. 23-035-11, Application of Rocky Mountain Power for
6	Waiver of the Solicitation Process and Waiver of the
7	Requirement for Preapproval of Significant Energy
8	Resource.
9	My name is Eric Martinson, and I am the
10	Commission's designated moderator for the technical
11	conference.
12	Before we turn the time over to Rocky Mountain
13	Power, we want to make sure that the court reporter is
14	ready to go. If you can indicate that.
15	THE COURT REPORTER: Hi. I'm ready. Thank you.
16	MODERATOR MARTINSON: Great. Thank you very
17	much.
18	And with that, we'll turn the time over to Rocky
19	Mountain Power. Thank you.
20	MS. SABA: Thank you. I'm Jana Saba with Rocky
21	Mountain Power. Thanks, everybody, for joining us this
22	afternoon.
23	With me today, who is going to be presenting on
24	behalf of us, is Craig Eller, who is the witness in this
25	docket. We did send over some slides that are posted to
	Page 3

1	the Commission's website. I'm going to share my screen,
2	and we'll go through those. But just wanted to make
3	people aware that those are on the website as well.
4	So with that, I'm going to share my screen, if
5	that's okay with everybody. Let me know when you can see
6	it.
7	And are you able to see the larger view, or are
8	you still seeing the
9	MODERATOR MARTINSON: This is Eric. We're
10	seeing the smaller view.
11	MS. SABA: Okay. Let me do it one more time.
12	Sorry about that.
13	MODERATOR MARTINSON: Of course, anyone is
14	welcome to step in and indicate.
15	MS. SABA: All right. Sorry. It's just got
16	it on the wrong screen, and then when I hit "present
17	interview," I'm just going to have to sorry. That's
18	probably as big as I'm going to be able to make it.
19	All right. Over to you, Craig.
20	MR. ELLER: Thanks, Jana. We've got a few
21	slides that I'll walk through, but do, kind of, welcome
22	any questions or comments as we move along, so feel free
23	to jump in. And then we'll have some time at the end to
24	open it up for discussion.
25	But as Eric noted, this application was for
	Page 4

1 waivers of the solicitation process and significant energy resource decision requirements for the Faraday 2 3 solar and battery resource. And I'll get into a little more detail on that here in a minute. 4 5 So first, just wanted to review Schedule 34, which this resource is being procured for. So Utah Code 6 54-17-806 authorized that we could add a new renewable energy tariff for qualifying customers to receive 8 9 renewable energy from renewable generation facilities. 10 That was implemented through a new renewable tariff, 11 Schedule 34, which was approved in August of 2016 by the 12 Commission. 13 Schedule 34, the tariff Schedule 34 governs the 14 contract guidelines for us to acquire renewable energy on behalf of a Schedule 34 customer. And our first Schedule 15 34 contract was approved in Docket 16-305-27 for, at the 16 17 time it was Facebook, currently known as Meta Platforms, 18 and that Renewable Energy Service Contract, or RESC, was 19 approved in August of 2016 as well. On January 20th of this year, we executed power 20 purchase agreements for both solar generation and an 21 22 attached battery storage facility for the Faraday 23 project. The project will be located in Utah County. And it consists of 525 megawatts of solar output, and 150 24 25 megawatts of battery storage with a four-hour duration.

1	The expected commercial operation dates for the
2	project are September 30th of 2025 for the solar
3	facility, and June 1st of 2026 of the attached battery
4	storage component.
5	The Faraday project is the seventh resource that
6	the company has procured under a Schedule 34 contract for
7	Meta. And I think the next slide kind of has the
8	breakdown of those of those resources and when we made
9	our courtesy notice filings on each of those, with this
LO	being the most recent one on March 6th.
11	Going here. So due to the size of the Faraday
12	project, it does trigger the need for a significant
13	qualifies as a significant energy resource. So in
L 4	general, that's a 100-megawatt the threshold for that
15	is a resource size of 100 megawatts or more with a
16	dependable life of ten years or more. That was modified
L7	for renewable energy resources, like in this case the
18	solar, which increased the threshold to 300 megawatts or
19	more. However, this one being 525 megawatts, it
20	continues to trigger the significant energy resource
21	designation.
22	All right. The Code does allow waiver of the
23	solicitation process and the significant energy resource
24	decision for one of three items: A clear emergency, a
25	time-limited commercial or technical opportunity, and any

1	other factors that make waiving the requirement in the
2	public interest. And this is the category that the
3	company is looking for approval to waive these
4	requirements here, as it is a Schedule 34 contract
5	obtained for a single customer, Meta, under an approved
6	Schedule 34 contract.
7	So our last slide here. But the terms of that
8	Schedule 34 contract, in effect, obligate the customer to
9	pay all of the costs from this facility, ensuring that
10	there are no cost shifts to other customers.
11	Meta, so the off-take customer, ultimately has
12	the decision on which resources, you know, it would like
13	to, the company to enter into agreements with and pursue.
14	And in this case, you know, this was the resource that
15	best met met their needs.
16	And the contract itself between us and Meta,
17	which was previously approved, as I noted, includes a
18	number of protections for the company and its customers,
19	including protections in the event of default and
20	ensuring that, you know, costs are not shared or don't
21	unduly fall on other customers.
22	And I think that is all I had for prepared
23	materials.
24	MODERATOR MARTINSON: Are there any questions
25	among the participants today?

