



State of Utah
Department of Commerce
Division of Public Utilities

FRANCINE GIANI
Executive Director

THAD LEVAR
Deputy Director

PHILIP J. POWLICK
Director, Division of Public Utilities

JON HUNTSMAN Jr.
Governor
GARY HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

MEMORANDUM

TO: Public Service Commission

FROM: Division of Public Utilities
Philip J. Powlick, Director
Energy Section
Artie Powell, Manager
David Thomson, Technical Consultant
Carolyn Roll, Utility Analyst
Matt Croft, Utility Analyst

DATE: March 4, 2009

RE: Division of Public Utilities Audit of Questar Gas Company's 191 Account for Calendar Year, 2007 - Docket 99-999-02

I. SCOPE & OBJECTIVE

The Division of Public Utilities (Division) conducts an annual audit of Questar Gas Company's (Questar Gas or the Company) Account 191 of the Uniform System of Accounts. Our audit includes a detailed review of the various cost elements included in the 191 Account with the exception of those costs incurred under The Wexpro Stipulation and Agreement (Wexpro Agreement) which are currently examined and reported upon by an independent certified public accountant appointed as a "Monitor". The objective of our audit is to determine if the costs which the Company has included for recovery in the 191 Account, are accurate, appropriate and in compliance with previous orders regarding the 191 Account issued by the Utah Public Service Commission (Commission).

II. CONCLUSION

The Divisions finds the 191 Account to be stated fairly and in conformance with prior Commission orders. However, our findings are contingent upon the Independent Accountants' 2007 Performance Review of Wexpro, which has yet to be issued, as well

as any subsequent findings by the hydrocarbon monitor. Additionally, the Division notes that the gathering costs have increased significantly and has initiated an investigation to review the System Wide Gathering Agreement. Therefore, we recommend that the rates remain interim until these items are closed.

III. BACKGROUND

In Case No. 78-057-13, the Commission authorized Mountain Fuel Supply Company (now Questar Gas) to implement a purchase gas balancing account through Account 191 of the Uniform System of Accounts. The 191 Account provides for pass-through recovery of costs in which the risk of changes in costs is borne by ratepayers.

In Docket No. 80-057-10, the Commission required that certain other revenues be included in the 191 Account as direct credits to gas costs.

On October 14, 1981, the Wexpro Agreement was executed governing certain productive oil reserves, productive gas reserves and unexplored properties that had been previously conveyed to Wexpro or were conveyed to Wexpro under the Wexpro Agreement. The Wexpro Agreement specifies the terms and conditions under which the Company and Wexpro will own and Wexpro will operate the properties. Per the Agreement the Company owns natural gas produced from productive gas reserves and is entitled to purchase natural gas produced from productive oil reserves and exploratory properties, to share in certain oil income and to otherwise share in the benefits of the properties. Per Article 7.4 of the Wexpro Agreement Stipulation, "all royalties or income received from Wexpro under the Agreement as well as costs associated with natural gas delivered to the Company by Wexpro will be accounted for under the Account 191 balancing account adjustment provisions on file and approved by the Commission in the same manner as natural gas costs incurred by the Company in the purchase of natural gas from third parties."

Subsequently on May 29, 1984, in Docket No. CP80-274-000, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) approved the transfer of Mountain Fuel Supply's transmission activities, including transportation, gathering and storage, to Mountain Fuel Resources (now Questar Pipeline). As a result certain costs and revenues previously included in Mountain Fuel Supply's general rates were included in Mountain Fuel Resources' FERC approved rates effective July 1, 1984, and reflected as purchase gas costs to Mountain Fuel Supply.

As a result, the 191 Account consists of two components, a Net Unit Commodity Cost and a Supplier Non-Gas Cost. The Net Unit Commodity Cost includes purchase gas costs offset by other revenues and Wexpro related costs and revenues associated with Company-owned gas. Supplier Non-Gas Costs include transportation, gathering and storage.

In addition the 191 Account also contains other gas-related expenses as ordered by the Commission. Other gas-related expenses currently allowed recovery through the 191 Account include gas supply litigation costs (Docket No. 95-057-21), the carrying cost of working storage gas (Docket Nos. 93-057-01 and 01-057-14), hedging costs (Docket Nos. 00-57-08 and 00-057-10), and bad debts related to commodity and supplier non-gas costs (Docket No. 01-057-14).

