



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment: Docket 25-035-22

1 message

Anna Robertson <annarobertson@gmail.com>

Wed, Feb 11, 2026 at 5:17 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Commissioners,

Thank you for your service to our community. I am writing to share my great concern about Rocky Mountain Power's (PacificCorp) draft 2025 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), Docket No. 25-035022, and I urge you to reject this plan.

Put simply, this plan fails to act in the best interest of Utah ratepayers and our environment. This proposal fails to recognize the economic and climate benefits of Utah's clean energy resources, which are better for the local and global environment and create a more reliable, economical, and reliable grid.

Utah has a unique opportunity to lead the inevitable energy transition to gain jobs, tax revenue, and lead around innovation. Failing to implement any new clean energy for the next 20 years in favor of volatile and expensive fossil fuels is not in the best interest of our residents.

At a time when we should be reducing emissions, this plan locks Utah into decades of reliance on fossil fuels. We cannot afford to make our climate issues worse when cleaner, cheaper alternatives exist.

I would urge you to require Rocky Mountain Power to revise this plan to prioritize solar, wind, geothermal, and battery storage. Utahns deserve an energy plan that is affordable, reliable, and responsible.

Thank you very much for considering our input.

Anna & Danny Wildman
8927 N. Jeremy Rd.
Park City, UT 84098



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket No. 25-035-22: Questions and concerns

1 message

Sara Mitchell <mitchellgsara@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Thu, Feb 12, 2026 at 2:48 PM

Dear UPSC,

I am disappointed to see that PAC's draft IRP doesn't include renewable energy development in Utah. Our state has great solar, wind, and geothermal potential, and I would think that the IRP would reflect that.

I was surprised to read "New gas resources are competitive with new wind and solar resources without IRA/IIJA productions tax credits" in the IRP, and I ask that you look into the modeling inputs that lead to this claim. Is this taking into account fuel costs? All of the sources I have seen claim that solar is lower cost even without tax credits, so I would be interested in learning more about PAC's assumptions here.

I am concerned about our state's energy future, especially now with data center development seeming imminent. I hope we don't double down on fossil fuel infrastructure, which comes with a lot of environmental and health concerns even if it is in some ways less expensive. We should be thoughtful about how we build our future, and renewable energy comes with environmental and economic benefits compared to fossil fuels.

Thank you for your consideration and all of your work,
Sara Mitchell



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket No. 25-035-22: Please reject PacifiCorp and Rocky Mountain Power IRP

1 message

Carolyn Clark <kwautomail@phone2action.com>
Reply-To: Carolyn Clark <saveearth27@yahoo.com>
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Feb 12, 2026 at 4:25 PM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

I am writing to express my concerns about Rocky Mountain Power's 2025 Integrated Resource Plan. This plan prioritizes costly, harmful technologies while reversing plans to invest in clean, affordable energy, leaving Utah ratepayers to bear the financial and health burdens.

RMP's continued reliance on outdated coal plants and expensive carbon-capture technology is a risk-laden plan that threatens to drive up energy costs.

More than 80% of RMP's requested rate increases in the last two years were due to high coal and gas costs. Meanwhile, Utah stands to gain millions in tax revenue and thousands of jobs by expanding renewable energy, according to an analysis by Current Energy. Yet PacifiCorp's plan moves in the opposite direction.

I urge you to reject costly, high-risk continued reliance on fossil fuels and instead push Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp to prioritize affordable, reliable clean energy that benefits Utah families and businesses. When RMP's pursuit of carbon capture and small-scale nuclear fail to materialize, it will be RMP's customers who pay the price. RMP should be investing in clean energy today, when it is cheapest, not waiting until the 2030s to commit. Utahns shouldn't have to pay more for decisions that benefit corporate shareholders and hurt our communities.

Please advocate for an energy plan that protects ratepayers, public health, and our economic future by rejecting PacifiCorp's current Integrated Resource Plan.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Clark
929 N Terrace Hills Dr
Slc, UT 84103
saveearth27@yahoo.com
(801) 931-4739

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Ramona Blaber at Sierra Club at ramona.blaber@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.