1	MR. DAVIS: This is go ahead, Bela.
2	MR. VASTAG: I think, Bob, you were first. Go
3	ahead.
4	MR. DAVIS: Oh, it doesn't matter. I had a
5	question. In there, a new substation has to be built,
6	and they said the cost of the substation would be borne
7	by the seller.
8	Can you clarify that a little bit for me?
9	MR. ELLER: Yeah. So the interconnection costs
10	are handled under the seller. So the Faraday asset
11	developer, in this case Clenera, under the terms of their
12	LGIA with PacifiCorp Transmission, they are responsible
13	for their interconnection costs.
14	And I don't know if that answered your question,
15	or if there was more than that.
16	MR. DAVIS: Yeah. Yeah. That's what I thought,
17	but I just needed to clarify that.
18	And just one other question about the
19	transmission distribution costs. I don't know how close
20	to the customer the solar farm will be.
21	Is that close, a long ways away? How are the
22	transmission distribution costs covered?
23	MR. ELLER: So they are relatively close, and
24	they're both in Utah County.
25	But the transmission costs are handled with, you
	Page 8

1	know, the overall service cost of Meta's under their
2	Schedule 34 contract. That is where they pay for all of
3	the costs associated with their service.
4	MR. DAVIS: Okay. Thanks that's all I had.
5	MR. VASTAG: So this is Bela with the Office of
6	Consumer Services.
7	In the last contract amendment, there were some
8	additional charges or fees to incentivize Facebook to
9	bring on resources that provide capacity, I believe,
10	because there was the issue of, if we keep adding
11	intermittent resources, the value of those, from a
12	capacity perspective, diminishes.
13	So with this battery system that's part of this
14	resource, the it's, what, a 150-megawatt battery, do
15	they avoid those increased charges? Do they pay the,
16	like, the charges from the original cost structure or
17	pricing structure? Or how does that work?
18	MR. ELLER: Yeah. Again, I don't know if we
19	want to we tried to keep this docket specific to the
20	waiver request on ability to enter into the PPA, so I'm a
21	little so we tried to keep everything nonconfidential.
22	And that question, to me, kind of more lands on, you
23	know, what is the Meta, you know, service constructs? I
24	don't know if it's more appropriate as a question in that
25	docket.

1	Jana, what are your I can answer it at a high
2	level, but
3	MS. SABA: I mean, I would just say this is a,
4	you know, a public so to the extent that you can
5	answer the question without getting into sensitive
6	information, I think that would be good.
7	MR. ELLER: Okay. So I would say at a high
8	level, you know, this resource is the type of thing, you
9	know, especially with the battery storage, that we were,
10	you know, mutually looking to bring on, under that new
11	amendment to be able to provide, you know, capacities, to
12	be able to provide, you know, firm service to Meta.
13	The exact, you know, specifics of, kind of, you
14	know, how much credit, et cetera, I would consider
15	confidential and probably more applicable to the Meta
16	service contract docket.
17	I don't know if that, Bela, is enough to answer
18	your question, but this definitely fits in what we were
19	contemplating in that most recent amendment.
20	MR. VASTAG: Yeah, I apologize. I forgot that
21	most of that information is confidential.
22	But I thought it might have this achieves
23	those, you know, those goals of bringing some capacity
24	value. Capacity contribution value, you know, along with
25	these resources, might play into, you know, whether the
	Page 10

1	SERD waiver is, you know, basically not an issue not a
2	big issue or maybe a small issue. So thank you for that.
3	Maybe we could have a meeting where you can
4	discuss some confidential information just to confirm the
5	information that, you know, that you just broadly gave
6	us, so but it sounds like it's in the right direction,
7	so that's good.
8	That was the only question I had, so.
9	MR. ELLER: Okay.
10	MS. SABA: David Williams has his hand up.
11	MR. WILLIAMS: Hi. This is David Williams with
12	the Division. I had a couple questions. One was kind of
13	a follow-up to Bob's question.
14	So this is in, I guess as far as the
15	transmission topography, this is all within the Utah
16	north bubble?
17	MR. ELLER: I believe so. I don't I'm not as
18	familiar on that IRP topography map.
19	MR. WILLIAMS: I mean, I guess it doesn't
20	matter. But the load and the resource are in the same
21	topography bubble. I guess I was just wondering I
22	don't even really know how to ask this.
23	But going forward, would this have any effect on
24	projects trying to enter that cluster? Like if, you
25	know, if you compare the two situations, one where this
	Page 11

1	project didn't exist at all, and another situation where
2	this project goes forward, how might that affect a future
3	third party trying to enter the cluster study in this
4	same area? I mean, would their transmission costs be
5	changed at all, or?
6	A. Yeah. So this customer does have a signed LGIA,
7	so they have a signed interconnection. So, you know,
8	with that in hand, future cluster study, you know,
9	requests would be looked at on top of this one. And
LO	that's something that they've obtained, kind of on their
11	own.
12	So it's not a I guess I would just say they
13	have the right to interconnect to the system, and they
L 4	have, kind of, known costs to do that. Prior to us
15	entering into this contract and continuing now that we
16	have, other future interconnection requests will be
L7	looked at incrementally to their interconnection.
18	MR. WILLIAMS: Right. So I guess, yeah, future
19	cluster studies would assume, you know, would be after
20	after this project.
21	But would their costs be any different, whether
22	this was a, you know, a Schedule 34 project or just some
23	other, quote, regular interconnection?
24	MR. ELLER: No. It and if someone else wants
25	to jump in and help me clarify this, feel free.

