



1407 W. North Temple
Salt Lake City, UT 84116

April 11, 2025

Madison Galt
Division of Public Utilities
160 E 300 S, 4th Floor
Salt Lake City, UT 84114
dpudatarequest@utah.gov
mgalt@utah.gov (C)

RE: UT Docket No. 25-035-23
DPU 1st Set Data Requests (1-8)

Please find enclosed Rocky Mountain Power's Responses to DPU 1st Set Data Requests 1.1-1.8. Also provided are Attachments DPU 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 and 1.7. Provided via BOX is Confidential Attachment DPU 1.1. Confidential information is provided subject to Public Service Commission of Utah (UPSC) Rules R746-1-601-606.

If you have any questions, please call me at (801) 220-2823.

Sincerely,

____/s/____
Jana Saba
Manager, Regulation

Enclosures

DPU Data Request 1.1

In reference to RMP's filing, page 1, paragraph two, RMP claims that its EVIP report contains two confidential appendices and six non-confidential appendices. At page 22, the report indicates that "Appendixes 1 and 2 are confidential in their entirety and are provided under separate cover." Only Appendix 1 was provided in RMP's filing. Please provide Appendix 2.

Response to DPU Data Request 1.1

Please refer to Confidential Attachment DPU 1.1.

Confidential information is provided subject to Public Service Commission of Utah (UPSC) Rules R746-1-601–606.

25-035-23 / Rocky Mountain Power

April 11, 2025

DPU Data Request 1.2

DPU Data Request 1.2

Please provide Attachment A, EVIP Accounting (calendar year 2024), in excel format with intact formula per the Commission's Acknowledgement letter dated May 30, 2024.

Response to DPU Data Request 1.2

Please refer to Attachment DPU 1.2.

25-035-23 / Rocky Mountain Power

April 11, 2025

DPU Data Request 1.3

DPU Data Request 1.3

In reference to Attachment A, please explain why the Ending Balance shown in the upper-left hand corner of Attachment A is \$3,958,412.64 versus the Total Balancing Account of \$3,934,271.45 shown in the lower-right under CY 2024 Total column of Attachment A.

Response to DPU Data Request 1.3

Please refer to Attachment DPU 1.3.

DPU Data Request 1.4

In reference to Section 1a, Table 3, page 4,

(1) Please explain the difference between the 67% of the \$154,703 (\$103,651), compared to the \$62,009.79 reported for Schedule 60 on Attachment A.

(2) Please explain why Attachment A illustrates that \$30,542.13 was deposited to the EBA while the 33% value from Table 3 illustrates \$51,051.99 (difference between the allocated 67% of Schedule 60 and 33% of EBA).

(3) Please provide the accounting document that demonstrates the treatment of the \$51,051.99 deposited to the EBA.

Response to DPU Data Request 1.4

Please refer to Attachment DPU 1.4.

DPU Data Request 1.5

In reference to Tables 5 and 6 on pages 7 and 8, Section 1b, Table 5 illustrates 538 chargers and 614 ports of Awarded AC Level 2 Charger Projects by Customer Category, while Table 6 illustrates 565 chargers and 614 ports of Awarded AC Level 2 Charger Projects by Location. Please explain this variance.

Response to DPU Data Request 1.5

Table 6 had the correct amounts, 565 chargers and 614 ports. The amounts in Table 5 were the result of a clerical error. The corrected Table 5 is as follows:

***Corrected* Table 5. Awarded Projects by Customer Category, 2024**

Category	AC Level 2 Charger Count	AC Level 2 Port Count	DC Fast Charger Count	DC Fast Charger Port Count
Commercial	16	16	4	4
Dealership	34	42	6	11
Fleet	15	15	30	31
Lodging	18	18	4	4
Multi Family	288	301	4	4
Public	38	41	94	94
School	14	20	0	0
Transit	1	1	51	51
Workplace	141	160	4	4
Total	565	614	197	203

Dual port charger counts were omitted from the following AC Level 2 charger count categories:

Public charger count did not include two dual port chargers

School did not include 6 dual port chargers

Workplace did not include 19 dual port chargers

DPU Data Request 1.6

In reference to Attachment A, Marketing Expenses of \$26,152.98, and page 13 of Section 1d, claims that in 2024, the Company spent \$46,849 on marketing, outreach and education. Please reconcile the difference in these numbers.

Response to DPU Data Request 1.6

In Attachment A, Marketing Expenses of \$26,152.98 represents what was paid in 2024 for marketing, outreach, and education. The \$46,849 on page 13 section 1d represents marketing outreach and education expenses that were incurred in 2024. The remaining balance of \$20,696 was paid in 2025, the delay was related to when vendors submit invoices and when the invoices are paid. Once the invoices are paid the amounts are booked in the balancing account. Therefore, the remaining \$20,696 will appear in the 2025 report.

DPU Data Request 1.7

In reference to Table 7, Section 1e, page 15, RMP states that the Balancing Account only reflects actual payments and actual revenue (Sch 198 and Sch 60) and does not include commitments. RMP also states that it identifies funding commitments like Company-Owned sites or customer Make-Ready incentives. Those funds are put to the side and considered allocated even though they are not included in the Balancing Account. Please provide this side account from inception of the EVIP program to the present including the \$33,657,087 illustrated in this year's report.

Response to DPU Data Request 1.7

Table 7 on page 15, Section 1e, Summary, refers to total funds that have been allocated to date. The following table shows the breakdown by year:

Allocated Funds						
Category	2022	2023	2024	Total	%	% (w/make-ready only)
Company owned sites		\$6,263,676	\$8,895,467	\$15,159,143	45%	49%
Customer Incentive Awards						
AC Level 2	\$95,214	\$291,202	\$364,368	\$750,785		
DC Fast	\$429,710	\$1,215,075	\$186,593	\$1,831,378		
Make Ready	\$3,309,415	\$4,153,892	\$5,352,474	\$12,815,781		41%
Total incentives	\$3,834,339	\$5,660,170	\$5,903,435	\$15,397,944	46%	
Total Innovation Projects			\$3,100,000	\$3,100,000	9%	10%
Total	\$3,834,339	\$11,923,846	\$17,898,902	\$33,657,087	100%	
Total w/ Make Ready only				\$31,074,924		100%

The above table illustrates the tracking of allocated funds for 10 Company owned sites either constructed or under construction, customer incentives including rebates and make ready, and innovation projects along with their proportions to one another. For details, please refer to Attachment DPU 1.7.

DPU Data Request 1.8

In reference to Section 1C, Partnerships, through its collaborative efforts with several parties, three innovative projects were developed that will utilize EVIP funds (REVIVE Project, SuperCharge Project, and Intelligent Integration Project). The REVIVE Project allocates \$1M of EVIP funds, the SuperCharge Project allocates \$1M of EVIP funds, and the Intelligent Integration Project utilizes \$2.1M of EVIP funds. Please provide an accounting of these allocated funds along with those in data request question 1.7 above in consideration of the \$50M allowed for the EVIP program since inception through the forecast ten-year program period. If any of these allowed EVIP funds have been used, please identify the accounts or categories in Attachment A that account for them.

Response to DPU Data Request 1.8

Of the three innovation projects developed in 2024, only SuperCharge and Intelligent Integration were allocated funds. The third project REVIVE was not allocated because the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) paused the release of matching funds so the agency can review compliance with Executive Orders. The REVIVE project will not go forward without the DOE matching funds. If DOE releases the matching funds, then the project will be allocated. In 2024, no EVIP funds were spent so no expenditures were included in Attachment A. The expenditures are expected in 2025 and will be listed in that years report. In total, there has been \$33,657,087 funds allocated as outlined in data request response 1.7, of the \$50M allowed to be collected in Schedule 198. The \$3.1M for SuperCharge and Intelligent Integration projects are included in the table in Data Request Response 1.7.