



GARY HERBERT  
*Governor*  
SPENCER J. COX  
*Lieutenant Governor*

# State of Utah

## Department of Commerce

### Division of Public Utilities

FRANCINE GIANI  
*Executive Director*

THOMAS BRADY  
*Deputy Director*

CHRIS PARKER  
*Director, Division of Public Utilities*

## ACTION REQUEST RESPONSE

To: Utah Public Service Commission

From: Division of Public Utilities  
Chris Parker, Director  
Artie Powell, Manager, Energy Section  
Doug Wheelwright, Technical Consultant  
Eric Orton, Utility Analyst  
Carolyn Roll, Utility Analyst

Date: July 11, 2014

Subject: Docket No. 14-057-03. Action Request from the Commission to review and make recommendations. Questar Gas Company's Results of Operations Report for the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013. In the Matter of Questar Gas Company Financial Documents Filed in 2014. Division's Review and Recommendation – No Action.

---

### RECOMMENDATION (NO ACTION)

After a review of the above mentioned report, the Division of Public Utilities (Division) recommends that the Public Service Commission of Utah (Commission) take no action.

### ISSUE

On April 21, 2014, Questar Gas Company (Company) filed its December 2013 Results of Operations as called for in the Commission's Order in Docket No. 93-057-01. On May 2, 2014, the Commission issued an Action Request to the Division to review the Report and make recommendations. The Commission asked the Division to report back by June 2, 2014.

Upon initial review of the filing, the Division determined that the review would require additional time. Therefore, the Division requested on May 29, 2014, that the Commission extend the due date of the Division's response to the Action Request to July 11, 2014. On June 5, 2014, the Commission granted the Division's request for an extension of time to July 11, 2014. This memorandum is the Division's response to that Action Request.

## **DISCUSSION**

The Results of Operations submitted by the Company is in the same format and uses the same model as previous Results of Operations submitted to the Commission annually and in prior rate cases, the most recent being Questar Gas Company General Rate Case Docket No. 13-057-05. The filing includes both unadjusted and adjusted results on a system average and a Utah average jurisdictional basis. The fully adjusted results include the normalization and annualized adjustments that are consistent with previous Results of Operation and General Rate Cases. A description of each adjustment is included in the filing. The descriptions reference the docket or dockets numbers where the Commission Order resulted in an adjustment to earnings. As the Division reviewed the adjustment descriptions there were three that did not reference a docket; Wexpro Adjustment to Production Plant, Average Rate Base Adjustment and Questar Corporation Incentive Plans. Those descriptions have been updated and are included as an attachment to this memorandum.

On July 20, 2012 a technical conference was held to discuss the 2011 Results of Operations Reports. At that technical conference many of the adjustments were discussed in detail, as a result of the discussion there was a change made to the Labor Adjustment. In subsequent reports and in the 2013 General Rate Case that change was incorporated. All other adjustments have remained consistent and the State Tax Adjustment has been eliminated since Questar Corp no longer allocates a portion of state income tax to the affiliates. The details of the adjustments are

included in the model that was filed with the report, the Division finds the model to be accurate and consistent.

The Division's review of the Annual Report under this Action Request was done using two review procedures. The first procedure was comparing information given and adjustments made for the year ended December 31, 2012 Annual filing to the same information given and adjustments made for the December 31, 2013 Annual filing. The second procedure was to review and reconcile the year ended December 31, 2013 Annual filing to the Company's SEC 10K filing for the same period. The Division staff also requested that the Company research the adjustments that were missing docket numbers in the descriptions and answered questions from Division staff as needed.

Tab 3 of the Annual filing is called Results of Operations 12/31/2013 Adjusted. This tab starts with actual results for Total Company, then shows the adjustments and imputed tax adjustment for Total Company to arrive at Adjusted System Total and the Utah Jurisdiction DNG Related results. The following table provides the following summary information for comparative purposes. All numbers are the Utah Jurisdiction DNG Related results amounts (\$000,000).

	<b>Dec 2013</b>	<b>Dec 2012</b>
Total Operating Revenues	\$ 294	\$ 281
Total O&M Expenses	\$ 130	\$ 128
Depreciation and Amortization	\$ 48	\$ 45
Taxes Other Than Income	\$ 17	\$ 15
Income Taxes	\$ 30	\$ 26
Net Utility Plant	\$1,214	\$1,124
Other Rate Base Accounts	\$ (316)	\$ (265)
Total Net Rate Base	\$ 898	\$ 859

Earned Return on Rate Base	7.77%	7.74%
Earned Return on Equity	9.59%	8.62%

Through a stipulation approved by the Commission in the Company's last general rate case<sup>1</sup> the Commission authorized an Earned Return on Equity amount of 10.35%. That rate case is silent as to a stipulated authorized an Earned Return on Rate Base. The Division notes that per the last three Annual filings the Company is earning less than its authorized Return on Equity of 10.35%.

