	RECEIMED		
1			
2	GLEN J. ELLIS, #1514 DEAN B. ELLIS, #4976 '87 OCT <u>14</u>14B0:12		
3	Attorneys for Complaintant, SUPERA UTALLPUBLIC 60 East 100 South, Suite 102 SERVICE COMMISSION		
4	P.O. Box 1097 Provo, Utah 84603		
5	Telephone: (801) 377-1097		
6	PERODE MUE DUDITO ODDUTOD CONVERSES		
7	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF UTAH		
8			
9			
10	IN THE MATTER OF THE PROPOSED) COMPLAINT & OBJECTION TO		
	MERGER OF UP&L WITH PACIFICORP,) PROPOSED MERGER		
11	Regulated Utilities.) Case No. <u>87-035-27</u>		
12			
13			
14	COMPLAINT & OBJECTION OF SUPERA		
15	Comes now SUPERA, a Utah Interlocal Cooperative, formed		
16	under the provisions of 11-13 UCA, and complains of the proposed merger of Utah Power and Light Company with Pacificorp, as		
17	follows:		
18	l. Complaintant is a Utah Corporation with offices at		
19	Springville, Utah, and is a Utah Interlocal Cooperative, with		
	member cities. Both SUPERA and its member cities are involved		
20	in the electric utility business, and have standing to complain of the proposed werger under 54.7.9. UCL 1953 an energy to 1		
21	of the proposed merger under 54-7-9, UCA 1953 as amended. 2. As provided in 54-7-9 (2), this matter should be		
22	joined with all similar actions, in protesting the proposed		
2 3	merger.		
24	3. This objection is predicated on the AGREEMENT AND		
25	PLAN OF REORGANIZATION AND MERGER dated August 12, 1987, by and		
	between UP&L and PACIFICORP, or any subsequent amendment thereto.		
26	4. Complaintant objects to the proposed merger on the following alternative grounds:		
27			
28			

- * .

A. The proposed merger constitutes a violation of both 3 Federal and State Anti-trust laws, and is an attempt 4 to monopolize trade in the electric utility business, with the 5 specific intent control pricing, substantially to lessen competition and create a monopoly. 6

2

23

24

25

26

27

28

7 B. The proposed merger would reconstitute a trust which was broken up many years ago under the Sherman Anti-Trust Act, in violation of established Anti-trust law, and case law g attendant thereto.

10 C. The proposed MERGING CORP, would constitute an illegal Trust, in violation of Article XII, Sec. 20 of the Utah Constitution.

12 D. The proposed nerger would result in illegal restraint of trade, both interstate and intrastate, in violation 13 of applicable State and Federal Statutes, and would adversely 14 effect competition with other providers of Electric Utility Service. 15

E. Increasing the size of the dominant investor/owned 16 utility would adversely effect the ability of Municipal 17 Utilities to obtain wheeling agreements and other necessary cooperation deemed crucial 18 to the existence and continued operation of Municipal Utilities, which are 19 their only competition in the field of Electric Utilities. 20

F. The proposed merger would increase the burden of existing Franchises, and would jeopardize existing franchises in violation of the Utah Constitution, Article XII, Sections 7 & 8.

Respectfully submitted this 14th of October, 1987.

lis, for SUPERA

2

1		3
2		
3	October,	Copies of the foregoing have been this 14th day of 1987 mailed, postage prepaid to:
4		Sidney G. Baucom, Esq.
5		General Counsel Utah Power and Light Company
6		1407 West North Temple Salt Lake City, Utah 84117
7		Reid and Priest
8		40 West 57th Street New York, New York 10019
9		Attn: Louis J. Barash, Esq.
10		Pacific First Federal Center 851 SW Sixth Avenue
11		Portland, Oregon 97204 Attn: Don C. Frisbee
12		Stoel Rives Boley Jones & Grey
13		900 SW Fifth Avenue Portland, Oregon, 97204
14		Attn: John Detjens, III, Esq.
15		Division of Public Utilities Department of Business Regulation
16		Heber M. Wells Building PO Box 45802
17		SLC, Utah 84145-0801
18		flent Celler
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

-47



ELLIS & ELLIS

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

DEAN B. ELLIS

P.O. BOX 1097 PROVO, UTAH 84680 14 A10:12 (801) 377-1097

> UTAH RUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION October 14, 1987

Public Service Commission 160 E 300 South SLC, Utah 84111

RE: UP&L PROPOSED MERGER WITH PACIFICORP

Gentlemen;

Attached hereto is the original of a Complaint and Objection to Proposed Merger, in the above case.

The complaint is hand delivered to you, because of time constraints, we made notice to your office that the complaint was in process, and were verbally assured that the filing would be timely if filed this date.

Please provide the carrier of this complaint with a copy of any other protests, and if available a mailing list of all those who are parties to the protest action.

Sincerely, Glen J Ellis

