

APPLICATION OF DOMINION ENERGY UTAH

Docket No. 19-057-33

TELECONFERENCE HEARING

July 30, 2020

ADVANCED REPORTING SOLUTIONS

801-746-5080 | office@advancedrep.com | advancedrep.com

SALT LAKE | 159 West Broadway, Broadway Lofts, Suite 100 | Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

PROVO | 3507 North University Avenue, Suite 350-D | Provo, Utah 84604

ST. GEORGE | 20 North Main Street, Suite 301 | St. George, Utah 84770



Teleconference Hearing
July 30, 2020

1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

2 -ooOoo-

3 In Re: APPLICATION OF :
4 DOMINION ENERGY UTAH FOR :
5 APPROVAL OF A NATURAL :
6 GAS CLEAN AIR PROJECT :
7 AND FUNDING FOR THE : Docket No. 19-057-33
8 INTERMOUNTAIN INDUSTRIAL :
9 ASSESSMENT CENTER : Presiding Officer:
 Michael Hammer
 :
 :
 :
 :

10 TELECONFERENCE HEARING

11
12 Taken on Thursday, July 30, 2020

13 at 9:02 a.m.

14
15
16 At Public Service Commission of Utah
17 160 East 300 South
18 4th Floor
19 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

20
21
22
23
24 Reported by: Abigail D.W. Johnson, RPR, CRR, CRC

A P P E A R A N C E S

For THE UTAH DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:

Patricia E. Schmid
UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
160 East 300 South
Fifth Floor
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
pschmid@agutah.gov
(801) 336-0380

For DOMINION ENERGY UTAH:

Jennifer Nelson Clark
DOMINION ENERGY UTAH
333 South State Street
P.O. Box 45433
Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0433
jennifer.clark@dominionenergy.com
(801) 324-5392

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S (continued)

For the UTAH OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES:

Victor P. Copeland
SPECIAL ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL
160 East 300 South
Fifth Floor
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-0856
vcopeland@agoffice.gov
(801) 336-0312

-ooOoo-

I N D E X

WITNESS	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE NO.
---------	----------------	----------

KELLY MENDENHALL

Direct Examination by Ms. Clark 8

Cross-Examination by Mr. Copeland 16

ROBERT E. DAVIS

Direct Examination by Ms. Clark 17

BELA VASTAG

Direct Examination by Mr. Copeland 20

-o0o-

1 July 30, 2020

9:02 a.m.

2 P R O C E E D I N G S

3 -o0o-

4 MR. HAMMER: We should all be here for the
5 notice on the hearing on the matter of the application
6 of Dominion Energy Utah for approval of funding for the
7 Intermountain Industrial Assessment Center. Commission
8 Docket No. 19-057-33. My name is Michael Hammer. I am
9 the Commission's designated officer. Let's go ahead
10 and take appearances from the parties beginning with
11 Dominion Energy.

12 MS. CLARK: This is Jenniffer Clark. I am
13 counsel for Dominion Energy, and I have with me
14 Kelly B. Mendenhall. He will be providing a summary of
15 the stipulation and the Company's position as it stands
16 today.

17 MR. HAMMER: Thank you. And the Division
18 of Public Utilities?

19 MS. SCHMID: Good morning. This is
20 Patricia E. Schmid. I am with the Attorney General's
21 office representing the Division of Public Utilities.
22 Robert A. Davis is the Division's witness today. And
23 he will provide testimony in support of the settlement
24 stipulation filed July 16th, 2020. Thank you.

25 MR. HAMMER: And from the Office of

1 Consumer Services?

2 MR. COPELAND: Good morning. Victor
3 Copeland, Special Assistant Attorney General with the
4 Office of the Utah Attorney General representing the
5 Utah Office of Consumer Services, which I will refer to
6 as OCS. OCS intends to call one witness to present
7 evidence to explain and support the settlement
8 stipulation, specifically utility analyst Bela Vastag.

9 MR. HAMMER: Thank you. Is there anyone
10 else on the line today that will have a speaking role
11 in today's hearing?

12 All right, Ms. Clark, go ahead and call
13 your first witness.

14 MS. CLARK: Well, I do have one preliminary
15 matter before we call witnesses, if that would be all
16 right with you.

17 MR. HAMMER: Of course. I'm so sorry.

18 MS. CLARK: No, that's fine. I will draw
19 your attention to Paragraph 19 of the settlement
20 stipulation, which provides that the parties agree that
21 all pleadings come as action request responses, can be
22 admitted as evidence. Having discussed it with some,
23 but not all of the other parties, the Company believes
24 we also intended that pretrial testimony be admitted as
25 evidence. And I would simply, based on that paragraph,

1 move for the admission of the Company's prefiled
2 amended application along with a direct testimony of
3 Mr. Orton, Dr. Powell and Mr. Mendenhall and their
4 accompanying exhibits as well.

5 MR. HAMMER: Any objection from the DPU?

6 MS. SCHMID: No objection.

7 MR. HAMMER: Mr. Copeland?

8 MR. COPELAND: No objection from OCS. And
9 just for purposes of clarity and completeness of the
10 record, OCS would further move that the Commission
11 receive the direct testimony of Bela Vastag that was
12 dated June 15, 2020 into evidence as part of that
13 motion.

14 MR. HAMMER: I suspect there is no
15 objection, Ms. Clark?

16 MS. CLARK: No, no objection.

17 MS. SCHMID: If I may clarify?

18 MR. HAMMER: Go ahead.

19 MS. SCHMID: Thank you. The testimony of
20 Dr. Powell referenced by Ms. Nelson Clark refers to the
21 direct testimony of Cody M. Powell, who is associated
22 with the University of Utah not the testimony of
23 William A. Powell who commonly testifies for the
24 Division. Our Dr. Powell did not provide testimony in
25 this docket.

