1	- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -
2	-000-
3	Application of Rocky)
	Mountain Power for a)
4	Deferred Accounting Order)
	Regarding Insurance Costs.)
5) DOCKET NO. 23-035-40
)
6)
7	
8	
9	
10	Public Hearing
11	Taken on Wednesday, January 17, 2024
12	At 8:59 a.m. MT
13	
14	At Heber M. Wells Building
15	160 East 300 South
16	Room 403
17	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Reported by: Brooke Simms, RPR, CCR, CSR
	Page 1

1	APPEARANCES
2	The Public Service Commission:
3	Presiding Officer ALJ Michael Hammer
	Commissioner David Clark
4	Commissioner Dr. John Swenson Harvey
5	For Rocky Mountain Power:
6	Katherine A. McDowell
	McDOWELL RACKNER GIBSON PC
7	419 SW 11th Avenue, Suite 400
	Portland, Oregon 97205
8	(503) 595-3922
	katherine@mrg-law.com
9	
-	Carla Scarsella
10	PACIFICORP
10	carla.scarsella@pacificorp.com
11	carra.scarserra@pacirrcorp.com
	For The Division of Public Utilities:
12	FOR THE DIVISION OF PUDITE OCCUPANTS.
1 2	Patricia Schmid
13	Patrick Grecu
т э	
1 1	UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
14	160 East 300 South, 5th Floor
1 -	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
15	(385) 229-2883
	pschmid@agutah.gov
16	pgrecu@agutah.gov
17	For The Office of Consumer Services:
18	Robert Moore
	UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
19	160 East 300 South, 5th Floor
	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
20	(801) 366-0158
	rmoore@agutah.gov
21	
	For Utah Association of Energy Users, UAE:
22	
	Phillip J. Russell
23	JAMES DODGE RUSSELL & STEPHENS, P.C.
	10 W. Broadway, Suite 400
2 4	Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
-	(801) 363-6363
25	prussell@jdrslaw.com
	F = 22 2 2 = 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	Page 2

1	I N D E X	
2		PAGE
3	SHELLEY McCOY	
4	Direct Examination By Ms. McDowell	8
	Cross-Examination By Ms. Schmid	14
5	Cross-Examination By Mr. Russell	22
	Examination By Commissioner Harvey	24
6	Examination By Commissioner Clark	31
	Redirect Examination By Ms. McDowell	34
7		
8	MARIYA COLEMAN	
9	Direct Examination By Ms. McDowell	40
	Cross-Examination By Ms. Schmid	45
10	Cross-Examination By Mr. Russell	50
	Redirect Examination By Ms. McDowell	54
11	Examination By Commissioner Harvey	56
	Examination By Commissioner Clark	63
12		
13	JEFFREY S. EINFELDT	
14	Direct Examination By Ms. Schmid	69
	Cross-Examination By Ms. McDowell	73
15	Redirect Examination By Ms. Schmid	97
	Examination By Commissioner Harvey	101
16		
17	ALYSON ANDERSON	
18	Direct Examination By Mr. Moore	106
	Examination By Commissioner Harvey	109
19	Examination By Commissioner Clark	110
	Examination By Presiding Officer	111
20		
21	KEVIN C. HIGGINS	
22	Direct Examination By Mr. Russell	112
	Examination By Commissioner Harvey	115
23		
24	* * *	
25		
		Page 3

1			
1		EXHIBITS	
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	MRKD/ADMTD
3	RMP Exhibit	Direct and Rebuttal Testimony	9
		of Shelley E. McCoy	
4			
_	RMP Exhibit	Direct and Rebuttal Testimony	68
5		of Mariya V. Coleman	- 4 400
6	RMP Cross Ex	chibit 1 DPU's Response to RMP's	74 100
7		1st Set of Data Requests	
8	PMD Cross Fy	1/10/24 hibit 2 Testimony of David T.	78 100
0	KMF CIUSS EX	Thomson 9/10/07	70 100
9		THOMBOIL 37 107 07	
	DPU Exhibit	Direct and Surrebuttal	70
10		Testimony of Jeffrey S.	
		Einfeldt	
11			
	DPU Cross Ex	hibit 1 Selections of BHE's 10-Q	47 50
12		for Quarterly Period Ending on	
		3/31/23	
13			
	OCS Exhibit	Direct and Surrebuttal	108
14		Testimony of Alyson Anderson	
15	UAE Exhibit	Direct Testimony of Kevin C.	113
16		Higgins	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			Page 4
Į.			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	-000-
3	COMMISSIONER CLARK: My name is Commissioner
4	David Clark, and let me introduce the other people
5	seated with me. To my far left is Commissioner John
6	Harvey. Between us is Administrative Law Judge Michael
7	Hammer.
8	This is the time appointed for hearing in
9	Docket Number 23-035-40 in the matter of the application
10	of Rocky Mountain Power for a deferred accounting order
11	regarding insurance costs.
12	Some of you know that our Chair Commissioner
13	Thad LeVar resigned effective January 5th, 2024. So at
14	this point in time there are two commissioners serving
15	on the Commission. That's Commissioner Harvey and
16	myself, and we've asked Judge Hammer to be the presiding
17	officer at this proceeding.
18	So I'll now figuratively turn the gavel over
19	to Judge Hammer.
20	PRESIDING OFFICER: We'll begin with
21	appearances for Rocky Mountain Power.
22	MS. McDOWELL: Good morning, Judge Hammer and
23	Commissioners. I'm Katherine McDowell here on behalf of
24	Rocky Mountain Power.
25	MS. SCARSELLA: Carla Scarsella on behalf of
	Page 5

1	Rocky Mountain Power.
2	COMMISSIONER CLARK: And I'm I'm sorry, but
3	I'm having trouble hearing both of you. So you might
4	want to pull the mikes closer and make sure they're on.
5	Thank you.
6	MS. McDOWELL: It was not on. Shall I repeat
7	myself?
8	PRESIDING OFFICER: Yep.
9	MS. McDOWELL: Okay. Good morning, Judge
LO	Hammer and Commissioners. I'm Katherine McDowell here
11	on behalf of Rocky Mountain Power.
12	MS. SCARSELLA: Carla Scarsella on behalf of
13	Rocky Mountain Power.
14	PRESIDING OFFICER: For the Division of Public
15	Utilities?
16	MS. SCHMID: Patricia E. Schmid and Patrick
L7	Grecu with the Utah Division with the Utah Attorney
18	General's office for the Division of Public Utilities.
19	Thank you.
20	PRESIDING OFFICER: For the Office of Consumer
21	Services?
22	MR. MOORE: Robert Moore with the Attorney
23	General's Office. I'm representing the Office of
24	Consumer Services. Our witness today is Alyson
25	Anderson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: And for the Utah
Association of Energy Users?
MR. RUSSELL: Yes. Good morning. Good
morning. On behalf of UAE, Phillip Russell.
PRESIDING OFFICER: Thank you. Before we
begin, I was informed shortly before the hearing started
that we are having trouble with our audio. We will all
hear each other in this room just fine, but our
streaming isn't picking up the audio from the mikes in
front of you. So to the extent everyone can project his
or her voices, that would be appreciated.
Are there any preliminary matters before we
commence with testimony?
Okay. Then I will ask Ms. McDowell to call
her first witness.
MS. McDOWELL: Thank you, Judge. We call
Shelley McCoy.
PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. McCoy, do you swear to
tell the truth?
MS. McCOY: I do.
PRESIDING OFFICER: Thank you.
Go ahead, Ms. McDowell.
MS. McDOWELL: Thank you.
SHELLEY McCOY
was sworn and testified as follows:
Page 7

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. McDOWELL:
3	Q. Good morning, Ms. McCoy.
4	A. Good morning.
5	Q. Ms. McCoy, can you state your name and spell
6	it for the record.
7	A. Okay. Shelley McCoy, S-h-e-l-l-e-y M-c-C-o-y.
8	Q. Ms. McCoy, how are you employed?
9	A. I am the director of revenue requirement for
L 0	PacifiCorp.
11	Q. In that capacity have you prepared testimony
12	for this proceeding?
13	A. Yes, I have.
L 4	Q. Is that testimony your direct and rebuttal
15	testimony?
16	A. Yes.
L 7	Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to your
18	testimony?
19	A. Yes. In my direct testimony on page 3, line
20	49, the sentence that begins "these were."
21	"These were the" "projected" instead of
22	"actual" "insurance premiums to be paid on the most
23	recent renewal."
24	Q. Do you have any other changes or corrections
25	to your testimony?

1	A. I do not.
2	MS. McDOWELL: We'd offer Ms. McCoy's direct
3	and rebuttal testimony at this time.
4	PRESIDING OFFICER: It's admitted.
5	(Direct and Rebuttal Testimony of Shelley
6	E. McCoy admitted into evidence.)
7	MS. McDOWELL: Thank you.
8	Q. (BY MS. McDOWELL) Ms. McCoy, have you
9	prepared a summary of your testimony?
10	A. Yes, I have.
11	Q. Please proceed.
12	A. Good morning, Commissioner Clark and
13	Commissioner Harvey. In this case Rocky Mountain Power
14	seeks deferred accounting for the increase in its excess
15	liability insurance premium expenses since the
16	Commission last set the company's rates in its 2020
17	general rate case. The Commission should allow deferred
18	accounting because the evidence demonstrates that the
19	increase was unforeseeable and the impact on the
20	company's earnings is material and extraordinary.
21	In the Company's last rate case in 2020, the
22	Commission authorized inclusion of \$10.5 million
23	total-Company for excess liability commercial insurance
24	expense. This was based on the best information
25	available at that time, which was the projected cost of
	Page 9

the insurance renewal in August 2020.

2.0

While the Company's insurance premiums have historically been fairly stable year over year, in August 2023, the Company's excess liability insurance premiums increased by \$112.1 million total-Company, or \$49.2 million Utah-allocated. Compared to costs in base rates, this 1,067 percent increase was not foreseeable at the time of the Company's last rate case in 2020. While the Company expected some cost escalation, it did not anticipate cost increases of this magnitude.

This unforeseeable increase in the Company's excess liability insurance premiums has extraordinary impact on the Company's earnings. The \$49.2 million Utah-allocated increase in excess liability insurance premium expenses has an impact of more than 90 basis points on the Company's return on equity.

In previous cases, the Commission has approved deferral of amounts with lesser impact than the excess liability insurance premiums that the Company seeks to defer here. For example, in a prior case involving costs incurred from flooding in the Powerdale hydroelectric facility, the Commission approved deferral of \$8.9 million in unappreciated plant expense and \$6.3 million in decommissioning costs, both total-Company.

1 Similarly, the Commission approved deferred accounting and a refund of \$61 million, Utah-allocated, 2 3 in excess federal income tax resulting from the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2018, or TCJA. To put the TCJA deferral in context, the Company reviewed its results of operations report from December 2018, which showed that 6 the TCJA deferral was approximately 12.9 percent of Utah's operating revenue for a return. 8 9 By comparison, the insurance premium expenses 10 that the Company seeks to defer in this docket would be approximately 13.7 percent of Utah's operating revenue 11 for return. The amount sought to be deferred in this 12 13 case is clearly extraordinary under these precedents. In this case the Division of Public Utilities 14 15 argues that the Company's increased excess liability 16 insurance premiums are ongoing and a new normal and, therefore, are not extraordinary. While the Company 17 18 agrees that insurance premiums are likely to remain 19 elevated, that does not change the fact that the 2.0 increase in this expense since 2020 has been 21 extraordinary. In addition, DPU's position here is 22 23 inconsistent with its testimony in the TCJA deferral, 24 where DPU supported deferring the excess revenue

Page 11

resulting from the company's ongoing reduced tax rate.

25

1	In that case the Commission agreed with the DPU and
2	approved the deferral.
3	DPU also argues that the Company can recover
4	these costs through a rates case and, for that reason,
5	the Commission should deny the application for a
6	deferral. However, contrary to the DPU's position, the
7	Company is not required to seek recovery of these costs
8	only through a general rate case. Rather, under the
9	Utah Supreme Court's decision in the MCI
10	Telecommunications Corporation, the Commission
11	recognized recognizes an exception to the normal
12	prohibitions on retroactive and single-issue ratemaking
13	for unforeseeable and extraordinary costs, allowing
14	deferrals to permit potential recovery in a later rate
15	proceeding. The Company has demonstrated compliance
16	with the MCI standards in this case.
17	Moreover, a general rate case would not be an
18	adequate remedy for these unforeseeable and
19	extraordinary expenses. Given the time lines involved,
20	most or all of the 2023-2024 insurance cost increase
21	could not be recovered through a general rate case
22	filing. This would be the case, even if the Company
23	requested interim rates, because by the time that the
24	exact insurance premium expenses were known and

measurable, it was too late to obtain full cost recovery

25

1 through a general rate case filing and interim rates since that process itself requires months to complete. 2 3 Finally, DPU and the Office of Consumer Services argues that the Commission should not approve 4 5 deferred accounting because the Company has not shown that the expenses would be recoverable in a future 6 proceeding. Those intervenors assert that some portion 7 of the increase in excess liability insurance premium 8 9 expenses may have resulted from Company actions that DPU 10 and OCS consider imprudent. 11 To approve a deferral, however, the Commission requires only that a utility demonstrate that the 12 13 expenses are of a type that may be eligible for a rate 14 recovery in a future proceeding, pending a demonstration 15 of prudence in that future proceeding. The excess 16 liability insurance premium expenses at issue in this case satisfy this requirement because the Commission has 17 18 historically included these expenses in the Company's 19 revenue requirement. 2.0 The Company acknowledges that when it seeks 21 recovery of these costs, it will bear the burden to 22 demonstrate that the costs were prudently incurred. The 23 Company intends to file a general rate case in Utah 24 later this year, and in that proceeding, the Company 25 will provide evidence supporting the prudence of these

1	expenses.
2	However, in the current proceeding, the
3	Company seeks only an order approving deferred
4	accounting of its increased insurance expenses. The
5	Company's plan to file a rate case in 2024 also
6	addresses DPU's concern that the deferral is open-ended
7	and could remain pending for the foreseeable future.
8	The Company proposes that the deferral remain in place
9	only until the Company's increased insurance costs are
L O	reflected in base rates.
L1	The Company excuse me the Commission
L2	should approve the Company's application because the
L3	Company has shown that the increase in its insurance
L4	expenses was unforeseeable at the time of the last rate
L 5	case, and the increase has an extraordinary impact on
L6	the Company's earnings.
L7	This completes my summary. Thank you.
L8	MS. McDOWELL: Thank you, Ms. McCoy.
L9	Ms. McCoy is available for cross-examination
20	and Commission questions.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid?
22	MS. SCHMID: Yes.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. SCHMID:
25	Q. Good morning. How are you?
	Page 14

1	A. Good morning. Good. Thank you.
2	Q. Did I miss the part in your testimony that the
3	Company was going to file a rate case in 2024?
4	A. No. That was not included in my testimony.
5	Q. You also mentioned a large increase in the
6	insurance rates, and you said, subject to check, that
7	there was a 1,764 percent increase; is that right?
8	A. Subject to check. But if you could point me
9	to my testimony where I said it, that would be helpful.
10	Q. If we look at your rebuttal actually,
11	this that probably was in Ms. Coleman's. Did you
12	read her testimony?
13	A. I did.
L 4	Q. So if you look at her rebuttal testimony at
15	line 6164 where she talks about increased costs, do you
16	see the 1,764 number?
L7	A. I do. She's referring to the increase over
18	the time period from 2019 to 2023.
19	Q. Do you know what the increase is from 2022 to
20	2023 and 2023 to 2024 in terms of a percentage?
21	A. I do not. That's probably better directed at
22	Ms. Coleman.
23	Q. I will ask her those.
24	You also mentioned the MCI case, and you
25	mentioned some prior cases in which the Commission
	Page 15

1	issued a deferral accounting order for RMP. Do you
2	believe or sorry. Is it true that the number or the
3	expense or the cost being sought to defer is not the
4	only test for whether or not a deferral account should
5	be allowed?
6	A. It's my understanding that the amount should
7	be unforeseeable and extraordinary.
8	Q. Coming back to the MCI case and and to your
9	testimony, is it do you agree that the test is not
10	whether expenses turned out to be greater or less than
11	those previously estimated?
12	A. As far as determining the whether they're
13	extraordinary?
14	Q. And whether or not they're foreseeable.
15	A. Okay. Could you rephrase the question,
16	please.
17	Q. Of course. Is the fact that an expense or a
18	cost was greater than those projected in the past the
19	only test?
20	A. Oh, whether it's greater? No. Again, the
21	the amount the Company seeks to defer needs to be
22	extraordinary and unforeseeable, and so have an
23	extraordinary impact on earnings, for instance.
24	Q. Is a deferred accounting order do you agree
25	that a deferred accounting order is not appropriate for
	Page 16

1	just a misforecast?
2	A. Again, it's not just a misforecast. It's an
3	extraordinary material amount.
4	Q. And so if the event is foreseeable, it doesn't
5	qualify; is that right?
6	A. Correct. It needs to be it needs to be
7	unforeseen and extraordinary.
8	Q. Just one moment.
9	So in your summary, you talked about the
10	Company filing a rate case in 2024, and in your
11	testimony and in your summary, you talked about how
12	filing a general rate case would not give the Company
13	the relief it's sought, due in part to the time lines
14	required to prepare, file, and for the Commission to
15	issue an order in a rate case.
16	Is that a gross and perhaps oversimplified
17	summary?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. Do you agree, however, that the Company
20	has filed updates to its general rate case in the past?
21	A. Could you provide me with an example?
22	Q. If you turn to the general rate case in 2020
23	and you look at the testimony of Ms. Steward and you
24	compare that to the testimony that was filed in the
25	Company's direct case, you will see in the rebuttal, I
	Page 17

1	believe approximately lines 74 through 100, that she
2	offers updated numbers.
3	Do you have means to disagree with that?
4	A. Oh, so let me rephrase
5	Q. Okay.
6	A phrase it and see if I'm understanding.
7	Q. Okay.
8	A. Are you asking if in rebuttal of a general
9	rate case if the Company can make updates to its case?
10	Q. That's a much better question.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. That's a great question I should have asked.
13	A. Yes, I do agree that the Company has the
14	ability to propose updates to its rate case filing in
15	rebuttal.
16	Q. And do you agree that the Company has done
17	that in the past?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. You also talked about interim rates not
20	being the answer. Do you agree, however, that there is
21	a 45-day time limit during which the Commission must
22	issue a decision on an interim rate request after that
23	rate request has been made?
24	A. I do agree, but it still doesn't take away the
25	preparation time for a rate case. In Utah, the first
	D 10

1	step would be to make a test period filing to propose a
2	test a test period. And in this case, we would seek
3	a forecast test period in which parties could also file
4	testimony. And if there's not agreement, my
5	understanding, there would be a hearing on that matter
6	before the Company would prepare its rate case. If we
7	didn't take that step, it would mean preparing,
8	essentially, three versions of the rate case.
9	It takes months to prepare collect and
10	prepare all of the information. For instance, it's not
11	uncommon for us to have between 1,500 and 2,000 capital
12	projects in a rate case, and every one of those needs to
13	be evaluated for the appropriate depreciation expense
14	and also the appropriate tax treatment. There would be
15	updates to costs such as insurance premiums that we
16	would need to gather. There's testimony that needs to
17	be written to support the Company's positions and any
18	especially projected capital projects.
19	And then there's filing requirements, which,
20	my understanding, they're quite extensive in Utah in
21	ensuring that the Company is meeting all of those
22	requirements before we make the filing.
23	So, while, yes, interim rates are available to
24	the Company, it doesn't negate all of the work that goes
25	into preparing a rate case to file for those interim

1	rates.
2	Q. The Company prepares rate cases as part of its
3	general regulatory process; isn't that correct?
4	A. It it does but
5	Q. That's that's all I'm asking.
6	Does the Company only have one rate case going
7	in the six states in which it operates and this is
8	PacifiCorp at a time?
9	A. No. We've had cases of multiple, but each
10	state is unique in its regulatory treatment of items.
11	Q. You just talked about the challenges that the
12	Company has in preparing a rate case and all the work
13	that has to be done. Your last Rocky Mountain
14	Power's last general rate case was in 2020; is that
15	right?
16	A. That is correct.
17	Q. And so Rocky Mountain Power's current rates
18	were set in 2020; is that right?
19	A. That is correct.
20	Q. Do your do Rocky Mountain Power's current
21	rates include enough personnel enough revenue for
22	personnel to file needed regulatory filings in each
23	state as needed?
24	A. I believe so.
25	Q. But you're saying okay. Never mind.
	Page 20

1	MS. SCHMID: Just if I could have just one
2	moment. Thank you.
3	Q. (BY MS. SCHMID) And going back to your
4	discussion of how long it takes to prepare a rate case
5	and the challenges there, do you wait until all numbers,
6	such as revenues and and costs, are final before you
7	start to prepare a rate case?
8	A. So the process of preparing a rate case is
9	built off of our results of operations, which we prepare
10	in Utah twice a year. It's based off of 12 months into
11	June, 12 months into December.
12	Q. And that's a long way of getting, perhaps, to
13	my answer. So if you could be more concise.
14	A. Sure. And so when we do build when we
15	prepare a rate case, while we'll start gathering some of
16	the data, such as forecasted capital projects, we do
17	build it off of the actual results for either June or
18	December historical periods.
19	Q. And you can provide updates to your rate case
20	filing; correct?
21	A. We can provide updates, but it is at the
22	Commission's discretion as to whether or not they
23	will they accept those updates.
24	Q. Can you give me an instance never mind.
25	Let's see.
	Dage 21

1	MS. SCHMID: Those are all my questions.
2	Thank you.
3	PRESIDING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Schmid.
4	Mr. Moore?
5	MR. MOORE: The office has no questions.
6	Thank you.
7	PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Russell?
8	MR. RUSSELL: Just a few brief questions.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. RUSSELL:
11	Q. Ms. McCoy, I'd like you to turn to page 10 of
12	your rebuttal testimony, if you would.
13	A. Okay. I'm there.
14	Q. I'm going to direct you in a moment to the
15	the portion of your testimony starting at line 201 where
16	you provide your recommendation, but just as a kind of
17	overarching statement here, what I'm what I'm looking
18	for here is some specifics here about the relief that
19	the Company is seeking in this docket.
20	Starting at line 201 you state, "I recommend
21	the Commission approve the Company's application for a
22	deferred accounting order for its excess liability
23	insurance premiums for the 2023-2024 period."
24	And I'm I'm curious about what you mean by
25	the 2023-2024 period. Are you talking about policies

1	that start in '23 and end in '24, or are you talking
2	about expenses that are incurred in either '23 or '24?
3	A. So that would be for policies that began with
4	the renewal period in August of '23 and would continue
5	until the updated premiums are included in base rates,
6	which is anticipated to be after '24.
7	Q. So are you seeking in this docket to include
8	costs that the Company may incur in the renewal period
9	starting in August of 2024?
10	A. That's a possibility, yeah.
11	Q. Okay. We, of course, don't have any numbers
12	about that.
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. And we don't know whether those costs will be
15	extraordinary at this point because we don't know what
16	they are; right?
17	A. Right.
18	Q. Okay. And one additional set of questions.
19	The next sentence here states, "The Company estimates
20	that its excess liability insurance costs are
21	approximately 122.6 million." You go on to calculate
22	the difference between that and the amount in rates.
23	A. Right.
24	Q. Why do we not have actual numbers for the
25	renewal period starting in August of 2023 yet?
	Page 23

1	A. So you'll you'll want to direct this
2	question to Ms. Coleman to confirm, but I think that
3	this number is probably the final number, but I would
4	really want her to respond to that
5	Q. Okay.
6	A question.
7	Q. Okay. I will I will await that that
8	opportunity then.
9	That's all I have for you. Thank you.
L O	A. Okay.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER: Commissioner Harvey?
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY COMMISSIONER HARVEY:
L 4	Q. So some of these sorry. Some of these may
15	be for PacifiCorp's other witness, and just feel free to
16	let me know that.
L 7	A. Okay.
18	Q. I'm interested in finding out a little bit
19	more about the actual workings of these insurance
20	policies. I'm assuming they're certainly more
21	complicated than the one I have on my car, for instance,
22	the deductible and they pay up to the value of my car.
23	So to begin with, give me a sense of what type
24	of expenses these policies would cover.
25	A. Yeah. So you are correct. This question
	Page 24

1	really is better directed to Ms. Coleman
2	Q. Okay.
3	A who oversees this the process of
4	obtaining the insurance and knows it quite well.
5	You also are correct that it is much more
6	complex than our personal insurance. I've definitely
7	learned that over the years.
8	Q. Okay. So she's got a heads up.
9	A. Yeah.
10	Q. Okay. I'll skip those.
11	I don't remember if this was your testimony or
12	Ms. Coleman's, but there was discussion about the fact
13	that the policy is part of the larger policy for the
14	entire Berkshire Hathaway.
15	A. Yep. That's also Ms. Coleman.
16	Q. Okay.
17	(Reporter clarification.)
18	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Yes. Sorry. I will try
19	to speak more clearly.
20	Q. (BY COMMISSIONER HARVEY) I have questions
21	about how the Commission might think about just and
22	reasonable rates with respect to a negligence issue. Is
23	that something you would address, or is that something
24	for Ms. Coleman or someone else?
25	A. I might be able to help you out with
	Dage 25

1	Q. All right.
2	A. I might be able to answer that. So
3	Q. So my impression from reading the testimony is
4	that there are two basic drivers for the increased
5	costs. One is this trend, industry-wide, in the
6	west-wide, of an increased risk profile, but there's
7	also a loss history, and that's even mentioned in the
8	testimonies as
9	A. Yes.
L O	Q. Indeed. But that loss has a court case that
11	is saying that it was not just an act of god, that there
12	was that there's at least one court opinion that says
13	they think that your your company is at fault. And
L 4	so I'm wondering about, in terms of just and reasonable
15	rates, what type of factors should the Commission
16	consider when its talking about what costs should
L 7	PacifiCorp bear versus ratepayers bear?
18	A. So I think that this gets to a discussion of
19	what what are the drivers behind the increased
20	premiums, and, again, Ms. Coleman can better
21	Q. Okay.
22	A speak to that and whether or not any recent
23	verdicts have any
24	Q. Okay.
25	A impact on those premiums right now.
	Page 26

1 O. So one more heads up. All right. I'm just going over this, trying to parse them 2 3 between the two witnesses here. So with respect to the deferred accounting 4 5 order itself, in the hypothetical that we issued it -granted it, I guess would be the word -- what would that 6 do for PacifiCorp/Rocky Mountain Power? You've -- and let me clarify what I mean. You've just finished 8 9 testifying that you're not asking us, as a Commission, 10 to determine any likelihood of recovery or anything 11 else. Your testimony is all you want us to do is essentially give you permission to track these costs and 12 13 you'll come back at a later date?

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A. Right. So an order authorizing the deferred accounting would preserve our right to recover these costs. Without that, it would then be retroactive ratemaking, and that is prohibited. So the opportunity to seek recovery of this increase would be lost without the deferred accounting order.

Q. And so let me make sure I have this straight. The time period from when you actually have to pay the premium -- and it's unclear to me whether that's happened or not. Maybe it has -- to when you would file the rate case and potentially have interim rates -- it's that missing gap that this --

1	A. Correct.
2	Q would cover?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Okay. And it's PacifiCorp's position that the
5	Commission can do that, even if it makes no judgment at
6	all about whether there's any likelihood of recovery?
7	A. Yes. I'm not a legal expert, but yes.
8	Q. Okay. We so today there's been mention of
9	different ways that recovery could be decided, basically
10	through some type of a looking at it later in terms of
11	deferred accounting and then a different proceeding.
12	Can that proceeding be different than a rate case?
13	A. It could be, but where the Company intends to
14	file a rate case, that seems the most expedient way to
15	address it.
16	Q. Okay. And has PacifiCorp already started
17	preparing that rate case? And if yes, did they start
18	shortly after learning of these increased premiums?
19	A. We have started collecting data. The there
20	are multiple factors that go into consideration of
21	whether or not to file rate cases, including large
22	capital investments that are coming online and resetting
23	the level of net power costs in base rates. So these
24	are all factors that we look at and consider. The
25	insurance premiums would just be one additional factor
	Page 28

1 that we would look at. 2 Ο. All right. I guess my concern -- and I'm 3 having a hard way to put this into a question, but we obviously have a prohibition on single-issue ratemaking 4 5 for very sound policy reasons. 6 Α. Mm-hmm. Because when we look at one thing changing, Q. we, by definition, aren't looking at everything else 8 9 that changes. 10 Α. Sure. And the company's coming to us and saying, "We 11 have this huge change that we're worried about, and we 12 13 want to get it -- full recovery for it, " but, by 14 definition, we won't be looking at anything else that 15 has changed if we grant this. 16 So every day that goes by where there's not a rate case filed, it increases the time period where 17 18 you're only looking at the one change instead of the 19 whole company. Do you see that -- do you agree with 2.0 that or not? In terms of --21 Well, do you agree that the longer PacifiCorp 22 takes to file a rate case, the bigger the gap is between 23 what's being asked to be recovered in that deferred 24 account and not knowing about all of these other changes 25 that are going on in the Company?

1 But I guess that -- that's an advantage Sure. of seeking recovery of the deferral balance in a rate 2 3 case, because it allows for the wholistic view of the 4 Company. But only on a going forward basis; right? Ο. Yes, but when we file a rate case, we also 6 include the historical base information. So that would 7 give some indication of the level of expenses overall 8 9 for the Company for --10 Ο. Absolutely. 11 Α. Right. Okay. But -- but what I'm saying is we would set 12 Q. 13 rates at some point in the future, and all those things 14 are balanced, and that's going forward. But up until 15 that time, we have this deferred account, and it's not 16 being offset by anything, and part of the rate case would be give us the rates going forward, but also let 17 18 us recover this big chunk of money; right? 19 Α. Yeah. I guess I would say that -- that's why 2.0 the standards for the deferred accounting in Utah are 21 unforeseen and extraordinary so that, you know, we're 22 not seeking deferral of something, let's say, like, \$5 million that would have a much smaller impact on 23 24 earnings, but it's intended to be items that are much

larger in magnitude, but I do understand your point.

25

1	Q. Okay. Thank you.
2	A. Yep.
3	Q. I think that's all of my questions for you.
4	Thank you.
5	A. Okay.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER: Commissioner Clark?
7	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Judge Hammer, I've got a
8	question. Did we offer Ms. McDowell an opportunity for
9	redirect?
10	PRESIDING OFFICER: I thought I would do that
11	after Commissioner questions.
12	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. We usually do it
13	before, but since you haven't been here when the
14	Commission is here, you may not be aware of that.
15	You're used to conducting your own hearings. And,
16	frankly, Chair LeVar would sometimes forget as well, but
17	let me ask my questions and then and then let's have
18	the redirect. But maybe going forward, we can we
19	can
20	PRESIDING OFFICER: Certainly, if that's your
21	preference.
22	COMMISSIONER CLARK: redirect, if that's
23	okay.
24	EXAMINATION
25	///
	Page 31

1	BY COMMISSIONER CLARK:
2	Q. So my questions relate to page 4 of your
3	rebuttal, Ms. McCoy.
4	A. Okay. I'm there.
5	Q. And there you discuss the reported return on
6	equity of June 2023, and I think that's a Utah-specific
7	number. Am I right?
8	A. That is correct.
9	Q. And it comes out of the results of operations
10	report that you
11	A. Correct.
12	Q routinely file?
13	A. Mm-hmm.
14	Q. And that return on equity is about a little
15	more than 500 basis points below your authorized
16	current authorized
17	A. Correct.
18	Q ROE?
19	(Reporter admonition on cross-talk.)
20	Q. (BY COMMISSIONER CLARK) Are you able to
21	identify the major drivers in that 500 basis point
22	difference?
23	A. Off the top of my head, I believe the the
24	biggest driver is increased net power costs.
25	Q. And would you have a sense of the degree to
	Page 32

1	which that that those increase in net power costs
2	is influencing this number?
3	A. Unfortunately, I don't.
4	Q. Does the Company receive or recover its net
5	power costs through a proceeding other than general rate
6	cases?
7	A. Yes, through the energy balancing account, or
8	EBA, we we receive revenues for the incremental
9	amount over and above what's in base rates.
L O	Q. And and those costs are generally
11	pass-through costs right? that are recovered
12	A. Correct.
13	Q dollar for dollar?
L 4	A. Correct. Yep.
15	Q. So I'm struggling a little to understand how
16	they affect your ROE, as you've described it.
L 7	A. Oh, the net power costs. Because the results
18	of operations that's filed is utilizing what's in
19	collected in base rates and our actual expenses. And so
20	this this ROE reflects what our what our earnings
21	are without those additional EBA revenues.
22	Q. Those are all my questions. Thank you.
23	A. Okay.
24	PRESIDING OFFICER: And I have none.
25	Ms. McDowell, any redirect?
	Page 33

1 MS. McDOWELL: Thank you. 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. McDOWELL: 3 Ms. McCoy, there were a couple of answers that 4 Q. 5 you started to give that -- where Ms. Schmid interrupted you before you were finished with your answers. 6 just wanted to go back to those questions and see if you had anything additional to offer. 8 9 One was that question around whether the 10 Company processes rate cases as a part of its normal 11 regulatory function and whether the Company has sufficient staff to do that. Can you respond to that 12 13 question and offer any additional information that you 14 had intended to speak to? 15 Certainly. So we do frequently process 16 multiple rate cases in a particular year. It's not uncommon for us to be working on two or three at a time, 17 18 but each state is very unique in treatment of various 19 items or rate base. 2.0 For instance, Washington recently went to a 21 multiyear rate plan filing. So in that state, when we 22 file a general rate case, it's for at least two years. 23 Other states are a base period plus known and measurable 24 changes. Other states, like Utah, will allow a forecast 25 test period. So they're not interchangeable. Page 34

1	to identify testimony that's going to need to be
2	provided.
3	Q. So Mr. Russell asked you a question about the
4	Company's intentions around the timing of this deferral
5	and the scope of this deferral.
6	Do you recall those questions?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And one of the questions he asked was how you
9	would handle potential increases for the next year, the
LO	2024-2025
11	A. Right.
12	Q insurance year. Do you recall those
13	questions?
L 4	A. I do.
15	Q. So how can you address how the Company
16	would handle those forward-looking expenses in a rate
L7	case?
18	A. Certainly. So if we were in a rate case, once
19	we knew what the new premiums were or had a pretty good
20	estimate of what the new premiums would be in our
21	rebuttal filing, we would include that information in
22	the update that we would make, because typically when
23	we're making our rebuttal filing, we will update to the
24	best known information at that point in time. And then
25	if warranted, we would add additional testimony to
	Page 36

1	support the update, depending on the magnitude of the
2	change.
3	Q. So would that does that response assume the
4	Company's allowed to use a future test period?
5	A. Yes, of course. Yeah. If it was a historical
6	test period, then it wouldn't apply.
7	Q. And that's the process you discussed in terms
8	of one of the one of the time-consuming processes in
9	preparing and filing a rate case in Utah is the need
L O	to ascertain the test period?
11	A. Yes. It certainly as it certainly
12	streamlines the process, knowing what our test period is
13	ahead of time.
L 4	Q. So Commissioner Harvey asked you some
15	questions about
16	MR. MOORE: Objection. Generally, we don't
L7	have redirect after Commissioner questions.
18	PRESIDING OFFICER: Well, if we're not going
19	to afford other parties the same opportunity and from
20	what we just discussed, it appears as that the
21	Commission's preference is that we not have redirect
22	after Commissioner questions. So I don't think it would
23	be appropriate to ask the witness questions about the
24	Commissioners' questions in this instance.
25	MS. McDOWELL: Fair enough.

1	PRESIDING OFFICER: So sustained.
2	MS. McDOWELL: I just I have one more
3	question about the DPU questions, and thank you for
4	indulging me.
5	Q. (BY MS. McDOWELL) So Ms. Schmid referred to
б	the forecast of the insurance proceeds I think as a
7	misforecast. Can you respond to that?
8	A. Yes. At the time that we prepare a rate case,
9	we're including the the best known information that
10	we have. So we would have included the the best
11	projection of the insurance proceeds we would have had
12	for the test period in that case, which was 2021. So I
13	wouldn't necessarily categorize it as a misforecast as
14	it speaks to '23 or '24.
15	Q. What was the basis of that forecast in the
16	2020 general rate case?
17	A. It was the the insurance premiums that
18	renewed in August of 2020, and we used that information
19	for the 2021 test period because those premiums would be
20	in place for the majority of the 2021 year.
21	Q. So in terms of the prudence and sharing issues
22	that Ms. Schmid asked you about, are those issues that
23	the Company would address would intend to address in
24	the rate case when it actually seeks recovery of this
25	deferral?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Is it your understanding I think you had
3	answered some questions around the MCI case. Is it your
4	understanding that that case both provides an exception
5	to retroactive ratemaking and single-issue ratemaking?
6	A. That is my understanding.
7	Q. That's all I have. Thank you.
8	PRESIDING OFFICER: Thank you. Is there any
9	request for recross?
10	MS. SCHMID: No. Thank you.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER: All right. Thank you very
12	much, Ms. McCoy.
13	MS. McCOY: Thank you.
14	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. McDowell, would you
15	like to call your next witness?
16	MS. McDOWELL: Yes, Judge. We would call
17	Mariya Coleman.
18	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. Coleman, do you swear
19	to tell the truth?
20	MS. COLEMAN: I do.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER: Thank you.
22	Whenever you're ready, Ms. McDowell.
23	MS. COLEMAN: Commissioner Harvey, how do I
24	turn this on?
25	Testing. There we go.
	Page 39

1	MARIYA COLEMAN
2	was sworn and testified as follows:
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MS. McDOWELL:
5	Q. Good morning, Ms. Coleman.
6	A. Good morning.
7	Q. Can you please state your name and spell it
8	for the record.
9	A. Mariya Coleman, M-a-r-i-y-a, Coleman,
10	C-o-l-e-m-a-n.
11	Q. Ms. Coleman, how are you employed?
12	A. I'm employed by Berkshire Hathaway Energy.
13	I'm the vice president in insurance and claims.
14	Q. In that capacity, have you prepared testimony
15	in this proceeding?
16	A. I have.
17	Q. Is that testimony your direct and rebuttal
18	testimony in this case?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to that
21	testimony?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Have you prepared a summary of that testimony?
24	A. I have.
25	Q. Please proceed.
	Page 40

1	A. Okay. Here we go. Good morning, Commissioner
2	Clark, Commissioner Harvey, and Judge Hammer.
3	In this case Rocky Mountain Power seeks
4	deferred accounting for the unforeseeable and
5	extraordinary increase in its excess liability insurance
6	payment expenses since the Commission last set the
7	Company's rates in its 2020 general rate case. In my
8	nearly 15 years in utility insurance and risk
9	management, there has never been a year-on-year increase
10	like the one in this case.
11	Excess liability insurance, including wildfire
12	liability insurance, is a reasonable and necessary
13	business expense that protects the Company and customers
14	against financial losses from third-party claims.
15	Maintaining insurance is a necessary part of operating a
16	utility and managing the risks associated with that
17	business.
18	Utilities also have insurance to avoid the
19	volatility of claims on customer rates. In past cases,
20	I understand that the Commission has approved the
21	Company's insurance costs for inclusion in rates as a
22	prudent business expense.
23	The Company's liability insurance costs have
24	increased substantially for 2023 through 2024, tracking
25	the increase in utility operating risk. However, even
	Page 41

at higher costs, current conditions make it more important than ever the Company maintain the appropriate level of liability insurance coverage.

2.0

The Company typically executes renewals of its insurance policies in August of each year. The ten and a half million total-Company now reflected in base rates for excess liability insurance expense relate -- reflects the costs of the most recent insurance renewal in August 2020. In August 2023, this renewal cost 122.6 million, an increase of 112.1 million, or 49.2 million in Utah.

The total coverage levels in 2020 and 2023 are generally comparable, although there are differences in the policies and their supplements. The insurance cost increase for which the Company seeks deferred accounting was unforeseeable at the time Utah rates were last set in 2020. The speed and scale of the excess liability insurance cost increase is unprecedented and does not reflect either the Company's historical experience or normal cost escalation. While premium increases are always a possibility, nothing in 2020 indicated that the insurance market would drive the Company's coverage costs to the level seen in 2023. Even in 2022, the magnitude of the 2023 increase could not have been predicted.

1	The increase in costs is associated with
2	larger and more destructive wildfires in the western
3	U.S. due to prolonged drought conditions, extreme
4	weather events, and increased development in wildlife
5	areas. Utah, like most western states, is exposed to
6	increasing wildfire risk. As wildfire risk increases,
7	the need for the insurance and the costs associated with
8	that insurance also increased.
9	For example, from 2019 to 2023, the Company's
10	insurance premiums increased by approximately
11	1,764 percent. At the same time, the supply of
12	insurance covering claims for wildfire liability has
13	decreased.
14	Contrary to the assertions from intervenors in
15	this case, allowing deferral of the insurance cost
16	increases does not create a disincentive for the Company
17	to manage and mitigate its wildfire risk. Insurance is
18	a necessary expense when unanticipated liabilities
19	arise, but the Company takes action to avoid those
20	liabilities in the first place, as set forth in its
21	wildfire mitigation plans.
22	Moreover, the Company does not view insurance
23	as an alternative to adequate wildfire mitigation. In
24	fact, without robust wildfire mitigation plans, the
25	company could not obtain insurance, address coverage

1 limitations and term rate stability, and maintain its 2 credit ratings. 3 Finally, all intervenors in this docket have discussed a recent court case in Oregon, James versus 4 PacifiCorp, in which the Company was found liable for certain wildfire damages. The intervenors assert that 6 the James litigation may have affected the increased 7 premiums that insurers offered to the Company in 2023. 8 9 However, although the timing of the James litigation was 10 coincidental to the renewal of the Company's excess liability insurance, none of the Company's insurers 11 indicated in their renewal discussions that James 12 13 affected the premium expenses in the policies they offered. 14 15 Rather, the Company's insurers indicated that 16 the premium expenses were affected primarily by the general increase in wildfire risk resulting from climate 17 18 change and various claims against multiple utilities in the western U.S. Wildfire risk has increased so 19 2.0 dramatically that some insurers will no longer even 21 offer insurity -- insurance to utilities like the 22 Company. 23 In any event, the prudence issue raised by 24 intervenors, including issues around the James 25 litigation, are outside of the scope of this case

1	because the Company only seeks approval to defer these
2	expenses. Parties will have an opportunity to address
3	issues around prudence and retreatment when the Company
4	seeks recovery of these expenses in its next Utah
5	general rate case planned for later this year.
6	For these reasons, I respectfully ask the
7	Commission to approve the Company's application for
8	deferred accounting of the increased excess liability
9	premium expenses.
10	Thank you. That concludes my summary.
11	Q. Thank you, Ms. Coleman.
12	MS. McDOWELL: Ms. Coleman is available for
13	cross-examination and questions from the Commission.
14	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid, any cross?
15	MS. SCHMID: Just a bit.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. SCHMID:
18	Q. Good morning. How are you?
19	A. Good morning. Thank you.
20	Q. So turning to your experience with Berkshire
21	Hathaway Energy Company and insurance, you had, you
22	said, roughly 15 years of experience?
23	A. Yeah, about 13 and a half.
24	Q. Okay. That's a lot.
25	A. Yeah. It's been a minute.
	Page 45

1	Q. As part of your responsibilities, do you look
2	at what is happening in terms of wildfires in the states
3	that PacifiCorp serves?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And do you agree that your testimony said that
6	litigation outcomes and litigation outcomes can
7	affect premium rates; is that right?
8	A. Litigation outcomes across all the entire
9	spectrum of the industry affect how insurers perceive
10	the utility industry wildfire risk. So the entire
11	pricing of insurance is affected by all claims that are
12	made that insurers pay for.
13	Q. As part of your responsibility for looking
14	into obtaining insurance and what insurance to obtain
15	and what the reasonable prices are, do you look at
16	things like Berkshire Energy Berkshire Hathaway
17	Energy company's 10-Ks and 10-Qs at all?
18	A. In a in a summary level, yes.
19	Q. Do you provide any input into wildfire-related
20	instances shown or depicted in the 10-Ks and 10-Qs?
21	A. While it's not within the scope of this
22	testimony we're discussing today, yes, I reviewed the
23	liabilities that are related to claims in the
24	development of the 10-K. So, yes, that section I do
25	review and contribute to.

1	(Reporter clarification.)
2	MS. SCHMID: My witness is taking on a dual
3	role. Not only will he be a witness today, but he's
4	going to pass out some cross-examination exhibits. And
5	I'll wait until those have been distributed before I
6	start. And I'll wait just a moment while people can
7	take up and look at what was passed out, if that's all
8	right with the Commission.
9	If we could mark what has been passed out as
10	DPU Cross Exhibit 1.
11	(DPU Cross Exhibit 1 marked for
12	identification.)
13	MS. SCHMID: And I'll identify it for the
14	record as the filing that Berkshire Hathaway Energy
15	Company made on for the quarterly period ending
16	March 31st of 2023.
17	This exhibit consists only of selected pages
18	from the 10-Q because the 10-Q is quite lengthy, but I
19	will represent that what is here is a true and accurate
20	representation of what was in the complete 10-Q insofar
21	as it addresses these things.
22	Q. (BY MS. SCHMID) So if you can turn to what's
23	page 179 as marked in the 10-Q. And so if we turn
24	probably about three pages in, we see Part II at the
25	top, "Legal Proceedings."

1	Do you see that?
2	A. I do see that.
3	Q. So do you see that in 2020, there was a class
4	action complaint against PacifiCorp, moving down, that
5	in 2021 there was another one captioned Shylo Salter.
6	And it keeps going and keeps going, some in 2022, lots
7	in 2022, and those are on page 180. And we get to
8	page 181 and 182, and the 10-Q gives notice of court
9	filings and lawsuits.
10	Is that a fair general description of what is
11	in here?
12	A. That is a description, yes.
13	Q. So is it your testimony that, based upon
14	factors such as the number of claims represented in the
15	10-Q, that you did not foresee insurance increases in
16	the wildfire excess liability arena?
17	A. I believe your question's slightly misstated.
18	The magnitude of these increases was not foreseeable.
19	Q. So is it your testimony that the that an
20	increase was foreseeable?
21	A. An increase of this magnitude was not
22	foreseeable.
23	Q. Okay. I'll move on.
24	When you're talking about the 1,764 percent
25	increase that's on your rebuttal at lines 6164, would
	Page 48

1	you agree that that increase is for the time period 2019
2	through 2023?
3	A. 1,600 1,764 percent is the amount of the
4	difference in premium between 2019 policies and 2023
5	renewal policies.
6	Q. Do you know the percent increase from 2022 to
7	2023?
8	A. So the the percent increase is 234 percent,
9	approximately.
10	Q. Do you know the percent increase for 2021 to
11	2022?
12	A. I don't have it right off the top of my head
13	here for you, but we can answer that in either a data
14	request or in the proper procedural ways. It wasn't in
15	my testimony, and I don't have it ready for you.
16	Q. I'm asking that question because of your
17	general responsibilities and your general knowledge. So
18	I'm hopefully staying within your expertise that you
19	demonstrated.
20	Let's see. Just one moment.
21	MS. SCHMID: Those are all my questions, but I
22	would like to move for the admission of DPU Cross
23	Exhibit 1, which is the selected pages from Berkshire
24	Hathaway Energy Company's 10-Q.
25	MS. McDOWELL: No objection.
	Page 49

1	PRESIDING OFFICER: It's admitted.
2	(DPU Cross Exhibit 1 admitted into
3	evidence.)
4	MS. SCHMID: Thank you. That's all from the
5	Division at this time. Thank you.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Moore?
7	MR. MOORE: The Office has no questions.
8	Thank you.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Russell?
10	MR. RUSSELL: Yes.
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. RUSSELL:
13	Q. Good morning, Ms. Coleman. How are you?
14	A. Good morning. Thank you.
15	Q. I'm going to have two lines of question, both
16	which relate to statements made in your rebuttal
17	testimony. Let's start at the conclusion, which starts
18	on page 7 and goes into page 8.
19	A. Rebuttal or direct?
20	Q. Rebuttal.
21	A. Okay.
22	Q. In the conclusion to your rebuttal
23	testimony I asked Ms. McCoy a similar question and
24	she deferred to you but in the in the conclusion
25	in your rebuttal testimony, you make some reference to
	Page 50

1	numbers that I understand to be the costs of renewal
2	insurance for the policy period beginning approximately
3	August 15th of 2023; is that right?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. Okay. And you make reference to these numbers
6	as approximate numbers. For instance, on line 150 you
7	make a reference to excess liability insurance costs of
8	approximately 122.6 million on a total-Company basis.
9	My question is why are these numbers still
10	approximate numbers, or do we have that nailed down yet?
11	A. These are nailed down.
12	Q. Okay.
13	A. However, when this was developed, we used the
14	wording "approximately" because there is a 90 to 120
15	window after where various federal and state taxes need
16	to be paid against insurance premiums, and they're
17	subject to the jurisdiction of each policy of what that
18	policy is actually covering. So it takes about 90 days
19	to get all those taxes paid in all the different forms
20	that that we have to pay them in.
21	Q. Okay. So as of today, we know that these are
22	the these are the right numbers?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Okay. So in line 153 where you say it results
25	in a deferral for Utah's allocated share of you say
	Page 51

1	here approximately 112.1 million total-Company.
2	That's that's now the number for the deferred amount
3	you're seeking?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. Okay. The next line of questions this
6	remains in your rebuttal testimony, but let's go to line
7	103.
8	A. Sure thing.
9	Q. I'm going to I'm just going to read a
10	section of this and then ask you a few questions about
11	it, and it starts, again, on line 103.
12	"As a general matter, insurance companies base
13	their policies on the total risk being insured and do
14	not compartmentalize certain percentages of that risk to
15	specific events. Specific to the James verdict, excess
16	liability insurance covers damages that the Company pays
17	to parties and attaches only after PacifiCorp pays a
18	claim. The Company has not yet paid the damages in
19	James and, as a result, has not filed James-related
20	claims with its insurers."
21	Did I get that right?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. I want to ask some follow-up questions because
24	I'm not entirely sure I understand how this works.
25	So the James event relates to an incident that
	Page 52

1	occurred in I think it was approximately September of
2	2020; right?
3	A. So James is a class action lawsuit around the
4	multiple wildfires that occurred in Oregon in over
5	Labor Day weekend in 2020.
6	Q. Okay. And the the James case resulted in a
7	verdict in it was around June of 2023; right?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. Okay. And when you state here that excess
10	liability covers the damages that PacifiCorp pays and
11	only attaches after PacifiCorp pays a claim, I'm trying
12	to figure out which insurer you seek reimbursement from
13	in the event that you pay a claim. Is it the insurer at
14	the time that the event occurred or the insurer that you
15	have at the time you make the payment, or is it some
16	other insurer?
17	A. Good question. So it we make claims on a
18	claims made basis. So when the events happened and the
19	claimants and plaintiffs started communicating to
20	PacifiCorp that they would be asserting claims against
21	the Company, PacifiCorp notifies its insurers in that
22	time period to preserve that coverage for those events.
23	Q. Okay. That's the way I thought it worked, but
24	I just wanted to make sure that I understood your
25	testimony here.

1	So when when that occurs, the process you
2	just described when that occurs and then subsequently
3	the Company makes a payment to a plaintiff or to a
4	claimant, you then seek reimbursement from the insurer
5	that you had at the time that the claims were made or
6	the time that you referred those claims to the insurer;
7	is that is that right?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. Okay.
10	A. The time that the claims were made.
11	Q. Okay. That's that's the clarity that I
12	needed. Thank you for that.
13	MR. RUSSELL: I have I'm sorry. I have no
14	more questions.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. McDowell, any
16	redirect?
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. McDOWELL:
19	Q. So, Ms. Coleman, do you have DPU Cross
20	Exhibit 1 in front of you?
21	A. Would that be the listing of the court cases?
22	Q. Yes, the 10-Q
23	A. Yes.
24	Q excerpt?
25	A. I have it.
	Page 54

1	Q. Do you recall Ms. Schmid asked you to review
2	the list of litigation that is contained on these pages?
3	A. Yes, we did a high level overview.
4	Q. So just to set the relevant time frame here,
5	you've testified that current rates include
6	approximately 10.5 million total-Company in excess
7	liability insurance; is that correct?
8	A. Those are the premiums for 2020, yes.
9	Q. And those premiums were set in August of 2020;
LO	is that correct?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. And you would have started to get those the
13	quotes and the offers for that insurance sometime
L 4	earlier that summer in 2020. Does that sound about
15	right?
16	A. That's correct.
L7	Q. So in reviewing the litigation listed here,
18	does any of that litigation go back to that date, the
19	summer of 2020?
20	A. All of this litigation is after the 2020
21	insurance policies were purchased. None of this
22	litigation is prior to the 2020 insurance purchase in
23	August 2020.
24	MS. McDOWELL: That's all that I have. Thank
25	you.

1	PRESIDING OFFICER: Any recross, Ms. Schmid?
2	MS. SCHMID: No. Thank you.
3	PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Russell?
4	MR. RUSSELL: None. Thanks.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER: Commissioner Harvey?
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY COMMISSIONER HARVEY:
8	Q. First, I will say welcome you to our
9	proceeding and tell Mr. Russell thank you for asking
10	some of my questions.
11	But, I do want to get a little bit better
12	understanding for myself of what is covered by these
13	insurance products. So the term that I've heard is
14	excess liability. What what determines whether
15	PacifiCorp just pays it itself or it files something
16	with an insurer?
17	A. Well, there there's multiple factors.
18	I'll I'll go into the liabilities side of insurances.
19	In my direct testimony on page 3 through 4, we have
20	definitions of all of our insurance policies. In this
21	context, it is liability insurance that has increased.
22	Liability insurance indemnifies PacifiCorp
23	when third parties have experienced property damage or
24	bodily injury that is allegedly related to PacifiCorp
25	and its actions and actually BHE and any of its

1	subsidiaries really since this is a Company-wide
2	purchase. So there's a couple of things that have to
3	happen. There has to be sudden and accidental third
4	party property damage or bodily injury that has to
5	exceed a deductible amount, which is \$10 million in this
6	case and has been since 2020.
7	If a claimant or a plaintiff can can
8	assert, reasonably, that they have experienced such,
9	then BHE and its subsidiaries, including PacifiCorp, may
10	enter into either a settlement or try a case related to
11	those facts and and, ultimately, you know, either pay
12	the claimant or defend itself. And if PacifiCorp or any
13	of the affiliated companies do pay, then the insurance
14	reimburses the Company for the amounts paid over the
15	deductible.
16	Q. And is there an upper limit to what they'll
17	pay?
18	A. So for non-wildfire coverages, it's
19	approximately \$540 million, and for wildfire coverages,
20	the supplements are included in testimony, and they vary
21	by state.
22	Q. And I think I understood you to say the terms
23	are one years when they are renewed?
24	A. They are one-year terms.
25	Q. And are they renewed typically with the same
	Page 57

1 Company, or is it an open bidding process each year, or 2 how does that process work? Sure. So most of the utility industry Α. purchases its first kind of layer of insurance from a 4 Company called AEGIS. It is an industry mutual, in that it only insures utility, electric and gas companies, and 6 some water. And so generally what that means is the AEGIS policy sets the terms and the definitions for 8 9 coverage. 10 After that, most companies, including BHE, build a tower on top of that to build those limits up. 11 12 In multiple spots in the tower, there's usually appetite 13 from -- from one, maybe two insurers, and they decide, 14 based on their business plans, of how large of an 15 insurance limit they'll sell. Occasionally, multiple 16 insurers might be interested in competing for the same spot, but for wildfire insurance, that has become less 17 and less of the case. 18 19 So wildfire liability insurance does fall 2.0 within the liability insurance kind of definition. And, 21 historically, where there might be an opportunity to 22 move insurers in their attachment in the tower, that 23 opportunity has lessened based on their appetite to sell 24 their product. There is no way to compel the insurers 25 to -- to change their business plans and appetite to --

to necessarily accommodate the insurance needs.

2.0

What we do is find an alignment with various insurers who are willing to sell us the insurance at reasonable rates and, you know, try to fit them into a tower so that we're not creating gaps in coverage nor duplicating coverage and instead line up a -- kind of a nice tower of subsequent insurance, depending on the size of the event.

