-BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH-

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION OF
QUESTAR GAS COMPANY DBA DOMINION
ENERGY UTAH, AND ENBRIDGE QUAIL
HOLDINGS, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF THE
PROPOSED SALE OF FALL WEST HOLDCO, LLC
TO ENBRIDGE QUAIL HOLDINGS, LLC

DOCKET No. 23-057-16
Exhibit No. DPU 2.0 DIR

FOR THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

STATE OF UTAH

Direct Testimony of

Eric Orton

February 5, 2024

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Summary	1
Merger Commitments	2
Lessons Learned From Past Utility Mergers	3
Current Merger Commitments	4
Recommendation On Other Merger Commitments	6
Conclusions and Recommendations	R

1 INTRODUCTION

- 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND TITLE.
- 3 A. My name is Eric Orton. My business address is 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City,
- 4 Utah 84114. I am a Technical Consultant in the Utah Division of Public Utilities
- 5 (Division).
- 6 Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING?
- 7 A. The Division.
- 8 Q. WOULD YOU SUMMARIZE YOUR RELEVANT BACKGROUND FOR THE
- 9 **RECORD?**
- 10 A. I have been employed in utility regulation by the State of Utah for over 25 years,
- focusing mainly on the natural gas utility and its ancillary industries.

12 **SUMMARY**

- 13 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE APPLICATION IN THIS DOCKET.
- 14 A. Enbridge Quail Holdings (EQ Holdings) is attempting to acquire from Dominion
- 15 Energy, Inc. (Dominion) all outstanding equity interests of Fall West Holdco LLC (Fall
- West), the current parent/holding company of Questar Gas Company (Questar). Fall
- 17 West purchased Questar on November 3, 2023. Enbridge Inc. is a multi-layered
- 18 corporation based in Calgary Canada, and one of its subsidiaries, namely EQ
- Holdings, proposes to purchase Questar, currently doing business as Dominion
- 20 Energy Utah (DEU). Questar and EQ Holdings are the joint applicants (Applicants) in
- 21 this docket and are petitioning the Public Service Commission of Utah (Commission)
- for approval of Enbridge Inc. (Enbridge), through its holding company EQ Holdings,
- to acquire ownership of the local natural gas utility, Questar. While the holding
- companies within the corporate structure can be a bit confusing, in essence
- 25 Enbridge is requesting Commission approval to purchase Questar Gas, the local
- 26 natural gas utility.

27 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY.

28 Α. I address the conditions that are necessary to protect the customers of the utility 29 from negative consequences that may result because of EQ Holding's acquisition of 30 Questar. EQ Holdings "believes it can provide Questar Gas' customers with reliable 31 service at or better than current levels and will strive for continued improvements to 32 such service." (Application paragraph 19). The Division's objective is to make sure 33 that the acquisition results in as little harm as possible to the utility's customers. 34 Ideally, the acquisition would aim to place the utility's customers in a better position 35 than they are now. In other words, there should be a clear net benefit to its 36 customers. Although the presence of net benefits is still uncertain, the Division's 37 recommended changes to the merger commitments below are intended to minimize potential deviations from the merger commitments as well as minimize stakeholder 38 39 concerns that could arise because of the acquisition.

40 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE INVESTIGATION YOU PERFORMED IN THIS 41 MATTER.

42 A. I reviewed the application, the testimony of the witnesses, and the exhibits, attended 43 the Technical Conference and the numerous other meetings the Division held with 44 representatives from Questar and Enbridge. I also reviewed prior utility mergers and 45 acquisitions that have been approved by the Commission in this state.

MERGER COMMITMENTS

46

- 47 Q. DID THE APPLICANT OFFER CONDITIONS IT WOULD ABIDE BY
 48 CONTINGENT UPON APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED MERGER?
- 49 A. Yes. Many of the conditions intended to facilitate the transaction (the sale of the utility referred to above) are contained in the application and the testimonies of the witnesses. Additionally, the Applicants offered Exhibit 5, which contains a matrix of its proposed acquisition/merger commitments.
- Q. ARE THE MERGER COMMITMENTS OFFERED BY THE APPLICANT
 ADEQUATE?

