

Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Jon Hager (stormcrow60@xmission.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at

<stormcrow60@yourconstituent.com>

10:22 AM

Reply-To: "Jon Hager (stormcrow60@xmission.com) Sent You a Personal Message" <stormcrow60@xmission.com> To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Jon Hager 11760 s 1300 w Riverton, UT 84065 stormcrow60@xmission.com (801) 254-5736



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Rick Shapiro (rick@thisweekinparkcity.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at

<rick@foradvocacy.com>

10:44 AM

Reply-To: "Rick Shapiro (rick@thisweekinparkcity.com) Sent You a Personal Message" <rick@thisweekinparkcity.com> To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Rick Shapiro 5944 Market Street Park City, UT 84098 rick@thisweekinparkcity.com (435) 640-2817



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Ashley Love (prettysquirrels@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at

cprettysquirrels@foradvocacy.com>

2:15 PM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Ashley Love 1410 e 5000 s Vernal, UT 84078 prettysquirrels@yahoo.com (385) 499-7388



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Jane Carlston Myers (myersjane2004@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at 2:33 PM

<myersjane2004@sendgrassroots.com>

Reply-To: "Jane Carlston Myers (myersjane2004@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message"

<myersjane2004@yahoo.com>

To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Coal is currently \$71/MWh compared to \$34 to \$35/MWh for wind and solar. It creates unmeasured costs to health in the surrounding areas of the power plant, as well as creating more of a blanket around the world with particles that absorb heat and increase the temperature of the climate around the world. We need electricity that is produced in many areas of the state, not concentrated in one place for more reliability and for more security.

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy.. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Jane Carlston Myers 5317 W. Wheatridge Ln. West Jordan, UT 84081 myersjane2004@yahoo.com (801) 815-4315



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Cary Harrison (cehmd@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at 8:03

PM

<cehmd@forgrassroots.com>

Reply-To: "Cary Harrison (cehmd@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message" <cehmd@hotmail.com>

To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Cary Harrison 1247 East 960 South Provo, UT 84606 cehmd@hotmail.com (904) 657-9363



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Eileen Newlin (leeniept@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at 11:48

PM

<leeniept@foradvocacy.com>

Reply-To: "Eileen Newlin (leeniept@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message" <leeniept@gmail.com>

To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I am concerned for the heath of my family and community due to the pollution from gas and coal. Renewable energy is abundant in Utah or both wind and sun . Most Utahn 's want clean energy!

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Eileen Newlin 2642 E Barbey Dr Salt Lake City, UT 84109 leeniept@gmail.com (801) 891-4334



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

John brown (a022076@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at 10:24 AM

<a022076@grassrootsmessages.com>

Reply-To: "John brown (a022076@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message" <a022076@gmail.com>

To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Please stand up for what is right. We need to live here and clean sir should a given.

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

John brown 6057 West Stone Mount Way SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84009 a022076@gmail.com (801) 792-3677



<laurelasplund@p2a.co>

PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Laurel Asplund (laurelasplund@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at

10:27 AM

Reply-To: "Laurel Asplund (laurelasplund@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message" <laurelasplund@gmail.com> To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I want to have a livable planet. I have been taught since I was young that one of the most important things we can do is conservation. A key part of conservation is investing in renewable energy sources like wind and solar. This is good for everyone, it is good for everything, it is plainly and unequivocally a good thing to invest in renewable and green energy sources.

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Laurel Asplund 2223 E 1200 N Layton, UT 84040 laurelasplund@gmail.com (801) 991-0336



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Ralph Packard (ted.packard@utah.edu) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at

<ted.packard@advocacymessages.com>

10:28 AM

Reply-To: "Ralph Packard (ted.packard@utah.edu) Sent You a Personal Message" <ted.packard@utah.edu> To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Ralph Packard 2584 S Elizabeth St, Unit 2 Ralph, UT 84106 ted.packard@utah.edu (801) 244-3502



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Connie Ball (conniervb14@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at

<conniervb14@grsdelivery.com>

10:38 AM

Reply-To: "Connie Ball (conniervb14@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message" <conniervb14@gmail.com> To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I am one more person suffering from COPD, a lung condition that prevents me from doing many things I would like do. If diesel equipment is operating near my home I have to stay inside. While I do not have coal filth here directly there are many Utah residents who are in the path of dirty, destructive coal burning plats. Spare them.