1 MS. CLARK: That is correct. And perhaps I
2 could just recite, by exhibit number, for clarity of
3 the record.

4 The Company would move for the admission of
5 the amended application along with the amended direct
6 testimony of Michael A. Orton, which is marked as PCU
7 Exhibit 1.00 through 1.02. We would also move for the
8 admission of the direct testimony of
9 Dr. Cody M. Powell, which is marked as PCU Exhibit 2.0.
10 And we would move, finally, for the admission of the
11 direct testimony of Kelly B. Mendenhall, which is
12 marked as Exhibit 3.0, with accompanying Exhibits 3.01
13 and 3.02.

14 MR. HAMMER: For clarity, there is no
15 intent to exclude any of the written prefiled testimony
16 in the docket from evidence; correct?

17 MS. CLARK: Correct.

18 MR. HAMMER: All right. Then we'll
19 consider and deem all pretrial written testimony as
20 admitted. Is there any other preliminary that we need
21 to get to before the testimony?

22 MS. CLARK: None from the Company.

23 MR. HAMMER: Go ahead, Ms. Clark.

24 MS. CLARK: Thank you. The Company will
25 call Kelly B. Mendenhall.

1 MR. HAMMER: Mr. Mendenhall, do you swear
2 to tell the truth?

3 THE WITNESS: Yes.

4 DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MS. CLARK:

6 Q. Mr. Mendenhall, will you please state your
7 full name and business address for the record?

8 A. Yes. My name is Kelly B. Mendenhall, and
9 my normal business address is 333 South State Street,
10 Salt Lake City, Utah.

11 Q. Mr. Mendenhall, what position do you hold
12 with the Company?

13 A. I'm the director of regulatory and pricing
14 for Dominion Energy Utah.

15 Q. Okay. And Mr. Mendenhall, did you
16 participate in the preparation of the application in
17 this matter as well as the drafting of the settlement
18 stipulation that has been filed in this docket?

19 A. Yes, I did.

20 Q. Could you please summarize that settlement
21 stipulation and the Company's position?

22 A. Sure. So, it might just be easiest to walk
23 through some of the key terms and conditions. Why
24 don't we turn -- If we can turn to page 3 of the
25 settlement stipulation beginning in Paragraph 7.

1 And while you're turning there, I want to
2 thank the Division of Public Utilities and Office of
3 Consumer Services for their work on this stipulation,
4 there was a lot of time getting these conditions right.
5 So I appreciate their collaboration.

6 So, on page 3, Paragraph 7, it basically
7 just says that those parties I just mentioned, the
8 Division, the office and Dominion Energy Utah, bring
9 this settlement before the Commission.

10 If we move on to Paragraph 8, we are --
11 have agreed to a funding amount of \$500,000 per year
12 for a 2-year pilot period, beginning October 1st of
13 2020. And just to give you an idea of the impact that
14 that will have on our typical customer, it would be
15 about \$0.35 a year or 5/100ths of a percent increase
16 and a typical customer bill.

17 And so, the total amount would be, as I
18 mentioned, \$500,000 per year for two years for a total
19 funding of \$1 million. And we've also provided
20 confidential Exhibit A, which provides a breakout or a
21 summary of how that funding will be used to -- for the
22 IAC's program.

23 Moving on to Paragraph 9. This talks a
24 little bit about the deliverables. And so as part of
25 the program, the IAC will perform 40 assessments,

1 energy assessments, with associated clean air analyses
2 on these customers. And we're really hoping that this
3 will act as a project generator to help identify
4 measures that we can bring before the Commission as
5 separate STEP projects. So they will perform those 40
6 assessments, and then they already have a very
7 successful BOE-related program. We will be able to
8 leverage that program and they will perform 40
9 clean-air analyses on those projects as well.

10 So over the two-year period we'll have 80
11 potential candidates that we can look at to develop --
12 or to generate projects. This paragraph also talks
13 about how the funding will be disbursed. So it will be
14 \$100,000 per quarter for eight quarters. And
15 additionally, there will be two performance payments.
16 The first will be paid out after 16 assessments have
17 been completed. The second will be paid after all 40
18 assessments are complete per the two-year period.

19 There is also a clause in this paragraph
20 that talks a little bit about Covid-19. And parties
21 recognize that if, or due to the pandemic, the energy
22 assessments can't be performed, we have the ability to
23 press pause on the program. And if we do that, we will
24 notify the Commission that that is occurring. And then
25 we'll resume when it's practicable.

1 Moving on to Paragraph 10, this talks about
2 potential additional funding. So, if after 20 months
3 the Company, after evaluating the program and the work
4 performed and the results achieved, determine that
5 additional funding is warranted, we have the ability
6 beginning May of 2022 to come in and ask for additional
7 funding. And this just lays out the timeline of what
8 that will look like in a proceeding before the
9 Commission.

10 Moving on to Paragraph 11, we've identified
11 some prescreening criteria that the Company and the
12 IIAC will use to determine what customers will qualify
13 for a potential assessment. And so, just to give you
14 kind of a highlight list of what those are -- the
15 first, the customer's usage or aggregate usage for
16 multiple customers would need to exceed
17 2,500 dekatherms per year. That is, basically, the
18 larger the customer, the greater the potential they
19 have to improve air quality.

20 So we're really hoping to get some of these
21 bigger customers involved. They will also need to be a
22 Dominion Energy customer currently. They'll need to be
23 willing to participate in an implementation review and
24 also to be identified as a program participant. And if
25 they are involved in receiving additional STEP funding,

1 their name will need to be shared as a recipient. And
2 then, we're also hoping that they do not have -- they
3 have not had a prior assessment done for their
4 business.

