To: Jennifer Clark, Dominion Energy Utah

From: Utah Office of Consumer Services (OCS)

RE: Questions for the February 21, 2024 Tech Conference in Docket No. 24-057-03

## Questions on DEU's Acquisition of Horseshoe Bend as a Wexpro II Property

- 1. Please refer to the Company's May 10, 2022 presentation for the technical conference in Docket No. 22-057-05 (Alkali Gulch). For Horseshoe Bend, please respond to the same questions and provide the same type of information as found on slides 11 thru 14 of the May 10, 2022 presentation. Please use the most up-to-date data available.
- 2. Please do a side by side comparison of Exhibits F (Capital Cost per Well) for Horseshoe Bend in this docket and Alkali Gulch (Docket No. 22-057-05). For each category of cost with a large percentage increase, please explain the drivers of the cost increase and whether the increased costs are reasonable and permanent (e.g. not just temporary due to current market conditions).
- 3. Please do a side by side comparison of Exhibit G (Operating Expenses) in this docket with Exhibit G from Docket No. 22-057-05 (Alkali Gulch). Please discuss expenses that are significantly different between the two dockets.
- 4. Please do a side by side comparison of Exhibit 3.3 (Forecasted Cost of Service) in this docket with Exhibit 3.5 from Docket No. 22-057-05 (Alkali Gulch). Please discuss if the forecasted prices per Dth for Alkali Gulch have proven to be accurate. Please discuss why generally we see higher prices for Horseshoe Bend versus the Alkali Gulch filing.
- 5. Please discuss in more detail than explained in the Company's testimony how the Farmout Agreement will operate. For example:
  - How will costs and volumes of gas be tracked so that each party receives the agreed upon percentage.
  - Are shares of up-front capital costs paid by each party or does one party reimburse the other? How will this be done?
  - How are operating costs shared?
  - How are reclamation costs accounted for and shared?