W

OTHER OFFICES
HOUSTON
DALLAS
AUSTIN

## RECEIVEBAKER & BOTTS

555 - 13TH STREET, N.W. SUITE SOO EAST

10N 15 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004-1109

TELEPHONE: (202) 639-7700 TELECOPIER: (202) 639-7832

UTAH PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

June 14, 1988

Mr. Steve Hewlett Public Service Commission of Utah 160 East 300 South Fourth Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

RE: Application of Utah Power & Light Company and PC/UP&L Merging Corporation, Case No. 87-035-27

Dear Steve:

Pursuant to the Commission's instructions at the June 8 oral argument in the above-referenced proceeding, I have enclosed for filing an original and nineteen copies of the Summary Statement of AMAX Magnesium Corporation. I have enclosed two additional copies to be time stamped and returned to me in the self-addressed envelope. In addition, copies are being served on all parties to the proceeding.

Thank you for your attention.

Charles M. Darling,

Attorney for

AMAX Magnesium Corporation

W.

Andrew W. Buffmire, Esq. William P. Schwartz, Esq. HANSEN & ANDERSON Valley Tower Building, Suite 600 50 West Broadway Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 Telephone: (801) 532-7520

TORVICE COMPRESSION

Peter J.P. Brickfield, Esq. Kenneth G. Hurwitz, Esq. RITTS, BRICKFIELD & KAUFMAN Watergate 600 Building, Suite 915 600 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 Telephone: (202) 342-0800

Attorneys for Nucor Steel

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application of UTAH POWER & LIGHT COMPANY and PC/UP&L MERGING CORP. (to be renamed PACIFICORP) for an Order	) Case No. 87-035-27
Authorizing the Merger of UTAH	)
POWER & LIGHT COMPANY and	) SUMMARY OF POSITION
PACIFICORP into PC/UP&L MERGING	) OF NUCOR STEEL
CORP. Authorizing the Issuance	)
of Securities, Adoption of Tariffs	)
and Transfer of Certificates of	)
Public Convenience and Necessity	)
and Authorities in Connection	)
Therewith.	)

Nucor Steel, a division of Nucor Corporation ("Nucor") contends that the merger should not be approved unless various conditions are adopted by the Utah Public Service Commission (the "Commission").

First, Nucor contends that the Applicants should be ordered to file a definitive inter-divisional cost allocation plan for consideration by the Commission prior to the decision on the proposed merger or, alternatively, the Commission should deem all UP&L retail rates interim and subject to refund until such a plan is approved.

The Commission will be unable to determine whether the merger will create "some net positive benefit to the public in this State," according to Nucor, without knowing how costs will be allocated after the merger.

Nucor further argues that certain allocation methodologies could make Utah ratepayers worse off even if the merger yields overall savings. UP&L's net power costs could increase if its share of merger benefits is too small to offset beneficial off-system purchases and sales that would be foreclosed by the merger. UP&L's non-power supply costs could also increase if the UP&L Division incurs costs for the benefit of PP&L and a situs allocation rule is employed. Moreover, the allocation principles suggested by the Applicants will inherently deprive Utah ratepayers of the benefits of UP&L's strategically located transmission system without compensating benefits. While Utah ratepayers have paid and will pay for the costs of the UP&L transmission system, off-system sales revenues would be apportioned between the UP&L and PP&L divisions under the Applicants' suggested cost allocation method.

Nucor contends that the Applicants' proposal to use stand-alone modeling to set rates poses new and intractable regulatory problems for the Commission. Stand-alone modeling would be utilized to perform inter-divisional allocations of net power supply costs, to set the level of UP&L's energy balancing account, and to substantiate the Applicants' commitment that rates will not increase as a result of the merger. There is substantial evidence of record that stand-alone modeling is highly speculative and unverifiable. Rates established on this basis would be unjust and unreasonable.

Nucor's second condition would require the merged company to offer contract demand customers power for incremental loads at the same price and under similar conditions as it offers power for resale. This condition must be considered in this proceeding as opposed to later proceedings relating to individual

industrial customer contracts because it is inextricably intertwined with the Applicants' declared policy to maximize off-system sales. The proposed condition, according to Nucor, is designed to counterbalance the Applicants' policy with one that would ultimately maximize the benefits to Utah ratepayers. The record in this proceeding establishes that the sale of surplus energy to incremental industrial loads, like off-system surplus sales, would yield similar margin-sharing benefits to retail customers. Off-system sales, however, would export economic development while incremental sales to industrial customers under Nucor's proposal will foster economic development within Utah. There is substantial evidence that the availability of surplus power is reasonably predictable and, moreover, that industrial customers could adapt production processes to make use of such power on short notice.