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Connie Ball 549 W Pipe Springs Dr Kanab, UT 84741 conniervb14@gmail.com (435) 644-2829



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Sophia Chernosky (schernosky@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at

10:41 AM

Reply-To: "Sophia Chernosky (schernosky@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message" <schernosky@outlook.com> To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

<schernosky@grsdelivery.com>

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Sophia Chernosky 265 E BROWNING AVE Salt Lake City, UT 84115 schernosky@outlook.com (801) 201-8308



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Emma Lyon (emlyon27@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at 10:55 AM

<emlyon27@advocatesmessage.com>

Reply-To: "Emma Lyon (emlyon27@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message" <emlyon27@gmail.com>

To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Utahns already struggle make ends meet every month with the high living costs and do not need to suffer more.

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Emma Lyon 57 S Preston St Kaysville, UT 84037 emlyon27@gmail.com (801) 854-0221



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

William Webster (william.r.webster99@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at 11:36 AM

<william.r.webster99@yourconstituent.com>

Reply-To: "William Webster (william.r.webster99@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message"

<william.r.webster99@gmail.com>

To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

William Webster 9942 S Electra Ln Sandy, UT 84094 william.r.webster99@gmail.com (801) 839-8171



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Reed Hansen (reedhansen@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at

12:02 PM

<reedhansen@grsdelivery.com>

Reply-To: "Reed Hansen (reedhansen@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message" <reedhansen@icloud.com> To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Please improve battery capacity! Coal is not the answer!

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Reed Hansen 4660 S Locust Lane Holladay, UT 84117 reedhansen@icloud.com (212) 496-6636



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Holly Stuart (hollybstuart@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sat, Dec 20, 2025 at 8:24 PM

<hollybstuart@advocatefor.me>

Reply-To: "Holly Stuart (hollybstuart@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message" <hollybstuart@gmail.com> To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

Utahns need clean air to breathe. My son has severe asthma, and I most especially want him to breathe outdoors without fear.

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Holly Stuart 426 South Elizabeth Street Salt Lake City, UT 84102 hollybstuart@gmail.com (555) 555-5555



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

patricia becnel (jbecnel_patty@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sun, Dec 21, 2025 at

8:28 AM

Reply-To: "patricia becnel (jbecnel_patty@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message" <jbecnel_patty@msn.com> To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

<jbecnel patty@yourconstituent.com>

We need to concentrate on renewables. Our world is getting dangerously hot and fossil fuels are a major contributor. Stop supporting coal and invest in renewables. We know coal is more costly to run and worse for the environment and our health.

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

patricia becnel 3365 north 650 west Ogden, UT 84414 jbecnel_patty@msn.com (801) 725-4239



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

patricia becnel (jbecnel_patty@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message

Sun, Dec 21, 2025 at

8:30 AM

Reply-To: "patricia becnel (jbecnel_patty@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message" <jbecnel_patty@msn.com> To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

<jbecnel patty@yourconstituent.com>

Fossil fuels are more expensive to produce and more harmful to all of us. The power plants in Utah are creating higher costs. RMP needs to invest in renewables and stop raising prices.

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

patricia becnel 3365 north 650 west Ogden, UT 84414 jbecnel_patty@msn.com (801) 725-4239



Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Christopher Hall (mkchall2@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <mkchall2@p2a.co>

Sun, Dec 21, 2025 at 7:07

PM

Reply-To: "Christopher Hall (mkchall2@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message" <mkchall2@gmail.com> To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I oppose the plan because of its open-ended approach to continue to operate the Utah coal generation plants.

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to a Sierra Club analysis conducted by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge the PSC to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Christopher Hall 2612 Woodland Drive Ogden, UT 84403 mkchall2@gmail.com (801) 475-0239