

-BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH-

)	
)	
IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION OF)	DOCKET No. 23-057-16
QUESTAR GAS COMPANY DBA DOMINION)	Exhibit No. DPU 1.0 DIR
ENERGY UTAH, AND ENBRIDGE QUAIL)	
HOLDINGS, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF THE)	
PROPOSED SALE OF FALL WEST HOLDCO, LLC)	
TO ENBRIDGE QUAIL HOLDINGS, LLC)	

FOR THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
STATE OF UTAH

Direct Testimony of

Casey J. Coleman

February 5, 2024

CONTENTS

Introduction..... 1
Summary 1
Jurisdiction and Standard of Review..... 4
Ring-Fencing Conditions 9
Conclusions and Recommendations 12

1 **INTRODUCTION**

2 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, EMPLOYER, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

3 A. My name is Casey J. Coleman. I am employed by the Division of Public Utilities
4 (DPU or Division) for the State of Utah. My business address is 160 East 300 South
5 Salt Lake City, UT 84114.

6 **Q. BRIEFLY OUTLINE YOUR EMPLOYMENT BACKGROUND.**

7 A. I have worked for the Division for over twenty-three years, working as both a Utility
8 Analyst and Utility Technical Consultant. One of my primary responsibilities as Utility
9 Technical Consultant for the Division has been testifying before the Public Service
10 Commission of Utah (Commission) on financial and policy issues.

11 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND?**

12 A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Finance from Weber State University in
13 1996 and a Master of Business Administration from Utah State University in 2001.
14 In May of 2022, I received the Certified Rate of Return Analyst certification from the
15 Society of Utility and Regulatory Financial Analysts.

16 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE COMMISSION?**

17 A. Yes. I have testified before the Commission as an expert witness in a number of
18 telecommunications, water, and energy dockets, including Docket Nos. 02-049-82,
19 03-049-49, 03-049-50, 05-053-01, 05-2302-01, 07-2476-01, 08-2469-01, 10-049-16,
20 10-2521-01, 10-2526-01, 08-046-01, 15-042-01, 15-2302-01, 17-098-01, 19-057-02,
21 20-035-04, and 21-035-53. The most recent testimony I have filed with the
22 Commission was in Docket No. 22-057-03.

23 **SUMMARY**

24 **Q. BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE THE WORK AND INVESTIGATIONS THAT YOU HAVE**
25 **PERFORMED IN THIS MATTER.**

26 A. I reviewed and analyzed the Joint Application of Questar Gas Company dba
27 Dominion Energy Utah (DEU or Questar Gas) and Enbridge Quail Holdings, LLC
28 (EQ Holdings). DEU and EQ Holdings (Applicants) filed, as part of the Joint
29 Application, Direct Testimony from Michele Harradence, Christopher Johnston,
30 James Sanders, and Judd E. Cook. The Applicants also filed additional exhibits,
31 including Questar Gas' Financial Statements, Commitment Matrix, Certificate of
32 Formation of Fall West, Pre-Closing of Questar Gas Organizational Chart, Post-
33 Closing Organizational Chart, Dominion Energy Inc. Form 10-K, Enbridge Form 10-
34 K, and Purchase and Sale Agreement.

35 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE AND DESCRIBE THE PURPOSE OF YOUR**
36 **TESTIMONY.**

37 A. I will provide comments on the proposed merger, the process that interested parties
38 followed to evaluate the application, and other areas of interest, such as ring-fencing
39 guidelines and general observations about the merger. Mr. Eric N. Orton will provide
40 his lessons learned from past utility mergers and provide the Division's
41 recommendations on commitments that applicants should agree to, thereby ensuring
42 the merger will not harm DEU's current customers. Mr. Orton and I will not attempt to
43 discuss all the individual details of the merger transaction, but we will address
44 specific areas of interest the Division believes are important for the Commission to
45 understand when reviewing the proposed merger.

