

William E. Dunn

DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS REGULATION Division of Public Utilities

Heber M. Wells Building 160 East 300 South/P.O. Box 45802 Executive Director 160 East 300 So Ralph N. Creer Salt Lake City, U Division Director (801) 530-6651 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0802

*88 OCT 28 P12 Mo

SERVICE COMPISSION

Utah Public Service Commission To:

Division of Public Utilities From:

Ralph Creer, Director

Kenneth B. Powell, Manager Ronald L. Burrup, Auditor

October 28, 1988

PacifiCorp/UP&L Merger Docket No 87-035-27

Issue:

On September 28, 1988, the Commission issued its order approving the merger of UP&L and PacifiCorp. The Commission's order required the Division of Public Utilites to propose a method of equally sharing direct merger costs between customers and shareholders, within 30 days from the issuance of the order.

Recommendation:

The Division recommends the following method of equally sharing direct merger costs:

- The Utah jurisdictional direct merger costs will be split 50/50 between shareholders and customers on a present value basis.
- b) Recovery of the total Utah jurisdictional allocated share will be allowed in rates over approximately 15 years as an addition to amortization expense. No rate base treatment will be allowed.
- The present value of the amounts to be recovered from C) customers, using a 10% discount rate, will not exceed the 50% share allocated to customers.
- The allocation percentages and the actual direct merger d) costs will be determined by the Commission, and are shown on the attached exhibit for illustration purposes only.

Explanation:

The attached table was provided by UP&L as an example: Option 2 provides for recovery in rates of 50% of actual merger costs, based on the nominal recovery of all costs over 15 years discounted at 10%. Since the company is recovering the full nominal dollar amount of some \$6.5 million, it does not appear that the FASB would require the company to initiate an immediate write-off. The present value of the amount recovered from customers is equal to 50% of the allocated direct merger costs.

Option 1 is not recommended because it would require an immediate write-off of \$3.2 million and allow the balance to be recovered from customers over 40 years at the full cost of capital.

CC UP&L Attn Tom Forsgren
CCS
Barbara Williams
William Dunn
Michael Ginsberg
All parties of record

UTAH POWER & LIGHT COMPANY Analysis of Merger Costs

Present Est of Merger Cost: UP&L Exp \$5,500,000 Kidder Peabody 9,500,000 PP&L Exp 3,500,000 1st Boston 4,000,000 Total Merger Costs \$22,500,000 (1) 1987 Ut Division O/H Fac 37.8300%(2) Utah Div Merger Costs \$8,511,750 Utah Feb 88 Demand Factor 76.8908%(2) Utah Jurisdictional Cost \$6,544,753 OPTION 1 -50/50 Sharing 50% Allowed In Rates \$3,272,376 50% Write Off \$3,272,376

OPTION 2 - Discount Rate Equals Incremental Cost of Debt
Years 14.74
Rate 10.00000%
Yearly Amort \$444,164
Present Value \$3,272,017
Percent Sharing 50%

- (1) Preliminary estimate of total merger costs.
- (2) Estimated factors for illustrative purposes only.

Rate Accounting MCost, Disk 45