Robert J. Grow <u>SERVICE CONSERVICE</u> Basic Manufacturing and Technologies of Utah, Inc., dba Geneva Steel P.O. Box 2500 Provo, Utah 84603

- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

ware and the second second

'89 JAN 14 PO:20

In the Matter of the Application) of Utah Power & Light Company, and PC/UP&L Merging Corp. (to be) Renamed PacifiCorp) for an Order) Authorizing the Merger of Utah) Power & Light Company and PacifiCorp into PC/UP&L Merging Corp. and Authorizing the Issuance) of Securities, Adoption of Tariffs,) and Transfer of Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Authorities in Connection Therewith.

MOTION OF BASIC MANUFAC-TURING AND TECHNOLOGIES, OF UTAH, INC., DBA GENEVA STEEL, FOR LATE INTER-VENTION

Case No. 87-035-27

Basic Manufacturing and Technologies of Utah, Inc., dba Geneva Steel ("Geneva Steel") hereby moves for late intervention in the above-captioned proceeding. In support of its motion, Geneva Steel states as follows:

)

Geneva Steel recently purchased from USX Corporation the idled Geneva Steel plant located in Utah County, Utah, returned said facility to commercial production and shipped the first finished steel products in early October 1987. Since reopening the plant, Geneva Steel has recalled approximately 1700 employees. The proposed merger could significantly impact the interests of Geneva Steel. Geneva Steel has negotiated a unique contract with Utah Power & Light Company. It is Geneva Steel's understanding that the Geneva Contract is similar in many ways to AMAX Magnesium Corporation's contract with respect to interruptability and dispatch priority, and the influence of changes in system-wide costs. The Commission has recognized the significance of these concerns in granting late-filed intervention to AMAX.

No other party can adequately represent the unique interests of Geneva Steel. Geneva Steel is one of the largest consumers of electrical power and energy in the State of Utah, with current monthly purchases of approximately 30,000 megawatt hours and peak demand of approximately 86 megawatts. Electrical costs have a profound impact on Geneva Steel's costs of production and could determine the continued viability of the recently restarted plant. Furthermore, Geneva Steel is forced by circumstances to investigate new technologies and equipment that are highly influenced by the cost of electricity. Electrical costs also require Geneva Steel continually to re-evaluate the use of its own generation facilities. The issues at stake in this proceeding are therefore of great significance to Geneva Steel and no other party can adequately represent its interests.

Because Geneva Steel so recently completed the purchase of the Geneva Steel Plant, it was required to devote all of its attention and energy over the past several months to acquiring

2

the facilities, negotiating necessary contracts and commencing commercial operation. Geneva Steel has only recently been in a posture to concentrate on other important matters, such as the proposed merger. Geneva Steel did not timely file for leave to intervene because its attention was so fully devoted to other critical matters. Geneva Steel has determined that it must now become involved to enable it to understand implications of the proposed merger on the continued viability of the Geneva Plant and to enable Geneva Steel to protect its vital interests.

No party will suffer any prejudice as a result of Geneva Steel's late intervention. Geneva Steel is willing and able to comply with the time schedule established in this matter. It anticipates that it will be able to largely understand and protect its interests on the basis of discovery already undertaken by other parties, and that it will be able to formulate and state its position within the established schedule.

Although this motion is filed out of time, Geneva Steel submits that the delay is excusable, that no prejudice will occur to any party by the late intervention, and that its interests will not be adequately protected absent intervention. At this point, Geneva Steel does not either support or protest the proposed merger, but rather requests the opportunity to participate in these proceedings to protect its vital interests.

WHEREFORE, Geneva Steel respectfully requests that the Commission grant its petition for late intervention as its interests will be substantially affected by these proceedings and

3

the interests of justice and the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceeding will not be impaired.

Respectfully Submitted this $\frac{11}{1000}$ day of January, 1988.

Basic Manufacturing and Technologies of Utah, Inc., dba Geneva Steel

By:

.

Robert J. Grow, General Counsel P. O. Box 2800 Provo, Utah 84603 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing document has been served this date by first-class mail upon all parties on the service list.

Dated this $\underline{/ 4^{+\prime}}$ day of January, 1988.

Robert Hran