

- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

IN THE MATTER OF THE)	DOCKET NO. 05-2302-01
APPLICATION FOR INCREASE OF)	
RATES AND CHARGES AND USE)	DPU Exhibit No. 4.0
ELIGIBILITY FOR CARBON/EMERY)	
TELCOM, INC.)	

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

PAUL M. ANDERSON

**DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
STATE OF UTAH**

November 16, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
I. IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS	3
II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	3
III. COMPANY OVERVIEW	4
IV. LOCAL TRANSPORT AND END OFFICE SWITCHING RATES	5
V. EXTENDED AREA SERVICE (EAS)	8
VI. DEPRECIATION RATES	10
VI. CONCLUSION	11
VII. EXHIBIT(S)	13

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

I. IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND POSITION WITH THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES.

A. My name is Paul M. Anderson. My business address is Heber M. Wells Building, 160 East 300 South, 4th Floor, Salt Lake City, Utah. I am employed as a Utility Analyst for the State of Utah in the Division of Public Utilities. I am testifying on behalf of the Division of Public Utilities (DPU).

Q. WHAT ARE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND, QUALIFICATIONS AND EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE?

A. My qualifications are summarized on the attached DPU Exhibit 4.1.

II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

Q. PLEASE STATE THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY.

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the DPU’s engineering analysis pertaining to the Company’s request for rate increase and USF Eligibility.

23

III COMPANY OVERVIEW

24

25 **Q. HAS THE DPU REVIEWED THE SWITCHING, MICROWAVE AND**
26 **OUTSIDE PLANT NETWORK UPGRADES THAT CARBON/EMERY**
27 **HAS MADE OVER THE PAST TWO YEARS?**

28 A. Yes. The DPU conducted a field visit to the Carbon/Emery area and observed
29 deteriorated plant that had been removed and replaced with state-of-the-art
30 technologies used to upgrade the outside plant infrastructure. New copper and
31 fiber optic cables have been installed to replace old lead sheath and paper
32 insulated cables that were degrading phone service. Fiber optic cables were
33 placed to extend services in the Price and Helper exchanges. Moreover, the
34 Company has installed a new AirSpan microwave system to provide service to a
35 remote Questar Gas CO₂ plant as well as telecommunication services to new
36 subdivisions in Cleveland. Carbon/Emery has taken advantage of purchasing
37 surplus modular fiber repeater buildings from a company who exited the market,
38 to economically be used as remote terminal sites for the fiber builds.

39

40 Since Carbon/Emery's purchase of the service area from Qwest, it has striven to
41 bring the switches and outside plant up to industry standards to facilitate
42 improved service to its subscribers. Switch growth jobs, software updates and
43 new outside plant cable jobs appear to be in line with what would be expected as
44 reasonable plant investments.

45 However, the DPU, during its field visit, could not verify the board approved
46 outside plant cable jobs shown in Company Exhibit S-5.2 because most of the
47 jobs were not yet budgeted, engineered or constructed. Revenue requirement
48 recommendations for these projects are further discussed in the direct testimony
49 of DPU witnesses David Thomson and John Gothard.

50

51

52 **IV. LOCAL TRANSPORT AND END OFFICE SWITCHING RATES**

53

54 **Q. HAS THE DIVISION REVIEWED THE CURRENT AND PROPOSED**
55 **ACCESS RATES CONSISTING OF LOCAL TRANSPORT AND END**
56 **OFFICE SWITCHING FOR CARBON/EMERY?**

57 A. Yes. The access rates consist of two components: Local Transport and End
58 Office Local Switching. The Local Transport rate is the rate charged to carry the
59 traffic of other carriers i.e. CLEC, LD or internet providers, etc. The End Office
60 Local Switching rate is the rate charged these same carriers for switching their
61 traffic at the local switch closest to the customer. The current rates, used by
62 Carbon/Emery are based on a 2001 GVNW Consulting, Inc. rate study. The
63 Company is proposing to increase rates for local transport from \$0.001061/min. to
64 \$0.005600/min., an increase by a factor of 5.3. The Company is proposing to
65 increase rates for local switching from \$0.010800/min. to \$0.036900/min., an
66 increase by a factor of 3.4. Carbon/Emery developed these new rates by dividing

67 the Company's projected access revenue requirement by the year 2004 minutes of
68 use (MOU) and with Citizens' traffic removed because of Citizens' rerouting
69 decision.

70

71 **Q. HOW DO THE COMPANY PROPOSED ACCESS RATES FOR**
72 **CARBON/EMERY COMPARE WITH INDUSTRY AVERAGES IN**
73 **RURAL TELCOS IN UTAH?**

74 A. The Company proposed access rate for local transport is about one third of the
75 Utah average and the Company proposed access rate for end office local
76 switching is almost twice the Utah average. See DPU Exhibit 4.2. Although the
77 Company proposed local transport rate is higher than their current rate, the DPU
78 is concerned that this rate is still significantly lower than the average rural rate, is
79 not based on cost, and may appear to be anti-competitive.