Through August, 2004, the 191 Account also included the recording of CO₂ processing costs in accordance with the Commission order in Docket No. 02-057-02. However, on September 20, 2004, the Commission issued its order in Docket No. 04-057-09, directing the Company to return to customers those CO₂ processing costs collected from May, 1999 through August, 2004, plus interest. It was subsequently determined that CO₂ processing costs would be returned to customers over a one year period, October 1, 2004 to September 30, 2005, through a \$.29 per Dth credit to the 191 Account. The events leading up to the September 20, 2004, Commission order in Docket No. 04-057-09, are described in the Other Issues Section of this report.

On January 6, 2006 the PSC issued its order in Docket Nos. 04-057-04, 09, 11, 13 and 05-057-01 dealing with the stipulation between the parties concerning costs associated with the processing of CO₂ in the QGC supply stream. The order approving the stipulation, allows for the recovery, in the pass-through filings, of 90% of the non-gas costs which QGC incurs, for the processing or management of CO₂ in the gas supply, beginning in February 2005. The order also provides for the full recovery of the actual fuel used in the plant up to a limit of 360,000 Dth per year priced at QGC's weighted average cost of gas. Annual credits of revenue above \$400,000 that are received from third party processors is also to be shared on a 50/50 basis with customers of QGC. The order also directed that these costs be allocated to the various rate classes based on the same percentages as those used in the last general rate case (Docket 02-057-02).

Our audit results with respect to each of these components are detailed below.

IV. FINDINGS & OBSERVATIONS

Net Unit Commodity Costs:

Purchase Gas:

- During calendar year 2007, purchased gas comprised 60% of the total gas supply at an average cost of \$ 4.07.
- On May 1, 2001, a stipulation implementing a gas cost stabilization plan was filed with the Utah Public Service Commission. The plan provides for cost recovery through the 191 Account for hedging a portion of the

heating season base load purchases. Each year's plan as well as the hedging activities are presented to and reviewed by the Commission and regulatory agencies. The Company's gas purchasing activities for the two heating seasons contained within calendar year 2007 were in compliance with the plan. The results of the gas stabilization plan are reviewed annually in conjunction with Questar Gas Company's Annual Integrated Resource Plan issued in May.

Wexpro:

- During calendar year 2007, Company-owned gas comprised 40% of the total gas volume receipts. The average cost of company-owned production based on the reported volumes transported and exchanged, royalty costs and operator service fees charged to the 191 account during the year was \$3.75 per Dth. Gathering costs were \$ 0.34 per Dth, for a total cost of \$4.09 per Dth. In September 2007 the monthly demand fee for the system-wide gathering agreement increased 41% and the commodity charge increased to \$.17 per Dth from the prior year rate of \$.14 per Dth. As a result of these increases, the Division has opened an investigation to review the system-wide gathering agreement. Prior company wells as well as gathering costs are now included in Supplier Non-Gas rates effective November 1, 2005 as ordered in Docket 05-057-11. This results in a revenue neutral transfer between rate schedules but now places all costs associated with the gathering of the Wexpro production into the 191 account, which is the way these costs were treated prior to 1996.

SUPPLIER NON-GAS COSTS:

Gathering:

- On March 29, 1999, the Commission issued an Order approving the December 18, 1997, Letter Agreement, having not done so in its December 31, 1998 Order in Dockets Nos. 95-057-30, 96-057-12, and 97-057-11. The Letter Agreement, "Resolution of Gathering Issues and Agreed Upon Terms and Conditions for Amended Gathering Agreement" states that in the current pass-through case, the treatment of gathering costs should be moved from the pass-through to general rates by transferring gathering costs from supplier non-gas to distribution non-gas in rates. Subsequently, on June 7, 1999, Questar Gas filed Advice Letter, 99-057-T02, implementing the Commission's March 29, 1999, Order, effective January 1, 1999, as if it had been approved in the Commission's December 31, 1998 Order.

The Commission's Orders and Letter Agreement apply only to the Amended Gathering Agreement. This is the system wide agreement, G0600, which was transferred from Questar Pipeline to Questar Gas Marketing and subsequently amended on February 6, 1998. Two other gathering contracts, 683 and 685, were also transferred from Questar Pipeline to Questar Gas Management in FERC Docket Nos. CP95-650 and CP95-658, on February 28, 1996 and March 26, 1996, respectively. Unlike the system wide agreement, the gathering costs associated with these two other gathering contracts continue to receive pass-through treatment.