Nucor's third recommended condition would ensure that economic interruptions not increase and the quality of service to industrial customers not deteriorate as a result of the merger. A potential decline in the quality of service to industrial customers, Nucor contends, must be weighed heavily against the asserted benefits of the merger unless a protective condition is adopted. Dr. Spann's testimony establishes that the Applicants' plans to dramatically increase off-system sales after the merger will increase the incremental cost of service to interruptible customers and, hence, heighten the risk of economic To counteract this phenomenon, Nucor recommends that interruption. incremental cost calculations for the purpose of economic interruptions should be performed prior to any off-system sale in excess of current levels. Alternatively, a condition should be adopted which requires that (1) incremental costs for purposes of economic interruption should be calculated before any non-firm off-system, but after firm off-system sales; (2) UP&L not interrupt interruptible customers for capacity reasons except under short-term emergency system conditions; and (3) UP&L not make an economic interruption when it can sell non-firm energy at a higher rate off-system.

Finally, Nucor has proposed two distinct conditions requiring retail wheeling. The first wheeling condition would explicitly state that nothing in the Commission's Order precludes retail customers connected at the transmission or subtransmission level from seeking wheeling of power from other suppliers under the same general terms and conditions as any wheeling for wholesale customers required by the FERC. Nucor contends that, if the FERC orders wholesale wheeling to remedy the anti-competitive impacts of the merger, this Commission should not foreclose Nucor and other industrial customers from seeking similar relief. Nucor's second wheeling condition would require UP&L to offer contract demand customers wheeling of power from other suppliers under the same general terms and conditions as any wheeling for wholesale customers required by the Nucor believes that this condition is warranted to afford industrial FERC. customers a competitive remedy if the Commission does not adopt the above regulatory conditions, all of which are designed to ensure that industrial customers are not harmed as a direct result of the merger.

Respectfully submitted,

RITTS, BRICKFIELD & KAUFMAN

HANSEN & ANDERSON

Peter J.P. Brickfield, Esq.

Kenneth G. Hurwitz, Esq.

Watergate 600 Building, Suite 915

600 New Hampshire, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-2474

Telephone: (202) 342-0800

Andrew W. Buffmire, Esq.

William P. Schwartz, Esq.
Suite 600, Valley Tower Building

50 West Broadway

Salt Lake City, UT 84101 Telephone: (801) 532-7520

COUNSEL FOR NUCOR STEEL, A DIVISION OF NUCOR CORPORATION

RECEIVED

## 188 JUN 17 A11:00

Andrew W. Buffmire, Esq.
William P. Schwartz, Esq.
HANSEN & ANDERSON
Valley Tower Building, Suite 600
50 West Broadway
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Telephone: (801) 532-7520

Peter J.P. Brickfield, Esq. Kenneth G. Hurwitz, Esq. RITTS, BRICKFIELD & KAUFMAN Watergate 600 Building, Suite 915 600 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 Telephone: (202) 342-0800

Attorneys for Nucor Steel

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application of UTAH POWER & LIGHT COMPANY and PC/UP&L MERGING CORP. (to be renamed PACIFICORP) for an Order Authorizing the Merger of UTAH	Case No. 87-035-27
POWER & LIGHT COMPANY and PACIFICORP into PC/UP&L MERGING CORP. Authorizing the Issuance of Securities, Adoption of Tariffs and Transfer of Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Authorities in Connection Therewith.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE  ) ) ) ) ) )

On this 15th day of June, 1988, I hereby certify that I caused to be hand delivered a true and accurate copy of the SUMMARY OF POSITION OF NUCOR STEEL, to the parties listed below:

Raymond W. Gee, Esq. KIRTON, McCONKIE & BUSHNELL 330 South 300 East Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Donald B. Holbrook, Esq.
Calvin L. Rampton, Esq.
Ronald J. Ockey, Esq.
L.R. Curtis, Esq.
JONES, WALDO, HOLBROOK & McDONOUGH
1500 First Interstate Building
170 South Main Street
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

F. Robert Reeder, Esq. Val R. Antezak, Esq. PARSONS, BEHLE & LATIMER 185 South State Street, Suite 700 Post Office Box 11898 Salt Lake City, Utah 84147-0898

James A. Holtkamp, Esq. VAN COTT BAGLEY CORNWALL & McCARTHY 50 South Main Street, Suite 1600 Post Office Box 45340 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145

Richard W. Giauque, Esq.
Gregory P. Williams, Esq.
Gary F. Bendinger, Esq.
GIAUQUE, WILLIAMS, WILCOX & BENDINGER
500 Kearns Building
136 South Main Street
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Robert S. Campbell, Esq. Gregory S. Monson, Esq. WATKISS & CAMPBELL 310 South Main Street, 12th Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 Michael Ginsberg, Esq. Assistant Attorney General State Capitol Building Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