- Q. And my impression from the testimony is that the number of those insurers participating in this tower, as you described, has decreased?
- A. So what has -- what has happened is the amount of insurance that insurers might normally sell, for example, in \$25 million pieces, has significantly shrunk, where many insurers will now sell only -- only a small piece. So where we might have -- I mean, we have increments as small as \$1 million that we are purchasing this tower in. So that means an insurance application, you know, seeking these folks out and getting them to sell up to \$1 million increments of this. Whereas, in the past, these towers have been created in 10-, \$25 million increments. And so the count of participants may be about -- about steady, but it's in -- it's becoming in much smaller tranches.
 - Q. Thank you. I'm curious a little bit about

1	this overall Company, not PacifiCorp, but BHE level
2	insurance. Is my understanding correct that that's
3	where the purchase takes place is at that level, and
4	then it's somehow allocated down to the various
5	companies that were listed on that first page of DPU
6	Cross 1?
7	A. It it is, yeah. So the first named
8	insurers is Berkshire Hathaway Energy and a single,
9	really, insurance tower is purchased. PacifiCorp, this
10	year, given that it it is the really the only
11	Company that needed additional wildfire insurance,
12	purchased some stand-alone insurance in just its own
13	name, but for the most part, the BHE coverage is what
14	what's applying to to all companies.
15	And so the way the policy wording works for
16	the insured is Berkshire Hathaway Energy and all
17	subsidiaries, excluding the Canadian Company due to
18	Canada's rather restrictive insurance laws. So that's
19	the only real holdout in terms of them being included in
20	the corporate purchase.
21	Q. And so is BHE able to, then, identify very
22	specifically what costs for that Company-wide or
23	family of Company-wide policies are being driven by
24	PacifiCorp?
25	A. So the wildfire sections of the insurance are
	Page 60

1	more linearly attributable to PacifiCorp and one other
2	western utility. Otherwise, the rest of the costs go
3	through a multifactor allocation in which PacifiCorp
4	participates because the insurers don't necessarily say
5	of our let's let's say, for example, our
6	catastrophic auto coverage. PacifiCorp's, you know,
7	responsibility is 50 percent. The insurers have a lot
8	of incentive to to not do that, in that if we pull a
9	company out, they perceive that they're still selling us
10	a full widget. So if we pull out one of the
11	subsidiaries, they don't intend on giving BHE an
12	incremental credit of that size because, in their
13	perspective, they still sold a full-sized product.
14	And so the insurers don't really do that for
15	us. We've created a multifactor allocation that we've
16	been using for several years, just based on some
17	objective markers that are listed in the testimony, and
18	we put the companies through through those allocation
19	factors for the coverages that overlap all of them.
20	Western wildfire coverage, which is actually
21	defined for the states that it's covering, is is
22	outside of those that other broader coverage
23	allocation and has its own set of allocated factors
24	because those policies specifically identify the states
25	that they are covering. So then we use the the

1 assets in those states to actually allocate those costs. 2 Ο. Thank you. So in looking at the -- the 3 exhibit that was handed out by the DPU, am I correct in thinking that Nevada Power and Sierra Pacific Power 4 would need wildfire? They do participate in BHE's wildfire 6 7 insurance purchase. So in your testimony, I saw that list of 8 Ο. 9 factors that BHE uses to -- but it was simply a list. 10 It wasn't an explanation of how they're done, at least 11 the part I remember seeing. 12 Is it -- would it be possible to use whatever 13 methodology is used there to look within a company, 14 specifically, Pacific Power versus Rocky Mountain Power? 15 Well, we haven't done that exercise. 16 could -- we could try. It's not a no. We could 17 certainly try to rewrite an allocation similar to that 18 but within the Company. I don't know that we've necessarily been asked to do that in the past since the 19 2.0 premiums are allocated system-wide. 21 I was just curious if it was possible, not 22 whether or not you have. 23 Α. Yeah. 24 Okay. I think that's all the questions I Ο. 25 have. Thank you. Page 62

1	A. Okay.
2	PRESIDING OFFICER: Commissioner Clark?
3	EXAMINATION
4	BY COMMISSIONER CLARK:
5	Q. Good morning, Ms. Coleman.
6	A. Good morning.
7	Q. I I understand your testimony to mean,
8	generally, that the increase in insurance premiums is
9	a is being driven by the overall change in the risk
10	profile for electric utilities in the west because of
11	wildfire, the incidents of wildfires, and and the
12	levels of damage that are being experienced. Would that
13	be correct?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. So I'd like to I think at least the
16	testimony refers to events in 2017 that or someone's
17	testimony does, at least, that and and the Pacific
18	Gas and Electric experiences in that year approximately
19	at least that drove Pacific Gas and Electric actually to
20	bankruptcy, but I'd like to go a little further back.
21	I'm recalling the Cedar Fire in southern
22	California in about 2004 that burned roughly
23	270,000 acres, and I'm wondering if you know what impact
24	that experience for I think it was San Diego Gas and
25	Electric Company had on its insurance premiums

1 excess liability insurance premiums? 2 So my experience does not go back to 2004. However, the more recent premium levels that California 3 major utilities were reporting were in excess of 70 4 5 cents on the dollar prior to the California Commission 6 choosing to create self-insurance mechanisms for those companies rather than continuing to pay the commercial market. 8 9 So the exact effect of a 2004 event, I don't have that ready for you today. We could probably send 10 11 an inquiry to some -- some of the broker's community to see if that's something they might have. However, I do 12 13 know the more recent financial effects of commercial 14 insurance on the California utilities, and they were --15 they were pretty extreme. So we can -- we can inquire 16 on the 2004 event. 17 Ο. 2008, I think, over -- or almost 1.7 million acres burned in California and a number of 18 19 fatalities, maybe a billion dollars of property damage 20 or so. I suppose that was scattered among the various 21 utilities. Do you have any -- excuse me -- specific 22 awareness of the premium impacts that the electric 23 utilities in California experienced in that year? When I started in the industry in 2010, the 2.4 Α.

kind of knowledge base was that California -- the big

2.5

1	three California utilities are massive premium payers in
2	terms of their liability insurance. In terms of the
3	specifics, I don't have those, but that was a common
4	perception that those Californian utilities sure do pay
5	a lot of money for liability insurance due to their
6	wildfire risk.
7	Q. In the 2017 event for Pacific Gas and
8	Electric, was that a similar impact? Or you
9	mentioned some California regulatory changes. Did those
L O	affect the impact?
11	A. I believe in more recent history, you know,
12	California established a catastrophic fund, and after
13	the establishment of that fund, the big three California
L 4	utilities that subscribed to it only purchased
15	commercial insurance up to the \$1 billion attachment
16	point of that fund. So it created a little bit of a
L 7	of a cap in how much they would have the the appetite
18	to purchase.
19	It did not reflect a cap in the liabilities
20	that they might experience in that their claims could be
21	significantly more than the \$1 billion insurance that
22	they would purchase below the fund. I think that that's
23	about as as informed as I can give you an answer.
24	Q. Okay.
25	A. Yeah.

1	A. That that's generally correct, right.
2	Q. Okay. I wanted to just look at the sublimits
3	for a minute. I think those are on page 7 of your
4	direct testimony. Am I correct?
5	A. You yes.
6	Q. So am I correct that the sublimits that you've
7	covered through insurance for at least Idaho, Utah, and
8	Wyoming have basically doubled between between the
9	coverage that was enforced in 2022 and what you're
10	seeking to recover or at least begin to account for, at
11	least, in this proceeding?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. Okay. And that increase of about 200 and,
14	say, 15- or 16 million dollars in coverage would come at
15	a cost; is that also correct? It would it would
16	drive up the premiums that you would expect to pay for
17	that increased coverage; is that true?
18	A. That is correct, that the additional purchase
19	of insurance resulted in additional premiums.
20	Q. And just one other thing, and I think this
21	is you made this clear with Commissioner Harvey, but
22	your practice of allocating costs among entities
23	includes the loss experience of those entities; right?
24	So we could infer from that that that's a reasonable
25	consideration for someone making a judgment about what
	Page 67

1	the allocation should be and and yeah. I'm just
2	asking you if that's correct if that's a correct
3	conclusion.
4	A. That's a correct conclusion. We use the
5	insured loss history. So, for example, you know, losses
6	that weren't covered by insurance then clearly didn't
7	ding the insurance. So we use the essentially the
8	amounts that companies have taken out of insurance
9	policies as the allocation factor. So yes.
10	Q. Those are all my questions. Thanks very much.
11	A. Of course.
12	PRESIDING OFFICER: Thank you for your
13	testimony, Ms. Coleman.
14	Any other witnesses, Ms. McDowell?
15	MS. McDOWELL: No. That concludes the
16	Company's case. I neglected to offer Ms. Coleman's
17	direct and rebuttal testimony. So I'd like to do that
18	now.
19	PRESIDING OFFICER: It's admitted.
20	(Direct and Rebuttal Testimony of Mariya
21	V. Coleman admitted into evidence.)
22	MS. McDOWELL: Thank you.
23	PRESIDING OFFICER: All right. You're
24	excused, Ms. Coleman. Feel free to leave.
25	And Commissioners are requesting a short
	Page 68

1	recess. So should we say be back at ten to the hour?
2	Thank you. We're in recess.
3	(Recess taken from 10:37 to 10:50.)
4	PRESIDING OFFICER: Let's go back on the
5	record.
6	We'll turn to you, Ms. Schmid. Are you ready
7	to call your first witness?
8	MS. SCHMID: I am.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER: Go ahead.
10	MS. SCHMID: The Division would like to call
11	its witness, Mr. Jeffrey S. Einfeldt.
12	PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Einfeldt, do you swear
13	to tell the truth?
14	MR. EINFELDT: Yes.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER: Thank you.
16	Go ahead, Ms. Schmid.
17	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
18	JEFFREY S. EINFELDT
19	was sworn and testified as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. SCHMID:
22	Q. Good morning.
23	A. Good morning.
24	Q. Could you please state and spell your full
25	name for the record.
	Page 69

1	A. My name is Jeffrey S. Einfeldt, and it's
2	J-e-f-f-r-e-y, initial middle initial S. Einfeldt is
3	E-i-n-f-e-l-d-t.
4	Q. By whom are you employed? What is your title
5	and your business address?
6	A. I'm employed by the Division of Public
7	Utilities in Utah, and my title is technical consultant,
8	and my address is I may have to look that up. 160
9	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: East.
10	A East 300 South, Salt Lake City, Utah.
11	Q. (BY MS. SCHMID) Thank you. Have you
12	participated on behalf of the Division in this docket?
13	A. Yes, I have.
14	Q. Did you assist and/or cause to be filed
15	prepared and filed your direct testimony filed on
16	November 29th, 2023, and your surrebuttal testimony
17	filed on January 9th, 2024?
18	A. Yes.
19	MS. SCHMID: The Division would like to move
20	for the admission of Mr. Einfeldt's direct and
21	surrebuttal testimonies.
22	MS. McDOWELL: No objection.
23	PRESIDING OFFICER: They're admitted.
24	(Direct and Surrebuttal Testimony of
25	Jeffrey Einfeldt admitted into evidence.)
	Page 70

1	Q. (BY MS. SCHMID) Do you have a summary to
2	share with us today?
3	A. Yes, I do.
4	Q. Please proceed.
5	A. Good morning, Commissioners and Judge Hammer.
6	Thank you for the opportunity to address Rocky Mountain
7	Power's application for a deferral account. After
8	careful consideration of the Company's application for
9	deferred accounting for the increase of wildfire
10	insurance coverage, the Division recommends the
11	application for the deferred accounting be denied
12	because a deferral is not needed or available when
13	traditional ratemaking tools exist to address the
14	problem.
15	The Division recommended to the Company that
16	it employ the use of a general rate case coupled with an
17	interim rate request to more appropriately and
18	thoroughly examine numerous factors in determining just
19	and reasonable rates.
20	In its testimony, the Company discusses
21	financial performance regarding return on equity. Its
22	authorized rate of return is 9.65 percent, and it earned
23	4.58 percent based on June 2023 results of operations.
24	The Company mentions the wildfire insurance increase
25	would further reduce its earning by another 90 basis
	Page 71

1	points to 3.66 percent, for a total shortfall of 600
2	basis points, or 6 percent, with 90 basis points being
3	attributed to the insurance increase and 510 basis
4	points attributed to other factors.
5	This illustrates the need to comprehensively
6	examine the changes in the Company's operating and
7	financial environment, including costs, revenues, rate
8	of return on equity, and other aspects in a general rate
9	case to develop wholistic and just wholistic, just,
10	and reasonable rates.
11	There is no need to evaluate the factors
12	allowing retroactive ratemaking, which exists to make an
13	exception to normal ratemaking tools for unforeseen
14	circumstances that cannot be addressed in ordinary
15	ratemaking.
16	Nevertheless, the Company's knowledge of
17	rising rates could have been addressed in time to avoid
18	or minimize regulatory lag, compensating it for
19	increased insurance costs. This approach would also
20	allow wholistic evaluation of revenues and expenses to
21	arrive at just and reasonable rates.
22	This concludes my summary.
23	MS. SCHMID: Thank you. Mr. Einfeldt is now
24	available for cross-examination questions and questions
25	from Mr. Clark Commissioner Clark, Commissioner

1	Harvey, and Mr. Hammer.
2	PRESIDING OFFICER: We'll go to Mr. Moore.
3	Any cross-examination?
4	MR. MOORE: No cross. Thank you.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Russell?
6	MR. RUSSELL: No, I don't have any questions.
7	Thank you.
8	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. McDowell?
9	MS. McDOWELL: Thank you, Judge Hammer.
L O	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MS. McDOWELL:
12	Q. Good morning.
13	A. Good morning.
L 4	Q. So I wanted to start where you left off in
15	terms of the factors for an exception to retroactive
16	ratemaking and single-issue ratemaking referenced in the
L 7	MCI case. One of those factors is foreseeability;
18	correct?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. And can you turn to page 7 of your direct
21	testimony please, and specifically I want to direct your
22	attention to line 190 excuse me your testimony
23	beginning at line 124. And there you state, "The
24	Company's knowledge in advance of the August rates
25	taking effect renders the increases foreseeable for
	Page 73

1	purposes of evaluating a deferral." And then on line
2	2127 you state, "The rise in insurance cost was foreseen
3	by the Company before it took effect."
4	Do you recall that testimony?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. So to summarize it, is it your position that
7	the Commission should evaluate foreseeability for this
8	deferral when the Company became aware of the increased
9	insurance costs in 2023 and not in 2020 when rates were
10	previously set?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. So I'd like to turn to a document that I've
13	distributed in the break and provided a copy to you and
14	your counsel, and I've also provided it to the
15	Commission. The document is dated January 10th, 2024,
16	and I'd like to mark that as RMP Cross Exhibit 1.
17	PRESIDING OFFICER: It's marked.
18	(RMP Cross Exhibit 1 marked for
19	identification.)
20	MS. McDOWELL: Thank you.
21	Q. (BY MS. McDOWELL) Do you have that document,
22	Mr. Einfeldt?
23	A. That's addressed to Jana Saba?
24	Q. Correct.
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. And this is a copy of the Division's response
2	to the Company's Data Request 1.1; is that correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. So if you turn to page 2 of that exhibit,
5	which is the DPU's response to Data Request 1.1, I have
6	a couple of questions about that on the document. Do
7	you have that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Now, the question in this data request asks
10	about some specific guidelines that it attached as
11	Attachment 1 and then requested whether the Commission
12	reviewed those guidelines in this case in preparing your
13	testimony. Do you recall that?
14	A. If the Commission reviewed them or if the
15	Division reviewed them?
16	Q. So well, the question basically states
17	whether the guidelines that you referred to in your
18	testimony are the guidelines that are attached to this
19	exhibit as the final page of the exhibit. Is that a
20	fair summary?
21	A. Can you restate that for me, please?
22	Q. Sure. The request specifically asks about the
23	attachment to this data request, which is the last
24	page of the exhibit. Do you have that?
25	A. Yes.
	Page 75

1	Q. And that last page, I'll represent, is
2	entitled "Division of Publicity Utilities, Guidelines
3	for Allowance of Deferred Accounting."
4	Do you see that?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. So your testimony referred to traditional
7	elements evaluated for exceptions to the rule against
8	retroactive ratemaking and asked whether those are the
9	elements listed in the guidelines. Do you recall that?
10	A. I'm not sure about the last part of that
11	question, but if I may attempt to answer or you're
12	asking whether we can we, as the Division, and me
13	specifically did we were we aware of this list of
14	items
15	Q. Yes.
16	A attached to this, and was this part of our
17	analysis and review of the Company's current application
18	for deferred accounting?
19	Q. Yes.
20	A. Yes. The answer to that is yes. We were
21	aware of that. We did consider that. I did read that
22	again as we considered this request.
23	Q. Thank you. So if you could turn to those
24	guidelines. Again, the last page of this exhibit. And
25	under Roman Numeral I, it indicates that the Division
	Page 76

1	considers deferral requests on a case-by-case basis to
2	determine whether the deferral falls within the
3	guidelines listed below; is that correct?
4	A. Roman Numeral I: "Events determined by the
5	Commission on a case-by-case basis to meet one of the
6	following circumstances." Correct.
7	Q. Okay. So I'd like to ask you about, under
8	Roman number II A, that guideline reads, "Unforeseen
9	events where the impacts could not be anticipated in the
L O	ratemaking process."
11	Do you see that criteria?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. So doesn't that criterion indicate that the
L 4	Division's guidelines consider whether an expense was
15	foreseeable during the ratemaking process rather than
16	when the costs in question were incurred?
L 7	A. I guess, yeah, it does say that. What does
18	what does it mean? During the ratemaking process, if
19	the Company were to begin a general rate case, that is
20	the ratemaking process. It does not state and nor does
21	it mean that it's limited to the last general rate case.
22	Q. So I have a question for you on about the
23	response back on page 2 of this exhibit. You indicated
24	that these guidelines should be construed in the context
25	of the testimony of David T. Thomson in a particular

1	case, which I'll represent to you was the the is
2	often referred to as the Powerdale referral.
3	Do you see that in the first paragraph of the
4	response?
5	A. Would you like me to read that first
6	paragraph?
7	Q. No. I'm just asking you to agree that I've
8	summarized it accurately, that these guidelines,
9	according to this response, should be construed or
10	interpreted in the context of Mr. Thomson's testimony
11	where the guidelines were provided.
12	Do you see that?
13	A. Well, I see "The attachment or supplement
14	cannot be considered as the standard. This guide should
15	be combined with the context of the report and order
16	that was adopted as David Thomson's testimony for those
17	dockets."
18	Q. So I'd like to direct your attention to the
19	other cross exhibit that I've provided to you, which I'd
20	like to mark as RMP Cross Exhibit Number 2.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER: It's marked.
22	(RMP Cross Exhibit 2 marked for
23	identification.)
24	MS. McDOWELL: Thank you.
25	Q. (BY MS. McDOWELL) Do you have that,
	Page 78

Mr. Einfeldt?
A. That's the one that's entitled "Direct
Testimony of David T. Thomson"?
Q. Correct.
A. Yes.
Q. And is it your understanding that the
guidelines that we were discussing were sponsored by
Mr. Thompson in this case, in the Powerdale case?
A. Yeah. They were referred to by Mr. Thomson in
that case.
Q. Can you turn to page 4 of Cross Exhibit 2,
please. And there on lines 70 to 72, Mr. Thomson
testified that "Using the Division's guidelines, the
Grid West costs do not qualify for deferred accounting
for the following reasons. First, the costs are not
extraordinary and could have been foreseen and included
in a past rate case."
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then further down the page, Mr. Thomson
stated that "The Division believes that RMP had adequate
time and knowledge of the Grid West situation to present
this information in its last rate case filing or at
least during the proceedings of the last rate case,
which was filed March 2006."

1	Do you see that?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And then I'd like to direct your attention to
4	page 11 of that cross exhibit. There, on line 239, it
5	states, "Second, as to the next part of the transition
6	costs of 40 million that was not contemplated in the
7	last rate case, the Division believes there should be no
8	deferral of the cost because they would or could have
9	been foreseen or should have been included in the last
10	rate case."
11	Do you see that?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. So in the docket you described as providing
14	context for the guidelines we discussed, the Division
15	evaluated foreseeability at the time of the utility's
16	last rate case; correct?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Now, can you turn back to page 7 of your
19	direct testimony in this case.
20	Do you have that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And on lines 123 and lines 124, didn't you
23	acknowledge that, quote, " one could not have guessed
24	in 2020 that rates would rise to where they are now"
25	Do you recall that testimony?
	Page 80

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And for context, 2020 was when the Commission
3	last set the Company's rates in its last general rate
4	case; correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	May I just ask permission to go get my water
7	bottle?
8	PRESIDING OFFICER: Of course.
9	Do you need Ms. McDowell to restate the last
10	question?
11	THE WITNESS: Sure. Yeah.
12	(Record read: 81:2-5.)
13	Q. (BY MS. McDOWELL) Now, considering the
14	company's last rate case in 2020, if the Company had
15	requested 1226 122.6 million in excess liability
16	insurance costs when its projected 2020 premium was
17	10.3 million, wouldn't the Division have objected to
18	that request on the basis that such a large increase was
19	unforeseeable and extraordinary?
20	A. I suspect so.
21	Q. Similarly, if the Company had filed a general
22	rate case in 2022 or early 2023 requesting 122 6
23	point 6 million in liability costs, insurance costs,
24	when its 2022 premiums were 32 million, wouldn't the
25	Division have objected to this request on the basis that
	Page 81

such a large increase was unforeseeable and
extraordinary?

2.0

A. I don't know an answer. I don't know the answer to that because I suspect this information could likely have become available during that process, and then we would have had the opportunity to analyze it, to come up to just and reasonable rates. Because if this is determined to be prudent and just, these rates, for which that hasn't happened yet, but determine -- if they are determined to be such, then they should be included in rates. Ratepayers should pay the just and reasonable amounts that -- that it costs to be able to turn on the lights.

And with regard to Mr. Thomson's testimony earlier that you referred to and here, Mr. Thomson is referring to the last general rate case, as you pointed out, that was a year prior to this. The rate case was in 2006, it appears. His testimony is in 2007. That is a short period of time. And the next rate case is -- I don't know when the next rate case happened, but things seemed to have changed since then -- the regulatory environment -- such that rate cases aren't filed as often.

So deferred accounting orders become much more weighty, I guess, with -- that may not be the -- the

best word to use, but they're a heavier lift. They're
more impactful. They're just more weighty when the time
is extended longer.
Q. So let me
A. Thanks for letting me ramble.
Q. Yeah. It's not my practice to interrupt
people. I always want to hear what people have to say.
So let me ask you. You did you touched on
a fair number of things in that last response, but one
of them was just and reasonable rates and prudent costs.
You agree that, as a general matter, the Company's
insurance costs have been included in its rates;
correct?
A. Yes. In the past, yes.
Q. Yes. And now turning back to page 7 of your
testimony and then going on to page 8, you do testify
that there are some additional issues that are
significant in determining the prudence of the estimated
insurance cost increase and whether the full amount
should be recovered from ratepayers in this or another
proceeding.
Do you recall that testimony?
A. Yes, yes, I do.
Q. And you assert that these issues have not been
adequately addressed in this docket; correct?
Page 83

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And that they would be more appropriately
3	addressed in a general rate case where they could be
4	fully vetted. Is that a fair
5	A. Yes.
6	Q summary?
7	Now, isn't it true that the Company has not
8	sought actual rate treatment for these deferred amounts
9	in this docket?
10	A. That is my understanding. The Company with
11	the deferred accounting order is seeking to drive a
12	stake in the ground to reserve their right to look at
13	these later, in layman terms.
14	Q. Yeah. And can you turn back to Cross
15	Exhibit 1, please, the guidelines.
16	Do you have that?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. This is the last page of the exhibit.
19	So I want to direct your attention to
20	guideline IV B at the bottom of the page there, which
21	states that a "Rate case must be filed for recovery of
22	the deferral to be considered."
23	Do you see that?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. So the Company's approach in this case
	Page 84

1	still a huge increase in insurance costs that need to be
2	analyzed for prudence in the next general rate case,
3	independent of whether it includes from August until now
4	or just going forward. That that those same
5	issues have to be addressed and analyzed.
6	Q. I see. And can you turn back to the
7	guidelines. The same the same section that we were
8	discussing before in Section IV, guideline IV C3
9	indicates that a future rate case will also consider
LO	prudence and reasonableness of expenditures.
11	Do you see that guideline?
12	A. Number 4 it looks like, yeah.
13	Q. Sorry. If I misspoke. IV C4. Yes. I had
L 4	that wrong in my motes.
15	A. Right.
16	Q. Thank you.
L 7	So is it fair to say that the issues you
18	identify on page 8 of your direct testimony, which you
19	describe as relating to the prudence of the estimated
20	insurance costs, will be addressed in a future rate
21	proceeding when the Company actually seeks recovery of
22	these costs?
23	A. We anticipate these these issues would come
24	up. This is by no means an exhaustive list. These are
25	just some of the things that came to mind, and as we

1	would consider that, you know, often answers to
2	questions raise more questions and more issues, and some
3	other things may come to light that we would also want
4	to explore. So this is not by no means an exhaustive
5	list of things that we have to check off.
6	Q. Understood. Can you turn to page 3 of your
7	testimony, the direct testimony still. And there on
8	line beginning on line 49, you state, "Retroactive
9	ratemaking is a tool that allows recovery of
10	extraordinary items in limited circumstances where other
11	regulatory tools do not exist to allow reasonable
12	recovery."
13	Do you recall that testimony?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. So your testimony appears to take the position
16	that the Commission should not approve deferred
17	accounting any time the applicant could have instead
18	filed a general rate case application. Is that a fair
19	summary?
20	A. No, I don't I don't think so. That "any
21	time" becomes pretty exhaustive. Reasonably, when the
22	Company could reasonably have filed a general rate case
23	to address the issue, the Company should reasonably file
24	a general rate case. It's just a better process. It's
25	a more complete process. It is much more likely to get

1 to just and reasonable rates and address Company issues 2 in -- in that proceeding. And isn't it true that the Company's proposal 0. here is to file for a deferred accounting and then file, 4 5 as a follow-up, a general rate case in 2024? That's what they've indicated, yeah. 6 So can you turn back to the guidelines we've 7 Q. been discussing on Cross Exhibit 1? 8 9 Now, those guidelines -- nowhere in those 10 quidelines does it state that deferred accounting should not be permitted if the Company could have reasonably 11 filed a general rate case instead; correct? 12 13 Α. No. That's not included in the guidelines, is it? 14 Ο. 15 Right. And these guidelines are not an exhaustive list of items either. And when David Thomson 16 put this together for his testimony -- life changes. 17 Life has changed since 2007. Life has changed since 18 19 1984, 1988, and guidelines need to be adjusted. A 2.0 number of the items on this, we -- are -- are still 21 valid, but there may -- there are other items that --22 that have developed, other circumstances -- that's 23 probably not the right word either -- the environment 24 has just changed a little bit requiring us to be aware 25 of that.

1	Q. So this is a new requirement you're proposing
2	then?
3	A. It's a new aspect I don't know that it's a
4	new aspect. It is an aspect that we are considering and
5	we have considered in this case.
6	Q. Are you aware of any previous Commission
7	orders where the Company has rejected an application
8	where the Commission has rejected an application for
9	deferred accounting on the basis that the applicant
10	could have instead filed a general rate case?
11	A. Not off the top of my head, no.
12	Q. Now, can you turn back to your testimony at
13	page 3, and that sentence I read earlier when we began
14	this particular line of questions and answers, there is
15	a footnote footnote Number 7, where you cite a
16	Pennsylvania case in support of your position that
17	deferrals should not be allowed if the Company could
18	file a general rate case.
19	Do you see that?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Are you aware of any precedent from Utah
22	courts similarly limiting deferred accounting to
23	circumstances where no other regulatory tool existed to
24	allow recovery of the utilities cost?
25	A. No. Perhaps I will become aware of one later.
	Page 89

1	I don't know.
2	Q. Can you now turn to your surrebuttal
3	testimony? And, specifically, can you turn to page 3,
4	line it's the sentence beginning line 44 where you
5	state, "While it is not yet clear exactly when the
6	Company knew or should have known it would face markedly
7	different insurance rates beginning in August 2023, even
8	if it took two to three months to file a general rate
9	case after learning of the increases, the Company could
10	have collected all or substantially all of the
11	difference in insurance costs."
12	Do you recall that testimony?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Now, your position here assumes that the
15	Company would be able to use a future test period;
16	correct?
17	A. Yes. That's my my understanding is that's
18	what the norm is in Utah.
19	Q. Is it correct that there's a prefiling
20	pre-rate case filing process in Utah to determine the
21	test year, which itself can take some time to work
22	through?
23	A. That's my understanding. It seems to be a
24	fairly long and bureaucratic process.
25	Q. Now, your position here assumes that interim
	Page 90

1	rates would be allowed; correct?
2	A. Yes, yes.
3	Q. And that's up to the Commission; correct?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. Now, this case has been pending since August
6	of 2023; correct? The deferral was filed in August of
7	2023?
8	A. That's that's my recollection, yes.
9	Q. So the case has now been pending approximately
10	five months?
11	A. Yeah.
12	Q. So the the this case has been pending
13	for approximately five months on the narrow issue of
14	just whether to allow deferred accounting; correct?
15	A. This case has been pending for five months.
16	Q. Doesn't that suggest that getting the
17	Company's insurance increased insurance premium cost
18	into base rates through a general rate case of two to
19	three months is a pretty unrealistic time line?
20	A. Well, I'm not suggesting that the Company
21	start its general rate case process in August of last
22	year. I'm suggesting the Company had sufficient
23	foresight and knowledge to start the process several
24	months prior to August. When they first became aware
25	that this was going to be an issue, they could have
	Page 91

1 started that process. 2 In my mind, it's like, okay, if I'm doing a 3 big project and if I've got a huge project that I've got to do in the past, we would start that project knowing 4 that some of that information isn't going to become available until towards the end, and often you're 6 waiting to file something for a day or two -impatiently waiting for that information to come in, and 8 9 as soon as it comes in, you update your stuff and then 10 you hit the "go" button, kind of thing. 11 And I realize this. You know, filing a general rate case -- I -- I don't even envy the people 12 13 who have to review it, let alone the people that have to 14 prepare it. Okay? That's a big issue. That's a huge 15 lift. I understand that, but they could have started that when they first knew. And if they couldn't get it 16 done and they gave a yeoman's effort to do that, then we 17 18 say, "Okay, something's wrong here. What do we do now? 19 Okay. Because this isn't working." But we don't have 2.0 the -- we don't have the ability to say, "This isn't 21 working. We have to find something to help the 22 Company." 23 I don't want the Company to be unhealthy 24 financially. That doesn't speak well for me as a 25 ratepayer. I like to turn the lights on. I like to

1	accounting order should be granted or should be denied.
2	Q. So turning back to your surrebuttal testimony
3	on page 3, lines 57 through 60.
4	A. This gives me hope that you're going to get to
5	line 63.
6	Q. Well, in that case
7	A. Okay.
8	Q. In that case I probably won't.
9	(Reporter clarification.)
L O	Q. (BY MS. McDOWELL) But I suspect your counsel
11	may.
12	All right. Well, let me get back to my
13	questions, which are
L 4	A. I think 57 through 60, if I recall.
15	Q. Yes. Thank you. So you indicate there that
16	"Frequent and improper use of deferred accounting also
L 7	runs the risk of diluting the general ratemaking
18	process, turning ratemaking into a reimbursement
19	exercise instead of a prospective process, which can
20	misalign management incentives."
21	Do you recall that testimony?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Now, the Company certainly does not routinely
24	file deferred accounting applications, does it?
25	A. I believe the the rate at which they have
	Page 94

1	filed deferred accounting orders, while I've been here
2	for the last seven or eight years, would not I would
3	not deem that to be routine.
4	Q. So, now, this is subject to check, but isn't
5	it true that since the Commission last set the Company's
6	rates in its last rate case in 2020, the Company has
7	filed only one other deferred accounting application,
8	and that was in Docket 23-035-30? Are you aware of
9	that?
L O	A. Not by docket number. I do know, you know,
11	something akin to a deferred accounting order. I know
12	in the last general rate case, the Company filed for a
13	balancing account with regard to pensions. I also know,
L 4	if I recall, there was a deferred accounting order filed
15	in connection with COVID-type issues.
16	Q. But that was pre the last general rate case,
L 7	wasn't it?
18	A. I don't recall when the COVID one was filed.
19	It may have been prior to at least an order on on the
20	last general rate case. It may have been filed while
21	that rate case was pending. I just don't recall.
22	Q. Would you accept, subject to check, that in
23	the four years since the Commission last set the
24	company's rates, this current docket is the only
25	instance in which the Company has sought deferred

1	accounting and not withdrawn the petition prior to
2	hearing?
3	A. Sorry. Did you say 20 23-035-40? Is that
4	the one you're referring to?
5	Q. 0 035-40, which is also
6	(Cross-talk.)
7	A. Which is this docket; correct?
8	Q. (BY MS. McDOWELL) 23-035-30 was a deferral
9	that was withdrawn.
10	A. Right.
11	Q. And then this deferral
12	A. Right.
13	Q which is now pending in that hearing is
14	the would you accept, subject to check, that this is
15	the only deferred accounting petition the Company has
16	filed since its last general rate?
17	A. Sure. With the note that, you know, there
18	were a couple of other things. Those are the two more
19	recent ones that I remember was the balancing account
20	for pensions and the the COVID-related deferred
21	accounting order.
22	Q. So based on that history, isn't it fair to say
23	the Company has not engaged in frequent and improper use
24	of deferred accounting?
25	A. Yeah. Like I said, during my tenure here, I
	Page 96

1	would have to agree that I don't think that they've been
2	filed frequently.
3	MS. McDOWELL: That's all I have. Thank you
4	so much Mr. Einfeldt.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid, any redirect?
6	MS. SCHMID: Very limited. Thank you.
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. SCHMID:
9	Q. Mr. Einfeldt, you were asked a series of
L O	questions concerning the guidelines that Mr. Thomson
11	addressed in the Powerdale docket and other dockets in
12	2006-2007. Do you recall that line of questioning?
13	A. Yes.
L 4	Q. Do you agree that the Division is not bound by
15	this set of guidelines that was attached to Rocky
16	Mountain Power Cross Exhibit 1?
L7	A. Yes. And hopefully in my response I I
18	tended or I intended and hopefully made clear that this
19	is a guide. It is not rules. It is meant to be a
20	guide, and it is not an exhaustive list of items that we
21	consider. I wish it was so easy but anyway.
22	Q. You were asked some specific questions about
23	certain lines in your testimony, and I'd just like to go
24	over a couple of them to make sure that they were read
25	in context in the record.