So by them securing those rights with PacifiCorp
Transmission you know, the form, if we didn't enter
this form of contract with Faraday, we entered a
different one. We entered you know, instead of them
having gone down this path with Meta, had it been an all
source RFP or, you know, had they pursued a different
project as QFs or pursued a different off-taker
completely but remained interconnected with our system,
each analysis just simply looks at like how who
they contract with, is maybe how I'll answer that,
doesn't impact who their off-taker is doesn't impact
how PacifiCorp Transmission is going to stack future
requests in addition to this prior prior LGIA.
MR. WILLIAMS: And it also wouldn't affect the
specific transmission upgrades that are required, you
know. If you're building I mean, this is my ignorance
of how transmission works within a bubble. But if you're
building a project that's, quote, designated for
something right next door, are the transmission costs the
same as if you were building that project and then
sending it to another bubble?
MR. MACNIEL: So where this is Dan MacNiel.
So where the electrons are going to go across the
transmission system isn't part of the interconnection
study. But when they do the interconnection study, the

1	fact that there's more load in an area means that more
2	electrons sink in that area, and they don't have to go
3	further. So there can be a relationship there, but, you
4	know, there's nothing specifically tying individual
5	resources to individual loads in an interconnection
6	study.
7	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. I think that answered my
8	question.
9	And then the second one was about the IRP. Is
10	this project in the I don't know how it's related to
11	the IRP. This may have been discussed at the meeting a
12	couple weeks ago, but I can't remember.
13	Is this project in the preferred portfolio, or,
14	you know, what's the deal there?
15	MR. ELLER: So it was not. And there's a little
16	bit in my testimony around Line 131 on the IRP. But
17	neither this resource or this load from Meta was included
18	in the '21 IRP or the '21 IRP update. So we we don't
19	anticipate, you know, material change to that prior
20	preferred portfolio from that because, kind of, both the
21	generation and the load were absent from those plans.
22	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. The load was not in the
23	2021 IRP, but it is included in the current IRP that's
24	about to be presented?
25	MR. ELLER: The '23 IRP?

1	MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah.
2	MR. ELLER: I believe that to be true.
3	But Dan, can you
4	MR. MACNIEL: Yeah, I believe that to be true,
5	also. And the resource is also in there so that they are
6	together.
7	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. If for some reason this
8	request were denied you know, I'm not saying there's
9	any reason why it would be. But if the waiver were
LO	denied and you had to go through the regular process, and
11	if that were somehow denied, then you would just have to
12	get some other load some other generation to meet the
13	expected load?
L <b>4</b>	MR. ELLER: Generally, yes. I mean, I think if
15	the waiver request were denied, I think the timing of
16	of, you know, going through the normal process would
L 7	become a factor. At some point, you know, in order to
18	hit the in-service dates that I talked about before, you
19	know, we would like to get this waiver so they can get
20	kind of get on with construction. Depending on the
21	timeline of that process, it could jeopardize that.
22	If it ultimately was a fatal thing or the
23	request itself were denied in that process, you know, we
24	would be forced, effectively, to terminate our agreement
25	on this asset and either or amend it, you know, or

1	work with them to amend it. And I think that would
2	likely have impacts in terms of both cost and timing on
3	Meta.
4	Potentially, it would leave us, you know, if
5	there is a timing issue, with trying to find other
6	resources from the system standpoint to fill that gap.
7	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Thanks. I think that
8	answered my questions.
9	MR. ELLER: I don't know if I'm calling on
10	I'll just call
11	MS. SABA: It looks like
12	MR. WHEELWRIGHT: How does the size of this
13	project compare to the other ones that have been
14	approved?
15	MR. ELLER: This is the largest let me go
16	back to that list. I think for comparison, the two
17	the Appaloosa A and B, in combination, are about
18	200 megawatts. Rocket, Horseshoe, and Graphite, I think,
19	are each between 75 and 80 megawatts apiece. And then
20	the Cove Mountain 2, I believe, is also 80 Cove 2 was
21	also 120, so that is materially larger than all of those
22	prior
23	MR. MACNIEL: It's roughly the size of the sum
24	of all those prior ones?
25	MR. ELLER: Yeah.

1	MR. WHEELWRIGHT: And is the load that big for
2	that one entity?
3	MR. ELLER: Yes.
4	MR. MACNIEL: Or it will be, assuming they can
5	secure adequate power supply.
6	MR. VASTAG: This is Bela. They must be
7	expanding their operations there, right, and they're
8	looking ahead? I mean
9	MR. ELLER: They've been in a state of continued
10	development, and I think they've made some announcements
11	as well on additional future expansions there at the
12	site, so
13	MR. WHEELWRIGHT: You also referred to the
14	additional information more detailed in another filing.
15	Where are we with that second filing?
16	MS. SABA: Doug, I think we were referring to
17	there's been two filings where the overarching contracts
18	that govern this resource have been approved filed and
19	approved.
20	MR. WHEELWRIGHT: Okay.
21	MS. SABA: So you'd want to look the first
22	one would have been 16-035-27, which was the original
23	approval docket for the Schedule 34 contract.
24	MR. WHEELWRIGHT: Right.
25	MS. SABA: And then we came in last year for an
	Page 17