For the last General Rate Case the Overall Cost of Capital was as follows:

Component	Percent of Total	Cost	Weighted Average
Long-term Debt	47.14%	6.25%	2.95%
Common Stock Equity	52.86%	10.60%	5.60%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00%</b>		<b>8.55%</b>

In this Report the average Capital Structure for the 12 Months ending December 31, 2013 is:

Component	Percent of Total	Cost	Weighted Average
Long-term Debt	44.35%	5.48%	2.43%
Common Stock Equity	55.65%	10.35%	5.76%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00%</b>		<b>8.19%</b>

As noted above the second review procedure was to reconcile the Annual results to the Company's SEC Form 10K. Through the reconciliation of the Annual filing, the Division can get assurance that if the 10-K results can be reconciled to the Annual Report then the Division can take into account the external auditor's 10K audit opinion on the results shown in the

---

<sup>1</sup> Docket No. 09-057-16

Company's year-end filing. The Division can look to this audit to obtain assurance as to accounting correctness and accuracy for Annual Results of Operations based unadjusted historical information under this review.

Another review procedure was to compare the adjustments made to the Results of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 to the adjustments to the Results of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2012. In the 2012 and 2013 filings, the adjustments are summarized and explained in detail by various categories which are broken out by Tab Sections in the filing. The adjustment Tabs in the filing are numbered and are as follows: Tab 5.1 – Rate Base; Tab 5.2 – Energy Efficiency; Tab 5.3 – Underground Storage; Tab 5.4 – Wexpro Plant; Tab 5.5 – Bad Debt; Tab 5.6 – Incentive Plans; Tab 5.7 – Stock Incentive; Tab 5.8 – Event Tickets; Tab 5.9 – Advertising; Tab 5.10 – Donations and Memberships; Tab 5.11 – Reserve Accrual; and Tab 5.12 – Labor.

One purpose of the comparison was to note material differences between the years and to determine if the differences were proper. Another purpose was to have the Division look at the 2013 adjustments to determine if the presentation, explanations, and balances were consistent and, accurate and that the assumptions and the computation of the adjustments seemed to be proper and accurate. As part of the review the Division had the Company add Docket Number references to the adjustment descriptions for Tab 5.1 – Rate Base; Tab 5.4 – Wexpro Plant; and Tab 5.6 – Incentive Plans. The Division noted that the adjustments in the adjustment tabs were consistent with adjustments that the Company makes to results of operations in its General Rate Case filings.

Based on the Division's comparison analysis and its review of the adjustments, it would like to note the following.

- Bad Debt Expense adjustment for 2013 was \$332,887, while the adjustment for 2012 was \$(462,549). Only the DNG portion of bad debt is included in the calculations of the Results of Operations. This adjustment annualizes the DNG portion of bad debt

experienced during the year to a 3-year average rate of bad debt. The bad debt ratio (net write-offs to total revenue) average for 2012 was 0.23% and the average for 2013 was 0.19%. The bad debt expense related to SNG and Commodity decreased significantly from 2012 resulting in an addition to bad debt expense for the DNG portion in 2013 results.

- The Reserve Accrual includes legal liabilities associated with the Company's self-insurance program and is based on a five year average of actual payments made. The adjustment for 2013 was \$(111,650), while the adjustment for 2012 was \$600,932. The five year average decreased from \$546,932 in 2012 to \$388,350 in 2013, the Company had accrued \$500,000 for legal expense in 2013 resulting in the adjustment of \$(111,650) for 2013.
- The Labor Adjustment calculation includes a capitalization ratio, which is a measure of the portion of labor and overhead costs that are capitalized and not currently expensed. The Company uses a five-year average of this ratio for ratemaking and for stating results of operations. This adjustment increased from \$2,301,769 in 2012 to \$5,932,858 in 2013. This increase was due to the difference in the capitalization ratio of the five-year average compared to the current year. In 2012 the five-year average was 75.08% versus 72.82% for the current year, in 2013 the five-year average was 72.82% versus 66.88% for the twelve months ending December 2013.

## **CONCLUSION**

After performing the above procedures and after reviewing the results obtained from those procedures, nothing came to the Division attention during its review that was of a material significance to indicate any need for modification of the filing or for action to change the Results of Operations as filed.

cc: Michele Beck, Office of Consumer Services  
Barrie McKay, Questar Gas Company