



State of Utah

SPENCER J. COX
Governor

DEIDRE M. HENDERSON
Lieutenant Governor

Public Service Commission

JERRY D. FENN
Chair

DAVID R. CLARK
Commissioner

JOHN S. HARVEY, Ph.D.
Commissioner

June 23, 2026

Susan Desgrousilliers
Senior Manager
AccessLine Communications Corporation
sdesgrousilliers@intermedia.com

Re: Docket No. 26-999-03 – *Request for Voluntary Cancellation of Authorization, AccessLine Communications Corporation*

Dear Ms. Desgrousilliers,

The Public Service Commission (“PSC” or “Commission”) reviewed AccessLine Communications Corporation’s (ACC) Request for Voluntary Cancellation of Authorization, filed with the PSC on April 29, 2026 (the “Request”). The Request notifies the PSC of ACC’s (1) cancellation of its authority to provide intrastate telecommunications services¹ and (2) withdrawal of the applicable tariff, effective May 15, 2026. On May 11, 2026, ACC filed a supplemental letter requesting a waiver of Utah Admin. Code R746-350, explaining that ACC does not provide intrastate telecommunications services to Utah customers; thus, no customers would be interrupted or disconnected. Consequently, ACC concluded that customer notice provisions under R746-350 do not apply.

On May 27, 2026, DPU filed its Action Request Response recommending the PSC approve the Request and clarifying ACC’s CPCN and ETC designations. Despite ACC’s claim that the PSC authorized it to provide intrastate telecommunications services in Docket No. 01-2211-09, DPU found the referenced docket to be a common interconnection agreement between TCG Utah (TCG) and ACC. While TCG was issued a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) in Docket No. 96-2211-01, the 2001 interconnection agreement did not transfer any CPCN rights or authority to ACC. DPU explained that, upon further communication with ACC, they agreed that the Docket No. 01-2211-09 reference was a clerical error and has no bearing on ACC’s designation in Utah. Instead, DPU found ACC to have received most of its interexchange (long distance)

¹ According to ACC, the PSC authorized ACC to provide intrastate telecommunications services on April 26, 2001, in Docket No. 01-2211-09.

authorizations in 2004² and was never authorized to provide intrastate telecommunications in Utah. DPU explains that it found no evidence of ACC ever holding a CPCN and long-distance service providers are not generally required to obtain a CPCN from the PSC. This notwithstanding, DPU states that “ACC has not reported any intrastate revenues since 2016.”³

Based on the PSC’s review of the Request, ACC’s supplemental letter, and DPU’s comments and recommendation, the PSC acknowledges receipt of the notice in the Request. Because the PSC never granted a CPCN to ACC, and ACC was never authorized to provide intrastate telecommunications services as a communications local exchange carrier, there is no CPCN to cancel. Thus, the PSC treats the Request as a Notice and hereby gives notice that it will take no further action in the referenced docket unless a party files a request for agency action and explains the basis on which the PSC may or should act.

Sincerely,

/s/ Gary L. Widerburg
PSC Secretary
DW#345866

² Before cell phone service, the Federal Communications Commission authorized carriers to provide long distance service.

³ DPU Action Request Response, at 2. DPU’s reporting that ACC has not reported “*intrastate* revenues since 2016” appears to infer that ACC was collecting revenues from offering intrastate services which ACC was doing without authority. However, even if true, ten years have passed since that occurred and we find there is no reason to investigate the issue further given ACC’s representation, as confirmed by DPU, that ACC has no intrastate customers in Utah.