5 Moving on to Paragraph 12. This talks
6 about the guidelines that we developed to help guide
7 the IAC. And I'm not going to get into those in a lot
8 of detail, but I will tell you that they're attached in
9 Exhibit B. And they cover four areas. They cover
10 assessment process and performance, assessment
11 reporting, assessment recommendations, and then the
12 last one is employment of student personnel and
13 conducting assessments.

14 And the DOE, with their relationship with
15 the IAC, has very similar guidelines, and so we
16 actually used their guidelines as, kind of, a
17 boilerplate to start with to help us to develop these.
18 But this will give both the DEU and the IAC some
19 guidance on how the program will be administered.

20 Moving on to 13. This just provides some
21 clarity on how the funds will be used. We will not use
22 the funds for scholarly publications or related
23 presentations and travel.

24 Moving on to Paragraph 14. This just
25 basically says that any measures that are identified by

1 the IIAC that are brought before the Commission for
2 additional STEP funding will be related to natural gas
3 operations. So, for example, if they do an energy
4 assessment and they find a potential measure that will
5 save electricity consumption and improve air quality on
6 that side, we would not bring that project before the
7 Commission. Obviously, Rocky Mountain Power would have
8 the opportunity to, but under our program we would not
9 be seeking STEP funding for those measures.

10 And last, Paragraph 15, just talks a little
11 bit about the reporting. So we will provide quarterly
12 reports to the office and division, and we will develop
13 exactly what those reports look like. They will
14 include things like detailed budgets, the impacts of
15 Covid-19 and assessment analysis results. And then
16 annually we plan to file, with the Commission, a
17 summary of that information that we provided quarterly
18 to the Division and the office. Additionally, in our
19 reports we will provide information on our outreach to
20 other universities and opportunities that we've given
21 them to participate in the STEP program.

22 And then, finally, we will talk about our
23 promotional and marketing efforts. Our goal here is to
24 cast a wide net to get a diverse group of customers,
25 both in terms of type of customer as well as geographic

1 area. And so, we'll report on both the marketing
2 efforts and the makeup of the customers that have
3 participated.

4 So there were two items that we did not
5 address in the stipulation, but I did address in my
6 testimony. And I just want to touch on those really
7 briefly. We didn't include them in the stipulation.
8 That was probably an oversight on my part.

9 The first was related to the creation of a
10 balancing account. And so in my testimony, I propose
11 that we would create a balancing account to manage
12 these funds. And the division office -- And I don't
13 believe they discussed it in their testimony, but I
14 just wanted to point out that the STEP statute gives
15 some guidance on this and the STEP statute and the Utah
16 Code 54-20-105, Paragraph 3(e) states that a
17 large-scale natural gas utility shall establish a
18 balancing account that includes funds allocated for
19 projects that have been approved by the Commission
20 under Subsection 3(a), which is what we're proposing to
21 do here today and also include a carrying charge in an
22 amount determined by the Commission.

23 So, if the Commission approves this
24 settlement and the funding, the Company plans to
25 establish a STEP balancing account and would use the

1 caring charge as approved in Section 8.7 of its tariff.

2 The other issue I raised in my testimony
3 that is not addressed in this stipulation is the tariff
4 language. I proposed some tariff language associated
5 with this balancing account and discussed a little bit
6 with the other parties about how to handle this -- and
7 they'll have the opportunity to speak, obviously, so I
8 don't want to put words in their mouth.

9 Assuming the Commission approves this
10 stipulation, the next step for us, the Company, would
11 be to file a STEP surcharge filing to actually begin
12 charging customers. And we would contemplate that, at
13 that time, we would incorporate this tariff language
14 related to the balancing account in that filing and
15 that will give parties a chance to weigh in. And we
16 can -- we can resolve it in that proceeding. So I
17 think we don't need to deal with it here today. So
18 just wanted to point those two things out.

19 So to summarize, the Company believes that
20 this settlement is just, reasonable and in the public
21 interest, and we respectfully request the Commission
22 approves the settlement, and that concludes my summary.
23 Thank you.

24 MS. CLARK: Mr. Mendenhall is available for
25 cross-examination and also questions from the

1 Commission.

2 MR. HAMMER: Ms. Schmid?

3 MS. SCHMID: The Division has no questions
4 for this witness. Thank you.

5 MR. HAMMER: Mr. Copeland?

6 MR. COPELAND: Thank you. I have just one
7 minor clarifying question.

8 CROSS-EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. COPELAND:

10 Q. Mr. Mendenhall, in providing a verbal
11 summary of the terms and conditions in the settlement
12 stipulation and, except for the balancing account and
13 tariff language you just mentioned, it was not your
14 intention to conflict with, change or otherwise modify
15 or inform the interpretation of the written terms of
16 the settlement stipulation in any way; correct?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. Thank you.

19 MR. HAMMER: And you resolved my only
20 question, Mr. Mendenhall, which involved the carrying
21 charge. Thank you very much.

22 Ms. Clark, do you have any other witnesses?

23 MS. CLARK: The Company has no other
24 witnesses. Thank you.

25 MR. HAMMER: Ms. Schmid?

1 MS. SCHMID: Thank you. The Division would
2 like to call as its witness Mr. Robert A. Davis. Could
3 he please be sworn?

4 MR. HAMMER: Mr. Davis, do you swear to
5 tell the truth?

6 THE WITNESS: I do.

7 DIRECT EXAMINATION

8 BY MS. SCHMID:

9 Q. Good morning. Could you please state your
10 full name, employer, title and business address for the
11 record?

12 A. Yes. My name is Robert A. Davis. I work
13 for the Division of Public Utilities as a utility
14 technical consultant. My business address is 160
15 East 300 South, Heber Wells Building, 4th floor, Salt
16 Lake City, Utah 84114.

17 Q. In connection with your employment by the
18 Division, have you participated on behalf of the
19 Division in this docket?