- On August 25, 1999, Questar Gas entered into an additional Gas Gathering Agreement with its affiliate Questar Gas Management. This Agreement replaced, at a lower rate, services previously provided by a non-affiliate and receives pass-through treatment.
- During 1999 Questar Gas entered into gathering contracts with two of its affiliates, Questar Energy Trading and Wexpro, wherein Questar Gas would act as an agent on behalf of the two affiliates nominating their gas as well as its own to be gathered under its contract with a non-affiliated entity. The costs and revenues associated with these two contracts are being recorded in the 191 Account. The Division examined these contracts and found them to be fully compensatory.
- On September 8, 2005, Questar Gas Company submitted a pass-through Application, Docket No. 05-057-11, wherein it proposed to once again recover gathering costs for the system wide agreement, G0600, in the 191 Account. This proposal had previously been agreed to by both the Division and Committee of Consumer Services based on discussions with the Company during the monthly gas market update meetings on July 12 and August 9, 2005. The change was authorized by the Commission in its order dated October 28, 2005, to be effective November 1, 2005. Thus, beginning November 1, 2005, all gathering costs are once again recovered in the 191 Account.

OTHER GAS RELATED COSTS:

Bad Debt Expense:

- All bad debt costs have historically been recovered in the non-gas portion of rates although approximately 60% of the Company's bad debt expense has historically been related to the SNG and Commodity portion of rates.

Historically this has not posed a problem as the portion of the Company's bad debt expense attributable to the SNG and Commodity portion of rates has not varied significantly. However, the unprecedented increase in gas costs experienced during the 2000/2001 heating season revealed the flaw inherent in this practice. The Company's bad debt increased significantly beyond the level established in its last general rate case, Docket No. 99-057-20, when gas costs were significantly lower. As a result the Company requested, in Docket 01-057-14, to record an allowance for the gas cost portion of bad debts as a reduction in the commodity revenues included in the 191 Account balance on a prospective basis, effective January 2002.

The stipulation entered into by the parties in Docket Nos. 01-057-14 and 98-057-12, provided for the recovery of the bad debt portion of SNG and Commodity rates in the 191 Account. On August 14, 2002, the Commission issued its order approving the stipulation with respect to recovery of bad debts. In its approval, the Commission stated, "Since the 191 Account is not governed by the pass-through statute, we expect that the Division will continue to analyze the reasonableness of bad debt and propose normalization or other regulatory adjustments if necessary." The Division has reviewed the reasonableness of the bad debt and does not propose any adjustments to 2007.

CO₂ Plant Expenses:

- In Docket 98-057-12, Questar Gas sought to recover, through the 191 Account, costs attributable to a contract with an affiliated company to remove CO₂ from natural gas supplies delivered to its distribution system. On December 3, 1999, the Commission issued its Order denying recovery of these expenses in the 191 Account. Questar appealed the Commission's order to the Utah Supreme Court.

Pending its appeal to the Utah Supreme Court, Questar Gas filed a general rate case, Docket No. 99-057-20, seeking a revenue increase, that included recovery of the CO₂ processing costs. On August 11, 2000, the Commission issued its Order in Docket No. 99-057-20, accepting the Division and Company's joint stipulation on CO₂ processing costs, allowing annual recovery of \$5 million.

On October 23, 2001, the Utah Supreme Court set aside the Commission's decision in Docket No. 98-057-12, and remanded the case concluding that the Commission has erred in denying CO₂ gas processing cost recovery through the 191 Account process. The Court concluded that the 191 Account process and procedures were not constrained by the Utah pass-through statutory provisions, but represented "a separate rate-changing

mechanism through which the Commission can set rates that are just, reasonable and sufficient.”