46 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE DIVISION'S POSITION AND CONCLUSIONS**
47 **CONCERNING THE PROPOSED MERGER OF DEU AND EQ HOLDINGS.**

48 A. DEU and EQ Holdings have the burden of proof to demonstrate that the proposed
49 merger will result in a net benefit to Utah ratepayers, as required by past
50 Commission order and by statute (as discussed below). While the Applicants have
51 demonstrated expertise to operate DEU, and this expertise helps to meet the public
52 interest standard, it is unclear whether more is needed to meet the positive net
53 benefit requirement. In the Dominion Energy Inc. and Questar Gas Company
54 merger, Dominion committed as a shareholder cost, to contribute \$75,000,000

55 toward the full funding of the pension and retirement plans.¹ This was a specific
56 commitment that had a defined monetary value that the Commission and other
57 parties could point to when evaluating the positive net benefits condition. From the
58 information provided, the Division is not able to point to a clear monetary benefit of
59 the merger.

60 In this proceeding, the Division is uncertain if managerial, financial, and operational
61 expertise is sufficient to meet the net positive benefit standard. If a specific benefit
62 that shows measurable benefits to the State is the required standard, then it seems,
63 the Applicants have not met the requirements and would need to demonstrate to the
64 Commission that the merger provides a net positive benefit to Utah customers. If
65 instead the net positive benefit criteria does not have a specific measurable benefit
66 requirement, then the Applicants are likely to have met the standards to approve the
67 merger.

68 If the merger is approved, Questar Gas appears to be positioned to become a
69 stronger and more resilient utility. This stronger and more resilient utility is a direct
70 benefit of the managerial, operational, and financial expertise Enbridge brings to the
71 local utility.

72 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE THE WORK AND INVESTIGATION THAT**
73 **HAS BEEN PERFORMED IN THIS CASE.**

74 A. The Division has reviewed the testimony of the Applicants' witnesses along with the
75 attachments and exhibits. To better understand the information submitted by DEU
76 and EQ Holdings, the Division, OCS, and other interested parties participated in a
77 technical conference held by the Commission on December 7, 2023. In addition to
78 the Commission-ordered Technical Conference, the Division and OCS held
79 meetings with the Applicants on December 13, 2023, January 3, 2024, January 9,
80 2024, January 18, 2024, and January 30, 2024. These meetings provided the
81 opportunity for interested parties to discuss and analyze several important topics of

¹ Utah Public Service Commission Order, Questar Corporation and Dominion Resources, Inc. Merger, Docket No. 16-057-01, September 14, 2016, page 7, Commitment 11.

82 the merger. These meetings were beneficial in allowing the Division to gain
83 additional insight and understanding of the proposed merger.

84 **JURISDICTION AND STANDARD OF REVIEW**

85 **Q. WHAT ARE THE JURISDICTION AND LEGAL STANDARDS THAT SHOULD**
86 **APPLY WITH THIS MERGER?**

87 A. I'm not an attorney, so my comments are made from the perspective of a Utility
88 Consultant who has participated in and reviewed prior merger dockets before the
89 Commission. In the previous merger between Questar Gas Company and Dominion
90 Energy Inc., the Commission discussed the legal standard that applies in a merger.
91 In the Order in Docket No. 16-057-01, the Commission States:

92 "[T]he Commission applies the [following] legal standard...namely that:

93 Utah Code Ann. §§ 54-4-1, -25, -28, -29 and 30 may apply in determining
94 whether our approval of the proposed merger is required by Utah law; and

95 • The relevant legal standards for approval are that:

96 1. The merger is in the public interest, meaning it must provide a net
97 positive benefit to the public; and

98 2. The Joint applicants have the necessary financial, managerial, and
99 technical qualifications to operate the public utility."²

100 **Q. SHOULD THE COMMISSION CONSIDER ANY ADDITIONAL CRITERIA**
101 **WHEN APPROVING A MERGER?**

102 A. Yes. Although it is not explicitly stated in the Utah Code, the public interest standard
103 should include the concept that Utah customers will not be adversely impacted by
104 the merger. Additionally, as much as possible, the conditions of the merger should

² Utah Public Service Commission Order, Questar Corporation and Dominion Resources, Inc. Merger, Docket No. 16-057-01, September 14, 2016, page 5.