80

81 **Q. IS THERE ANY OTHER METHODOLOGY THAT HAS BEEN USED IN**
82 **UTAH TO DETERMINE ACCESS RATES?**

83 A. Yes. In Docket No. 03-2403-02 the DPU used the HAI 5.2a Cost Model with
84 Commission Ordered Adjustments developed in Docket No. 01-049-85, to model
85 access rates for both local transport and switching for interconnection to Western
86 Wireless as required in Utah Code, Title 54-8b-3.3.

87

88 The DPU developed access rates for UBTA-UBET, Manti, SCUTA and Gunnison
89 telephone companies to apply to interconnection with wireless companies (See
90 DPU Exhibit 4.3). Additionally, a flat monthly switch port rate was proposed, as
91 was also proposed in the Qwest Docket No. 01-049-85. The DPU believes that
92 the Companies for which the rates were set are only applying them to wireless
93 interconnection. That being said, the model develops rates that are not based on
94 technologies. For that reason, the DPU recommends that the HAI 5.2a model
95 should be used to develop access rates for Carbon/Emery.

96

97 **Q. WHY DOES THE DPU SUPPORT A FLAT RATED SWITCH PORT**
98 **RATE RATHER THAN USING MINUTES OF USE?**

99 A. The DPU believes that the flat switch port rate developed by the Commission
100 adopted HAI model is based on costs and will avoid the appearance of being anti-
101 competitive. It is easier to use and does not require the traffic measurement
102 effort.

103

104 **Q. DOES EXHIBIT 4.3 PORTRAY THE TOTAL END OFFICE ACCESS**
105 **RATE?**

106 A. No. The Exhibit outlines a grocery list of access services that can be purchased
107 by a third party. For example, one could purchase either host/remote switching
108 by minutes of use (MOU) or purchase a flat rated end office switch port which the
109 DPU supports.

110 **Q. DOES THE DPU RECOMMEND A COST MODEL APPROACH TO**
111 **DETERMINING NEW ACCESS RATES FOR CARBON/EMERY BASED**
112 **ON COST TO PROVIDE THE SERVICE?**

113 A. Yes. The DPU recommends that the Commission order a cost study using the HAI
114 5.2a cost model as amended by the Commission for Carbon/Emery and Emery
115 Telecom to develop both transport and local switching access rates and
116 recommends that a completion date of November 30, 2006, be set by the
117 Commission. It is suggested the cost study for local transport and switching be
118 required prior to consideration of further rate case or USF support filings by
119 Emery Telecom or Carbon/Emery.

120

121 **Q. DOES THE DPU PROPOSE NEW ACCESS RATES FOR**
122 **CARBON/EMERY?**

123 A. Not at this time. The DPU suggests that, until the cost study is complete, the
124 Commission adopt the Company's proposed rates of \$0.00560/min. for local
125 transport and of \$0.03690/min. for end office switching as shown in Company
126 Exhibit S-2.2.

127

128 **V. EXTENDED AREA SERVICE (EAS)**

129

130 **Q. HAS THE DIVISION REVIEWED THE CURRENT EAS RATES FOR**
131 **CARBON/EMERY?**

132 A. Yes. The current rates that Carbon/Emery charges are based on previous Qwest
133 rates at the time the exchanges were purchased of \$0.99 for residence and \$1.49
134 for business.

135

136 **Q. ARE EAS RATES IN CARBON/EMERY COMPARABLE TO OTHER**
137 **RURAL TELEPHONE COMPANIES IN UTAH?**

138 A. No. The current rates that Carbon/Emery charges for EAS are much lower
139 compared with most other rural telephone companies in Utah. See DPU Exhibit
140 4.4.

141

142 **Q. DOES THE DPU PROPOSE NEW EAS RATES FOR CARBON/EMERY?**

143 A. No. The DPU notes that the current EAS rates are low for Carbon/Emery since
144 they were set when Qwest owned the territory and were averaged over the entire
145 Qwest subscriber base. The DPU is concerned that the rate set by Qwest and
146 adopted by Carbon/Emery may not cover the cost incurred by Carbon/Emery to
147 provide EAS as required in Utah Code, Title 54-8b-3.3. Rather than raise EAS
148 rates now, the DPU proposes that the Commission order the Company and DPU
149 to develop study criteria for an EAS traffic study for Emery Telecom and
150 Carbon/Emery. The DPU recommends that the commission order the completion
151 of the EAS traffic study by November 30, 2006. This effort will provide the DPU
152 the database on which staff can determine what the new EAS rates for
153 Carbon/Emery should be.

154

VI. DEPRECIATION RATES

155

156 **Q. DID THE DPU REVIEW THE PROPOSED CHANGES IN**
157 **DEPRECIATION RATES BY CARBON/EMERY?**

158 A. Yes. The DPU has no problem with the changes proposed for the underground
159 cable, buried cable and intra-building net categories because they appeared to be
160 within a reasonable range.