Questar Gas subsequently filed a pass-through application, Docket No. 01-057-14, seeking recovery of \$5.8 million in processing costs for the period June 1999 through August 10, 2001. In its Order in Docket Nos. 01-057-14 and 98-057-12, issued August 14, 2002, the Commission allowed Questar Gas to recover an additional \$3.76 million of CO₂ gas processing costs for the period June 1999 through August 10, 2001, from the same classes of customers and in the same proportion as the rate design set in the general rate case Docket No. 99-057-20. For the classes of customers currently affected by the 191 Account process (GS, F1 and NGV rate schedules), the Commission permitted recovery of their proportionate share through the 191 Account.

Concurrently, the Utah Committee of Consumer Services was appealing the Commission’s original order in Docket No. 99-057-20, allowing recovery of CO₂ gas processing costs, before the Utah State Supreme Court. On August 1, 2003, the Utah Supreme Court issued its decision in *The Committee of Consumer Services, Department of Commerce, State of Utah v. Public Service Commission of Utah, Questar Gas Company, and Magnesium Corporation of America*, Nos. 20000893 & 20020810, reversing the Commission’s order in Docket No. 99-057-20 and rejecting the Commission’s acceptance of the rate increase proposed by the CO₂ Stipulation filed by the Division of Public Utilities and Questar Gas that resolved between them the issues of cost recovery and ratemaking treatment of gas processing costs and agreed that annual CO₂ removal costs in the amount of \$5 million should be passed on to ratepayers. Subsequently on August 30, 2004, the Commission issued its order in Docket Nos. 98-057-12, 99-057-20, 01-057-14 and 03-057-05, rejecting the CO₂ Stipulation and denying recovery of CO₂ removal costs. Additionally, the Commission opened a separate proceeding, Docket No. 04-057-09, to address the means by which previously collected CO₂ processing costs would be returned to customers.

On September 20, 2004, the Commission issued its order in Docket No. 04-057-09, ordering the refund of previously collected CO₂ processing costs on a \$.29 per Dth basis over a twelve-month period beginning October 1, 2004. Customers received a monthly allocation of the refund through the 191 Account.

On October 1, 2004, per the Commission’s order in Docket No. 04-057-09, Questar removed all CO₂ processing costs collected in the 191 Account from May 1999 through August 2004, plus interest, and began

refunding CO₂ processing costs by crediting \$.29 per Dth through the 191 Account. As of December 2005, all of CO₂ processing costs had been refunded to customers through the 191 Account as ordered in Docket 04-057-09.

On January 6, 2006 the PSC issued its order in Docket No. 05-057-01 dealing with the stipulation between the parties concerning costs associated with the processing of CO₂ in the QGC supply stream. The order approving the stipulation allows for the recovery, in the pass-through filings, of 90% of the non-gas costs which QGC incurs for the processing or management of CO₂ in the gas supply, beginning in February 2005. The order also provides for the full recovery of the actual fuel used in the plant up to a limit of 360,000 Dth per year priced at QGC's weighted average cost of gas. Annual credits of revenue above \$400,000 received from third party processors is also to be shared on a 50/50 basis with customers of QGC. The order also directed that these costs be allocated to the various rate classes based on the same percentages as those used in the last general rate case (Docket 02-057-02). The Division has reviewed the methodology QGC used in calculating and spreading the gas management costs and believes it complies with the Commission order.

V. FOLLOW-UP ITEMS

The Division will continue to monitor and review the following items to ensure that Commission orders are implemented as instructed.

- Continue to analyze Questar's bad debt to ensure its reasonableness and propose normalization or any other regulatory adjustments, as necessary to ensure that rates are just and reasonable as ordered by the Commission in Docket Nos. 01-057-14 and 98-057-12.
- Monitor CO₂ processing costs as ordered in Docket No. 05-057-01, effective January 6, 2006. The CO₂ gas processing costs incurred from February 1, 2005 to January 31, 2006 were included in rates effective February 1, 2006 as ordered in Docket No. 06-057-01. QGS will not be charged CO₂ gas processing costs after February 1, 2008.
- Review and monitor the system-wide gathering agreement with Questar Gas Management Company. The Division will be opening an investigation to review the system wide gathering agreement. A RFP has been posted and the Division is currently reviewing the proposals that were received.

Pending the outcome of the investigation the Division requests that at this time rates remain on an interim basis.

- Continue to monitor changes in commodity rates and supplier non-gas rate changes as authorized by Commission orders for the year 2008.

cc: Francine Giani, Director Department of Commerce
Barrie McKay, Questar Gas Company
Committee of Consumer Services