1	amendment, which was 22-035-30, I believe. And so I
2	think that's what we were referring to. There isn't
3	another
4	MR. WHEELWRIGHT: Okay.
5	MS. SABA: Yeah. The only other, we did on
6	you know, as we've you know, we got the overall
7	contract approved. And then as they've added resources
8	under that contract umbrella, we've filed courtesy
9	notices in the old 16-035-27 docket each time that's
10	happened. So on Monday, when we filed this waiver
11	request, we also filed in that docket. So those are all
12	the, kind of how all the filings fit together.
13	MR. WHEELWRIGHT: Okay. Thank you. That
14	clarifies that. Thanks.
15	MODERATOR MARTINSON: I'd just like to ask
16	attendees if there are any further questions for Rocky
17	Mountain Power? I have a couple, if there aren't.
18	Great. I'm not hearing anything.
19	I put together a list of what I can see as the
20	attendees present today for the sake of the record and
21	the court reporter. But there are two telephone numbers
22	representing attendees here, both from Area Code 360,
23	ending in -35 and -37. If you could identify those
24	attendees for the record.
25	MS. SABA: I believe that might be Joe Dallas,
	Page 18

1	our counsel.
2	Joe, is that you, the 360 number?
3	MR. DALLAS: Yeah, I just unmuted my phone. So
4	the 360 number is Joe Dallas with PacifiCorp.
5	MS. SABA: And there's two, Joe. There's one
6	that ends in -35 and one that ends in -37. Are both of
7	those you?
8	MR. DALLAS: Yes.
9	MS. SABA: Okay.
10	MODERATOR MARTINSON: Great. Thank you.
11	MS. SABA: That's counsel for PacifiCorp.
12	Thanks.
13	MODERATOR MARTINSON: All right. And lastly, if
14	there are no further questions or comments, we just
15	wanted to make sure that we indicated that we're going to
16	post the video of this tech conference just as soon as
17	Rocky Mountain Power files it.
18	And if there's nothing further, that concludes
19	this tech conference. Thank you, everyone, for your
20	participation and attendance.
21	(The matter concluded at 1:30 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 19

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	State of Utah )
	ss.
4	County of Salt Lake )
5	I, Michelle Mallonee, a Registered
	Professional Reporter in and for the State of Utah, do
6	hereby certify:
7	That the proceedings of said matter was
	reported by me in stenotype and thereafter transcribed
8	into typewritten form;
9	That the same constitutes a true and correct
	transcription of said proceedings so taken and
10	transcribed;
11	I further certify that I am not of kin or
	otherwise associated with any of the parties of said
12	cause of action, and that I am not interested in the
	event thereof.
13	
	WITNESS MY HAND at Salt Lake City, Utah,
14	this 20th day of March 2023.
15	
16	Michelle Mallonce
17	Manuelle Mallone
	Michelle Mallonee, RPR, CCR
18	Utah CCR #267114-7801
	Expires May 31, 2024
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 20

#### [100 - avoid]

1	<b>31</b> 20:18	additional 9:8	appearances
	<b>34</b> 5:5,11,13,13	17:11,14	2:1
<b>100</b> 6:14,15	5:15,16 6:6 7:4	adequate 17:5	applicable
<b>120</b> 16:21	7:6,8 9:2 12:22	advanced 1:13	10:15
<b>131</b> 14:16	17:23	affect 12:2	application 1:4
<b>150</b> 5:24 9:14	<b>35</b> 18:23 19:6	13:14	3:5 4:25
16-035-27	<b>360</b> 18:22 19:2	afternoon 3:22	appropriate
17:22 18:9	19:4	ago 14:12	9:24
<b>16-305-27</b> 5:16	<b>37</b> 18:23 19:6	agreement	approval 7:3
<b>1:00</b> 1:15	5	15:24	17:23
<b>1:30</b> 1:15 19:21		agreements	approved 5:11
1st 6:3	<b>525</b> 5:24 6:19	5:21 7:13	5:16,19 7:5,17
2	<b>54-17-806</b> 5:7	agutah.gov	16:14 17:18,19
<b>2</b> 16:20,20	6	2:19,19	18:7
<b>200</b> 16:18	<b>6th</b> 6:10	ahead 8:1,3	<b>area</b> 12:4 14:1
<b>2016</b> 5:11,19	7	17:8	14:2 18:22
<b>2021</b> 14:23	<b>75</b> 16:19	allow 6:22	asset 8:10
<b>2023</b> 1:14	8	<b>amend</b> 15:25	15:25
20:14		16:1	associated 9:3
<b>2024</b> 20:18	<b>80</b> 16:19,20	amendment 9:7	20:11
<b>2025</b> 6:2	9	10:11,19 18:1	association
<b>2026</b> 6:3	<b>9</b> 1:14	analysis 13:9	2:23
<b>20th</b> 5:20 20:14	a	announcements	<b>assume</b> 12:19
<b>21</b> 14:18,18	ability 9:20	17:10	assuming 17:4
<b>22-035-30</b> 18:1	able 4:7,18	<b>answer</b> 10:1,5	attached 5:22
<b>23</b> 14:25	10:11,12	10:17 13:10	6:3
<b>23-035-11</b> 1:7	<b>absent</b> 14:21	answered 8:14	attendance
3:5	achieves 10:22	14:7 16:8	19:20
267114-7801	acquire 5:14	anticipate	attendees 18:16
20:18	action 20:12	14:19	18:20,22,24
<b>27396</b> 20:17	add 5:7	<b>apiece</b> 16:19	attorney 2:18
3	added 18:7	apologize 10:20	august 5:11,19
<b>300</b> 6:18	adding 9:10	appaloosa	authorized 5:7
<b>30th</b> 6:2	addition 13:13	16:17	avoid 9:15