105 have little to no impact on consumers. The preference is, from the Division's and a
106 customer's perspective, that the day-to-day operations will not materially change.

107 **Q. DOES ENBRIDGE HAVE THE NECESSARY MANAGERIAL AND TECHNICAL**
108 **QUALIFICATIONS TO OPERATE DEU?**

109 A. Yes. Enbridge Gas Inc. (Enbridge) and other affiliates of Enbridge have over 175
110 years of experience developing a culture that emphasizes delivering safe, reliable,
111 and affordable energy.³ Enbridge has completed the merger of Ontario's two largest
112 natural gas utilities to create North America's largest natural gas utility by volume
113 delivered, and the third largest natural gas utility by customer count.⁴ As the natural
114 gas provider for more than 75 percent of Ontario homes, Enbridge has provided
115 service with a reliability of more than 99.99 percent to its customers.⁵ Similar to the
116 current situation with DEU, Enbridge has experienced a growing customer base with
117 approximately 45,000 new customers added per year.⁶

118 Enbridge also sees the purchase of DEU as a complimentary opportunity for its
119 organization.⁷ Enbridge believes the energy industry is transforming, and natural gas
120 has a critical role to play in that transformation.⁸ The citizens of Utah will benefit from
121 an organization that supports natural gas as a critical component of the energy
122 industry. As stated by Enbridge, "Questar will benefit from its affiliation with
123 Enbridge."⁹ These benefits outlined by Enbridge include "access to resources and
124 best practices, collaboration on enhancement to safety, integrity, construction and
125 operational programs, and a shared strong commitment to safety, customers,
126 communities, and its employees."¹⁰

³ See Enbridge Inc.'s presentation to the Utah Public Service Commission for the Technical Conference December 7, 2023, slide number 7.

⁴ *Ibid.*

⁵ *Ibid.*

⁶ *Ibid.*

⁷ *Ibid.* slide number 14.

⁸ *Ibid.*

⁹ *Ibid.*

¹⁰ *Ibid.*

127 The Division's analysis concludes that the benefits outlined by Enbridge seem to
128 complement the current operations of DEU. Enbridge has demonstrated a deep and
129 thorough understanding of the natural gas industry. Because Enbridge has been
130 providing natural gas to customers for more than a century, Enbridge has a wealth of
131 expertise that is directly applicable to DEU. Additionally, the merger of Enbridge and
132 DEU seems likely to create a stronger and more resilient distribution company. A
133 stronger and more resilient utility is in the public interest of Utah customers.

134 **Q. DOES ENBRIDGE HAVE THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND EXPERTISE TO**
135 **MANAGE DEU?**

136 A. Yes. Enbridge has indicated that it has a strong balance sheet with investment-grade
137 credit ratings and access to significant liquidity. Additionally, Enbridge has over
138 \$131.5B in total assets and \$39B annual operating revenue, and Enbridge
139 represents it has access to \$16B in credit facilities. With this strong balance sheet
140 and operating revenue, Enbridge believes it has the financial capacity to fund
141 organic growth and to invest in DEU.¹¹

142 Enbridge has obtained funding for the Questar Gas Transaction through a variety of
143 different methods. These include completing the sale of common shares for \$3.3B
144 and \$3.5B subordinated notes. The balance of the funding for the purchase will be
145 secured through ongoing capital recycling, an at-the-market share offering program,
146 dividend reinvestment, subordinated debt (hybrid capital), and long-term debt.¹²

147 To verify the statements made by Enbridge regarding its financial position, the
148 Division reviewed the application, Enbridge's form 10-K, and other financial
149 documents. Additionally, the Division had numerous meetings and conversations
150 with the parties to discuss financing and the fiscal health of Enbridge.