1	Could you please turn to your direct page 7,
2	lines 123-124. Are you there?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Could you please read the entire sentence that
5	begins on line 123 and ends on 124.
6	A. "While one could not have guessed in 2020 that
7	rates would rise to where they are now, one could see
8	them rising in the years after 2020."
9	Q. Is it your testimony that the Company could
10	see insurance rates rising after 2020?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Just one moment.
13	You were also asked a series of questions
14	about whether the Company has filed any requests for a
15	deferred accounting order since its last general rate
16	case which was filed in 2020. Do you recall that line
17	of questioning?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you agree that perhaps the best way to
20	determine what the Company has filed regarding deferred
21	accounting requests since that general rate case would
22	be to look at the Commission's docket sheets for the
23	years rather than rely on memory?
24	A. Yes, that would especially when you get as
25	old as me.

1	Q. You were also if I recall correctly, and
2	please let me know if I am misstating but did
3	Ms. McDowell's line of questions address regulatory lag
4	and whether or not the Company would be able to recover
5	amounts before a rate case was filed?
6	A. Now you're asking me to test my memory here.
7	You know, I don't recall that we specifically mentioned
8	memory lag or memory lag. Which is what I'm
9	suffering from but regulatory lag. I think there was
10	some inference or reference to, you know, the regulatory
11	lag challenge.
12	Q. Okay. I'll build on that. Do you agree that
13	regulatory lag is a common occurrence in Commission
14	proceedings involving regulating public utilities in
15	your experience?
16	A. I am a CPA. I am an accountant. I am a
17	financial guy. I would like to see things done a whole
18	lot faster than what they are, and, yes, I am aware of
19	the frustrating concept and the frustrating reality of
20	regulatory lag, which would drive me crazy if I worked
21	for the Company.
22	Q. We're going to skip over that.
23	A. I'm just being truthful.
24	Q. We know, and we appreciate that.
25	Are you generally familiar with the energy
	Dage 99

1	balancing account process that the Company goes through
2	before the Utah Commission?
3	A. I am aware of it I am aware that it
4	happens. I am aware of it, generally, on a very high
5	level basis. I am not as familiar with the intimate
6	details of it all.
7	Q. Based upon your knowledge, do you agree that
8	recovery in an EBA docket has regulatory lag because the
9	EBA request is filed after a year has concluded?
10	A. Yes, yes.
11	Q. Those are all my questions, my redirect
12	questions. Thank you.
13	PRESIDING OFFICER: Any requests for recross?
14	MS. McDOWELL: No. But I did neglect to offer
15	the two cross exhibits that I asked Mr. Einfeldt about.
16	So I'd like to do that now.
17	PRESIDING OFFICER: Hearing no objection,
18	they're admitted.
19	(RMP Cross Exhibits 1-2 admitted into
20	evidence.)
21	MS. McDOWELL: Thank you.
22	PRESIDING OFFICER: We will turn to
23	Commissioner questions.
24	Commissioner Harvey?
25	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: I guess I can still say
	Dage 100

1	good morning. Twenty minutes.
2	EXAMINATION
3	BY COMMISSIONER HARVEY:
4	Q. I also have a couple questions about
5	regulatory lag. First of all, I'm assuming you've been
6	with the Division long enough that you have a pretty
7	good understanding of what you might refer to as the
8	purpose of regulatory lag in providing incentives to the
9	Company?
10	A. I am I have been aware. I'd probably fail
11	the test if I had to regurgitate it right here, but yes.
12	Q. Okay. Thank you.
13	A. I am aware that there are incentives there.
14	I'm also aware that it is a condition that is likely not
15	going away any time soon because it's just there's a
16	process, and the process creates regulatory lag that
17	people that companies and parties at interest have
18	been able to adapt to over over the generations.
19	Q. Indeed. I actually wasn't going to ask this,
20	but now I think I need to. So if I if I think about
21	the way regulatory lag operates, rates are set, the
22	utility then and basically what I'm going to ask is,
23	is this your understanding?
24	Rates are set. The utility then goes out and
25	tries to maximize its profits under that just and
	Page 101

1	reasonable rate structure, and that typically results in
2	them lowering costs wherever they can, and then in the
3	next rate case, because of the laws, they have to reveal
4	that those costs are lower, and that results in, over
5	time, rates being pushed to a just and reasonable level.
6	Does that match with what you would think of
7	as the incentives that regulatory lag imposes?
8	A. That would be some of the incentives. I mean,
9	regulatory lag cuts on both sides of the line, whether
10	it's revenue and and something that's beneficial to
11	the company's bottom line and also something that's
12	detrimental to the company's bottom line. So that
13	regulatory lag is an effect on both sides of that line.
14	Q. And following up on that idea, does the
15	company always have the option of filing a rate case
16	whenever it decides that the costs and revenues have
17	changed to such a degree that the cost of filing a rate
18	case can be justified?
19	A. That is my understanding, yes.
20	Q. And as a follow-up to that, if the costs and
21	revenues change the other way, would the Division always
22	have the option of requesting that a rate case be opened
23	if they thought the the scales had swung the opposite
24	direction?
25	A. That is my understanding also, yes.

1	Q. So is there anything in the opinion of the DPU
2	about the insurance costs that would make these costs
3	unique as a cost within the regulatory lag framework?
4	A. I hate to ask this. Can you reask me that
5	question
6	Q. I will try to.
7	A or restate it?
8	(Reporter asks if they need a read back.)
9	A. Your choice.
10	Q. (BY COMMISSIONER HARVEY) Let me try to
11	rephrase it more simply.
12	Given the background that regulatory lag both
13	provides incentives and that the Company has the choice
14	of when they're going to file rate cases, is there
15	anything unique about these particular insurance costs
16	that would, in the DPU's opinion, separate them from any
17	of the other costs that the utilities deem it would?
18	A. They are certainly it's certainly a large
19	increase, but at this point, we don't have the ability
20	to compare that to others. I do have indication in
21	their testimony that there are some other significant
22	things going on to limit their revenue or their earning
23	to 4.58 percent, if I stated that correctly, compared to
24	their authorized rate of return of 6 9.65 percent.
25	That's a significant decrease. Makes me wonder what
	Page 103

1 else is going on? And -- because that's a 510 basis 2 point change, and the insurance increase is a 90 -- a 90 3 basis point change. There's a lot of basis point change there that is -- doesn't appear to be -- that's going on 4 5 that's detrimental to the Company. And I'm just like, okay, we need to understand 6 7 this. Almost to the point that, okay, if you guys aren't healthy enough to continue, maybe the DPU needs 8 9 to encourage a general rate case -- and I'm just talking 10 off the top of my head now. Maybe we don't ask them to come in just when they're making a whole lot of money, 11 but maybe we ask them to come in when they're not making 12 13 a whole lot of money, if that's fair enough. 14 O. Okay. Earlier, when I was talking with one of 15 RMP's witnesses, we identified that if the Company 16 receives the -- the deferred accounting order and then filed a rate case, that that would allow them to recover 17 all of the extraordinary costs, but if they had done 18 what the DPU has talked about in its testimony of just 19 20 filing a rate case right away, however early that might 21 be, that there would still be a gap? 22 Α. Yes. There -- there would be a small gap. 23 We -- as we have talked about it, there likely would 24 have been a small gap for a few months -- is what we would have -- what we had concluded, and it's how do you 25

1 get around that? I wish we could. 2. Ο. And so that -- that's my follow-up question is, is it the DPU's position that that gap should just 3 be thought of as a cost of doing business, or is it 4 something that we should be more worried about? That cost -- that cost -- that gap is 6 7 basically the cost of doing business, and it's part of the normal traditional ratemaking process that -- that's 8 9 that part that, for me as an accountant, it's like, "Why can't we do this faster?" But I -- I realize that 10 11 frustration is not going away. 12 Q. Okay. 13 I wish I was also 6 foot 5 or something and 14 280 pounds and playing defensive end for the Oakland 15 Raiders, but that didn't happen either. So --You done? 16 Ο. I'm done. 17 Α. 18 Ο. Okay. Final question. There's been 19 discussion between RMP's attorney and yourself about the 2.0 quidelines that had been offered in testimony in a 21 previous case. And I believe in looking at the 22 Division's data response this is clear, but I want to 23 make sure it's in the record. Those quidelines are a 24 Division document that are not binding on -- on the Commission; correct? 25 Page 105

1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. Thank you. That's all my questions.
3	PRESIDING OFFICER: Commissioner Clark?
4	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions. Thank you.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER: I have none. Thank you,
6	Mr. Einfeldt.
7	MR. EINFELDT: Thank you.
8	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid, do you have
9	any other witnesses?
10	MS. SCHMID: The Division does not. Thank you
11	very much.
12	PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Moore?
13	MR. MOORE: Yes. The office calls Alyson
14	Anderson and asks that she be sworn.
15	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. Anderson, do you swear
16	to tell the truth?
17	MS. ANDERSON: I do.
18	PRESIDING OFFICER: Thank you.
19	Go ahead, Mr. Moore.
20	ALYSON ANDERSON
21	was sworn and testified as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. MOORE:
24	Q. Could you please state your name, business
25	address, and occupation for the record, please.
	7. 106

1	A. Yes. My name is Alyson can you hear me?
2	Q. Yes.
3	A. My name is Alyson Anderson. I am a utility
4	analyst employed at 160 East 300 South in Salt Lake
5	City, Utah.
6	Q. As part of your duty as the utility analyst,
7	have you reviewed the application and the other filings
8	in this docket?
9	A. I have.
10	Q. Did you prepare and cause to be filed direct
11	testimony on November 29th, 2023, and surrebuttal
12	testimony on January 9th, 2023?
13	A. I did.
14	Q. I think
15	A. '24.
16	Q 2024?
17	A. Yeah.
18	Q. Do you have any changes you'd like to make to
19	that testimony at this time?
20	A. No.
21	Q. If I asked you the same questions in your
22	testimony, would your answer be the same?
23	A. Yes.
24	MR. MOORE: At this point I'd move to admit
25	her prefiled testimony.
	Page 107

1	PRESIDING OFFICER: It's admitted.
2	(Direct and Surrebuttal Testimony of
3	Alyson Anderson admitted into evidence.)
4	Q. (BY MR. MOORE) Have you prepared a summary of
5	the OCS's position in this case?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Please proceed.
8	A. Good morning, still. My testimony provides
9	the OCS's recommendation regarding Rocky Mountain Power
10	Company's application for deferred accounting order
11	related to the costs associated with increased insurance
12	premiums.
13	As outlined in the OCS prehearing brief, Rocky
14	Mountain Power did not quantify the amount of the
15	increase in insurance premiums attributable to the James
16	verdict. Therefore, the OCS recommends that the
17	application be denied as Rocky Mountain Power failed to
18	carry its initial burden of proof that it is likely that
19	the amount deferred will be recoverable in rates.
20	In my testimony, I provided an alternative of
21	a sharing expense between ratepayers and shareholders if
22	the Commission decides not to deny the application.
23	This concludes my summary.
24	MR. MOORE: Ms. Anderson is now available for
25	cross and questions by the Commission and the Presiding

1	Officer.
2	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid?
3	MS. SCHMID: No questions.
4	PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Russell?
5	MS. McDOWELL: No questions. Thank you.
6	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. McDowell?
7	MS. McDOWELL: No questions. Thank you.
8	PRESIDING OFFICER: Commissioner Harvey?
9	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Well, I was thinking of
10	questions.
11	EXAMINATION
12	BY COMMISSIONER HARVEY:
13	Q. I do want to ask one question about the the
14	secondary proposal you made.
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And I and I guess that the question would
17	relate to the mechanics of how that could be done, and
18	so I guess what I'm asking is what type of additional
19	proceedings and what type of evidence would you think
20	would be needed to to establish what a just and
21	reasonable sharing amount would be between ratepayers
22	and shareholder?
23	A. Well, I think it comes down to we would be
24	able we would need to be able to identify what
25	portion of the increase is attributable to any negligent
	Page 109

1	verdicts against the Company and what portion is just
2	the general climate. And, you know, part of the reason
3	why I thought that was because if it's related to the
4	negligence, it's, by nature, imprudent and, therefore,
5	shouldn't be recovered from ratepayers and, therefore,
6	there would be no need for a deferred accounting order
7	for that piece of it. And so I hope that answers your
8	question.
9	Q. Yes. But and then, to be clear, that type
LO	of determination couldn't be made on the current record?
11	A. Correct. And that's why the OCS, you know, in
12	our brief, we outlined we're recommending that it just
13	be denied because we can't identify that.
L 4	Q. Okay. Thank you. That's my questions.
15	A. Okay.
16	PRESIDING OFFICER: Commissioner Clark?
L7	EXAMINATION
18	BY COMMISSIONER CLARK:
19	Q. Ms. Anderson, in examining the application,
20	did you investigate the differences in the coverage
21	levels between those that were in existence before the
22	new policy for which the premiums are under
23	consideration in this docket?
24	A. Outside of what was in the Company's testimony
25	and application, no. I mean, we did ask discovery and
	Page 110

1	tried to address that, but, me, personally, I did not.
2	Q. Those are all my questions. Thank you.
3	PRESIDING OFFICER: Just one clarifying
4	question from me.
5	EXAMINATION
6	BY PRESIDING OFFICER:
7	Q. With respect to your recommendation about a
8	potential sharing band, if the Company's request for a
9	deferred accounting order were granted, isn't that
10	something we could evaluate in the next GRC?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. Thank you. Nothing else. Thank you,
13	Ms. Anderson.
14	PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Moore, any other
15	witnesses?
16	MR. MOORE: No other witnesses. Thank you.
17	PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Russell, do you have a
18	witness?
19	MR. RUSSELL: I do. On behalf of the Utah
20	Association of Energy Users, I call Kevin Higgins.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Higgins, do you swear
22	to tell the truth?
23	MR. HIGGINS: Yes, I do.
24	PRESIDING OFFICER: Thank you.
25	Go ahead, Mr. Russell.

Page 111

1	KEVIN C. HIGGINS
2	was sworn and testified as follows:
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. RUSSELL:
5	Q. Mr. Higgins, could you state and spell your
6	name for the record, please.
7	A. My name is my name is Kevin C. Higgins,
8	K-e-v-i-n, middle initial C, H-i-g-g-i-n-s.
9	Q. And by whom are you employed?
10	A. I'm employed as a principal with the
11	consulting firm Energy Strategies.
12	Q. And on whose behalf do you offer testimony in
13	this proceeding?
14	A. I'm here on behalf of Utah Association of
15	Energy Users intervention group.
16	Q. And did you file or cause to be filed direct
17	testimony labeled as UAE Exhibit 1.0 on November 29 of
18	2023?
19	A. Yes, I did.
20	Q. All right. And with respect to that
21	testimony, do you have any corrections to make?
22	A. I do not.
23	Q. And if asked the same questions today that
24	were posed in your prefiled testimony, would you provide
25	the same answers?

1	A. Yes, I would.
2	MR. RUSSELL: Okay. And I'll move for
3	admission of Mr. Higgin's direct testimony.
4	PRESIDING OFFICER: It's admitted.
5	(Direct Testimony of Kevin C. Higgins
6	admitted into evidence.)
7	Q. (BY MR. RUSSELL) And have you prepared a
8	summary of that direct testimony?
9	A. Yes, I have.
10	Q. Please proceed.
11	A. Thank you. Good morning, Commissioners and
12	Judge. UAE recognizes that the request that requests
13	for deferred accounting are attempts to engage in
14	single-issue ratemaking, which, absent a compelling
15	public interest, is contrary to sound ratemaking
16	practice, but exceptions are permissible. And in this
17	case I do not dispute Rocky Mountain Power's
18	characterization of the increase in its excess liability
19	insurance premiums as material, extraordinary, and
20	unforeseen, which are among the key criteria for
21	determining whether the granting of a cost deferral is
22	appropriate.
23	Consequently, UAE does not oppose the
24	Company's request for a deferred accounting order for
25	authorizing it to record a regulatory asset associated

Page 113

1	with incremental costs from increased excess liability
2	insurance premiums for the policy period beginning in
3	August of 2023, subject to certain caveats, recognizing
4	that a deferred accounting order does not guarantee
5	recovery of the deferred amount.
6	The specific amount that is ultimately
7	recoverable should be determined in Rocky Mountain
8	Power's next general rate case and should be based on a
9	Commission finding that the amount of costs being
10	allocated to customers is just and reasonable.
11	Such a finding should consider all relevant
12	factors, including the proper allocation of costs to
13	PacifiCorp from its parent Berkshire Hathaway Energy
14	Company, the proper allocation of cost among
15	PacifiCorp's jurisdictions, and the extent to which the
16	increase in insurance premiums may not be recoverable
17	because they are attributable to costs caused by the
18	Company, such as damages awards in which PacifiCorp was
19	found to be grossly negligent and reckless.
20	That concludes my summary.
21	Q. Thank you, Mr. Higgins.
22	MR. RUSSELL: The witness is now available for
23	cross-examination and Commissioner questions.
24	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid?
25	MS. SCHMID: No questions.
	Page 114

1	PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Moore?
2	MR. MOORE: No questions. Thank you.
3	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. McDowell?
4	MS. McDOWELL: No questions. Thank you.
5	PRESIDING OFFICER: Commissioner Harvey?
6	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: No time to think.
7	COMMISSIONER CLARK: While you're thinking,
8	I'll say no questions. Sorry.
9	EXAMINATION
LO	BY COMMISSIONER HARVEY:
11	Q. I just want to make sure I understand the
12	implications of what UAE is recommending. So is this a
13	fair summary? Grant the the PSC should grant, in the
L 4	sense, permission to keep track of costs with absolutely
15	no indication of likelihood of recovery or anything else
16	and then all of that be determined at a later date.
L 7	A. Very close. Specifically, we're not opposing
18	the Company's request, with that slight clarification.
19	We're not here as an advocate for it, per se, but we're
20	not opposing it because of what I explained in my
21	testimony.
22	With with regards to likelihood of
23	recovery, I believe that a possible outcome of a
24	deferred accounting case that is considered or a
25	deferred accounting request that is considered in a
	Page 115

general rate case is that zero of it gets recovered. So
I do believe that that is within the feasible set, and
I've been in cases in which that has occurred, in which
deferred accounting was allowed and then ultimately
denied in its entirety.
But with respect to your question about
likelihood of recovery, you know, I am I am aware
that, in an accounting sense, there is an expectation
that if if deferred accounting is granted, there must
be that it would be done with some likelihood of
recovery, but the extent to that of that amount to be
recovered and ultimately whether it will be recovered
can still be determined in a general rate case.
Q. Thank you. That's all I have.
PRESIDING OFFICER: You continue to have no
questions, Commissioner Clark?
COMMISSIONER CLARK: I reaffirm. No
questions.
PRESIDING OFFICER: I'll confer with the
commissioners before we adjourn, but let me ask the
parties first if there's anything else we need to
address.
MS. McDOWELL: Yes, Your Honor. We as I
understand it, the prehearing conference the schedule
included a prehearing brief with the idea that
Page 116

1	potentially the Commission might find it helpful to have
2	a post-hearing brief because of the legal issues that
3	deferred accounting raises, and we I think, in our
4	view, that would be helpful here because of the legal
5	issues, some of which have been raised by Commission
6	questions.
7	So we would propose to, you know, in a fairly
8	expedited fashion, once the we have the transcript,
9	to prepare a short post-hearing brief, if that's
10	acceptable to the Commission.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER: All right. Would
12	two weeks after transcripts are delivered be expedited,
13	in your view?
14	MS. McDOWELL: Yes. I think that would work
15	fine. Thank you.
16	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid?
17	MS. SCHMID: If the Commission believes that a
18	post-hearing brief would be helpful, the Division is
19	happy to provide one.
20	PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Moore?
21	MR. MOORE: Simply a post-hearing brief may be
22	difficult. There is considerable differences in what
23	the OCS wrote in its post-hearing [sic] brief and what
24	Rocky Mountain Power wrote in its post-hearing [sic]
25	brief. Considering that, I would like a chance to
	Page 117

1	reply, rather than just submit another one concurrently,
2	if the Commission feels that a post-hearing brief would
3	be appropriate.
4	PRESIDING OFFICER: Ms. McDowell, you wouldn't
5	have any objection to the post-hearing briefs including
6	responses to the prehearing briefs?
7	MS. McDOWELL: I think that's appropriate.
8	Thank you.
9	PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Russell?
10	MR. RUSSELL: UAE did not file a prehearing
11	brief. Given the nature of our position in this, it
12	didn't seem like it would be all that helpful. I doubt
13	we'll provide a post-hearing brief. With all that said,
14	I don't object to the requests.
15	COMMISSIONER CLARK: From my perspective, I'm
16	certainly happy to review post-hearing briefs, including
17	replies to the arguments in the initial briefs. I would
18	just encourage you and state that you don't need to
19	repeat what was in the in the initial briefs. We've
20	read them carefully. We understand their content and
21	reasoning, and so I would encourage you just to just
22	to provide anything additional that you feel is
23	necessary, any legal argument in relation to facts that
24	have been discussed here at hearing that either are
25	different than what you initially anticipated or

Page 118

1	something you feel you need to either respond to or
2	elaborate upon, but please don't feel the need to repeat
3	what you've already said. Thank you.
4	MR. MOORE: Pardon me. There's a little
5	problem with determining when the briefs are due because
6	we don't know when the transcript is due, and I am
7	scheduled for surgery in the 23rd of February, and I
8	will be unable to work for a period, and I'm the only
9	lawyer for the OCS. So just with the caveat that I be
10	allowed time in case the transcript comes out in a way
11	that interferes with my ability to respond because of
12	the surgery.
13	PRESIDING OFFICER: Certainly. We will issue
14	a notice regarding our decision to allow post-hearing
15	briefs and the contemplated time frame, and then when
16	the transcript comes in, we'll issue something else.
17	And then if that's going to be a conflict for anyone, of
18	course they'll be free to file a motion for an
19	extension.
20	COURT REPORTER: I can have this in next week.
21	PRESIDING OFFICER: Do we have to request that
22	expedited?
23	COURT REPORTER: It's okay. I'll just have it
24	in. It's okay.
25	MR. MOORE: I'm sorry. That provides another
	Page 119

1	problem that we have two more hearings and a Century
2	Link hearing on the 31st. So the two weeks is kind of
3	problematic with our current schedule.
4	PRESIDING OFFICER: Would 30 days work better
5	for you? 30 days after receipt of the transcript?
6	MR. MOORE: That should work. I'm sorry for
7	my
8	PRESIDING OFFICER: It's quite all right.
9	Ms. McDowell?
10	MS. McDOWELL: That's acceptable. Thank you.
11	PRESIDING OFFICER: All right. Give me one
12	moment to confer with the Commissioners.
13	All right. If there's nothing else from the
14	parties, then we are adjourned.
15	(This hearing was concluded at
16	12:07 p.m. MT.)
17	* * * *
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 120

1	
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF UTAH)
)
4	COUNTY OF UTAH)
5	
6	I, BROOKE SIMMS, an Idaho Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter, Utah State Certified Court Reporter, and
8	Registered Professional Reporter, hereby certify:
9	THAT the foregoing proceedings were taken
10	before me at the time and place set forth in the caption
11	hereof; that the proceedings were taken down by me in
12	shorthand and thereafter my notes were transcribed
13	through computer-aided transcription; and the foregoing
14	transcript constitutes a full, true, and accurate record
15	of such oral proceedings had, and of the whole thereof.
16	I have subscribed my name on this 24th
17	day of January, 2024.
18	
19	
20	
21	BrookeJSims
22	
	Brooke Simms, RPR, CCR, CSR
23	Idaho CSR No. 1174
	Utah CCR No. 12335391-780
24	
25	
	Page 121
	raye 121

[& - 2023]

&	10.5 9:22 55:6	12:07 120:16	2007 82:18
& 2:23	100 4:6,8 18:1	13 45:23	88:18
0	101 3:15	13.7 11:11	2008 64:17
	103 52:7,11	14 3:4	201 22:15,20
0 96:5	106 3:18	15 41:8 45:22	2010 64:24
035-40 96:5	108 4:13	67:14	2017 63:16
1	109 3:18	150 51:6	65:7 66:5
1 4:6,11 47:10	10:37 69:3	153 51:24	2018 11:4,6
47:11 49:23	10:50 69:3	15th 51:3	2019 15:18
50:2 54:20	10th 74:15	16 67:14	43:9 49:1,4
59:17,20 60:6	11 80:4	160 1:15 2:14	2020 9:16,21
65:15,21 74:16	110 3:19	2:19 70:8	10:1,8 11:20
74:18 75:11	111 3:19	107:4	17:22 20:14,18
84:15 88:8	112 3:22	17 1:11	38:16,18 41:7
97:16	112.1 10:5	179 47:23	42:9,12,17,21
1,067 10:7	42:10 52:1	180 48:7	48:3 53:2,5
1,500 19:11	113 4:15	181 48:8	55:8,9,14,19,20
1,600 49:3	115 3:22	182 48:8	55:22,23 57:6
1,764 15:7,16	1174 121:23	190 73:22	74:9 80:24
43:11 48:24	11th 2:7	1984 88:19	81:2,14,16
49:3	12 21:10,11	1988 88:19	95:6 98:6,8,10
1-2 100:19	35:9	1st 4:6	98:16
1.0 112:17	12.9 11:7	2	2021 38:12,19
1.1 75:2,5	120 51:14	2 4:8 75:4	38:20 48:5
1.7 64:18	122 81:22	77:23 78:20,22	49:10
1/10/24 4:7	122.6 23:21	79:11	2022 15:19
10 2:23 4:11	42:10 51:8	2,000 19:11	42:23 48:6,7
22:11 46:17,17	81:15	20 96:3	49:6,11 67:9
46:20,20,24	1226 81:15	200 67:13	81:22,24
47:18,18,20,23	123 80:22 98:5	2004 63:22	2023 10:4
48:8,15 49:24	123-124 98:2	64:2,9,16	15:18,20,20
54:22 57:5	12335391-780	2006 79:25	23:25 32:6
59:21	121:23	82:18	41:24 42:9,12
10.3 81:17	124 73:23	2006-2007	42:23,24 43:9
	80:22 98:5	97:12	44:8 47:16

[2023 - 9th]