55 Α. No. However, they are a great start. I will outline proposals for improving and 56 enhancing the commitments later in my testimony. 57 LESSONS LEARNED FROM PAST UTILITY MERGERS WHAT SOURCES DID YOU REFERENCE TO MAKE YOUR 58 Q. **RECOMMENDATIONS?** 59 I reviewed past utility mergers or acquisitions in Utah, including: 60 Α. 61 Questar's decision to rebrand Mountain Fuel in 1984, Docket No. 84-057-10. 62 The merger of PacifiCorp and Utah Power and Light Co., which resulted in 63 Pacific Power and Rocky Mountain Power as divisions of PacifiCorp, from Docket No. 88-2035-05. 64 65 Scottish Power's purchase of PacifiCorp in Docket No. 98-2035-04 in 1998, 66 and 67 The UBET Telecom, Inc./Uintah Basin Telecommunications Association, Inc. (UBET/UBTA) merger, Docket No. 05-053-01. 68 69 MidAmerica Energy Holding Company's (now Berkshire Hathaway Energy) purchase of PacifiCorp in 2005, parent of Rocky Mountain Power, Docket No. 70 71 05-035-54. 72 Dominion Energy's purchase of Questar Gas in 2016, Docket No. 16-057-01. PLEASE SUMMARIZE A FEW OF THE LESSONS LEARNED FROM PAST 73 Q. 74 **MERGERS?** 75 A. Some of the over-arching lessons are as follows: 76 The PacifiCorp – Utah Power and Light Co. merger has shown some of the 77 difficulties of having a utility that is under the control of multiple regulatory 78 bodies. 79 The Scottish Power purchase revealed problems with reducing maintenance 80 expenses in the utility. 81 The UBET/UBTA merger taught the importance of keeping separate and 82 distinct bookkeeping, management, and other such duties within each entity.

83 Finally, Dominion's control of Questar significantly affected its sister 84 companies like Questar Pipeline. 85 These are just a small sampling of some of the important lessons learned from local 86 utilities being purchased by other companies. 87 Q. DOES THE DIVISION HOPE TO USE THESE LESSONS LEARNED IN THIS **MERGER?** 88 89 Α. Yes. Our experience has helped us refine our analysis and critique of this 90 application. We will attempt to obtain merger and acquisition commitments that will 91 help limit or eliminate the difficulties and problems that occurred following 92 Commission approval of previous utility mergers. 93 Q. ISN'T QUESTAR GAS/DOMINION ENERGY ALREADY BOUND BY MERGER 94 **COMMITMENTS?** 95 A. Yes, Dominion Energy bought Questar in 2016 and at that time agreed to a set of 96 commitments, which were filed in Docket No. 16-057-01 as part of the listed Terms 97 and Conditions section of the Settlement Stipulation. Additionally, the Commission 98 imposed conditions on Questar as part of its approval of the reorganization of 99 Mountain Fuel Supply Company into the Questar holding company structure in 100 Docket No. 84-057-10. **CURRENT MERGER COMMITMENTS** 101 102 Q. IT'S ONLY BEEN SEVEN YEARS SINCE QUESTAR WAS PURCHASED BY 103 DOMINION. ARE THOSE COMMITMENTS STILL ADEQUATE FOR THIS 104 **PURCHASE?** 105 A. While parties have been vigilant in trying to protect the customers of our local utility 106 in the past, time has taught us that there is more honing required of these 107 commitments to ensure good utility management and appropriate customer service 108 as the newly acquired gas utility operates as a part of the larger group of companies 109 within Enbridge (assuming the acquisition is approved).

110	Q.	WHAT ARE THE CURRENT MERGER COMMITMENTS ENBRIDGE IS
111		OFFERING IN THIS APPLICATION?
112	A.	The Applicant included Exhibit 5, titled Commitment Matrix. It specifies the proposed
113		merger commitments in the following general areas. Namely:
114 115		 Corporate Structure: A commitment to keep a "Local Presence" with "Local Management."
116 117		 Regulatory: There is no challenge to the "Jurisdiction of the Commission," and it will continue the practice of "Compliance with Law."
118 119 120		 Ratemaking and Accounting: Enbridge will not charge customers for goodwill or costs associated with the merger transaction nor will it alter its standard accounting practices.
121 122 123 124		 Shared Services and Affiliate Transactions: Enbridge will keep the current practice of disclosing affiliate costs and shared services with regulators and the transition from Dominion shared services to Enbridge will be seamless and will not increase costs to ratepayers.
125 126		 Community: Enbridge will increase its charitable donations over its current levels for the next three years.
127		Operations: The utility will continue its current standard practices.
128 129		 Customer Satisfaction: Enbridge will reestablish the Customer Satisfaction report, which will be provided quarterly to regulators.
130 131 132		 Financial and Ring-Fencing: This is a commitment to keep the utility safe from the effects of sister or parent company transactions. Division witness Mr. Casey Coleman addresses this issue in his direct testimony.
133 134 135		 Employees: Here, the company commits to retaining the current DEU employee compensation levels and will provide them advancement and training opportunities.
136		Clean Energy: The utility will continue to pursue clean energy initiatives.
137	Q.	ARE THESE-PROPOSED COMMITMENTS SUFFICIENT TO PROTECT
138		CUSTOMERS AFTER THE PROPOSED MERGER IS COMPLETE?
139	A.	No, not as they were filed in their current form.