20 A. Yes, I have.

21 Q. Do you have a statement that you would like
22 to present to the Commission today?

23 A. Yes, I do.

24 Q. Please proceed.

25 A. Good morning. Dominion Energy of Utah

1 request the Commission's approval of its application to
2 fund the University of Utah's Intermountain Industrial
3 Assessment Center IIAC under Utah Code
4 Section 54-20-105 of the Sustainable Transportation and
5 Energy Plan Act or STEP.

6 The funding provides for a 24-month IIAC
7 pilot program to begin October 1, 2020 at a funding
8 level of \$500,000 per year for a total of \$1 million in
9 IIAC funding during the pilot program periods. In
10 return, IIAC will conduct and complete 40 assessments
11 including clean-air analysis and an additional 40
12 clean-air analysis on IIAC department energy related
13 projects during the 24-month pilot program.

14 Dominion Energy Utah has agreed to provide
15 quarterly and annual reports on the progress and status
16 of IIAC in the format agreeable to the parties. The
17 Division concludes that the IIAC pilot would be useful
18 to Dominion Energy Utah customers to find efficiencies
19 in their processes, buildings and plant facilities that
20 may lead to the conservation of energy and reduce
21 pollution.

22 Therefore, the Division finds Dominion's
23 request to fund the Intermountain Industrial Center
24 under the STEP act in the public interest and
25 recommends the Commission approves the 24-month pilot

1 as stated in the stipulation between the parties. That
2 concludes my summary. Thank you.

3 Q. I have just two follow-up questions. Is it
4 the Division's testimony that the stipulation is just
5 and reasonable in result?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Is it also the Division's testimony that
8 the evidence contained in the record, including the
9 testimony presented today, supports the finding that
10 the settlement proposal is just and reasonable in
11 result?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Thank you.

14 MS. SCHMID: Mr. Davis is now available for
15 cross-examination questions and questions from the
16 hearing officer.

17 MR. HAMMER: Mr. Copeland?

18 MR. COPELAND: No questions. Thank you.

19 MR. HAMMER: Ms. Clark?

20 MS. CLARK: The Company has no questions.
21 Thank you.

22 MR. HAMMER: And Mr. Davis? I'm sorry, I
23 had a little bit of audio trouble. And if you covered
24 this, and I missed it, I'm terribly sorry. Did you
25 speak to the issue concerning the carrying charge of

1 Mr. Mendenhall spoke to in his testimony?

2 MR. DAVIS: I did not.

3 MR. HAMMER: Does the Division have a
4 position on that?

5 Q. (BY MS. SCHMID) Mr. Davis, do you agree
6 that the process proposed by Mr. Mendenhall today was
7 acceptable to the Division?

8 A. Yes.

9 MR. HAMMER: Okay, thank you.

10 No other witnesses, Ms. Schmid?

11 MS. SCHMID: Thank you. No. The Division
12 has nothing further.

13 MR. HAMMER: Mr. Copeland?

14 MR. COPELAND: Thank you. OCS calls Bela
15 Vastag and asks that he be sworn.

16 MR. HAMMER: Mr. Vastag, do you swear to
17 tell the truth?

18 MR. VASTAG: Yes, I do.

19 MR. HAMMER: Thank you.

20 DIRECT EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. COPELAND:

22 Q. Mr. Vastag, please state your name,
23 employer, occupation and business address for the
24 record.

25 A. Yes. And I'll spell it for the court

1 reporter. My name is Bela, B-e-l-a, Vastag,
2 V-a-s-t-a-g. I am a utility analyst, and I work for
3 the Utah Office of Consumer Services. My business
4 address is the Heber Wells Building, which is at
5 160 East 300 South in Salt Lake City, Utah.

6 Q. Thank you. And Mr. Vastag, in your
7 capacity as utility analyst for OCS, have you worked on
8 OCS's filings in Docket No. 19-057-33? And are you
9 otherwise familiar with the record in that docket?

10 A. Yes. I have worked on it, and I'm very
11 familiar with it.

12 Q. Thank you. And have you read and did you
13 participate in the negotiations and drafting of the
14 settlement stipulation filed in Docket No. 19-057-33 on
15 July 16th, 2020?

16 A. Yes, I have.

17 Q. Thank you. Is it OCS's position that this
18 settlement stipulation taken as a whole, and if
19 approved by the Commission, would be a just and
20 reasonable result and in the public interest?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Thank you. Have you prepared a summary of
23 OCS's position with respect to this settlement
24 stipulation?

25 A. Yes, I have.

1 **Q. Please proceed with your summary.**

2 A. Thank you.

3 Good morning, everyone, Commission. The
4 settlement stipulation before the Commission today
5 provides for funding of the Intermountain Industrial
6 Assessment Center or IIAC under the Sustainable
7 Transportation and Energy Plan or STEP Act.

8 Prior to the filing of this stipulation,
9 the office of consumer services or OCS, in our
10 June 15th, 2020 testimony in this docket raised several
11 issues and concerns about Dominion Energy's proposed
12 use of rate payer provided STEP funds for the IIAC.

13 A summary of our issues are as follows.
14 One, the initial funding for the IIAC should only be
15 for a pilot period in order to determine whether the
16 IIAC approach is feasible, cost effective and will
17 deliver benefits.

18 Two, funding during the pilot period should
19 be significantly less than the \$2.4 million requested
20 by Dominion Energy in its application.

21 Three, before STEP funded IIAC work would
22 begin, Dominion should establish criteria for the
23 prescreening and evaluation of projects. Or the IIAC
24 should have guidelines governing how it conducts its
25 work under the STEP program.

1 Five, a budget should be provided that
2 shows, with adequate financial detail, how the IIAC
3 proposes to spend STEP funds.

4 Six, the IIAC should have specific goals
5 and measurable deliverables, such as the number of
6 assessments and the number of air quality analyses done
7 over a certain time frame.

8 Seven, Dominion Energy should provide
9 quarterly reports on the IIAC progress to the OCS and
10 the Division of Public Utilities during the pilot
11 period.

12 Eight, because public funds are being
13 spent, certain information for each STEP-funded project
14 should not be designated as confidential but should be
15 made available to the public.

16 Nine, the IIAC is part of the University of
17 Utah, and Dominion Energy should offer other Utah
18 universities the opportunity to participate in DEU's
19 STEP program.

20 Ten, and finally, because the Covid-19
21 pandemic will most likely have some impact on how the
22 IIAC operates, Dominion Energy should report on these
23 impacts. And if it is necessary, to temporarily
24 suspend IIAC operations and STEP funding due to
25 Covid-19 restrictions.

1 As discussed earlier, the OCS has
2 participated in several settlement negotiation meetings
3 and was very involved in the development of the
4 stipulation now before the Commission. The OCS
5 believes that this stipulation adequately addresses the
6 issues and concerns that I just outlined in this
7 statement and that I discussed in detail in my June
8 15th testimony. The OCS feels that addressing these
9 issues is important to prudently manage the expenditure
10 of rate payer provided funds.

11 Therefore, the OCS believes that this
12 settlement stipulation is just and reasonable in result
13 and in the public interest. The OCS recommends that
14 the Commission approve it.

15 And then, finally, I wanted to also state
16 if the Commission approves the settlement, the OCS also
17 supports Dominion's proposal to establish a STEP
18 balancing account and to address the STEP surcharge,
19 carrying charge and associated changes to tariff
20 language in a future filing before the Commission.

21 That concludes my statement.

22 **Q. Thank you.**

23 MR. COPELAND: Mr. Vastag is available for
24 cross-examination and for questions from the
25 Commission.

1 MR. HAMMER: Ms. Clark?

2 MS. CLARK: The Company has no questions.

3 MR. HAMMER: Ms. Schmid?

4 MS. SCHMID: The Division has no questions.

5 MR. HAMMER: And I have none. Thank you,
6 Mr. Vastag. Anything else, Mr. Copeland?

7 MR. COPELAND: No. Thank you.

8 MR. HAMMER: All right. Is there anything
9 else from any other party before we adjourn?

10 MS. CLARK: Nothing more from the Company.

11 MS. SCHMID: Nothing more from the
12 Division.

13 MR. COPELAND: Nothing from OCS.

14 MR. HAMMER: Well, thank you, everyone for
15 your participation this morning. We're adjourned.

16 MS. SCHMID: Thank you.

17 MS. CLARK: Thanks.

18 (Adjourned at 9:32 a.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF UTAH)
)
COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)

I, ABIGAIL D.W. JOHNSON, a Certified
Shorthand Reporter and Registered Professional
Reporter, hereby certify:

THAT the foregoing proceedings were
taken before me at the time and place therein set
forth, at which time the witness was placed under oath
to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
truth; that the proceedings were taken down by me in
shorthand and thereafter my notes were transcribed
through computer-aided transcription; and the foregoing
transcript constitutes a full, true, and accurate
record of such testimony adduced and oral proceedings
had, and of the whole thereof.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a
relative or employee of any attorney of the parties,
nor do I have a financial interest in the action.

I have subscribed my name on this
3rd day of August, 2020.



ABIGAIL D.W. JOHNSON, RPR, CRR, CRC

<hr/>	2-year 10:12	<hr/>
\$	2.0 8:9	8
<hr/>	20 12:2	<hr/>
\$0.35 10:15	2020 5:1,24 7:12 10:13	8 10:10
\$1 10:19 19:8	19:7 22:15 23:10	8.7 16:1
\$100,000 11:14	2022 12:6	80 11:10
\$2.4 23:19	24-month 19:6,13,25	84114 18:16
\$500,000 10:11,18 19:8	<hr/>	<hr/>
<hr/>	3	9
-	<hr/>	<hr/>
<hr/>	3 9:24 10:6	9 10:23
-o0o- 5:3	3(a) 15:20	9:02 5:1
<hr/>	3(e) 15:16	<hr/>
1	3.0 8:12	A
<hr/>	3.01 8:12	<hr/>
1 19:7	3.02 8:13	a.m. 5:1
1.00 8:7	30 5:1	ability 11:22 12:5
1.02 8:7	300 18:15 22:5	acceptable 21:7
10 12:1	333 9:9	accompanying 7:4 8:12
11 12:10	<hr/>	account 15:10,11,18,25
12 13:5	4	16:5,14 17:12 25:18
13 13:20	<hr/>	achieved 12:4
14 13:24	40 10:25 11:5,8,17 19:10,	act 11:3 19:5,24 23:7
15 7:12 14:10	11	action 6:21
15th 23:10 25:8	4th 18:15	additional 12:2,5,6,25
16 11:16	<hr/>	14:2 19:11
160 18:14 22:5	5	additionally 11:15 14:18
16th 5:24 22:15	<hr/>	address 9:7,9 15:5
19 6:19	5/100ths 10:15	18:10,14 21:23 22:4
19-057-33 5:8 22:8,14	54-20-105 15:16 19:4	25:18
1st 10:12	<hr/>	addressed 16:3
<hr/>	7	addresses 25:5
2	<hr/>	addressing 25:8
<hr/>	7 9:25 10:6	adequate 24:2
2,500 12:17		adequately 25:5

administered 13:19
admission 7:1 8:4,8,10
admitted 6:22,24 8:20
aggregate 12:15
agree 6:20 21:5
agreeable 19:16
agreed 10:11 19:14
ahead 5:9 6:12 7:18 8:23
air 11:1 12:19 14:5 24:6
allocated 15:18
amended 7:2 8:5
amount 10:11,17 15:22
analyses 11:1,9 24:6
analysis 14:15 19:11,12
analyst 6:8 22:2,7
annual 19:15
annually 14:16
appearances 5:10
application 5:5 7:2 8:5
9:16 19:1 23:20
approach 23:16
approval 5:6 19:1
approve 25:14
approved 15:19 16:1
22:19
approves 15:23 16:9,22
19:25 25:16
area 15:1
areas 13:9
asks 21:15
assessment 5:7 12:13
13:3,10,11 14:4,15 19:3
23:6

assessments 10:25
11:1,6,16,18,22 13:13
19:10 24:6
Assistant 6:3
Assuming 16:9
attached 13:8
attention 6:19
Attorney 5:20 6:3,4
audio 20:23

B

B-E-L-A 22:1
balancing 15:10,11,18,
25 16:5,14 17:12 25:18
based 6:25
basically 10:6 12:17
13:25
begin 16:11 19:7 23:22
beginning 5:10 9:25
10:12 12:6
behalf 18:18
Bela 6:8 7:11 21:14 22:1
believes 6:23 16:19 25:5,
11
benefits 23:17
bigger 12:21
bill 10:16
bit 10:24 11:20 14:11
16:5 20:23
BOE-RELATED 11:7
boilerplate 13:17
breakout 10:20
briefly 15:7

bring 10:8 11:4 14:6
brought 14:1
budget 24:1
budgets 14:14
Building 18:15 22:4
buildings 19:19
business 9:7,9 13:4
18:10,14 21:23 22:3

C

call 6:6,12,15 8:25 18:2
calls 21:14
candidates 11:11
capacity 22:7
caring 16:1
carrying 15:21 17:20
20:25 25:19
cast 14:24
Center 5:7 19:3,23 23:6
chance 16:15
change 17:14
charge 15:21 16:1 17:21
20:25 25:19
charging 16:12
City 9:10 18:16 22:5
clarify 7:17
clarifying 17:7
clarity 7:9 8:2,14 13:21
Clark 5:12 6:12,14,18
7:15,16,20 8:1,17,22,23,
24 9:5 16:24 17:22,23
20:19,20
clause 11:19

clean 11:1
clean-air 11:9 19:11,12
Code 15:16 19:3
Cody 7:21 8:9
collaboration 10:5
Commission 5:7 7:10
10:9 11:4,24 12:9 14:1,7,
16 15:19,22,23 16:9,21
17:1 18:22 19:25 22:19
23:3,4 25:4,14,16,20,25
Commission's 5:9 19:1
commonly 7:23
Company 6:23 8:4,22,24
9:12 12:3,11 15:24
16:10,19 17:23 20:20
Company's 5:15 7:1 9:21
complete 11:18 19:10
completed 11:17
completeness 7:9
concerns 23:11 25:6
concludes 16:22 19:17
20:2 25:21
conditions 9:23 10:4
17:11
conduct 19:10
conducting 13:13
conducts 23:24
confidential 10:20 24:14
conflict 17:14
connection 18:17
conservation 19:20
consultant 18:14
consumer 6:1,5 10:3
22:3 23:9

consumption 14:5
contained 20:8
contemplate 16:12
Copeland 6:2,3 7:7,8
17:5,6,9 20:17,18 21:13,
14,21 25:23
correct 8:1,16,17 17:16,
17
cost 23:16
counsel 5:13
court 21:25
cover 13:9
covered 20:23
Covid-19 11:20 14:15
24:20,25
create 15:11
creation 15:9
criteria 12:11 23:22
cross-examination
16:25 17:8 20:15 25:24
customer 10:14,16
12:18,22 14:25
customer's 12:15
customers 11:2 12:12,
16,21 14:24 15:2 16:12
19:18

D

dated 7:12
Davis 5:22 18:2,4,12
20:14,22 21:2,5
deal 16:17
deem 8:19

dekatherms 12:17
deliver 23:17
deliverables 10:24 24:5
department 19:12
designated 5:9 24:14
detail 13:8 24:2 25:7
detailed 14:14
determine 12:4,12 23:15
determined 15:22
DEU 13:18
DEU's 24:18
develop 11:11 13:17
14:12
developed 13:6
development 25:3
direct 7:2,11,21 8:5,8,11
9:4 18:7 21:20
director 9:13
disbursed 11:13
discussed 6:22 15:13
16:5 25:1,7
diverse 14:24
division 5:17,21 7:24
10:2,8 14:12,18 15:12
17:3 18:1,13,18,19
19:17,22 21:3,7,11 24:10
Division's 5:22 20:4,7
docket 5:8 7:25 8:16
9:18 18:19 22:8,9,14
23:10
DOE 13:14
Dominion 5:6,11,13 9:14
10:8 12:22 18:25 19:14,
18 23:11,20,22 24:8,17,

22	13:9	funds 13:21,22 15:12,18 23:12 24:3,12 25:10
Dominion's 19:22 25:17	exhibits 7:4 8:12	future 25:20
DPU 7:5	expenditure 25:9	
drafting 9:17 22:13	explain 6:7	
draw 6:18		<hr/> G <hr/>
due 11:21 24:24		
	<hr/> F <hr/>	gas 14:2 15:17
<hr/> E <hr/>	facilities 19:19	General 6:3,4
	familiar 22:9,11	General's 5:20
earlier 25:1	feasible 23:16	generate 11:12
easiest 9:22	feels 25:8	generator 11:3
East 18:15 22:5	file 14:16 16:11	geographic 14:25
effective 23:16	filed 5:24 9:18 22:14	give 10:13 12:13 13:18 16:15
efficiencies 19:18	filing 16:11,14 23:8 25:20	goal 14:23
efforts 14:23 15:2	filings 22:8	goals 24:4
electricity 14:5	finally 8:10 14:22 24:20 25:15	Good 5:19 6:2 18:9,25 23:3
employer 18:10 21:23	financial 24:2	governing 23:24
employment 13:12 18:17	find 14:4 19:18	greater 12:18
energy 5:6,11,13 9:14 10:8 11:1,21 12:22 14:3 18:25 19:5,12,14,18,20 23:7,20 24:8,17,22	finding 20:9	group 14:24
Energy's 23:11	finds 19:22	guidance 13:19 15:15
establish 15:17,25 23:22 25:17	fine 6:18	guide 13:6
evaluating 12:3	floor 18:15	guidelines 13:6,15,16 23:24
evaluation 23:23	follow-up 20:3	
evidence 6:7,22,25 7:12 8:16 20:8	format 19:16	<hr/> H <hr/>
EXAMINATION 9:4 18:7 21:20	frame 24:7	Hammer 5:4,8,17,25 6:9, 17 7:5,7,14,18 8:14,18, 23 9:1 17:2,5,19,25 18:4 20:17,19,22 21:3,9,13, 16,19
exceed 12:16	full 9:7 18:10	handle 16:6
exclude 8:15	fund 19:2,23	hearing 5:5 6:11 20:16
exhibit 8:2,7,9,12 10:20	funded 23:21	
	funding 5:6 10:11,19,21 11:13 12:2,5,7,25 14:2,9 15:24 19:6,7,9 23:5,14, 18 24:24	