	1	1	
49:2,4,7 51:3	280 105:14	45 3:9 18:21	7
53:7 70:16	28936 121:22	47 4:11	7 50:18 67:3
71:23 74:9	29 112:17	49 8:20 87:8	73:20 80:18
81:22 90:7	29th 70:16	49.2 10:6,13	83:15 89:15
91:6,7 107:11	107:11	42:11	98:1
107:12 112:18	3	5	70 4:9 64:4
114:3	3 8:19 56:19	5 30:23 105:13	79:12
2023-2024			79 .12 72 79:12
12:20 22:23,25	87:6 89:13	50 3:10 4:11	72 79:12 73 3:14
2024 1:11 5:13	90:3 94:3	61:7	
14:5 15:3,20	3.66 72:1	500 32:15,21	74 4:6 18:1
17:10 23:9	3/31/23 4:12	503 2:8	78 4:8
41:24 70:17	30 120:4,5	510 72:3 104:1	8
74:15 88:5	300 1:15 2:14	54 3:10	8 3:4 50:18
107:16 121:17	2:19 70:10	540 57:19	83:16 85:16
2024-2025	107:4	56 3:11	86:18
36:10	31 3:6	57 94:3,14	8.9 10:23
2127 74:2	31st 47:16	595-3922 2:8	801 2:20,24
2127 74.2 22 3:5	120:2	5th 2:14,19	81:2-5 81:12
229-2883 2:15	32 81:24	5:13	84101 2:24
23 23:1,2,4	34 3:6	6	84111 1:17
38:14	363-6363 2:24	6 72:2 81:22,23	2:14,19
23-035-30 95:8	366-0158 2:20	103:24 105:13	8:59 1:12
	385 2:15	6.3 10:24	9
96:8 23-035-40 1:5	4	60 94:3,14	
	4 32:2 56:19	600 72:1	9 4:3
5:9 96:3	79:11 86:12	61 11:2	9.65 71:22
234 49:8	4.58 71:23	6164 15:15	103:24
239 80:4	103:23	48:25	9/10/07 4:8
23rd 119:7	40 3:9 80:6	63 3:11 94:5	90 10:15 51:14
24 3:5 23:1,2,6	400 2:7,23	68 4:4	51:18 71:25
38:14 107:15			72:2 104:2,2
24th 121:16	403 1:16	69 3:14	97 3:15
25 59:14,22	419 2:7		97205 2:7
270,000 63:23	44 90:4		9th 70:17
			107:12
ŕ			

[a.m. - affiliated]

a	30:20 35:21	actual 8:22	adequate 12:18
	41:4 42:15	21:17 23:24	43:23 79:21
a.m. 1:12	45:8 71:9,11	24:19 33:19	adequately
ability 18:14	76:3,18 79:14	84:8	83:25
92:20 93:7	82:24 84:11	actually 15:10	adjourn 116:20
103:19 119:11	85:7,21,24	27:21 38:24	adjourned
able 25:25 26:2	87:17 88:4,10	51:18 56:25	120:14
32:20 35:20	89:9,22 91:14	61:20 62:1	adjusted 88:19
60:21 82:12	93:7,9,14,15,16	63:19 86:21	administrative
90:15 99:4	93:20,21 94:1	101:19	5:6
101:18 109:24	94:16,24 95:1	actuals 35:19	admission
109:24 above 33:9	95:7,11,14	adapt 101:18	49:22 70:20
absent 113:14	96:1,15,21,24	add 36:25	113:3
absolutely	98:15,21	addition 11:22	admit 107:24
30:10 115:14	104:16 108:10	additional	admitted 9:4,6
accept 21:23	110:6 111:9	23:18 28:25	50:1,2 68:19
95:22 96:14	113:13,24	33:21 34:8,13	68:21 70:23,25
acceptable	114:4 115:24	36:25 60:11	100:18,19
117:10 120:10	115:25 116:4,8	67:18,19 83:17	108:1,3 113:4
accidental 57:3	116:9 117:3	109:18 118:22	113:6
accommodate	accurate 47:19	address 25:23	admonition
59:1	121:14	28:15 36:15	32:19
account 16:4	accurately 78:8	38:23,23 43:25	admtd 4:2
29:24 30:15	acknowledge	45:2 70:5,8	adopted 78:16
33:7 67:10	80:23	71:6,13 85:16	advance 73:24
71:7 95:13	acknowledges	87:23 88:1	advantage 30:1
96:19 100:1	13:20	99:3 106:25	advocate
accountant	acres 63:23	111:1 116:22	115:19
99:16 105:9	64:18	addressed	aegis 58:5,8
accounting 1:4	act 11:4 26:11	72:14,17 74:23	affect 33:16
5:10 9:14,18	action 43:19	83:25 84:3	46:7,9 65:10
11:2 13:5 14:4	48:4 53:3	85:12 86:5,20	affected 44:7
16:1,24,25	actions 13:9	97:11	44:13,16 46:11
22:22 27:4,15	56:25	addresses 14:6	affiliated 57:13
27:19 28:11		47:21	

[afford - approve]

afford 37:19	114:12,14	analysis 76:17	applicant 87:17
agree 16:9,24	allow 9:17	analyst 107:4,6	89:9
17:19 18:13,16	34:24 72:20	analyze 82:6	application 1:3
18:20,24 29:19	87:11 89:24	analyzed 86:2	5:9 12:5 14:12
29:21 46:5	91:14 104:17	86:5	22:21 45:7
49:1 78:7	119:14	anderson 3:17	59:18 71:7,8
83:11 85:15	allowance 76:3	4:14 6:25	71:11 76:17
93:16 97:1,14	allowed 16:5	106:14,15,17	85:10 87:18
98:19 99:12	37:4 89:17	106:20 107:3	89:7,8 95:7
100:7	91:1 116:4	108:3,24	107:7 108:10
agreed 12:1	119:10	110:19 111:13	108:17,22
agreement 19:4	allowing 12:13	answer 18:20	110:19,25
agrees 11:18	43:15 72:12	21:13 26:2	applications
agutah.gov	allows 30:3	35:15 49:13	94:24
2:15,16,20	87:9	65:23 76:11,20	apply 37:6
ahead 7:22	alternative	82:3,4 107:22	applying 60:14
37:13 69:9,16	43:23 93:9	answered 39:3	appointed 5:8
106:19 111:25	108:20	answers 34:4,6	appreciate
aided 121:13	alyson 3:17	87:1 89:14	99:24
air 93:1	4:14 6:24	110:7 112:25	appreciated
akin 95:11	106:13,20	anticipate	7:11
alignment 59:2	107:1,3 108:3	10:10 86:23	approach
alj 2:3	amount 11:12	anticipated	72:19 84:25
allegedly 56:24	16:6,21 17:3	23:6 77:9	appropriate
allocate 62:1	23:22 33:9	118:25	16:25 19:13,14
allocated 10:6	49:3 52:2 57:5	anyway 97:21	37:23 42:2
10:14 11:2	59:12 83:19	appear 104:4	113:22 118:3,7
51:25 60:4	108:14,19	appearances	appropriately
61:23 62:20	109:21 114:5,6	5:21	71:17 84:2
114:10	114:9 116:11	appears 37:20	approval 45:1
allocating	amounts 10:18	82:18 87:15	85:1
67:22	57:14 68:8	93:12	approve 13:4
allocation 61:3	82:12 84:8	appetite 58:12	13:11 14:12
61:15,18,23	99:5	58:23,25 65:17	22:21 45:7
62:17 68:1,9			87:16

[approved - balancing]

approved	49:16 56:9	75:11,23 78:13	92:6 93:17
10:17,22 11:1	68:2 76:12		108:24 114:22
,		attempt 76:11	
12:2 41:20	78:7 99:6	attempts	avenue 2:7
approving 14:3	109:18	113:13	avoid 41:18
approximate	asks 75:9,22	attention 73:22	43:19 72:17
51:6,10	103:8 106:14	78:18 80:3	await 24:7
approximately	aspect 89:3,4,4	84:19	awards 114:18
11:7,11 18:1	aspects 72:8	attorney 2:13	aware 31:14
23:21 43:10	assert 13:7 44:6	2:18 6:17,22	74:8 76:13,21
49:9 51:2,8,14	57:8 83:24	105:19	88:24 89:6,21
52:1 53:1 55:6	asserting 53:20	attributable	89:25 91:24
57:19 63:18	assertions	61:1 108:15	95:8 99:18
91:9,13	43:14	109:25 114:17	100:3,3,4
area 66:14	assessing 66:20	attributed 72:3	101:10,13,14
areas 43:5	asset 113:25	72:4	116:7
arena 48:16	assets 62:1	audio 7:7,9	awareness
argues 11:15	assist 70:14	audit 35:20	64:22
12:3 13:4	associated	august 10:1,4	b
argument	41:16 43:1,7	23:4,9,25	b 4:1 84:20
118:23	108:11 113:25	38:18 42:5,9,9	back 16:8 21:3
arguments	association	51:3 55:9,23	27:13 34:7
118:17	2:21 7:2	73:24 85:22	55:18 63:20
arrive 72:21	111:20 112:14	86:3 90:7 91:5	64:2 66:11
ascertain 37:10	assume 37:3	91:6,21,24	69:1,4 77:23
asked 5:16	assumes 90:14	114:3	80:18 83:15
18:12 29:23	90:25	authorized	84:14 86:6
35:12 36:3,8	assuming 24:20	9:22 32:15,16	88:7 89:12
37:14 38:22	101:5	71:22 103:24	94:2,12 103:8
50:23 55:1	attached 75:10	authorizing	·
62:19 76:8	75:18 76:16	27:14 113:25	background 103:12
97:9,22 98:13	97:15	auto 61:6	balance 30:2
100:15 107:21	attaches 52:17	available 9:25	
112:23	53:11	14:19 19:23	balanced 30:14
asking 18:8	attachment	45:12 71:12	balancing 33:7
20:5 27:9	58:22 65:15	72:24 82:5	95:13 96:19
			100:1

[band - calls]

band 111:8	beginning 51:2	62:9	build 21:14,17
bankruptcy	73:23 87:8	bhe's 4:11 62:6	58:11,11 99:12
63:20 66:3,8	90:4,7 114:2	bidding 58:1	building 1:14
base 10:6 14:10	begins 8:20	big 30:18 64:25	built 21:9
23:5 28:23	98:5	65:13 92:3,14	burden 13:21
30:7 33:9,19	behalf 5:23,25	bigger 29:22	108:18
34:19,23 35:10	6:11,12 7:4	biggest 32:24	bureaucratic
35:19 42:6	70:12 111:19	billion 64:19	90:24
52:12 64:25	112:12,14	65:15,21	burned 63:22
91:18	believe 16:2	binding 105:24	64:18
based 9:24	18:1 20:24	bit 24:18 45:15	business 41:13
21:10 48:13	32:23 48:17	56:11 59:25	41:17,22 58:14
58:14,23 61:16	65:11 66:6	65:16 88:24	58:25 70:5
71:23 85:10	93:4,20 94:25	bodily 56:24	105:4,7 106:24
96:22 100:7	105:21 115:23	57:4	button 92:10
114:8	116:2	books 35:21	С
basic 26:4	believes 79:21	bottle 81:7	c 2:1 3:21 4:15
basically 28:9	80:7 117:17	bottom 84:20	5:1 8:7,7 40:10
67:8 75:16	beneficial	102:11,12	112:1,7,8
101:22 105:7	102:10	bound 97:14	112.1,7,8
basis 10:15	berkshire	break 74:13	c3 86:8
30:5 32:15,21	25:14 40:12	brief 22:8	c4 86:13
38:15 51:8	45:20 46:16,16	108:13 110:12	calculate 23:21
53:18 71:25	47:14 49:23	116:25 117:2,9	california
72:2,2,3 77:1,5	60:8,16 114:13	117:18,21,23	63:22 64:3,5
81:18,25 89:9	best 9:24 36:24	117:25 118:2	64:14,18,23,25
100:5 104:1,3	38:9,10 83:1	118:11,13	65:1,9,12,13
104:3	98:19	briefs 118:5,6	californian
bear 13:21	better 15:21	118:16,17,19	65:4
26:17,17	18:10 25:1	119:5,15	call 7:14,16
becoming	26:20 56:11	broader 61:22	39:15,16 69:7
59:24	87:24 120:4	broadway 2:23	69:10 111:20
began 23:3	bhe 56:25 57:9	broker's 64:11	called 58:5
89:13	58:10 60:1,13	brooke 1:25	calls 106:13
	60:21 61:11	121:6,22	130.10

[canada's - chose]

1.1. 60.10	20 < 1 < 24 22	116 10 110 10	4.6. 1 101 6
canada's 60:18	30:6,16 34:22	116:13 119:10	certified 121:6
canadian 60:17	35:5,7,11,14,18	cases 10:17	121:7
cap 65:17,19	35:20 36:17,18	15:25 20:2,9	certify 121:8
capacity 8:11	37:9 38:8,12	28:21 33:6	chair 5:12
40:14	38:16,24 39:3	34:10,16 41:19	31:16
capital 19:11	39:4 40:18	54:21 82:22	challenge 99:11
19:18 21:16	41:3,7,10	103:14 116:3	challenges
28:22 35:23	43:15 44:4,25	catastrophic	20:11 21:5
caption 121:10	45:5 53:6 57:6	61:6 65:12	chance 117:25
captioned 48:5	57:10 58:18	66:9	change 11:19
car 24:21,22	68:16 71:16	categorize	29:12,18 37:2
careful 71:8	72:9 73:17	38:13	44:18 58:25
carefully 93:25	75:12 77:1,1,5	cause 70:14	63:9 102:21
118:20	77:5,19,21	107:10 112:16	104:2,3,3
carla 2:9 5:25	78:1 79:8,8,10	caused 66:17	changed 29:15
6:12	79:17,23,24	114:17	82:21 88:18,18
carla.scarsella	80:7,10,16,19	caveat 119:9	88:24 102:17
2:10	81:4,14,22	caveats 114:3	changes 8:17
carry 108:18	82:16,17,19,20	ccr 1:25 121:22	8:24 29:9,24
case 9:13,17,21	84:3,21,25	121:23	34:24 35:24
10:8,20 11:13	85:4 86:2,9	cedar 63:21	40:20 65:9
11:14 12:1,4,8	87:18,22,24	cents 64:5	72:6 88:17
12:16,17,21,22	88:5,12 89:5	century 120:1	107:18
13:1,17,23	89:10,16,18	certain 44:6	changing 29:7
14:5,15 15:3	90:9,20 91:5,9	52:14 97:23	characterizati
15:24 16:8	91:12,15,18,21	114:3	113:18
17:10,12,15,20	92:12 94:6,8	certainly 24:20	check 15:6,8
17:22,25 18:9	95:6,12,16,20	31:20 34:15	87:5 95:4,22
18:9,14,25	95:21 98:16,21	35:17 36:18	96:14
19:2,6,8,12,25	99:5 102:3,15	37:11,11 62:17	choice 103:9,13
20:6,12,14	102:18,22	66:8 94:23	choose 93:9,10
21:4,7,8,15,19	104:9,17,20	103:18,18	choosing 64:6
26:10 27:24	105:21 108:5	118:16 119:13	chose 93:6,12
28:12,14,17	113:17 114:8	certificate	93:13
29:17,22 30:3	115:24 116:1	121:2	

[chunk - commissioners]

chunk 30:18	72:25,25 106:3	combined	108:22,25
circumstances	106:4 110:16	78:15	114:9 117:1,5
72:14 77:6	110:18 115:7	come 27:13	117:10,17
87:10 88:22	116:16,17	67:14 82:7	118:2
89:23 93:18,23	118:15	86:23 87:3	commission's
cite 89:15	class 48:3 53:3	92:8 93:1,2,2	21:22 37:21
city 1:17 2:14	clear 67:21	104:11,12	98:22
2:19,24 70:10	90:5 97:18	comes 32:9	commissioner
107:5	105:22 110:9	92:9 109:23	2:3,4 3:5,6,11
claim 52:18	clearly 11:13	119:10,16	3:11,15,18,19
53:11,13 66:13	25:19 68:6	coming 16:8	3:22 5:3,3,5,12
66:15,23	climate 44:17	28:22 29:11	5:15 6:2 9:12
claimant 54:4	110:2	commence 7:13	9:13 24:11,13
57:7,12	close 35:21	commercial	25:18,20 31:6
claimants	115:17	9:23 64:7,13	31:7,11,12,22
53:19	closer 6:4	65:15	32:1,20 37:14
claims 40:13	coincidental	commission 1:1	37:17,22 39:23
41:14,19 43:12	44:10	2:2 5:15 9:16	41:1,2 56:5,7
44:18 46:11,23	coleman 3:8	9:17,22 10:17	63:2,4 66:12
48:14 52:20	4:5 15:22 24:2	10:22 11:1	67:21 70:9
53:17,18,20	25:1,15,24	12:1,5,10 13:4	72:25,25
54:5,6,10	26:20 39:17,18	13:11,17 14:11	100:23,24,25
65:20 66:12	39:20,23 40:1	14:20 15:25	101:3 103:10
clarification	40:5,9,9,11	17:14 18:21	106:3,4 109:8
25:17 47:1	45:11,12 50:13	22:21 25:21	109:9,12
94:9 115:18	54:19 63:5	26:15 27:9	110:16,18
clarify 27:8	68:13,21,24	28:5 31:14	114:23 115:5,6
clarifying	coleman's	41:6,20 45:7	115:7,10
111:3	15:11 25:12	45:13 47:8	116:16,17
clarity 54:11	68:16	64:5 74:7,15	118:15
clark 2:3 3:6,11	collect 19:9	75:11,14 77:5	commissioners
3:19 5:3,4 6:2	collected 33:19	81:2 87:16	5:14,23 6:10
9:12 31:6,7,12	90:10	89:6,8 91:3	37:24 68:25
31:22 32:1,20	collecting	95:5,23 99:13	71:5 113:11
41:2 63:2,4	28:19	100:2 105:25	116:20 120:12

[common - conditions]

20mmon 65.2	50.10 52.01	44.10 11 15	aamplataa
common 65:3	52:18 53:21	44:10,11,15	completes
99:13	54:3 55:6 57:1	45:7 46:17	1
communicating	57:14 58:1,5	49:24 68:16	complex 25:6
53:19	60:1,11,17,22	71:8 72:6,16	compliance
community	60:23 61:9	73:24 75:2	12:15
64:11	62:13,18 63:25	76:17 81:3,14	complicated
companies	71:15,20,24	83:11 84:25	24:21
52:12 57:13	74:3,8 77:19	85:10,16 88:3	comprehensi
58:6,10 60:5	81:14,21 84:7	91:17 95:5,24	72:5
60:14 61:18	84:10 86:21	102:11,12	computer
64:7 68:8	87:22,23 88:1	108:10 110:24	121:13
101:17	88:11 89:7,17	111:8 113:24	concept 99:19
company 9:23	90:6,9,15	115:18	concern 14:6
10:5,9,19,25	91:20,22 92:22	comparable	29:2
11:5,10,17	92:23 93:3,4	42:13	concerning
12:3,7,15,22	93:12,17 94:23	compare 17:24	97:10
13:5,9,20,23,24	95:6,12,25	103:20	concise 21:13
14:3,8,11,13	96:15,23 98:9	compared 10:6	concluded
15:3 16:21	98:14,20 99:4	103:23	100:9 104:25
17:10,12,19	99:21 100:1	comparison	120:15
18:9,13,16	101:9 102:15	11:9	concludes
19:6,21,24	103:13 104:5	compartment	45:10 68:15
20:2,6,12	104:15 110:1	52:14	72:22 108:23
22:19 23:8,19	114:14,18	compel 58:24	114:20
26:13 28:13	company's	compelling	conclusion
29:19,25 30:4	9:16,20,21	113:14	50:17,22,24
30:9 33:4	10:2,4,8,11,13	compensating	68:3,4
34:10,11 35:13	10:16 11:15,25	72:18	concurrently
36:15 38:23	13:18 14:5,9	competing	118:1
41:13 42:2,4,6	14:12,16 17:25	58:16	condition
42:15 43:16,19	19:17 22:21	complaint 48:4	101:14
43:22,25 44:5	29:11 35:5	complete 13:2	conditioner
44:8,22 45:1,3	36:4 37:4 41:7	47:20 87:25	93:2
45:21 47:15	41:21,23 42:19	completely	conditions 42:1
51:8 52:1,16	42:22 43:9	35:3	43:3
,			

[conducting - costs]

conducting	constitutes	corporation	42:14,18,20
31:15	121:14	12:10	43:15 67:15
confer 116:19	construed	correct 17:6	74:2 80:8
120:12	77:24 78:9	20:3,16,19	83:19 89:24
conference	consultant 70:7	21:20 23:13	91:17 102:17
116:24	consulting	24:25 25:5	103:3 105:4,6
confirm 24:2	112:11	28:1,3 32:8,11	105:6,7 113:21
conflict 119:17	consumer 2:17	32:17 33:12,14	114:14
connection	6:20,24 13:3	51:4,23 52:4	costs 1:4 5:11
95:15	consuming	52:22 53:8	10:6,21,24
consequently	37:8	54:8 55:7,10	12:4,7,13
113:23	contained 55:2	55:11,16 60:2	13:21,22 14:9
consider 13:10	contemplated	62:3 63:13,14	15:15 19:15
26:16 28:24	80:6 119:15	66:24 67:1,4,6	21:6 23:8,14
76:21 77:14	content 118:20	67:12,15,18	23:20 26:5,16
86:9 87:1	context 11:5	68:2,2,4 73:18	27:12,16 28:23
97:21 114:11	56:21 77:24	73:19 74:11,24	32:24 33:1,5
considerable	78:10,15 80:14	75:2 77:3,6	33:10,11,17
117:22	81:2 97:25	79:4 80:16,17	41:21,23 42:1
consideration	continue 23:4	81:4 83:13,25	42:8,23 43:1,7
28:20 67:25	104:8 116:15	84:1 85:5,12	51:1,7 60:22
71:8 110:23	continuing	85:14 88:12	61:2 62:1
considered	64:7	90:16,19 91:1	67:22 72:7,19
76:22 78:14	contrary 12:6	91:3,4,6,14	74:9 77:16
84:22 89:5	43:14 113:15	96:7 105:25	79:14,15 80:6
93:24 115:24	contribute	106:1 110:11	81:16,23,23
115:25	46:25	111:11	82:12 83:10,12
considering	contributed	corrections	86:1,20,22
81:13 89:4	66:10	8:17,24 40:20	90:11 102:2,4
117:25	contributing	112:21	102:16,20
considers 77:1	66:7	correctly 99:1	103:2,2,15,17
consistent 35:1	copy 74:13	103:23	104:18 108:11
85:4,6	75:1	cost 9:25 10:9	114:1,9,12,17
consists 47:17	corporate	10:10 12:20,25	115:14
	60:20	16:3,18 42:9	

[counsel - deferral]

ooungal 74.14	00M0MG 50.16	ourious 22.24	dove 51.10
counsel 74:14	covers 52:16	curious 22:24	days 51:18
94:10	53:10	59:25 62:21	120:4,5
count 59:22	covid 95:15,18	current 14:2	december 11:6
county 121:4	96:20	20:17,20 32:16	21:11,18 35:9
couple 34:4	cpa 99:16	42:1 55:5	decide 58:13
57:2 75:6	crazy 99:20	76:17 95:24	decided 28:9
96:18 97:24	create 43:16	110:10 120:3	decides 102:16
101:4	64:6	customer 41:19	108:22
coupled 71:16	created 59:21	customers	decision 12:9
course 16:17	61:15 65:16	41:13 114:10	18:22 119:14
23:11 37:5	creates 101:16	cuts 11:3 102:9	decommissio
68:11 81:8	creating 59:5	d	10:24
119:18	credit 44:2	d 3:1 5:1 70:3	decrease
court 26:10,12	61:12	damage 56:23	103:25
44:4 48:8	criteria 77:11	57:4 63:12	decreased
54:21 119:20	113:20	64:19	43:13 59:11
119:23 121:7	criterion 77:13	damaged 66:14	deductible
court's 12:9	cross 3:4,5,9,10	damages 44:6	24:22 57:5,15
courts 89:22	3:14 4:6,8,11	52:16,18 53:10	deem 95:3
cover 24:24	14:19,23 22:9	114:18	103:17
28:2	32:19 45:13,14	data 4:6 21:16	defend 57:12
coverage 42:3	45:16 47:4,10	28:19 35:1	defense 66:23
42:12,22 43:25	47:11 49:22	49:13 75:2,5,9	defensive
53:22 58:9	50:2,11 54:19	75:23 105:22	105:14
59:5,6 60:13	60:6 72:24	date 27:13	defer 10:20
61:6,20,22	73:3,4,10	55:18 115:16	11:10 16:3,21
67:9,14,17	74:16,18 78:19	dated 74:15	45:1
71:10 110:20	78:20,22 79:11	david 2:3 4:8	deferral 10:18
coverages	80:4 84:14	5:4 77:25	10:22 11:4,7
57:18,19 61:19	88:8 96:6	78:16 79:3	11:23 12:2,6
covered 56:12	97:16 100:15	88:16	13:11 14:6,8
67:7 68:6	100:19 108:25	day 18:21	16:1,4 30:2,22
covering 43:12	114:23	29:16 53:5	36:4,5 38:25
51:18 61:21,25	csr 1:25 121:22	92:7 121:17	43:15 51:25
	121:23	92.1 121.11	71:7,12 74:1,8

[deferral - discretion]

77.1 2 00.0	defined 61:21	59:11 80:13	117:22
77:1,2 80:8			
84:22 85:1	definitely 25:6	description 4:2	different 28:9
91:6 96:8,11	93:24	48:10,12	28:11,12 51:19
113:21	definition 29:8	destructive	90:7 118:25
deferrals 12:14	29:14 58:20	43:2	difficult 117:22
89:17	definitions	details 100:6	diluting 94:17
deferred 1:4	56:20 58:8	determination	ding 68:7
5:10 9:14,17	degree 32:25	110:10	direct 3:4,9,14
11:1,12 13:5	102:17	determine	3:18,22 4:3,4,9
14:3 16:24,25	delivered	27:10 77:2	4:13,15 8:1,14
22:22 27:4,14	117:12	82:9 90:20	8:19 9:2,5
27:19 28:11	demonstrate	98:20	17:25 22:14
29:23 30:15,20	13:12,22 66:16	determined	24:1 40:3,17
41:4 42:15	demonstrated	77:4 82:8,10	50:19 56:19
45:8 50:24	12:15 49:19	85:9 114:7	67:4 68:17,20
52:2 71:9,11	demonstrates	115:16 116:13	69:20 70:15,20
76:3,18 79:14	9:18	determines	70:24 73:20,21
82:24 84:8,11	demonstrating	56:14	78:18 79:2
85:7,20,24	66:23	determining	80:3,19 84:19
87:16 88:4,10	demonstration	16:12 71:18	86:18 87:7
89:9,22 91:14	13:14	83:18 113:21	98:1 106:22
93:7,8,14,15,16	denied 71:11	119:5	107:10 108:2
93:20,21,25	85:24,25 94:1	detrimental	112:3,16 113:3
94:16,24 95:1	108:17 110:13	102:12 104:5	113:5,8
95:7,11,14,25	116:5	develop 72:9	directed 15:21
96:15,20,24	deny 12:5	developed	25:1
98:15,20	108:22	51:13 88:22	direction
104:16 108:10	depending 37:1	development	102:24
108:19 110:6	59:7	43:4 46:24	director 8:9
111:9 113:13	depicted 46:20	diego 63:24	disagree 18:3
113:24 114:4,5	depreciation	difference	discovery
115:24,25	19:13	23:22 32:22	110:25
116:4,9 117:3	describe 86:19	49:4 90:11	discretion
deferring 11:24	described	differences	21:22
	33:16 54:2	42:13 110:20	

[discuss - elaborate]

discuss 32:5	docket 1:5 5:9	dramatically	earnings 9:20
discussed 37:7	11:10 22:19	44:20	10:13 14:16
37:20 44:4	23:7 44:3	drive 42:22	16:23 30:24
80:14 118:24	70:12 80:13	67:16 84:11	33:20
discusses 71:20	83:25 84:9	99:20	east 1:15 2:14
discussing	95:8,10,24	driven 35:5,8	2:19 70:9,10
46:22 79:7	96:7 97:11	60:23 63:9	107:4
86:8 88:8	98:22 100:8	driver 32:24	easy 97:21
discussion 21:4	107:8 110:23	driver 32.24 drivers 26:4,19	eba 33:8,21
25:12 26:18	dockets 78:17	32:21	100:8,9
85:25 105:19	97:11	drought 43:3	effect 64:9 66:2
discussions	document	drove 63:19	73:25 74:3
44:12	74:12,15,21	dual 47:2	102:13
disincentive	75:6 105:24	due 17:13 43:3	effective 5:13
43:16	dodge 2:23	60:17 65:5	effects 64:13
dispute 113:17	doing 92:2	66:9 119:5,6	effort 92:17
distributed	105:4,7	duplicating	eight 95:2
47:5 74:13	dollar 33:13,13	59:6	einfeldt 3:13
division 2:11	64:5	duplicative	4:10 69:11,12
6:14,17,18	dollars 64:19	35:2	69:14,18 70:1
11:14 50:5	67:14	duty 107:6	70:2,25 72:23
69:10 70:6,12	doubled 67:8		74:22 79:1
70:19 71:10,15	doubt 118:12	e	97:4,9 100:15
75:15 76:2,12	dpu 4:9,11	e 2:1,1 3:1 4:1,3	106:6,7
76:25 79:21	11:24 12:1,3	5:1,1 6:16 8:7	einfeldt's 70:20
80:7,14 81:17	13:3,9 38:3	8:7 9:6 40:10	either 21:17
81:25 97:14	47:10,11 49:22	70:2,2,3,3	23:2 35:9
101:6 102:21	50:2 54:19	112:8	42:19 49:13
105:24 106:10	60:5 62:3	earlier 55:14	57:10,11 88:16
117:18	103:1 104:8,19	82:15 89:13	88:23 93:9
division's 75:1	dpu's 4:6 11:22	104:14	105:15 118:24
77:14 79:13	12:6 14:6 75:5	early 81:22	119:1
85:4,7,11	103:16 105:3	104:20	elaborate 35:15
105:22	dr 2:4	earned 71:22	119:2
	_,.	earning 71:25	
		103:22	

