



**Joint Statement of the American Gas Association and the
Natural Resources Defense Council**

Submitted to the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners
July 2004

The American Gas Association (AGA) and the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) recognize the many benefits of using clean-burning natural gas efficiently to provide high quality energy services in all sectors of the economy. This statement identifies ways to promote both economic and environmental progress by removing barriers to natural gas distribution companies' investments in urgently needed and cost-effective resources and infrastructure.

NRDC and AGA agree on the importance of state Public Utility Commissions' consideration of innovative programs that encourage increased total energy efficiency and conservation in ways that will align the interests of state regulators, natural gas utility company customers, utility shareholders, and other stakeholders. Cost-effective opportunities abound to improve the efficiency of buildings and equipment in ways that promote the interests of both individual customers and entire utility systems, while improving environmental quality. For example, when energy supply and delivery systems are under stress, even relatively modest reductions in use can yield significant additional cost savings for all customers by relieving strong upward pressures on short-term prices.

NRDC and AGA also encourage state Commissions to support gas distribution company efforts to manage volatility in energy prices and reduce volatility risks for customers.

The Energy Efficiency Problem: Regulated Natural Gas Utilities are Penalized for Aggressively Promoting Energy Efficiency

Local natural gas distribution companies (gas utilities) have very high fixed costs. These fixed costs include the costs of maintaining system safety and reliability throughout the year, staffing customer service telephone lines 24 hours a day and doing what it takes each day of the year to ensure the safe and reliable delivery of natural gas to homes, schools, hospitals, retailers, factories and other customers.

Natural gas utilities typically purchase natural gas on behalf of their customers, and pass through the cost without markup. This means that natural gas utilities do not

profit from their acquisitions of natural gas to serve customer needs. The profit (authorized level of rate of return) comes from the rates utilities charge for transporting the natural gas to customers' homes and businesses.

The vast majority of the non-commodity costs of running a gas distribution utility are fixed and do not vary significantly from month to month. However, traditional utility rates do not reflect this reality. Traditional utility rates are designed to capture most of approved revenue requirements for fixed costs through volumetric retail sales of natural gas, so that a utility can recover these costs fully only if its customers consume a certain minimum amount of natural gas (these amounts are normally calculated in rate cases and generally are based on what customers consumed in the past). Thus, many states' rate structures offer – quite unintentionally – a significant financial disincentive for natural gas utilities to aggressively encourage their customers to use less natural gas, such as by providing financial incentives and education to promote energy-efficiency and conservation techniques.

When customers use less natural gas, utility profitability almost always suffers, because recovery of fixed costs is reduced in proportion to the reduction in sales. Thus, conservation may prevent the utility from recovering its authorized fixed costs and earning its state-allowed rate of return. In this important respect, traditional utility rate practices fail to align the interests of utility shareholders with those of utility customers and society as a whole. This need not be the case. Public utility commissions should consider utility rate proposals and other innovative programs that reward utilities for encouraging conservation and managing customer bills to avoid certain negative impacts associated with colder-than-normal weather. There are a number of ways to do this, and NRDC and AGA join in supporting mechanisms that use modest automatic rate true-ups to ensure that a utility's opportunity to recover authorized fixed costs is not held hostage to fluctuations in retail gas sales.¹ We also support performance-based incentives designed to allow utilities to share in independently verified savings associated with cost-effective energy efficiency programs.

Many states' rate structures also place utilities at risk for variations in customer usage based on variations in weather from a normal pattern. This variation can be both positive and negative. Utilities' allowed rate of return is premised on the

¹For example, in 2003 the Oregon Public Utility Commission approved a "conservation tariff" for Northwest Natural Gas Company (NW Natural) "to break the link between an energy utility's sales and its profitability, so that the utility can assist its customers with energy efficiency without conflict." The conservation tariff seeks to do that by using modest periodic rate adjustments to "decouple" recovery of the utility's authorized fixed costs from unexpected fluctuations in retail sales. See Oregon PUC Order No. 02-634, *Stipulation Adopting Northwest Natural Gas Company Application for Public Purpose Funding and Distribution Margin Normalization* (Sept. 12, 2003). In California, PG&E and other gas utilities have a long tradition of investment in energy efficiency services, including those targeting low-income households, and the PUC is now considering further expansion of these investments along with the creation of performance-based incentives tied to verified net savings. California also pioneered the use of modest periodic true-ups in rates to break the linkage between utilities' financial health and their retail gas sales, and has now restored this policy in the aftermath of an ill-fated industry restructuring experiment. Thus, in March 2004, Southwest Gas Company received an order that authorizes it to establish a margin tracker that will balance actual margin revenues to authorized levels.

expectation that weather will be normal, on average, and that customer use of gas will maintain a predictable pattern going forward. Proposals by utilities to decouple revenues from both conservation-induced usage changes and variations in weather from normal have sometimes been characterized as attempts to reduce utilities' risk of earning their authorized return. The result of these rate reforms, in this regulatory view, should be a lowered authorized return. But reducing authorized returns would penalize utilities for socially beneficial advocacy and action, including efforts to create mechanisms that minimize the volatility of customer bills.

Our shared objective is to give utilities real incentives to encourage conservation and energy efficiency. With properly designed programs, the benefits could be significant and widespread:

- Customers could save money by using less natural gas;
- Reduced overall use will help push down short-term prices at times when markets are under stress, reducing costs for all customers (whether or not they participate in the utility programs);
- Utilities would recover their costs and have a fair opportunity to earn their allowed return;
- State policies to encourage economic development could be enhanced by increased energy efficiency and lower business energy costs;
- State PUCs would be able to support larger state policy objectives as well as programs that reflect the public's desire to use energy efficiently and wisely.

In today's climate of rapidly changing natural gas prices, such reforms make good sense for consumers, shareholders, state governments, and the environment.

Natural Gas Consumers, Price Volatility and Resource Portfolio Management.

Another area of concern shared by NRDC and AGA is the impact of natural gas price volatility on natural gas consumers, which can be exacerbated by limited diversification of utilities' resource portfolios. Today many of the nation's natural gas utilities find themselves relying on short-term markets for most of their gas needs, with either the encouragement or the acquiescence of their regulators. During much of the 1990's this approach was typically advantageous to consumers, as the market price of natural gas was generally low and did not fluctuate dramatically. As wholesale natural gas prices have risen since 2000 and become more volatile, however, many utilities and commissions are reconsidering this emphasis on short-term market purchases.

While purchasing practices based on short-term supply contracts may offer consumers relatively low-cost natural gas, those consumers are also exposed to more volatile prices and natural gas bills that may rise and fall unpredictably. Public Utility Commissions should favorably consider gas distribution company proposals to manage volatility, such as through hedging, fixed-price contracts of various durations, energy-efficiency improvements in customers' buildings and equipment, and other measures designed to provide greater certainty about both supply

adequacy and price stability. Achieving these goals will sometimes require paying a premium over prevailing spot market prices. Like diversified investment portfolios that are designed to mitigate risk, prudent hedging plans should be encouraged as a way to help stabilize gas prices and ensure long-term access to affordable natural gas services.

This Joint Statement also has been reviewed and endorsed by:



Alliance to Save Energy



American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy