DPU Errata Exhibit B

Redline of pages 9 and 13 of Phase II Direct Testimony of Abdinasir M. Abdulle (Exhibit 4.0 DIR in Docket No. 22-057-03)

Showing the changes implemented in the revised version provided in DPU Errata Exhibit A

- amount of costs assigned to them. The average and peak demand method is a
 compromise between the other two methods. It is a weighted blend of total volume
 or usage, such as annual throughput and a measure of maximum volume on a given
- 181 day, such as Actual Peak Day or Design Day. Hence, it moderates the cost
- 182 allocations between the high and low load factor customers.⁹
- 183The 60% / 40% weighting employed by DEU has resulted in reasonable rates in the184past and may still do so. However, the Division concludes that the use of the185blended factor, the average and peak method, is appropriate if the proper186combination of measures for annual throughput and maximum volume are187employed.

188 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THESE MEASURES AND THEIR USE IN THIS CASE FOR 189 CREATING A BLENDED ALLOCATION FIGURE.

- 190 A. Regarding the Average and Peak Demand Method, the NARUC Manual states that,
- 191 Total demand costs are multiplied by the system's load factor
- 192 to arrive at the capacity costs attributed to average use and
- are apportioned to the various customer classes on an annualvolumetric basis.
- 195 This indicates that in calculating the capacity costs associated with the average use, 196 the system load factor should be used. The value of the system load factor depends 197 on what measures of annual volume and maximum volume are used. The load factor 198 is calculated as
- 199 Load Factor = (Annual Volume ÷ 365) ÷ Maximum Volume in a Day
- In this case, there are really two competing sets of measures for annual volume and
 maximum volume that might reasonably be used. For annual volume, DEU has used
 172,905,622 Dth, representing total volumes minus those serving the Lake Side

1

⁹ DPU Exhibit 4.03 DIR - NARUC Gas Distribution Rate Design Manual. June 1989. Pages 26-28.

-	-	DNG Revenue Change	
Customer Class	DNG Revenue	\$ Increase / Decrease	% Increase / Decrease
GS	383,235,865	41,328,866	10.78%
FS	2,820,916	1,080,693	38.31%
4 <mark>8</mark>	265 , 083	11,340	4 .28%
TSS	14,256,111	(2,216,078)	- 15.54%
TSM	13,979,768	3,120,733	22.32%
TSL	11,234,883	8,481,139	75.49%
TBF	5,004,157	18,149,747	362.69%
NGV	2,605,722	555,249	21.31%
Total	433,402, 5 04	70,511,689	16.27%

Table 8. Results of the CCOS using 59% design day and 41%Utah Total Dth. (Option C)

_	-	DNG Revenue Change	
Customer	DNG	<u>\$ Increase /</u>	% Increase /
Class	Revenue	Decrease	Decrease
<u>GS</u>	383,355,695	50,659,387	<u>13.21%</u>
<u>FS</u>	2,820,197	<u>981,461</u>	<u>34.80%</u>
<u>IS</u>	264,577	<u>(37,856)</u>	<u>-14.31%</u>
TSS	14,258,738	(2,081,553)	-14.60%
<u>TSM</u>	<u>13,969,473</u>	1 <u>,988,119</u>	14.23%
<u>TSL</u>	<u>11,205,480</u>	<u>5,496,716</u>	49.05%
TBF	4,922,892	<u>12,978,482</u>	263.64%
NGV	2 <u>,605,4</u> 51	526,933	20.22%
Total	433,402,504	70,511,689	16.27%