

RECEIVED



'88 MAY 26 P4:06

UTAH PUBLIC  
SERVICE COMMISSION

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
STATE OF UTAH

DAVID L. WILKINSON  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

PAUL M. TINKER  
CHIEF DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

DALLIN W. JENSEN  
Solicitor General

EARL F. DORRUS, CHIEF  
Governmental Affairs Division

STUART W. HINGKLEY, CHIEF  
Human Resources Division

FRED G. NELSON, CHIEF  
Physical Resources Division

PAUL M. WARNER  
ASSOCIATE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

STEPHEN G. SCHWENDIMAN, CHIEF  
Tax & Business Regulation Division

STEPHEN J. SORENSON, CHIEF  
Litigation Division

MICHAEL D. SMITH, CHIEF  
Civil Enforcement Division

May 26, 1988

Public Service Commission  
Heber M. Wells Building  
160 East 300 South, Fourth Floor  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is the revised exhibit (REW-S1) of Robert Weatherwax which includes his final corrections. Also enclosed is the Errata Sheet for SERA Direct Testimony.

Sincerely yours,

Sandy J. Mooy  
Assistant Attorney General

SJM/bbs

Enclosures (2)

cc: mailing list

## Errata Sheet for SERA Direct Testimony

Page	Location	Incorrect	Correct
2.1	par. 2, line 3,	four	three
2.3	par. 1, line 3,	\$7 million	\$47 million
2.10	par. 3, line 2,	and applied	delete
2.11	par. 1, line 9,	suppliers	supplies
3.15	par. 2, line 8,	included.	included."
4.22	#4, line 1,	surplus.	surplus to line levels.
4.22	#6, line 2,	3 MW	13 MW
4.23	#6, line 3,	all PP&L plants	all PP&L coal plants including Jim Bridger, Wyodak, Colstrip and Centralia dispatched
4.26	#3, line 6,	5.9	5.3
4.26	#5, line 3,	input.	input as adjusted per #6 below.
4.27	#2, line 1,	"other-fuel"	"other-firm"
7.2	Table 7-1		
	1989	-4574	-5099
	1990	-8271	-9321
	1991	-8271	-9321
	1992	-8271	-9321

REVISED EXHIBIT 1 of 2 (RKM-S1)

SUMMARY OF BENEFITS (\$1,000,000)

CASE	Notes	Benefit Topic	YEAR					NET PRESENT VALUE	COMMENTS
			1988	1989	1990	1991	1992		
APPLICANTS'	1	Production Cost	17.8	22.7	36.2	41.6	43.3	Revised 5/18/88 Corrected - error in calculations	
	2	Reduced Construction	0.9	2.6	4.8	7.6	10.6		
	3	Capacity Savings	0.3	2.6	4.5	6.8	12.8		
	4	Economic Development	1.0	2.0	6.0	11.0	17.0		
		TOTAL	20.0	29.9	51.5	67.0	83.7		
SERA'S HIGH	5	Production Cost	10.8	14.0	20.6	22.7	21.8	Revised 5/19/88 - With Firm Sale With Phase Shifters	
	6	Reduced Construction	(0.9)	(4.4)	(4.2)	(4.1)	(3.9)		
	7	Capacity Savings	0.0	1.9	4.8	8.9	14.8		
	8	Economic Development	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	9.9	11.6	21.1	27.6	32.7		
SERA'S LOW	9	Production Cost	7.7	8.7	9.0	11.1	11.7	Revised 5/19/88 - Without Firm Sale With increased Transmission Without 200 MW Reserve Reduction	
	10	Reduced Construction	(0.9)	(9.1)	(8.9)	(8.6)	(8.3)		
	11	Capacity Savings	0.0	1.9	4.8	7.7	9.0		
	12	Economic Development	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	6.8	1.4	5.0	10.2	12.4		
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN APPLICANTS' CASE & SERA'S HIGH CASE		Production Cost	7.1	8.6	15.7	18.9	21.5		
		Reduced Construction	1.8	7.0	9.0	11.7	14.5		
		Capacity Savings	0.3	0.7	(0.3)	(2.1)	(2.0)		
		Economic Development	1.0	2.0	6.0	11.0	17.0		
		TOTAL	10.2	18.3	30.4	39.5	51.0		
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN APPLICANTS' CASE & SERA'S LOW CASE		Production Cost	10.1	14.0	27.2	30.5	31.6		
		Reduced Construction	1.8	11.7	13.7	16.2	18.9		
		Capacity Savings	0.3	0.7	(0.3)	(0.9)	3.8		
		Economic Development	1.0	2.0	6.0	11.0	17.0		
		TOTAL	13.3	28.4	46.6	56.8	71.3		