[electric - excuse]

1 4 50 6	4:4: 67.00	1 4.	111 5 110 0
electric 58:6	entities 67:22	evaluation	111:5 112:3
63:10,18,19,25	67:23	66:21 72:20	114:23 115:9
64:22 65:8	entitled 76:2	event 17:4	examine 71:18
elements 76:7,9	79:2	44:23 52:25	72:6
elevated 11:19	environment	53:13,14 59:8	examining
eligible 13:13	72:7 82:22	64:9,16 65:7	110:19
emergency	88:23	93:23,24	example 10:20
93:11	envy 92:12	events 43:4	17:21 43:9
employ 71:16	equity 10:16	52:15 53:18,22	59:14 61:5
employed 8:8	32:6,14 71:21	63:16 77:4,9	68:5
40:11,12 70:4	72:8	evidence 9:6,18	exceed 57:5
70:6 107:4	escalation 10:9	13:25 50:3	exception
112:9,10	42:20	66:16 68:21	12:11 39:4
encourage	especially	70:25 100:20	72:13 73:15
104:9 118:18	19:18 98:24	108:3 109:19	93:20
118:21	essentially 19:8	113:6	exceptions 76:7
ended 14:6	27:12 68:7	exact 12:24	113:16
ends 98:5	establish 93:18	64:9	excerpt 54:24
energy 2:21 7:2	109:20	exactly 90:5	excess 9:14,23
33:7 40:12	established	examination	10:4,12,14,18
45:21 46:16,17	65:12	3:4,4,5,5,6,6,9	11:3,15,24
47:14 49:24	establishment	3:9,10,10,11,11	13:8,15 22:22
60:8,16 99:25	65:13	3:14,14,15,15	23:20 41:5,11
111:20 112:11	estimate 36:20	3:18,18,19,19	42:7,17 44:10
112:15 114:13	estimated	3:22,22 8:1	45:8 48:16
enforced 67:9	16:11 83:18	14:19,23 22:9	51:7 52:15
engage 113:13	86:19	24:12 31:24	53:9 55:6
engaged 96:23	estimates 23:19	34:2 40:3	56:14 64:1,4
ensuring 19:21	evaluate 72:11	45:13,16 47:4	81:15 113:18
enter 57:10	74:7 111:10	50:11 54:17	114:1
entire 25:14	evaluated	56:6 63:3	excluding
46:8,10 98:4	19:13 76:7	69:20 72:24	60:17
entirely 52:24	80:15	73:3,10 97:7	excuse 14:11
entirety 116:5	evaluating 74:1	101:2 106:22	64:21 73:22
	_	109:11 110:17	

[excused - federal]

excused 68:24	119:22	expertise 40.19	factor 28:25
		expertise 49:18	
executes 42:4	expenditures	explained	68:9
exercise 62:15	86:10	115:20	factors 26:15
94:19	expense 9:24	explanation	28:20,24 48:14
exhaustive	10:23 11:20	62:10	56:17 61:19,23
86:24 87:4,21	16:3,17 19:13	explore 87:4	62:9 71:18
88:16 97:20	41:13,22 42:7	exposed 43:5	72:4,11 73:15
exhibit 4:2,3,4	43:18 77:14	express 85:2	73:17 114:12
4:6,8,9,11,13	108:21	extended 83:3	facts 57:11
4:15 47:10,11	expenses 9:15	extension	118:23
47:17 49:23	10:15 11:9	119:19	fail 101:10
50:2 54:20	12:19,24 13:6	extensive 19:20	failed 108:17
62:3 74:16,18	13:9,13,16,18	extent 7:10	fair 37:25
75:4,19,19,24	14:1,4,14	114:15 116:11	48:10 75:20
76:24 77:23	16:10 23:2	extraordinary	83:9 84:4
78:19,20,22	24:24 30:8	9:20 10:12	86:17 87:18
79:11 80:4	33:19 35:24	11:13,17,21	96:22 104:13
84:15,18 88:8	36:16 41:6	12:13,19 14:15	115:13
97:16 112:17	44:13,16 45:2	16:7,13,22,23	fairly 10:3
exhibits 47:4	45:4,9 66:7	17:3,7 23:15	90:24 117:7
100:15,19	72:20 85:17	30:21 41:5	fall 58:19
exist 71:13	experience	79:16 81:19	falls 77:2
87:11	42:19 45:20,22	82:2 87:10	familiar 99:25
existed 89:23	63:24 64:2	93:19,23	100:5
existence	65:20 67:23	104:18 113:19	family 60:23
110:21	99:15	extreme 43:3	far 5:5 16:12
exists 72:12	experienced	64:15	fashion 117:8
expect 67:16	56:23 57:8	\mathbf{f}	faster 99:18
expectation	63:12 64:23	f 70:2,2,3	105:10
116:8	experiences	face 90:6	fatalities 64:19
expected 10:9	63:18	facility 10:22	fault 26:13
expedient	experiencing	fact 11:19	feasible 116:2
28:14	66:5	16:17 25:12	february 119:7
expedited	expert 28:7	43:24	federal 11:3
117:8,12		TJ.2T	51:15

[feel - full]

fool 24.15 (0.24	10.14 10.1 10	02.16.101.5	f orman 74.2
feel 24:15 68:24	18:14 19:1,19	92:16 101:5	foreseen 74:2
118:22 119:1,2	19:22 21:20	116:21	79:16 80:9
feels 118:2	34:21 36:21,23	fit 59:4	foresight 91:23
figuratively	37:9 47:14	five 91:10,13	93:6
5:18	79:23 90:20	91:15	forget 31:16
figure 53:12	92:11 102:15	flooding 10:21	forms 51:19
file 13:23 14:5	102:17 104:20	floor 2:14,19	forth 43:20
15:3 17:14	filings 20:22	folks 59:19	121:10
19:3,25 20:22	48:9 107:7	follow 52:23	forward 30:5
27:23 28:14,21	final 21:6 24:3	88:5 102:20	30:14,17 31:18
29:22 30:6	35:13 75:19	105:2	36:16 85:23
32:12 34:22	105:18	following 77:6	86:4
66:8 87:23	finally 13:3	79:15 102:14	found 44:5
88:4,4 89:18	44:3	follows 7:25	114:19
90:8 92:7	financial 41:14	40:2 69:19	four 95:23
94:24 103:14	64:13 71:21	106:21 112:2	frame 55:4
112:16 118:10	72:7 99:17	foot 105:13	119:15
119:18	financially	footnote 89:15	framework
filed 17:20,24	92:24	89:15	103:3
29:17 33:18	find 59:2 92:21	forecast 19:3	frankly 31:16
52:19 70:14,15	117:1	34:24 35:24	free 24:15
70:15,17 79:25	finding 24:18	38:6,15	68:24 119:18
81:21 82:22	114:9,11	forecasted	frequent 94:16
84:21 87:18,22	fine 7:8 117:15	21:16 35:23	96:23
88:12 89:10	finished 27:8	foregoing	frequently
91:6 95:1,7,12	34:6	121:9,13	34:15 97:2
95:14,18,20	fire 63:21 66:14	foresee 48:15	front 7:10
96:16 97:2	66:17	foreseeability	54:20
98:14,16,20	fires 66:5	73:17 74:7	frustrating
99:5 100:9	firm 112:11	80:15	99:19,19
104:17 107:10	first 7:15 18:25	foreseeable	frustration
112:16	43:20 56:8	10:7 14:7	105:11
files 56:15	58:4 60:5,7	16:14 17:4	full 12:25 29:13
filing 12:22	69:7 78:3,5	48:18,20,22	61:10,13 69:24
13:1 17:10,12	79:15 91:24	73:25 77:15	83:19 121:14
,			

[fully - guide]

	1	ı	1
fully 84:4	72:8 77:19,21	go 7:22 23:21	45:18,19 50:13
function 34:11	81:3,21 82:16	28:20 34:7	50:14 53:17
fund 65:12,13	83:11 84:3	39:25 41:1	63:5,6 69:22
65:16,22	86:2 87:18,22	52:6 55:18	69:23 71:5
funds 85:11	87:24 88:5,12	56:18 61:2	73:12,13 101:1
further 63:20	89:10,18 90:8	63:20 64:2	101:7 108:8
71:25 79:20	91:18,21 92:12	69:4,9,16 73:2	113:11
future 13:6,14	94:17 95:12,16	81:6 92:10	grant 29:15
13:15 14:7	95:20 96:16	97:23 106:19	115:13,13
30:13 37:4	98:15,21 104:9	111:25	granted 27:6
85:12 86:9,20	110:2 114:8	god 26:11	85:21 94:1
90:15	116:1,13	goes 19:24	111:9 116:9
g	general's 2:13	29:16 50:18	granting
g 5:1 112:8,8	2:18 6:18,23	100:1 101:24	113:21
gap 27:25	generally 33:10	going 15:3 20:6	grc 111:10
29:22 104:21	37:16 42:13	21:3 22:14	great 18:12
104:22,24	58:7 63:8	27:2 29:25	greater 16:10
105:3,6	66:24 67:1	30:5,14,17	16:18,20
gaps 59:5	99:25 100:4	31:18 36:1	grecu 2:13 6:17
gas 58:6 63:18	generations	37:18 47:4	grid 79:14,22
63:19,24 65:7	101:18	48:6,6 50:15	gross 17:16
gather 19:16	getting 21:12	52:9,9 66:11	grossly 114:19
gathering	59:19 91:16	83:16 85:22	ground 84:12
21:15	gibson 2:6	86:4 91:25	group 112:15
gavel 5:18	give 17:12	92:5 94:4	guarantee
general 9:17	21:24 24:23	99:22 101:15	114:4
12:8,17,21	27:12 30:8,17	101:19,22	guess 27:6 29:2
13:1,23 17:12	34:5 35:16	103:14,22	30:1,19 77:17
17:20,22 18:8	65:23 120:11	104:1,4 105:11	82:25 100:25
20:3,14 33:5	given 12:19	119:17	109:16,18
34:22 38:16	60:10 103:12	good 5:22 6:9	guessed 80:23
41:7 44:17	118:11	7:3,3 8:3,4	98:6
45:5 48:10	gives 48:8 94:4	9:12 14:25	guide 78:14
49:17,17 52:12	giving 61:11	15:1,1 36:19	97:19,20
66:6 71:16		40:5,6 41:1	
00.0 / 1.10			

[guideline - impact]

guidalina 77.0	hard 29:3	110.14 120.2	honor 116:23
guideline 77:8		119:14 120:2 120:15	
84:20 86:8,11	harvey 2:4 3:5		hope 94:4
guidelines	3:11,15,18,22	hearings 31:15	110:7
75:10,12,17,18	5:6,15 9:13	120:1	hopefully 49:18
76:2,9,24 77:3	24:11,13 25:18	heavier 83:1	97:17,18
77:14,24 78:8	25:20 37:14	heber 1:14	hour 69:1
78:11 79:7,13	39:23 41:2	help 25:25	huge 29:12
80:14 84:15	56:5,7 66:12	92:21 93:11	86:1 92:3,14
85:5,11 86:7	67:21 70:9	helpful 15:9	hydroelectric
88:7,9,10,14,15	73:1 100:24,25	117:1,4,18	10:22
88:19 97:10,15	101:3 103:10	118:12	hypothetical
105:20,23	109:8,9,12	hereof 121:11	27:5
guy 99:17	115:5,6,10	higgin's 113:3	i
guys 104:7	hate 103:4	higgins 3:21	idaho 67:7
h	hathaway	4:15 111:20,21	121:6,23
h 4:1 8:7 112:8	25:14 40:12	111:23 112:1,5	idea 102:14
	45:21 46:16	112:7 113:5	
half 42:6 45:23	47:14 49:24	114:21	116:25
hammer 2:3	60:8,16 114:13	high 55:3 100:4	identification
5:7,16,19,22	head 32:23	higher 42:1	47:12 74:19
6:10 31:7 41:2	49:12 89:11	historical 21:18	78:23
71:5 73:1,9	104:10	30:7 35:9,18	identified
hand 85:19	heads 25:8 27:1	37:5 42:19	104:15
handed 62:3	healthy 93:3	historically	identify 32:21
handle 36:9,16	104:8	10:3 13:18	36:1 47:13
handled 85:3	hear 7:8 83:7	58:21	60:21 61:24
happen 57:3	107:1	history 26:7	86:18 109:24
105:15	heard 56:13	65:11 68:5	110:13
happened	hearing 1:10	96:22	ii 47:24 77:8
27:23 53:18	5:8 6:3 7:6	hit 92:10	illustrates 72:5
59:12 82:9,20	19:5 96:2,13	hmm 29:6	impact 9:19
happening 46:2	<u> </u>	32:13	10:13,15,18
happens 100:4	100:17 117:2,9		14:15 16:23
happy 117:19	117:18,21,23	holdout 60:19	26:25 30:23
118:16	117:24 118:2,5	homeowner	63:23 65:8,10
	118:13,16,24	66:13	

[impactful - instance]

impactful 83:2	includes 67:23	26:19 28:18	indication 30:8
impacts 64:22	86:3	32:24 41:24	103:20 115:15
77:9	including 28:21	43:4,8,10 44:7	indulging 38:4
impatiently	38:9 41:11	44:19 45:8	industry 26:5
92:8	44:24 57:9	56:21 67:17	46:9,10 58:3,5
implications	58:10 72:7	72:19 74:8	64:24
115:12	114:12 118:5	91:17 108:11	infer 67:24
importance	118:16	114:1	inference 99:10
93:25	inclusion 9:22	increases 10:10	influencing
important 42:2	41:21	29:17 36:9	33:2
93:22	income 11:3	42:20 43:6,16	information
imposes 102:7	inconsistent	48:15,18 73:25	9:24 19:10
impression	11:23	90:9 93:18	30:7 34:13
26:3 59:9	increase 9:14	increasing 43:6	35:1,10,23
improper 94:16	9:19 10:7,11	incremental	36:21,24 38:9
96:23	10:14 11:20	33:8 61:12	38:18 79:23
imprudent	12:20 13:8	114:1	82:4 92:5,8
13:10 110:4	14:13,15 15:5	increments	informed 7:6
incentive 61:8	15:7,17,19	59:17,20,22	65:23
incentives	27:18 33:1	incur 23:8	initial 70:2,2
94:20 101:8,13	41:5,9,25	incurred 10:21	108:18 112:8
102:7,8 103:13	42:10,15,18,24	13:22 23:2	118:17,19
incident 52:25	43:1 44:17	66:9 77:16	initially 118:25
incidents 63:11	48:20,21,25	indemnifies	injury 56:24
include 20:21	49:1,6,8,10	56:22	57:4
23:7 30:7	63:8 67:13	independent	input 46:19
36:21 55:5	71:9,24 72:3	86:3	inquire 64:15
included 13:18	81:18 82:1	indicate 77:13	inquiry 64:11
15:4 23:5	83:19 86:1	94:15	insofar 47:20
38:10 57:20	103:19 104:2	indicated 42:21	instance 16:23
60:19 79:16	108:15 109:25	44:12,15 77:23	19:10 21:24
80:9 82:10	113:18 114:16	88:6	24:21 34:20
83:12 88:14	increased 10:5	indicates 76:25	37:24 51:6
116:25	11:15 14:4,9	86:9	93:8 95:25
	15:15 26:4,6		

[instances - january]

instances 46:20	68:8 71:10,24	intentions 36:4	issue 12:12
insurance 1:4	72:3,19 74:2,9	interchangea	13:16 17:15
5:11 8:22 9:15	81:16,23 83:12	34:25	18:22 25:22
9:23 10:1,2,4	83:19 85:17,23	interest 101:17	29:4 39:5
10:12,14,19	86:1,20 90:7	113:15	44:23 73:16
11:9,16,18	90:11 91:17,17	interested	87:23 91:13,25
12:20,24 13:8	98:10 103:2,15	24:18 58:16	92:14 113:14
13:16 14:4,9	104:2 108:11	interferes	119:13,16
14:13 15:6	108:15 113:19	119:11	issued 16:1
19:15 22:23	114:2,16	interim 12:23	27:5
23:20 24:19	insurances	13:1 18:19,22	issues 38:21,22
25:4,6 28:25	56:18	19:23,25 27:24	44:24 45:3
36:12 38:6,11	insured 52:13	71:17 90:25	83:17,24 85:2
38:17 40:13	60:16 68:5	interpreted	85:2,3,9,15
41:5,8,11,12,15	insurer 53:12	78:10	86:5,17,23
41:18,21,23	53:13,14,16	interrupt 83:6	87:2 88:1
42:3,5,7,8,14	54:4,6 56:16	interrupted	95:15 117:2,5
42:18,22 43:7	insurers 44:8	34:5	items 20:10
43:8,10,12,15	44:11,15,20	intervenors	30:24 34:19
43:17,22,25	46:9,12 52:20	13:7 35:19	76:14 87:10
44:11,21 45:21	53:21 58:13,16	43:14 44:3,6	88:16,20,21
46:11,14,14	58:22,24 59:3	44:24	97:20
48:15 51:2,7	59:10,13,15	intervention	iv 84:20 86:8,8
51:16 52:12,16	60:8 61:4,7,14	112:15	86:13
55:7,13,21,22	insures 58:6	intimate 100:5	j
56:13,20,21,22	insurity 44:21	introduce 5:4	j 2:22 70:2
57:13 58:4,15	intend 38:23	investigate	james 2:23 44:4
58:17,19,20	61:11	110:20	44:7,9,12,24
59:1,3,7,13,18	intended 30:24	investigating	52:15,19,19,25
60:2,9,11,12,18	34:14 35:15	66:20	53:3,6 108:15
60:25 62:7	97:18	investments	jana 74:23
63:8,25 64:1,6	intends 13:23	28:22	january 1:11
64:14 65:2,5	28:13	involved 12:19	5:13 70:17
65:15,21 66:3	intent 93:15	involving 10:20	74:15 107:12
67:7,19 68:6,7		99:14	121:17

[jdrslaw.com - liability]

2:25 jeffrey 3:13 knew 36:19 90:6 92:16 know 5:12 15:19 23:14,15 jobs 11:4 15:19 23:14,15 judge 5:6,16,19 31:7 39:16 61:6 62:18 41:2 71:5 73:9 63:23 64:13 103:3,12 judgment 28:5 68:5 82:3,3,20 87:1 89:3 90:1 100:8 julg 85:22 jung 21:11,17 32:6 35:9 53:7 71:23 jurisdiction	jdrslaw.com	64:25 66:7	l	leave 68:24
jeffrey 3:13	=		_	
4:10 69:11,18 70:1,25 jobs 11:4 john 2:4 5:5 judge 5:6,16,19 5:22 6:9 7:16 31:7 39:16 41:2 71:5 73:9 67:25 giuge ment 28:5 67:25 giuge 85:22 juge 21:11,17 32:6 35:9 53:7 71:23 jurisdiction 51:17 jurisdictions 51:17 k 46:24 112:8 katherine 2:6,8 5:23 6:10 keep 115:14 keeps 48:6,6 kevin 3:21 4:15 111:20 112:1,7 113:5 key 113:20 90:6 92:16 know 5:12 labeled 112:17 labor 53:5 lack 35:6,6 lag 72:18 99:3 99:8,8,9,11,13 99:20 100:8 101:5,8,16,21 102:7,9,13 103:3,12 lake 1:17 2:14 2:19,24 70:10 107:4 large 15:5 28:21 58:14 81:18 82:1 103:18 larger 25:13 30:25 43:2 late 12:25 law.com 2:8 law.sits 48:9 lawsuits 53:3 lawsuits 53:3 lengthy 47:18 lessened 58:23 lengthy 47:18 lesser 10:18 letting 83:5 leval 5:13 110:21 libilities 43:18 larger 25:13 30:25 43:2 labeled 112:17 labor 53:5 lack 35:6,6 lag 72:18 99:3 99:8,8,9,11,13 99:20 100:8 locy 7,9,13 103:3,12 locy 7,9,13 103:3,12 locy 63:16 leval 5:13 levar 5:13 110:21 libilities 43:18 larger 25:13 30:25 43:2 labeled 112:17 labor 53:5 lengthy 47:18 lessened 58:23 lengthy 47:18 lesser 10:18 letting 83:5 leval 5:6,6 lag 72:18 99:3 leval 7:18 lesser 10:18 letting 83:5 leval 5:13 102:7,9,13 103:3,12 lake 1:17 2:14 labeled 112:17 labor 53:5 lengthy 47:18 lesser 10:18 letting 83:5 leval 5:23 leval 5:23 leval 5:3 leval 5:23 lesser 10:18 letting 83:5 leval 5:23 leval 5:13 110:21 libilities 43:18 larger 25:13 law.com 2:8 law.com 2:5:13 libilities 43:18 libilities 43:18 libilities 43:18 libilities 43:18	jeffrev 3:13		·	
To:1,25	•			
jobs 11:4 john 2:4 5:5 judge 5:6,16,19 5:22 6:9 7:16 31:7 39:16 41:2 71:5 73:9 113:12 judgment 28:5 67:25 87:1 89:3 90:1 july 85:22 june 21:11,17 32:6 35:9 53:7 71:23 jurisdiction 51:17 jurisdictions 114:15 jurisdictions 115:19 23:14,15 24:16 30:21 49:6,10 51:21 57:11 59:4,19 61:6 62:18 63:23 64:13 65:11 66:24 68:5 82:3,3,20 87:1 89:3 90:1 92:11 93:3 99:20 100:8 101:5,8,16,21 102:7,9,13 103:3,12 lake 1:17 2:14 2:19,24 70:10 107:4 large 15:5 28:21 58:14 81:18 82:1 103:18 110:21 liabilities 43:18 43:20 46:23 100:5 103:18 42:20 46:23 100:1 103:18 110:21 liabilities 43:18 43:20 46:23 100:7 katherine 2:6,8 5:23 6:10 keep 115:14 keeps 48:6,6 kevin 3:21 4:15 111:20 112:1,7 113:5 key 113:20 key 113:20 lawure 66:24 lawure 66:24 lawure 66:24 lawure 66:24 lawure 66:24 lawure 66:24 lawure 55:3 levar 5:13 31:16 level 28:23 30:8 letting 83:5 levar 5:13 31:16 level 28:23 30:8 leting 72:18 99:3 lesser 10:18 letting 83:5 levar 5:13 31:16 level 28:23 30:8 leting 49:3 lesser 10:18 letting 83:5 levar 5:13 31:16 level 28:23 30:8 leting 72:18 99:3 lesser 10:18 letting 83:5 levar 5:13 31:16 level 28:23 30:8 lessen 10:18 letting 83:5 levar 5:13 31:16 level 28:23 30:25 40:13 100:5 102:5 levels 42:12 23:23 46:18 55:3 60:1,3 100:5 102:5 levels 42:12 23:20 46:13 10:21 liabilities 43:18 lawsiots 48:9 liability 9:15 liab	<u>'</u>	know 5:12		'
john 2:4 5:5 judge 5:6,16,19 5:22 6:9 7:16 31:7 39:16 41:2 71:5 73:9 63:23 64:13 113:12 57:11 59:4,19 65:11 66:24 judgment 28:5 67:25 67:25 19une 21:11,17 32:6 35:9 53:7 71:23 113:12 jurisdiction 51:17 jurisdictions 114:15 jurisdictions 114:15 justified 102:18 k 46:24 112:8 katherine 2:6,8 5:23 6:10 keep 115:14 keeps 48:6,6 kevin 3:21 4:15 111:20 112:1,7 113:5 key 113:20 24:16 30:21 49:6,10 51:21 102:7,9,13 103:3,12 42:3,23 46:18 102:7,9,13 100:7,4 100:5 102:5 107:4 100:5 102:5 107:4 110:21 103:18 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 100:5 102:5 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 100:5 102:5 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 13:18 81:18 82:1 103:18 110:21 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 13:18 12:19,24 70:10 100:5 102:5 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 100:5 102:5 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 100:5 102:5 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:10 107:4 12:19,24 70:1	'	15:19 23:14,15		lengthy 47:18
judge 5:6,16,19 49:6,10 51:21 sesser 10:18 5:22 6:9 7:16 57:11 59:4,19 99:8,8,9,11,13 lesser 10:18 31:7 39:16 61:6 62:18 61:6 62:18 101:5,8,16,21 102:7,9,13 31:16 113:12 65:11 66:24 102:7,9,13 31:16 level 28:23 30:8 67:25 87:1 89:3 90:1 103:3,12 level 28:23 30:8 67:25 92:11 93:3 107:4 level 28:23 30:8 july 85:22 92:11 93:3 107:4 levels 42:3,23 46:18 32:6 35:9 53:7 96:17 99:2,7 107:4 large 15:3 60:1,3 100:5 102:5 jurisdiction 110:11 116:7 117:7 119:6 larger 25:13 30:25 43:2 66:4,6,9 justified 102:18 knowledge 49:17 64:25 law.com 2:8 5:23 6:10 keep 115:14 keeps 48:6,6 lawsuit 43:20 46:23 keeps 48:6,6 36:24 38:9 lawsuit 43:20 46:24 42:7	•	· ·	· ·	
5:22 6:9 7:16 57:11 59:4,19 99:20 100:8 letting 83:5 31:7 39:16 61:6 62:18 101:5,8,16,21 31:16 41:2 71:5 73:9 63:23 64:13 102:7,9,13 31:16 113:12 65:11 66:24 102:7,9,13 103:3,12 independent 28:5 68:5 82:3,3,20 87:1 89:3 90:1 21:17 2:14 22:19,24 70:10 100:5 102:5 july 85:22 92:11 93:3 96:17 99:2,7 107:4 110:1 116:7 107:4 110:5 8:14 100:5 102:5 jurisdiction 110:11 116:7 117:7 119:6 110:11 116:7 1103:18 110:21 110:11 116:7 1103:18 110:21 1103:18 110:21 110:11 116:7 103:18 110:21 110:11 116:7 103:18 110:21 110:11 116:7 103:18 110:21 110:11 116:7 103:18 110:21 110:11 116:7 103:18 110:21 110:11 116:7 103:18 110:21 110:14 19 11:15 100:14 19 11:15 100:14 19 11:15 100:14 19 11:15 100:14 19 11:15 101:14 19 11:15 110:14 19 11:15 110:14 19 11:15 110:14 19 11:15	•			
31:7 39:16 41:2 71:5 73:9 113:12		57:11 59:4,19		letting 83:5
113:12	31:7 39:16	61:6 62:18		
113:12 65:11 66:24 103:3,12 lake 1:17 2:14 2:3,23 46:18 55:3 60:1,3 100:5 102:5 large 15:5 28:21 58:14 81:18 82:1 103:18 larger 25:13 30:25 43:2 lake 1:17 2:14 2:19,24 70:10 100:5 102:5 levels 42:12 63:12 64:3 110:21 liabilities 43:18 larger 25:13 30:25 43:2 late 12:25 law 5:6 law.com 2:8 law 60:18 lawsuits 48:9 layer 58:4 layman 84:13 learned 25:7 56:23 58:10 56:23 58:10 layer 58:4 layman 84:13 learned 25:7 56:23 58:10 56:23 58:10 56:23 58:10 layer 58:4 layman 84:13 learned 25:7 56:23 58:10 56:23 58:10 56:23 58:10 100:7 layer 58:4 layman 84:13 learned 25:7 56:23 58:10 56:23 58:23 30:23 56:23 58:23 30:23 56:23 58:23 30:23 56:23 58:23 56:23 58:23 30:23 56:23 58:23 30:23 56:23 58:23 56:23 58:23 56:23 58:23 56:23 58:23 56:23 58:23 56:23 58:23	41:2 71:5 73:9	63:23 64:13		31:16
judgment 28:5 68:5 82:3,3,20 lake 1:17 2:14 42:3,23 46:18 55:3 60:1,3 100:5 102:5 levels 42:19,24 70:10 100:5 102:5 levels 42:12 63:12 64:3 100:5 102:5 levels 42:12 63:12 64:3 100:5 102:5 levels 42:12 63:12 64:3 110:21 100:5 102:5 levels 42:12 63:12 64:3 110:21	113:12	65:11 66:24	, ,	level 28:23 30:8
67:25 87:1 89:3 90:1 92:11 93:3 107:4 55:3 60:1,3 july 85:22 92:11 93:3 95:10,10,11,13 107:4 107:4 100:5 102:5 jurisdiction 96:17 99:2,7 99:10,24 110:2 28:21 58:14 110:21 110:21 jurisdiction 110:11 116:7 117:7 119:6 103:18 110:21 11abilities 43:18 jurisdictions 114:15 37:12 92:4 103:18 43:20 46:23 56:18 65:19 justified 102:18 49:17 64:25 1aw 5:6 1aw.com 2:8 1aw.com 2:8 1ability 9:15 katherine 2:6,8 79:22 91:23 100:7 102:3 13:8,16 22:22 23:20 41:5,11 keeps 48:6,6 48:63 36:24 38:9 109:6 119:9 14:11 45:8 111:20 112:1,7 113:5 13:5 13:8 14:11 45:8 key 113:20 46:17,20 13:5 13:4 13 10:14,19 11:15 12:14 13:20 13:24 13:8,16 22:22 23:20 41:5,11 11:12,23 42:3 13:20 13:24 13:24 13:24 13:24 13:24 13:24 13:24 13:24 13:25	judgment 28:5	68:5 82:3,3,20	,	42:3,23 46:18
july 85:22 92:11 93:3 107:4 large 15:5 levels 42:12 63:12 64:3 110:25 levels 42:12 63:12 64:3 110:21 large 15:5 28:21 58:14 81:18 82:1 110:21 liabilities 43:18 110:21 liabilities 43:18 43:20 46:23 100:5 110:21 liabilities 43:18 43:20 46:23 56:18 65:19 66:4,6,9 liability 9:15 9:23 10:4,12 9:23 10:4,12 10:4,19 11:15 9:23 10:4,12 10:4,19 11:15 13:8,16 22:22 10:4,19 11:15 13:8,16 22:22	67:25	87:1 89:3 90:1		55:3 60:1,3
june 21:11,17 95:10,10,11,13 large 15:5 levels 42:12 71:23 99:10,24 110:2 28:21 58:14 110:21 110:21 jurisdiction 117:7 119:6 knowing 29:24 larger 25:13 10:21 liabilities 43:18 jurisdictions knowing 29:24 larger 25:13 56:18 65:19 justified 102:18 knowledge law 5:6 law.com 2:8 laws 60:18 9:23 10:4,12 9:23 10:4,12 10:14,19 11:15 13:8,16 22:22 13:8,16 22:2	july 85:22	92:11 93:3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100:5 102:5
32:6 35:9 53:7 96:17 99:2,7 28:21 58:14 63:12 64:3 71:23 99:10,24 110:2 81:18 82:1 110:21 jurisdiction 117:7 119:6 knowing 29:24 43:20 46:23 jurisdictions 37:12 92:4 knowledge larger 25:13 56:18 65:19 justified 102:18 49:17 64:25 law 5:6 law.com 2:8 lawility 9:15 k 46:24 112:8 79:22 91:23 lo0:7 laws 60:18 102:3 10:14,19 11:15 katherine 2:6,8 5:23 6:10 100:7 lawsuit 53:3 lawsuit 53:3 lawsuits 48:9 42:7,17 43:12 keep 115:14 34:23 35:23 36:24 38:9 lawyer 66:24 42:7,17 43:12 heeps 48:6,6 kevin 3:21 4:15 90:6 layer 58:4 48:16 51:7 113:5 knows 25:4 layman 84:13 55:7 56:14,21 key 113:20 13:20 13:20 13:20	june 21:11,17	95:10,10,11,13		levels 42:12
71:23 99:10,24 110:2 81:18 82:1 110:21 jurisdiction 117:7 119:6 larger 25:13 43:20 46:23 jurisdictions knowing 29:24 late 12:25 late 12:25 justified 102:18 knowledge law.com 2:8 law.com 2:8 k 46:24 112:8 49:17 64:25 72:16 73:24 laws 60:18 9:23 10:4,12 katherine 2:6,8 79:22 91:23 100:7 lawsuit 53:3 lawsuit 53:3 13:8,16 22:22 keep 115:14 known 12:24 34:23 35:23 lawsuits 48:9 lawyer 66:24 42:7,17 43:12 kevin 3:21 4:15 90:6 knows 25:4 layman 84:13 48:16 51:7 52:16 53:10 55:7 56:14,21 key 113:20 ks 46:17,20 layman 84:13 learned 25:7 56:22 58:10 20	32:6 35:9 53:7	96:17 99:2,7		63:12 64:3
jurisdiction 110:11 116:7 103:18 liabilities 43:18 jurisdictions knowing 29:24 larger 25:13 56:18 65:19 justified 102:18 knowledge law: 5:6 law: 5:6 law: 60:18 labilities 43:18 k 49:17 64:25 law: 5:6 law: 60:18 9:23 10:4,12 9:23 10:4,12 katherine 2:6,8 79:22 91:23 laws 60:18 102:3 13:8,16 22:22 keep 115:14 known 12:24 lawsuit 53:3 lawsuits 48:16 51:7 kevin 3:21 4:15 90:6 knows 25:4 layer 58:4 48:16 51:7 52:16 53:10 55:7 56:14,21 key 113:20 ks 46:17,20 layer 58:4 layman 84:13 55:7 56:14,21	71:23	99:10,24 110:2		110:21
51:17 117:7 119:6 larger 25:13 43:20 46:23 jurisdictions 37:12 92:4 late 12:25 56:18 65:19 justified 102:18 knowledge 49:17 64:25 law 5:6 law 5:6 law 60:18 9:23 10:4,12 k 46:24 112:8 49:17 64:25 100:7 laws 60:18 102:3 10:14,19 11:15 keep 115:14 known 12:24 34:23 35:23 lawsuit 53:3 lawsuit 53:3 43:20 46:23 keep 115:14 known 12:24 34:23 35:23 lawsuit 53:3 40:14,19 11:15 13:8,16 22:22 23:20 41:5,11 41:12,23 42:3 42:7,17 43:12 42:7,17 43:12 44:11 45:8 48:16 51:7 52:16 53:10 55:7 56:14,21 55:7 56:14,21 56:22 58:19 20 key 113:20 ks 46:17,20 layman 84:13 16:23 58:19 20 56:22 58:19 20	jurisdiction	110:11 116:7		liabilities 43:18
Second State Seco		117:7 119:6		43:20 46:23
114:15 37:12 92:4 late 12:25 66:4,6,9 k 49:17 64:25 law 5:6 law.com 2:8 k 46:24 112:8 72:16 73:24 laws 60:18 9:23 10:4,12 katherine 2:6,8 79:22 91:23 laws 60:18 102:3 13:8,16 22:22 keep 115:14 known 12:24 lawsuit 53:3 lawsuits 48:9 42:7,17 43:12 kevin 3:21 4:15 36:24 38:9 lawyer 66:24 42:7,17 43:12 lawsuits 48:9 48:16 51:7 layman 84:13 55:7 56:14,21 layman 84:13 56:22 58:10 20	•			
k 49:17 64:25 law 5:6 9:23 10:4,12 k 46:24 112:8 72:16 73:24 laws 60:18 9:23 10:4,12 katherine 2:6,8 79:22 91:23 laws 60:18 10:14,19 11:15 5:23 6:10 known 12:24 lawsuit 53:3 lawsuits 48:9 keeps 48:6,6 36:24 38:9 lawyer 66:24 42:7,17 43:12 kevin 3:21 4:15 90:6 layer 58:4 48:16 51:7 kinows 25:4 layman 84:13 55:7 56:14,21 key 113:20 ks 46:17,20 layman 84:13 56:22 58:10 20				' '
k 49:17 64:25 law.com 2:8 k 46:24 112:8 72:16 73:24 laws 60:18 10:14,19 11:15 katherine 2:6,8 100:7 laws 60:18 13:8,16 22:22 keep 115:14 known 12:24 lawsuit 53:3 41:12,23 42:3 keeps 48:6,6 lawsuits 48:9 42:7,17 43:12 42:7,17 43:12 kevin 3:21 4:15 36:24 38:9 lawer 66:24 48:16 51:7 111:20 112:1,7 knows 25:4 layman 84:13 52:16 53:10 key 113:20 ks 46:17,20 46:17,20 56:22 58:19 20	justified 102:18			
k 46:24 112:8 72:16 73:24 katherine 2:6,8 79:22 91:23 5:23 6:10 100:7 keep 115:14 known 12:24 keeps 48:6,6 34:23 35:23 111:20 112:1,7 36:24 38:9 113:5 90:6 key 113:20 ks 46:17,20 laws 60:18 10:14,19 11:15 13:8,16 22:22 23:20 41:5,11 14:12,23 42:3 42:7,17 43:12 44:11 45:8 48:16 51:7 52:16 53:10 55:7 56:14,21 56:22 58:10 20	k			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
katherine 2:6,8 79:22 91:23 100:7 5:23 6:10 known 12:24 keep 115:14 34:23 35:23 lawsuit 53:3 kevin 3:21 4:15 36:24 38:9 lawsuits 48:9 111:20 112:1,7 90:6 layer 58:4 key 113:20 ks 46:17,20	k 46:24 112:8			'
5:23 6:10 keep 115:14 keeps 48:6,6 kevin 3:21 4:15 111:20 112:1,7 113:5 key 113:20 100:7				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
keep 115:14 known 12:24 lawsuits 48:9 keeps 48:6,6 lawsuits 48:9 kevin 3:21 4:15 36:24 38:9 lawsuits 48:9 111:20 112:1,7 knows 25:4 layer 58:4 key 113:5 ks 46:17,20 layman 84:13 learned 25:7 56:22 58:10 20	,		lawsuit 53:3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
keeps 48:6,6 34:23 35:23 lawyer 66:24 42:7,17 43:12 kevin 3:21 4:15 36:24 38:9 119:9 44:11 45:8 111:20 112:1,7 knows 25:4 layer 58:4 52:16 53:10 key 113:20 ks 46:17,20 learned 25:7 55:7 56:14,21			lawsuits 48:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
kevin 3:21 4:15 111:20 112:1,7 90:6 knows 25:4 key 113:20 36:24 38:9 119:9 90:6 48:16 51:7 13:5 52:16 53:10 13:0 55:7 56:14,21 14:11 45:8 52:16 53:10 55:7 56:14,21 56:22 58:10 20	_		lawyer 66:24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
111:20 112:1,7 113:5 key 113:20 knows 25:4 ks 46:17,20 layer 58:4 layman 84:13 learned 25:7 52:16 53:10 55:7 56:14,21 56:22 58:10 20	_ ′			
113:5 knows 25:4 layman 84:13 52:16 53:10 55:7 56:14,21 56:22 58:10 20	111:20 112:1,7		layer 58:4	
Key 113:20	· ·		layman 84:13	
56.77 58.10 70	key 113:20	ks 46:17,20	learned 25:7	
KIIIU 22.10 .30.4	kind 22:16 58:4		learning 28:18	56:22 58:19,20
58:20 59:6 90:9 64:1 65:2,5	58:20 59:6		90:9	64:1 65:2,5