Heber 18:15 22:4

highlight 12:14

hold 9:11

hoping 11:2 12:20 13:2

I

IAC 10:25 13:7,15,18

IAC's 10:22

idea 10:13

identified 12:10,24 13:25

identify 11:3

IIAC 12:12 14:1 19:3,6,9,
10,12,16,17 23:6,12,14,
16,21,23 24:2,4,9,16,22,
24

impact 10:13 24:21

impacts 14:14 24:23

implementation 12:23

important 25:9

improve 12:19 14:5

include 14:14 15:7,21

includes 15:18

including 19:11 20:8

incorporate 16:13

increase 10:15

Industrial 5:7 19:2,23
23:5

inform 17:15

information 14:17,19
24:13

initial 23:14

intended 6:24

intends 6:6

intent 8:15

intention 17:14

interest 16:21 19:24
22:20 25:13

Intermountain 5:7 19:2,
23 23:5

interpretation 17:15

involved 12:21,25 17:20
25:3

issue 16:2 20:25

issues 23:11,13 25:6,9

items 15:4

J

Jennifer 5:12

July 5:1,24 22:15

June 7:12 23:10 25:7

K

Kelly 5:14 8:11,25 9:8

key 9:23

kind 12:14 13:16

L

Lake 9:10 18:16 22:5

language 16:4,13 17:13
25:20

large-scale 15:17

larger 12:18

lays 12:7

lead 19:20

level 19:8

leverage 11:8

list 12:14

lot 10:4 13:7

M

made 24:15

makeup 15:2

manage 15:11 25:9

marked 8:6,9,12

marketing 14:23 15:1

matter 5:5 6:15 9:17

measurable 24:5

measure 14:4

measures 11:4 13:25
14:9

meetings 25:2

Mendenhall 5:14 7:3
8:11,25 9:1,6,8,11,15
16:24 17:10,20 21:1,6

mentioned 10:7,18 17:13

Michael 5:8 8:6

million 10:19 19:8 23:19

minor 17:7

missed 20:24

modify 17:14

months 12:2

morning 5:19 6:2 18:9,25
23:3

motion 7:13

Mountain 14:7

mouth 16:8

move 7:1,10 8:4,7,10
10:10

Moving 10:23 12:1,10
13:5,20,24

multiple 12:16

N

natural 14:2 15:17

negotiation 25:2

negotiations 22:13

Nelson 7:20

net 14:24

normal 9:9

notice 5:5

notify 11:24

number 8:2 24:5,6

O

objection 7:5,6,8,15,16

occupation 21:23

occurring 11:24

OCS 6:6 7:8,10 21:14
22:7 23:9 24:9 25:1,4,8,
11,13,16

OCS's 22:8,17,23

October 10:12 19:7

offer 24:17

office 5:21,25 6:4,5 10:2,
8 14:12,18 15:12 22:3
23:9

officer 5:9 20:16

operates 24:22

operations 14:3 24:24

opportunities 14:20

opportunity 14:8 16:7
24:18

order 23:15

Orton 7:3 8:6

outlined 25:6

outreach 14:19

oversight 15:8

P

paid 11:16,17

pandemic 11:21 24:21

paragraph 6:19,25 9:25
10:6,10,23 11:12,19
12:1,10 13:5,24 14:10
15:16

part 7:12 10:24 15:8
24:16

participant 12:24

participate 9:16 12:23
14:21 22:13 24:18

participated 15:3 18:18
25:2

parties 5:10 6:20,23 10:7
11:20 16:6,15 19:16 20:1

Patricia 5:20

pause 11:23

payer 23:12 25:10

payments 11:15

PCU 8:6,9

percent 10:15

perform 10:25 11:5,8

performance 11:15
13:10

performed 11:22 12:4

period 10:12 11:10,18
23:15,18 24:11

periods 19:9

personnel 13:12

pilot 10:12 19:7,9,13,17,
25 23:15,18 24:10

plan 14:16 19:5 23:7

plans 15:24

plant 19:19

pleadings 6:21

point 15:14 16:18

pollution 19:21

position 5:15 9:11,21
21:4 22:17,23

potential 11:11 12:2,13,
18 14:4

Powell 7:3,20,21,23,24
8:9

Power 14:7

practicable 11:25

prefiled 7:1 8:15

preliminary 6:14 8:20

preparation 9:16

prepared 22:22

prescreening 12:11
23:23

present 6:6 18:22

presentations 13:23

presented 20:9

press 11:23

pretrial 6:24 8:19

pricing 9:13

prior 13:3 23:8

proceed 18:24 23:1

proceeding 12:8 16:16

process 13:10 21:6

processes 19:19

program 10:22,25 11:7,
8,23 12:3,24 13:19 14:8,
21 19:7,9,13 23:25 24:19

progress 19:15 24:9

project 11:3 14:6 24:13

projects 11:5,9,12 15:19
19:13 23:23

promotional 14:23

proposal 20:10 25:17

propose 15:10

proposed 16:4 21:6
23:11

proposes 24:3

proposing 15:20

provide 5:23 7:24 14:11,
19 19:14 24:8

provided 10:19 14:17
23:12 24:1 25:10

providing 5:14 17:10

prudently 25:9

public 5:18,21 10:2
16:20 18:13 19:24 22:20
24:10,12,15 25:13

publications 13:22

purposes 7:9

put 16:8

Q

qualify 12:12

quality 12:19 14:5 24:6

quarter 11:14

quarterly 14:11,17 19:15
24:9

quarters 11:14

question 17:7,20

questions 16:25 17:3
20:3,15,18,20 25:24

R

raised 16:2 23:10

rate 23:12 25:10

read 22:12

reasonable 16:20 20:5,
10 22:20 25:12

receive 7:11

receiving 12:25

recipient 13:1

recite 8:2

recognize 11:21

recommendations 13:11

recommends 19:25
25:13

record 7:10 8:3 9:7 18:11
20:8 21:24 22:9

reduce 19:20

refer 6:5

referenced 7:20

refers 7:20

regulatory 9:13

related 13:22 14:2 15:9
16:14 19:12

relationship 13:14

report 15:1 24:22

reporter 22:1

reporting 13:11 14:11

reports 14:12,13,19
19:15 24:9

representing 5:21 6:4

request 6:21 16:21 19:1,
23

requested 23:19

resolve 16:16

resolved 17:19

respect 22:23

respectfully 16:21

responses 6:21

restrictions 24:25

result 20:5,11 22:20
25:12

results 12:4 14:15

resume 11:25

return 19:10

review 12:23

Robert 5:22 18:2,12

Rocky 14:7

role 6:10

S

Salt 9:10 18:15 22:5

save 14:5

Schmid 5:19,20 7:6,17,
19 17:2,3,25 18:1,8
20:14 21:5,10,11
scholarly 13:22
Section 16:1 19:4
seeking 14:9
separate 11:5
services 6:1,5 10:3 22:3
23:9
settlement 5:23 6:7,19
9:17,20,25 10:9 15:24
16:20,22 17:11,16 20:10
22:14,18,23 23:4 25:2,
12,16
shared 13:1
shows 24:2
side 14:6
significantly 23:19
similar 13:15
simply 6:25
South 9:9 18:15 22:5
speak 16:7 20:25
speaking 6:10
Special 6:3
specific 24:4
specifically 6:8
spell 21:25
spend 24:3
spent 24:13
spoke 21:1
stands 5:15
start 13:17
state 9:6,9 18:9 21:22
25:15

stated 20:1
statement 18:21 25:7,21
states 15:16
status 19:15
statute 15:14,15
step 11:5 12:25 14:2,9,21
15:14,15,25 16:10,11
19:5,24 23:7,12,21,25
24:3,19,24 25:17,18
STEP-FUNDED 24:13
stipulation 5:15,24 6:8,
20 9:18,21,25 10:3 15:5,
7 16:3,10 17:12,16 20:1,
4 22:14,18,24 23:4,8
25:4,5,12
Street 9:9
student 13:12
Subsection 15:20
successful 11:7
summarize 9:20 16:19
summary 5:14 10:21
14:17 16:22 17:11 20:2
22:22 23:1,13
support 5:23 6:7
supports 20:9 25:17
surcharge 16:11 25:18
suspect 7:14
suspend 24:24
Sustainable 19:4 23:6
swear 9:1 18:4 21:16
sworn 18:3 21:15

T

talk 14:22

talks 10:23 11:12,20 12:1
13:5 14:10
tariff 16:1,3,4,13 17:13
25:19
technical 18:14
temporarily 24:23
Ten 24:20
terms 9:23 14:25 17:11,
15
terribly 20:24
testifies 7:23
testimony 5:23 6:24 7:2,
11,19,21,22,24 8:6,8,11,
15,19,21 15:6,10,13 16:2
20:4,7,9 21:1 23:10 25:8
things 14:14 16:18
time 10:4 16:13 24:7
timeline 12:7
title 18:10
today 5:16,22 6:10 15:21
16:17 18:22 20:9 21:6
23:4
today's 6:11
total 10:17,18 19:8
touch 15:6
Transportation 19:4 23:7
travel 13:23
trouble 20:23
truth 9:2 18:5 21:17
turn 9:24
turning 10:1
two-year 11:10,18
type 14:25

typical 10:14,16

21:10

U

universities 14:20 24:18

University 7:22 19:2
24:16

usage 12:15

Utah 5:6 6:4,5 7:22 9:10,
14 10:8 15:15 18:16,25
19:3,14,18 22:3,5 24:17

Utah's 19:2

Utilities 5:18,21 10:2
18:13 24:10

utility 6:8 15:17 18:13
22:2,7

V

V-A-S-T-A-G 22:2

Vastag 6:8 7:11 21:15,
16,18,22 22:1,6 25:23

verbal 17:10

Victor 6:2

W

walk 9:22

wanted 15:14 16:18
25:15

warranted 12:5

weigh 16:15

Wells 18:15 22:4

wide 14:24

William 7:23

witnesses 6:15 17:22,24

words 16:8

work 10:3 12:3 18:12
22:2 23:21,25

worked 22:7,10

written 8:15,19 17:15

Y

year 10:11,15,18 12:17
19:8

years 10:18