#### [aware - courtesy]

aware 4:3	c	combination	constructs 9:23
b		16:17	consumer 2:15
	c 3:1	comments 4:22	9:6
<b>b</b> 16:17	<b>call</b> 16:10	19:14	contemplating
<b>back</b> 16:16	<b>calling</b> 16:9	commercial 6:1	10:19
basically 11:1	capacities	6:25	continued 17:9
<b>battery</b> 5:3,22	10:11	commission 1:1	continues 6:20
5:25 6:3 9:13	capacity 9:9,12	2:2 5:12	continuing
9:14 10:9	10:23,24	commission's	12:15
beck 2:16	case 6:17 7:14	3:10 4:1	contract 5:14
<b>behalf</b> 3:24	8:11	company 6:6	5:16,18 6:6 7:4
5:15	category 7:2 cause 20:12	7:3,13,18	7:6,8,16 9:2,7
<b>bela</b> 2:16 8:1 9:5 10:17 17:6	cause 20:12 ccr 1:21 20:17	compare 11:25	10:16 12:15
<b>believe</b> 9:9	20:18	16:13	13:3,10 17:23
11:17 15:2,4	certificate 20:1	comparison	18:7,8
16:20 18:1,25	certify 20:6,11	16:16	contracts 17:17
best 7:15	cetera 10:14	completely	contribution
big 4:18 11:2	<b>change</b> 14:19	13:8	10:24
17:1	changed 12:5	component 6:4	correct 20:9
<b>bit</b> 8:8 14:16	charges 9:8,15	concluded	<b>cost</b> 7:10 8:6
<b>bob</b> 2:12 8:2	9:16	19:21	9:1,16 16:2
<b>bob's</b> 11:13	city 20:13	concludes	<b>costs</b> 7:9,20 8:9
<b>borne</b> 8:6	clarifies 18:14	19:18	8:13,19,22,25
breakdown 6:8	clarify 8:8,17	conference 3:4	9:3 12:4,14,21
<b>bring</b> 9:9 10:10	12:25	3:11 19:16,19	13:19
bringing 10:23	<b>clean</b> 2:21	confidential	<b>counsel</b> 19:1,11
broadly 11:5	clear 6:24	10:15,21 11:4	county 5:23
<b>bubble</b> 11:16	clenera 8:11	confirm 11:4	8:24 20:4
11:21 13:17,21	close 8:19,21	consider 10:14	couple 11:12
<b>building</b> 13:16	8:23	consists 5:24	14:12 18:17
13:18,20	cluster 11:24	constitutes	course 4:13
<b>built</b> 8:5	12:3,8,19	20:9	<b>court</b> 3:13,15
bvastag 2:16	<b>code</b> 5:6 6:22	construction	18:21
	18:22	15:20	courtesy 6:9
			18:8

#### [cove - feel]

20vo 16:20 20	donondoblo		esteban 2:9
<b>cove</b> 16:20,20 <b>covered</b> 8:22	dependable	e	esteban 2.9 et 10:14
	6:16	<b>e</b> 2:19 3:1,1	
<b>craig</b> 2:8 3:24	depending	<b>effect</b> 7:8 11:23	<b>event</b> 7:19
4:19	15:20	effectively	20:12
credit 10:14	designated	15:24	everybody 3:21
current 14:23	3:10 13:18	either 15:25	4:5
currently 5:17	designation	electrons 13:23	<b>exact</b> 10:13
customer 5:15	6:21	14:2	executed 5:20
7:5,8,11 8:20	detail 5:4	eller 2:8 3:24	exist 12:1
12:6	detailed 17:14	4:20 8:9,23	expanding 17:7
customers 5:8	developer 8:11	9:18 10:7 11:9	expansions
7:10,18,21	development	11:17 12:24	17:11
d	17:10	14:15,25 15:2	expected 6:1
<b>d</b> 3:1	different 12:21	15:14 16:9,15	15:13
dallas 2:7	13:4,6,7	16:25 17:3,9	expires 20:18
18:25 19:3,4,8	diminishes	emergency	extent 10:4
dan 13:22 15:3	9:12	6:24	$\mathbf{f}$
daniel 2:8	direction 11:6	ends 19:6,6	facebook 5:17
dates 6:1 15:18	discuss 11:4	energy 1:6 2:21	9:8
david 2:13	discussed 14:11	2:23 3:7 5:2,8	facilities 5:9
11:10,11	discussion 4:24	5:9,14,18 6:13	facility 5:22 6:3
davis 2:12 8:1,4	distribution	6:17,20,23	7:9
8:16 9:4	8:19,22	ensuring 7:9,20	<b>fact</b> 14:1
day 20:14	division 11:12	enter 7:13 9:20	<b>factor</b> 15:17
dcwilli 2:13	<b>docket</b> 1:7 3:4	11:24 12:3	factors 7:1
deal 14:14	3:25 5:16 9:19	13:2	fall 7:21
<b>decision</b> 1:7 5:2	9:25 10:16	<b>entered</b> 13:3,4	familiar 11:18
6:24 7:12	17:23 18:9,11	entering 12:15	far 11:14
default 7:19	<b>door</b> 13:19	entity 17:2	faraday 5:2,22
definitely 10:18	<b>doug</b> 2:13	eric 2:3 3:9 4:9	6:5,11 8:10
<b>denied</b> 15:8,10	17:16	4:25	13:3
15:11,15,23	<b>due</b> 6:11	ericmartinson	farm 8:20
department	duration 5:25	2:3	fatal 15:22
2:11	dwheelwright	especially 10:9	feel 4:22 12:25
۷,11	2:13	cspecially 10.9	1001 4.22 12.23

