

**From:** "Anderson, Taylor" <  
**To:** <mlivingston@utah.gov>  
**Date:** 1/13/2009 9:10 AM  
**Subject:** DOCKET NO. 07-057-13

RE: "DOCKET NO. 07-057-13 In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company to Increase Distribution Non-Gas Rates and Charges to Make Tariff Modifications. As Filed by the Commission on Monday, December 22, 2008

Dear Commissioners:

Pursuant to Utah Code § 63-46b-12, an aggrieved party may file, within 30 days after the date of this Report and Order, a written request for rehearing or reconsideration by the Commission.

I'm an aggrieved party writing to request a rehearing. I'm also a Questar customer who uses natural gas for domestic purposes and my vehicle. I understand the last use will no longer be considered "traditional" under 08-057-13 and will be charged a rate based on international, not local market prices.

The issue of Docket No. 07-057-13 to prevent Questar from subsidizing the cost of presenting CNG to the public is illogical. The public use natural gas every day in their homes and at work. Why is this different than those who use it in their vehicles. Over 99.5% of all NGV owners who fuel their vehicles at a CNG pump will be the same people who use natural gas in their homes and at work. If the concern is that not every Utah citizen drives a NGV and they are helping to subsidize the cost for those of us who do, I would argue that is common in this society. How many people subsidize schools who have no children in attendance? How many golf courses in Utah are supported by everyone and yet used by a few? Everyone who pays taxes, drives a car, or has a job subsidizes some government program; many they are not even allowed to participate in even if they wanted to due to program restrictions. Every Utahan could drive a NGV if they chose, and every Utahan reaps the benefits of cleaner air aided by all NGV. Therefore, any issue with subsidizing should be mute as it is a standard. Utah and Wyoming have an inexpensive natural gas resource that should be used to the benefit of Utah and Wyoming. It was paid for by our citizens and we should reap the benefits of it and not be penalized. Other states have and use the benefit of resources that they can acquire inexpensively while other states cannot and yet no effort is being put forth to "level the playing field" in these many numerous areas. I am furthermore appalled and feel insulted that a Public Services Commission would be looking out for the regulated monopoly before the Public. By your name alone, your mandate is to serve the public and not Keith Rattie. By allowing the current ruling to stand and forcing Utahans to pay abstract and inflated rates on CNG, the PAC will be doing a disservice to the Public whom they have been entrusted to serve.

Respectfully,

Elizabeth Anderson

UTAH PUBLIC  
SERVICE COMMISSION  
2009 JAN 13 A 9:17  
RECEIVED

1601001