



1407 W. North Temple
Salt Lake City, UT 84116

March 4, 2026

Madison Galt
Division of Public Utilities
160 E 300 S, 4th Floor
Salt Lake City, UT 84114
dpudatarequest@utah.gov
mgalt@utah.gov (C)

RE: UT Docket No. 26-035-05
DPU 2nd Set Data Request (1-2)

Please find enclosed Rocky Mountain Power's Responses to DPU 2nd Set Data Requests 2.1-2.2.

If you have any questions, please call me at (801) 220-2823.

Sincerely,

_____/s/_____
Jana Saba
Manager, Regulation

Enclosures

DPU Data Request 2.1

Please provide a simplified analysis comparing the projected change in the Company's Utah revenue requirement without this LLSC against the same year with the inclusion of this LLSC's load, expenses, and revenue. Use the 2020 Allocation Protocol and data from the most recent year available. This question supersedes Division of Public Utilities Data Request 1.2. Do not answer DPU 1.2.

Response to DPU Data Request 2.1

Large Load Service Contract (LLSC) load (dynamic 2020 Inter-Jurisdictional Cost Allocation Methodology (2020 Protocol) allocations) – Please refer to the Direct Testimony of Company witness, Ramon J. Mitchell, lines 218 through 228. The dynamic 2020 Inter-Jurisdictional Cost Allocation Methodology (2020 Protocol) allocation factors will be updated to remove the LLSC load. Specifically, the LLSC load and associated peaks will be removed from the calculation of the system energy (SE) and system generation (SG) allocation factors. Because the LLSC resources and purchases are expected to fully serve the LLSC's needs, and be fully excluded from system costs, no change to allocation factors is anticipated. Under this expectation, both the LLSC energy and peak contributions would be fully excluded from system load calculations.

LLSC Expense (energy costs) – Please refer to Ramon Mitchell's Direct Testimony, lines 55 through 99. All energy consumed by the LLSC will be credited back to PacifiCorp customers in regulatory filings to ensure that no costs are shifted to other customers. Additionally, please refer to the Direct Testimony of Company witness, Thomas R. Burns, specifically Table 1, which provides the quantified net benefits expected to be returned to customers. Overall, these costs will be separately tracked, and the associated credits are expected to result in a net-zero or net benefit to the Company's overall revenue requirement.

LLSC Expense (transmission costs) – Please refer to the Direct Testimony of Company witness, Craig M. Eller, lines 317 through 323. No incremental transmission improvement of third-party transmission costs are expected.

LLSC Expense (capacity costs) - Please refer to Ramon Mitchell's Direct Testimony, lines 136 through 217. The Company will procure sufficient resources to fully offset the capacity-related incremental costs that result from the LLSC load. Please refer to Ramon Mitchell's Direct Testimony, lines 199 through 208 for the treatment of capacity costs during the transition period. These costs will be separately accounted for and not included in Utah's revenue requirement.

LLSC Expense (interconnection costs) – Please refer to Craig Eller's Direct Testimony, lines 324 through 333. All interconnection improvement costs have been fully paid on behalf of the LLSC by the facility developer. Upon initiation of

the service, the Company will provide a standard meter allowance which will be recovered through the LLSC reservation charge.

LLSC Revenue – Please refer to Craig Eller’s Direct Testimony, lines 68 through 79. Revenue collected through the reservation charge and the contract management charge will be removed from the Company’s Utah revenue requirement. Energy-related revenues will be credited back to PacifiCorp customers through regulatory filings. In addition, LLSC participation provides meaningful benefits to certain tariffed programs, all of which are separately tracked and fully isolated from the overall revenue requirement used to establish cost of service (COS) rates.

In summary, the Company expects that all load, expenses, and revenues associated with the LLSC will be fully removed from the Utah revenue requirement through detailed and segregated accounting records. As a result, the approval of the LLSC is anticipated to have a net-zero impact on the Utah revenue requirement when compared to the pre-LLSC baseline. In addition, the Company anticipates marginal capacity benefits to Utah customers from the procurement of additional resources, as well as net energy benefits, as further described in Thomas Burns’s Direct Testimony.

26-035-05 / Rocky Mountain Power

March 4, 2026

DPU Data Request 2.2

DPU Data Request 2.2

Please show that the Customer's parent company has provided Default Security as described in the Large Load Service Contract.

Response to DPU Data Request 2.2

The requested information contains commercially sensitive and highly confidential customer information. The Company requests special handling. Please contact Max Backlund at Max.Backlund@pacificorp.com to make arrangements for review.