




Welcome/Overview

Allan Bradley
President & CEO
Questar Pipeline Company

March 2014

QGC POOLING PROPOSAL

NAESB Standard for Confirmations




- This is minimum requirement going forward
- Can confirm nomination
- Cannot mask nomination
- QPC uses EDI (automated) confirmations

Current Method Used by QGC TS Shippers


TSP = QPC

BP 1
SRK 1



TSP = QGC

BP 2
SRK 2




- This cannot be maintained in the future
- Cannot confirm nomination
- No automated cuts
- Manual cuts by QGC are very difficult
 - Matching nominations
 - Large number of nominations
 - Cycle and processing time constraints
- Masks nomination

Pool on QGC

TSP = QPC


TSP = QGC




- Can confirm
- Masked to QGC industrial customer
- Not masked to QGC as TSP operator which contracts are Firm / Interruptible
- Customers who do not want to use pool can nominate take away from 90164

Explanation of Pooling Ranking

TSP = QPC



TSP = QGC



Customers can rank priority of their nominations

- The priorities on QPC downstream (Pool K Firm, Pool K IT) contracts will dictate order of cuts made on pool
- Rankings on downstream pool nominations will dictate the priority of cuts on QGC

Conclusions on Pooling

- QGC pool meets the customer needs and QGC documentation of firm supply
- Minimal system changes are required to implement this proposal
- Automated EDI confirmation reductions are more accurate and save man power
- Shippers who do not need the pool continue to nominate as they do currently