#### [fees - joining]

<b>fees</b> 9:8	generally 15:14	<b>hi</b> 3:15 11:11	interconnected
<b>filed</b> 17:18 18:8	generation 5:9	<b>high</b> 10:1,7	13:8
18:10,11	5:21 14:21	<b>hit</b> 4:16 15:18	interconnecti
<b>files</b> 19:17	15:12	hogle 2:3	8:9,13 12:7,16
<b>filing</b> 17:14,15	getting 10:5	horseshoe	12:17,23 13:24
filings 6:9	<b>go</b> 3:14 4:2 8:1	16:18	13:25 14:5
17:17 18:12	8:2 13:23 14:2	hour 5:25	interest 7:2
<b>fill</b> 16:6	15:10 16:15	i	interested
<b>find</b> 16:5	<b>goals</b> 10:23	_	20:12
<b>firm</b> 10:12	goes 12:2	identify 18:23	intermittent
<b>first</b> 5:5,15 8:2	<b>going</b> 3:23 4:1	ignorance	9:11
17:21	4:4,17,18 6:11	13:16	interview 4:17
<b>fit</b> 18:12	11:23 13:12,23	<b>impact</b> 13:11	<b>irp</b> 11:18 14:9
<b>fits</b> 10:18	15:16 19:15	13:11	14:11,16,18,18
<b>follow</b> 11:13	<b>good</b> 10:6 11:7	impacts 16:2	14:23,23,25
<b>forced</b> 15:24	<b>govern</b> 17:18	implemented	issue 9:10 11:1
<b>forgot</b> 10:20	governs 5:13	5:10	11:2,2 16:5
<b>form</b> 13:2,3	graphite 16:18	incentivize 9:8	items 6:24
20:8	great 3:16	included 14:17	j
forward 11:23	18:18 19:10	14:23 <b>includes</b> 7:17	·
12:2	<b>grecu</b> 2:19	includes 7.17	jack 2:10 jana 2:7 3:20
<b>four</b> 5:25	guess 11:14,19	increased 6:18	4:20 10:1
<b>free</b> 4:22 12:25	11:21 12:12,18	9:15	
further 14:3	guidelines 5:14	incrementally	jana.saba 2:7 january 5:20
18:16 19:14,18	h	12:17	jdrslaw.com
20:11	<b>hand</b> 11:10	indicate 3:14	2:24
<b>future</b> 12:2,8	12:8 20:13	4:14	
12:16,18 13:12	handled 8:10	indicated 19:15	jeopardize 15:21
17:11	8:25	individual 14:4	
g		14:4	<b>joe</b> 18:25 19:2 19:4,5
<b>g</b> 3:1	happened 18:10	information	joelle 2:9
gap 16:6	hearing 1:12	10:6,21 11:4,5	joelle.steward
general 6:14	18:18	17:14	2:9
general's 2:18	help 12:25	interconnect	<b>joining</b> 3:21
general 5 2.10	12.23	12:13	Johning 3.21

## [joseph - new]

joseph 2:7	<b>lands</b> 9:22	<b>make</b> 3:13 4:2	melinda 2:4
joseph.dallas	<b>lara</b> 2:9	4:18 7:1 19:15	melissa 2:4
2:7	larger 4:7	mallonee 1:21	met 7:15,15
<b>jump</b> 4:23	16:21	20:5,17	meta 5:17 6:7
12:25	largest 16:15	<b>map</b> 11:18	7:5,11,16 9:23
<b>june</b> 6:3	<b>lastly</b> 19:13	<b>march</b> 1:14	10:12,15 13:5
k	<b>leave</b> 16:4	6:10 20:14	14:17 16:3
keep 9:10,19,21	level 10:2,8	martinson 2:3	<b>meta's</b> 9:1
kin 20:11	<b>lgia</b> 8:12 12:6	3:3,9,16 4:9,13	michelle 1:21
<b>kin</b> 20.11 <b>kind</b> 4:21 6:7	13:13	7:24 18:15	2:16 20:5,17
9:22 10:13	<b>life</b> 6:16	19:10,13	minute 5:4
11:12 12:10,14	likely 16:2	material 14:19	mkrahenbuhl
14:20 15:20	limited 6:25	materially	2:4
18:12	<b>line</b> 14:16	16:21	moderator 3:3
know 4:5 7:12	<b>list</b> 16:16 18:19	materials 7:23	3:10,16 4:9,13
7:14,20 8:14	little 5:3 8:8	matter 8:4	7:24 18:15
8:19 9:1,18,23	9:21 14:15	11:20 19:21	19:10,13
9:23,24 10:4,8	<b>load</b> 11:20 14:1	20:7	modified 6:16
10:9,10,11,12	14:17,21,22	mbeck 2:16	<b>monday</b> 18:10
10:13,14,17,23	15:12,13 17:1	<b>mean</b> 10:3	mountain 1:4
10:13,14,17,23	loads 14:5	11:19 12:4	2:6 3:5,12,19
11:5,22,25	located 5:23	13:16 15:14	3:21 16:20
12:7,8,19,22	<b>long</b> 8:21	17:8	18:17 19:17
13:2,4,6,16	look 17:21	means 14:1	move 4:22
14:4,10,14,19	<b>looked</b> 12:9,17	mediator 2:3	mpaschal 2:4
15:8,16,17,19	looking 7:3	meet 15:12	mutually 10:10
15:23,25 16:4	10:10 17:8	meeting 11:3	n
16:9 18:6,6	<b>looks</b> 13:9	14:11	<b>n</b> 3:1
known 5:17	16:11	megawatt 6:14	name 3:9
12:14	m	9:14	need 6:12
krahenbuhl 2:4	macniel 2:8	megawatts	needed 8:17
	13:22,22 15:4	5:24,25 6:15	needs 7:15
l	16:23 17:4	6:18,19 16:18	neither 14:17
lake 20:4,13	made 6:8 17:10	16:19	new 5:7,10 8:5
	111auc 0.0 17.10		10:10
			10.10