161 **Q. DOES THE SERVICE LIFE OF THE BUILDING ACCOUNT, 2110.2,**
162 **THAT CARBON/EMERY IS PROPOSING APPEAR TO BE**
163 **REASONABLE?**

164 A. No. Carbon/Emery is proposing that the depreciation rate be raised from 3.33%
165 to 5.00% for the building account. This change will move the service life from 30
166 years to 20 years. The DPU does not agree with this change. Most rural and
167 incumbent telephone companies in Utah use 30 years or more including
168 Carbon/Emery's parent company, Emery Telecom. A handful of rural companies
169 use 25 to 29 years. Experience in telecommunications engineering costs studies
170 reflect the fact that buildings should last 30 years or more. The Company
171 provided no supporting documentation for this change, and therefore,
172 the DPU recommends that the depreciation rate stay at 3.33%. See direct
173 testimony of David Thompson for further discussion of depreciation rates for
174 Carbon/Emery.

175

176

VII. CONCLUSION

177

178 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS.**

179 A. In conclusion the DPU is recommending that Carbon/Emery use their proposed
180 rate for local transport of \$0.00560/min. and for end office switching of
181 \$0.03690/min. until new rates can be developed.

182

183 The DPU does not believe the access rates that Carbon/Emery currently use, those
184 that they have proposed, and the average rural telephone access rates as shown in
185 each Company's tariff, are based on the costs to provide access service.

186 Therefore, the DPU recommends that the Commission order Carbon/Emery to
187 provide data for the development of a cost study using the Commission modified
188 HAI 5.2a cost model before any new rate case filing.

189

190 The DPU also believes the existing EAS rates for Carbon/Emery are low and is
191 recommending that the Commission order Carbon/Emery to conduct an EAS
192 traffic study on all its central offices to determine minutes of use (MOU) for
193 calculating an accurate stimulation factor for each exchange. Moreover, this
194 study will identify capital costs associated with the provisioning of EAS trunks
195 and thereby aid the DPU and the Company in determining new EAS rates for a
196 reasonable recovery of these costs.

197

198 Both the access rate and EAS studies should be conducted concurrently and
199 completed by November 30, 2006 before any new rate cases are requested.

200

201 The DPU has no problem with the change in depreciation rates for the
202 underground cable, buried cable and inter-building net categories, but
203 recommends the buildings depreciation rate stay at 3.33%.

204

205 **Q. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

206 **A.** Yes it does. Thank you.

VII. EXHIBIT(S)

	<u>Page Number</u>
Exhibit 4.1 Qualifications	14
Exhibit 4.2 Switched Access Rates in Utah	15
Exhibit 4.3 Access Rates from Previous Cost Study	16
Exhibit 4.4 EAS Rates in Utah	17

207 **DPU Exhibit 4.1 – Qualifications**

208

209 - Bachelor of Science, Engineering Degree, University of Utah

210

211 - Extensive BELLCORE TECHNICAL training in the
212 telecommunications industry.

213

214 - NARTE Certified Engineer (National Association of Radio and
215 Telecommunications Engineers) while employed at US West.

216

217 - Over 30 years experience in the telecommunication industry. Extensive
218 background in facility and switch planning, designed SONET/digital transmission
219 systems for interoffice facilities, developed and analyzed long range incremental
220 cost studies, facilitated and developed local loop integrated planning.

221

222 - Instrumental in the development and direction of fiber based Broadband
223 strategies, and the establishment of survivability and diversity for the US West
224 switch and facility network. Over 7 years experience engineering and
225 constructing backbone fiber rings for MCI using Sonet self-healing fiber optic
226 ring design. Scheduled and managed construction jobs, obtained permits and
227 worked with customers and contractors on site surveys for building entrance and
228 riser cable designs.

229

230 - Monitored and initiated modernization strategies for US West's interoffice
231 facility and switch network for Utah, Idaho and Montana. Provided Company
232 direction for orderly economic network evolution; includes making
233 recommendations to high level managers..

234

235 - Translated customer needs to technical requirements and analyzed future
236 emerging technologies and network elements.

237

238 - Prepared, and tracked capital and expense operating budget for facility and switch
239 projects through approval, co-ordination and completion of the project.

240

241 - Planned and engineered local access feeder and distribution cable facilities for
242 Utah. Planned and engineered structure reinforcements such as underground
243 conduit and pole line facilities. Analyzed feeder routes to allocate cable pairs to
244 distribution points. Conducted plant rehabilitation studies to determine areas to
245 be upgraded. Developed construction budget (\$20M).

246

247 - Received the following recognition and awards: (1) *Network Stars Award for*
248 *Contributions to Excellence*, N&TS, 1990, (2) *Volunteer of the Year Award*, Salt
249 Lake City School District, 1992, (3) *On-The-Spot Award*, LATA Network
250 Planning, 1992 and (4) *Award of Excellence*, Brooks Fiber Communications,
251 1997.

252