151 From the Division's review of the pertinent financial documents, it agrees that
152 Enbridge has the financial resources and expertise to operate DEU. The one area of

¹¹ *Ibid* slide 23.

¹² *Ibid* slide 24.

153 concern worth mentioning after reviewing Enbridge’s financial information is
154 goodwill. Because Enbridge has been involved in several mergers and acquisitions
155 over the last few years, Enbridge has accrued goodwill (an asset) on its financial
156 statements. For a company involved in several mergers and acquisitions, it is not
157 uncommon for a company to record goodwill as part of an acquisition of an asset.

158 Significant goodwill can change the capital structure of a company. Goodwill is an
159 intangible accounting asset. Because of this fact, if one were to adjust for goodwill,
160 the debt-to-equity calculation numbers are significantly different. From Enbridge’s
161 form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Division
162 calculated the debt-to-equity ratio for Enbridge at the end of the third quarter 2023 to
163 be 46.9 percent equity and 53.1 percent debt. When the same calculation is done,
164 adjusting for goodwill, the numbers change to 32.2 percent equity and 67.8 percent
165 debt.

166 Considering the effect goodwill has on the debt-to-equity ratio, it appears that
167 Enbridge may be more leveraged than other companies. This adjusted “leverage”
168 position is something the Commission, Division, and other interested parties should
169 be aware of and continue to monitor. The Division is not uncomfortable with the
170 financial position of Enbridge and the rating agencies have been silent on this topic.
171 The Division felt it was important to make the Commission aware of this financial
172 issue. The Division’s Exhibit 1.1, Enbridge Inc.’s Ratio Analysis, includes the income
173 statement, balance sheet, and ratio analysis information from Enbridge for the
174 periods 2017 through the third quarter of 2023. This information has been compiled
175 from the 10K and 10Q filings and has been included for reference.

176 **Q. DOES THE SALE OF DEU TO ENBRIDGE MEET THE PROPOSED CRITERIA OF**
177 **MINIMALLY IMPACTING THE CUSTOMERS OF UTAH?**

178 A. Yes, generally speaking, it appears Enbridge is taking steps to minimize the impact
179 on customers as much as possible. It is the Division’s understanding that the day-to-
180 day operations and employees dealing with the responsibilities of managing a
181 natural gas distribution utility will remain in Utah. Dominion Energy Inc. currently has

182 some job functions that are in Richmond, Virginia. Although there have not been
183 specific decisions or commitments made by Enbridge, it appears that some
184 corporate responsibilities like accounting, billing, and information technology could
185 be returned to Utah. If Enbridge decides to locate some corporate duties in Utah, the
186 Division will see this as a net benefit to customers.

187 Enbridge has provided commitments in the following areas:

- 188 • Corporate Structure—Local Presence
- 189 • Regulatory—Jurisdiction of the Commission and Compliance with Law
- 190 • Ratemaking—Accounting
- 191 • Shared Services—Affiliate Transactions
- 192 • Community
- 193 • Operations
- 194 • Customer Satisfaction
- 195 • Financial and Ring-Fencing
- 196 • Employees, and
- 197 • Clean Energy.

198 It appears from the variety of commitments and areas discussed that Enbridge is
199 committed to keeping things as close to “business as usual” for the citizens of Utah.

200 **Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE A HIGH-LEVEL EXAMPLE OF BUSINESS AS USUAL**
201 **FROM ENBRIDGE’S COMMITMENTS?**

202 A. Yes. In Joint Exhibit 5.0—Commitment Matrix, Enbridge specifically indicated that
203 Transaction Costs associated with the Transaction will not be recovered through
204 rates of Questar Gas.¹³ In any merger there will be specific costs and services that
205 occur due to the merger. Any transaction cost incurred by the merger should not be
206 passed on to customers but instead should be paid by
207 Enbridge. Because Enbridge has committed that transaction costs will not be
208 recovered through the rates of Questar Gas, this is one general example that merger
209 commitments are attempting to keep things as close to “business as usual.”