[liability - mark]

	T	T	I
66:19,23 81:15	97:12 98:5,16	29:1,7 46:1,15	48:18,21
81:23 85:17	99:3 102:9,11	47:7 62:13	maintain 42:2
113:18 114:1	102:12,13	67:2 70:8	44:1
liable 44:5	linearly 61:1	84:12 98:22	maintaining
life 88:17,18,18	lines 12:19	looking 22:17	41:15
lift 83:1 92:15	17:13 18:1	28:10 29:8,14	major 32:21
light 87:3	48:25 50:15	29:18 36:16	64:4
lights 82:13	79:12 80:22,22	46:13 62:2	majority 38:20
92:25	94:3 97:23	85:20,22	make 6:4 18:9
likelihood	98:2	105:21	19:1,22 27:20
27:10 28:6	link 120:2	looks 86:12	36:22 42:1
115:15,22	list 55:2 62:8,9	lose 66:22	50:25 51:5,7
116:7,10	76:13 85:16	loss 26:7,10	53:15,17,24
likely 11:18	86:24 87:5	67:23 68:5	72:12 93:11
82:5 85:23	88:16 97:20	losses 41:14	97:24 103:2
87:25 101:14	listed 55:17	68:5	105:23 107:18
104:23 108:18	60:5 61:17	lost 27:18	112:21 115:11
limit 18:21	76:9 77:3	lot 45:24 61:7	makes 28:5
57:16 58:15	listing 54:21	65:5 99:18	54:3 103:25
103:22	litigation 44:7	104:3,11,13	making 36:23
limitations	44:9,25 46:6,6	lots 48:6	67:25 104:11
44:1	46:8 55:2,17	lower 102:4	104:12
limited 77:21	55:18,20,22	lowering 102:2	manage 43:17
87:10 93:17	66:21	m	management
97:6	little 24:18	m 1:14 8:7 40:9	41:9 94:20
limiting 89:22	32:14 33:15	40:10	managing
limits 58:11	56:11 59:25	made 18:23	41:16
line 8:19 15:15	63:20 65:16	46:12 47:15	march 47:16
22:15,20 51:6	88:24 119:4	50:16 53:18	79:25
51:24 52:5,6	long 21:4,12	54:5,10 66:12	mariya 3:8 4:5
52:11 59:6	90:24 101:6	67:21 97:18	39:17 40:1,9
73:22,23 74:1	longer 29:21	109:14 110:10	68:20
80:4 87:8,8	44:20 83:3	magnitude	mark 47:9
89:14 90:4,4	look 15:10,14	10:10 30:25	74:16 78:20
91:19 94:5	17:23 28:24	37:1 42:24	

[marked - morning]

marked 47:11	68:14,15,22	memory 98:23	misspoke 86:13
47:23 74:17,18	70:22 73:8,9	99:6,8,8	misstated
78:21,22	73:11 74:20,21	mention 28:8	48:17
markedly 90:6	78:24,25 81:9	mentioned 15:5	misstating 99:2
markers 61:17	81:13 94:10	15:24,25 26:7	mitigate 43:17
market 42:22	96:8 97:3	65:9 99:7	mitigation
64:8	100:14,21	mentions 71:24	43:21,23,24
massive 65:1	109:5,6,7	methodology	mm 29:6 32:13
match 102:6	115:3,4 116:23	62:13	moment 17:8
material 9:20	117:14 118:4,7	michael 2:3 5:6	21:2 22:14
17:3 113:19	120:9,10	middle 70:2	47:6 49:20
matter 5:9 19:5	mcdowell's	112:8	98:12 120:12
52:12 83:11	99:3	mikes 6:4 7:9	money 30:18
matters 7:12	mci 12:9,16	million 9:22	65:5 104:11,13
maximize	15:24 16:8	10:5,6,13,23,24	months 13:2
101:25	39:3 73:17	11:2 23:21	19:9 21:10,11
mccoy 3:3 4:3	mean 19:7	30:23 42:6,10	35:9 90:8
7:17,18,20,24	22:24 27:8	42:10,11 51:8	91:10,13,15,19
8:3,5,7,8 9:6,8	59:16 63:7	52:1 55:6 57:5	91:24 104:24
14:18,19 22:11	66:2 77:18,21	57:19 59:14,17	moore 2:18
32:3 34:4	85:20 102:8	59:20,22 64:18	3:18 6:22,22
39:12,13 50:23	110:25	67:14 80:6	22:4,5 37:16
mccoy's 9:2	means 18:3	81:15,17,23,24	50:6,7 73:2,4
mcdowell 2:6,6	58:7 59:18	mind 20:25	106:12,13,19
3:4,6,9,10,14	86:24 87:4	21:24 86:25	106:23 107:24
5:22,23 6:6,9	meant 97:19	92:2	108:4,24
6:10 7:14,16	measurable	minimize 72:18	111:14,16
7:22,23 8:2 9:2	12:25 34:23	minute 45:25	115:1,2 117:20
9:7,8 14:18	mechanics	67:3 93:8	117:21 119:4
31:8 33:25	109:17	minutes 101:1	119:25 120:6
34:1,3 37:25	mechanisms	misalign 94:20	morning 5:22
38:2,5 39:14	64:6	misforecast	6:9 7:3,4 8:3,4
39:16,22 40:4	meet 77:5	17:1,2 38:7,13	9:12 14:25
45:12 49:25	meeting 19:21	missing 27:25	15:1 40:5,6
54:15,18 55:24			41:1 45:18,19

[morning - obtain]

50:13,14 63:5	n	needs 16:21	notifies 53:21
63:6 69:22,23	n	17:6,6 19:12	november
71:5 73:12,13	n 2:1 3:1 5:1	19:16 59:1	70:16 107:11
101:1 108:8	40:10 70:3	66:8 104:8	112:17
113:11	112:8,8	negate 19:24	number 5:9
motes 86:14	nailed 51:10,11	neglect 100:14	15:16 16:2
motion 119:18	name 5:3 8:5	neglected 68:16	24:3,3 32:7
mountain 1:3	40:7 60:13	negligence	33:2 48:14
2:5 5:10,21,24	69:25 70:1	25:22 110:4	52:2 59:10
6:1,11,13 9:13	106:24 107:1,3	negligent	64:18 77:8
20:13,17,20	112:6,7,7	109:25 114:19	78:20 83:9
27:7 41:3	121:16	net 28:23 32:24	86:12 88:20
62:14 71:6	named 60:7	33:1,4,17	89:15 95:10
97:16 108:9,14	narrow 91:13 nature 110:4	nevada 62:4	numbers 18:2
108:17 113:17	118:11	never 20:25	21:5 23:11,24
114:7 117:24		21:24 41:9	35:13 51:1,5,6
move 48:23	nearly 41:8 necessarily	nevertheless	51:9,10,22
49:22 58:22	38:13 59:1	72:16	numeral 76:25
70:19 107:24	61:4 62:19	new 11:16	77:4
113:2	necessary	36:19,20 66:3	numerous
moving 48:4	41:12,15 43:18	89:1,3,4	71:18
mrg 2:8	118:23	110:22	0
mrkd 4:2	need 19:16 36:1	nice 59:7	o 5:1 8:7 40:10
mt 1:12 120:16	37:9 43:7	non 57:18	o0o 1:2 5:2
multifactor	51:15 62:5	norm 90:18	oakland 105:14
61:3,15	72:5,11 81:9	normal 11:16	object 118:14
multiple 20:9	86:1 88:19	12:11 34:10	objected 81:17
28:20 34:16	101:20 103:8	42:20 72:13	81:25
44:18 53:4	104:6 109:24	93:5,13,21	objection 37:16
56:17 58:12,15	110:6 116:21	105:8	49:25 70:22
multiyear	118:18 119:1,2	normally 59:13	100:17 118:5
34:21	needed 20:22	note 96:17	objective 61:17
mutual 58:5	20:23 54:12	notes 121:12	obtain 12:25
	60:11 71:12	notice 48:8	43:25 46:14
	109:20	119:14	

[obtaining - ordinary]

			1
obtaining 25:4	31:6,10,20	25:2,8,10,16	operations 11:6
46:14	33:24 37:18	26:21,24 28:4	21:9 32:9
obviously 29:4	38:1 39:8,11	28:8,16 30:11	33:18 71:23
occasionally	39:14,18,21	31:1,5,12,23	opinion 26:12
58:15	45:14 50:1,6,9	32:4 33:23	103:1,16
occupation	54:15 56:1,3,5	41:1 45:24	opportunity
106:25	63:2 68:12,19	48:23 50:21	24:8 27:17
occurred 53:1	68:23 69:4,9	51:5,12,21,24	31:8 37:19
53:4,14 116:3	69:12,15 70:23	52:5 53:6,9,23	45:2 58:21,23
occurrence	73:2,5,8 74:17	54:9,11 62:24	71:6 82:6
99:13	78:21 81:8	63:1 65:24	oppose 113:23
occurs 54:1,2	97:5 100:13,17	67:2,13 77:7	opposed 93:3
ocs 4:13 13:10	100:22 106:3,5	85:25 92:2,14	opposing
108:13,16	106:8,12,15,18	92:18,19 93:12	115:17,20
110:11 117:23	108:1 109:1,2	94:7 99:12	opposite
119:9	109:4,6,8	101:12 104:6,7	102:23
ocs's 108:5,9	110:16 111:3,6	104:14 105:12	option 102:15
offer 9:2 31:8	111:14,17,21	105:18 110:14	102:22
34:8,13 44:21	111:24 113:4	110:15 113:2	oral 121:15
68:16 100:14	114:24 115:1,3	119:23,24	order 1:4 5:10
112:12	115:5 116:15	old 98:25	14:3 16:1,24
offered 44:8,14	116:19 117:11	once 36:18	16:25 17:15
105:20	117:16,20	117:8	22:22 27:5,14
offers 18:2	118:4,9 119:13	ones 96:19	27:19 78:15
55:13	119:21 120:4,8	ongoing 11:16	84:11 85:7,21
office 2:13,17	120:11	11:25	85:24 94:1
2:18 6:18,20	offset 30:16	online 28:22	95:11,14,19
6:23,23 13:3	oh 16:20 18:4	open 14:6 58:1	96:21 98:15
22:5 50:7	33:17	opened 102:22	104:16 108:10
106:13	okay 6:9 7:14	operates 20:7	110:6 111:9
officer 2:3 3:19	8:7 16:15	101:21	113:24 114:4
5:17,20 6:8,14	17:19 18:5,7	operating 11:8	orders 82:24
6:20 7:1,5,18	18:19 20:25	11:11 41:15,25	89:7 95:1
7:21 9:4 14:21	22:13 23:11,18	72:6	ordinary 72:14
22:3,7 24:11	24:5,7,10,17		

[oregon - percent]

orogon 2.7.44.4	44:5 46:3 48:4	marga 27.2	83:14 92:4
oregon 2:7 44:4 53:4		parse 27:2	
	52:17 53:10,11	part 15:2 17:13	patricia 2:12
outbalance	53:20,21 56:15	20:2 25:13	6:16
66:7	56:22,24 57:9	30:16 34:10	patrick 2:13
outcome	57:12 60:1,9	41:15 46:1,13	6:16
115:23	60:24 61:1,3	47:24 60:13	pay 24:22
outcomes 46:6	114:13,18	62:11 76:10,16	27:21 46:12
46:6,8	pacificorp's	80:5 105:7,9	51:20 53:13
outlined 108:13	24:15 28:4	107:6 110:2	57:11,13,17
110:12	61:6 114:15	participants	64:7 65:4
outside 44:25	pacificorp.com	59:23	66:15 67:16
61:22 110:24	2:10	participate	82:11
overall 30:8	page 3:2 8:19	62:6	payers 65:1
60:1 63:9	22:11 32:2	participated	payment 41:6
overarching	47:23 48:7,8	70:12	53:15 54:3
22:17	50:18,18 56:19	participates	pays 52:16,17
overlap 61:19	60:5 67:3	61:4	53:10,11 56:15
overlapping	73:20 75:4,19	participating	pc 2:6
35:3	75:24 76:1,24	59:10	pending 13:14
oversees 25:3	77:23 79:11,20	particular	14:7 91:5,9,12
oversimplified	80:4,18 83:15	34:16 77:25	91:15 95:21
17:16	83:16 84:18,20	89:14 103:15	96:13
overview 55:3	85:16 86:18	parties 19:3	pennsylvania
own 31:15	87:6 89:13	37:19 45:2	89:16
60:12 61:23	90:3 94:3 98:1	52:17 56:23	pensions 95:13
p	pages 47:17,24	101:17 116:21	96:20
p 2:1,1 5:1	49:23 55:2	120:14	people 5:4 47:6
p.c. 2:23	paid 8:22 51:16	party 41:14	83:7,7 92:12
I —	51:19 52:18	57:4	92:13 101:17
p.m. 120:16	57:14	pass 33:11 47:4	perceive 46:9
pacific 62:4,14	paragraph	passed 47:7,9	61:9 66:15
63:17,19 65:7	78:3,6	past 16:18	percent 10:7
pacificorp 2:10	pardon 119:4	17:20 18:17	11:7,11 15:7
8:10 20:8	parent 114:13	41:19 59:21	43:11 48:24
26:17 27:7	_	62:19 79:17	49:3,6,8,8,10
28:16 29:21			

[percent - precedent]

61:7 71:22,23	personnel	113:10 119:2	positions 19:17
72:1,2 103:23	20:21,22 35:6	plus 34:23	positions 19.17 possibility
103:24	perspective	point 5:14 15:8	23:10 42:21
	61:13 118:15	_	
percentage		23:15 30:13,25	possible 62:12
15:20	petition 96:1	32:21 36:24	62:21 115:23
percentages	96:15	65:16 81:23	post 117:2,9,18
52:14	pge 66:2	103:19 104:2,3	117:21,23,24
perception	pgrecu 2:16	104:3,7 107:24	118:2,5,13,16
65:4 66:19	phillip 2:22 7:4	pointed 82:16	119:14
performance	phrase 18:6	points 10:16	potential 12:14
71:21	picking 7:9	32:15 72:1,2,2	36:9 111:8
period 4:12	piece 59:16	72:4	potentially
15:18 19:1,2,3	110:7	policies 22:25	27:24 117:1
22:23,25 23:4	pieces 59:14	23:3 24:20,24	pounds 105:14
23:8,25 27:21	place 14:8	42:5,14 44:13	power 1:3 2:5
29:17 34:23,25	38:20 43:20	49:4,5 52:13	5:10,21,24 6:1
35:10,19,25	60:3 121:10	55:21 56:20	6:11,13 9:13
37:4,6,10,12	plaintiff 54:3	60:23 61:24	27:7 28:23
38:12,19 47:15	57:7	68:9	32:24 33:1,5
49:1 51:2	plaintiffs 53:19	policy 25:13,13	33:17 41:3
53:22 82:19	plan 14:5 34:21	29:5 51:2,17	62:4,4,14,14
85:21 90:15	planned 45:5	51:18 58:8	97:16 108:9,14
114:2 119:8	plans 43:21,24	60:15 110:22	108:17 117:24
periods 21:18	58:14,25	114:2	power's 20:14
permissible	plant 10:23	portion 13:7	20:17,20 71:7
113:16	play 93:22	22:15 109:25	113:17 114:8
permission	playing 105:14	110:1	powerdale
27:12 81:6	please 9:11	portland 2:7	10:21 78:2
115:14	16:16 40:7,25	posed 112:24	79:8 97:11
permit 12:14	69:24 71:4	position 11:22	practice 67:22
permitted	73:21 75:21	12:6 28:4 74:6	83:6 113:16
88:11	79:12 84:15	85:2 87:15	pre 90:20 95:16
personal 25:6	98:1,4 99:2	89:16 90:14,25	precedent
personally	106:24,25	105:3 108:5	89:21
111:1	108:7 112:6	118:11	

[precedents - proceeds]

1 1	01.04.100.10	20.21.45.14	10.20
precedents	81:24 108:12	39:21 45:14	prior 10:20
11:13	108:15 110:22	50:1,6,9 54:15	15:25 55:22
predicted	113:19 114:2	56:1,3,5 63:2	64:5 82:17
42:25	114:16	68:12,19,23	91:24 95:19
preference	preparation	69:4,9,12,15	96:1
31:21 37:21	18:25 35:17	70:23 73:2,5,8	probably 15:11
prefiled 107:25	prepare 17:14	74:17 78:21	15:21 24:3
112:24	19:6,9,10 21:4	81:8 97:5	47:24 64:10
prefiling 90:19	21:7,9,15 38:8	100:13,17,22	88:23 94:8
prehearing	92:14 107:10	106:3,5,8,12,15	101:10
108:13 116:24	117:9	106:18 108:1	problem 71:14
116:25 118:6	prepared 8:11	108:25 109:2,4	119:5 120:1
118:10	9:9 40:14,23	109:6,8 110:16	problematic
preliminary	70:15 108:4	111:3,6,14,17	120:3
7:12	113:7	111:21,24	procedural
premium 9:15	prepares 20:2	113:4 114:24	49:14
10:15 11:9	preparing 19:7	115:1,3,5	proceed 9:11
12:24 13:8,16	19:25 20:12	116:15,19	40:25 71:4
27:22 42:20	21:8 28:17	117:11,16,20	108:7 113:10
44:13,16 45:9	35:11,14 37:9	118:4,9 119:13	proceeding
46:7 49:4 64:3	75:12	119:21 120:4,8	5:17 8:12
64:22 65:1	present 79:22	120:11	12:15 13:7,14
81:16 85:17	presenting 85:1	pretty 36:19	13:15,24 14:2
91:17	preserve 27:15	64:15 87:21	28:11,12 33:5
premiums 8:22	53:22	91:19 101:6	40:15 56:9
10:2,5,12,19	president 40:13	previous 10:17	67:11 83:21
11:16,18 19:15	presiding 2:3	89:6 105:21	85:10,12,13
22:23 23:5	3:19 5:16,20	previously	86:21 88:2
26:20,25 28:18	6:8,14,20 7:1,5	16:11 74:10	112:13
28:25 36:19,20	7:18,21 9:4	prices 46:15	proceedings
38:17,19 43:10	14:21 22:3,7	pricing 46:11	47:25 79:24
44:8 51:16	24:11 31:6,10	primarily	99:14 109:19
55:8,9 62:20	31:20 33:24	44:16	121:9,11,15
63:8,25 64:1	37:18 38:1	principal	proceeds 38:6
66:3 67:16,19	39:8,11,14,18	112:10	38:11

[process - questions]

process 13:2	projection	prudence 13:15	q
20:3 21:8 25:3	38:11	13:25 38:21	_
34:15 35:22	projects 19:12	44:23 45:3	qs 46:17,20
37:7,12 54:1	19:18 21:16	83:18 85:2	qualify 17:5
58:1,2 66:13	35:23	86:2,10,19	79:14
77:10,15,18,20	prolonged 43:3	prudent 41:22	quantify
82:5 87:24,25	proof 108:18	82:8 83:10	108:14
90:20,24 91:21	proper 49:14	85:17	quarterly 4:12
91:23 92:1	114:12,14	prudently	47:15
93:5,13,21	property 56:23	13:22	question 16:15
94:18,19 100:1	57:4 64:19	prussell 2:25	18:10,12 24:2
101:16,16	66:14	psc 115:13	24:6,25 29:3
105:8	proposal 88:3	pschmid 2:15	31:8 34:9,13
processes 34:10	109:14	public 1:1,10	35:12,15 36:3
37:8	propose 18:14	2:2,11 6:14,18	38:3 49:16
product 58:24	19:1 117:7	11:14 70:6	50:15,23 51:9
61:13	proposes 14:8	99:14 113:15	53:17 75:9,16
products 56:13	proposing 89:1	publicity 76:2	76:11 77:16,22 81:10 103:5
professional	prospective	pull 6:4 61:8,10	105:2,18
121:8	94:19	purchase 55:22	103.2,18
profile 26:6	protects 41:13	57:2 60:3,20	110:8 111:4
63:10	provide 13:25	62:7 65:18,22	116:6
profits 101:25	17:21 21:19,21	67:18	question's
prohibited	22:16 46:19	purchased	48:17
27:17	112:24 117:19	55:21 60:9,12	questioning
prohibition	118:13,22	65:14	97:12 98:17
29:4	provided 36:2	purchases 58:4	questions 14:20
prohibitions	74:13,14 78:11	purchasing	22:1,5,8 23:18
12:12	78:19 108:20	59:17	25:20 31:3,11
project 7:10	provides 39:4	purpose 101:8	31:17 32:2
92:3,3,4	103:13 108:8	purposes 35:17	33:22 34:7
projected 8:21	119:25	74:1 85:25	36:6,8,13
9:25 16:18	providing	pushed 102:5	37:15,17,22,23
19:18 81:16	80:13 101:8	put 11:4 29:3	37:24 38:3
		61:18 88:17	39:3 45:13
			57.5 15.15