## $[nonconfidential\ -\ questions]$

O 1 4	4 4 5 24	1.46 5.17	15 16 01 00
nonconfident	output 5:24	platforms 5:17	15:16,21,23
9:21	<b>overall</b> 9:1 18:6	<b>play</b> 10:25	procured 5:6
normal 15:16	overarching	<b>point</b> 15:17	6:6
<b>north</b> 11:16	17:17	portfolio 14:13	professional
<b>noted</b> 4:25 7:17	own 12:11	14:20	20:5
<b>notice</b> 6:9	p	<b>post</b> 19:16	<b>project</b> 5:23,23
noticed 3:3	<b>p</b> 3:1	posted 3:25	6:2,5,12 12:1,2
notices 18:9	<b>p.m.</b> 1:15,15	potentially	12:20,22 13:7
number 7:18	19:21	16:4	13:18,20 14:10
19:2,4	pacificorp 8:12	<b>power</b> 1:4 2:6	14:13 16:13
numbers 18:21	13:1,12 19:4	3:5,13,19,21	projects 11:24
0	19:11	5:20 17:5	protections
o 3:1		18:17 19:17	7:18,19
<b>0</b> 3:1 <b>000</b> 1:2 3:2	pacificorp.com	<b>ppa</b> 9:20	provide 9:9
	2:7,7,9	preapproval	10:11,12
obligate 7:8	<b>painter</b> 2:10	1:6 3:7	prussell 2:24
obtained 7:5	part 9:13 13:24	preferred	pschmid 2:19
12:10	participants	14:13,20	<b>public</b> 1:1 2:2
office 2:15,18	7:25	prepared 7:22	2:11 7:2 10:4
9:5	participation	present 4:16	purchase 5:21
oh 8:4	19:20	18:20	pursue 7:13
okay 4:5,11 9:4	<b>parties</b> 20:11	presented	pursued 13:6,7
10:7 11:9 14:7	party 12:3	14:24	<b>put</b> 18:19
14:22 15:7	paschal 2:4	presenting 3:23	puzzo 2:22
16:7 17:20	path 13:5	previously 7:17	_
18:4,13 19:9	patricia 2:19	pricing 9:17	q • 13.7
<b>old</b> 18:9	patrick 2:19	<b>prior</b> 12:14	<b>qfs</b> 13:7
ones 16:13,24	pay 7:9 9:2,15	13:13,13 14:19	qualifies 6:13
<b>open</b> 4:24	people 4:3	16:22,24	qualifying 5:8
operation 6:1	perspective	probably 4:18	question 8:5,14
operations 17:7	9:12	10:15	8:18 9:22,24
opportunity	pgrecu 2:19	proceedings	10:5,18 11:8
6:25	phillip 2:24	20:7,9	11:13 14:8
<b>order</b> 15:17	<b>phone</b> 19:3	, ,	questions 4:22
original 9:16	<b>plans</b> 14:21	process 1:5 3:6	7:24 11:12
17:22		5:1 6:23 15:10	16:8 18:16

#### [questions - solutions]

19:14	reporting 1:13	rocky 1:4 2:6	september 6:2
<b>quote</b> 12:23	representing	3:5,12,18,20	<b>serd</b> 11:1
13:18	18:22	18:16 19:17	<b>service</b> 1:1 2:2
r	request 9:20	roughly 16:23	5:18 9:1,3,23
	15:8,15,23	<b>rpr</b> 1:21 20:17	10:12,16 15:18
r 3:1 radavis 2:12	18:11	russell 2:24	services 2:15
radavis 2:12 ready 3:14,15	requests 12:9	S	9:6
really 11:22	12:16 13:13	s 3:1	seventh 6:5
reason 15:7,9	required 13:15	saba 2:7 3:20	<b>share</b> 4:1,4
receive 5:8	requirement	3:20 4:11,15	shared 7:20
recent 6:10	1:5 3:7 7:1	10:3 11:10	<b>shifts</b> 7:10
10:19	requirements	16:11 17:16,21	signature 20:17
record 18:20	5:2 7:4	17:25 18:5,25	<b>signed</b> 12:6,7
18:24	<b>resc</b> 5:18	19:5,9,11	significant 1:6
referred 17:13	resource 1:6	sake 18:20	3:7 5:1 6:12,13
referring 17:16	3:8 5:2,3,6 6:5	salt 20:4,13	6:20,23
18:2	6:13,15,20,23	sarah 2:22	<b>simply</b> 13:9
registered 20:5	7:14 9:14 10:8	saying 15:8	single 7:5
regular 12:23	11:20 14:17	schedule 5:5,11	sink 14:2
15:10	15:5 17:18	5:13,13,15,15	site 17:12
related 14:10	resources 6:8	6:6 7:4,6,8 9:2	situation 12:1
relationship	6:17 7:12 9:9	12:22 17:23	situations
14:3	9:11 10:25	schmid 2:19	11:25
relatively 8:23	14:5 16:6 18:7	screen 4:1,4,16	<b>size</b> 6:11,15
remained 13:8	responsible	second 14:9	16:12,23
remember	8:12	17:15	slide 6:7 7:7
14:12	review 5:5	secure 17:5	slides 3:25 4:21
renewable 5:7	<b>rfp</b> 13:6	securing 13:1	<b>small</b> 11:2 <b>smaller</b> 4:10
5:9,9,10,14,18	right 4:15,19	see 4:5,7 18:19	
6:17	6:22 11:6	<b>seeing</b> 4:8,10	solar 5:3,21,24
reported 1:21	12:13,18 13:19 17:7,24 19:13	<b>seller</b> 8:7,10	6:2,18 8:20 solicitation 1:5
20:7	rights 13:1	<b>send</b> 3:25	3:6 5:1 6:23
reporter 3:13	rocket 16:18	sending 13:21	solutions 1:13
3:15 18:21	10000 10.10	sensitive 10:5	SULULUIS 1.13
20:5			