Sandy Mooy, Esq. Assistant Attorney General State Capitol Building Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

A. Wally Sandack, Esq. SANDACK & SANDACK 370 East 500 South Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Mr. Sidney G. Baucom
Mr. Thomas W. Forsgren
Mr. Edward A. Hunter Jr.
UTAH POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
1407 West North Temple Street
Post Office Box 899
Salt Lake City, Utah 84110

Stephen R. Randle, Esq.
UNGRICHT, RANDLE & DEAMER
520 Boston Building
9 Exchange Place
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Dale A. Kimball, Esq.
Gary A. Dodge, Esq.
KIMBALL, PARR, CROCKETT & WADDOUPS
185 South State Street, Suite 1300
Post Office Box 11019
Salt Lake City, Utah 84147

On this 15th day of June, 1988, I hereby certify that I caused to be mailed, via United States first-class, postage prepaid mail, a true and accurate copy of the SUMMARY OF POSITION OF NUCOR STEEL, to the parties listed below:

Lynn W. Mitton, Esq. F. Elgin Ward, Esq. DESERET GENERATION & TRANSMISSION 8722 South 300 West Sandy, Utah 84070

Donald R. Allen, Esq. John P. Williams, Esq. DUNCAN, ALLEN & MITCHELL 1575 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Roger Cutler, Esq.
Salt Lake City Attorney
324 South State Street
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

George M. Galloway, Esq. James Fell, Esq. STOEL, RIVES, BOLEY, JONES & GREY 900 S.W. Fifth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97204

Paul T. Morris, Esq.
West Valley City Attorney
Mr. I. Robert Wall
UTAH POWER POWER CO-OP
2470 South Redwood Road
West Valley City, Utah 84119

Charles F. McDevitt, Esq. Suite 200, Park Place 277 North 6th Street Boise, Idaho 83702

John R. Morris, Esq. LeBOEUF, LAMB, LEIBY & MacRAE 1000 Kearns Building 136 South Main Street Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 David S. Christensen, Esq. Assistant Attorney General 236 State Capitol Building Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

Charles M. Darling IV, Esq. J. Patrick Berry, Esq. Ms. Sheryl S. Hendrickson BAKER & BOTTS 555 West 13th Street, N.W. Suite 500 East Washington, D.C. 20004-1104

Mr. Fredric D. Reed Senior Vice President PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY 902 S.W. Sixth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97204

Ms. Alice Ritter Burns Cedar City Attorney 110 North Main Street Post Office Box 249 Cedar City, Utah 84720

Peter J.P. Brickfield, Esq. Kenneth G. Hurwitz, Esq. RITTS, BRICKFIELD & KAUFMAN Watergate Six Hundred Bldg., Suite 915 600 New Hampshire, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Wesley F. Merrill, Esq. 109 North Arthur Spaulding Building Pocatello, Idaho 83204

Mr. L. Christian Hauck COLORADO UTE ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION Post Office Box 1149 Montrose, Colorado 81402 Ms. Salli Barash WILLKIE, FARR & GALLAGHER 1 Citi Corp Center 153 East 53rd Street New York, New York 10022

James S. Jardine, Esq. RAY, QUINNEY & NEBEKER 400 Descret Building 79 South Main Street Post Office Box 45385 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385

Mr. Robert J. Grow
Basic Manufacturing and Technologies
of Utah dba Geneva Steel
Post Office Box 2500
Provo, Utah 84603

Michael S. Gilmore, Esq. Ms. Lori Mann Deputy Attorneys General Idaho Public Utilites Commission Statehouse Mail Boise, Idaho 83720 Glen J. Ellis, Esq. Dean B. Ellis, Esq. 60 East 100 South, Suite 102 Post Office Box 1097 Provo, Utah 84603

Mr. Edwin E. Blaney Salt Lake County Council of Governments 420 West 1500 South, Suite 100 Bountiful, Utah 84010

Ms. Kathryn T. Whalen BENNETT, HARTMAN, TAUMAN & REYNOLDS One S.W. Columbia, Suite 1450 Portland, Oregon 97258

Ms. Myrna J. Walters Idaho Public Utilities Commission Statehouse Mail Boise, Idaho 83720

Chris L. Engstrom, Esq.
Washington City Attorney
SNOW, NUFFER, ENGSTROM & DRAKE
90 East 200 North
St. George, Utah 84770

DATED this 15th day of June, 1988.

HANSEN & ANDERSON

Andrew W. Buffmire

William P. Schwartz

Attorneys for Nucor Steef