[questions - reask]

		T	
49:21 50:7	12:8,14,17,21	98:15,21 99:5	72:21 73:24
52:5,10,23	13:1,13,23	102:1,3,15,17	74:9 80:24
54:14 56:10	14:5,14 15:3	102:22 103:14	81:3 82:7,8,11
62:24 68:10	17:10,12,15,20	103:24 104:9	83:10,12 85:23
72:24,24 73:6	17:22 18:9,14	104:17,20	88:1 90:7 91:1
75:6 85:18	18:22,23,25	114:8 116:1,13	91:18 95:6,24
87:2,2 89:14	19:6,8,12,25	ratemaking	98:7,10 101:21
94:13 97:10,22	20:2,6,12,14	12:12 27:17	101:24 102:5
98:13 99:3	21:4,7,8,15,19	29:4 39:5,5	108:19
100:11,12,23	27:24 28:12,14	71:13 72:12,13	rather 12:8
101:4 106:2,4	28:17,21 29:17	72:15 73:16,16	44:15 60:18
107:21 108:25	29:22 30:2,6	76:8 77:10,15	64:7 77:15
109:3,5,7,10	30:16 33:5	77:18,20 87:9	98:23 118:1
110:14 111:2	34:10,16,19,21	93:5,13,21	ratings 44:2
112:23 114:23	34:22 35:5,7	94:17,18 105:8	read 15:12 52:9
114:25 115:2,4	35:11,14,18	113:14,15	76:21 78:5
115:8 116:16	36:16,18 37:9	ratepayer	81:12 89:13
116:18 117:6	38:8,16,24	92:25	97:24 98:4
quite 19:20	41:7 44:1 45:5	ratepayers	103:8 118:20
25:4 47:18	71:16,17,22	26:17 82:11	reading 26:3
120:8	72:7,8 77:19	83:20 108:21	reads 77:8
quote 80:23	77:21 79:17,23	109:21 110:5	ready 39:22
quotes 55:13	79:24 80:7,10	rates 9:16 10:7	49:15 64:10
r	80:16 81:3,14	12:4,23 13:1	69:6
r 2:1 5:1 40:9	81:22 82:16,17	14:10 15:6	reaffirm
70:2	82:19,20,22	18:19 19:23	116:17
rackner 2:6	84:3,8,21 85:3	20:1,17,21	real 60:19
raiders 105:15	85:4,12 86:2,9	23:5,22 25:22	reality 99:19
raise 87:2	86:20 87:18,22	26:15 27:24	realize 92:11
raised 44:23	87:24 88:5,12	28:23 30:13,17	105:10
117:5	89:10,18 90:8	33:9,19 41:7	really 24:4 25:1
raises 117:3	90:20 91:18,21	41:19,21 42:6	57:1 60:9,10
ramble 83:5	92:12 94:25	42:16 46:7	61:14
rate 9:17,21	95:6,12,16,20	55:5 59:4	reask 103:4
10:8 11:25	95:21 96:16	71:19 72:10,17	
10.0 11.23			

[reason - regulating]

reason 12:4	95:18,21 97:12	81:12 97:25	97:7 100:11
110:2	98:16 99:1,7	105:23 106:25	reduce 71:25
reasonable	recalling 63:21	110:10 112:6	reduced 11:25
25:22 26:14	receipt 120:5	113:25 121:14	refer 101:7
41:12 46:15	receive 33:4,8	recover 12:3	reference 50:25
59:4 67:24	66:13	27:15 30:18	51:5,7 99:10
71:19 72:10,21	receives 104:16	33:4 67:10	referenced
82:7,11 83:10	recent 8:23	99:4 104:17	73:16
87:11 88:1	26:22 42:8	recoverable	referral 78:2
102:1,5 109:21	44:4 64:3,13	13:6 108:19	referred 38:5
114:10	65:11 96:19	114:7,16	54:6 75:17
reasonableness	recently 34:20	recovered	76:6 78:2 79:9
86:10	recess 69:1,2,3	12:21 29:23	82:15
reasonably	reckless 114:19	33:11 83:20	referring 15:17
57:8 87:21,22	recognized	110:5 116:1,12	82:16 96:4
87:23 88:11	12:11	116:12	refers 63:16
reasoning	recognizes	recovery 12:7	reflect 42:19
118:21	12:11 113:12	12:14,25 13:14	65:19
reasons 29:5	recognizing	13:21 27:10,18	reflected 14:10
45:6 79:15	114:3	28:6,9 29:13	42:6
rebuttal 4:3,4	recollection	30:2 38:24	reflects 33:20
8:14 9:3,5	91:8	45:4 84:21	42:8
15:10,14 17:25	recommend	85:2,8,11	refund 11:2
18:8,15 22:12	22:20	86:21 87:9,12	regard 82:14
32:3 36:21,23	recommendat	89:24 100:8	95:13
40:17 48:25	22:16 108:9	114:5 115:15	regarding 1:4
50:16,19,20,22	111:7	115:23 116:7	5:11 66:12
50:25 52:6	recommended	116:11	71:21 98:20
68:17,20	71:15	recross 39:9	108:9 119:14
recall 36:6,12	recommending	56:1 100:13	regards 115:22
55:1 74:4	110:12 115:12	redirect 3:6,10	registered
75:13 76:9	recommends	3:15 31:9,18	121:8
80:25 83:22	71:10 108:16	31:22 33:25	regulating
87:13 90:12	record 8:6 40:8	34:2 37:17,21	99:14
94:14,21 95:14	47:14 69:5,25	54:16,17 97:5	

[regulatory - result]

regulatory 20:3	rely 98:23	reporting 64:4	requirements
20:10,22 34:11	remain 11:18	represent	19:19,22
65:9 72:18	14:7,8	47:19 76:1	requires 13:2
82:21 87:11	remains 52:6	78:1	13:12
89:23 99:3,9	remedy 12:18	representation	requiring
99:10,13,20	remember	47:20	88:24
100:8 101:5,8	25:11 62:11	represented	reserve 84:12
101:16,21	96:19	48:14	resetting 28:22
102:7,9,13	renders 73:25	representing	resigned 5:13
103:3,12	renewal 8:23	6:23	respect 25:22
113:25	10:1 23:4,8,25	request 18:22	27:4 111:7
regurgitate	42:8,9 44:10	18:23 39:9	112:20 116:6
101:11	44:12 49:5	49:14 71:17	respectfully
reimbursement	51:1	75:2,5,9,22,23	45:6
53:12 54:4	renewals 42:4	76:22 81:18,25	respond 24:4
94:18	renewed 38:18	100:9 111:8	34:12 38:7
reimburses	57:23,25	113:12,24	119:1,11
57:14	repeat 6:6	115:18,25	response 4:6
rejected 89:7,8	118:19 119:2	119:21	37:3 75:1,5
relate 32:2 42:7	rephrase 16:15	requested	77:23 78:4,9
50:16 109:17	18:4 103:11	12:23 75:11	83:9 97:17
related 46:19	replies 118:17	81:15	105:22
46:23 52:19	reply 118:1	requesting	responses
56:24 57:10	report 11:6	35:22 68:25	66:11 118:6
66:3 96:20	32:10 78:15	81:22 102:22	responsibilities
108:11 110:3	reported 1:25	requests 4:6	46:1 49:17
relates 52:25	32:5	77:1 98:14,21	responsibility
relating 86:19	reporter 25:17	100:13 113:12	46:13 61:7
relation 118:23	32:19 47:1	118:14	rest 61:2
relevant 55:4	94:9 103:8	required 12:7	restate 75:21
114:11	119:20,23	17:14	81:9 103:7
relied 93:7	121:7,7,8	requirement	restrictive
relief 17:13	reporter's	8:9 13:17,19	60:18
22:18	121:2	89:1	result 52:19

[resulted - scheduled]

	T	I	I
resulted 13:9	reviewing	rmp 4:3,4,6,8	22:7,8,10 36:3
53:6 67:19	55:17	16:1 74:16,18	50:9,10,12
resulting 11:3	rewrite 62:17	78:20,22 79:21	54:13 56:3,4,9
11:25 44:17	right 15:7 17:5	100:19	73:5,6 109:4
results 11:5	20:15,18 23:16	rmp's 4:6	111:17,19,25
21:9,17 32:9	23:17,23 26:1	104:15 105:19	112:4 113:2,7
33:17 51:24	26:25 27:1,14	robert 2:18	114:22 118:9
71:23 102:1,4	27:15 29:2	6:22	118:10
retreatment	30:5,11,18	robust 43:24	S
45:3	32:7 33:11	rocky 1:3 2:5	s 2:1 3:13 4:1
retroactive	36:11 39:11	5:10,21,24 6:1	4:10 5:1 8:7
12:12 27:16	46:7 47:8	6:11,13 9:13	69:11,18 70:1
39:5 72:12	49:12 51:3,22	20:13,17,20	70:2 112:8
73:15 76:8	52:21 53:2,7	27:7 41:3	saba 74:23
87:8	54:7 55:15	62:14 71:6	salt 1:17 2:14
return 10:16	66:17 67:1,23	97:15 108:9,13	2:19,24 70:10
11:8,12 32:5	68:23 84:12	108:17 113:17	107:4
32:14 71:21,22	86:15 88:15,23	114:7 117:24	salter 48:5
72:8 103:24	94:12 96:10,12	roe 32:18 33:16	san 63:24
reveal 102:3	101:11 104:20	33:20	satisfy 13:17
revenue 8:9	112:20 117:11	role 47:3 93:22	sausiy 13.17
11:8,11,24	120:8,11,13	roman 76:25	saw 02.0 saying 20:25
13:19 20:21	rise 74:2 80:24	77:4,8	26:11 29:11
102:10 103:22	98:7	room 1:16 7:8	30:12
revenues 21:6	rising 72:17	roughly 45:22	says 26:12 85:8
33:8,21 72:7	98:8,10	63:22	scale 42:17
72:20 102:16	risk 26:6 41:8	routine 95:3	scales 102:23
102:21	41:25 43:6,6	routinely 32:12	scarsella 2:9
review 35:20	43:17 44:17,19	94:23	5:25,25 6:12
46:25 55:1	46:10 52:13,14	rpr 1:25 121:22	6:12
76:17 92:13	63:9 65:6	rule 76:7	scattered 64:20
118:16	94:17	rules 97:19	schedule
reviewed 11:5	risks 41:16	runs 94:17	116:24 120:3
46:22 75:12,14	rmoore 2:20	russell 2:22,23	scheduled
75:15 107:7		3:5,10,22 7:3,4	119:7
			117.1

[schmid - simms]

	1		1
schmid 2:12	79:18 80:1,11	sentence 8:20	sharing 38:21
3:4,9,14,15	84:23 86:6,11	23:19 89:13	108:21 109:21
6:16,16 14:21	89:19 98:7,10	90:4 98:4	111:8
14:22,24 21:1	99:17	separate	sheets 98:22
21:3 22:1,3	seeing 62:11	103:16	shelley 3:3 4:3
34:5 35:12	seek 12:7 19:2	september 53:1	7:17,24 8:7 9:5
38:5,22 39:10	27:18 53:12	series 97:9	short 68:25
45:14,15,17	54:4	98:13	82:19 117:9
47:2,13,22	seeking 22:19	serves 46:3	shortfall 72:1
49:21 50:4	23:7 30:2,22	service 1:1 2:2	shorthand
55:1 56:1,2	52:3 59:19	services 2:17	121:6,12
69:6,8,10,16,17	67:10 84:11	6:21,24 13:4	shortly 7:6
69:21 70:11,19	seeks 9:14	serving 5:14	28:18
71:1 72:23	10:19 11:10	set 4:6 9:16	showed 11:6
97:5,6,8 106:8	13:20 14:3	20:18 23:18	shown 13:5
106:10 109:2,3	16:21 38:24	30:12 41:6	14:13 46:20
114:24,25	41:3 42:15	42:16 43:20	shrunk 59:15
117:16,17	45:1,4 86:21	55:4,9 61:23	shylo 48:5
scope 36:5	seem 118:12	74:10 81:3	sic 117:23,24
44:25 46:21	seemed 82:21	95:5,23 97:15	side 56:18
se 115:19	seems 28:14	101:21,24	sides 102:9,13
seated 5:5	90:23	116:2 121:10	sierra 62:4
second 80:5	seen 42:23	sets 58:8	signature
secondary	selected 47:17	settlement	121:22
109:14	49:23	57:10	significant
section 46:24	selections 4:11	seven 95:2	83:18 103:21
52:10 86:7,8	self 64:6	several 61:16	103:25
sections 60:25	sell 58:15,23	91:23	significantly
see 15:16 17:25	59:3,13,15,20	share 51:25	59:14 65:21
18:6 21:25	selling 61:9	71:2	similar 50:23
29:19 34:7	send 64:10	shareholder	62:17 65:8
47:24 48:1,2,3	sense 24:23	109:22	similarly 11:1
49:20 64:12	32:25 115:14	shareholders	81:21 89:22
76:4 77:11	116:8	108:21	simms 1:25
78:3,12,13			121:6,22

[simply - subsidiaries]

• 1 (2.0	1 20 5	4 1 60 10	4.4.00.7
simply 62:9	sound 29:5	stand 60:12	states 20:7
85:1 103:11	55:14 113:15	standard 78:14	23:19 34:23,24
117:21	south 1:15 2:14	standards	35:2,8 43:5
single 12:12	2:19 70:10	12:16 30:20	46:2 61:21,24
29:4 39:5 60:8	107:4	start 21:7,15	62:1 75:16
73:16 113:14	southern 63:21	23:1 28:17	80:5 84:21
situation 66:20	speak 25:19	35:18,22,25	staying 49:18
79:22	26:22 34:14	47:6 50:17	steady 59:23
six 20:7	35:14 92:24	73:14 91:21,23	step 19:1,7
size 59:8 61:12	speaks 38:14	92:4	stephens 2:23
sized 61:13	specific 32:6	started 7:6	steward 17:23
skip 25:10	52:15,15 64:21	28:16,19 34:5	straight 27:20
99:22	75:10 97:22	53:19 55:12	strategies
slight 115:18	114:6	64:24 92:1,15	112:11
slightly 48:17	specifically	starting 22:15	streaming 7:9
small 59:16,17	60:22 61:24	22:20 23:9,25	streamlines
104:22,24	62:14 66:1	starts 50:17	37:12
smaller 30:23	73:21 75:22	52:11	structure 102:1
59:24	76:13 90:3	state 8:5 20:10	struggling
sold 61:13	99:7 115:17	20:23 22:20	33:15
someone's	specifics 22:18	34:18,21 40:7	stuff 92:9
63:16	65:3	51:15 53:9	subject 15:6,8
something's	spectrum 46:9	57:21 69:24	51:17 95:4,22
92:18	speed 42:17	73:23 74:2	96:14 114:3
soon 92:9	spell 8:5 40:7	77:20 87:8	sublimits 67:2
101:15	69:24 112:5	88:10 90:5	67:6
sorry 6:2 16:2	sponsored 79:7	106:24 112:5	submit 118:1
24:14 25:18	spot 58:17	118:18 121:3,7	subscribed
54:13 86:13	spots 58:12	stated 79:21	65:14 121:16
96:3 115:8	stability 44:1	103:23	subsequent
119:25 120:6	stable 10:3	statement	59:7 85:3
sought 11:12	staff 34:12	22:17	subsequently
16:3 17:13	staffing 35:6	statements	54:2
84:8 93:4	stake 84:12	50:16	subsidiaries
95:25			57:1,9 60:17
			,

[subsidiaries - testimony]

61:11	supported	112:2	tended 97:18
substantially	11:24	swung 102:23	tenure 96:25
41:24 90:10	supporting	synergies 35:3	term 44:1
substitute	13:25	system 62:20	56:13
93:14	suppose 64:20	t	terms 15:20
sudden 57:3	66:22	t 4:1,8 70:3	26:14 28:10
suffering 99:9	supreme 12:9	77:25 79:3	29:20 37:7
sufficient 34:12	sure 6:4 21:14	take 18:24 19:7	38:21 46:2
91:22	27:20 29:10	47:7 87:15	57:22,24 58:8
suggest 91:16	30:1 52:8,24	90:21	60:19 65:2,2
suggesting	53:24 58:3	taken 1:11 68:8	73:15 84:13
91:20,22	65:4 75:22	69:3 121:9,11	test 16:4,9,19
suite 2:7,23	76:10 81:11	takes 19:9 21:4	19:1,2,2,3
summarize	96:17 97:24	29:22 43:19	34:25 35:25
74:6	105:23 115:11	51:18 60:3	37:4,6,10,12
summarized	surgery 119:7	talk 32:19 96:6	38:12,19 90:15
78:8	119:12	talked 17:9,11	90:21 99:6
summary 9:9	surrebuttal 4:9	18:19 20:11	101:11
14:17 17:9,11	4:13 70:16,21	104:19,23	testified 7:25
17:17 40:23	70:24 90:2	talking 22:25	40:2 55:5
45:10 46:18	94:2 107:11	23:1 26:16	69:19 79:13
71:1 72:22	108:2	48:24 104:9,14	106:21 112:2
75:20 84:6	surrounding	talks 15:15	testify 83:16
87:19 108:4,23	93:23	tax 11:3,3,25	testifying 27:9
113:8 114:20	suspect 81:20	19:14	testimonies
115:13	82:4 94:10	taxes 51:15,19	26:8 70:21
summer 55:14	sustained 38:1	tcja 11:4,4,7,23	testimony 4:3,4
55:19	sw 2:7	technical 70:7	4:8,10,14,15
supplement	swear 7:18	telecommuni	7:13 8:11,14
78:13	39:18 69:12	12:10	8:15,18,19,25
supplements	106:15 111:21	tell 7:19 39:19	9:3,5,9 11:23
42:14 57:20	swenson 2:4	56:9 69:13	15:2,4,9,12,14
supply 43:11	sworn 7:25	106:16 111:22	16:9 17:11,23
support 19:17	40:2 69:19	ten 42:5 69:1	17:24 19:4,16
37:1 89:16	106:14,21		22:12,15 25:11

[testimony - timing]

26:3 27:11	thad 5:13	thing 29:7 52:8	thomson's
36:1,25 40:14	thank 6:5,19	67:20 92:10	78:10,16 82:14
40:17,18,21,23	7:5,16,21,23	things 30:13	thoroughly
46:5,22 48:13	9:7 14:17,18	46:16 47:21	71:18
48:19 49:15	15:1 21:2 22:2	57:2 82:20	thought 31:10
50:17,23,25	22:3,6 24:9	83:9 85:19	53:23 102:23
52:6 53:25	31:1,4 33:22	86:25 87:3,5	105:4 110:3
56:19 57:20	34:1 38:3 39:7	93:24 96:18	three 19:8
59:9 61:17	39:8,10,11,13	99:17 103:22	34:17 47:24
62:8 63:7,16	39:21 45:10,11	think 24:2	65:1,13 90:8
63:17 67:4	45:19 50:4,5,8	25:21 26:13,18	91:19
68:13,17,20	50:14 54:12	31:3 32:6	time 5:8,14 9:3
70:15,16,24	55:24 56:2,9	37:22 38:6	9:25 10:8
71:20 73:21,22	59:25 62:2,25	39:2 53:1	12:19,23 14:14
74:4 75:13,18	68:12,22 69:2	57:22 62:24	15:18 17:13
76:6 77:25	69:15,17 70:11	63:15,24 64:17	18:21,25 20:8
78:10,16 79:3	71:6 72:23	65:22 67:3,20	27:21 29:17
80:19,25 82:14	73:4,7,9 74:20	87:20 94:14	30:15 34:17
82:18 83:16,22	76:23 78:24	97:1 99:9	35:10,16 36:24
85:8 86:18	86:16 94:15	101:20,20	37:8,13 38:8
87:7,7,13,15	97:3,6 100:12	102:6 107:14	42:16 43:11
88:17 89:12	100:21 101:12	109:19,23	49:1 50:5
90:3,12 94:2	106:2,4,5,7,10	115:6 117:3,14	53:14,15,22
94:21 97:23	106:18 109:5,7	118:7	54:5,6,10 55:4
98:9 103:21	110:14 111:2	thinking 62:4	72:17 79:22
104:19 105:20	111:12,12,16	66:1 109:9	80:15 82:19
107:11,12,19	111:24 113:11	115:7	83:2 85:21
107:22,25	114:21 115:2,4	third 41:14	87:17,21 90:21
108:2,8,20	116:14 117:15	56:23 57:3	91:19 101:15
110:24 112:12	118:8 119:3	thompson 79:8	102:5 107:19
112:17,21,24	120:10	thomson 4:8	115:6 119:10
113:3,5,8	thanks 56:4	77:25 79:3,9	119:15 121:10
115:21	68:10 83:5	79:12,20 82:15	timing 35:4,7
testing 39:25	thereof 121:15	88:16 97:10	36:4 44:9

[tipping - unforeseeable]

4	110.16.100.5	00.10.04.14	1.1 110.0
tipping 85:18	119:16 120:5	82:12 84:14	unable 119:8
title 70:4,7	121:14	86:6 87:6 88:7	unanticipated
today 6:24 28:8	transcription	89:12 90:2,3	43:18
46:22 47:3	121:13	92:25 93:1	unappreciated
51:21 64:10	transcripts	98:1 100:22	10:23
71:2 112:23	117:12	turned 16:10	unclear 27:22
together 88:17	transition 80:5	turning 45:20	uncommon
took 74:3 90:8	treatment	83:15 94:2,18	19:11 34:17
tool 87:9 89:23	19:14 20:10	twenty 101:1	under 11:13
tools 71:13	34:18 84:8	twice 21:10	12:8 76:25
72:13 87:11	85:3	two 5:14 26:4	77:7 101:25
top 32:23 47:25	trend 26:5	27:3 34:17,22	110:22
49:12 58:11	trial 66:22	50:15 58:13	understand
89:11 104:10	tried 111:1	90:8 91:18	30:25 33:15
total 9:23 10:5	tries 101:25	92:7 96:18	41:20 51:1
10:25 42:6,12	trouble 6:3 7:7	100:15 117:12	52:24 63:7
51:8 52:1,13	true 16:2 47:19	120:1,2	92:15 104:6
55:6 72:1	67:17 84:7	type 13:13	115:11 116:24
touched 83:8	88:3 95:5	24:23 26:15	118:20
towards 92:6	121:14	28:10 95:15	understanding
tower 58:11,12	truth 7:19	109:18,19	16:6 18:6 19:5
58:22 59:5,7	39:19 69:13	110:9	19:20 39:2,4,6
59:11,18 60:9	106:16 111:22	typically 36:22	56:12 60:2
towers 59:21	truthful 99:23	42:4 57:25	79:6 84:10
track 27:12	try 25:18 57:10	102:1	85:7 90:17,23
115:14	59:4 62:16,17	u	101:7,23
tracking 41:24	103:6,10	u.s. 43:3 44:19	102:19,25
traditional	trying 27:2	uae 2:21 4:15	understood
71:13 76:6	53:11	7:4 112:17	53:24 57:22
93:5 105:8	turn 5:18 17:22	113:12,23	87:6
tranches 59:24	22:11 39:24	115:12,23	unforeseeable
transcribed	47:22,23 69:6	ultimately	9:19 10:11
121:12	73:20 74:12	57:11 114:6	12:13,18 14:14
transcript	75:4 76:23		16:7,22 41:4
117:8 119:6,10	79:11 80:18	116:4,12	42:16 81:19
	l .	l .	

[unforeseeable - weekend]

82:1 93:19	uses 62:9	61:2 66:16	waits 35:13
unforeseen	using 61:16	101:22,24	want 6:4 24:1,4
17:7 30:21	79:13	107:3,6	27:11 29:13
72:13 77:8	usually 31:12	utility's 80:15	52:23 56:11
93:22 113:20	58:12	utilizing 33:18	73:21 83:7
unfortunately	utah 1:1,17	v	84:19 87:3
33:3	2:13,14,18,19	v 4:5 68:21	92:23 93:2
unhealthy	2:21,24 6:17	112:8	105:22 109:13
92:23	6:17 7:1 10:6	valid 88:21	115:11
unique 20:10	10:14 11:2	value 24:22	wanted 34:7
34:18 103:3,15	12:9 13:23	various 34:18	53:24 67:2
unprecedented	18:25 19:20	44:18 51:15	73:14
42:18	21:10 30:20	59:2 60:4	warranted
unrealistic	32:6 34:24	64:20	36:25
91:19	35:5,7 37:9	vary 57:20	washington
update 36:22	42:11,16 43:5	verdict 52:15	34:20
36:23 37:1	45:4 67:7 70:7	53:7 108:16	water 58:7 81:6
92:9	70:10 89:21	verdicts 26:23	way 21:12
updated 18:2	90:18,20 100:2	110:1	28:14 29:3
23:5	107:5 111:19	versions 19:8	53:23 58:24
updates 17:20	112:14 121:3,4	versus 26:17	60:15 66:18
18:9,14 19:15	121:7,23	44:4 62:14	98:19 101:21
21:19,21,23	utah's 11:8,11	vetted 84:4	102:21 119:10
upper 57:16	51:25	vice 40:13	ways 28:9
use 37:4 61:25	utilities 2:11	view 30:3 43:22	49:14
62:12 68:4,7	6:15,18 11:14	117:4,13	we've 5:16 20:9
71:16 83:1	41:18 44:18,21	voices 7:11	61:15,15 62:18
90:15 93:11	63:10 64:4,14	volatility 41:19	88:7 93:11
94:16 96:23	64:21,23 65:1	W	118:19
used 31:15	65:4,14 70:7		weather 43:4
38:18 51:13	76:2 89:24	w 2:23	wednesday
62:13	99:14 103:17	wait 21:5 35:21	1:11
users 2:21 7:2	utility 13:12	47:5,6 93:8	week 119:20
111:20 112:15	41:8,16,25	waiting 92:7,8	weekend 53:5
	46:10 58:3,6		

[weeks - zero]

weeks 117:12	withdrawn	written 19:17	45:22 57:23
120:2	96:1,9	wrong 86:14	61:16 95:2,23
weighty 82:25	witness 6:24	92:18	98:8,23
83:2	7:15 24:15	wrote 117:23	yeoman's 92:17
welcome 56:8	37:23 39:15	117:24	yeoman's 92.17 yep 6:8 25:15
wells 1:14	47:2,3 69:7,11	wyoming 67:8	31:2 33:14
went 34:20	81:11 111:18		
west 26:6 63:10	114:22	X	Z
79:14,22	witnesses 27:3	x 3:1 4:1	zero 116:1
western 43:2,5	35:25 68:14	\mathbf{y}	
44:19 61:2,20	104:15 106:9	y 8:7,7 40:9	
wholistic 30:3	111:15,16	70:2	
72:9,9,20	wonder 103:25	yeah 23:10	
wide 26:5,6	wondering	24:25 25:9	
57:1 60:22,23	26:14 63:23	30:19 37:5	
62:20	word 27:6 83:1	45:23,25 60:7	
widget 61:10	88:23	62:23 65:25	
wildfire 41:11	worded 66:18	68:1 77:17	
43:6,6,12,17,21	wording 51:14	79:9 81:11	
43:23,24 44:6	60:15	83:6 84:14	
44:17,19 46:10	work 19:24	86:12 88:6	
46:19 48:16	20:12 35:2	91:11 96:25	
57:18,19 58:17	58:2 90:21	107:17	
58:19 60:11,25	117:14 119:8	year 10:3,3	
61:20 62:5,6	120:4,6	13:24 21:10	
63:11 65:6	worked 53:23	34:16 36:9,12	
71:9,24	99:20	38:20 41:9,9	
wildfires 43:2	working 34:17	42:5 45:5	
46:2 53:4	35:25,25 92:19	57:24 58:1	
63:11 66:10	92:21 93:10	60:10 63:18	
wildlife 43:4	workings 24:19	64:23 82:17	
willing 59:3	works 52:24	90:21 91:22	
window 51:15	60:15	100:9	
wish 97:21	worried 29:12	years 25:7	
105:1,13	105:5	34:22 41:8	

Utah Rules of Civil Procedure Part V. Depositions and Discovery Rule 30

(E) Submission to Witness; Changes; Signing.

Within 28 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available, a witness may sign a statement of changes to the form or substance of the transcript or recording and the reasons for the changes. The officer shall append any changes timely made by the witness.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the

foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete

transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers

as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal

Solutions further represents that the attached

exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete

documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or

attorneys in relation to this deposition and that

the documents were processed in accordance with

our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored

in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.