

Docket No. 04-053-03 Request for Reconsideration—Exhibit 2--12/27/04

Date: Fri, 5 Nov 2004 23:42:48 -0800 (PST)
From: "Brent Hansen" <brenthansencpa@yahoo.com>
Subject: Petition to intervene
To: "julie orchard" <jorchard@utah.gov>
CC: "Brent Hansen" <brenthansencpa@yahoo.com>

Docket # 04-053-03 Application of Uintah Basin Telecommunications

It was my intent that the letter I sent dated October 19th be treated as a petition to intervene as indicated with the RE reference. I understand the confusion in interpreting my intent because of the wishy-washy comments in my letter. The bottom line is that I was unable to obtain adequate information in order to make any valid objections. The petition was filed to protect my rights during the information gathering process.

The legal notice published in the Vernal Express on Oct. 6 and 13 stated: "All petitions to...must be in writing, stating the reasons for intervention, and be filed with the Commission...." The letter I sent met all of the requirements specified in the legal notice.

The objections I raised were:

- 1) Insufficient information available for public to make an informed decision.
- 2) Not enough board representation for Vernal district.
- 3) Unreasonable delay in vote for Vernal district board member.

Please approve my petition and send me the form I need to file in order to receive confidential information on this application. Also, send a list of items available since I do not think that I will want to see the complete filing.

Sincerely, Brent Hansen, CPA November 6, 2004 brenthansencpa@yahoo.com