¹³ Joint Exhibit 5.0 EQ Holdings and Questar Gas Commitments Matrix, Docket No. 23-057-16
Commitment 11.

210 **RING-FENCING CONDITIONS**

211 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS WHAT RING-FENCING IS AND ITS PURPOSES.**

212 A. For years in merger proceedings,¹⁴ the Division has advocated ring-fencing
213 provisions. Ring-fencing can be defined as structural and operational practices and
214 concepts imposed on a utility operating company that insulate the utility from the
215 operations and financial results of affiliates or a parent holding company. The utility
216 can be said to be “fenced-off” from businesses of the parent company or from the
217 parent itself. Ring-fencing procedures and practices are designed to protect the
218 utility and its customers from negative effects of financial or other distress in affiliates
219 or a parent company. Regulators are concerned about protecting the utility’s credit
220 standing in the marketplace, the effects of going concern/bankruptcy of affiliates or
221 even the parent, and affiliate transaction issues.

222 **Q. WHAT ARE SOME OF THE BEST PRACTICES FOR RING-FENCING THAT**
223 **ARE RECOMMENDED BY THE DIVISION?**

224 A. The following is a list of “best practices” that can be gleaned from Standard & Poor’s
225 and Fitch rating services. This “best practices” list is not exhaustive of the items that
226 could be included by regulators for their purposes in a ring-fence, such as extending
227 conditions on transactions with affiliates.¹⁵

- 228 1. The regulated utility is a corporate subsidiary in a holding structure
- 229 2. The regulated utility is placed in a Special Purpose Entity, which is legally
230 separate from the non-regulated affiliates of the parent
- 231 3. The provision of so-called “nonpetition” (bankruptcy) language by the parent.
- 232 4. The utility is managed separately and has a separate board of directors
- 233 5. The utility’s books and records are kept separate from any affiliates
- 234 6. The utility has its own bank accounts and credit facilities, its own separate
235 debt and has its own separate credit rating
- 236 7. Limits imposed on capital structure, e.g. setting a minimum common equity
237 percentage in the capital structure

¹⁴ For a more complete discussion of ring-fencing and the position advocated by the Division see Peterson, Charles E., and Elizabeth M. Brereton, *Report on Ring-Fencing*, Utah Division of Public Utilities, September 2005 filed as Exhibit D in Docket No. 16-057-01.

¹⁵ Peterson, Charles E., and Elizabeth M. Brereton, *Report on Ring-Fencing*, Utah Division of Public Utilities, September 2005, pp. 19-21.

- 238 8. Limits on inter-company guarantees and loans—including loans to money
239 pools
240 9. Limits on dividends
241 10. A written Affiliate Code of Conduct is in place
242 11. Finally, violations of these practices are supported by clear penalties from
243 regulatory authorities

244 Mr. Charles E. Peterson, when reviewing a paper prepared by the National
245 Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners' (NARUC) Subcommittee on
246 Accounting and Finance, outlined five areas of possible ring-fencing measures:¹⁶

- 247 1. Commission authority to restrict and mandate use and terms of sale of utility
248 assets. This includes restrictions against using utility assets as collateral or
249 guarantee for any non-utility business
- 250 2. Commission authority to restrict dividend payments to a parent company to
251 maintain financial viability of the utility. This may include, but is not limited to,
252 maintenance of a minimum equity balance
- 253 3. Commission authority to authorize loans, loan guarantees, engagement in
254 money pools and large supply contracts between the utility and affiliate
255 companies
- 256 4. Commission authority over establishment of a holding company structure
257 involving a regulated utility
- 258 5. Expand commission authority over security applications to include the ability
259 to restrict type and use of financing

260 **Q. CAN YOU SUMMARIZE THE MAIN POINTS THE DIVISION IS TRYING TO**
261 **ACHIEVE WITH ITS RING-FENCING RECOMMENDATIONS WITH THIS**
262 **MERGER?**