140 141	Q.	WHAT ARE THE DIVISION'S GENERAL COMMENTS REGARDING THESE MERGER COMMITMENTS?	
142 143 144 145	A.	As seen in Exhibit 5, some commitments are the Applicants agreeing to follow the law, Commission rules, and its current obligations, while other commitments are ambiguous. There are still other commitments that are statements of Enbridge corporate policies or are informational.	
146 147	Q.	WHAT IS THE DIVISION'S OBJECTIVE IN ADDRESSING THESE FILED MERGER COMMITMENTS?	
148 149 150	A.	In general, this list does not go far enough or could require additional clarification. The Division proposes additional items and wording changes to attain greater specificity and consumer protections while striving to maintain a stable utility.	
151	RECOMMENDATION ON OTHER MERGER COMMITMENTS		
152 153 154	Q.	HAS THE DIVISION COMPLETED ITS PROPOSED ALTERATIONS AND ADDITIONS TO THE MERGER COMMITMENTS OFFERED BY THE APPLICANTS?	
155 156 157 158 159	A.	Since the filing, the Division has been working with the Applicants to try to better understand Enbridge, eliminate ambiguity, and provide more specificity in the commitments. Although the Division has been pursuing the concepts outlined below the specific wording of each commitment is still undergoing scrutiny. The Division proposes the following changes to strengthen and clarify the merger commitments.	
160 161 162	Q.	CAN YOU SUMMARIZE THE MAIN POINTS THE DIVISION IS TRYING TO ACHIEVE WITH ITS PROPOSED ENHANCEMENTS TO THE APPLICANTS' MERGER COMMITMENTS?	
163 164 165	A.	Yes. For this proposed acquisition of Questar to be in the public interest, at a minimum, the following general points should be included as part of the commitments:	

166 167 168		 Any change of control or change in ownership of the utility will not be transferred out of the Enbridge umbrella without first receiving Commission approval.
169 170		 The headquarters of the utility will stay within the state, but not be required to be at its current location.
171 172		 O&M cost per customer should not increase above the current level for a specified time.
173 174 175		 Details of the steps, sequences, and milestones in the process of the change of ownership from Dominion to Enbridge should be provided to regulators on a periodic basis.
176 177 178		 The Applicants should provide a clear statement that the customers of the utility will bear no responsibility to cover any costs associated with the transfer of ownership of the utility.
179		A capital structure range should be specified.
180 181		 The Commission and other regulators should be notified if the Bankruptcy Director is called upon to exercise its responsibility.
182		The intent of the clean energy merger commitment should be delineated.
183	Q.	DO YOU SEE ANY REASON THAT EQ HOLDINGS WILL NOT BE ABLE TO
184		COMPLY WITH THESE ENHANCED MERGER COMMITMENTS?
185	A.	No. Enbridge is a large and capable hydrocarbon company with a large presence in
186		natural gas. It has the experience, and apparently the desire, to properly manage the
187		utility.
188	Q.	ARE YOU AWARE OF ANYTHING ELSE AT ENBRIDGE THAT MAY BE A
189		CONCERN?
190	A.	Yes. Enbridge informed the Division that on January 30, 2024, it announced a 6%
191		reduction in force (RIF) of its existing employees. It is the Division's understanding
192		that this RIF will impact all aspects of the Enbridge operations including the existing
193		utilities. Commitment #33 indicates that the current salary and benefits to Questar
194		Gas employees will not be impacted for 24 months.

While it is not unusual for companies to have a RIF periodically, the timing could be a concern. In addition to this transaction, Enbridge is purchasing two additional utilities from Dominion. Enbridge will need to maintain sufficient staff to integrate the three additional utilities into its operation and will need to move shared services that are currently provided by Dominion to Enbridge. Cuts to back-office or integration resources could affect this as well as the other two transactions.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS?

A. The Division concludes that the merger commitment matrix proposal, while a good start, is insufficient to protect ratepayers from potential negative repercussions that may result from the merger. The Division recommends that the Commission approve the application only if the additional safeguards stated above are incorporated in the merger commitments and a net positive benefit can be realized by the utility's customers.

209 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

210 A. Yes, it does.