

- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

In the Matter of the Petition of AUTOTEL)
for Arbitration of an Interconnection)
Agreement with QWEST)
CORPORATION Pursuant to Section)
252(b) of the Telecommunications Act)

DOCKET NO. 03-049-19
PROCEDURAL NOTICE

ISSUED: June 14, 2005

By The Commission:

On February 18, 2004, the Commission issued its Report and Order (“Order”) in this docket ordering Autotel and Qwest Corporation (“Qwest”) to submit within thirty days an interconnection agreement consistent with the terms of the Order. On March 19, 2004, Qwest filed a Notice of Inability to File Signed Agreement and Request for Approval of Proposed Agreement indicating that each party had tendered a proposed agreement to the other party for signature but that each party had refused to sign the proffered agreement on the ground that said agreement did not comply with our Order. Qwest provided a copy of its proposed interconnection agreement which it claimed complies with the terms of the Order and requested the Commission approve the same as the agreement of the parties.

On May 18, 2005, the United States District Court for the District of Utah dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction the suit brought by Autotel seeking review of the Commission’s Order. This docket therefore remains as it did in March 2004, the parties having failed to submit a signed interconnection agreement consistent with the terms of our Order, and Qwest having offered its proposed interconnection agreement for Commission approval.

Therefore, parties are hereby given notice that they have thirty days from the date of this Notice to respond to Qwest’s March 19, 2004, filing and to identify any other development which may have arisen since our February 2004 Order that may be hindering the parties from submitting an executed interconnection agreement for Commission review. Absent submission of a signed interconnection agreement consistent with the terms of our Order, the Commission is inclined to take no further action in this docket. However, the Commission remains open to suggestions by either party regarding how best to bring this matter to a reasonable conclusion.

Dated at Salt Lake City, Utah this 14th day of June, 2005.

/s/ Julie Orchard
Commission Secretary
G#44792