#### [soon - vastag]

soon 19:16 sorry 4:12,15 4:17 sounds 11:6 source 13:6 specific 9:19 13:15 specifically 14:4 specifics 10:13 spuzzo 2:22 ss 20:3 stack 13:12 standpoint 16:6 state 17:9 20:3 20:5 stenotype 20:7 step 4:14 steward 2:9 storage 5:22,25 6:4 10:9 structure 9:16 9:17 studies 12:19 study 12:3,8 13:25,25 14:6 substation 8:5 8:6 sum 16:23 supply 17:5 sure 3:13 19:15	take 7:11 taken 1:12,14 20:9 taker 13:7,11 talked 15:18 tariff 5:8,10,13 tech 19:16,19 technical 3:4 3:10 6:25 telephone 18:21 ten 6:16 terminate 15:24 terms 7:7 8:11 16:2 testimony 14:16 thank 3:15,16 3:19,20 11:2 18:13 19:10,19 thanks 3:21 4:20 9:4 16:7 18:14 19:12 thereof 20:12 thing 10:8 15:22 think 6:7 7:22 8:2 10:6 14:7 15:14,15 16:1	thought 8:16 10:22 three 6:24 threshold 6:14 6:18 time 3:3,12,18 4:11,23 5:17 6:25 18:9 timeline 15:21 timing 15:15 16:2,5 today 3:23 7:25 18:20 together 15:6 18:12,19 top 12:9 topography 11:15,18,21 transcribed 20:7,10 transcribed 20:7,10 transcription 20:9 transmission 8:12,19,22,25 11:15 12:4 13:2,12,15,17 13:19,24 tried 9:19,21 trigger 6:12,20 true 15:2,4 20:9 trying 11:24	two 11:25     16:16 17:17     18:21 19:5  tying 14:4 type 10:8 typewritten     20:8      u  ultimately 7:11     15:22 umbrella 18:8 under 3:4 6:6     7:5 8:10,11 9:1     10:10 18:8 unduly 7:21 unmuted 19:3 update 14:18 upgrades 13:15 users 2:23 utah 1:1 2:18     2:21,23 5:6,23     8:24 11:15     20:3,5,13,18 utah.gov 2:3,3     2:4,4,12,13,13     2:16,16 utahcleanene     2:22 utilities 2:11  v
<b>sum</b> 16:23	8:2 10:6 14:7	true 15:2,4	V

#### [veritext - zoom]

veritext 1:13	14:7,22 15:1,7	
vestag 2:16	16:7	
<b>video</b> 19:16	witness 3:24	
videoconfere	20:13	
1:12	wondering	
<b>view</b> 4:7,10	11:21	
virtual 3:4	<b>work</b> 9:17 16:1	
W	works 13:17	
waive 7:3	<b>wrong</b> 4:16	
waiver 1:4,5	y	
3:6,6 6:22 9:20	<b>yeah</b> 8:9,16,16	
11:1 15:9,15	9:18 10:20	
15:19 18:10	12:6,18 15:1,4	
waivers 5:1	16:25 18:5	
waiving 7:1	19:3	
<b>walk</b> 4:21	<b>year</b> 5:20 17:25	
want 3:13 9:19	years 6:16	
17:21	yhogle 2:3	
wanted 4:2 5:5	yvonne 2:3	
19:15	Z	
wants 12:24	<b>zoom</b> 1:13	
<b>ways</b> 8:21	20011 1.13	
<b>we've</b> 4:20 18:6		
18:8		
website 4:1,3		
weeks 14:12		
welcome 4:14		
4:21		
wheelwright		
2:13 16:12		
17:1,13,20,24		
18:4,13		
williams 2:13		
11:10,11,11,19		
12:18 13:14		

# Utah Rules of Civil Procedure Part V. Depositions and Discovery Rule 30

(E) Submission to Witness; Changes; Signing.

Within 28 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available, a witness may sign a statement of changes to the form or substance of the transcript or recording and the reasons for the changes. The officer shall append any changes timely made by the witness.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

# VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.