263 A. Yes. In Docket No. 16-057-01, the Division suggests that “at a minimum the
264 following points need to be achieved for this proposed acquisition of Questar Gas

¹⁶ *Ibid.*

265 Company (QGC) to be in the public interest. The following bullet points describe the
266 principal purposes of the Division’s proposed ring-fencing provisions.”¹⁷

267 • QGC must be a legally separate corporation with its own accounting system,
268 debt, preferred stock (if any should be issued), and credit rating, with its own
269 management and board of directors. QGC should be headquartered in Salt
270 Lake City, Utah. The books and records of QGC should be located in Salt
271 Lake City

272 • There should be an independent director in a special service entity that has
273 the power to veto putting QGC into bankruptcy. There should be sufficient
274 protections of QGC that would prevent the distress in affiliates or Enbridge
275 Inc. as a whole from forcing QGC into bankruptcy

276 • Transactions between QGC and affiliates should be arm’s length. It should be
277 recognized that the Commission and the Division of Public Utilities have
278 authority to audit these transactions and receive any requested information
279 concerning inter-affiliate transactions and relationships with QGC

280 • In order to protect the financial integrity of QGC, there are potential
281 restrictions on dividends, capital structure, and the selling of assets or
282 reorganizing or selling QGC without Commission approval¹⁸

283 The Division supports ring-fencing proposals that incorporate these
284 recommendations.

285 **Q. CAN YOU DISCUSS THE PROPOSED RING-FENCING STEPS TAKEN BY**
286 **ENBRIDGE INC.?**

287 A. Yes. As part of the Applicants’ Joint Filing, Exhibit 5.0—Commitment Matrix was
288 submitted to the Commission. Commitment numbers 24 through 32 deal specifically

¹⁷ Utah Division of Public Utilities Direct Testimony Charles E. Peterson, Docket No. 16-057-01, July 7, 2016.

¹⁸ *Ibid*

289 with ring-fencing. The Division has reviewed the specific commitments and supports
290 the items listed by Enbridge. It appears that the structure of the transaction follows
291 most of the points listed above by the Division. Generally, the Division agrees that
292 Enbridge has taken the appropriate steps to ring-fence and protect the assets of
293 Questar Gas.

294 **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

295 **Q. DO YOU BELIEVE YOUR CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**
296 **ARRIVE AT JUST AND REASONABLE RESULTS THAT ARE IN THE PUBLIC**
297 **INTEREST?**

298 A. Generally, yes. As indicated previously, Enbridge has the technical, managerial, and
299 financial expertise to operate Questar Gas. Merging into the one of largest North
300 American natural gas utilities is likely to be a benefit to Utah citizens and is in the
301 public interest. Enbridge has provided specific ring-fencing commitments that help to
302 protect DEU and are also in the public interest for the State of Utah. These two items
303 are positive to customers of the Utility, but it is difficult to quantify in any material
304 aspect the impact of these benefits.

305 The Division can see several intangible benefits of this merger, such as:

- 306
- 307 • having the financial resources of one of the largest North American Natural Gas
Utilities,
 - 308 • a parent company that has extensive knowledge of the natural gas industry, and
 - 309 • the managerial expertise and customer service knowledge of the parent
310 company.

311 Each of these items is a positive for DEU as well as the customers of Utah. The
312 dilemma faced by the Division is its uncertainty about whether a specific dollar
313 amount is required to satisfy the net positive benefit requirement. If a clear monetary
314 benefit is needed for Enbridge to satisfy the net positive benefit requirement, then
315 there is little the Division can find in the application to suggest Enbridge has met this
316 requirement.

317 If a specific dollar amount is not required to meet the net positive benefits standard,
318 then the Applicants have demonstrated enough in its application to meet this
319 condition. If the merger is approved, Questar Gas appears to be positioned to
320 become a stronger and more resilient utility. This stronger and more resilient utility is
321 a direct benefit of the managerial, operational, and financial expertise Enbridge
322 brings to the local utility.

323 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

324 **A.** Yes, it does.